

Dopamine Hypothesis of Schizophrenia: Making Sense of it All

Mitsuru Toda, BA, and Anissa Abi-Dargham, MD

Corresponding author

Anissa Abi-Dargham, MD
1051 Riverside Drive, Box 31, New York, NY 10032, USA.
E-mail: aa324@columbia.edu

Current Psychiatry Reports 2007, 9:329–336
Current Medicine Group LLC ISSN 1523-3812
Copyright © 2007 by Current Medicine Group LLC

The dopamine (DA) hypothesis of schizophrenia has evolved over the last decade from the stage of circumstantial evidence related to clinical observations and empirical validation from antipsychotic treatment to finally reach more direct testing and validation from imaging studies. These have provided much information that allows us at this point to assemble all the pieces and attempt to synthesize them and integrate them with the other neurotransmitter alterations observed in this illness. Although clearly not sufficient to explain the complexity of this disorder, the DA dysregulation offers a direct relationship to symptoms and to their treatment. We will review here its history, validation, and implications for treatment.

Introduction

Hyperactivity of dopamine (DA) transmission was the first iteration of the DA hypothesis of schizophrenia [1], supported by the early observations that DA receptors are activated by psychostimulants and that nonreserpine neuroleptics are DA antagonists [2]. Furthermore, clinical doses of antipsychotic drugs blocked DA D₂ receptors [3,4], whereas DA-enhancing drugs were found to be psychotogenic (for review, see [5,6]). Given the predominant localization of DA terminals and D₂ receptors in subcortical regions such as the striatum and the nucleus accumbens, this hyperactivity focused on subcortical regions.

On the other hand, negative symptoms (flattening of affect, apathy, poverty of speech, anhedonia, and social withdrawal) and cognitive symptoms (deficits in attention, working memory, and executive functions) were resistant to D₂ receptor antagonism. Functional brain imaging studies suggested that these symptoms might arise from altered prefrontal cortex (PFC) functions (for reviews, see [7]). As preclinical studies stressed the importance of prefrontal DA transmission at D₁ receptors (the main DA

receptor in the neocortex) for optimal PFC performance (for review, see [8]), the idea of a deficit in DA transmission at D₁ receptors underlying cognitive impairments and negative symptoms emerged [9,10], whereas the excess DA transmission became associated with “positive” symptoms (hallucinations, delusions).

As a result, an imbalance in DA with hyperactive subcortical mesolimbic projections (resulting in hyperstimulation of D₂ receptors and positive symptoms) and hypoactive mesocortical DA projections to the PFC (resulting in hypostimulation of D₁ receptors, negative symptoms, and cognitive impairment) became the predominant hypothesis. In addition, a relationship between these two was suggested by the initial observation of Pycock et al. [11]. Based on these observations, Weinberger [10] proposed that both arms of the DA imbalance model might be related inasmuch as a deficiency in mesocortical DA function might translate into disinhibition of mesolimbic DA activity.

Hyperstimulation of Striatal D₂: Clinical Evidence

Psychostimulant-induced paranoid psychosis

First mentioned in 1938 [12], amphetamine-induced psychosis was recognized as a possible consequence of chronic amphetamine use upon the publication a 42-case monograph by Connell [13].

In the early 1970s, several studies experimentally induced amphetamine psychosis in nonschizophrenic amphetamine abusers in order to better document the clinical pattern of this syndrome [14–16]. These experiments formally established that sustained psychostimulant exposure can produce paranoid psychosis in nonschizophrenic individuals in the context of a clear sensorium (sensory and perceptual abilities). Ellinwood et al. [17,18] described amphetamine-induced psychosis as a continuum that evolves from stimulation of interpretative mental activities to enhancement of perceptual acuity, reversal, and projection onto others (persecution), leading to paranoia and ideas of references. The “enhancement of sensitive acuity” develops into hallucinations, initially auditory, and then visual and tactile. The sensorium remains clear until toxic delirium is reached. Thought

disorders manifest toward the end of the continuum, near the toxic stage.

Low-dose psychostimulants that are not psychotogenic in healthy subjects are psychotogenic in patients with schizophrenia

A number of studies, reviewed by Lieberman et al. [19], showed that patients with schizophrenia, as a group, display increased sensitivity to the psychotogenic effects of acute psychostimulant administration. In other words, some, but not all, patients with schizophrenia present with emergence or worsening of psychotic symptoms after acute exposure to psychostimulants at doses that do not induce psychosis in healthy subjects. The psychotic response appears to be state dependent. First, patients who responded with a psychotic reaction to a psychostimulant challenge during an acute episode failed to show such a response when they were in remission. Second, the propensity to present a psychotic reaction to a psychostimulant challenge is predictive of relapse upon antipsychotic discontinuation. Thus, the clinical response to stimulants might “reveal” an active phase of the illness that is not readily identifiable by the clinical symptomatology in the absence of psychostimulant administration.

All antipsychotics bind to D₂ receptors

Since the discovery of the antipsychotic properties of chlorpromazine [20] in 1952, antipsychotic medications have fundamentally altered the course and the prognosis of schizophrenia by reducing severity of symptoms and preventing relapse. D₂ receptor antagonism is fundamental to their beneficial effects.

D₂ receptor occupancy by antipsychotic drugs has been confirmed by a large number of imaging studies (reviewed in [21]). Two studies performed with low doses of relatively selective D₂ receptor antagonists (haloperidol and raclopride) suggest that a minimum of 50% occupancy is required to observe a rapid clinical response [22,23]. Imaging studies have confirmed repeatedly the existence of a striatal D₂ receptor occupancy threshold (~80%) above which extrapyramidal symptoms are likely to occur [24]. Together, these data suggest the existence of a therapeutic window between 50% and 80% striatal D₂ receptor occupancy.

Hyperstimulation of striatal D₂: evidence from imaging studies

The development of positron emission tomography (PET) and single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) imaging techniques in the late 1980s made possible for the first time the examination of DA function in vivo in patients with schizophrenia.

Striatal D₂ and D₁ receptors

Striatal D₂ receptor density in schizophrenia has been extensively studied with PET and SPECT imaging. In a

recent meta-analysis [25], 17 imaging studies comparing D₂ receptor parameters in patients with schizophrenia were analyzed (included a total of 245 patients and 231 control subjects), revealing a small (12%) but significant elevation of striatal D₂ receptors in untreated patients with schizophrenia. No clinical correlates of increased D₂ receptor binding parameters could be identified. Studies performed with butyrophenones ($n = 7$) show an effect size of 0.96 ± 1.05 , significantly larger than the effect size observed with other ligands (benzamides and lisuride, $n = 11$, 0.19 ± 0.25 , $P = 0.02$). This difference might be due to differences in vulnerability of the binding of these tracers to endogenous DA and elevation of endogenous DA in schizophrenia [26,27]. Interestingly, the fact that D₂ receptor levels are increased in healthy monozygotic twins compared with dizygotic twins of patients with schizophrenia has led to the conclusion that the caudate DA D₂ receptor up-regulation is related to genetic risk for schizophrenia [28]. Imaging studies of D₁ receptors have consistently failed to detect abnormalities of D₁ receptor availability in the striatum of patients with schizophrenia [29–31].

Striatal amphetamine-induced DA release

The decrease in [¹¹C]raclopride and [¹²³I]IBZM in vivo binding following acute amphetamine challenge has been well validated as a measure of the change in D₂ receptor stimulation by DA due to amphetamine-induced DA release [32–34] (Table 1).

Three studies [34–36] have shown that amphetamine-induced decrease in [¹¹C]raclopride or [¹²³I]IBZM binding is elevated in untreated patients with schizophrenia compared with well-matched controls. The clinical significance of this dysregulation [37] is summarized as follows: the increase in DA response is related to the transient induction or worsening of positive symptoms; it is observed in both first-episode/drug-naïve patients and previously treated patients; it is larger in patients experiencing an episode of illness exacerbation than in patients in remission at the time of the scan; and it does not appear to be a nonspecific effect of stress, as higher self-reports of anxiety before the experiments were not associated with larger effect of amphetamine on [¹²³I]IBZM binding. Furthermore, non-psychotic subjects with unipolar depression, who reported levels of anxiety similar to those of the schizophrenic patients at the time of the scan, showed normal amphetamine-induced displacement of [¹²³I]IBZM [38].

These findings generally have been interpreted as reflecting an increase in synaptic DA following amphetamine challenge in the schizophrenic group. Another interpretation of these observations would be that schizophrenia is associated with increased affinity of D₂ receptors for DA.

DA transporters (DATs)

Three imaging studies (listed in Table 1) have confirmed the in vitro observation of normal striatal DAT density in

Table 1. Imaging studies of striatal presynaptic DA parameters in DN and DF patients with schizophrenia

Parameter	Study	Number of controls	Number of patients (DN/DF/T)	Radiotracer (/challenge)	Method	Outcome	P	Effect size*
DOPA accumulation	Reith et al. [58]	13	5 (4/0/1)	[¹⁸ F]DOPA	Kinetic	k3	< 0.05	0.91
	Hietala et al. [54]	7	7 (7/0/0)	[¹⁸ F]DOPA	Graphical	K _i	< 0.05	1.54
	Dao-Castellana et al. [51]	7	6 (2/4/0)	[¹⁸ F]DOPA	Graphical	K _i	NS	0.3
	Lindstrom et al. [55]	10	12 (10/2/0)	[¹¹ C]DOPA	Graphical	K _i	< 0.05	0.77
	Hietala et al. [53]	13	10 (10/0/0)	[¹⁸ F]DOPA	Graphical	K _i	< 0.05	1.09
	Elkashf et al. [52]	13	19 (0/9/10)	[¹⁸ F]DOPA	Ratio	K _i	< 0.05	-0.65
	Meyer-Lindenberg et al. [57]	6	6 (0/6/0)	[¹⁸ F]DOPA	Graphical	K _i	< 0.02	1.96
	McGowan et al. [56•]	12	16 (0/0/16)	[¹⁸ F]DOPA	Graphical	K _i	0.001	1.6
	Laruelle et al. [35]	15	15 (2/13/0)	[²³ I]IBZM/ amphetamine	Equilibrium	Delta BP	< 0.05	1.51
	Breier et al. [34]	18	18 (8/10/0)	[¹¹ C]raclopride/ amphetamine	Equilibrium	Delta BP	< 0.05	1.73
Baseline DA concentration	Abi-Dargham et al. [36]	16	21 (1/20/0)	[²³ I]IBZM/ amphetamine	Equilibrium	Delta BP	< 0.05	1.07
	Abi-Dargham et al. [44]	18	18 (8/10/0)	[²³ I]IBZM/AMPT	Equilibrium	Delta BP	< 0.05	1.43
	Kegeles et al. [45•]	18		[¹¹ C]raclopride/ AMPT	Equilibrium	Delta BP	< 0.05 in preDCA	
DAT density	Laakso et al. [40]	9	9 (9/0/0)	[¹⁸ F]CFT	Ratio	S/C	< 0.05	0.11
	Laruelle et al. [39]	22	22 (2/20/0)	[²³ I]CIT	Equilibrium	BP	< 0.05	-0.43
	Hsiao et al. [96]	12	12 (12/0/0)	[^{99m} Tc]TRODAT	Ratio	S/Occ	NS	0.22

*Effect size calculated as (mean patients - mean controls)/SD controls.

AMPT— α -methylparatyrosine; BP—binding potential; DA—dopamine; DAT—dopamine transporter; DF—drug-free; DN—drug-naïve; DOPA—dihydroxyphenylalanine; NS—not significant; preDCA—precommissural caudate; S/C—striatal over cerebellar; S/Occ—striatal over occipital; T—treated with antipsychotics.

schizophrenia [39,40]. In addition, no association between amphetamine-induced DA release and DAT density was found [39], suggesting that the increased presynaptic output revealed by the studies reviewed previously is not due to higher terminal density.

Vesicular monoamine transporter

Using the radiotracer [¹¹C]DTBZ, Taylor et al. [41] were not able to show any difference in vesicular monoamine transporter binding potential in patients with schizophrenia compared with healthy subjects.

Baseline occupancy of striatal D₂ receptors by DA

In rodents, acute depletion of synaptic DA is associated with an acute increase in the in vivo binding of [¹¹C]raclopride or [¹²³I]IBZM to D₂ receptors (for review, see [42]). The increased binding is observed in vivo but not in vitro, indicating that it is not due to receptor up-regulation [43], but rather to removal of endogenous DA and unmasking of D₂ receptors previously occupied by DA. A similar acute DA depletion technique paired with D₂ receptor imaging in humans using α -methylparatyrosine (α -MPT) has been developed to assess the degree of occupancy of D₂ receptors by DA [43]. In schizophrenia, there was a higher occupancy of D₂ receptors by DA in patients experiencing an episode of illness exacerbation compared with healthy controls [44] (Table 1). Again assuming normal affinity of D₂ receptors for DA, the data are consistent with higher DA synaptic levels in patients with schizophrenia. Higher synaptic DA levels in patients with schizophrenia were predictive of good therapeutic response of these symptoms following 6 weeks of treatment with atypical antipsychotic medications. This observation now has been replicated with PET and [¹¹C]raclopride in our lab. We observed that the increase at the level of the striatum observed initially is essentially accounted for by an increase in DA transmission at the level of the associative striatum, and in particular the precommissural caudate (preDCA) rather than the limbic or sensorimotor striatum [45]. The preDCA is the area of the striatum that receives most of the cortico-striatal projections from the dorsolateral PFC (DLPFC) [46–50], the neocortical area most implicated in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia. The information is processed in the preDCA and sent back to the DLPFC via the globus pallidus (pars interna)/substantia nigra and ventral anterior thalamic nuclei. Thus, although subcortical DA dysregulation historically has been conceptualized as a possible consequence of DLPFC dysfunction, these findings suggest that in addition, alterations of subcortical DA transmission, if located in the preDCA, might in turn negatively impact on DLPFC function.

Striatal dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA) decarboxylase activity

Eight studies have reported rates of the DA-synthesizing enzyme DOPA decarboxylase (AADC) in patients with schizophrenia using [¹⁸F]DOPA or [¹¹C]DOPA (Table 1).

Six of eight studies reported increased accumulation of DOPA in the striatum of patients with schizophrenia [51–55,56,57,58], one reported no change [51], and one study reported reduced [¹⁸F]DOPA striatal uptake [52]. Three studies involved first-episode schizophrenia, and all three showed an increase of DOPA in the striatum [53–55]. Interestingly, a recent study observed a relationship between poor prefrontal activation during the Wisconsin Card Sorting task and elevated [¹⁸F]DOPA accumulation in the striatum, suggesting a link between alteration of the DLPFC function and increased striatal DA activity in schizophrenia [57]. In rats as in anesthetized pigs, increases in AADC activity in vitro and in vivo have been reported following acute treatment with DA antagonists [59–61]. Conversely, acute treatment with the DA agonist apomorphine decreases ¹¹C-DOPA influx in monkeys [62]. However, evidence for such effects in humans is extremely limited. Thus, in the only comprehensive study to date, Grunder et al. [63] recently reported a decrease in [¹⁸F]DOPA uptake in nine patients with schizophrenia following subchronic treatment with haloperidol [63], suggesting that chronic neuroleptic administration will tend to decrease AADC activity and, hence, DA synthesis. Interestingly, acute administration of antipsychotics increases DA neuron firing, whereas chronic administration decreases the number of spontaneously active DA neurons in the rat substantia nigra [64], suggesting that the different effects of antipsychotics on AADC activity in the living brain could reflect such phenomena.

Cortical DA Deficit

Indirect evidence supports the hypothesis that a deficit in prefrontal DA function might contribute to prefrontal impairment in schizophrenia. Preclinical studies have documented the importance of prefrontal DA function for cognition (for review, see [8,65]). This important role recently has been confirmed in humans by the repeated observation that carriers of the high-activity allele of catechol-O-methyltransferase, an enzyme involved in DA metabolism, display lower performance in various cognitive tasks compared with carriers of the allele that induce lower concentration of DA in PFC (for review, see [66]). Clinical studies have suggested a relationship between low cerebrospinal fluid homovanillic acid, a measure reflecting low DA activity in the PFC, and poor performance in tasks involving working memory in schizophrenia [67,68]. Administration of DA agonists might have beneficial effects on the pattern of prefrontal activation measured by PET during these tasks [69,70]. Although these observations are consistent with the hypothesis of a hypodopaminergic state in the PFC of patients with schizophrenia, they do not constitute direct evidence.

Extrastriatal D₁ receptors

The main parameter of extrastriatal DA transmission that currently is quantifiable using noninvasive in vivo

studies is D₁ receptor availability. Three PET studies of prefrontal D₁ receptor availability in patients with schizophrenia recently have been published. Two studies were performed with the D₁ radiotracer [¹¹C]SCH 23390. The first reported decreased [¹¹C]SCH 23390 binding potential in the PFC [29], and the other reported no change [31]. One study was performed with [¹¹C]NNC 112 [30] and reported increased [¹¹C]NNC 112 binding potential in DLPFC and no change in other regions of the PFC such as the medial PFC or the orbitofrontal cortex. In patients with schizophrenia, increased [¹¹C]NNC 112 binding in the DLPFC was predictive of poor performance on a working memory task [30]. Many potential factors, including patient heterogeneity and differences in the boundaries of the sampled regions, might account for these discrepancies. However, severity of deficits at tasks involving working memory was reported to be associated with both decreased PFC [¹¹C]SCH 23390 binding potential in one study [29] and increased PFC [¹¹C]NNC 112 binding potential in another [30], suggesting that both alterations might reflect a common underlying deficit.

Because of the prevalent view that schizophrenia is associated with a deficit in prefrontal DA activity, the impact of acute and subchronic DA depletion on the in vivo binding of [¹¹C]SCH 23390 and [¹¹C]NNC 112 is highly relevant to the interpretation of these data [71]. Acute DA depletion does not affect the in vivo binding of [¹¹C]NNC 112 but results in decreased in vivo binding of [³H]SCH 23390, a paradoxical response that might be related to DA depletion-induced translocation of D₁ receptors from the cytoplasmic to cell surface compartment [42,72,73]. In contrast, chronic DA depletion is associated with increased in vivo [¹¹C]NNC 112 binding, presumably reflecting a compensatory up-regulation of D₁ receptors. Interestingly, chronic DA depletion did not result in enhanced in vivo binding of [³H]SCH 23390, possibly as a result of opposite effects of receptor up-regulation and externalization on the binding of these tracers.

A recent study showed an effect of genetic loading on cortical D₁ levels measured with [¹¹C]SCH 23390, with higher values associated with more loading; this study also showed lower cortical D₁ in medicated patients [74•]. A down-regulation of D₁ receptors by D₂ antagonists previously had been shown in the cortex of nonhuman primates [75]. This suggests that the discrepancies in findings with the two tracers may be related to differences in the patient populations rather than differences in the tracers' in vivo behavior.

In conclusion, studies with both radiotracers in the same patients are required to clarify this issue. In addition, more selective tracers are needed for future investigations, as we recently observed that 25% of the cortical binding of both tracers in monkeys is to the 5-HT_{2A} receptor [76]. This finding flags the need for better tracers going forward with investigations of cortical dopaminergic transmission in schizophrenia.

Extrastriatal D₂ receptors

The recent availability of high-affinity D₂ radiotracers allowed the study of D₂ receptors in low-density regions such as the substantia nigra, thalamus, and temporal cortex in patients with schizophrenia compared with controls. A first study found decreases in temporal cortex in both hemispheres in a very small group of patients compared with controls (seven patients and seven controls) [77]. A similarly small study found low thalamic binding that was later confirmed in a larger sample of drug-naïve patients [78•,79]. Suhara et al. [80] found decreased DA D₂ receptors in the anterior cingulate cortex and thalamic subregions in patients with schizophrenia, whereas Glenthøj et al. [81•] found a significant correlation between frontal D₂ receptor and positive symptoms in male schizophrenic patients. Clearly, more research is needed to reach conclusive evidence for alterations in D₂ transmission in extrastriatal regions, but the thalamic decreases seem to be a consistent observation thus far.

Occupancy of these receptors by antipsychotics also has been examined, with various results that are beyond the scope of this review; however, a recent study [82•] found no relationship with treatment response. If confirmed, this finding suggests that extrastriatal D₂ may not play an important role in the therapeutics of schizophrenia. Overall, this is an emerging field, and more research is needed to understand the role of extrastriatal D₂ transmission in schizophrenia.

Other DA Receptor Subtypes: D₃ and D₄ receptors

A significant increase in D₃ receptor number in the ventral striatum (VST) samples from patients with schizophrenia who were off neuroleptics at the time of death has been reported in one study [83]. In contrast, in patients who had been treated with neuroleptics up to the time of death, D₃ receptor levels did not differ significantly from those of controls [83]. These data were interpreted as indicating that antipsychotics down-regulate the D₃ receptor in schizophrenic patients who otherwise have a higher density of this receptor in the VST.

D₄ receptors

Based on ligand subtraction techniques, several studies have reported increased D₄-like receptors in schizophrenia [84–86]. These findings were not confirmed by other studies using the same technique [87,88] or by a study using [³H]NGD 94-1, a selective D₄ ligand [89]. Moreover, the hypothesis that clozapine might act by blocking the D₄ receptor was not supported by clinical trials with D₄ antagonists [90].

Relationship of DA Alterations to Other Systems in Schizophrenia

Imaging studies have shown that N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) hypofunction in nonschizophrenic subjects

can lead to DA alterations similar to those observed in schizophrenia, namely subcortical excess and cortical D₁ up-regulation [91•,92–94]. In addition, NMDA antagonists have been shown to engender some of the alterations in γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) neurons in the DLPFC that have been described in schizophrenia [95•]. Such convergence suggests that the main neurochemical dysregulations described in schizophrenia are not mutually exclusive. Glutamatergic and GABAergic alterations in schizophrenia could be linked and could lead to or be associated with an inefficient control of cortical input onto subcortical striatal DA, as well as inefficient cortico-cortical connectivity and function. DA dysregulation may be the endpoint of a cascade of upstream events, an endpoint that is most directly associated with symptoms of the illness and their treatment.

Conclusions

The evidence for hyperactivity of subcortical transmission at D₂ receptors in schizophrenia is well established and has emerged as a phenotype of the illness. It is consistent with the known mode of action of current antipsychotic treatment (D₂ receptor blockade) and with the psychotogenic effects of sustained stimulation of DA function by psychostimulants. On the other hand, imaging and postmortem studies have suggested that hypodopaminergia in the DLPFC contributes to the pathophysiology of cognitive symptoms endured by patients with schizophrenia, although more conclusive evidence and better characterization of these deficits are needed. Finally, the DA alterations may be the endpoint or the result of a cascade of events involving other transmitters such as GABA and glutamate. However, DA alterations are the most clearly understood at this point and the most directly associated with the manifestations of the symptoms.

Knowledge of the pathophysiology has implications for treatment development. In light of the deficit of DA transmission in the cortex, D₁ agonists, as well as D₄ agonists may be useful drugs to test, at least for cognitive enhancement and treatment of negative symptoms. D₃ antagonists or partial agonists, if available, can be tested as antipsychotics that spare the nigrostriatal system, as these receptors are preferentially located in the VST. Finally, drugs that modulate DA release indirectly by acting on other transmitters that may affect DA tone are also possible therapeutic tools for future development.

Acknowledgments

Dr. Abi-Dargham has served on the speakers' bureau for and received honoraria from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Otsuka America Pharmaceutical, Inc., and Sanofi-Aventis, US, LLC and has received grant/research support from Bristol-Myers Squibb.

References and Recommended Reading

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
 - Of major importance
1. Rossum V: The significance of dopamine receptor blockade for the mechanism of action of neuroleptic drugs. *Arch Int Pharmacodyn Ther* 1966, **160**:492–494.
 2. Carlsson A, Lindqvist M: Effect of chlorpromazine or haloperidol on formation of 3-methoxytyramine and normetanephrine in mouse brain. *Acta Pharmacol Toxicol* 1963, **20**:140–144.
 3. Seeman P, Chau-Wong M, Tedesco J, Wong K: Brain receptors for antipsychotic drugs and dopamine: direct binding assays. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1975, **72**:4376–4380.
 4. Creese I, Burt DR, Snyder SH: Dopamine receptor binding predicts clinical and pharmacological potencies of antischizophrenic drugs. *Science* 1976, **19**:481–483.
 5. Lieberman JA, Kane JM, Alvir J: Provocative tests with psychostimulant drugs in schizophrenia. *Psychopharmacology* 1987, **91**:415–433.
 6. Angrist B, van Kammen DP: CNS stimulants as a tool in the study of schizophrenia. *Trends Neurosci* 1984, **7**:388–390.
 7. Knable MB, Weinberger DR: Dopamine, the prefrontal cortex and schizophrenia. *J Psychopharmacol* 1997, **11**:123–131.
 8. Goldman-Rakic PS, Muly EC 3rd, Williams GV: D(1) receptors in prefrontal cells and circuits. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev* 2000, **31**:295–301.
 9. Davis KL, Kahn RS, Ko G, Davidson M: Dopamine in schizophrenia: a review and reconceptualization. *Am J Psychiatry* 1991, **148**:1474–1486.
 10. Weinberger DR: Implications of the normal brain development for the pathogenesis of schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1987, **44**:660–669.
 11. Pycock CJ, Kerwin RW, Carter CJ: Effect of lesion of cortical dopamine terminals on subcortical dopamine receptors in rats. *Nature* 1980, **286**:74–77.
 12. Young D, Scoville WB: Paranoid psychosis in narcolepsy and the possible dangers of benzedrine treatment. *Med Clin North Am* 1938, **22**:637.
 13. Connell PH: *Amphetamine Psychosis*. London: Chapman and Hill; 1958.
 14. Griffith JJ, Oates J, Cavanaugh J: Paranoid episodes induced by drugs. *J Am Med Assoc* 1968, **205**:39.
 15. Angrist BM, Gershon S: The phenomenology of experimentally induced amphetamine psychosis—preliminary observation. *Biol Psychiatry* 1970, **2**:95–107.
 16. Bell DS: The experimental reproduction of amphetamine psychosis. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1973, **29**:35–40.
 17. Ellinwood EH, Jr: Amphetamine psychosis: I. Description of the individuals and process. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1967, **144**:273–283.
 18. Ellinwood EH, Sudilovsky A, Nelson LM: Evolving behavior in the clinical and experimental amphetamine model psychosis. *Am J Psychiatry* 1973, **130**:1088–1093.
 19. Lieberman JA, Kane JM, Sarantakos S, et al.: Prediction of relapse in schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1987, **44**:597–603.
 20. Delay J, Deniker P, Harl J: Therapeutic use in psychiatry of phenothiazine of central elective action (4560 RP) [in French]. *Ann Med Psychol (Paris)* 1952, **110**:112–117.
 21. Talbot PS, Laruelle M: The role of in vivo molecular imaging with PET and SPECT in the elucidation of psychiatric drug action and new drug development. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 2002, **12**:503–511.
 22. Kapur S, Zipursky R, Jones C, et al.: Relationship between dopamine D(2) occupancy, clinical response, and side effects: a double-blind PET study of first-episode schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 2000, **157**:514–520.

23. Nordstrom AL, Farde L, Wiesel FA, et al.: Central D2-dopamine receptor occupancy in relation to antipsychotic drug effects: a double-blind PET study of schizophrenic patients. *Biol Psychiatry* 1993, 33:227–235.
24. Farde L, Nordstrom AL, Wiesel FA, et al.: Positron emission tomographic analysis of central D1 and D2 dopamine receptor occupancy in patients treated with classical neuroleptics and clozapine. Relation to extrapyramidal side effects. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1992, 49:538–544.
25. Weinberger D, Laruelle M: Neurochemical and neuropharmacological imaging in schizophrenia. In *Neuropsychopharmacology—The Fifth Generation of Progress*. Edited by Davis KL, Charney DS, Coyle J, et al. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2001:833–855.
26. Seeman P, Guan HC, Niznik HB: Endogenous dopamine lowers the dopamine D2 receptor density as measured by [³H]raclopride: implications for positron emission tomography of the human brain. *Synapse* 1989, 3:96–97.
27. Seeman P: Brain dopamine receptors in schizophrenia: PET problems. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1988, 45:598–600.
28. Hirvonen J, van Erp TG, Huttunen J, et al.: Increased caudate dopamine D2 receptor availability as a genetic marker for schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005, 62:371–378.
29. Okubo Y, Suhara T, Suzuki K, et al.: Decreased prefrontal dopamine D1 receptors in schizophrenia revealed by PET. *Nature* 1997, 385:634–636.
30. Abi-Dargham A, Mawlawi O, Lombardo I, et al.: Prefrontal dopamine D1 receptors and working memory in schizophrenia. *J Neurosci* 2002, 22:3708–3719.
31. Karlsson P, Farde L, Halldin C, Sedvall G: PET study of D(1) dopamine receptor binding in neuroleptic-naive patients with schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 2002, 159:761–767.
32. Laruelle M, Iyer RN, al-Tikriti MS, et al.: Microdialysis and SPECT measurements of amphetamine-induced dopamine release in nonhuman primates. *Synapse* 1997, 25:1–14.
33. Villemagne VL, Wong DF, Yokoi F, et al.: GBR12909 attenuates amphetamine-induced striatal dopamine release as measured by [(11)C]raclopride continuous infusion PET scans. *Synapse* 1999, 33:268–273.
34. Breier A, Su TP, Saunders R, et al.: Schizophrenia is associated with elevated amphetamine-induced synaptic dopamine concentrations: evidence from a novel positron emission tomography method. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1997, 94:2569–2574.
35. Laruelle M, Abi-Dargham A, van Dyck CH, et al.: Single photon emission computerized tomography imaging of amphetamine-induced dopamine release in drug-free schizophrenic subjects. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1996, 93:9235–9240.
36. Abi-Dargham A, Gil R, Krystal J, et al.: Increased striatal dopamine transmission in schizophrenia: confirmation in a second cohort. *Am J Psychiatry* 1998, 155:761–767.
37. Laruelle M, Abi-Dargham A, Gil R, et al.: Increased dopamine transmission in schizophrenia: relationship to illness phases. *Biol Psychiatry* 1999, 46:56–72.
38. Parsey RV, Oquendo MA, Zea-Ponce Y, et al.: Dopamine D(2) receptor availability and amphetamine-induced dopamine release in unipolar depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2001, 50:313–322.
39. Laruelle M, Abi-Dargham A, van Dyck C, et al.: Dopamine and serotonin transporters in patients with schizophrenia: an imaging study with [(123)I]beta-CIT. *Biol Psychiatry* 2000, 47:371–379.
40. Laakso A, Vilkman H, Alakare B, et al.: Striatal dopamine transporter binding in neuroleptic-naive patients with schizophrenia studied with positron emission tomography. *Am J Psychiatry* 2000, 157:269–271.
41. Taylor SF, Koeppe RA, Tandon R, et al.: In vivo measurement of the vesicular monoamine transporter in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2000, 23:667–675.
42. Laruelle M: Imaging synaptic neurotransmission with in vivo binding competition techniques: a critical review. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2000, 20:423–451.
43. Laruelle M, D'Souza CD, Baldwin RM, et al.: Imaging D2 receptor occupancy by endogenous dopamine in humans. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1997, 17:162–174.
44. Abi-Dargham A, Rodenhiser J, Printz D, et al.: Increased baseline occupancy of D2 receptors by dopamine in schizophrenia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2000, 97:8104–8109.
45. Kegeles L, Frankle W, Gil R, et al.: Schizophrenia is associated with increased synaptic dopamine in associative rather than limbic regions of the striatum: implications for mechanisms of action of antipsychotic drugs. *J Nucl Med* 2006, 47:139P.
- The study reports that α -MPT-induced increase in D₂ receptor availability was significantly higher in untreated patients with schizophrenia. The authors suggest that schizophrenia may be associated with increased D₂ receptor transmission in the preDCA, the area of the striatum that receives the most dense projections from DLPFC.
46. Alexander GE, DeLong MR, Strick PL: Parallel organization of functionally segregated circuits linking basal ganglia and cortex. *Ann Rev Neurosci* 1986, 9:357–381.
47. Hoover JE, Strick PL: Multiple output channels in the basal ganglia. *Science* 1993, 259:819–821.
48. Ferry AT, Ongur D, An X, Price JL: Prefrontal cortical projections to the striatum in macaque monkeys: evidence for an organization related to prefrontal networks. *J Comp Neurol* 2000, 425:447–470.
49. Joel D, Weiner I: The connections of the dopaminergic system with the striatum in rats and primates: an analysis with respect to the functional and compartmental organization of the striatum. *Neuroscience* 2000, 96:451–474.
50. Parent A, Hazrati LN: Functional anatomy of the basal ganglia. I. The cortico-basal ganglia-thalamo-cortical loop. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev* 1995, 20:91–127.
51. Dao-Castellana MH, Paillere-Martinot ML, Hantraye P, et al.: Presynaptic dopaminergic function in the striatum of schizophrenic patients. *Schizophr Res* 1997, 23:167–174.
52. Elkashef AM, Doudet D, Bryant T, et al.: 6-(18)F-DOPA PET study in patients with schizophrenia. Positron emission tomography. *Psychiatry Res* 2000, 100:1–11.
53. Hietala J, Syvalahti E, Vilkman H, et al.: Depressive symptoms and presynaptic dopamine function in neuroleptic-naive schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 1999, 35:41–50.
54. Hietala J, Syvalahti E, Vuorio K, et al.: Presynaptic dopamine function in striatum of neuroleptic-naive schizophrenic patients. *Lancet* 1995, 346:1130–1131.
55. Lindstrom LH, Gefvert O, Hagberg G, et al.: Increased dopamine synthesis rate in medial prefrontal cortex and striatum in schizophrenia indicated by L-(beta-11C) DOPA and PET. *Biol Psychiatry* 1999, 46:681–688.
56. McGowan S, Lawrence AD, Sales T, et al.: Presynaptic dopaminergic dysfunction in schizophrenia: a positron emission tomographic [18F]fluorodopa study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2004, 61:134–142.
- The study reports that presynaptic striatal DA dysfunction is present in medicated schizophrenic patients, adding further in vivo support for DA overactivity in the illness.
57. Meyer-Lindenberg A, Miletich RS, Kohn PD, et al.: Reduced prefrontal activity predicts exaggerated striatal dopaminergic function in schizophrenia. *Nat Neurosci* 2002, 5:267–271.
58. Reith J, Benkelfat C, Sherwin A, et al.: Elevated dopa decarboxylase activity in living brain of patients with psychosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1994, 91:11651–11654.
59. Zhu MY, Juorio AV, Paterson IA, Boulton AA: Regulation of striatal aromatic L-amino acid decarboxylase: effects of blockade or activation of dopamine receptors. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1993, 238:157–164.
60. Cho S, Neff NH, Hadjiconstantinou M: Regulation of tyrosine hydroxylase and aromatic L-amino acid decarboxylase by dopaminergic drugs. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1997, 323:149–157.

61. Danielsen EH, Smith D, Hermansen F, et al.: Acute neuroleptic stimulates DOPA decarboxylase in porcine brain in vivo. *Synapse* 2001, 41:172–175.
62. Torstenson R, Hartvig P, Langstrom B, et al.: Effect of apomorphine infusion on dopamine synthesis rate relates to dopaminergic tone. *Neuropharmacology* 1998, 37:989–995.
63. Grunder G, Vernaleken I, Muller MJ, et al.: Subchronic haloperidol downregulates dopamine synthesis capacity in the brain of schizophrenic patients in vivo. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2003, 28:787–794.
64. Grace AA: Phasic versus tonic dopamine release and the modulation of dopamine system responsivity: a hypothesis for the etiology of schizophrenia. *Neuroscience* 1991, 41:1–24.
65. Goldman-Rakic P: Working memory dysfunction in schizophrenia. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 1994, 6:348.
66. Goldberg TE, Weinberger DR: Genes and the parsing of cognitive processes. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2004, 8:325–335.
- A general review of associations between common gene variants and specific cognitive processes.
67. Weinberger DR, Berman KF, Chase TN: Mesocortical dopaminergic function and human cognition. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1988, 537:330–338.
68. Kahn RS, Harvey PD, Davidson M, et al.: Neuropsychological correlates of central monoamine function in chronic schizophrenia: relationship between CSF metabolites and cognitive function. *Schizophr Res* 1994, 11:217–224.
69. Daniel DG, Weinberger DR, Jones DW, et al.: The effect of amphetamine on regional cerebral blood flow during cognitive activation in schizophrenia. *J Neurosci* 1991, 11:1907–1917.
70. Dolan RJ, Fletcher P, Frith CD, et al.: Dopaminergic modulation of impaired cognitive activation in the anterior cingulate cortex in schizophrenia. *Nature* 1995, 378:180–182.
71. Guo N, Hwang D, Abdellhadi S, et al.: The effect of chronic DA depletion on D1 ligand binding in rodent brain. *Soc Neurosci Abst* 2001, 27:238.
72. Dumartin B, Jaber M, Gonon F, et al.: Dopamine tone regulates D1 receptor trafficking and delivery in striatal neurons in dopamine transporter-deficient mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2000, 97:1879–1884.
73. Scott L, Kruse MS, Forssberg H, et al.: Selective up-regulation of dopamine D1 receptors in dendritic spines by NMDA receptor activation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002, 99:1661–1664.
74. Hirvonen J, van Erp TG, Huttunen J, et al.: Brain dopamine D1 receptors in twins discordant for schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 2006, 163:1747–1753.
- The study reports an association between genetic risk for schizophrenia and alterations in cortical D₁ receptor binding. The data indicate a widespread reduction of D₁ receptor binding in medicated schizophrenia patients, supporting a link between antipsychotic drug action and DA D₁ receptor down-regulation.
75. Lidow MS, Elsworth JD, Goldman-Rakic PS: Down-regulation of the D1 and D5 dopamine receptors in the primate prefrontal cortex by chronic treatment with antipsychotic drugs. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1997, 281:597–603.
76. Ekelund J, Slifstein M, Narendran R, et al.: In vivo DA D1 receptor selectivity of NNC 112 and SCH 23390. *Mol Imaging Biol* 2007, 9:117–125.
77. Tuppurainen H, Kuikka J, Viinamaki H, et al.: Extrastriatal dopamine D 2/3 receptor density and distribution in drug-naïve schizophrenic patients. *Mol Psychiatry* 2003, 8:453–455.
78. Talvik M, Nordstrom AL, Okubo Y, et al.: Dopamine D(2) receptor binding in drug-naïve patients with schizophrenia examined with raclopride-C11 and positron emission tomography. *Psychiatry Res* 2006, 148:165–173.
- The study reported lower D₂ binding potential in the right thalamus in drug-naïve patients, providing further support for the thalamus as a key region in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia.
79. Talvik M, Nordstrom AL, Olsson H, et al.: Decreased thalamic D2/D3 receptor binding in drug-naïve patients with schizophrenia: a PET study with [¹¹C]FLB 457. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 2003, 6:361–370.
80. Suhara T, Okubo Y, Yasuno F, et al.: Decreased dopamine D2 receptor binding in the anterior cingulate cortex in schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2002, 59:25–30.
81. Glenthøj BY, Mackeprang T, Svarer C, et al.: Frontal dopamine D(2/3) receptor binding in drug-naïve first-episode schizophrenic patients correlates with positive psychotic symptoms and gender. *Biol Psychiatry* 2006, 60:621–629.
- The first study to confirm a significant correlation between frontal D₂/D₃ receptor binding potential values and positive symptoms in male schizophrenic patients.
82. Agid O, Mamo D, Ginovart N, et al.: Striatal vs extrastriatal dopamine D(2) receptors in antipsychotic response—a double-blind PET study in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2007, 32:1209–1215.
- The first double-blind, controlled study to examine the relationship between striatal and extrastriatal D₂ occupancy and clinical effects. The data show that DA D₂ blockade within specific regions of the striatum may be most critical for ameliorating psychosis in schizophrenia.
83. Gurevich EV, Bordelon Y, Shapiro RM, et al.: Mesolimbic dopamine D-3 receptors and use of antipsychotics in patients with schizophrenia. A postmortem study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1997, 54:225–232.
84. Murray AM, Hyde TM, Knable MB, et al.: Distribution of putative D4 dopamine receptors in postmortem striatum from patients with schizophrenia. *J Neurosci* 1995, 15:2186–2191.
85. Sumiyoshi T, Stockmeier CA, Overholser JC, et al.: Dopamine D4 receptors and effects of guanine nucleotides on [³H]raclopride binding in postmortem caudate nucleus of subjects with schizophrenia or major depression. *Brain Res* 1995, 681:109–116.
86. Marzella PL, Copolov D: [³H]nemonapride binding in human caudate and putamen. *Brain Res Bull* 1997, 44:167–170.
87. Lahti RA, Roberts RC, Conley RR, et al.: Dopamine D2, D3 and D4 receptors in human postmortem brain sections: comparison between normals and schizophrenics. *Schizophr Res* 1996, 18:173.
88. Reynolds GP, Mason SL: Are striatal dopamine D-4 receptors increased in schizophrenia? *J Neurochem* 1994, 63:1576–1577.
89. Lahti RA, Roberts RC, Cochrane EV, et al.: Direct determination of dopamine D-4 receptors in normal and schizophrenic postmortem brain tissue: a [³H]NGD-94-1 study. *Mol Psychiatry* 1998, 3:528–533.
90. Kramer MS, Last B, Getson A, Reines SA: The effects of a selective D4 dopamine receptor antagonist (L-745,870) in acutely psychotic inpatients with schizophrenia. D4 Dopamine Antagonist Group. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1997, 54:567–572.
91. Narendran R, Frankle WG, Keefe R, et al.: Altered prefrontal dopaminergic function in chronic recreational ketamine users. *Am J Psychiatry* 2005, 162:2352–2359.
- The study reported that DLPFC D₁ receptor availability was significantly up-regulated in chronic ketamine users.
92. Abi-Dargham A, Moore H: Prefrontal DA transmission at D1 receptors and the pathology of schizophrenia. *Neuroscientist* 2003, 9:404–416.
93. Kegeles LS, Abi-Dargham A, Zea-Ponce Y, et al.: Modulation of amphetamine-induced striatal dopamine release by ketamine in humans: implications for schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 2000, 48:627–640.
94. Laruelle M, Kegeles LS, Abi-Dargham A: Glutamate, dopamine, and schizophrenia: from pathophysiology to treatment. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2003, 1003:138–158.
95. Lewis DA, Gonzalez-Burgos G: Pathophysiologically based treatment interventions in schizophrenia. *Nat Med* 2006, 12:1016–1022.
- The study reported the contributions of disturbances in glutamate, DA, and GABA neurotransmission to the pathophysiology of working memory impairments in schizophrenia. The authors suggest a cascade of molecular events that might link these disturbances.
96. Hsiao MC, Lin KJ, Liu CY, et al.: Dopamine transporter change in drug-naïve schizophrenia: an imaging study with ^{99m}Tc-TRODAT-1. *Schizophr Res* 2003, 65:39–46.