

# Micronutrient Composition of 35 Food Fishes from India and Their Significance in Human Nutrition

Bimal P. Mohanty<sup>1</sup> · T. V. Sankar<sup>2</sup> · Satabdi Ganguly<sup>1</sup> · Arabinda Mahanty<sup>1</sup> · R. Anandan<sup>2</sup> · Kajal Chakraborty<sup>3</sup> · B. N. Paul<sup>4</sup> · Debajit Sarma<sup>5</sup> · J. Syama Dayal<sup>6</sup> · Suseela Mathew<sup>2</sup> · K. K. Asha<sup>2</sup> · Tandrira Mitra<sup>1</sup> · D. Karunakaran<sup>1</sup> · Soumen Chanda<sup>4</sup> · Neeetu Shahi<sup>5</sup> · Puspita Das<sup>5</sup> · Partha Das<sup>5</sup> · Md Shahbaz Akhtar<sup>5</sup> · P. Vijayagopal<sup>3</sup> · N. Sridhar<sup>4</sup>

Received: 22 December 2015 / Accepted: 18 April 2016 / Published online: 17 May 2016  
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

**Abstract** The micronutrients (vitamins and minerals) are required in small amounts but are essential for health, development, and growth. Micronutrient deficiencies, which affect over two billion people around the globe, are the leading cause of many ailments including mental retardation, preventable blindness, and death during childbirth. Fish is an important dietary source of micronutrients and plays important role in human nutrition. In the present investigation, micronutrient composition of 35 food fishes (includes both finfishes and shellfishes) was investigated from varying aquatic habitats. Macrominerals (Na, K, Ca, Mg) and trace elements (Fe, Cu, Zn, Mn, Se) were determined by either atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) or inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS)/atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES). Phosphorus content was determined either spectrophotometrically or by ICP-AES. Fat-soluble vitamins (A, D, E, K) were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography

(HPLC). The analysis showed that, in general, the marine fishes were rich in sodium and potassium; small indigenous fishes (SIFs) in calcium, iron, and manganese; coldwater fishes in selenium; and the brackishwater fishes in phosphorous. The marine fishes *Sardinella longiceps* and *Epinephelus* spp. and the SIFs were rich in all fat-soluble vitamins. All these recommendations were made according to the potential contribution (daily value %) of the species to the recommended daily allowance (RDA). Information on the micronutrients generated would enhance the utility of fish in both community and clinical nutrition.

**Keywords** Micronutrients · Minerals · Vitamins · Food fishes

## Introduction

Micronutrients are needed only in minuscule amounts but are essential for proper growth and development. And the consequences of their absence are severe. Therefore, the current practice of evaluating nutritive value of diets should include not only energy and protein adequacy but also the micronutrient (mineral and vitamin) density of the diet. Micronutrient deficiency is a form of malnutrition and is a recognized health problem in many developing countries; however, people from developed countries also suffer from various forms of micronutrient deficiencies and diets which lack adequate amount of minerals and vitamins lead to such diseases. Vitamin A, iodine, and iron are most important in global public health terms; their lack represents a major threat to the health and development of populations the world over, particularly children and pregnant women in low-income countries [1]. Approximately one third of the developing world's children under the age of

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s12011-016-0714-3) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

✉ Bimal P. Mohanty  
bimal.mohanty@icar.gov.in; bimalmohanty12@rediffmail.com

- <sup>1</sup> ICAR-Central Inland Fisheries Research Institute, Barrackpore, Kolkata, India
- <sup>2</sup> ICAR-Central Institute of Fisheries Technology, Cochin, India
- <sup>3</sup> ICAR-Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Cochin, India
- <sup>4</sup> ICAR-Central Institute of Freshwater Aquaculture, Bhubaneswar, India
- <sup>5</sup> ICAR-Directorate of Coldwater Fisheries Research, Bhimtal, India
- <sup>6</sup> ICAR-Central Institute of Brackishwater Aquaculture, Chennai, India

5 are vitamin A-deficient and therefore ill-equipped for survival, as vitamin A deficiency is often associated with protein calorie malnutrition (PCM). Iron deficiency anemia during pregnancy is associated with 115,000 deaths each year, accounting for one fifth of total maternal deaths [2]. Keeping this in view, micronutrient supplementation programs were incorporated as an integral part of Millennium Development Goals and their micronutrient initiative is providing mineral and vitamin supplements to the most vulnerable, i.e., women and children across the world [3].

India is home to more than 10 % of the global fish diversity. Presently, the country ranks second in the world in total fish production with an annual fish production of about 9.06 million metric tons [4]. Fish is an important dietary component and is a rich source of quality animal proteins [5], polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) [6], and micronutrients [7, 8]. Vitamin A from fish is more readily available to the body than from plant sources [9]. Fish especially oily ones have been found to be excellent sources of vitamin D [10]. Fish, in this context, can play a vital role as it is a rich source of micronutrients. Therefore, there is a need to generate and document nutritional information on the numerous varieties and species of food fishes available as our previous work reporting the amino acid composition of 27 food fishes from India [11]. The primary objective of the study was to generate information on micronutrient composition of important food fishes and shellfishes including macrominerals (Na, K, Ca, Mg, P), trace elements (Fe, Cu, Zn, Mn, Se), and fat-soluble vitamins (vitamins A, D, E, K). The secondary objective of the study was to enhance the scope for their utility in human nutrition by evaluating their potential contribution (daily value %) to the recommended daily allowance (RDA).

## Materials and Methods

### Collection of Sample

Fresh fishes and shellfishes were collected from the landing stations and were brought to the laboratory in ice. The study did not include any live animal. For mineral analysis, all total 35 species of fishes and shellfishes (crustaceans and mollusc) from different habitats were studied (Table 1). The length (in cm) and weight (in g) of individual fish were measured and recorded. Scales were removed by scraping, with the edge of a knife with a titanium blade; the blade was rinsed with distilled water, and fillets were removed and freed of the bones. The size of these fishes ranged between 500 and 800 g per fish except small indigenous fishes (SIFs) and shellfishes. SIFs are those which grow to a maximum length of about 20 cm on maturity or adult stage [12, 13].

For larger fishes, 16 individual fish samples were taken for sample preparation and analysis. In case of the SIFs and shellfishes, pooled samples were prepared (each pooled sample contained 100 individuals); six such pooled samples were prepared for each species. The samples were stored at  $-40^{\circ}\text{C}$  preceding analysis, wherever necessary, and all the samples were analyzed in triplicate. For the SIFs/shellfishes, samples were pooled as the amount required for micronutrient analysis was not sufficient from individual fishes, and therefore, pooling 100 individual fishes was the better option. Further, the no. of pooled samples was restricted to six because of the constraint in getting the samples in higher amount.

### Mineral Analysis by AAS

Mineral contents of the fish muscle were assayed using atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS). About 3–5 g of fish muscle tissue was taken in a conical flask, and a digestion mixture (3 ml  $\text{HClO}_4$  + 21 ml  $\text{HNO}_3$  + 1.5 ml  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ) was added and incubated overnight at room temperature. Further, it was heated for 2–3 h or until colorless. It was then filtered with Whatman paper no. 42 making the volume up to 100 ml with 2 %  $\text{HNO}_3$  [14]. Minerals (Na, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Cu, Zn, Mn, and Se) were estimated using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Varian Spectra-220 AA, Australia). Phosphorous was measured spectrophotometrically (Thermo Spectronic, UV1) by measuring the absorbance at 660 nm after color development with the formation of phosphomolybdic acid [15]. The mineral contents were expressed in mg/100 g of wet weight (minced edible part).

### Mineral Analysis by ICP-MS/ICP-AES

For mineral analysis, the minced fishes were kept overnight in a hot air oven at  $120^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and then, the dried samples were powdered in a mixer grinder and stored in aluminum foils. A microwave-assisted digestion procedure was carried out in order to achieve a shorter digestion time. Homogenized powders (0.5 g) were weighed in glass digestion bombs, and 3 ml suprapure  $\text{HNO}_3$  (E. Merck) was added to the samples. The bombs were firmly closed and put in the microwave oven for digestion under controlled pressure. The basic program of the microwave digestion is given in Table 2. After digestion, the glass bombs were cooled and the mineralized samples were diluted to 50 ml with Milli-Q water and stored in a refrigerator. Mineral analysis was carried out by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) (Thermo Fisher X Series 2) or inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) (ICP spectrometer, iCAP 6300 Radial, Thermo Scientific). The microelements viz. iron, copper, zinc, manganese, and selenium were directly analyzed; however, the

**Table 1** Analytical methods used for micronutrient composition of selected food fishes and shellfishes

Sl no.	Fish and shellfish species	Method reference		Habitat (source)
		Mineral	Vitamin	
1.	<i>Epinephelus</i> spp.	AAS [14] <sup>a</sup>	HPLC [19]	Marine (capture)
2.	<i>Euthymnus affinis</i>	do	nd	Marine (capture)
3.	<i>Katsuwonus pelamis</i>	do	HPLC [19]	Marine (capture)
4.	<i>Leiognathus splendens</i>	do	HPLC [19]	Marine (capture)
5.	<i>Nemipterus japonicus</i>	do	nd	Marine (capture)
6.	<i>Rastrelliger kanagurta</i>	do	nd	Marine (capture)
7.	<i>Sardinella longiceps</i>	do	HPLC [19]	Marine (capture)
8.	<i>Stolephorus commersonii</i>	do	nd	Marine (capture)
9.	<i>Stolephorus waitei</i>	do	nd	Marine (capture)
10.	<i>Thunnus albacares</i>	do	nd	Marine (capture)
11.	<i>Trichiurus lepturus</i>	do	HPLC [19]	Marine (capture)
12.	<i>Ailia coila</i> (SIF)	ICP/AES [17]	nd	Freshwater (capture)
13.	<i>Amblypharyngodon mola</i> (SIF)	ICP/MS [16]	HPLC [19]	Freshwater (capture)
14.	<i>Anabas testudineus</i> (SIF)	AAS [14] <sup>a</sup>	do	Freshwater (capture)
15.	<i>Catla catla</i>	do	do	Freshwater (aquacultured)
16.	<i>Cirrhinus mrigala</i>	do	do	Freshwater (aquacultured)
17.	<i>Clarias batrachus</i>	do	do	Freshwater (capture)
18.	<i>Gudusia chapra</i> (SIF)	ICP/AES [17]	nd	Freshwater (capture)
19.	<i>Heteropneustes fossilis</i>	AAS [14] <sup>a</sup>	HPLC [19]	Freshwater (capture)
20.	<i>Labeo rohita</i>	do	do	Freshwater (aquacultured)
21.	<i>Puntius sophore</i> (SIF)	ICP/MS [16]	do	Freshwater (capture)
22.	<i>Sperata seenghala</i>	do	do	Freshwater (capture)
23.	<i>Tenuulosa ilisha</i>	do	do	Freshwater (capture)
24.	<i>Xenentodon cancila</i>	ICP/AES [17]	nd	Freshwater (capture)
25.	<i>Lates calcarifer</i>	AAS [14] <sup>a</sup>	nd	Brackishwater (capture)
26.	<i>Mugil cephalus</i>	do	nd	Brackishwater (capture)
27.	<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	do	nd	Coldwater (capture)
28.	<i>Neolissochilus hexagonolepis</i>	do	nd	Coldwater (capture)
29.	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	do	nd	Coldwater (capture)
30.	<i>Schizothorax richardsonii</i>	do	nd	Coldwater (capture)
31.	<i>Tor putitora</i>	do	nd	Coldwater (capture)
32.	<i>Penaeus monodon</i> (prawn)	do	nd	Brackishwater (capture)
33.	<i>Fenneropenaeus indicus</i> (prawn)	do	nd	Brackishwater (capture)
34.	<i>Crassostrea madrasensis</i> (mollusc)	do	HPLC [19]	Marine (capture)
35.	<i>Perna viridis</i> (mussel)	do	do	Marine (capture)

do same as above, nd not determined, SIF small indigenous fish

<sup>a</sup> Phosphorus content was analyzed spectrophotometrically [15]

macroelements (sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium) were analyzed after appropriately diluting the mineralized samples. The ICP-MS and ICP-AES operating conditions are shown in Table 3 [16, 17]. A commercially available multielement stock standard solution was used, after appropriate dilution for instru-

mental calibration and sample spiking, respectively. Quantification was done in ICP-AES by comparing with multielement standard IV, MERCK (NIST) for sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, iron, copper, zinc, and magnesium and Trace CERT (NIST) for phosphorous. For ICP-MS, 1.09492.0100 and 1.09494.0100,

**Table 2** Microwave digestion program

Step	Power (W)	Ramp time (min)	Hold time (min)
1	300	05.00	05.00
2	400	10.00	10.00
3	600	10.00	05.00

E. Merck multielement stock standard solution was used, respectively.

The instrument limit of detection (LoD) for AAS, ICP-MS, and ICP-AES was calculated as the concentration associated with 3.3 times the standard deviation of the background noise recorded on nine measurements of the procedural blank and given in online resource 1 (Table S1).

### Fat-Soluble Vitamin Analysis

Fat-soluble vitamins were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Firstly, fish oil was extracted from fresh meat by homogenizing 30 g of fresh meat in 450 ml of chilled chloroform/methanol (2:1) mixture following the method described by Folch et al. [18]. About 150 mg fish oil was refluxed with 25 ml methanol and 150 % potassium hydroxide (KOH) in water bath for 30 min. Fat-soluble vitamins were extracted with 50 ml petroleum ether. The petroleum ether layer was collected, concentrated, and dissolved in 5 ml acetonitrile. Twenty microliters of sample was injected in HPLC (Shimadzu LC 10AS) equipped with C<sub>18</sub> RP column and UV detector [19]. The mobile phase of HPLC consisted of acetonitrile (solvent A) and methanol (solvent B). A simple linear gradient system was used, starting from (solvent A/solvent B) 50/50 to 70/30 in 20 min. The mobile phase flow rate was 1 ml/min. The fat-soluble vitamins were identified and quantified by comparison with the retention times and peak areas of standards (Sigma-Aldrich). The vitamin contents were expressed in IU/100 g of wet weight (minced edible part).

**Table 3** The ICP-MS operating conditions and measurement conditions

Operating conditions	ICP-MS	ICP-AES
Spray chamber	Cyclonic quartz	Cyclonic glass
RF power (W)	1398	1300
Plasma gas flow (l/min)	13.0	15
Nebulizer gas flow rate (l/min)	0.98	0.80
Auxiliary gas flow rate (l/min)	0.5	0.5
No. of replicates	3	3
Isotopes	<sup>23</sup> Na, <sup>39</sup> K, <sup>43</sup> Ca, <sup>24</sup> Mg, <sup>54</sup> Fe, <sup>55</sup> Mn, <sup>66</sup> Zn, <sup>65</sup> Cu	<sup>23</sup> Na, <sup>39</sup> K, <sup>43</sup> Ca, <sup>24</sup> Mg, <sup>54</sup> Fe, <sup>55</sup> Mn, <sup>66</sup> Zn, <sup>65</sup> Cu

### Statistical Analysis

Data are presented in the form of mean ± standard deviation (SD). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) at 0.05 level of significance was employed to compare the variation in micronutrients with respect to different species using MS Excel 2007. Further, one-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey's HSD test was carried out by SPSS 16.0 at 0.05 level of significance.

### Calculation of DV % and Potential Contribution to RDA

The potential contribution of fish and shellfishes to daily value of foods (DV %) was calculated from recommended daily allowance (RDA) for an adult man weighing 60 kg [20]. For example, the RDA of calcium is measured as intake/kg body weight, as 10 mg/kg of individual body weight. It means that an individual weighing 60 kg would need to take 600 mg (10 × 60) of calcium daily. Considering this RDA, consumption of 50 g fish containing 420.85 mg calcium (*Amblypharyngodon mola*) by an individual weighing 60 kg would fulfill 70.14 % of the daily calcium requirement. This is the DV % of 50 g of *Amblypharyngodon mola* with respect to calcium. Similarly, the DV % for the minerals considering the requirement and content of a particular nutrient in the selected fishes was calculated.

### Results and Discussion

Micronutrients play a central role in metabolism and in the maintenance of tissue function, and there is a highly integrated system to control the flux of micronutrients in illness. An adequate intake therefore is necessary to sustain metabolism and tissue function and prevent mineral and vitamin deficiency. Micronutrient deficiency conditions relate to many chronic diseases, such as osteoporosis, osteomalacia, thyroid deficiency, colorectal cancer, and cardiovascular diseases [21]. Malnutrition and malabsorption are the main causes of the

dearth in mineral and vitamins in the body. The primary deficiency occurs when there is paucity of these in the diet. This may be due to restrictive diets, food habits, poverty, and paucity of food sources of vitamins. Therefore, information on the micronutrient composition of foods serves as a basis for establishing their potential nutritive value and its utilization in specific deficiency diseases. Here, we report the micronutrient composition of 35 food fishes and shellfishes from the Indian subcontinent (Tables 4 and 5) which could be useful in patient counseling and recommending species for patients with specific requirements and thus could be useful in human nutrition. Fish species-specific richness in minerals and vitamins is listed in Table 6, and the potential contribution (DV %) to recommended daily allowance (RDA) for adult men of those fishes is given in Fig. 1.

### Macrominerals

Macrominerals are inorganic elements (sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, phosphorous) that human body needs in large quantities to perform several important physiological functions; however, amounts needed in the body are not an indication of their importance. The sodium and potassium contents of these fishes were significantly different from each other ( $P < 0.05$ ). Among the fishes and shellfishes studied, sodium contents were found to be highest in the green mussel *Perna viridis* (1810.2 mg/100 g) followed by *Penaeus monodon* (831 mg/100 g). The highest potassium was reported in the marine fish *Rastrelliger kanagurta* (2397 mg/100 g), but *Nemipterus japonicus* and *Stolephorus commersonii* were also very rich in sodium and potassium (Table 4). The sodium and potassium contents of these fishes were notably higher than those of the freshwater fish *Tribolodon hakonensis* [22] and Baltic herring [23] and the potassium content from that reported in sea bass (459.7 mg/100 g), sea bream (393.8 mg/100 g) [8], blue whittling (388 mg/100 g), and trout (306 mg/100 g) [24].

Calcium is one of the most abundant minerals of the body. It is needed for normal functioning of muscles and nervous system. It also plays an important role in blood clotting process. Calcium and phosphorus are essential elements for formation of the bones and teeth (formation and mineralization). Deficiency of calcium may be associated with rickets in young children and osteomalacia (softening of the bones) in adults and older people. Calcium and phosphorous content varied considerably with a range from 64.1 to 5310 and 113.2 to 3900 mg/100 g, respectively, much higher than that reported elsewhere for fish and shellfish [25]. The freshwater garfish *Xenentodon cancila* was found to be a rich source of both calcium and phosphorous, i.e., 5310 and 3900 mg/100 g, followed by freshwater small indigenous fish (SIF), *Gudusia chapra* and *Ailia coila*, respectively at  $P < 0.05$ . Moreover, the

other SIFs, *Puntius sophore* (944.6 mg/100 g) [26] and *Amblypharyngodon mola* (841.7 mg/100 g), were also very rich in calcium. As would be expected, calcium and phosphorus contents were much higher in species in which bones are commonly consumed and included in the edible part [25, 27]. The calcium content of *Amblypharyngodon mola* is comparable with earlier reported values by Ross et al. (853 mg/100 g) [12] and also comparable with some other SIFs like *Parambassis ranga* (955 mg/100 g) and *Esomus danricus* (891 mg/100 g) [12].

Magnesium is an essential component of bone and cartilage and is a co-factor of many enzymes involved in energy metabolism, protein synthesis, RNA and DNA synthesis, and maintenance of the electrical potential of nervous tissues and cell membranes. Magnesium content ranged between 27 to 281 mg/100 g, and the prawns *Penaeus monodon* (281.7 mg/100 g) and *Fenneropenaeus indicus* (252.0 mg/100 g) were found to be rich sources of magnesium, significantly higher than other fish species studied ( $P < 0.05$ ), which is largely consistent with results reported earlier [28].

### Microminerals

The microminerals (iron, copper, zinc, manganese, selenium, etc.) are required in trace amounts but are important for normal functioning of the body. Iron deficiency is the most common and widespread nutritional disorder in the world affecting 2 billion people of the world's population. The major health consequences include poor pregnancy outcomes, impaired physical and cognitive development, and increased risk of morbidity in children and reduced work productivity in adults [29]. Among the fishes and shellfishes studied, iron content was found to be significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the freshwater SIF *Gudusia chapra* (36.5 mg/100 g) followed by shellfish *Penaeus monodon* (16.41 mg/100 g) and brackishwater fish *Mugil cephalus* (12.8 mg/100 g). Other SIFs like *Amblypharyngodon mola*, *Puntius sophore*, and *Ailia coila* were also rich in iron (Table 4).

Zinc is an essential component of a large number (>300) of enzymes participating in the synthesis and degradation of carbohydrates, lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids as well as in the metabolism of other micronutrients. Zinc stabilizes the molecular structure of cellular components and membranes and contributes, in this way, to the maintenance of cell and organ integrity. Furthermore, zinc has an essential role in polynucleotide transcription and, thus, in the process of genetic expression. Its involvement in such fundamental activities probably accounts for the essentiality of zinc for all life forms [28]. Zinc content varied considerably from 0.4 to 26.0 mg/100 g. In our study, the marine fishes were found to be rich in zinc content, significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) in *Stolephorus waitei* (26.0 mg/

**Table 4** Mineral composition of important food fishes from India

Fish species	Macromineral					Micromineral				
	Na	K	Ca	Mg	P	Fe	Cu	Zn	Mn	Se
<b>Marine</b>										
<i>Epinephelus</i> spp.	195.8±99.2 <sup>1</sup>	443.7±45.9 <sup>1</sup>	162.1±10.6 <sup>1</sup>	nd	1973±99.8 <sup>1</sup>	2.6±0.9 <sup>1,2</sup>	nd	1±0.5 <sup>1,2</sup>	0.2±0.0 <sup>1</sup>	0.12±0.0 <sup>1</sup>
<i>Euthynnus affinis</i>	211±0.0 <sup>2</sup>	2123±0.0 <sup>2</sup>	nd	nd	nd	7.12±0.0 <sup>3</sup>	nd	16.2±0.2 <sup>3</sup>	nd	nd
<i>Katsuwonus pelamis</i>	63.4±20.8 <sup>3</sup>	193.9±54.8 <sup>3</sup>	206.3±47.8 <sup>2</sup>	nd	698±87.2 <sup>2</sup>	2.7±1.4 <sup>2,4</sup>	nd	2.8±1.7 <sup>4,5</sup>	0.1±0.0 <sup>2</sup>	0.1±0.0 <sup>1</sup>
<i>Leiognathus splendens</i>	286.6±38.1 <sup>4</sup>	435.8±48.3 <sup>4</sup>	330.8±40.3 <sup>3</sup>	nd	1249±70.8 <sup>3</sup>	2.2±1.6 <sup>1,5,6</sup>	nd	2.5±1.1 <sup>4,6</sup>	0.3±0.0 <sup>3</sup>	0.2±0.0 <sup>2</sup>
<i>Nemipterus japonicus</i>	364±0.2 <sup>5</sup>	2334±0.2 <sup>5</sup>	nd	nd	nd	4.0±0.1 <sup>7</sup>	1.0±0.0 <sup>1</sup>	12.0±0.0 <sup>7,8</sup>	nd	nd
<i>Rastrelliger kanagurta</i>	107±0.1 <sup>6</sup>	2397±0.2 <sup>6</sup>	nd	nd	nd	5.0±0.1 <sup>8</sup>	1.0±0.0 <sup>1</sup>	13.0±0.1 <sup>9</sup>	nd	nd
<i>Sardinella longiceps</i>	182.6±10.7 <sup>7</sup>	268.9±20.2 <sup>7</sup>	523.9±45.6 <sup>4</sup>	nd	1389±91.5 <sup>4</sup>	7.8±1.2 <sup>9</sup>	nd	4±2.3 <sup>10</sup>	0.4±0.2 <sup>4</sup>	0.1±0.1 <sup>1</sup>
<i>Stolephorus commersonii</i> [46]	362±0.1 <sup>8</sup>	2347±0.0 <sup>8</sup>	nd	nd	nd	4.0±0.1 <sup>7</sup>	1.0±0.0 <sup>1</sup>	21.0±0.1 <sup>11</sup>	nd	nd
<i>Stolephorus waitei</i>	244±0.0 <sup>9</sup>	2218±0.0 <sup>9</sup>	nd	nd	nd	6.0±0.0 <sup>10</sup>	1.0±0.1 <sup>1</sup>	26.0±0.1 <sup>12</sup>	nd	nd
<i>Thunnus albacares</i>	127±0.01 <sup>10</sup>	2170±0.0 <sup>10</sup>	nd	nd	nd	7.05±0.0 <sup>3</sup>	2±0.0 <sup>2</sup>	12.1±0.2 <sup>7,8</sup>	nd	nd
<i>Trichiurus lepturus</i>	205.6±23.9 <sup>11</sup>	225.5±21.5 <sup>11</sup>	159.7±60.1 <sup>5</sup>	nd	1157±89.2 <sup>5</sup>	1.9±0.9 <sup>5,11</sup>	nd	1.3±0.9 <sup>2</sup>	0.1±0.0 <sup>2</sup>	0.3±0.0 <sup>3</sup>
<b>Freshwater</b>										
<i>Ailia coila</i>	270.0±50.2 <sup>12</sup>	120.0±21.2 <sup>12</sup>	2410.0±14.7 <sup>6</sup>	160.0±12.3 <sup>1</sup>	1880±45.2 <sup>6</sup>	10.9±1.3 <sup>12</sup>	nd	10.2±2.1 <sup>13</sup>	1.3±0.9 <sup>5</sup>	nd
<i>Amblypharyngodon mola</i>	52.7±6.5 <sup>13</sup>	211.3±20.1 <sup>13</sup>	841.7±40.2 <sup>7</sup>	40.2±2.9 <sup>2</sup>	nd	11.9±3.4 <sup>13</sup>	0.2±0.0 <sup>3</sup>	3.9±1.3 <sup>10</sup>	1.1±0.4 <sup>6</sup>	nd
<i>Anabas testudineus</i>	236.8±69.4 <sup>14</sup>	178.3±8.8 <sup>14</sup>	252.6±45.7 <sup>8</sup>	nd	159.8±3.5 <sup>7</sup>	2.3±0.8 <sup>1,2,6</sup>	nd	0.9±1.0 <sup>1,14</sup>	0.8±0.4 <sup>7</sup>	0.3±0.2 <sup>3</sup>
<i>Carila catla</i>	198.3±31.9 <sup>15</sup>	283.9±6.9 <sup>15</sup>	161.1±4.4 <sup>9</sup>	nd	146.8±2.1 <sup>8</sup>	1.6±0.4 <sup>1,14</sup>	nd	1.3±0.3 <sup>13,15</sup>	0.3±0.0 <sup>3</sup>	0.2±0.1 <sup>2</sup>
<i>Cirrhinus mrigala</i>	205.7±31.6 <sup>16</sup>	273.4±24.0 <sup>16</sup>	222.5±15.7 <sup>10</sup>	nd	131.9±3.3 <sup>9</sup>	1.9±0.5 <sup>5,6,11</sup>	nd	1.1±0.6 <sup>1,2</sup>	0.5±0.3 <sup>8</sup>	0.3±0.0 <sup>4,5</sup>
<i>Clarias batrachus</i>	201.5±69.2 <sup>17</sup>	262.1±46.0 <sup>17</sup>	222.3±3.3 <sup>2</sup>	nd	129.4±2.1 <sup>10</sup>	2.2±0.3 <sup>1,5,6</sup>	nd	0.7±0.1 <sup>13,16</sup>	0.2±0.1 <sup>1</sup>	0.4±0.2 <sup>6</sup>
<i>Gudusia chapra</i>	220±15.2 <sup>18</sup>	1140±7.9 <sup>18</sup>	3440±10.4 <sup>11</sup>	170±9.8 <sup>3</sup>	2490±32.1 <sup>11</sup>	36.5±4.9 <sup>15</sup>	nd	12.3±2.3 <sup>8</sup>	4.61±1.3 <sup>9</sup>	nd
<i>Heteropneustes fossilis</i>	215.8±17.8 <sup>19</sup>	186.4±48.4 <sup>19</sup>	164.4±21.5 <sup>12</sup>	nd	113.2±8.3 <sup>12</sup>	2.4±0.5 <sup>1,2</sup>	nd	1.2±0.3 <sup>2</sup>	0.2±0.0 <sup>1</sup>	0.1±0.0 <sup>1</sup>
<i>Labeo rohita</i>	202.1±44.5 <sup>20</sup>	267.5±32.9 <sup>7</sup>	205.7±4.8 <sup>13</sup>	nd	124.9±10.5 <sup>13</sup>	2.2±0.5 <sup>1,5,6</sup>	nd	1.9±0.6 <sup>17</sup>	0.4±0.2 <sup>4</sup>	0.6±0.0 <sup>7</sup>
<i>Puntius sophore</i>	57.8±4.5 <sup>21</sup>	197.9±28.9 <sup>20</sup>	944.6±55.4 <sup>14</sup>	37.8±1.1 <sup>4</sup>	nd	11.6±3.6 <sup>12</sup>	0.1±0.0 <sup>3</sup>	5.4±0.4 <sup>18,19</sup>	1.1±0.7 <sup>6</sup>	nd
<i>Sperata seenghala</i>	43.4±7.1 <sup>22</sup>	278.5±47 <sup>21</sup>	64.1±21.6 <sup>15</sup>	27±3.9 <sup>5</sup>	nd	1.3±0.5 <sup>14,16</sup>	0.3±0.2 <sup>4</sup>	0.4±0.1 <sup>20</sup>	0.1±0.0 <sup>2</sup>	nd
<i>Tenualosa ilisha</i>	63.1±7.7 <sup>3</sup>	493.7±17.4 <sup>22</sup>	119±45.7 <sup>16</sup>	38.3±13.3 <sup>6</sup>	nd	3±0.7 <sup>4</sup>	0.3±0.0 <sup>4</sup>	0.9±0.0 <sup>1,14</sup>	0.3±0.0 <sup>3</sup>	nd
<i>Xenentodon cancila</i>	250±24.2 <sup>23</sup>	1080±47.8 <sup>23</sup>	5310±23.5 <sup>17</sup>	220±15.3 <sup>7</sup>	3900±49.6 <sup>14</sup>	7.51±1.9 <sup>3</sup>	1.32±0.3 <sup>5</sup>	21.3±3.6 <sup>11</sup>	1.47±0.9 <sup>10</sup>	nd
<b>Coldwater</b>										
<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	268±15.2 <sup>24</sup>	1125.6±36.8 <sup>24</sup>	409.3±25.6 <sup>18</sup>	nd	nd	0.5±0.0 <sup>17</sup>	nd	3.2±1.1 <sup>5</sup>	0.3±0.0 <sup>3</sup>	1.1±0.1 <sup>8</sup>
<i>Neolissochilus hexagonolepis</i>	213.7±16.0 <sup>19</sup>	574.5±32.8 <sup>25</sup>	1175±27.6 <sup>19</sup>	nd	nd	2±0.0 <sup>5,6</sup>	nd	1.9±0.0 <sup>17</sup>	0.2±0.0 <sup>1</sup>	1.7±0.0 <sup>9</sup>
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	215.2±12.12 <sup>19</sup>	1217.4±96.3 <sup>26</sup>	418.6±99.1 <sup>20</sup>	nd	nd	1.3±0.9 <sup>14,16</sup>	nd	1.3±0.4 <sup>2</sup>	0.2±0.0 <sup>1</sup>	0.6±0.2 <sup>7</sup>
<i>Schizothorax richardsonii</i>	226.8±99.2 <sup>25</sup>	1158.1±99.8 <sup>27</sup>	414.7±99.1 <sup>21</sup>	nd	nd	0.6±0.0 <sup>17</sup>	nd	2.2±0.5 <sup>4</sup>	0.3±0.0 <sup>3</sup>	0.6±0.0 <sup>7</sup>
<i>Tor putitora</i>	172.5±19.9 <sup>26</sup>	1016.1±98.2 <sup>28</sup>	116±70.6 <sup>22</sup>	nd	nd	0.9±0.1 <sup>16,17</sup>	nd	1.3±0.0 <sup>2</sup>	0.2±0.0 <sup>1</sup>	0.9±0.2 <sup>11</sup>
<b>Brackishwater</b>										
<i>Lates calcarifer</i>	264.4±3.0 <sup>27</sup>	1086.3±19.6 <sup>29</sup>	241.9±4.3 <sup>23</sup>	149.8±2.2 <sup>8</sup>	920.9±5.0 <sup>15</sup>	11.8±0.3 <sup>13</sup>	0.5±0.0 <sup>6</sup>	5.56±0.6 <sup>19</sup>	0.2±0.0 <sup>1</sup>	0.2±0.0 <sup>2</sup>

Table 4 (continued)

Fish species	Macromineral					Micromineral				
	Na	K	Ca	Mg	P	Fe	Cu	Zn	Mn	Se
<i>Mugil cephalus</i>	202.3 ± 8.2 <sup>17</sup>	878.3 ± 38.7 <sup>30</sup>	263.9 ± 6.7 <sup>24</sup>	142.0 ± 3.2 <sup>9</sup>	892.3 ± 14.5 <sup>16</sup>	12.8 ± 0.6 <sup>13</sup>	1.4 ± 0.1 <sup>5</sup>	5.1 ± 0.0 <sup>18</sup>	0.04 ± 0.0 <sup>2</sup>	0.1 ± 0.0 <sup>1</sup>
<b>Prawn</b>										
<i>Penaeus monodon</i>	831 ± 4.3 <sup>28</sup>	1233.7 ± 20.5 <sup>31</sup>	419.3 ± 3.2 <sup>20</sup>	281.7 ± 4.04 <sup>10</sup>	1970.3 ± 76.0 <sup>17</sup>	16.41 ± 0.9 <sup>18</sup>	4.18 ± 0.06 <sup>7</sup>	7.34 ± 0.04 <sup>4</sup>	0.31 ± 0.0 <sup>3</sup>	0.13 ± 0.0 <sup>1</sup>
<i>Fenneropenaeus indicus</i>	809 ± 10.1 <sup>29</sup>	1041.68 ± 20.8 <sup>32</sup>	429.7 ± 7.4 <sup>25</sup>	252.0 ± 10.0 <sup>11</sup>	1248 ± 50.7 <sup>18</sup>	10.81 ± 0.3 <sup>9</sup>	4.36 ± 0.4 <sup>8</sup>	6.09 ± 0.8 <sup>21</sup>	0.21 ± 0.0 <sup>1</sup>	0.11 ± 0.01 <sup>1</sup>
<b>Mollusc</b>										
<i>Crassostrea madrasensis</i>	166.3 ± 26.4 <sup>11</sup>	390.4 ± 89.7 <sup>33</sup>	145 ± 25.7 <sup>2</sup>	nd	422 ± 91.8 <sup>19</sup>	3.7 ± 0.5 <sup>7</sup>	nd	0.43 ± 0.0 <sup>16,20</sup>	3.9 ± 0.4 <sup>11</sup>	0.03 ± 0.0 <sup>3</sup>
<i>Perna viridis</i>	1810.2 ± 91.4 <sup>30</sup>	1310.9 ± 92.3 <sup>34</sup>	522.6 ± 38.7 <sup>26</sup>	251 ± 22.1 <sup>12</sup>	nd	6.3 ± 2.6 <sup>10</sup>	0.2 ± 0.1 <sup>3</sup>	2.59 ± 0.7 <sup>4,6</sup>	0.6 ± 0.3 <sup>12</sup>	0.04 ± 0.0 <sup>5</sup>
P value*	3.796E-205	5.62E-111	3.5E-120	6.74E-96	7.37E-125	1.18E-51	2.5522E-47	4.83107E-57	3.416E-55	6.70E-46

The values are expressed as mg/100 g wet weight. Values are reported as mean ± standard deviation of 16 individual fish samples analyzed in triplicate. For the SIFs, prawns, and mollusc, six pooled samples (each containing 100 of individual samples) were analyzed in triplicates. Values in columns not sharing same superscripts differ significantly at 0.05 level of significance  
nd not determined

\*P value was considered to be significant at 0.05 level of significance

100 g) followed by *Stolephorus commersonii* (21.0 mg/100 g) and freshwater garfish *Xenotodon cancila* (21.3 mg/100 g).

Copper is required for iron utilization and as a cofactor for enzymes involved in glucose metabolism and the synthesis of hemoglobin, connective tissue, and phospholipids [30]. The copper content ranged from 0.2 to 4.36 mg/100 g, significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) in prawns *Fenneropenaeus indicus* (4.36 mg/100 g) and *Penaeus monodon* (4.18 mg/100 g) far exceeding that in fish species which is similar to an earlier report [31] and is higher than results reported elsewhere [25].

Manganese is a trace mineral that is present in the human body in very small amounts, primarily in the bones, liver, kidneys, and pancreas. It is important in the formation of the bones, connective tissues, blood clotting factors, and sex hormones and also is involved in fat and carbohydrate metabolism, calcium absorption, and blood sugar regulation. In addition, it is important for brain and nerve function. The SIF *Gudusia chapra* (4.61 mg/100 g) were found to be very rich in manganese at  $P < 0.05$  followed by *Xenotodon cancila* (1.47 mg/100 g), *Amblypharyngodon mola* (1.1 mg/100 g), and *Puntius sophore* (1.1 mg/100 g).

Selenium is an essential trace mineral of fundamental importance to human health. As a constituent of selenoproteins, selenium has structural and enzymic roles, in the latter context being best known as an antioxidant and catalyst for the production of active thyroid hormone [32]. The selenium content of the fishes and shellfishes showed a wide range from 0.03 to 1.7 mg/100 g, significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) in coldwater fish *Neolissochilus hexagonolepis* than the other fish species studied.

### Fat-Soluble Vitamins

Vitamins are a group of substances that are essential for normal cell function, growth, and development. There are four fat-soluble vitamins namely vitamin A, D, E, and K. Each of the vitamins has important functions in the body, and a vitamin deficiency can cause many health problems. Vitamin A is required for normal vision and for growth of the bones. Vitamin A derivative retinoic acid regulates gene expression in the development of epithelial tissue. It also plays crucial role in normal immune function. Vitamin A has been found to be playing a major role in combating diseases like malaria [33]. An estimated 250 million preschool children are vitamin A deficient, and it is likely that in vitamin A-deficient areas, a substantial proportion of pregnant women are vitamin A deficient [34]. The freshwater SIF *Amblypharyngodon mola* (554.9 IU/100 g) contains significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) amount of vitamin A followed by marine fishes *Epinephelus* spp. (379.3 IU/100 g) and *Sardinella longiceps* (346.4 IU/100 g). *Amblypharyngodon mola* have been previously reported to play a potential role in food-based strategies to address vitamin A deficiencies in Bangladesh [35]. The migratory fish *Tenualosa ilisha* (260.7 IU/100 g) and SIF *Anabas testudineus* (89.8 IU/100 g) were also very rich in vitamin A. The

vitamin A content of these fishes was found to be higher than 20 different fish species including mackerel, salmon, dogfish [36], rainbow trout (74.33 IU/100 g) [37], common carp (75.06 IU/100 g), and European catfish (21.0 IU/100 g) [38]. Similarly, the vitamin D content was highest in the SIF *Amblypharyngodon mola* (9312.4 IU/100 g) followed by *Puntius sophore* (16,266.4 IU/100 g) at  $P < 0.05$  [26], *Tenualosa ilisha* (9549 IU/100 g), and marine fishes *Epinephelus* spp. (23,445.9 IU/100 g). Vitamin D is required to maintain normal blood levels of calcium and phosphate that are in turn needed for the normal mineralization of the bone, muscle contraction, nerve conduction, and general cellular function in all cells of the body. Vitamin D possesses curative properties for chronic diseases like osteoporosis, cancer, cardiovascular diseases, and diabetes [39, 40]. Vitamin D present in fish liver and oils is crucial for bone growth since it is essential for absorption and metabolism. Recent studies have found that lower serum vitamin D concentration is associated with depression and mood disorders [41].

Vitamin E is the major lipid-soluble antioxidant in the cell antioxidant defense system and is exclusively obtained from the diet. The major biologic role of vitamin E is to protect

polyunsaturated fatty acids and other components of cell membranes and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) from oxidation by free radicals [28, 42]. Besides, it plays many important physiological roles in enzymatic reactions [43], regulation of gene expression, and growth inhibition of cancer [44]. The vitamin E content of marine fishes, *Epinephelus* spp. and *Sardinella longiceps*, was significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ), i.e., 108.0 and 98.2 IU/100 g, respectively, than *Tenualosa ilisha* (97.7 IU/100 g) which is also much higher than common carp (0.46 mg/100 g), pike perch (0.94 mg/100 g), and European catfish (0.80 mg/100 g) [38].

Vitamin K acts as an essential cofactor for carboxylation of certain glutamate residue to  $\gamma$ -carboxy-glutamate residue in a selected number of proteins. The majority of known  $\gamma$ -carboxy-glutamates containing or vitamin K-dependent proteins are involved in blood coagulation. The SIFs *Amblypharyngodon mola* (4092.2 IU/100 g) followed by *Puntius sophore* (884.2 IU/100 g) and marine fish *Epinephelus* spp. (789.6 IU/100 g) were found to be significantly high in vitamin K at  $P < 0.05$  than the other fish species (Table 5).

**Table 5** Fat-soluble vitamin content of important food fishes from India

Fish species	Vitamin A (IU/100 g wet weight)	Vitamin D (IU/100 g wet weight)	Vitamin E (IU/100 g wet weight)	Vitamin K ( $\mu$ g/100 g wet weight)
<b>Marine</b>				
<i>Epinephelus</i> spp.	379.3 $\pm$ 25.2 <sup>1</sup>	23,445.9 $\pm$ 52.3 <sup>1</sup>	108.0 $\pm$ 45.7 <sup>1</sup>	789.6 $\pm$ 56.2 <sup>1</sup>
<i>Katsuwonus pelamis</i>	3.3 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>2</sup>	435.5 $\pm$ 45.3 <sup>2</sup>	0.6 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>2,3</sup>	0.9 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>2,3</sup>
<i>Leiognathus splendens</i>	2.9 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>2</sup>	597.6 $\pm$ 21.2 <sup>3</sup>	0.7 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>2,3</sup>	1.1 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>2,3</sup>
<i>Sardinella longiceps</i>	346.4 $\pm$ 25.2 <sup>3</sup>	21,288.0 $\pm$ 25.3 <sup>4</sup>	98.2 $\pm$ 24.0 <sup>4</sup>	717.8 $\pm$ 26.3 <sup>4</sup>
<i>Trichiurus lepturus</i>	2.9 $\pm$ 1.3 <sup>2</sup>	316.3 $\pm$ 13.2 <sup>5</sup>	0.5 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>2,3</sup>	1.2 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>3</sup>
<b>Freshwater</b>				
<i>Amblypharyngodon mola</i>	555.0 $\pm$ 56.2 <sup>4</sup>	93,122.4 $\pm$ 89.2 <sup>6</sup>	2.2 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>5</sup>	4092.2 $\pm$ 65.2 <sup>5</sup>
<i>Anabas testudineus</i>	89.8 $\pm$ 15.2 <sup>5</sup>	64.4 $\pm$ 23.2 <sup>7</sup>	0.9 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>3</sup>	0.8 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>2,3</sup>
<i>Catla catla</i>	12.2 $\pm$ 4.5 <sup>6</sup>	59.5 $\pm$ 12.5 <sup>8</sup>	0.5 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>2,3</sup>	0.5 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>2,3</sup>
<i>Cirrhinus mrigala</i>	30.9 $\pm$ 8.9 <sup>7</sup>	152.3 $\pm$ 25.3 <sup>9</sup>	0.6 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>2,3</sup>	0.2 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>2</sup>
<i>Clarias batrachus</i>	3.9 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>2,7</sup>	30.9 $\pm$ 10.2 <sup>10</sup>	0.3 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>2,3</sup>	0.4 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>2,3</sup>
<i>Heteropneustes fossilis</i>	26.8 $\pm$ 9.8 <sup>8</sup>	111.7 $\pm$ 14.2 <sup>11</sup>	0.2 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>2,3</sup>	2.7 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>6</sup>
<i>Labeo rohita</i>	8.7 $\pm$ 2.2 <sup>9</sup>	84.4 $\pm$ 11.2 <sup>12</sup>	0.6 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>2,3</sup>	0.7 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>2,3</sup>
<i>Puntius sophore</i>	70.9 $\pm$ 12.3 <sup>10</sup>	16,266.4 $\pm$ 84.2 <sup>13</sup>	3.4 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>6</sup>	884.2 $\pm$ 24.2 <sup>7</sup>
<i>Sperata seenghala</i>	56.2 $\pm$ 15.2 <sup>11</sup>	7494.8 $\pm$ 56.3 <sup>14</sup>	0.1 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>2</sup>	166.7 $\pm$ 26.3 <sup>8</sup>
<i>Tenualosa ilisha</i>	260.7 $\pm$ 45.2 <sup>12</sup>	9549 $\pm$ 85.2 <sup>15</sup>	97.7 $\pm$ 12.6 <sup>7</sup>	203.3 $\pm$ 26.3 <sup>9</sup>
<b>Mollusc</b>				
<i>Crassostrea madrasensis</i>	4.6 $\pm$ 2.2 <sup>13</sup>	451.6 $\pm$ 12.3 <sup>16</sup>	0.2 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>2,3</sup>	1.2 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>3</sup>
<i>Perna viridis</i>	9.5 $\pm$ 4.5 <sup>9</sup>	404.3 $\pm$ 11.2 <sup>17</sup>	0.1 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>2</sup>	2.2 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>6</sup>
<i>P</i> value	2.02054E-88	6.5246E-160	1.21357E-74	1.1431E-117

Values are reported as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of 16 individual fish samples analyzed in triplicate. For the mollusc, six pooled samples (each containing 100 of individual samples) were analyzed in triplicates. Values in columns not sharing same superscripts differ significantly at 0.05 level of significance. The amount microgram per kilogram was converted to IU by online vitamin converter. Conversion factors: vitamin A 1 IU = 0.3  $\mu$ g, vitamin D 1 IU = 0.025  $\mu$ g, and vitamin E 1 IU = 671  $\mu$ g <http://www.robert-forbes.com/vitamin-converter>


\**P* value was considered to be significant at 0.05 level of significance



**Table 6** Fish rich in specific minerals and fat-soluble vitamins among the species studied are listed

Micronutrients	Species rich in particular micronutrient
<b>Macromineral</b>	
Sodium	<i>Perna viridis</i> , <i>Penaeus monodon</i> , <i>Fenneropenaeus indicus</i>
Potassium	<i>Rastrelliger kanagurta</i> , <i>Stolephorus commersonii</i> , <i>Nemipterus japonicus</i>
Calcium	<i>Xenentodon cancila</i> , <i>Gudusia chapra</i> , <i>Ailia coila</i> , <i>Neolissochilus hexagonolepis</i> , <i>Puntius sophore</i>
Magnesium	<i>Penaeus monodon</i> , <i>Fenneropenaeus indicus</i> , <i>Perna viridis</i>
Phosphorous	<i>Xenentodon cancila</i> , <i>Gudusia chapra</i> , <i>Epinephelus</i> spp.
<b>Micromineral</b>	
Iron	<i>Gudusia chapra</i> , <i>Amblypharyngodon mola</i> , <i>Puntius sophore</i>
Copper	<i>Thunnus albacares</i> , <i>Xenentodon cancila</i>
Zinc	<i>Stolephorus waitei</i> , <i>Xenentodon cancila</i> , <i>Stolephorus commersonii</i>
Manganese	<i>Gudusia chapra</i> , <i>Crassostrea madrasensis</i> , <i>Xenentodon cancila</i>
Selenium	<i>Neolissochilus hexagonolepis</i> , <i>Labeo rohita</i> , <i>Clarias batrachus</i>
<b>Fat-soluble vitamins</b>	
Vitamin A	<i>Amblypharyngodon mola</i> , <i>Epinephelus</i> spp., <i>Sardinella longiceps</i>
Vitamin D	<i>Amblypharyngodon mola</i> , <i>Puntius sophore</i> , <i>Epinephelus</i> spp.
Vitamin E	<i>Epinephelus</i> spp., <i>Sardinella longiceps</i> , <i>Tenulosa ilisha</i>
Vitamin K	<i>Amblypharyngodon mola</i> , <i>Puntius sophore</i> , <i>Epinephelus</i> spp.

Ref. Tables 4 and 5. The recommendations are according to RDA [20], which is depicted in Fig. 1



**Fig. 1** Daily value (DV %) of one serving of fishes and shellfishes (Table 6) for important micronutrients. The RDA recommended for adult men is provided along the Y-axis, and accordingly, the potential contribution of fish and shellfishes (DV %) is plotted along the X-axis. DV% was calculated using the analyzed values and recommended daily

allowance (RDA) recommended for adult men weighing 60 kg for Indians (ICMR 2010) with appraisal of FAO/WHO recommendations. For calculation of DV%, each serving was considered to be 100 g of fish or shellfish (except for Ca, Cu, and P for which it was 8 g)

## Consumption of Fishes and Shellfishes in Relation to RDA of Nutrients

The RDA projects the quantitative recommendation of nutrients for people in general to stay healthy. This may be different for different categories like children, adult males, and females. The Indian Council for Medical Research (ICMR) favors the FAO/WHO/UNU guidelines for framing the RDA guidelines, with slight modifications. The RDA for a nutrient will be in a standard unit which helps the common man to easily calculate his own requirements based on the body weight and/or basal metabolic rate. The potential contributions of fishes and shellfish species (DV %) to RDA (for an adult man weighing 60 kg) of important micronutrients are given in Fig. 1. These values are calculated for raw fish; however, dietary bioavailability is dependent on a number of factors. Ca, Mg, Cu, I, and Se are relatively well absorbed, with reported fractional absorption values from mixed diets in man, and less well-absorbed trace elements include Fe, Zn, Mn, and Cr, with absorption varying widely according to the nutritional status (including body stores) of the individual and the composition of the diet [45]. The richness of fish and shellfishes in this study for specific micronutrients (Table 6) is based on its potential contribution (DV %) to the RDA for that nutrient; for example, the green mussel *Perna viridis* which was found to very rich in sodium contributes 72.41 % (50-g serving) of the daily sodium requirement. Similarly, the SIF *Amblypharyngodon mola* which is rich in vitamin A contributes 83.25 % (50-g serving) of the daily vitamin A requirement (Table 6, Fig. 1).

## Conclusion

Fish is an important source of micronutrients, and in comparison to the other sources of micronutrients, the consumers have a wide choice for fish, as there are many varieties and species of fishes available, especially in the tropical countries [13]. However, the nutrient composition of fish varies with species, their habitat, feeding behavior, and many other factors. Therefore, we have generated information on the mineral and vitamin composition of 35 Indian food fishes which has enriched the nutrient composition knowledgebase. This information would increase the utility of these fishes in community nutrition by creating awareness among the consumers about their nutritional importance. The information generated would also be useful in clinical nutrition by providing a reference point to clinicians and dieticians to prescribe specific fish for specific clinical requirement. Based on our study, in general, the shellfishes and marine fishes can be recommended for macrominerals sodium and potassium; SIFs for calcium, iron, and manganese; coldwater fishes for selenium; and the brackishwater fishes for phosphorous. The marine fishes

especially *Sardinella longiceps* and *Epinephelus* spp. were found to be rich sources of fat-soluble vitamins A, D, E, and K, followed by SIFs *Amblypharyngodon mola* and *Puntius sophore*. All the recommendations are based on the potential contribution of the fish and shellfishes to the RDA for that nutrient. Information on the micronutrients generated would enhance the utility of fish in both community and clinical nutrition.

**Acknowledgments** This work was supported by Indian Council of Agricultural Research, Fisheries Science Division under Outreach Activity on Nutrient Profiling and Evaluation of fish as a Dietary Component (ICAR-FSD-OA#3). The authors are thankful to the Directors of the participating research institutes under the Fisheries Science Division, ICAR viz. CIFRI, Barrackpore; CIFA, Bhubaneswar; CIBA, Chennai; CIFT, Cochin; CMFRI, Cochin; CIFE, Mumbai; and DCFR, Bhimtal, for facilities and support. The authors are thankful to Dr. S. Ayyappan, former Secretary, DARE and DG, ICAR; Dr. B. Meenakumari, former DDG (Fisheries Science); Dr. S. D Singh, former ADG (Inland Fisheries) and Dr. Madan Mohan, former ADG (Marine Fisheries) for the encouragement and support.

## Compliance with Ethical Standards

**Conflict of Interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

1. WHO (2015a) Micronutrients <http://www.who.int/nutrition/topics/micronutrients/en/>. Accessed 28 November 2015
2. Global Report Summary (2009) Investigating in the future: a united call to action on vitamin and mineral deficiencies [http://www.unitedcalltoaction.org/documents/Investing\\_in\\_the\\_future.pdf](http://www.unitedcalltoaction.org/documents/Investing_in_the_future.pdf). Accessed 28 November 2015
3. Micronutrient Initiative (2015). <http://micronutrient.org/about-mi/>. Accessed 28 November 2015
4. National Aquaculture Sector Overview. India (2014) National Aquaculture Sector Overview Fact Sheets. Text by Ayyappan, S. In: FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department. Rome. [http://www.fao.org/fishery/countrysector/naso\\_india/en](http://www.fao.org/fishery/countrysector/naso_india/en). Accessed 16 March 2016
5. Mohanty BP, Paria P, Das D, Ganguly S, Mitra P, Verma A, et al. (2012a) Nutrient profile of giant river-catfish *Sperata seenghala* (Sykes). *Natl Acad Sci Lett* 35(3):151–161
6. Mohanty BP, Paria P, Mahanty A, Behera BK, Mathew S, Sankar TV, et al. (2012b) Fatty acid profile of Indian shad *Tenualosa ilisha* and its dietary significance. *Natl Acad Sci Lett* 35(4):263–269
7. Soetan KO, Olaiya CO, Oyewole OE (2010) The importance of mineral elements for human, domestic animals and plants: a review. *Afr J Food Sci* 4(5):200–222
8. Erkan N, Ozden O (2007) Proximate composition and mineral contents in aqua cultured sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*), sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) analyzed by ICP-MS. *Food Chem* 102:721–725
9. Liu RH (2003) Health benefits of fruit and vegetables are from additive and synergistic combinations of phytochemicals. *Am J Clin Nutr* 78(suppl):517S–520S
10. Spiro A, Buttriss JL (2014) Vitamin D: an overview of vitamin D status and intake in Europe. *Nutr Bull* 39(4):322–350

11. Mohanty BP, Mahanty A, Ganguly S, Sankar TV, Chakraborty K, Rangasamy A, et al. (2014) Amino acid composition of 27 food fishes and their significance in clinical nutrition. *J Amino Acids*. doi:10.1155/2014/269797
12. Roos N, Islam MM, Thilsted SH (2003) Small indigenous fish species in Bangladesh: contribution to vitamin A, calcium and iron intakes. *J Nutr* 133:4021S–4026S
13. Mohanty BP (2010) Fish as health food. In: Ayyappan S, Moza U, Gopalakrishnan A, Meenakumari B, Jena JK, Pandey AK (eds) Handbook of fisheries and aquaculture, 2nd edn. ICAR New Delhi, DKMA, pp. 843–861
14. Gokoglu N, Yerlikaya P, Cengiz E (2004) Effect of cooking methods on the proximate composition and mineral content of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Food Chem* 84:19–22
15. Fiske CH, Subbarow Y (1925) The colorimetric determination of phosphorous. *J Biol Chem* 66:375–400
16. Djedjibegovic J, Larssen T, Skrbo A, Marjanovic A, Sober M (2012) Contents of cadmium, copper, mercury and lead in fish from the Neretva river (Bosnia and Herzegovina) determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). *Food Chem* 131:469–476
17. Cindric IJ, Krizman I, Zeiner M, Kampic S, Medunic G, Stingeder G (2012) ICP-AES determination of minor and major elements in apples after microwave assisted digestion. *Food Chem* 135: 2675–2680
18. Folch J, Lees M, Sloane-Stanley GH (1957) A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissues. *J Biol Chem* 226:497–509
19. Chatzimichalakis PM, Samanidou VF, Papadoyannis JN (2004) Development of validated liquid chromatography method for the simultaneous determination of eight fat-soluble vitamins in biological fluids after solid-phase extraction. *J Chromatogr B* 805: 289–296
20. Indian Council of Medical Research (2009) Nutrient requirements and recommended dietary allowances for Indians. ICMR, New Delhi, p. 334. <http://icmr.nic.in/final/rda-2010.pdf>. Accessed on 16 March 2016
21. Tulchinsky TH (2000) Micronutrient deficiency conditions. *Global Health Issues Public Health Rev* 32(1):243–255
22. Takatsu A, Kuroiwa T, Uchiumi A (1999) Arsenic accumulation in organs of the fresh water fish *Tribolodon hakonensis*. *J Trace Elements Med Biol* 13:176–179
23. Tahvonen R, Aro T, Nurmi J, Kallio H (2000) Mineral content in Baltic herring and Baltic herring products. *J Food Comp Anal* 13: 893–903
24. Lidwin-Kaźmierkiewicz M, Pokorska K, Protasowicki M, Rajkowska M, Wechterowicz Z (2009) Content of selected essential and toxic metals in meat of freshwater fish from west Pomerania, Poland. *Polish J Food Nutr Sci* 59(3):219–224
25. FAO/INFOODS (2013) FAO/INFOODS Food Composition Database for Biodiversity Version 2.1-BioFoodComp2.1 Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland
26. Mahanty A, Ganguly S, Verma A, Paria P, Mitra P, et al. (2013) Nutrient profile of small indigenous fish *Puntius sophore*: proximate composition, amino acid, fatty acid and micronutrient profiles. *Natl Acad Sci Lett* 37:39–44
27. Larsen T, Thilsted SH, Kongsbak K, Hansen M (2000) Whole small fish as a rich calcium source. *Br J Nutr* 83:191–196
28. FAO/WHO 2001 Expert consultation on human vitamin and mineral requirements. Bangkok, Thailand
29. WHO (2015b) Micronutrient deficiencies: iron deficiency anaemia <http://www.who.int/nutrition/topics/ida/en/>. Accessed on 28 November 2015
30. Celik U, Oehlenschlaeger J (2004) Determination of zinc and copper in fish samples collected from Northeast Atlantic by DPSAV. *Food Chem* 87:343–347
31. Bogard JR, Thilsted SH, Marks GC, Wahab MA, Hossain MAR, Jakobsen J, Stangoulis J (2015) Nutrient composition of important fish species in Bangladesh and potential contribution to recommended nutrient intakes. *J Food Compos Anal* 42:120–133
32. Rayman MP (2000) The importance of selenium to human health. *Lancet* 356:233–241
33. Zeba AN, Sorgho H, Rouamba N, Zongo I, Rouamba J, Guiguemdé RT, Hamer DH, Mokhtar N, Ouedraogo JB (2008) Major reduction of malaria morbidity with combined vitamin A and zinc supplementation in young children in Burkina Faso: a randomized double blind trial. *Nutr J* 7:7
34. WHO (2015c) Global prevalence of vitamin A deficiency in populations at risk 1995–2005: WHO global database on vitamin A deficiency. [http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44110/1/9789241598019\\_eng.pdf](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44110/1/9789241598019_eng.pdf). Accessed 28 November 2015
35. Ross N, Wahab MA, Chamnan C, Thilsted SH (2007) The role of fish in food based strategies to combat vitamin A and mineral deficiencies in developing countries. *J Nutr* 137(4):1106–1109
36. Dias MG, Sánchez MV, Bártolo H, Oliveira L (2003) Vitamin content of fish and fish products consumed in Portugal. *Electron J Environ Agric Food Chem* 2(4):510–513
37. Stancheva M, Dobрева D, Merdzhanova A, Galunska B (2010) Vitamin content and fatty acids composition of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Plovdiv University, Paisii, Hilendarski – Bulgaria, Scientific papers* 37(5):117–124
38. Ozyurt G, Polat A, Loker GB (2009) Vitamin and mineral content of pike perch (*Sander lucioperca*), common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*), and European catfish (*Silurus glanis*). *Turk J Vet Anim Sci* 33(4): 351–356
39. Holick MF (2004) Sunlight and vitamin D for bone health and prevention of autoimmune diseases, cancers, and cardiovascular disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* 80(suppl 6):1678–1688
40. Holick MF (2007) Vitamin D deficiency. *New Eng J Med* 357: 266–281
41. Ganji V, Milanoe C, Cody MM, McCarty F, Wang Y (2010) Serum vitamin D concentrations are related to depression in young adult US population: the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Int Arc Med* 3:29
42. Schneider C (2005) Chemistry and biology of vitamin E. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 49:7–30
43. Boscoboinik D, Szewczyk A, Hensey C, Azzi A (1991) Inhibition of cell proliferation by  $\alpha$ -tocopherol. Role of protein kinase C. *J Biol Chem* 266:6188–6194
44. Prasad KN, Edwards-Prasad J (1982) Effects of tocopherol (vitamin E) acid succinate on morphological alterations and growth inhibition in melanoma cells in culture. *Cancer Res* 42:550–555
45. Tait SF, Hurrell RF (1996) Bioavailability of minerals and trace elements. *Nutr Res Rev* 9:295–324
46. Sankar TV, Anandan R, Mathew S, Asha KK, Lakshmanan PT, Varkey J, Aneesh PA, Mohanty BP (2013) Chemical composition and nutritional value of anchovy (*Stolephorus commersonii*) caught from Kerala coast, India. *Eur J Exp Biol* 3(1):85–89