

Carcinogenicity of Antihypertensive Therapy

Ehud Grossman, MD, Franz H. Messerli, MD, and Uri Goldbourt, PhD*

Address

*Ochsner Clinic Foundation, 1514 Jefferson Highway,
New Orleans, LA 70121, USA.
E-mail: fmesserli@aol.com

Current Hypertension Reports 2002, 4:195–201
Current Science Inc. ISSN 1522-6417
Copyright © 2002 by Current Science Inc.

Several studies have suggested that antihypertensive treatment may promote cancer through unknown mechanisms. Early retrospective studies implicated reserpine in breast cancer, but data from prospective studies and meta-analysis of several case-controlled studies showed only a weak association between reserpine and breast cancer which, although statistically significant, is of little clinical concern. Data from case-controlled studies and several cohort studies suggested an association between the use of a diuretic and the occurrence of renal cell cancer, particularly in women. A recent study showed an association between the use of a diuretic and the occurrence of colon cancer. Several prospective studies showed that treatment with atenolol may increase mortality from malignancy. However, other studies that analyzed data from several thousand patients could not confirm this association. In three prospective and a few case-controlled studies, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors were not associated with increased mortality from malignancy. In addition, a recent retrospective study showed that long-term use of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors had a protective effect against malignancy. Data from three large case-controlled studies and the combined data from eight randomized controlled studies and seven longitudinal studies showed a similar risk for malignancy among users and nonusers of calcium antagonists. Until further data from prospective clinical trials are available, we advise caution about long-term diuretic therapy in women. With regard to other antihypertensive drug classes, we suggest continuing the management of hypertension according to current treatment guidelines with little fear of any substantial cancer risk.

Introduction

Treatment of hypertension decreases cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [1–5]. Antihypertensive treatment has, however, been less effective in reducing all cause mortality [1–5]. It is not clear whether this is due to dilu-

tion of the above through lack of association between antihypertensive therapy and other causes of mortality, or by a relative increase in mortality from other causes, such as malignancy, in treated hypertensive patients. Several studies raised the possibility that antihypertensive treatment may increase the rate of malignancy. It is possible that antihypertensive therapy prolongs life by decreasing mortality from cardiovascular causes, and in turn exposes patients to increased morbidity and mortality from extra-cardiovascular causes such as malignancies. Furthermore, patients who are treated for hypertension are under closer medical supervision, which statistically increases the risk of a malignancy being detected when compared with healthy subjects who are under no medical supervision. Hypertension per se may also increase the risk of malignancy. We recently showed that hypertension, mainly systolic, is associated with a 23% increased risk for malignancy [6]. Alternatively, antihypertensive drugs may increase the risk of malignancy. Several antihypertensive agents have been implicated in increasing the incidence and mortality from malignancy [7–24]. A drug may increase the risk of malignancy either by being directly carcinogenic, by eliciting or accelerating other carcinogens, or by impeding defense mechanisms. Many clinical studies tried to determine whether antihypertensive drugs, in general, are carcinogenic [7–15,25].

We recently summarized the available data regarding the relation between antihypertensive treatment and malignancy [26]. In this paper we update our recent review.

Antihypertensive Treatment and Cancer

Many clinical studies have been directed to determine whether antihypertensive drugs, in general, are carcinogenic [7–14,25,27].

Dyer *et al.* [25] and Andersson *et al.* [28] found no association between antihypertensive treatment and malignancy. However, several other studies observed a significant association between antihypertensive treatment and malignancy [7–9,11,12,27]. Whereas two studies [11,12] showed an association between nondiuretic antihypertensive treatment and renal cell carcinoma, others found an increased risk for renal cell carcinoma with antihypertensive treatment in general [8,9,13,27]. One case-controlled study [7] showed an association between multiple drug use and breast cancer. In one 18-year prospective cohort study of

5249 Copenhagen men aged 40 to 59 years, use of antihypertensive medicine was highly significantly associated with risk of colon cancer, with a RR of 3.5 (95% CI, 1.6–7.5). However, in the same study, use of minor tranquilizers or sleeping pills was also related to colon cancer, and the authors could not exclude the possibility that hypertension itself and not the treatment was associated with colon cancer [10]. The association between specific antihypertensive agents and cancer is discussed below.

Reserpine and Breast Cancer

The association between the use of rauwolfia derivatives and breast cancer in women over the age of 50 years was reported originally in 1974 [15,29,30]. Three case-control studies from Boston, Bristol, and Helsinki reported similar results, indicating reserpine caused breast cancer [15,29,30]. The initial reports raised serious concern and stimulated further studies scrutinizing the safety of reserpine as an antihypertensive agent. Three additional studies [7,31,32] confirmed the positive association between breast cancer and the use of rauwolfia derivatives. Our recent analysis of all published case-controlled studies, including a total of 5852 cases of breast cancer and 9776 controls, found a statistically significant association between breast cancer and use of reserpine with an OR of 1.25 (95% CI, 1.09–1.44). Three prospective studies [33–35] failed to confirm excess rate of breast cancer in reserpine users. In the Hypertension Detection and Follow-Up Program (HDFP) a total of 2529 women were followed for 5 years in the stepped care group. Of this group, 1036 received reserpine with an average exposure of 1.97 years. The RR for breast cancer in those who took reserpine compared with those who did not was 1.28 (95% CI, 0.58–2.80) [34]. The clinical meaning of the association between breast cancer and the use of rauwolfia derivatives is unknown since reserpine is no longer used often.

Since reserpine may elevate prolactin levels, it has been suggested that reserpine also might cause prostate cancer [36]. However, at least two studies failed to observe an association between prostate cancer and use of reserpine [36,37]. Reserpine use was also not associated with other types of malignancy [31].

Diuretics

Thirteen observational studies, 10 case-controlled studies, and three cohort studies evaluated the association between the use of diuretics and renal cell carcinoma. The 10 case-controlled studies included a total of 4389 cases of renal cell carcinoma and 6566 controls. In all, the odds were greater for users of diuretics [11,12,17–21,27,38,39], with a pooled OR of 1.54 (95% CI, 1.41–1.68). The OR for renal cell carcinoma among users of diuretics relative to nonusers was 2.03 (95% CI, 1.71–2.40) in women and 1.58 (95% CI, 1.28–1.94) in men, respectively.

The relationship between diuretic use and renal cell carcinoma was further confirmed in three cohort studies comprising a population of 1,226,229 patients [16,40,41]. In these studies, the pooled age-adjusted RR related to diuretic use was 2.00 (95% CI, 1.55–2.59). The use of diuretics was associated with an increase of the age-adjusted risk for fatal renal cell carcinoma in women, where the pooled ratio was 2.43 (95% CI, 2.13–2.78), but not in men (RR, 1.29; 95% CI, 0.42–3.97).

The risk of renal cell carcinoma appeared to be related to the duration of the diuretic use [11,21,27], while the average daily dose of the diuretic seemed to carry a lesser risk [20]. In some studies, the association between diuretic use and renal cell carcinoma became weaker when other risk factors, such as the presence of hypertension, were controlled statistically [11,12,18,27,39]. However, the association remained significant in five of 10 studies [17,19–21,38]. Diuretic use also was associated with renal cell carcinoma in normotensive subjects [19,38]. Studies carried out in animals indicated that the diuretic drugs, hydrochlorothiazide and furosemide, had a possible carcinogenic effect on the kidney [42], as well as on other organs, though the effect was probably of small magnitude. Continuous treatment of rats with hydrochlorothiazide provoked massive degenerative changes in the distal convoluted tubule; these cells were reported to look like tumor cells and to express markers for tumor cells [43].

We recently showed that long-term exposure to diuretic therapy is associated with an increased risk for colon cancer [44,45]. In the Bezafibrate Infarction Prevention (BIP) study, a total of 14,166 patients aged 45 to 74 years with a previous myocardial infarction and/or stable angina were followed for 5.6 years. Of this group, 2153 patients received diuretics and 12,013 patients received no diuretics. The hazard ratio in diuretic users compared with nonusers was 2.0 (95% CI, 1.2–3.2) for colon cancer incidence and 3.7 (95% CI, 1.7–8.3) for colon cancer mortality [44,45]. The association between diuretic therapy and colon cancer incidence was observed only among nonusers of aspirin and was more pronounced in those who used a combination of hydrochlorothiazide and amiloride.

Unlike the association between diuretics and renal cell carcinoma and colon cancer, no association has been found between diuretic use and breast cancer [33,46,47]. Likewise, in a population-based prospective study of more than 20 years, hypertensive men receiving diuretics had no additional increased risk of cancer than nondiuretic users [28]. Moreover, in two randomly controlled trials in elderly patients, those receiving diuretics had a similar rate of malignancies as those not receiving diuretics [4,48].

β-Blockers

Three randomized controlled trials raised concern that atenolol, a cardioselective β blocker, may increase mortality from malignancy [3,49,50]. In the Medical Research

Council's randomized trial of elderly hypertensive patients, men receiving atenolol exhibited an almost twofold higher death rate from lung cancer than those receiving a diuretic or placebo [3]. This was not observed in women receiving atenolol, whose lung cancer mortality was similar to those receiving a diuretic and lower than those receiving placebo. Coope and Warrender [49], in a randomized controlled trial of elderly hypertensive patients in a primary care setting, reported an excess of fatal lung cancers in the group given atenolol compared with the control group (OR, 1.89; 95% CI, 0.88–4.08). In the randomized controlled United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) of diabetic hypertensive patients, those receiving atenolol exhibited a higher death rate from cancer than those receiving captopril (OR, 1.87; 95% CI, 0.83–4.30) [50]. Meta-analysis of these three prospective studies, including 1879 patients receiving atenolol and 3078 patients not receiving atenolol, showed an increase rate of cancer death in those receiving atenolol, with a pooled OR of 1.36 (95% CI, 1.02–1.82). In one case-control study [27], the use of β -blockers was associated with renal cell carcinoma in women (RR, 1.8, 95% CI, 1.0–3.2).

Some laboratory studies have suggested that propranolol, a noncardioselective β -blocker, may be a possible promoter of malignancies at high doses and prolonged administration [51,52]. Propranolol has been shown to increase liver tumor incidence in male rats when administered during treatment with 3'-methyl-4-dimethylaminoazobenzene [53] or with diethylnitrosamine [54]. Zavanella *et al.* [51], however, found no evidence that atenolol would promote liver carcinogenesis in rats pretreated with diethylnitrosamine.

In contrast to the above observations, one longitudinal and three case-controlled studies found no association between use of β -blockers and malignancy [14,55–57]. In one of the studies [14], the incidence rate of renal cell carcinoma was increased in those receiving β -blockers, although this could have been related to additional diuretic use in the same patients [58].

This finding notwithstanding, the overall evidence from experimental and clinical studies regarding the association of β -blocker therapy with malignancy is inconclusive but remains of concern.

Calcium Antagonists

Calcium antagonists in high doses have been used to potentiate the antitumor effect of certain antineoplastic drugs [59–64]. In animal models, calcium antagonists may reverse the resistance to antineoplastic drugs [65]. The increase in cytosolic calcium may play an important role in the regulation of cell proliferation [66]. Calcium antagonists have been shown to regulate calcium influx and thereby diminish proliferation of calcium-dependent neoplastic cells. These experimental data notwithstanding, in clinical studies there is some evidence that calcium antagonists may increase the risk of malignancy. In the International Nifedipine Trial on Antiath-

erosclerotic Therapy (INTACT), of 348 patients with coronary artery disease, three patients died of carcinoma, all in the nifedipine group [67]. Recently, few observational studies suggested that use of calcium antagonists could be associated with increased risk of malignancy [22–24]. In one small study of 750 elderly hypertensive patients followed for 4 years [22], the use of short-acting calcium antagonists, as a group, was associated with increased risk for malignancy compared with use of β -blockers (RR, 2.02; 95% CI, 1.16–3.54). These patients represented a part of an observational cohort study of 5052 people, aged 71 years or more, in which the adjusted hazard ratio for cancer associated with calcium antagonists was 1.72 (95% CI, 1.27–2.34) [23]. In the Cardiovascular Health Study, in which the data of 3198 elderly women (aged 65 years or more) were analyzed, an elevated risk of breast carcinoma was observed in users of calcium antagonists (hazard ratio, 2.57; 95% CI, 1.47–4.49) [24]. This association was enhanced when a high dose was used at baseline and when calcium antagonists were combined with estrogen, and persisted when the comparison group was users of other antihypertensive medication.

In contrast to the above observations, several studies found no association between calcium antagonist use and malignancy [14,48,57,68–85]. Three large case-controlled studies [14,57,78] showed no association between use of calcium antagonists and malignancy. One additional large case-controlled study found no association between long-term calcium antagonist use and breast cancer [79]. Another case-controlled study suggests that calcium antagonists do not increase the risk of prostate cancer [80]. Meta-analysis of seven longitudinal studies, including 11,581 calcium antagonist users and 35,211 nonusers, showed an identical risk for malignancy among calcium antagonist users compared with nonusers (Table 1) (OR, 1.05; 95% CI, 0.94–1.18).

Meta-analysis of eight randomized controlled studies including 9091 calcium antagonists users and 11,007 nonusers followed for several years showed a similar risk for malignancy among calcium antagonist users compared with nonusers (Table 1) (OR, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.80–1.04).

In addition, Sorensen *et al.* [81•] recently extended their previous observation [76]. They identified 967 cancers among 23,167 individuals who received at least two prescriptions for calcium antagonists between January 1, 1989 and December 31, 1995, and were followed for 3.2 years in Denmark. This number was identical to that expected on the basis of rates from the Danish Cancer registry [81•]. Thus, the existing data make it unlikely that calcium antagonists increase the risk of malignancy.

Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitors

In several studies it was shown that *in vitro* captopril can inhibit the growth of neoplastic cells such as pancreatic ductal cancer cells [86], human mammary carcinoma cells [87], and human neuroblastoma cells [88]. *In vivo*, capto-

Table 1. Longitudinal studies relating calcium antagonists to malignancy

Study	Type of study	CA users cancer yes/no, n/n	Nonusers cancer yes/no, n/n	OR (95% CI)
Borhani <i>et al.</i> [70]	RC	13/429	20/421	0.64 (0.3–1.36)
Packer <i>et al.</i> [71]	RC	9/562	10/572	0.92 (0.34–2.46)
Gong <i>et al.</i> [72]	RC	2/785	8/738	0.24 (0.03–1.19)
Staessen <i>et al.</i> [74]	RC	73/2325	82/2215	0.85 (0.61–1.18)
Liu <i>et al.</i> [73]	RC	17/1236	20/1121	0.77 (0.38–1.54)
Lindholm <i>et al.</i> [48]	RC	209/1987	416/4002	1.01 (0.85–1.21)
Kanamasa <i>et al.</i> [84]	RC	18/548	13/475	1.20 (0.55–2.62)
Sajadieh <i>et al.</i> [85]	RC	57/821	73/821	0.78 (0.54–1.14)
	Pooled	398/8693	642/10365	0.91 (0.80–1.04)
Pahor <i>et al.</i> [22]	Longitudinal	27/175	28/396	2.18 (1.21–3.95)
Pahor <i>et al.</i> [23]	Longitudinal	47/404	373/4228	1.32 (0.94–1.84)
Jonas <i>et al.</i> [68]	Longitudinal	22/504	114/1967	0.75 (0.46–1.23)
Hole <i>et al.</i> [69]	Longitudinal	134/2163	194/2716	0.87 (0.69–1.10)
Braun <i>et al.</i> [75]	Longitudinal	129/5482	117/5426	1.09 (0.84–1.42)
Cohen <i>et al.</i> [82]	Longitudinal	16/117	548/2830	0.71 (0.40–1.23)
Michels <i>et al.</i> [83]*	Longitudinal	122/2239	730/15544	1.16 (0.95–1.42)
	Pooled	497/11084	2104/33107	1.05 (0.94–1.18)

*Women only.
CA—calcium antagonist; RC—randomized controlled.

pril treatment reduces the incidence and growth of radiation-induced cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas [89] and sarcomas, as well as the growth of hepatomas [90]. Despite the ability of angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors to inhibit proliferation of neoplastic cells, there is indirect evidence that use of ACE inhibitors may be associated with increased cancer incidence or mortality. Several case reports described an association between the use of ACE inhibitors and malignancy [91–95]. In two case reports, captopril was associated with Kaposi's sarcoma [93,95], in one case report enalapril was associated with lung cancer [91], and in two case reports captopril and enalapril were associated with mycosis fungoides-like lesions [93,95]. In two randomized controlled trials, ACE inhibitors appeared to be associated with increased mortality from cancer [96,97]. In the Left Ventricular Dysfunction (SOLVD) prospective randomized controlled trial of patients with congestive heart failure followed for an average duration of 41.4 months, those who received enalapril had a slightly higher incidence of malignancy than those who received placebo (OR, 1.59; 95% CI, 0.90–2.82) [96]. In another prospective randomized controlled trial of 583 patients with renal insufficiency followed for 3 years, those who received benazepril exhibited a slightly higher incidence of malignancy than did those who received placebo (OR, 1.52; 95% CI, 0.45–5.42) [97]. In the recent Swedish Trial in Old Patients with Hypertension 2 (STOP 2) randomized controlled trial, the risk of cancer was similar among ACE inhibitor users and nonusers [48]. Meta-analysis of the three studies, including 3574 patients receiving ACE inhibitors and 5567 patients not receiving ACE inhibitors, showed a slight increased incidence of malignancy in

those receiving ACE inhibitors, with a pooled OR of 1.11 (95% CI, 0.93–1.31).

In contrast, case-controlled and longitudinal studies showed no relation between use of ACE inhibitors and malignancy [14,18,22,24,57,78]. A recent case-controlled study showed that long-term use of ACE inhibitors does not affect the risk of developing breast cancer [79]. Most provocatively, in a recent longitudinal study, long-term use of ACE inhibitors seemed to exert a protective effect against malignancy [98•]. In this study, the RRs of incidence and fatal cancer among patients receiving ACE inhibitors compared with controls were 0.72 (95% CI, 0.55–0.92) and 0.65 (95% CI, 0.44–0.93), respectively.

Further large randomized controlled trials are required to evaluate the association between ACE inhibitors and malignancy.

Other Antihypertensive Agents and Cancer

In one case report, methyl dopa was associated with lymphoproliferative disorders [99]. In one retrospective study [100] of 82 patients with biliary carcinoma, a higher than expected prevalence of methyl dopa therapy was found. However, a subsequent study [101] failed to confirm this association. Two case-controlled studies found no relation between methyl dopa use and breast cancer [46,47].

In case-controlled studies, no association was found between hydralazine use and either breast cancer [102] or lung and colorectal cancers [103]. One small study of the relationship between the use of α -blockers and renal cell carcinoma showed no association [18].

Conclusions

The relationship between diuretic therapy and renal cell carcinoma is supported by biochemical, experimental, clinical, and epidemiologic data. One study suggested that diuretic therapy may also be associated with colon cancer. The relationship between rauwolfia and breast cancer, although statistically significant, is of little clinical concern because reserpine is no longer extensively used. The relationship between β -blockers and malignancy remains unproven. Recent clinical trials eliminated the proposed excess of cancer incidence among calcium antagonist users; current data do not support an association between ACE inhibitors and malignancy. Given that differences in cardiovascular endpoints among various drug classes have been small and inconsistent, more attention should be paid to the effects of these drugs on extracardiovascular morbidity and mortality, such as malignancy.

References and Recommended Reading

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- Of major importance

1. MRC trial of treatment of mild hypertension: principal results. Medical Research Council Working Party. *BMJ (Clin Res Ed)* 1985, 291:97–104.
2. The Australian therapeutic trial in mild hypertension. Report by the management committee. *Lancet* 1980, 1:1261–1267.
3. Medical Research Council trial of treatment of hypertension in older adults: principal results. MRC Working Party. *BMJ* 1992, 304:405–412.
4. SHEP Cooperative Research Group: Prevention of stroke by antihypertensive drug treatment in older persons with isolated systolic hypertension. Final results of the Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program (SHEP). *JAMA* 1991, 265:3255–3264.
5. Staessen J, Bulpitt C, Clement D, et al.: Relation between mortality and treated blood pressure in elderly patients with hypertension: report of the European Working Party on High Blood Pressure in the Elderly. *BMJ* 1989, 298:1552–1556.
6. Grossman E, Messerli FH, Boyko V, Goldbourt U: Is there an association between hypertension and cancer mortality. *Am J Med* 2002, In press.
7. Williams RR, Feinleib M, Connor RJ, Stegens NL: Case-control study of antihypertensive and diuretic use by women with malignant and benign breast lesions detected in a mammography screening program. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1978, 61:327–335.
8. Fraser GE, Phillips RL, Beeson WL: Hypertension, antihypertensive medication and risk of renal carcinoma in California Seventh-Day Adventists. *Int J Epidemiol* 1990, 19:832–838.
9. Grove JS, Nomura A, Severson RK, Stemmermann GN: The association of blood pressure with cancer incidence in a prospective study. *Am J Epidemiol* 1991, 134:942–947.
10. Suadicani P, Hein HO, Gyntelberg F: Is the use of antihypertensives and sedatives a major risk factor for colorectal cancer? *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1993, 28:475–481.
11. McLaughlin JK, Chow WH, Mandel JS, et al.: International renal-cell cancer study. VIII. Role of diuretics, other antihypertensive medications and hypertension. *Int J Cancer* 1995, 63:216–221.
12. Chow WH, McLaughlin JK, Mandel JS, et al.: Risk of renal cell cancer in relation to diuretics, antihypertensive drugs, and hypertension. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1995, 4:327–331.
13. Peeters PH, van Noord PA, Hoes AW, Grobbee DE: Hypertension, antihypertensive drugs, and mortality from cancer among women. *J Hypertens* 1998, 16:941–947.
14. Rosenberg L, Rao RS, Palmer JR, et al.: Calcium channel blockers and the risk of cancer. *JAMA* 1998, 279:1000–1004.
15. Reserpine and breast cancer. *Lancet* 1974, 2:669–671.
16. Mellemgaard A, Moller H, Olsen JH: Diuretics may increase risk of renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Causes Control* 1992, 3:309–312.
17. Kreiger N, Marrett LD, Dodds L, et al.: Risk factors for renal cell carcinoma: results of a population-based case-control study. *Cancer Causes Control* 1993, 4:101–110.
18. Mellemgaard A, Niwa S, Mehl ES, et al.: Risk factors for renal cell carcinoma in Denmark: role of medication and medical history. *Int J Epidemiol* 1994, 23:923–930.
19. Finkle WD, McLaughlin JK, Rasgon SA, et al.: Increased risk of renal cell cancer among women using diuretics in the United States. *Cancer Causes Control* 1993, 4:555–558.
20. Hiatt RA, Tolan K, Quesenberry CP Jr: Renal cell carcinoma and thiazide use: a historical, case-control study (California, USA). *Cancer Causes Control* 1994, 5:319–325.
21. Weinmann S, Glass AG, Weiss NS, et al.: Use of diuretics and other antihypertensive medications in relation to the risk of renal cell cancer. *Am J Epidemiol* 1994, 140:792–804.
22. Pahor M, Guralnik JM, Salive ME, et al.: Do calcium channel blockers increase the risk of cancer? *Am J Hypertens* 1996, 9:695–699.
23. Pahor M, Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, et al.: Calcium-channel blockade and incidence of cancer in aged populations. *Lancet* 1996, 348:493–497.
24. Fitzpatrick AL, Daling JR, Furberg CD, et al.: Use of calcium channel blockers and breast carcinoma risk in postmenopausal women. *Cancer* 1997, 80:1438–1447.
25. Dyer AR, Stamler J, Berkson DM, et al.: High blood-pressure: a risk factor for cancer mortality? *Lancet* 1975, 1:1051–1056.
26. Grossman E, Messerli FH, Goldbourt U: Antihypertensive therapy and the risk of malignancies. *Eur Heart J* 2001, 22:1343–1352.
27. McCredie M, Stewart JH: Risk factors for kidney cancer in New South Wales, Australia. II. Urologic disease, hypertension, obesity, and hormonal factors. *Cancer Causes Control* 1992, 3:323–331.
28. Andersson OK, Almgren T, Persson B, et al.: Survival in treated hypertension: follow up study after two decades. *BMJ* 1998, 317:167–1671.
29. Armstrong B, Stevens N, Doll R: Retrospective study of the association between use of rauwolfia derivatives and breast cancer in English women. *Lancet* 1974, 2:672–675.
30. Heinonen OP, Shapiro S, Tuominen L, Turunen MI: Reserpine use in relation to breast cancer. *Lancet* 1974, 2:675–677.
31. Armstrong B, Skegg D, White G, Doll R: Rauwolfia derivatives and breast cancer in hypertensive women. *Lancet* 1976, 2:8–12.
32. Kodlin D, McCarthy N: Reserpine and breast cancer. *Cancer* 1978, 41:761–768.
33. Labarthe DR, O'Fallon WM: Reserpine and breast cancer. A community-based longitudinal study of 2,000 hypertensive women. *JAMA* 1980, 243:2304–2310.
34. Curb JD, Hardy RJ, Labarthe DR, et al.: Reserpine and breast cancer in the Hypertension Detection and Follow-Up Program. *Hypertension* 1982, 4:307–311.
35. Friedman GD: Rauwolfia and breast cancer: no relation found in long term users age fifty and over. *J Chron Dis* 1983, 36:367–370.
36. Newball HH, Byar DP: Does reserpine increase prolactin and exacerbate cancer of prostate? Case control study. *Urology* 1973, 2:525–529.
37. Byar DP, Blackard CE: Letters: reserpine and prostatic cancer. *Urology* 1975, 5:158–159.
38. Yu MC, Mack TM, Hanisch R, et al.: Cigarette smoking, obesity, diuretic use, and coffee consumption as risk factors for renal cell carcinoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1986, 77:351–356.

39. Shapiro JA, Williams MA, Weiss NS, *et al.*: Hypertension, anti-hypertensive medication use, and risk of renal cell carcinoma. *Am J Epidemiol* 1999, 149:521-530.
40. Prineas RJ, Folsom AR, Zhang ZM, *et al.*: Nutrition and other risk factors for renal cell carcinoma in postmenopausal women. *Epidemiology* 1997, 8:31-36.
41. Heath CW Jr, Lally CA, Calle EE, *et al.*: Hypertension, diuretics, and antihypertensive medications as possible risk factors for renal cell cancer. *Am J Epidemiol* 1997, 145:607-613.
42. Lijinsky W, Reuber MD: Pathologic effects of chronic administration of hydrochlorothiazide, with and without sodium nitrite, to F344 rats. *Toxicol Ind Health* 1987, 3:413-422.
43. Loffing J, Loffing-Cueni D, Hegyi I, *et al.*: Thiazide treatment of rats provokes apoptosis in distal tubule cells. *Kidney Int* 1996, 50:1180-1190.
44. • Tenenbaum A, Grossman E, Fisman EZ, *et al.*: Long-term diuretic therapy in patients with coronary disease: increased colon cancer-related mortality over a 5-year follow-up. *J Hum Hypertens* 2001, 15:373-379.
- Long-term exposure to diuretic therapy is associated with an increased risk for colon cancer.
45. Tenenbaum A, Motro M, Jonas M, *et al.*: Is diuretic therapy associated with an increased risk of colon cancer? *Am J Med* 2001, 110:143-145.
46. Lilienfeld AM, Chang L, Thomas DB, Levin ML: Rauwolfia derivatives and breast cancer. *Johns Hopkins Med J* 1976, 139:41-50.
47. Aromaa A, Hakama M, Hakulinen T, *et al.*: Breast cancer and use of rauwolfia and other antihypertensive agents in hypertensive patients: a nationwide case-control study in Finland. *Int J Cancer* 1976, 18:727-738.
48. Lindholm LH, Anderson H, Ekblom T, *et al.*: Relation between drug treatment and cancer in hypertensives in the Swedish Trial in Old Patients with Hypertension 2: a 5-year, prospective, randomised, controlled trial. *Lancet* 2001, 358:539-544.
49. Coope J, Warrender TS: Randomised trial of treatment of hypertension in elderly patients in primary care. *BMJ (Clin Res Ed)* 1986, 293:1145-1151.
50. UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group: Efficacy of atenolol and captopril in reducing risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes: UKPDS 39. *BMJ* 1998, 317:713-720.
51. Zavanella T, Radaelli G, Girotti P, *et al.*: Evaluation of the tumor-promoting activity of two beta-adrenoreceptor blocking agents, propranolol and atenolol, in liver of Fischer 344 rats. *Carcinogenesis* 1994, 15:2531-2539.
52. Ioannides C, Okine L, Parke DV: Effects of beta-adrenoreceptor antagonists on the hepatic mixed-function oxygenases in the rat. *Br J Pharmacol* 1979, 67:451P-452P.
53. Boyd H, Martin TJ: The effect of propranolol on induction of rat liver tumors by a chemical carcinogen. *Mol Pharmacol* 1977, 13:576-578.
54. Gurkalo VK, Zabezhinski MA: On participation of the autonomic nervous system in the mechanisms of chemical carcinogenesis. *Neoplasma* 1982, 29:301-307.
55. Hole DJ, Hawthorne VM, Isles CG, *et al.*: Incidence of and mortality from cancer in hypertensive patients. *BMJ* 1993, 306:609-611.
56. Fletcher AE, Beevers DG, Bulpitt CJ, *et al.*: Cancer mortality and atenolol treatment. *BMJ* 1993, 306:622-623.
57. Jick H, Jick S, Derby LE, *et al.*: Calcium-channel blockers and risk of cancer. *Lancet* 1997, 349:525-528.
58. Messerli FH, Grossman E: Antihypertensive agents and the risk of cancer. *JAMA* 1998, 280:600.
59. Mickisch GH, Kossig J, Keilhauer G, *et al.*: Effects of calcium antagonists in multidrug resistant primary human renal cell carcinomas. *Cancer Res* 1990, 50:3670-3674.
60. al-Shabanah OA, Osman AM, al-Harbi MM, *et al.*: Diltiazem potentiation of doxorubicin cytotoxicity and cellular uptake in Ehrlich ascites carcinoma cells. *Chemotherapy* 1995, 41:368-377.
61. al-Gharably NM, Osman AM, al-Shabanah OA, *et al.*: Potentiation of doxorubicin cytotoxicity by the calcium channel blocker verapamil in Ehrlich ascites cells. *Chemotherapy* 1993, 39:410-415.
62. Kunert-Radek J, Stepien H, Radek A, *et al.*: Inhibitory effect of calcium channel blockers on proliferation of human glioma cells in vitro. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1989, 79:166-169.
63. Lee YS, Sayeed MM, Wurster RD: Intracellular Ca²⁺ mediates the cytotoxicity induced by bepridil and benzamil in human brain tumor cells. *Cancer Lett* 1995, 88:87-91.
64. Raderer M, Scheithauer W: Clinical trials of agents that reverse multidrug resistance. A literature review. *Cancer* 1993, 72:3553-3563.
65. Taylor JM, Simpson RU: Inhibition of cancer cell growth by calcium channel antagonists in the athymic mouse. *Cancer Res* 1992, 52:2413-2418.
66. Rasmussen H, Barrett PQ: Calcium messenger system: an integrated view. *Physiol Rev* 1984, 64:938-984.
67. Lichtlen PR, Hugenholtz PG, Rafflenbeul W, *et al.*: Retardation of angiographic progression of coronary artery disease by nifedipine. Results of the International Nifedipine Trial on Antiatherosclerotic Therapy (INTACT). *Lancet* 1990, 335:1109-1113.
68. Jonas M, Goldbourt U, Boyko V, *et al.*: Nifedipine and cancer mortality: ten-year follow-up of 2607 patients after acute myocardial infarction. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther* 1998, 12:177-181.
69. Hole DJ, Gillis CR, McCallum IR, *et al.*: Cancer risk of hypertensive patients taking calcium antagonists. *J Hypertens* 1998, 16:119-124.
70. Borhani NO, Mercuri M, Borhani PA, *et al.*: Final outcome results of the Multicenter Isradipine Diuretic Atherosclerosis Study (MIDAS). A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 1996, 276:785-791.
71. Packer M, O'Connor CM, Ghali JK, *et al.*, for the Prospective Randomized Amlodipine Survival Evaluation Study Group (PRAISE): Effect of amlodipine on morbidity and mortality in severe chronic heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 1996, 335:1107-1114.
72. Gong L, Zhang W, Zhu Y, *et al.*, with 11 collaborating centres in the Shanghai area: Shanghai trial of nifedipine in the elderly (STONE). *J Hypertens* 1996, 14:1237-1245.
73. Liu L, Wang JG, Gong L, *et al.*: Comparison of active treatment and placebo in older Chinese patients with isolated systolic hypertension. Systolic Hypertension in China (Syst-China) Collaborative Group. *J Hypertens* 1998, 16(12 Pt 1):1823-1829.
74. Staessen JA, Fagard R, Thijs L, *et al.*: Randomised double-blind comparison of placebo and active treatment for older patients with isolated systolic hypertension. The Systolic Hypertension in Europe (Syst-Eur) Trial Investigators. *Lancet* 1997, 350:757-764.
75. Braun S, Boyko V, Behar S, *et al.*: Calcium channel blocking agents and risk of cancer in patients with coronary heart disease. Benzafibrate Infarction Prevention (BIP) study research group. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1998, 31:804-808.
76. Olsen JH, Toft Sorensen HT, Friis S, *et al.*: Cancer risk in users of calcium channel blockers. *Hypertension* 1997, 29:1091-1094.
77. Brandenburg NA, Backstrom JT, Hinkle RL: Calcium channel blockers and cancer: the evidence against an association [letter]. *Am J Hypertens* 1996, 9(10 Pt 1):1049-1050.
78. Stahl M, Bulpitt CJ, Palmer AJ, *et al.*: Calcium channel blockers, ACE inhibitors, and the risk of cancer in hypertensive patients: a report from the Department of Health Hypertension Care Computing Project (DHCCP). *J Hum Hypertens* 2000, 14:299-304.
79. Meier CR, Derby LE, Jick SS, Jick H: Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, calcium channel blockers, and breast cancer. *Arch Intern Med* 2000, 160:349-353.
80. Vezina RM, Lesko SM, Rosenberg L, Shapiro S: Calcium channel blocker use and the risk of prostate cancer. *Am J Hypertens* 1998, 11:1420-1425.

81. • Sorensen HT, Olsen JH, Mellekjaer L, et al.: **Cancer risk and mortality in users of calcium channel blockers. A cohort study.** *Cancer* 2000, 89:165-170.
Data from this study make it unlikely that calcium antagonists increase the risk of malignancy.
82. Cohen HJ, Pieper CF, Hanlon JT, et al.: **Calcium channel blockers and cancer.** *Am J Med* 2000, 108:210-215.
83. Michels KB, Rosner BA, Walker AM, et al.: **Calcium channel blockers, cancer incidence, and cancer mortality in a cohort of U.S. women: the nurses' health study.** *Cancer* 1998, 83:2003-2007.
84. Kanamasa K, Kimura A, Miyataka M, et al.: **Incidence of cancer in postmyocardial infarction patients treated with short-acting nifedipine and diltiazem.** Secondary Prevention Group. *Cancer* 1999, 85:1369-1374.
85. Sajadieh A, Storm HH, Hansen JF: **Verapamil and risk of cancer in patients with coronary artery disease. DAVIT Study Group. Danish Verapamil Infarction Trial.** *Am J Cardiol* 1999, 83:1419-1422.
86. Reddy MK, Baskaran K, Molteni A: **Inhibitors of angiotensin-converting enzyme modulate mitosis and gene expression in pancreatic cancer cells.** *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* 1995, 210:221-226.
87. Small W Jr, Molteni A, Kim YT, et al.: **Captopril modulates hormone receptor concentration and inhibits proliferation of human mammary ductal carcinoma cells in culture.** *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 1997, 44:217-224.
88. Chen L, Re RN, Prakash O, Mondal D: **Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition reduces neuroblastoma cell growth rate.** *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* 1991, 196:280-283.
89. Ward WF, Molteni A, Ts'ao C, Hinz JM: **The effect of Captopril on benign and malignant reactions in irradiated rat skin.** *Br J Radiol* 1990, 63:349-354.
90. Ward WF, Molteni A, Kim YT, et al.: **captopril inhibits mitosis and growth of diethylnitrosamine-induced preneoplastic hepatic foci in F344 rats [abstract].** *FASEB J* 1992, 5:6.
91. Bastiaens MT, Zwan NV, Verschuereen GL, et al.: **Three cases of pemphigus vegetans: induction by enalapril-association with internal malignancy.** *Int J Dermatol* 1994, 33:168-171.
92. Larbre JP, Nicolas JF, Collet P, et al.: **Kaposi's sarcoma in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis possible responsibility of captopril in the development of lesions.** *J Rheumatol* 1991, 18:476-477.
93. Furness PN, Goodfield MJ, MacLennan KA, et al.: **Severe cutaneous reactions to captopril and enalapril, histological study and comparison with early mycosis fungoides.** *J Clin Pathol* 1986, 39:902-907.
94. Puppin D Jr, Rybojad M, de la Chapelle C, Morel P: **Kaposi's sarcoma associated with captopril [letter].** *Lancet* 1990, 336:1251-1252.
95. Carroll J, Thaler M, Grossman E, et al.: **Generalized pustular eruption associated with converting enzyme inhibitor therapy.** *Cutis* 1995, 56:276-278.
96. **Effect of enalapril on survival in patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fractions and congestive heart failure. The SOLVD Investigators.** *N Engl J Med* 1991, 325:293-302.
97. Maschio G, Alberti D, Janin G, et al.: **Effect of the angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor benazepril on the progression of chronic renal insufficiency.** *N Engl J Med* 1996, 334:939-945.
98. • Lever AE, Hole DJ, Gillis CR, et al.: **Do inhibitors of angiotensin-I-converting enzyme protect against risk of cancer?** *Lancet* 1998, 352:179-184.
Long-term use of ACE inhibitors seemed to exert a protective effect against malignancy.
99. Weisenburger DD: **Immunoblastic lymphadenopathy associated with methyldopa therapy: a case report.** *Cancer* 1978, 42:2322-2327.
100. Broden G, Bengtsson L: **Biliary carcinoma associated with methyldopa therapy.** *Acta Chir Scand Suppl* 1980, 500:7-12.
101. Strom BL, Hibberd PL, Stolley PD: **No evidence of association between methyldopa and biliary carcinoma.** *Int J Epidemiol* 1985, 14:86-90.
102. Kaufman DW, Kelly JP, Rosenberg L, et al.: **Hydralazine and breast cancer.** *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1987, 78:243-246.
103. Kaufman DW, Kelly JP, Rosenberg L, et al.: **Hydralazine use in relation to cancers of the lung, colon, and rectum.** *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1989, 36:259-264.