

The Role of the Entorhinal Cortex in Paraphrenia

Manuel F. Casanova

Published online: 24 March 2010
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2010

Abstract Evidence derived from postmortem brain studies has implicated the uncus cortex in paraphrenia. In the present review, we expand on the anatomic and physiologic nuances endogenous to this region that make entorhinal cortex pathology an important clinicopathological correlate to paraphrenia. First, we summarize the anatomic landmarks and histologic features that will allow the reader to define the entorhinal region in future research studies. As cortical regions usually project to neighboring cortices, inferences will be drawn as to the function of the entorhinal region based on the surrounding cortical regions. The results will help explain why patients with paraphrenia may exhibit amnesic deficits that stand in contrast to a well-preserved thought process and personality. We also review the results of surgical ablation studies in animals. These studies suggest that some risk factors currently associated with paraphrenia (eg, social isolation) may in reality be an early manifestation of entorhinal pathology. Finally, the author provides a parallelism between the hallucinations observed in some paraphrenic patients and the results of electrical stimulation of the uncus cortex. The results will help explain why hallucinations in paraphrenia are usually limited to the patient's surroundings.

Keywords Paraphrenia · Schizophrenia · Entorhinal cortex · Transentorhinal cortex

Introduction

The entorhinal cortex, or Brodmann's area 28, is located in the ventromedial aspect of the rostral third of the temporal lobe. In its anteriormost portion, the entorhinal cortex expands in apposition to the amygdala, prorrhinal, and prepiriform cortex. Caudally, it bridges the intrarhinal sulcus and covers a considerable portion of the parahippocampal gyrus until it gradually fades into a cortex having both iso- and periallocortical attributes (ie, proisocortex). Throughout its major extent, the entorhinal region is flanked on its medial border by the pre- and parasubiculum. In some species, such as the mouse, the subiculum proper slips underneath the pre- and parasubiculum and terminates adjacent to the lower strata of the entorhinal cortex [1].

The lateral aspect of the entorhinal cortex is made by the "transentorhinal cortex" of Braak (perirhinal cortex, or Brodmann's area 35). The transentorhinal cortex marks the flexure at which the parahippocampal gyrus invaginates into the collateral sulcus. It should be noted that conflicting information exists in the literature as to whether the transentorhinal cortex comprises a subdivision of the entorhinal cortex or a separate cortical region [2–4].

Macroscopically, the occasional presence of small elevations surrounded by shallow grooves, the so-called verruca hippocampi, marks the approximate extension of the entorhinal cortex. According to several authors, this region undergoes a remarkable increase in extension and laminar complexity as the phylogenetic scale is ascended [4–6]. In the human brain, for example, Braak [4] considers that the entorhinal region spreads throughout a considerable portion of the parahippocampal gyrus. However, other authors, especially Van Hoesen [7], restrict the entorhinal cortex to the anteriormost portion of this gyrus. According

M. F. Casanova (✉)
Department of Psychiatry, University of Louisville,
500 South Preston Street, Building A, Room #217,
Louisville, KY 40292, USA
e-mail: manuel.casanova@louisville.edu

to Amaral and Insausti [8] the entorhinal cortex of humans first appears 5 mm rostral to the amygdala and terminates just anterior to the lateral geniculate nucleus.

The laminar organization of the entorhinal cortex has given rise to divergent patterns of nomenclature. According to Ramón y Cajal (cited by Amaral et al. [2]), the first description of the structural organization of the entorhinal cortex belongs to Hammarberg [9], who defined this region as a five-layer cortex. His description (including layer thickness, cell size, and packing densities) is beautifully illustrated as part of an atlas comparing the brains of mentally retarded individuals with those of controls. Later, Ramón y Cajal [10] concluded that the entorhinal region or “angular ganglion,” as he called it, consisted of seven layers. Relying mostly on the Golgi technique, Lorente de Nó [11] modified that classification by limiting the number of layers to six. The major difference between the two nomenclatures refers to the proper labeling of the lamina dissecans. Other authors have divided the entorhinal cortex into a laminae principalis externa and interna with an interposed lamina dissecans [12, 13]. Their reluctance to use a numbering scheme underlies a perceived impropriety in associating layers of the entorhinal cortex with those of the neocortex [8].

The lamina dissecans is a cell-sparse but fiber-rich region identified by Cajal as the deep plexiform layer and included within the Lorente de Nó [11] classification as layer IIIa. The presence of a space replacing the layer of internal granule cells resembles other “primitive” cortices such as the anterior cingulate gyrus and insula. In these primitive fields, lamina IV is practically devoid of neurons and difficult to identify. In the entorhinal cortex, granule cells are also conspicuously diminished in number, but a space (the lamina dissecans) remains as a tombstone of what should have been their location. A prominent granular cell layer IV usually signifies a strong thalamic input. In the entorhinal cortex, the projections to the cell-sparse layer IV are dominated by afferents from the subiculum [7]. Dopaminergic innervation from the ventral tegmental area also provides a major source of afferents, but these go primarily to layers II and III [14, 15].

Ever since the initial attempts at defining the layers of the entorhinal area, nomenclatures for the proper identification of this region have grown in abundance. Most of the proposed classification schemes bear great resemblance to each other but differ in regard to the ordering sequence. We prefer adherence to the nomenclature of Van Hoesen and Pandya [16] due to its widespread usage and because the alternate nomenclature of Rose [17] was based on an erroneous interpretation of the cortical layering patterns of this region during development. According to the classification of Van Hoesen and Pandya [16], layer I is the most superficial and consists of a cell-sparse region often called

the *plexiform zone*; medium to large stellate cells (modified small pyramidal neurons) comprise layer II; a wide zone of medium pyramidal cells constitutes layer III; layer IV is a lamina dissecans (variably present according to the subdivision of the entorhinal cortex); a thin layer V is composed of small pyramidal and horizontal cells; finally, a striated or multilaminated layer VI features many polymorphous and spindle cells.

At the microscopic level, the entorhinal cortex is not homogeneous and has been subdivided according to a series of cytoarchitectural criteria, including the glomerular or linear arrangement of layers II and III, the width of the acellular gap between layers II and III, the presence or absence of a lamina dissecans, and the pattern of multilamination in the deeper layers [2, 18, 19]. (For silver impregnation criteria, see Lorente de Nó [11] and Blackstad [20].) The lack of sharp borders between the different subfields has given rise to divergent interpretations. Thus, Rose [17, 21] and Sgonina [13] described 23 entorhinal subfields in the human brain. Filimonov [22] described 18. Macchi [23] referred to five. Most recently, Braak [12] claimed at least 16 different subfields. The use of modern neuroanatomic techniques that allow the tracing of afferent and efferent connections to a particular region may help clarify this controversy [3].

Connectivity

The entorhinal cortex lies partially in the rostral and ventral portion of the S-shaped infolding of the hippocampal formation. Its major output is through the perforant pathway, which originates in layers II and III [24]. These projections end on the dendritic plexus of the inner third of the molecular layer of the dentate gyrus. Braitenberg and Schüz [1] remarked that the awkward convolution of the hippocampus is an attempt to bring the dentate gyrus in proximity to the entorhinal cortex.

Conceptually, the entorhinal cortex forms part of a paralimbic cortical belt flanked by the allocortex (the three-layer cortex) at one extreme and isocortex (the six-layer cortex characteristic of the neopallium) at the other. As the major connections of the entorhinal cortex are with adjacent cortical sites, theoretically, it may bridge information pertaining to its neighboring areas: memory, affective experience, and drive (ie, the limbic system), with extensively processed information related to the extrapersonal space (ie, isocortex). In effect, according to Mesulam [25], the paralimbic formation along with other heteromodal or higher-order association areas accomplish two major transformations of information: “the further associative elaboration of sensory processing and the integration of this information with drive, affect, and other aspects of mental content.”

Behavioral studies in surgically lesioned monkeys have corroborated the information-processing capacity of this region. Thus, bilateral lesions of the hippocampus, including the entorhinal cortex, produce substantial memory impairment in monkeys [26, 27], whereas lesions confined to the hippocampal efferent system (eg, fornix), one of its projections sites (eg, mammillary bodies), or the amygdala (but sparing the entorhinal cortex) produce—in the worst-case scenario—transient memory impairment [26, 27]. Similarly, in rodents, lesions of the entorhinal cortex, but not the dorsal hippocampus, provide for disruptions in latent learning tasks [28•].

The entorhinal cortex has few if any connections with primary sensory and motor cortices. This may explain why the information initially derived from the visual, auditory, or somatosensory cortices is not deformed or influenced by the emotional state of the individual [25]. According to the concepts of Jones and Powell [29], which were later extended by Mesulam et al. [30], the sequential flow of sensory information through the brain follows connections from primary sensory cortices to modality-specific association areas and from there to sites of sensory convergence. These polymodal association areas direct their afferents to supramodal cortical sites (eg, the perirhinal [entorhinal] area) [31]. Polymodal association areas projecting to the entorhinal cortex include the prefrontal cortices, rostral insula, and superior temporal sulcus. The entorhinal cortex also receives projections from the amygdaloid complex and its circumfluent olfactory, periamygdaloid, and pre-subicular cortices. These projections allow the hippocampus to receive multimodal sensory information derived from the external (association cortex) and internal (amygdala) environment.

It is noteworthy that projections to the entorhinal cortex are reciprocated after synapsis in the hippocampus. Thus, a major efferent pathway of the hippocampus goes via the subiculum to the entorhinal cortex of the parahippocampal gyrus and ultimately returns to the association cortices. In the entorhinal cortex, the overlap of afferent hippocampal projections with efferent pathways destined for the hippocampus (eg, perforant pathway) provides the angular ganglion with an opportunity to play a pivotal role in modulating information through the limbic system.

The importance of the connectivity pattern related to the transfer of information between the prefrontal cortex and the hippocampus bears some comments. In an early study on the efferent projections of the prefrontal cortex of the monkey, Nauta [32] emphasized the influence of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex on the hippocampus via its connections to the cingulate gyrus and pre-subiculum. More recent studies have shown that a major portion of the afferent projections to the entorhinal cortex are derived from the orbital and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex [33]. A

re-evaluation of the Nauta [32] anatomic scheme based on these observations reveals that projections to the entorhinal cortex provide a more direct means for the frontal lobe to influence the hippocampus. Tracing studies in monkeys have shown that these entorhinal to medial-orbital frontal cortex connections are reciprocal [34, 35].

The above-related evidence suggests that lesions of the entorhinal cortex lead to memory impairment without affecting salient personality traits. Memory impairment remains mild until degenerative changes involve other susceptible areas. In a study by Giannakopoulos et al. [36] of 1,200 brains of older adults, hippocampal alterations correlated with age-associated memory impairment, whereas neurofibrillary tangle formations in the neocortical association areas of the temporal lobe were required for development of Alzheimer's disease. The stagnation of neuritic changes in the limbic system in both paraphrenia and neurofibrillary tangle-predominant senile dementia explains the mild amnesic state and preservation of personality traits observed in these conditions.

Physiology

Surgical lesions and electrophysiologic studies have provided another way of looking at the entorhinal cortex. Intracellular recordings in animals have shown that transmission of information through the entorhinal cortex and into the hippocampus proper is modified during different behavioral states (eg, sleeping vs waking) [37]. Dahl and coworkers [38] believe that the purpose of this modification is to adjust the hippocampal function according to the requirements of the prevailing behavioral state. Monoaminergic projections from the brainstem, such as the serotonergic system from the raphe and the noradrenergic system from the locus coeruleus, restrict or modulate the transmission of signals from the entorhinal cortex to the dentate gyrus [38–40]. The integrity of these brainstem projections as well as their terminal fields (eg, entorhinal region) therefore may be necessary to modulate the transmission of incoming stimuli from association and adjacent cortices into the hippocampus. Dysfunction of these systems may underlie the disrupted or fluctuating sensory integrative functions observed in paraphrenia patients.

Similarly intriguing are the data on entorhinal cortex function derived from electrical stimulation of the human brain. Almost half a century ago, Penfield and Perot [41] recorded the experiences of epilepsy patients during electrical stimulation of certain parts of their brains. The images thus elicited were so vivid that they considered them to be memory traces of past experiences. According to this theory, the images elicited would be the enagram that was closest to the stimulating electrode [42, 43]. Most

commonly, patients during stimulation would relate hearing or watching another person's actions and speech. It is intriguing that of all the possible responses, some were seldom elicited. These included experiences in which the patient himself or herself was engaged in speaking, thinking, or performing some skilled behavior [44]. In the Penfield and Perot [41] series, which involved 1,132 awake patients, these responses were rarely observed (only in 8% of the patients), and then only with temporal lobe stimulation. Perceptual experiences were most commonly noted with stimulation of the superior temporal gyrus, planum temporale, uncus (entorhinal cortex), and the brim of the collateral sulcus [41]. The large number of experiential responses derived from the superior temporal convolution as opposed to the few elicited from the parahippocampal gyrus may be an artifact due to the fact that the base of the temporal lobe was stimulated much less often than the lateral surface [41]. A comparison of the responses elicited from the lateral and medial cortex of the temporal lobes found more lasting effects from periamygdaloid stimulation. In contrast to the lateral temporal lobe responses, those stemming from its medial aspect were associated with an after-discharge and behavioral automatisms that endured for 1 min or more after cessation of the current [45].

At present, some investigators consider that the phenomenon described by Penfield and Perot [41] did not reflect the recall of past experiences but instead represented vivid experiences that were dependent on the preexisting personality, cognitive state, and expectation of the patients [46–48]. Thus, in contrast to the theory of localized engrams of Penfield [42], those stimuli capable of eliciting the formation of images were associated with widespread electroencephalographic changes [47]. Similarly, electrical stimulation of widely spaced anatomic sites could produce the same images [49]. Finally, in the surgical experience of most investigators, excision of the area stimulated by the electrode did not remove the memory of the experience [49, 50].

Results of behavioral studies in monkeys after complete amygdectomy, including removal of the entorhinal cortex, have varied depending on the age, sex, and postoperative testing environment [51, 52]. Surgically operated adult and juvenile male monkeys exhibited a fall through the social hierarchy and a diminution in their aggressive behavior. Occasionally, some of the lesioned monkeys were subjected to an increased number of attacks by normal members. Contrary to these observations, amygdectomized adult female monkeys occasionally increased their aggressive behavior and rose in social rank. Maternal behavior was never seen in lesioned females; rather, they abused and/or neglected their infants. Operated juveniles presented still a different behavioral conduct consisting of heightened oral and sexual activity, whereas infants with similar lesions

displayed a grossly normal interaction with their mothers. It may be worth adding that lesioned infants later (at 2 years to 3 years of age, or roughly at the onset of puberty) exhibited aberrant behavior. The absence of early symptomatology in these brain-injured infants was attributed to the immaturity of the central nervous system and the strong mother–infant bond that can be established despite the lack of reinforcement by the young [51, 52]. After release in their natural environment, amygdectomized adult animals failed to resocialize and never re-entered their troop. Although tame in a cage situation, when they were free, they did not allow experimenters to recapture them. According to Kling [51, 52], the social drift in these operated animals seems related to entorhinal (uncal) cortex damage, whereas abnormalities in sexual behavior (part of the Klüver-Bucy syndrome) were the result of lesions in the lateral nuclei of the amygdala. Partial ablation of the amygdala with sparing of the uncal cortex decreased aggressive behavior while simultaneously allowing for resocialization, albeit at a lower social rank.

The symptomatology expressed in surgically intervened animals calls into question the veracity of some of the so-called risk factors in paraphrenia. The accepted trend of thought is that paraphrenia patients exhibit a trend toward social isolation as a result of their premorbid personality. However, behavioral studies from ablation studies suggest that these may be early manifestations of uncal pathology.

Pathological Correlations

Although descriptions of entorhinal pathology in paraphrenia have appeared in the literature only recently, the role of the temporal lobe in the pathophysiology of this illness was suggested from previous postmortem studies. Organic processes such as encephalitides, cerebrovascular accidents, tumors, and head injury leading to temporal lobe damage may present with schizophreniform symptoms [53, 54]. Viral infections and cerebral tumors associated with psychotic manifestations tend to involve the limbic structures of the temporal lobes, hypothalamus, or cingulate gyrus [53]. Similarly, a relationship between schizophrenia-like psychosis and epilepsy has been suggested by many researchers [55]. The automatisms and high prevalence of sphenoidal spike activity in this schizophrenic-like psychosis of epilepsy suggested involvement of medial temporal lobe structures [56]. Neuropathological investigations of resected temporal lobes from complex partial seizure patients have shown a wide variety of pathological changes. Usually the amygdala, hippocampus proper, uncus (entorhinal cortex), and the more posterior parahippocampal gyrus are involved [57]. Although gliosis is the most common pathology, both ganglioglioma-type tumors and

heterotopic patches of gray matter often have been noted [58]. Topographical analysis of these abnormalities indicates that the incidence of psychosis is highest for those lesions of presumed developmental origin lying toward the medial aspects of the temporal lobes (ie, the entorhinal cortex) [59]. Not coincidentally, the neuropathology of paraphrenia is characterized by neurofibrillary pathology affecting the entorhinal and transentorhinal cortices, with little in terms of amyloid deposition. The pathology is the major substrate for mild cognitive impairment and memory decline in affected patients [60].

Conclusions

In summary, this article complements several recent studies suggesting entorhinal cortex pathology in paraphrenia and emphasizes some of the anatomic and physiologic characteristics of the region. We propose that a lesion in the entorhinal cortex may result in “misreading” of information directed to the limbic system. The information thus distorted leads to the intrusion of foreign images and ideas. This possible clinicopathological correlate should not prevent attempts to uncover abnormalities in other anatomic locations. The borders of the entorhinal cortex have been artificially defined to comply with a number of cytoarchitectural criteria. However, the connections of the entorhinal cortex indicate that the functional effects of this area extend well beyond the temporal lobes. It seems possible that in paraphrenia, rather than there being a single locus of pathology, a whole anatomically interlinked system is involved. In this connectivity scheme, the authors emphasize the possible involvement of the entorhinal cortex.

Disclosure No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance

1. Braitenberg V, Schüz A: Some anatomical comments on the hippocampus. In *Neurobiology of the Hippocampus*. Edited by Seifert W. London: Academic Press; 1983:21–37.
2. Amaral DG, Insausti R, Cowan WM: The entorhinal cortex of the monkey: I. Cytoarchitectural organization. *J Comp Neurol* 1987, 264:326–355.
3. Insausti R, Amaral DG, Cowan WM: The entorhinal cortex of the monkey: II. Cortical afferents. *J Comp Neurol* 1987, 264:356–395.

4. Braak H: *Architectonics of the Human Telencephalic Cortex*. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1980.
5. Stephan H: *Allocortex*. New York: Springer; 1975.
6. Stephan H, Andy OJ: The allocortex in primates. In *The Primate Brain*. Edited by Noback CR, Montagna W. New York: Appleton-Century-Croft; 1970:109–135.
7. Van Hoesen GW: The parahippocampal gyrus: new observations regarding its cortical connections in the monkey. *TINS* 1982, 5:345–350.
8. Amaral DG, Insausti R: Hippocampal formation. In *The Human Nervous System*. Edited by Paxinos G. New York: Academic Press; 1990:711–749.
9. Hammarberg C: *Studien über Klinik und Pathologie der Idiotie nebst Untersuchungen über die normale Anatomie der Hirnrinde* [in German]. Upsala, Sweden: Berling; 1895.
10. Ramón y Cajal S: *Studies on the Cerebral Cortex (Limbic Structures)*. Translated by Kraft LM. Chicago, IL: Year Book; 1955.
11. Lorente de Nó R: Studies on the structure of the cerebral cortex. I. The area entorhinalis. *J Psychol Neurol* 1933, 45:381–431.
12. Braak H: Zur pigmentarchitektur der grosshirnrinde des menschen. I. Regio entorhinalis [in German]. *Z Zellforsch* 1972, 127:407–438.
13. Sgonina K: Zur vergleichenden Anatomie der Entorhinal- und Präsubkularregion [in German]. *J Psychol Neurol* 1938, 48:56–163.
14. Fallon JH, Riley JN, Moore RY: Substantia nigra dopamine neurons: separate populations project to neostriatum and allocortex. *Neurosci Lett* 1978, 7:157–162.
15. Lindvall O, Björklund A: The organization of the ascending catecholamine neuron systems in the rat brain revealed by the glyoxylic acid fluorescence method. *Acta Physiol Scand Suppl* 1974, 412:1–48.
16. Van Hoesen GW, Pandya DN: Some connections of the entorhinal (area 28) and perirhinal (area 35) cortices of the rhesus monkey. I. Temporal lobe afferents. *Brain Res* 1975, 95:1–24.
17. Rose M: *Der Allocortex beim Tier und Menschen, I. Teil* [in German]. *J Psychol Neurol* 1926, 34:1–111.
18. Saunders RC, Rosene DL: A comparison of the efferents of the amygdala and the hippocampal formation in the rhesus monkey: I. Convergence in the entorhinal, prorhinal, and perirhinal cortices. *J Comp Neurol* 1988, 271:153–184.
19. Saunders RC, Rosene DL, Van Hoesen GW: Comparison of the efferents of the amygdala and the hippocampal formation in the rhesus monkey: II. Reciprocal and non-reciprocal connections. *J Comp Neurol* 1988, 271:185–207.
20. Blackstad TW: Commissural connections of the hippocampal region of the rat, with special reference to their mode of termination. *J Comp Neurol* 1956, 105:417–538.
21. Rose M: *Cytoarchitektonischer Atlas der Grosshirnrinde des Kaninchens* [in German]. *J Psychol Neurol* 1931, 43:353–340.
22. Filimonov IN: *Comparative Anatomy of the Cerebral Cortex of Mammals: Paleocortex, Archicortex, and Interstitial Cortex*. Translated by Dukoff V. Washington, DC: Joint Publications Research Service; 1965.
23. Macchi G: The ontogenetic development of the olfactory telencephalon in man. *J Comp Neurol* 1951, 95:245–305.
24. Steward O, Scoville SA: Cells of origin of entorhinal cortical afferents to the hippocampus and fascia dentata of the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 1976, 169:347–370.
25. Mesulam MM: Patterns in behavioral neuroanatomy: association areas, the limbic system, and hemispheric specialization. In *Principles of Behavioral Neurology*. Edited by Mesulam MM. Philadelphia, PA: F. A. Davis; 1985:1–70.
26. Squire LR, Zola-Morgan S: Memory: brain system and behavior. *TINS* 1988, 11:170–175.
27. Zola-Morgan S, Squire LR, Amaral DG: Lesions of the hippocampal formation but not lesions of the fornix or the

- mammillary nuclei produce long-lasting memory impairment in monkeys. *J Neurosci* 1989, 9:898–913.
28. • Stouffer EM: The entorhinal cortex, but not the dorsal hippocampus, is necessary for single-cue latent learning. *Hippocampus* 2009 Oct 5 (Epub ahead of print). *The experiment used neurotoxin lesions to examine the role of the entorhinal cortex and dorsal/ventral hippocampus in a modified latent cue preference task. Results showed that lesions of the entorhinal cortex and ventral hippocampus disrupted the irrelevant-incentive latent learning, whereas lesions of the dorsal hippocampus did not.*
 29. Jones EG, Powell TP: An anatomical study of converging sensory pathways within the cerebral cortex of the monkey. *Brain* 1970, 93:793–820.
 30. Mesulam MM, Van Hoesen GW, Pandya DN, Geschwind N: Limbic and sensory connections of the inferior parietal lobule (area PG) in the rhesus monkey: a study with a new method for horseradish peroxidase histochemistry. *Brain Res* 1977, 136:393–414.
 31. Swanson LW: The hippocampus and the concept of the limbic system. In *Neurobiology of the Hippocampus*. Edited by Seifert W. London: Academic Press; 1983:4–19.
 32. Nauta WJH: Some efferent connections of the prefrontal cortex in the monkey. In *The Frontal Granular Cortex and Behavior*. Edited by Warren JM, Akert K. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1964:397–409.
 33. Van Hoesen GW, Pandya DN, Butters N: Some connections of the entorhinal (area 28) and perirhinal (area 35) cortices of the rhesus monkey. II. Frontal lobe efferents. *Brain Res* 1975, 95:25–38.
 34. Goldman-Rakic PS: Dual pathways connecting the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex with the hippocampal formation and parahippocampal cortex in the rhesus monkey. *Neuroscience* 1984, 12:719–743.
 35. Swanson LW: Anatomical evidence for direct projections from the entorhinal area to the entire cortical mantle of the rat. *J Neurosci* 1986, 6:3010–3023.
 36. Giannakopoulos P, Hof PR, Michel JP, et al.: Cerebral cortex pathology in aging and Alzheimer's disease: a quantitative survey of large hospital-based geriatric ad psychiatric cohorts. *Brain Res Rev* 1997, 25:217–245.
 37. Winson J, Abzug C: Neuronal transmission through hippocampal pathways dependent on behavior. *J Neurophysiol* 1978, 41:716–732.
 38. Dahl D, Bailey WH, Winson J: Effect of norepinephrine depletion of hippocampus on neuronal transmission from perforant pathway through dentate gyrus. *J Neurophysiol* 1983, 49:123–133.
 39. Winson J: Influence of the raphe nuclei on neuronal transmission from perforant pathway through dentate gyrus. *J Neurophysiol* 1980, 44:937–950.
 40. Srebro B, Azmitia EC, Winson J: Effect of 5HT depletion of the hippocampus on neuronal transmission from perforant path through dentate gyrus. *Brain Res* 1982, 235:142–147.
 41. Penfield W, Perot P: The brain's record of auditory and visual experience. *Brain* 1963, 86:595–696.
 42. Penfield WP: Functional localization in the temporal and deep Sylvian areas. *Res Publ Assoc Res Nerv Ment Dis* 1958, 36:210–226.
 43. Penfield WP, Jasper H: *Epilepsy and the Functional Anatomy of the Human Brain*. Boston, MA: Little Brown; 1954.
 44. Squire L: *Memory and Brain*. New York: Oxford University Press; 1987.
 45. Stevens JR: Clinical and electroencephalographic correlates in patients hospitalized with psychiatric disorders. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1970, 28:90.
 46. Ferguson SM, Rayport M, Gardner E: Similarities in the mental content of psychotic states, spontaneous seizures, dreams, and responses to electrical brain stimulation in patients with temporal lobe epilepsy. *Psychosom Med* 1969, 31:479–498.
 47. Horowitz MJ, Adams JE, Rutkin BB: Visual imagery on brain stimulation. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1968, 19:469–486.
 48. Mahl GF, Rothenberg A, Delgado JM, Hamlin H: Psychological responses in the human to intracerebral electrical stimulation. *Psychosom Med* 1964, 26:337–368.
 49. Halgren E, Walter RD, Cherlow DG, Crandall PH: Mental phenomena evoked by electrical stimulation of the human hippocampal formation and amygdala. *Brain* 1978, 101:83–115.
 50. Baldwin M: Electrical stimulation of the mesial temporal region. In *Electrical Studies on the Unanesthetized Brain*. Edited by Ramey ER, O'Doherty DS. New York: Hoeber; 1960:159–176.
 51. Kling A: Effects of amygdectomy on social-affective behavior in non-human primates. In *The Neurobiology of the Amygdala*. Edited by Eleftheriou BE. New York: Plenum; 1972:511–536.
 52. Kling A: Brain lesions and aggressive behavior of monkeys in free living groups. In *Neural Bases of Violence and Aggression*. Edited by Fields WS, Sweet WH. St. Louis, MO: Warren H. Green; 1975:146–160.
 53. Davison K, Bagely CR: Schizophrenia-like psychoses associated with organic disorders of the central nervous system: a review of the literature. In *Current Problems in Neuropsychiatry: Schizophrenia, Epilepsy, the Temporal Lobe*. Edited by Herrington RN. Ashford, United Kingdom: Headley; 1969:113–184.
 54. Trimble MR: *Biological Psychiatry*. New York: Wiley; 1988.
 55. Adebimpe VR: Complex partial seizures simulating schizophrenia. *JAMA* 1977, 237:339–341.
 56. Heath RG: *Studies in Schizophrenia*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press; 1954.
 57. Meldrum BS, Corsellis JAN: Epilepsy. In *Greenfield's Neuropathology*, edn 4. Edited by Adams JH, Corsellis JAN, Duchon LW. New York: Wiley; 1984:921–950.
 58. Bruton CJ: *The Neuropathology of Temporal Lobe Epilepsy*. New York: Oxford University Press; 1988.
 59. Taylor DC: Factors influencing the occurrence of schizophrenia-like psychosis in patients with TLE. *Psychol Med* 1975, 5:249–254.
 60. • Markesbery WR: Neuropathologic alterations in mild cognitive impairment: a review. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2010, 19:221–228. *The article summarizes the few available reports on the pathology of mild cognitive impairment. Other concomitant findings, such as Lewy bodies and argyrophilic granules, may reflect the fact that most autopsied mild cognitive impairment patients have been in the older age range (80–90 years of age).*