



Harmonic oscillator perturbed by a decreasing scalar potential

Ilias Aarab¹ · Mohamed Ali Tagmouti¹

Received: 4 October 2018 / Accepted: 21 February 2019 / Published online: 28 February 2019
© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

Abstract

In this paper we study the perturbation $L = H + V$, where $H = -\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}} + x^{2m}$ on \mathbb{R} , $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and V is a decreasing scalar potential. Let λ_k be the k^{th} eigenvalue of H . We suppose that the eigenvalues of L around λ_k can be written in the form $\lambda_k + \mu_k$. The main result of the paper is an asymptotic formula for fluctuation $\{\mu_k\}$ which is given by a transformation of V . In the case $m = 1$ we recover a result on the harmonic oscillator.

Keywords Averaging method · Pseudo-differential operator · Perturbation theory · Spectrum · Eigenvalue asymptotics

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 99Z99; Secondary 00A00

1 Introduction and main results

We consider in \mathbb{R} the operator H defined by

$$H = -\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}} + x^{2m}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}^* \quad (1.1)$$

We recall that H [1] is essentially self-adjoint in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with compact resolvent. Its spectrum is the increasing sequence $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity, such as there exists a positive integer k_0 , for $k \geq k_0$, λ_k is simple and has the following asymptotic expansion

✉ Mohamed Ali Tagmouti
tagmoutimohamedali@gmail.com

Ilias Aarab
ilias.aarab1989@gmail.com

¹ Dept. of Mathematics, University Abdelmalek Essaadi, BP 2121, Tetouan, Morocco

$$\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{m}} = \frac{2\pi}{T} \left(k + \frac{1}{2} \right) + O(k^{-1}) \quad k \rightarrow +\infty \tag{1.2}$$

with

$$T = \int_{-1}^1 (1 - u^{2m})^{\frac{1}{2m}-1} du = \frac{1}{m} B \left(\frac{1}{2m}, \frac{1}{2m} \right) \tag{1.3}$$

where B is the beta function. Let $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ which satisfies the following estimate

$$|V^{(n)}(x)| \leq C_n(1 + x^2)^{-\frac{s}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}_+^* - \{1\} \tag{1.4}$$

Along this article we set

$$\delta = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } 0 < s < 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } s > 1 \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

Remark 1.1 So as not to burden our work, the case “ $s = 1$ ” will be treated in a different way, we will treat this case in another work.

The operator $L = H + V$ is essentially self-adjoint with compact resolvent [2]. The Min-Max theorem [3] shows that the spectrum of L around λ_k can be written in the form $\lambda_k + \mu_k$. Our goal is to study the asymptotic behavior of the fluctuation μ_k when $k \rightarrow +\infty$, by expressing it using a transformation of V . Our main result is

Theorem 1.2 (Main Theorem) μ_k has the asymptotic expansion

$$\mu_k = \frac{1}{T} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{V(y\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{2m}})}{(1 - y^{2m})^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} dy + O(\lambda_k^{\frac{-\delta-1}{2m}}), \quad \forall m \geq 2$$

For $m = 1$, the case of the Harmonic Oscillator, the asymptotic behavior of μ_k is given by

Theorem 1.3 $\mu_k = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} V(\sqrt{\lambda_k} \sin t) dt + O(\lambda_k^{-\delta+\eta})$

where $\eta \in]0, \frac{\delta}{2}[$.

Many authors interested in this kind of problems, especially the case of the Harmonic Oscillator [4,5]. The case $m > 1$ seems to us as not to have been treated yet. Briefly recall the content of [4], the author studies the perturbation $L = A + B$, where

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2 - 1 \right), \quad B(x) \sim |x|^{-\alpha} \sum_m a_m \cos \omega_m x$$

and he proved that μ_k has the following asymptotic expansion

$$\mu_k \sim k^{-(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{4})} \tilde{V}(\sqrt{2k}) + \frac{C}{\sqrt{2k}} \quad k \rightarrow +\infty$$

\tilde{V} represents the “Radon Transform” of V . In recent works, we find in [6] a study of

$$D = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2 + q(x)$$

where real functions q, q' and $x \rightarrow \int_0^x q(s)ds$ are bounded. μ_k has the asymptotic expansion

$$\mu_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} q(\sqrt{\lambda_k} \sin\theta) d\theta + O(k^{-\frac{1}{3}}) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty \tag{1.6}$$

We notice that, in the case $s < 1$, the expansion (1.6) has the same main part as shown in Theorem 1.3, even though we don't need to suppose that $x \rightarrow \int_0^x q(s)ds$ is bounded. In addition, if $s \in]\frac{2}{3}, 1[$, we get a better estimate and the same goes for $s > 1$ because $\eta \in]0, \frac{1}{2}[$. We can also mention Pushnitski [7], who studied the case where $q \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. He proved that μ_k admits the next development in series

$$\mu_k = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} c_j \lambda_k^{\frac{-j}{2}} \quad \lambda_k \rightarrow +\infty \tag{1.7}$$

with some coefficients $c_j \in \mathbb{R}$, in particular $c_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} q(x) dx$, and $c_2 = 0$.

Remark 1.4 We want to go further in studying the operator $H_{k,l} = -\frac{d^{2k}}{dx^{2k}} + x^{2l}$, where $k, l \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then by giving k the value of “1”, we'll reach important results that have a lot of applications in the field of physics, especially the quartic oscillator.

Our main tool is the averaging Method of Weinstein [8,9], whose origins go back to the classical work on celestial mechanics [10]. Note that for $m \in \mathbb{N}^* - \{1\}$, this method cannot be used directly in this case because H , viewed as a Pseudo-differential operator (OPD), doesn't have a periodic flow, but the operator $H^{\frac{1}{m}}$ does have this property, so we start reducing ourselves to a perturbation (1.8) of the operator $H^{\frac{1}{m}}$

$$L_m = H^{\frac{1}{m}} + B, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}^* \tag{1.8}$$

where B is an operator to be determined. We apply the Averaging Method, firstly we replace B in perturbation (1.8) by the average

$$\bar{B} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-itH^{\frac{1}{m}}} B e^{itH^{\frac{1}{m}}} dt \tag{1.9}$$

where T is the period of the flow of $H^{\frac{1}{m}}$, T is given by (1.3) [11]. The main advantage of this method is that \bar{B} is a compact operator and $L_m, \bar{L}_m = H^{\frac{1}{m}} + \bar{B}$ are almost unitary equivalent, that means it exists a unitary operator U such as $UL_mU^{-1} - \bar{L}_m$ is compact. Note that L_m and \bar{L}_m are also with compact resolvent. Using min-max theorem, the parts of their spectrum around $\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{m}}$ are respectively of the form $\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{m}} + v_k$

and $\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{m}} + \bar{v}_k$. Then we study \bar{v}_k by using a functional calculus of the operator H . We begin by establishing the link between v_k and μ_k .

Proposition 1.5

$$\mu_k = m\lambda_k^{1-\frac{1}{m}} v_k + O(\lambda_k^{-1}), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

Using a functional calculus for H , we obtain

Proposition 1.6

$$m\lambda_k^{1-\frac{1}{m}} \bar{v}_k = \frac{1}{T} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{V(\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{2m}} y)}{(1 - y^{2m})^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} dy + O(\lambda_k^{\frac{-\delta-1}{2m}})$$

The following proposition gives the relation between v_k and \bar{v}_k

Proposition 1.7

$$v_k = \bar{v}_k + O(\lambda_k^{\frac{-\delta+\eta}{m} + \frac{2}{m} - 2})$$

where $\eta \in]0, \min(2, m - 1 + \frac{\delta}{2})[$

Remark 1.8 Note that for $m = 1$, H has a periodic flow of period π , so we can directly apply the Averaging Method to H .

This paper is organized as follows. The next section contains auxiliary fact concerning the proprieties of Weyl pseudo-differential operators which are the main tool in this article. In Sect. 3, we study the operator L_m and show the relation between μ_k and v_k by proving Proposition 1.5. The Sect. 4 is devoted to the functional calculus for the operator H , and we establish Proposition 1.6. In the last section, we study the relation between the spectrum of L_m and \bar{L}_m and we prove Proposition 1.7. Finally, we justify the asymptotic expansion given by Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

2 Weyl pseudo-differential operator

Let $\rho \in [0, 1]$, $q \in \mathbb{R}$. $\Gamma_\rho^q(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ denote the space symbols associated with the temperate weight function $\mathbb{R}^2 : (x, \xi) \rightarrow (1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{\frac{q}{2}}$ [15]. Precisely the space of function $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfies $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}, \exists C_{\alpha, \beta} > 0$

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi) \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{\frac{q-\rho(\alpha+\beta)}{2}} \tag{2.1}$$

We will use the standard Weyl quantization of the symbols. To be precise, if $a \in \Gamma_\rho^q$, then for $u \in S(\mathbb{R})$ the operator associated is defined by :

$$op^w(a)u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} e^{i(x-y, \xi)} a\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) u(y) dy d\xi \tag{2.2}$$

Let us now introduce the notion of asymptotic expansion.

Definition 2.1 Let $a_j \in \Gamma_\rho^{q_j}$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}^*$), we suppose that q_j is a decreasing sequence tending towards $-\infty$. We say that $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ has an asymptotic expansion and we write

$$a \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j$$

if

$$a - \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} a_j \in \Gamma_\rho^{q_r} \quad \forall r \geq 2$$

We use the notation G_ρ^q for the set of operators $op^w(a)$ if $a \in \Gamma_\rho^q$. In order to prove our main results, we shall recall some well-known results [12–14].

Theorem 2.2 (Calderon-Vaillancourt theorem) *If $a \in \Gamma_0^0$ then the operator $op^w(a)$ is bounded.*

Proposition 2.3 (Compactness) *If $a \in \Gamma_\rho^q$ and $q < 0$, $\rho \in [0, 1]$, then the operator $op^w(a)$ is compact.*

We will need the following proposition for the composition of pseudo-differential operators.

Proposition 2.4 *Let $A \in G_\rho^p$, $B \in G_\rho^q$, $\rho \in]0, 1]$, p and $q \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the operator $AB \in G_\rho^{p+q}$. Its Weyl symbol admits the following asymptotic behavior*

$$c \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} c_j$$

In particular

$$c(x, \xi) - a(x, \xi).b(x, \xi) \in \Gamma_\rho^{p+q-2\rho}$$

where

$$c_j = \frac{1}{2^j} \sum_{\alpha+\beta=j} \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|}}{\alpha! \beta!} (\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a) (\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta b) \tag{2.3}$$

a and b are respectively the Weyl symbol of A and B

In the next proposition we are giving an extension where the case “ $\rho = 0$ ”, we have the following result

Proposition 2.5 *If $A \in G_1^m$ and $(B_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, p\}}$ is the set of operators such as $B_i \in G_0^{m_i}$ then:*

(i) *The operator $AB_1 \in G_0^{m+m_1}$, its Weyl symbol is giving by (2.3)*

where $c_j \in \Gamma_0^{m+m_1-j}$

(ii) *The commutator $[A, B_1] \in G_0^{m+m_1-1}$*

(iii) If A is elliptic and $m > 0$, then $B_1 \dots B_p A^{-\frac{m_1+\dots+m_p}{m}}$ is bounded.

Proposition 2.6 (Functional calculus) *Let A be an elliptic operator included in G_1^m , its Weyl symbol admits the development $a \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} a_j$. Then for any real number q we have $A^q \in G_1^{mq}$. Moreover, its weyl symbol admits the following asymptotic behavior*

$$\sigma_{A^q} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \sigma_{A^q, j}, \quad \sigma_{A^q, j} \in \Gamma_1^{mq-j}$$

where $\sigma_{A^q, 0} = a_0^q, \sigma_{A^q, 1} = qa_1.a_0^{q-1}$.

3 Reduction to a perturbation of $H^{\frac{1}{m}}$

If we translate H by a strictly positive constant, we can always assume that L is positive and $\|H^{-1}V\| < 1$. We can reduce ourselves to a perturbation of $H^{\frac{1}{m}}$ by writing

$$(H + V)^{\frac{1}{m}} = H^{\frac{1}{m}} + W$$

consequently

$$W = B + H^{\frac{1}{m}}(H^{-1}V)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \alpha_{k+2}(H^{-1}V)^k$$

where

$$B = \frac{1}{m}H^{-(1-\frac{1}{m})}V, \quad \alpha_k = \frac{\frac{1}{m}(\frac{1}{m} - 1) \dots (\frac{1}{m} - k + 1)}{k!} \tag{3.1}$$

We can write

$$L^{\frac{1}{m}} - L_m = H^{\frac{1}{m}}(H^{-1}V)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \alpha_{k+2}(H^{-1}V)^k \tag{3.2}$$

Since $\|H^{-1}V\| < 1$, the operator $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \alpha_{k+2}(H^{-1}V)^k$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Using the fact that, $H^{-1} \in G_1^{-2m}, V \in G_0^0$ and (iii) Proposition 2.5, we obtain that the operator $(L^{\frac{1}{m}} - L_m)H^{2-\frac{1}{m}}$ is bounded. We deduce that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$-cH^{-2+\frac{1}{m}} \leq L^{\frac{1}{m}} - L_m \leq cH^{-2+\frac{1}{m}} \tag{3.3}$$

According to the min-max theorem, we get

$$(\lambda_k + \mu_k)^{\frac{1}{m}} = \lambda_k^{\frac{1}{m}} + \nu_k + O\left(\lambda_k^{-2+\frac{1}{m}}\right) \tag{3.4}$$

Using the fact that $\{\mu_k\}$ is bounded and the Taylor's formula for the function $t \rightarrow (1 + \frac{\mu_k}{t})^{\frac{1}{m}}$, we obtain the estimate

$$\mu_k = m\lambda_k^{1-\frac{1}{m}}v_k + O(\lambda_k^{-1}) \tag{3.5}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 1.5.

4 Functional calculus for the operator H and the asymptotic behavior of \bar{U}_k

Recall that \bar{L}_m is obtained by replacing B in L_m by \bar{B} . Putting

$$\bar{V} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T W(t)dt, \quad W(t) = e^{-itH^{\frac{1}{m}}} V e^{itH^{\frac{1}{m}}} \tag{4.1}$$

and From (3.1)

$$\bar{B} = \frac{1}{m} H^{-(1-\frac{1}{m})} \bar{V} \tag{4.2}$$

We have the following proposition

Proposition 4.1 $\bar{V} \in G_0^{-\delta}$, and its Weyl symbol checks

$$\sigma_{\bar{V}} - \sigma_{\bar{V},0} \in \Gamma_0^{-\delta-1}$$

where

$$\sigma_{\bar{V},0}(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{T} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{V(y.\sigma_H^{\frac{1}{2m}})}{(1-y^2m)^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} dy$$

and σ_H is the Weyl symbol of H .

Proof First we will study the Weyl symbol of the operator $W(t)$. In order to apply the Egorov's theorem (Theorem IV-10 [15]) checks his assumptions. Using Proposition 2.6, the operator $H^{\frac{1}{m}} \in G_1^2$ and $\sigma_{H^{\frac{1}{m}}}$ admits the following development

$$\sigma_{H^{\frac{1}{m}}} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \sigma_{H^{\frac{1}{m}},j} \quad \sigma_{H^{\frac{1}{m}},j} \in \Gamma_1^{2-j} \tag{4.3}$$

where $\sigma_{H^{\frac{1}{m}},0} = (\sigma_H)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \sigma_{H^{\frac{1}{m}},1} = 0$

An elementary calculation shows that

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma_{H^{\frac{1}{m}},j} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}), \quad \alpha, \beta, j \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha + \beta + j > 2$$

and

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \varphi(t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}), \quad \alpha + \beta \geq 1$$

uniformly with respect to t , where $\varphi(t)$ denotes the Hamiltonian flow of $\sigma_H^{\frac{1}{m}}$. We recall that $\varphi(t) = (x(t), \xi(t))$ is a solution of the system

$$(S) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = \frac{\partial \sigma_H^{\frac{1}{m}}}{\partial \xi} = 2E^{\frac{1}{m}-1} \xi^{2m-1}(t) \\ \frac{d\xi(t)}{dt} = \frac{-\partial \sigma_H^{\frac{1}{m}}}{\partial x} = -2E^{\frac{1}{m}-1} x^{2m-1}(t) \\ x(0) = x, \quad \xi(0) = \xi \\ x^{2m}(t) + \xi^{2m}(t) = x^{2m} + \xi^{2m} = E \quad (*) \end{cases}$$

(*) is the first integral of this system

Now we apply the Egorov's theorem, this yields, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $W(t)$ is an (OPD), its Weyl symbol admits the following development $\sigma_{W(t)} \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} \sigma_{W(t),j}$

$$\sigma_{W(t),j} = \int_0^t i^{-1} \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+l+k=j+1 \\ 0 \leq l \leq j-1}} C_{\alpha,\beta}(\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta \sigma_{H^{1/m,k}})(\partial_\xi^\beta \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_{W,l}(\tau)) |\varphi^{t-\tau}| d\tau \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$C_{\alpha,\beta} = (1 - (-1)^{\alpha+\beta}) \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$$

in particular

$$\sigma_{W(t),0}(x, \xi) = V \circ x(t), \quad \sigma_{W(t),1}(x, \xi) = 0 \quad (4.5)$$

We start by determining the class of $\sigma_{\bar{V},0} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T V(x(t)) dt$. We can suppose that $x(0) > 0$, $\frac{dx(0)}{dt} > 0$ as initial conditions, other cases are treated in the same way, for now we must study the properties of the function $x(t)$ on $[0, T]$. From the system (S) we get

$$dt = \pm \frac{dx}{2E^{\frac{1}{m}-1}(E - x^{2m})^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} \quad (4.6)$$

By combining the fact that $x(t)$ is a smooth periodic function of period T with (4.6), we can ensure that the function $x(t)$ reaches its maximum in t_0 , $x(t_0) = E^{\frac{1}{2m}}$ and its minimum on t_1 , $x(t_1) = -E^{\frac{1}{2m}}$.

For now we have

$$\sigma_{\bar{V},0} = \frac{1}{T} \left[\int_0^{t_0} V(x(t)) dt + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} V(x(t)) dt + \int_{t_1}^T V(x(t)) dt \right]$$

We make the change of variable $x(t) = u$, obviously $x(t)$ is increasing on $[0, t_0]$, we get

$$\int_0^{t_0} V(x(t))dt = \frac{E^{1-\frac{1}{m}}}{2} \int_x^{E^{\frac{1}{2m}}} \frac{V(u)}{(E - u^{2m})^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} du \tag{4.7}$$

After the same calculation on $[t_0, t_1]$ and $[t_1, T]$ we obtain

$$\sigma_{\bar{V},0} = \frac{E^{1-\frac{1}{m}}}{T} \int_{-E^{\frac{1}{2m}}}^{E^{\frac{1}{2m}}} \frac{V(u)}{(E - u^{2m})^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} du \tag{4.8}$$

Now we apply the change of variable $y = uE^{\frac{-1}{2m}}$, we have

$$\sigma_{\bar{V},0} = \frac{1}{T} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{V(y\sigma_H^{\frac{1}{2m}})}{(1 - y^{2m})^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} dy \tag{4.9}$$

Let's now determine the class to which $\sigma_{\bar{V},0}$ belongs. Using (1.4) we get

$$|\sigma_{\bar{V},0}| \leq c \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1 + y\sigma_H^{\frac{1}{2m}})^s (1 - y^{2m})^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} dy \tag{4.10}$$

We have 2 cases

1st **case** $0 < s < 1$

$$|\sigma_{\bar{V},0}| \leq c(1 + \sigma_H^{\frac{1}{2m}})^{-s} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{y^s(1 - y^{2m})^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} dy \tag{4.11}$$

$$\leq c(1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{\frac{-s}{2}} \tag{4.12}$$

2nd **case** $1 < s$

We set $\sigma_H^{\frac{1}{2m}} = a$ and we split the integral into two parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\bar{V},0} &\leq c \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{1 + a^2 y^2} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{1}{(1 - y^{2m})^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} dy \\ &\quad + c \int_{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}}^1 \left(\frac{1}{1 + a^2 y^2} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{1}{(1 - y^{2m})^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} dy \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Since $s > 1$ we have

$$\int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{1+a^2y^2} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{1}{(1-y^{2m})^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} dy \leq c \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}} \frac{1}{(1+a^2y^2)^{\frac{s}{2}}} dy$$

After applying change both of variables $y = \frac{u}{1+u}$, and $v = u\sqrt{1+a^2}$, we get

$$\int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{1+a^2y^2} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{1}{(1-y^{2m})^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} dy \leq \frac{c}{1+a}$$

On the other side we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}}^1 \left(\frac{1}{1+a^2y^2} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{1}{(1-y^{2m})^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} dy &\leq \frac{c}{(1+a)^s} \int_{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}}^1 \frac{1}{(1-y^{2m})^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} dy \\ &\leq \frac{c}{(1+a)^s} \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the following estimate

$$\sigma_{\bar{V},0} \leq \frac{c}{(1 + \sigma_{\frac{1}{H}}^{\frac{1}{2m}})} \leq c(1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4.14}$$

The same estimates hold for $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma_{\bar{V},0}(x, \xi)$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$.

From (4.12) and (4.14) we have

$$\sigma_{\bar{V},0} \in \Gamma_0^{-\delta}, \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbb{R}_+^* - \{1\}$$

Combining (1.4), (4.4) and (4.5) we deduce that there exist $c > 0$ such that: for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$|\sigma_{W(t)} - Vox(t)| \leq c(1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 + x^2(t))^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \tag{4.15}$$

by integrating (4.15) along the interval $[0, T]$ and following the same previous calculation we have

$$|\sigma_{\bar{V}} - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T Vox(t) dt| \leq c(1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{-\frac{1-\delta}{2}} \tag{4.16}$$

The same estimates hold for $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma_{\bar{V}}(x, \xi)$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally we conclude

$$\sigma_{\bar{V}} - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T Vox(t) dt \in \Gamma_0^{-\delta-1} \tag{4.17}$$

□

In the following we will use a functional calculus for the operator H , this allows us to give the asymptotic behavior of \bar{v}_k . The functional calculus on (OPD) was studied in the case where the functions are in the Hörmander class S_1^r ($r \in \mathbb{R}$) see [15,16]. In our work we are dealing with the case of the operator H plus a function in the class $S_{1-\frac{1}{2m}}^r$. More precisely, the set of functions $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $C_k \geq 0$ such that

$$|f^{(k)}(x)| \leq C_k(1 + |x|)^{r-(1-\frac{1}{2m})k} \tag{4.18}$$

We recall that the main symbol of \bar{V} is written

$$\sigma_{\bar{V},0} = f(\sigma_H) \tag{4.19}$$

where

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{T} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{V(yx^{\frac{1}{2m}})}{(1 - y^{2m})^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} dy$$

a direct calculation shows that $f \in S_{1-\frac{1}{2m}}^{-\frac{\delta}{1-\frac{1}{2m}}}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}_+^* - \{1\}$.

The operator $f(H)$ is defined by a functional calculus of self-adjoint operators, then the spectrum of $f(H)$ is the sequence $\{f(\lambda_k)\}_k$. We have the following proposition

Proposition 4.2 *$f(H)$ is an OPD included in $G_0^{-\delta}$ and its Weyl symbol admits the following development*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{f(H)} &\sim \sum_{j \geq 0} \sigma_{f(H),2j} \\ \sigma_{f(H),2j} &= \sum_{k=2}^{3j} \frac{d_{j,k}}{k!} f^{(k)}(\sigma_H) \quad \forall j \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

where $d_{j,k} \in \Gamma_1^{2mk-4j}$ and $\sigma_{f(H),2j} \in \Gamma_0^{-\delta-j}$, in particular

$$\sigma_{f(H),0} = f(\sigma_H) = \sigma_{\bar{V},0}, \quad \sigma_{f(H),1} = 0$$

Proof For studying $f(H)$ We follow the same strategy in [16], we will use the Mellin transformation, this later consist of

- (1) To Study the operator $(H - \lambda)^{-1}$
- (2) To study the operator H^{-s} using its Cauchy's integral formula

$$H^{-s} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Delta} \lambda^{-s} (H - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda$$

(3) Studying $f(H)$ using the representation formula

$$f(H) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\rho-i\infty}^{\rho+i\infty} M[f](s)H^{-s} ds$$

where $r < 0$ and $\rho < -r$

we only change the construction of the $(H - \lambda)^{-1}$ -parametrix. We prove by induction that the $(H - \lambda)^{-1}$ is an OPD and its Weyl symbol admits the development $b_\lambda \sim \sum b_{j,\lambda}$ where

$$\begin{cases} b_{0,\lambda} = (\sigma_H - \lambda)^{-1} \\ b_{2j+1,\lambda} = 0 \\ b_{2j,\lambda} = \sum_{k=2}^{3j} (-1)^k d_{j,k} \cdot b_{0,\lambda}^{k+1}, \quad d_{j,k} \in \Gamma_1^{2mk-4j} \end{cases}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 1.6 using Proposition 4.2 and (4.19) formula we conclude

$$\sigma_{f(H)} - \sigma_{\bar{V},0} \in \Gamma_0^{-\delta-1} \tag{4.20}$$

by combining (4.20) and Proposition 4.1 we get

$$\sigma_{\bar{V}} - \sigma_{f(H)} \in \Gamma_0^{-\delta-1} \tag{4.21}$$

From (4.21) and Proposition 2.5 (iii) we deduce that the operator $(\bar{V} - f(H))H^{\frac{\delta+1}{2m}}$ is bounded. We can write

$$\frac{1}{m} H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} (\bar{V} - f(H)) = \left[\bar{L}_m - \left(H^{\frac{1}{m}} + \frac{1}{m} H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} f(H) \right) \right]$$

Finally we get that the operator $[\bar{L}_m - (H^{\frac{1}{m}} + \frac{1}{m} H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} f(H))]H^{1+\frac{\delta}{2m}-\frac{1}{2m}}$ is also bounded. According to min-max theorem we have

$$\bar{v}_k = \frac{1}{m} \lambda_k^{\frac{1}{m}-1} f(\lambda_k) + O(\lambda_k^{-(1+\frac{\delta}{2m}-\frac{1}{2m})}) \tag{4.22}$$

Then

$$m \lambda_k^{1-\frac{1}{m}} \bar{v}_k = \frac{1}{T} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{V(\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{2m}} y)}{(1 - y^{2m})^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} dy + O(\lambda_k^{\frac{-\delta-1}{2m}}) \tag{4.23}$$

□

Remark 4.3 We note that from (1.4) we have the following estimate

$$\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{m}-1} f(\lambda_k) = O(\lambda_k^{\frac{-\delta}{2m} + \frac{1}{m} - 1})$$

5 The relation between the spectrum of L_m and \bar{L}_m

Proof of Proposition 1.7 To establish Proposition 1.7, we need to prove the next result

Proposition 5.1 *There exists a skew-symmetric operator $Q \in G_0^{-(2m-2+\delta)}$ such as the operator $(e^Q L_m e^{-Q} - \bar{L}_m) H^{\frac{\delta-\eta}{m}+2-\frac{2}{m}}$ is bounded, where $\eta \in]0, 2[$.*

Proof The Q operator is built using the Q_1 and Q_2 operators as follows

$$Q = Q_1 + Q_2 \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$Q_1 = \frac{i}{mT} H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} \int_0^T (T-t) W(t) dt$$

and

$$Q_2 = -\frac{1}{2T} \int_0^T (T-t) \int_0^t \left[\frac{1}{m} H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} W(t), \frac{1}{m} H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} W(r) \right] dr dt$$

Before starting the proof we could make sure that

$$\left[Q_1, H^{\frac{1}{m}} \right] = \frac{1}{m} H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} (\bar{V} - V) \quad \left[Q_2, H^{\frac{1}{m}} \right] = -\frac{1}{2} \left[Q_1, \frac{1}{m} H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} V \right] - \bar{\bar{V}} \tag{5.2}$$

where $\bar{\bar{V}} = \frac{1}{2Ti} \int_0^T \int_0^t \left[\frac{1}{m} H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} W(t), \frac{1}{m} H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} W(r) \right] dr dt$

We notice $Ad Q . L_m = [Q, L_m]$. The differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX}{dt} = [Q, X] \\ X(0) = L_m \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

has a unique solution

$$X(t) = e^{tADQ} . L_m = e^{tQ} L_m e^{-tQ}$$

From (5.2) and (5.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} e^Q L_m e^{-Q} - \bar{L}_m &= \left\{ -\bar{\bar{V}} + \frac{1}{2m} \left[Q_2, H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} V \right] \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2m} \left\{ \left[Q, H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} \bar{V} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[Q, \left[Q_1, H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} V \right] \right] \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[Q, \left[Q_2, \frac{1}{m} H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} V \right] \right] - \left[Q, \bar{\bar{V}} \right] \right\} \\ &+ \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{(Ad Q)^n}{(n+1)!} \left[Q, H^{\frac{1}{m}} \right] + \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{(Ad Q)^n}{(n+1)!} \left[Q, \frac{1}{m} H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} V \right] \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof of proposition we need the following lemma

Lemma 5.2

$$Q_1 \in G_0^{-(2m+2-\delta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\overline{V}}, Q_2 \in G_0^{-(4m-4+2\delta-2\eta)}$$

where $\eta \in]0, 2[$

Proof Using Proposition 2.5 (i) we can prove in analog way of Proposition 4.1 that $Q_1 \in G_0^{-(2m+2-\delta)}$. Now let's determine the class of $\overline{\overline{V}}$, we can write

$$\overline{\overline{V}} = \frac{1}{2Ti} \int_0^T [S(t), B(t)]dt$$

where

$$S(t) = \frac{1}{m} H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} W(t), \quad B(t) = \int_0^t S(r)dr$$

let's start by clarifying the class of the operator $\int_0^T S(t)B(t)dt$. For now we are interested in the operator $S(t)B(t)$, its Weyl symbol c_t is given in [15] by

$$c_t(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int e^{-2i(r\rho-\omega\tau)} \sigma_{S(t)}(x+\omega, \xi+\rho) \sigma_{B(t)}(x+r, \xi+\tau) d\rho d\omega d\tau dr. \quad (5.4)$$

We split the oscillator integral c_t into two parts $c_t^{(1)}$ and $c_t^{(2)}$, then we use the cutoff functions

$$\omega_{1,\varepsilon}(x, \xi, \omega, \tau, r, \rho) = \chi \left[\frac{\omega^2 + \rho^2 + r^2 + \tau^2}{\varepsilon(1+x^2+\xi^2)^{\frac{\eta}{2}}} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{2,\varepsilon} = 1 - \omega_{1,\varepsilon}$$

where $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\chi \equiv 1$ in $[-1, 1]$, $\chi \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R} \setminus]-2, 2[$, $R = \omega^2 + \rho^2 + r^2 + \tau^2$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\eta > 0$. Let's consider

$$d_j(x, \xi, \omega, \tau, r, \rho) = \omega_{j,\varepsilon}(x, \xi, \omega, \tau, r, \rho) \sigma_{S(t)}(x+\omega, \rho+\xi) \sigma_{B(t)}(x+r, \rho+\xi) \quad (5.5)$$

$c_t^{(1)}$ (resp $c_t^{(2)}$) the integral obtained in (5.4) by replacing the amplitude by d_1 (resp d_2)

Study of $c_t^{(2)}$

On the support of d_2 we have $R \geq \varepsilon(1+x^2+\xi^2)^{\frac{\eta}{2}}$. We make an integration by parts using the operator

$$M = \frac{1}{2iR} (-\rho\partial_r - r\partial_\rho + \tau\partial_\omega + \omega\partial_\tau)$$

We have for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$c_t^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int e^{-2i(r\rho-\omega\tau)} (tM)^k d_2 d\rho d\omega d\tau dr$$

then we obtain for all $k > 0$

$$|c_t^{(2)}| \leq C_k(1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{\frac{-\eta k}{4}}$$

Uniformly with respect to $t \in [0, T]$

Study of $c_t^{(1)}$

On the support of d_1 we have

$$c_t^{(1)}(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{R \leq 2\varepsilon(1+x^2+\xi^2)^{\frac{\eta}{2}}} e^{-2i(r\rho-\omega\tau)} \sigma_{S(t)}(x + \omega, \xi + \rho) \times \sigma_{B(t)}(x + r, \xi + \tau) \omega_{1,\varepsilon} d\rho d\omega d\tau dr \tag{5.6}$$

$$\int_0^T |c_t^{(1)}| dt \leq c \int_{R \leq 2\varepsilon(1+x^2+\xi^2)^{\frac{\eta}{2}}} d\rho d\omega d\tau dr \int_0^T |\sigma_{S(t)}(x + \omega, \xi + \rho)| dt \times \int_0^T |\sigma_{B(t)}(x + r, \xi + \tau)| dt \tag{5.7}$$

By using (4.4) and (1.4) we can deduce for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma_{S(t)}(x, \xi)| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta} (1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{-(m-1)} (1 + x^2(t))^{\frac{-\delta}{2}}$$

by integrating along the interval $[0, T]$ and following the same reasoning in Proposition 4.1 we get

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \int_0^T \sigma_{S(t)}(x, \xi) dt| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta} (1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{\frac{-(2m-2+\delta)}{2}} \tag{5.8}$$

From (5.7) and (5.8) we have

$$\int_0^T |c_t^{(1)}| dt \leq c \int_{R \leq 2\varepsilon(1+x^2+\xi^2)^{\frac{\eta}{2}}} (1 + (x + \omega)^2 + (\xi + \rho)^2)^{\frac{-(2m-2+\delta)}{2}} \times (1 + (x + r)^2 + (\xi + \tau)^2)^{\frac{-(2m-2+\delta)}{2}} d\rho d\omega d\tau dr$$

On the support of d_1 , for ε small enough and since $\eta \in]0, 2[$, there are positive constants c, c', C, C' such that

$$\begin{cases} c(1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (1 + (x + \omega)^2 + (\rho + \xi)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ c'(1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (1 + (x + r)^2 + (\tau + \xi)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C'(1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\int_0^T c_t^{(1)} dt \leq C(1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{-(2m-2+\delta)} \int_{R \leq 2\varepsilon(1+x^2+\xi^2)^{\frac{\eta}{2}}} d\rho d\omega d\tau dr \tag{5.9}$$

Finally

$$\int_0^T c_t^{(1)} dt \leq c(1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{-(2m-2+\delta)+\eta} \tag{5.10}$$

At the end by denoting σ the Weyl symbol of the operator $\int_0^T S(t)B(t)dt$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma| &\leq \int_0^T |c_t^{(1)}| dt + \int_0^T |c_t^{(2)}| dt \\ &\leq C \left[(1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{\frac{-\eta k}{4}} + (1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{-(2m-2+\delta-\eta)} \right] \\ &\leq C(1 + x^2 + \xi^2)^{-(2m-2+\delta-\eta)} \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the same estimates for $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi)$, we prove by the same way that $Q_2 \in G_0^{-(4m-4+\delta-2\eta)}$ □

We return to the proof of Proposition 5.1, since $V \in G_0^0, \bar{V} \in G_0^{-\delta}, Q_1, Q \in G_0^{-(2m-2+\delta)}$ and $\bar{V}, Q_2 \in G_0^{-(4m-4+2\delta-2\eta)}$, by using Proposition 2.5 we have

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} &\left\| \left\{ -\bar{V} + \frac{1}{2m} \left[Q_2, H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} V \right] \right\} H^{2-\frac{2}{m}+\frac{\delta-\eta}{m}} \right\| \leq C \\ &\left\| \left\{ \left[Q, H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} \bar{V} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[Q, \left[Q_1, H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} V \right] \right] \right\} H^{2-\frac{2}{m}+\frac{\delta}{m}} \right\| \leq C \\ &\left\| \frac{1}{2} \left[Q, \left[Q_2, H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} V \right] \right] - \left[Q, \bar{V} \right] \right\| H^{4-\frac{4}{m}+\frac{2\delta-2\eta}{m}} \right\| \leq C \\ &\left\| (ADQ)^n \left[Q, H^{\frac{1}{m}} \right] H^{2-\frac{2}{m}+\frac{\delta}{m}} \right\| \leq C \|Q\|^{n-2} \quad (n \geq 2) \\ &\left\| (ADQ)^n \left[Q, H^{\frac{1}{m}-1} V \right] H^{2-\frac{2}{m}+\frac{\delta}{m}} \right\| \leq C \|Q\|^{n-2} \end{aligned} \right.$$

From what precedes we deduce that $(e^Q L_m e^{-Q} - \bar{L}_m) H^{\frac{\delta-\eta}{m}+2-\frac{2}{m}}$ is bounded. □

Come back to the proof of Proposition 1.7. We deduce from Proposition 5.1 that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$-cH^{-\frac{\delta+\eta}{m}+\frac{2}{m}-2} \leq e^Q L_m e^{-Q} - \bar{L}_m \leq cH^{-\frac{\delta+\eta}{m}+\frac{2}{m}-2}$$

According to the min-max theorem

$$\nu_k = \bar{\nu}_k + O(\lambda_k^{-\frac{\delta+\eta}{m}+\frac{2}{m}-2}) \tag{5.11}$$

To have a good estimate, let us specify the best choice of η . Combining Remark 4.3, (5.11) and Proposition 5.1, we choose

$$\eta \in]0, \min(2, m - 1 + \frac{\delta}{2})[\tag{5.12}$$

□

Now we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. It is enough to combine Propositions 1.5, 1.6 and 1.7 we deduce

$$\mu_k = \frac{1}{T} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{V(y\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{2m}})}{(1-y^{2m})^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}} dy + O(\lambda_k^{\frac{-\delta-1}{2m}}), \quad \forall m \geq 2$$

and for $m = 1$ (harmonic oscillator case)

$$\mu_k = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} V(\sqrt{\lambda_k} \sin t) dt + O(\lambda_k^{-\delta+\eta}),$$

where $\eta \in]0, \frac{\delta}{2}[$.

Acknowledgements Many thanks to our \TeX -pert for developing this class file.

References

1. Helffer, B., Robert, D.: Propriétés asymptotiques du spectre d'opérateur pseudo-diff sur \mathbb{R}^n . *J. Funct. Anal.* **7**, 795–882 (1982)
2. Kato, T.: *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Springer, Berlin (1966)
3. Reed, M., Simon, B.: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics IV: Analysis of Operators*. Academic Press, New York (1978)
4. Gurarie, D.: Asymptotic inverse spectral problem for anharmonic oscillators. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **112**, 491–502 (1987)
5. Gurarie, D.: Asymptotic inverse spectral problem for anharmonic oscillators with odd potentials. *Inverse Probl.* **5**, 293–306 (1989)
6. Klein, M., Korotyaev, E., Pokrovski, A.: Spectral asymptotics of the harmonic oscillator perturbed by bounded potentials. *Annales Henri Poincaré* **6**, 747–789 (2005)
7. Pushnitski, A., Sorrell, I.: High energy asymptotics and trace formulas for the perturbed harmonic oscillator. *Annales Henri Poincaré* **7**, 381–396 (2006)
8. Gurarie, D.: Averaging methods in spectral theory of Schrödinger operators. *Maximal Principles and Eigenvalues Problems in Differential Equations* **167–177**, (1987)
9. Colin de Verdière, Y.: La méthode de moyennisation en mécanique semi-classique. *Journées équations aux dérivées partielles* **1–11** (1987)
10. Arnol'd, V.I.: *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, vol. 60. Springer, New York (2013)
11. Robert, D., Helffer, B.: Comportement semi-classique du spectre des hamiltoniens quantiques elliptiques. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **31**, 169–223 (1981)
12. Helffer, B.: *Théorie spectrale pour des opérateurs globalement elliptiques*. Société mathématique de France (1984)
13. Calderon, A.P., Vaillancourt, R.: On the boundedness of pseudo-differential operators. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **23**, 374–378 (1971)
14. Tagmouti, M.A.: Sur le spectre de l'opérateur de Schrödinger avec un champ magnétique constant plus un potentiel radial décroissant. *J. Funct. Anal.* **156**, 57–74 (1998)
15. Robert, D.: *Autour de l'approximation semi-classique*. Progress in mathematics 68 Birkhauser (1987)
16. Helffer, B., Robert, D.: Calcul fonctionnel par la transformation de Mellin et opérateurs admissibles. *J. Funct. Anal.* **53**, 246–268 (1983)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.