Behavioral and Psychologic Aspects of the Pathophysiology and Management of Tension-type Headache

Kenneth A. Holroyd, PhD

Address

Department of Psychology, Ohio University, 200 Porter Hall, Athens, OH 45701-2979, USA. E-mail: holroyd@ohio.edu

Current Pain and Headache Reports 2002, **6:**401–407 Current Science Inc. ISSN 1531–3433 Copyright © 2002 by Current Science Inc.

Behavioral and psychologic factors in tension-type headache are reviewed with reference to pathophysiology, comorbid psychiatric disorders, headache triggers, and behavioral treatment, including the efficacy of behavioral treatments, brief minimal contact administration of behavioral treatment, therapeutic mechanisms underlying the effectiveness of behavioral treatments, and the integration of drug and behavioral treatments. Anxiety or depression may contribute to central sensitization that underlies frequent tension-type headaches. Excessive analgesic use, comorbid psychiatric disorders, or persistent unaddressed headache triggers may limit the effectiveness of headache treatment. Available drug and behavioral treatments are effective for episodic tension-type headache, but are only moderately effective for chronic tension-type headaches. The combination of behavioral and preventive drug therapies may improve outcomes for patients with chronic tension-type headache.

Introduction

Episodic tension-type headache is often readily managed with simple behavioral prescriptions and nonprescription analgesics or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents. However, chronic tension-type headaches (CTTHs), which occur at least 15 days each month for at least 6 months [1] and often every day or nearly every day for patients seeking treatment, present a more difficult management problem [2–4]. This review examines psychologic and behavioral factors in the pathophysiology and management of tension-type headaches, emphasizing CITH.

Pathophysiology

Frequent tension-type headaches are currently thought to be maintained by a central nervous system (CNS) dysfunction, rather than by input from peripheral nerves in contracted facial, neck, and shoulder muscles [5,6]. This CNS dysfunction may involve a sensitization of pain transmission circuits in the trigeminal nucleus [7•,8] that lowers the threshold of these circuits for the transmission of nociceptive signals. A dysfunction in supraspinal (limbic) pain modulation circuits also has been postulated to maintain pain by permitting or facilitating the transmission of nociceptive information [3,9]. However, research has failed to provide support for the latter possibility, at least when the putative dysfunction is indexed by abnormalities in the exteroceptive suppression of temporalis or masseter muscle activity [10–13].

In response to standardized pressure stimuli, pericranial muscle tenderness has been observed consistently in tension-type headache, particularly in CITH, even when the patient is in a headache-free state [10-12,14,15]. From the shape of pressure-pain curves, Bendtsen *et al.* [16] have concluded that this muscle tenderness results from a sensitization of second-order neurons in the trigeminal nucleus and dorsal horn $[7\bullet,8]$; nitric oxide appears to be involved in this sensitization process at the molecular level [17].

Anxiety or depression may contribute to the sensitization of pain transmission circuits [12,18]. For example, depression was associated with elevations in pericranial muscle tenderness, reductions in peripheral pain tolerance, and an increased likelihood that patients would experience tension-type headaches after laboratory psychologic stress [18]. Thus, depression or anxiety may increase vulnerability to or maintain frequent tension-type headaches by aggravating the central sensitization in pain transmission pathways, and through associated muscle tension that elevates peripheral input. As the pathophysiology of central sensitization becomes better understood, it should be possible to investigate the role of stress and emotion in tension-type headache more precisely.

Comorbid Psychiatric Disorders

The prevalence of anxiety or mood disorders does not appear to be elevated in episodic tension-type headache [19,20]. However, it is doubtful this finding can be generalized to CITH. In clinical samples, the prevalence of anxiety and mood disorders is high in CITH; more than 40% of patients with CITH in primary care settings and higher percentages of

1 0	71	
Lifestyle factors	Hormonal and physical factors	Environment
Fatigue Inconsistent eating patterns fasting missing meals insufficient food Sleep excessive sleep unrefreshing sleep insufficient sleep change in sleep schedule sleep problems (eg, delayed onset, restless sleep) Stress	Head or neck injury Hormone replacement therapy Medications Menstrual period (before, during, after) Oral contraceptives Physical exertion Postmenopausal changes Pregnancy Unergonomic posture	Altitude changes Air pollution Bright or flickering lights; glare Exposure to vapors or chemicals (eg, gasoline, industrial fumes, cleaning products) Motion Noise Perfumes, colognes Smoke Strong odors Weather changes (eg, barometric changes)
during stress after stress (eg, let-down headache) Travel Smoking or passive smoke		

Table 1. Precipitating factors for headache as identified by patients

patients with CTTH who are seen in specialty settings are diagnosed with an anxiety or mood disorder by standardized diagnostic assessments [4,21–23]. Moreover, a comorbid anxiety or mood disorder appears to increase the disability associated with CTTH, suggesting that the identification and effective management of comorbid psychiatric disorders plays an important role in reducing disability for patients with headache who also have a comorbid psychiatric disorder [4].

Headache Triggers

If patients are alerted to common triggers and early warning signs of headache and are encouraged to record these prospectively, most of them can learn to identify headache triggers and early headache warning signs. Table 1 presents the most frequently reported headache triggers. Unergonomic posture (*eg*, head forward), working position (*eg*, at desk, using industrial equipment), or sleeping position often are not spontaneously reported, but may be evident during a physical examination, through careful inquiry, or in the pattern of headaches that are recorded in a headache diary.

Identification of previously unrecognized triggers opens up new behavioral options for the prevention of headaches. Conversely, the failure to address persistent headache triggers may limit the effectiveness of other treatments. Identification of early signs (*eg*, muscle tension, environmental cues associated with headache onset) can provide warnings to employ behavioral headache management skills or to use medication abortively.

Excessive Medication Use

Headaches aggravated by excessive medication use (analgesic, ergotamine, triptan), often termed rebound headaches, are notably refractory to drug and behavior therapies [24]. In one retrospective study [25], fewer than one third of patients who were administered high amounts of medication achieved clinically significant reductions (50% or more) in headache activity with behavioral treatment; more than 50% of patients who were administered lower levels of medication showed this same level of improvement. High medication consumption in this study was defined by a score of 40 or higher on a commonly used weighted medication index [26], the equivalent of approximately six aspirin or three Fiorinal (Novartis, East Hanover, NJ) daily.

Medication withdrawal combined with behavioral therapy or with behavior therapy and prophylactic pharmacotherapy together has been found to be effective in managing rebound headaches in several case series. At a 17-month follow-up evaluation, Baumgartner *et al.* [27] reported that medication withdrawal accompanied by prophylactic pharmacotherapy and relaxation training produced significant reductions in headache activity in 61% of patients who had been using high levels (mean 39 doses weekly) of medication. However, the benefits of behavior therapy or prophylactic medication in facilitating medication withdrawal have not been evaluated in controlled trials.

It is important to help patients understand rebound headaches and to provide clear guidelines for using problem medications. In addition, the behavioral factors that can influence analgesic consumption need to be addressed. For example, some patients take analgesics in anticipation of a headache, although they are unable to accurately predict the onset of headaches. It is often anxiety and fear of headaches rather than a valid signal of the onset of a headache that cues the patient to take medication. For other patients, it is the mood-altering effects of medications containing barbiturates or caffeine that reinforce excessive use of medications. Behavioral interventions can be used to help patients distinguish



Figure 1. Schematic of electromyography biofeedback training. Frontal area and trapezius muscles are being monitored with ground on the ear lobe.

valid signs of headache onset from anxiety or fear of headaches and can teach alternative skills for managing anxiety.

Behavioral Management

Behavioral treatments can be efficacious if used as an alternative or as an adjunct to medication in the treatment of frequent tension-type headache [28•,29–31]. The most commonly used behavioral interventions for tension-type headache can be grouped into three categories: relaxation training, biofeedback training, and cognitive-behavior (stress-management) therapy. Although these treatments attempt to influence the frequency and the severity of headaches, they emphasize the prevention of headache episodes. Behavioral treatments may also reduce psychologic symptoms, affective distress, or somatic complaints.

Relaxation training

Relaxation training skills are thought to enable patients with recurrent headache disorders to exert control over headache-related physiologic responses. The practice of relaxation exercises also assists patients in achieving a sense of mastery or self-control over their headaches, providing a brief hiatus from everyday stress and enabling patients to manage everyday stressors. Three types of relaxation training techniques are used in the treatment of headache: progressive muscle relaxation, which is alternately tensing and relaxing specific muscle groups throughout the body [32]; autogenic training, which is the use of self-statements of feelings of warmth and heaviness to achieve a state of deep relaxation[33]; and meditative exercises or passive relaxation techniques, which use silently repeated words or sounds to promote mental calm and relaxation [34]. These various relaxation training procedures often are combined in a treatment package (Fig. 1).

Biofeedback training

Biofeedback training refers to procedures that provide information about physiologic processes (usually through the use of electronic instrumentation) in the form of an observable display (typically an audio tone or visual display) [35]. This information, or feedback, is used by the patient to learn to self-regulate the response that is being monitored. Electromyographic (EMG) biofeedback, which is feedback of electrical activity from muscles of the scalp, neck, and sometimes the upper body, is typically used to teach patients to self-regulate muscle tension in the treatment of tension-type headache (Fig. 1). EMG biofeedback is typically administered in combination with relaxation training.

Stress-management training (cognitive-behavioral therapy)

The rationale for stress-management training derives from the observation that the way patients cope with everyday stressors can precipitate, exacerbate, and maintain headache episodes, or increase headache-related disability and distress [36,37•]. Stress-management therapy focuses on the cognitive and affective components of headaches. It is typically administered in conjunction with relaxation interventions. Stress-management interventions are used to teach patients to identify stressful circumstances that precipitate or aggravate headaches and to employ effective strategies for coping with these stressors. They also help patients cope more effectively with pain and distress associated with headache episodes, and limit negative psychologic consequences of recurrent headaches (*eg*, depression and disability).

Minimal contact treatment formats

Minimal contact behavioral treatment employs only a few (*eg*, typically 3 to 4 monthly) clinical visits to introduce relaxation or stress-management skills and to address problems that patients encounter in acquiring these self-regulation skills. Headache management skills are acquired primarily at home with guidance from home study materials (audiotapes and workbooks) and periodic (*eg*, biweekly) phone calls between patient and therapist. Relaxation and stress-management therapies have been effectively administered in a minimal contact or home-based treatment format [38–42]. Minimal contact treatment protocols can reduce the cost and increase the availability of behavioral treatment.

There are no absolute contraindications to minimaltherapist-contact treatment. However, Lipchik *et al.* [37•] proposed relative contraindications that incorporate existing empirical evidence.



Figure 2. Effect size with 95% confidence interval (top axis) and percent reduction in tension-type headache activity (bottom axis). AM—amitriptyline HCl; EMG-BF—electromyographic biofeedback training; EMG-BF + RLX—electromyographic biofeedback training plus relaxation training; CBT—cognitive-behavior therapy; RLX—relaxation training; placebo—pseudotherapy or false biofeedback training; control— headache monitoring control. (*Adapted from* McCrory *et al.* [31])

Limited reading comprehension, low intelligence, or significant cognitive impairment

Patients with reading comprehension below the eighth grade level, below average intelligence, deficits in concentration, attention, or memory, or organic brain damage typically will have difficulty making effective use of the written materials and audiotapes.

Misuse or overuse of medication

Patients need to reduce or eliminate offending medications if there is evidence of medication overuse (*eg*, use of acute medications 20 days or more monthly). Patients who have difficulty reducing their medication use on their own may benefit from additional treatment sessions during this period.

Chronic daily severe headache

Patients who have near-constant headaches that can be severe often respond poorly to relaxation and biofeedback therapies [43]. Patients with chronic daily headache may require multimodal therapy that includes prophylactic medications and behavioral intervention over a longer period of time than that afforded in a minimal contact treatment protocol.

Nonadherence

Nonadherence refers to the failure of patients to complete headache diaries or homework assignments. Some patients simply do not make the effort to learn or apply self-regulation skills without regular contact with a health professional

Psychiatric comorbidity

Patients who are significantly impaired by a psychiatric disorder or who have a personality disorder may require attention; they may also have a personality disorder. The presence of psychologic symptoms or a comorbid psychiatric disorder does not prohibit behavioral treatment; psychologic treatment may be indicated. However, additional treatment sessions may be required to address more severe psychologic problems.

Age

Some older patients have difficulties with attention, concentration, or other problems that affect the learning of novel material. Although these patients may not benefit from minimal contact treatment, they often can benefit from a clinic-based program [44,45] (Fig. 2).

Efficacy

The Agency for Health Care Research and Quality (AHCRQ) evaluated behavioral treatments for tensiontype headache [31,46], concluding that a consistent body of research indicated that efficacy exists for the four behavioral treatments described previously. Figure 2 shows that these behavioral treatments have each yielded a 40% to 50% improvement in tension-type headache activity when results are averaged across trials; these improvements are at least equal to those reported with amitriptyline HCl.

Approximately one third (n = 35) of the identified behavioral treatment trials (n = 107) could be included in the formal AHCRQ meta-analysis because many trials failed to provide the information necessary to calculate the treatment effect sizes used in meta-analysis. Nonetheless, additional analyses suggested that overall findings would not materially change in the larger pool of trials. Analyses conducted for this evidence report are consistent with results from earlier, more inclusive meta-analyses that used different statistical techniques [47–49] (Fig. 3).

Clinical wisdom suggests that the presence of a comorbid psychiatric disorder reduces the effectiveness of treatment, but empirical data supporting this is limited [21,50,51]. A comparative trial of stress-management (cognitive-behavioral) and preventive drug (tricyclic antidepressant) therapies for chronic tension-type headache found that both active treatments significantly differed from



Figure 3. Mean Headache Index scores at baseline during treatment (dose adjustment) and (with standard error) at one-month and 6-month evaluations. Headache Index is calculated as a mean of daily headache ratings (range 0–10) over 1-month periods. AM—antide-pressant medication; PL—placebo; SMT—stress-management therapy; AM + SMT—combined treatment. (*Adapted from* Holroyd *et al.* [56••] with permission.)

pill placebo only when a comorbid anxiety disorder was present or when headaches were severe (high headache index score); active treatment and placebo plus clinical management produced similar improvements for patients with less complicated headache problems [50]. This suggests that active (drug or behavioral) treatment may be beneficial for patients who have more complicated headache problems; however, active treatment may add little to education and clinical management for patients with less complicated headache problems. A relevant study of migraine [51] suggests that the presence of a comorbid psychiatric disorder may be associated with long-term (6 to 7 years) relapse, even if it is not associated with a poor initial response to drug therapy. Thus, long-term maintenance of improvements may be dependent on the effective management of headaches and co-morbid psychiatric disorders.

Therapeutic mechanisms

Efforts to understand how behavioral treatments produce improvements in headache activity have focused on EMG biofeedback training. The conventional wisdom that EMG biofeedback training reduces tension headache activity simply by enabling patients to control sustained contractions in pericranial muscles was challenged in the early 1980s [52,53]. An alternative cognitive-attributional model emphasizing changes in self-efficacy (the patients perception that they can take actions to manage their headaches) as the key therapeutic mechanism in EMG biofeedback has received some support [53–55]. However, the possibility that improvements result from the impact of EMG biofeedback training on central pain modulation deficits that have been postulated to underlie frequent tension-type headaches has not received support (at least when hypothesized CNS deficits were indexed by abnormalities in exteroceptive suppression periods in masseter activity) [55]. Improvements in tension-type headaches following EMG activity may be mediated by different therapeutic mechanisms in different patients, with each of the therapeutic mechanisms illustrated in Figure 2 being important for some patients.

Integrating Drug and Behavior Therapies

Trials comparing cognitive-behavioral therapy and (tricyclic) antidepressant medication for chronic tensiontype headache suggest that these two treatments produce similar outcomes; however, they raise the possibility that combining the two treatments may enhance outcomes [40,56••]. In the largest study to date [56••], 203 patients were randomized to one of four of the following treatments: tricyclic antidepressant (100 mg/d of amitriptyline hydrochloride or 75 mg/d of nortriptyline hydrochloride), medication placebo, minimal-contact (three clinical sessions) stress-management (cognitive-behavior therapy) plus antidepressant medication, or stress-management therapy plus placebo. Antidepressant medication and stress-management therapy yielded similar reductions in CTTHs, analgesic medication use, and headache-related disability at a 6-month follow-up evaluation; improvements tended to be more rapid in the antidepressant medication conditions than with stress-management therapy alone (Fig. 3). However, the combined treatment was more likely to produce clinically significant ($\geq 50\%$) reductions in CTTHs (64% of patients), than antidepressant medication alone (38% of patients) or stress-management therapy alone (35% of patients).

Reich and Gottesman [57] examined the long-term benefits of adding amitriptyline (75 mg/d) to an intensive (30 sessions) multiple-site EMG biofeedback protocol. The combination of amitriptyline HCl and EMG biofeedback yielded more rapid improvement in tension-type headache activity than EMG biofeedback alone; however, the combined treatment showed no advantage over EMG biofeedback alone beginning at month 8 and continuing through the 24-month evaluation period. In fact, patients who received EMG biofeedback alone at the 20- and 24-month observation period, after withdrawal from amitriptyline HCl, recorded significantly fewer hours of headache activity than patients who received combined treatment. Thus, EMG biofeedback training was associated with better longterm outcomes than the combined treatment, at least after medication was discontinued. It has been suggested that patients who attribute their improvement to medication may cease to employ the headache management skills learned in behavioral treatment over time and may lose the benefits of combined treatment.

Conclusions

Behavior may influence the pathophysiology of tensiontype headache if it leads to persistent muscle strain that produces excessive peripheral input from pericranial nociceptors or exposure to headache triggers. Anxiety or depression may contribute to the sensitization of pain transmission pathways that underlie chronic tension-type headaches by elevating muscle tension and peripheral input from pericranial nociceptors and by other mechanisms. Available drug and behavioral treatments are effective for episodic tension-type headache, but are only moderately effective for chronic tension-type headache. However, the combination of behavioral and preventive drug therapies may improve outcomes with chronic tension-type headaches. Management of comorbid anxiety and depression headaches appears to be important in reducing headache-related impairment.

References and Recommended Reading

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- •• Of major importance
- 1. Olesen JC: Classification and diagnostic criteria for headache disorders, cranial neuralgias, and facial pain: Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society. *Cephalalgia* 1988, **8** (Suppl. 7).
- 2. Jensen R, Sandrini G: **Symptomatology of chronic tensiontype headache.** In *The headaches* edn 2. Edited by Olesen J, Tfelt-Hansen P, Welch KMA: Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2000:627–634.
- Schoenen J, Wang W: Tension-type headache. In *Headache*. Edited by Goadsby PJ, Silberstein SD: Woburn, MA: Butterworth-Heinemann; 1997:177–200.
- 4. Holroyd K, Stensland M, Lipchik G, *et al.*: Psychosocial correlates and impact of chronic tension-type headaches. *Headache* 2000, 40:3–16.
- Bernstein R: Central sensitization and headache. In *The Headaches* edn 2. Edited by Olesen J, Tfelt-Hansen P, Welch KMA: Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2000:125–136.
- Olesen J, Schoenen J: Synthesis of tension-type headache mechanisms. In *The Headaches*, edn 2. Edited by Olesen J, Tfelt-Hansen P, Welch KMA: Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2000:615–618.
- Bendtsen L: Central sensitization in tension-type headachepossible pathophysiologic mechanisms. *Cephalalgia* 2000, 20:486–508.

Reviews evidence of the role that central sensitization plays in tension-type hedache.

- 8. Bendtsen L, Ashina M: **Sensitization of myofascial pain pathways in tension-type headache.** In *The Headaches,* edn 2. Edited by Olesen J, Tfelt-Hansen P, Welch KMA: Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2000:573–568.
- 9. Schoenen J: Exteroceptive suppression of temporalis muscle activity in patients with chronic headache and in normal volunteers: Methodology, clinical, and pathophysiologic relevance. *Headache* 1993, 33:3–17.
- Lipchik GL, Holroyd KA, France CR, et al.: Central and peripheral mechanisms in chronic tension-type headache. *Pain* 1996, 64:467–475.
- 11. Lipchik GL, Holroyd KH, Talbot F, Greer M: **Pericranial muscle** tenderness and exteroceptive suppression of temporalis muscle activity: a blind study of chronic tension-type headache. *Headache* 1997, **37**:368–376.

- 12. Lipchik GL, Holroyd KA, O'Donnell FO, *et al.*: Exteroceptive suppression periods and pericranial muscle tenderness in chronic tension-type headache: effects of psychopathology, chronicity, and disability. *Cephalalgia* 2000, **20**:638–646.
- 13. Bendtsen L, Jensen R, Brennum J, *et al.*: Exteroceptive suppression of temporal muscle activity is normal in chronic tension-type headache and not related to actual headache state. *Cephalalgia* 1995, 16:251–256.
- 14. Langemark M, Olesen J: Pericranial tenderness in tension headache: a blind, controlled study. *Cephalgia* 1987, 7:249–255.
- 15. Jensen R, Rasmussen BK, Pedersen B, Olesen J: Muscle tenderness and pressure pain thresholds in headache: a population study. *Pain* 1993, **52**:193–199.
- 16. Bendtsen L, Jensen R, Olesen J: **Qualitatively altered nocicep**tion in chronic myofascial pain. *Pain* 1996, 65:259–264.
- 17. Ashina M: Nitric oxide synthase inhibitors for the treatment of chronic tension-type headache. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* 2002, 3:395–399.
- 18. Janke EA, Holroyd KA: Depression increases vulnerability to tension-type headaches following stress in a laboratory paradigm. *Ann Behav Med* 2002, 24:S024.
- Merikangas KR, Stevens DE, Angst J: Headache and Personality: results of a community sample of young adults. J Psychiatry Res 1993, 27:187–196.
- 20. Merikangas KR: **Psychopathology and headache syndromes in the community.** *Headache* 1994, **34**:S17–S26.
- 21. Guidetti V, Galli F, Fabrizi P, *et al.*: Headache and psychiatric comorbidity: Clinical aspects and outcome in an 8 year follow-up study. *Cephalalgia* 1998, 18:455–462.
- 22. Goncalves JA, Monteiro P: Psychiatric analysis of patients with tension-type headache. In *Tension-Type Headache: Classification, Mechanisms, and Treatment.* Edited by Olesen J, Schoenen J. Philadelphia: Lippinncot Williams & Wilkins; 1993:167–172.
- 23. Puca F, Genco S, Prudenzano MP: **Psychiatric comorbidity and psychosocial stress in patients with tension-type headache** from headache centers in Italy. *Cephalalgia* 1999, **19**:159–164.
- 24. Diener HC: **Headache associated with chronic use of substances**. In *The Headaches*. Edited by Diener HC, Dahlof C. Philadelphia: Lippincott, Williams, & Wilkins; 2000:871–877.
- Michultka DM, Blanchard EB, Appelbaum KA, et al.: The refractory headache patient: II: high medication consumption (analgesic rebound) headache. *Beh Res Ther* 1989, 27:411–420.
- 26. Coyne L, Sargent J, Segerson J, Obourn R: Relative potency scale for analgesic drugs: use of psychophysical procedures with clinical judgments. *Headache* 1976, 16:70–71.
- 27. Baumgartner C, Wesseley P, Bingol C, *et al.*: Long-term prognosis of analgesic withdrawal in patients with drug-induced headaches. *Headache* 1989, **29**:510–514.

28.• Holroyd KA: Assessment and psychological treatment of recurrent headache disorders. J Consult Clin Psychol 2002, in press. Reviews recent research on psychosocial aspects of headache and

headache treatment.

- Holroyd KA, Lipchik GL, Penzien DB: Behavioral management of recurrent headache disorders. In Wolff's Headache and Other Headache Pain, edn 7th. Edited by Silberstein SD, Lipton RB, Dalessio DJ. New York: Oxford University Press; 2001:562–598.
- Blanchard EB, Andrasik F: Management of Chronic Headaches: A Psychological Approach. Elmsford, NY: Pergamon Press; 1985.
- 31. McCrory D, Penzien D, Hasselblad V, Gray R: *Behavioral and Physical Treatments for Tension-Type and Cervicogenic Headache*. DesMoines, IA: Foundation for Chiropractic Education and Research; 2001.
- Bernstein DA, Carlson CR: Progressive relaxation: abbreviated methods. In Principles and Practice of Stress Management. Edited by Lehrer P, Woolfolk RL. New York: The Guilford Press; 1993:53–85.
- Schultz J, Luthe W: Autogenic Training: A Psychophysiologic Approach in Psychotherapy, vol 1. New York: Grune & Stratton; 1959.
- 34. Benson H: *The Relaxation Response*. New York: William Morrow; 1975.
- 35. Schwartz MS: *Biofeedback: A practitioner's guide*. New York: Guilford Press; 1995.

- Holroyd KA, Andrasik F: A cognitive-behavioral approach to recurrent tension and migraine headache. In Advances in Cognitive-Behavioral Research and Therapy, vol 1. Edited by Kendall PE. San Diego: Academic Press; 1982:276–320.
- 37.• Lipchik GL, Holroyd KA, Nash JM: Cognitive-behavioral management of recurrent headache disorders: a minimal-therapist contact approach. In *Psychological Approaches to Pain Management*, edn 2. Edited by Turk DC, Gatchel RS. New York: Guilford Press; 2002, in press.

This article describes cognitive-behavioral (stress-management) treatments for headache.

- Teders SJ, Blanchard EB, Andrasik F, et al.: Relaxation training for tension headache: comparative efficacy and cost-effectiveness of a minimal therapist contact versus a therapist delivered procedure. Behav Ther 1984, 15:59–70.
- 39. Tobin DL, Holroyd KA, Baker A, *et al.*: **Development and clini**cal trial of a minimal contact, cognitive-behavioral treatment for tension headache. *Cogn Ther Res* 1988, **12**:325–339.
- Holroyd KA, Nash JM, Pingel JD, et al.: A comparison of pharmacological (amitriptyline HCl) and nonpharmacologic (cognitive-behavioral) therapies for chronic tension head-aches. J Consult Clin Psychol 1991, 59:387–393.
- 41. Haddock CK, Rowan AB, Andrasik F, *et al.*: Home-based behavioral treatments for chronic benign headache: a meta-analysis of controlled trials. *Cephalalgia* 1997, 17:113–118.
- 42. Rowan AB, Andrasik F: Efficacy and cost-effectiveness of minimal therapist contact treatments of chronic headache: a review. *Behav Ther* 1996, **27**:207–234.
- 43. Blanchard EB, Appelbaum KA, Radnitz CL, *et al.*: **The refractory headache patient- I: chronic, daily, high-intensity headache**. *Beh Res Ther* 1989, **27**:403–410.
- 44. Arena JG, Hannah SL, Bruno GM, Meador KJ: Electromyographic biofeedback training for tension headache in the elderly: a prospective study. *Biofeed Self-Regul* 1991, 35:187–195.
- 45. Mosley TH, Grotheus CA, Meeks WM: Treatment of tension headache in the elderly: a controlled evaluation of relaxation training and relaxation combined with cognitive-behavior therapy. J Clin Geropsychology 1995, 1:175–188.
- 46. Agency for Health Care Research and Quality evaluation of behavioral treatments for tension-type headache: **Behavioral and physical treatments for migraine headache** accessible at: http://www.clinpol.mc.duke.edu/pubs/Publications/publications.html#Technical
- 47. Bogaards MC, ter Kuile MM: Treatment of recurrent tension headache: a meta-analytic review. *Clin J Pain* 1994, 10:174–190.
- 48. Holroyd KA, Penzien DB: Client variables in the behavioral treatment of recurrent tension headache: a meta-analytic review. *J Beh Med* 1986, 9:515–536.

- Blanchard EB, Andrasik F, Ahles TA, et al.: Migraine and tension headache: a meta-analytic review. Behav Ther 1980, 11:613–631.
- Holroyd KA, Malinoski PT, Carlson BW: Patient characteristics and treatment adherence predict response to antidepressant medication and to cognitive-behavior therapy for chronic tension-type headache. *Ann Behav Med* 2002, 24:S050.
- 51. Mongini: **Personality traits, depression, and migraine in** women: a longitudinal study. *Cephalagia* 2002, in press.
- Andrasik F, Holroyd KA: A test of specific and nonspecific effects in the biofeedback treatment of tension headache. J Consult Clin Psychol 1980, 48:575–586.
- 53. Holroyd KA, Penzien DB, Hursey KG, et al.: Change mechanisms in EMG biofeedback training: cognitive changes underlying improvements in tension headache. In Psychosocial Processes in Health: A Reader. Edited by Steptoe A, Wardle J; 1984. vol reprint.
- Blanchard EB, Kim M, Hermann CU, Steffek BD: Preliminary results of the effects on headache relief of perception of success among tension headache patients receiving relaxation. *Headache Quarterly* 1993, 4:249–253.
- Rokicki LA, Holroyd KA, France CR, et al.: Change mechanisms associated with combined relaxation/EMG biofeedback training for chronic tension headache. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback 1997, 22:21–41.
- 56.•• Holroyd KA, O'Donnell FJ, Stensland M, et al.: Management of chronic tension-type headache with tricyclic antidepressant medication, stress-management therapy, and their combination: a randomized, controlled trial. JAMA 2001, 285:2208–2215.

Largest controlled trial evaluating behavioral treatment for chronic tension-type headache.

- Reich BA, Gottesman M: Biofeedback and psychotherapy in the treatment of muscle contraction/tension-type headache. In *Headache Diagnosis and Interdisciplinary Treatment*. Edited by Tollison CD, Kunkel RS. Baltimore: Urban & Schwartzenberg; 1993:167–180.
- Blau JN, Thavapalan M: Preventing migraine: a study of precipitating factors. *Headache* 1988, 28:481–483.
- 59. Radnitz CL: Food-triggered migraine: a critical review. *Ann Behav Med* 1990, **12**:51–65.
- 60. Rasmussen BK: Migraine and tension-type headache in a general population: precipitating factors, female hormones, sleep pattern, and relation to lifestyle. *Pain* 1993, 53:65-72.
- 61. Robbins L: Precipitating factors in migraine: a retrospective review of 494 patients. *Headache* 1994, 34:214–216.