

Controversies in the Therapy of Brain Metastases: Shifting Paradigms in an Era of Effective Systemic Therapy and Longer-Term Survivorship

Colette J. Shen, MD, PhD¹

Michael Lim, MD²

Lawrence R. Kleinberg, MD^{1,*}

Address

^{1,2}Department of Radiation Oncology and Molecular Radiation Sciences, Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins Hospital, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, 401 North Broadway, Suite 1440, Baltimore, MD, 21287, USA

Email: kleinla@jhmi.edu

²Department of Neurosurgery, Johns Hopkins Hospital, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, 600 N. Wolfe Street, Phipps Suite 123, Baltimore, MD, 21287, USA

Published online: 22 July 2016

© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

This article is part of the Topical Collection on *Neuro-oncology*

Keywords Brain metastasis · Whole brain radiotherapy · Radiosurgery · Surgical resection · Targeted therapy · Immune therapy

Opinion statement

With the development of therapies that improve extracranial disease control and increase long-term survival of patients with metastatic cancer, effective treatment of brain metastases while minimizing toxicities is becoming increasingly important. An expanding arsenal that includes surgical resection, whole brain radiation therapy, radiosurgery, and targeted systemic therapy provides multiple treatment options. However, significant controversies still exist surrounding appropriate use of each modality in various clinical scenarios and patient populations in the context of cancer care strategies that control systemic disease for increasingly longer periods of time. While whole brain radiotherapy alone is still a reasonable and standard option for patients with multiple metastases, several randomized trials have now revealed that survival is maintained in patients treated with radiosurgery or surgery alone, without upfront whole brain radiotherapy, for up to four brain metastases. Indeed, recent data even suggest that patients with up to 10 metastases can be treated with radiosurgery alone

without a survival detriment. In an era of dramatic advances in targeted and immune therapies that control systemic disease and improve survival but may not penetrate the brain, more consideration should be given to brain metastasis-directed treatments that minimize long-term neurocognitive deficits, while keeping in mind that salvage brain therapies will likely be more frequently required. Less toxic therapies now also allow for concurrent delivery of systemic therapy with radiosurgery to brain metastases, such that treatment of both extracranial and intracranial disease can be expedited, and potential synergies between radiotherapy and agents with central nervous system penetration can be harnessed.

Introduction

Brain metastases are a common cause of morbidity and mortality in cancer patients and occur in approximately 10 % of all cancer patients, with the highest incidence in patients with lung cancer, followed by renal, melanoma, breast, and colorectal cancers [1–3]. The incidence of brain metastases is thought to be increasing with improved imaging techniques for detection and better local and systemic therapies that prolong survival [4]. Because most systemic therapies poorly penetrate the blood-brain barrier or are inactive in the central nervous system (CNS) microenvironment [5], surgery and radiation therapy have been the mainstays of treatment for brain metastases.

Whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT) was historically the standard of care with the goal of short-term palliation, until an early study demonstrated the potential survival benefit of more intensive local therapy with surgery in patients with limited brain metastases [6]. The introduction of stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) to target individual metastases with ablative high-dose radiotherapy has since made an aggressive approach accessible for patients who are not otherwise surgical candidates, including those with multiple lesions, lesions in inaccessible locations, and other contraindications. Although no randomized data comparing resection and radiosurgery exist, radiosurgery results appear similar in properly selected patients, and it is now a generally preferred approach.

Localized therapy with radiosurgery treats identified brain metastases with a substantially higher radiation dose than is safe with WBRT, which is limited by risk to the normal brain. The use of radiosurgery is motivated not only by the goal of improving tumor control but also by diminished risk of neurocognitive effects from normal brain

exposure [7, 8••]. Treatment with radiosurgery also generally allows faster initiation or less interruption of needed systemic therapies, which now have the potential to increase long-term survivorship with metastatic cancer [9, 10••, 11••]. Thus, SRS is now increasingly used in the treatment of brain metastases, both in the first-line setting as an alternative to surgery or WBRT, and in the adjuvant and salvage settings. There is level I evidence that radiosurgery alone in the first-line setting is appropriate for patients with 1–4 brain metastases [7, 12, 13••, 14], and additional data suggest it is appropriate for many patients with greater than four lesions [15••]. Neurosurgical resection is of particular value in confirming the diagnosis, removing large lesions that cannot be treated well with radiosurgery, and relieving symptoms and mass effect.

Motivated by advances in systemic therapies that are not fully active in the CNS but are increasing long-term survival, there is a need to develop optimal strategies to control brain disease while limiting treatment toxicities for patients who develop recurrence after local therapy. Repeat radiosurgery to new lesions appears appropriate, with use of surgery when needed [16–18, 19•, 20•, 21]. Development of systemic agents capable of stabilizing CNS disease remains a subject of active investigation and is beyond the scope of this review.

In this review, we discuss controversies involving (1) the appropriate use of radiotherapy, radiosurgery, and surgery for initial treatment of brain metastases; (2) post-resection management of brain metastases; (3) salvage therapy for new or recurrent brain metastases following prior treatment; and (4) combination systemic and CNS-directed radiation therapy.

Is there a benefit to aggressive local management of individual brain metastases?

Whole brain radiotherapy was traditionally the standard of care for brain metastasis, before routine use of modern brain imaging and the demonstration that therapy of individual gross lesions might be beneficial. The Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) conducted randomized trials in the 1970s to test a variety of WBRT regimens and demonstrated median survivals of 15–18 weeks [22]. The poor survival outcomes observed may be related to the limited efficacy in controlling gross disease with palliative doses of radiation given to the whole brain, as well as the lack of effective therapies to control metastatic disease. Patients treated with WBRT experience significant short-term quality-of-life toxicities of fatigue and hair loss, and a proportion will develop meaningful long-term decline in neurocognitive function [7, 8••, 13••, 23, 24].

The desire to improve outcomes for patients with brain metastases led to trials testing alternative radiotherapy dosing [25], as well as the value of surgical resection for select patients. Patchell et al. demonstrated in a proof of principle randomized trial that intensive management with definitive local therapy (surgical resection), when added to WBRT for a single brain metastasis, significantly improved local control and survival, with median overall survival (OS) increased to 40 weeks from 15 weeks with WBRT alone [6]. The RTOG randomized trial 9508 investigated the similar question of adding SRS as aggressive local management to WBRT for patients with 1–3 brain metastases and found improved survival for the subgroup of patients with a single metastasis (6.5 months WBRT + SRS vs. 4.9 months WBRT only, $P = 0.04$) and improved performance status for all patients [26].

Radiosurgery alone, without whole brain radiotherapy, is an appropriate treatment option

In response to concerns about the risk of neurocognitive deficits associated with WBRT [24, 27, 28], the question arose of whether WBRT was necessary for lesions treatable by radiosurgery. Four randomized studies [7, 12, 13••, 14] have confirmed similar survival outcomes if WBRT is omitted, with a tradeoff of reduced side effects and risks balanced against a reduction in failure elsewhere in the brain when the whole organ is treated (Table 1). While local and distant intracranial recurrences and need for salvage therapy are reduced with WBRT in these studies, WBRT appears only to moderate but not eliminate these risks. For example, the incidence of new lesions was reduced from 48 to 33 % at 2 years in patients treated with radiosurgery or surgery followed by adjuvant WBRT in the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) 22952-26001 randomized trial [14], but the median survival was similar at 10.9 versus 10.7 months. The absence of a resulting survival benefit is likely the result of successful salvage therapy, as well as the competing risk of death from other causes.

These randomized trials testing the value of WBRT also assessed quality of life and/or neurocognitive endpoints [7, 8••, 13••, 14, 29] and generally confirmed

Table 1. Randomized studies of radiosurgery with or without whole brain radiotherapy for brain metastases

Study (accrual years)	Eligibility	Treatment/arms	Local control	Distant IC recurrence	Neurologic death	Median OS (months)
JROSG 99-1 [12] (1999–2003)	1–4 brain metastases, <3 cm diameter, KPS ≥ 70	1: WBRT + SRS (n = 65) 2: SRS only (n = 67)	1: 88.7 % ^a 2: 72.5 % (1-year actuarial rate)	1: 41.5 % ^b 2: 63.7 % (1-year actuarial rate)	1: 22.8 % ^c 2: 19.3 %	1: 7.5 ^d 2: 8.0
MDACC [7] (2001–2007)	1–3 brain metastases, KPS ≥ 70	1: WBRT + SRS (n = 28) 2: SRS only (n = 30)	1: 100 % ^e 2: 67 % (1-year actuarial rate)	1: 27 % ^f 2: 55 % (1-year actuarial rate)	1: 25 % ^g 2: 27 %	1: 5.7 ^h 2: 15.2
EORTC metastases, WHO PS 0–2	22952-26001 [14] (1996–2007) 1: WBRT + SRS (n = 99) 2: SRS only (n = 100)	1–3 brain 1: 81 % ⁱ 2: 69 % (2-year cumulative incidence)	1: 33 % ^j 2: 48 % (2-year cumulative incidence)	1: 28 % ^k 2: 44 %	1: 10.7 ^l 2: 10.9	

JROSG Japanese Radiation Oncology Study Group, MDACC MD Anderson Cancer Center, EORTC European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer, KPS Karnofsky performance status, WHO PS World Health Organization performance status, WBRT whole brain radiation therapy, SRS stereotactic radiosurgery, IC intracranial, OS overall survival

^aP = 0.002
^bP = 0.003
^cP = 0.64
^dP = 0.42
^eP = 0.012
^fP = 0.02
^gP = 0.15
^hP = 0.003
ⁱP = 0.04
^jP = 0.023
^kP < 0.002 (includes patients treated initially with either surgery or radiosurgery)
^lP = 0.89 (includes patients treated initially with either surgery or radiosurgery)

superior outcomes with stereotactic radiotherapy alone. It had been proposed that early WBRT may decrease neurocognitive deficits from the development of new, symptomatic intracranial disease [30, 31] and thereby be of value. However, these randomized trials suggest better quality of life with omission of WBRT [7, 8••, 13••, 14], although one showed a higher incidence of drop in mini-mental status score over 2 years following treatment with SRS alone [29]. Our own data suggest that with routine imaging follow-up every 3 months for the first 2 years and then at longer intervals, most new intracranial disease is not symptomatic when detected. Even when new lesions are associated with neurologic deficits, most symptoms are reversed or at least improved with treatment [20•]. As such, SRS without WBRT is increasingly used in the management of brain metastases, with level I evidence supporting this approach for patients with up to four metastases based on similar survival outcomes and reduced treatment morbidity.

Should treatment with radiosurgery alone be used for all patient populations with 1–4 brain metastases?

Despite the results of randomized trials showing no survival benefit overall with addition of WBRT for 1–4 brain metastases, individual patients may choose this option to reduce the incidence of new brain lesions while accepting the risks of treatment toxicity. Prognostic groupings based on diagnosis have been proposed to help stratify patients and select appropriate treatments [32]. Although data are limited, it is hypothesized that there may be subgroups of patients who might have improved outcomes with the addition of WBRT based on their histology or other individual factors [33•]. Conversely, an individual patient data meta-analysis of three randomized trials of SRS with or without WBRT (discussed above) suggested an OS benefit with SRS alone in patients age 50 or younger [34•].

The decision may become more nuanced as more effective systemic therapies increase the prospects of long-term cancer survival for subgroups of patients, raising anew the issue of balance between brain recurrence over time and the long-term neurocognitive risks of therapy. Limited data are available about long-term survivors, but one series suggested that WBRT may not be meaningfully effective in eradicating distant disease, with distant intracranial failure rates at 5 years of 74.5 % for SRS alone and 61.8 % with the addition of WBRT ($P = 0.07$) [35]. Until more data are available, the approach may best be individualized based upon the patient's wishes.

Clearly, WBRT is necessary when there are too many lesions to target individually with safety, especially as the cumulative incidence of toxicity is expected to increase as more lesions are treated. Diffuse processes such as leptomeningeal disease require WBRT. In addition, bulky disease above 3 cm in size not planned for surgical resection is generally less effectively treated with SRS given dose constraints [36]. Small cell lung cancer is considered to be most optimally treated with WBRT not only because it may generally be a diffuse process with significant subclinical disease but also because it is radiosensitive, and the dose administered has a high chance of controlling disease.

Research is underway to minimize the neurocognitive toxicity of WBRT, although no strategy has yet been confirmed to be of meaningful benefit [37]. Hippocampal-sparing radiotherapy techniques have been promising with only limited recurrence in the under-dosed region [38•]. Drugs such as memantine and donepezil used in Alzheimer's disease may also be of modest benefit [39–41].

Is radiosurgery alone an appropriate choice for greater than four brain metastases?

Retrospective and prospective studies suggest the appropriateness of this approach, although the value has not been assessed in a phase III trial. Several retrospective studies demonstrated that survival is not impaired when treating patients with five or more metastases with SRS alone [42–44]. A recent multi-

institutional prospective observational study from the Japanese Leksell Gamma Knife Society, JLGK0901, evaluated patients with 1–10 brain metastases treated with radiosurgery alone [15••]. The study was confined to small volume disease, with the largest tumor limited to <10 mL in volume and <3 cm in longest diameter, and the cumulative volume of all lesions limited to ≤15 mL. No differences in OS, local recurrence, distant failure, or neurological death were seen between patients with 2–4 brain metastases and those with 5–10 metastases treated with SRS alone. In the group of patients with 5–10 lesions, 8 % were treated with salvage WBRT, 43 % with repeat radiosurgery, and 19 % developed leptomeningeal failure, compared to 10, 42, and 13 %, respectively, in the group with 2–4 lesions for whom radiosurgery is considered established standard management. Thus, while randomized data are still needed to guide management of multiple brain metastases, existing data suggest that SRS alone may be a reasonable approach for select patients seeking to avoid WBRT who present with small volume disease up to 10 metastases.

When should surgical resection be considered?

Although no randomized trials comparing surgery and radiosurgery have been successfully completed, retrospective studies have suggested similar survival outcomes in patients with a single brain metastasis. As surgery with post-operative radiotherapy compared with radiosurgery results in similar local control, it is expected that survival outcomes would also be similar [45–47]. Surgery is typically favored for large, symptomatic lesions, as it can provide immediate relief of mass effect and perilesional edema while limiting requirement of steroids [48, 49]. In addition, radiosurgery is less likely to be effective for lesions larger than 3 cm in size, as the dose that may be safely administered is limited [36]. Surgery is used when pathologic confirmation of metastatic disease is desired, as even in patients with known primary cancer, a new brain mass represents non-metastatic disease in approximately 10 % of cases [6]. Pathologic confirmation of recurrent disease is also an indication for surgical resection and is discussed in a later section.

Optimal post-resection therapy: whole brain radiotherapy or radiosurgery?

Radiotherapy is usually recommended after surgical resection of metastatic disease, as local recurrence is high in this situation where wide margins are generally not safely attained. An early randomized trial assessed the value of WBRT after surgical resection of brain metastasis [50] (Table 2). The addition of WBRT improved local and distant intracranial control and freedom from neurologic death, although no improvement in OS was noted (median OS ~40–50 weeks). In particular, resection bed failure was reduced from 46 to 10 % ($P < 0.001$). Similarly, the randomized EORTC 22952-26001 study, in which two of the four arms evaluated surgical resection followed by WBRT versus observation, demonstrated reduced local relapse (59 to 27 %, $P < 0.001$) and distant intracranial relapse (42 to 23 %, $P = 0.008$) but no difference in OS with WBRT [14] (Table 2). As these trials confirm high target lesion failure rates after surgical resection in

Table 2. Select studies of surgical resection with adjuvant radiotherapy for brain metastases

Study (accrual/treatment years)	Eligibility	Treatment/arms	Local recurrence	Distant IC recurrence	Median OS
Prospective Patchell et al., 1990 [6] (1985–1988)	Single resectable brain metastasis, KPS ≥ 70	1: Surgery + WBRT 36 Gy (n = 25, median follow-up 40 weeks) 2: WBRT only (n = 23, median follow-up 15 weeks)	1: 20 % ^a 2: 52 %	1: 20 % ^b 2: 13 %	1: 40 weeks ^c 2: 15 weeks
Patchell et al., 1998 [50] (1989–1997)	Single completely resected brain metastasis, KPS ≥ 70	1: Surgery + WBRT 50.4 Gy (n = 49, median follow-up 48 weeks) 2: Surgery only (n = 46, median follow-up 43 weeks)	1: 10 % ^d 2: 46 %	1: 14 % ^e 2: 37 %	1: 48 weeks ^f 2: 43 weeks
EORTC 22952-26001 [14] (1996–2007) 10.7 months ^g 2: 10.9 months	1–3 brain metastases, WHO PS 0–2	1: Surgery + WBRT 30 Gy (n = 81) 2: Surgery only (n = 79)	1: 27 % ^g 2: 59 %	1: 23 % ^h 2: 42 %	1:
Brennan et al. [51•] (2004–2009)	1–2 brain metastases, KPS ≥ 70	Surgery + tumor bed SRS (n = 39 patients)/40 lesions, median dose 18 Gy, median follow-up 12 months)	15 %	44 %	14.7 months
Retrospective Hwang et al. [52] (1999–2008)	No prior SRS/WBRT, additional synchronous metastases allowed	1: Surgery + tumor bed SRS (n = 25) 2: Surgery + WBRT (n = 18) (not randomized, retrospectively evaluated)	1: 0 % 2: 25 % (crude rate)	1: 33 % 2: 25 % (crude rate)	1:
15.0 months ⁱ 2: 6.8 months					
Jensen et al. [53] (2001–2009)	No prior WBRT, additional synchronous metastases allowed	Surgery + tumor bed SRS (n = 106 patients)/112 lesions, median dose 17 Gy)	19.7 % (1-year K-M estimate)	64.6 % (1-year K-M estimate)	10.9 months
Prabhu et al. [54] (2007–2010)	No prior cranial RT, additional synchronous metastases allowed	Surgery + tumor bed SRS (n = 62 patients)/64 lesions, median dose 18 Gy, median follow-up 9.7 months)	22 % (1-year K-M estimate)	51 % (1-year K-M estimate)	13.4 months
Minniti et al. [55] (2005–2012)	Single brain metastasis, >3 cm, no prior WBRT	Surgery + tumor bed SRS (n = 101 patients, 9 Gy x3, median follow-up 16 months)	7 % (1-year K-M estimate)	50 % (1-year K-M estimate)	17 months
Atalar et al. and Choi et al. [56•, 57•]				DF: 54 % estimate)	17 months

Table 2. (Continued)

Study (accrual/treatment years)	Eligibility	Treatment/arms	Local recurrence	Distant IC recurrence	Median OS
(1998–2011)	No prior WBRT, additional synchronous metastases allowed	Surgery + tumor bed SRS ($n = 165$ patients/175 lesions, median follow-up 12.4 months)	10 % (1-year cumulative incidence)	LMD: 11 % (1-year cumulative incidence) DF: 64 %	22.3 months
Ojerholm et al. [58] (2007–2013)	No prior WBRT, additional synchronous metastases allowed	Surgery + tumor bed SRS ($n = 91$ patients/96 lesions, median dose 16 Gy, median follow-up 9.8 months)	18 % (crude rate)	LMD: 14 % (crude rate)	

EORTC European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer, *KPS* Karnofsky performance status, *WHO PS* World Health Organization performance status, *WBRT* whole brain radiation therapy, *SRS* stereotactic radiosurgery, *RT* radiation therapy, *K-M* Kaplan-Meier, *IC* intracranial, *DF* distant failure, *LMD* leptomeningeal disease, *OS* overall survival

^a $p < 0.02$
^b $p = 0.52$
^c $p < 0.01$
^d $p < 0.001$
^e $p < 0.01$
^f $p = 0.39$
^g $p < 0.001$
^h $p = 0.008$
ⁱ $p = 0.89$ (includes patients treated initially with either surgery or radiosurgery)
^j $p = 0.08$

addition to expected distant brain recurrences, it is currently a standard of care to follow surgical resection with post-operative WBRT [49, 59, 60].

However, with the increasing use of SRS alone as the local therapy for brain metastases and association of WBRT with significant neurocognitive toxicities [7, 8••, 23, 24], post-operative SRS is now commonly administered as an alternative to post-operative WBRT [61, 62], with the goal of reducing the very high risk of recurrence in the operative bed. While no randomized trials comparing post-operative WBRT and SRS have been reported to date, this approach is supported by the studies discussed above demonstrating no detriment in survival when WBRT is omitted following SRS alone or surgical resection. Prospective and retrospective data summarized in Table 2 suggest that post-operative SRS is associated with local recurrence rates of 10–20 % and distant brain failure rates of 30–60 %, which are similar to outcomes with radiosurgery alone, and that survival is not adversely affected. Thus, while post-operative WBRT is currently the standard of care for resected brain metastases based on level I evidence, post-operative SRS is increasingly used to avoid or delay neurocognitive toxicities from WBRT. Ongoing prospective randomized studies comparing post-operative SRS versus WBRT or observation (NCCTG N107C, ClinicalTrials.gov.: NCT01372774; MD Anderson, ClinicalTrials.gov.: NCT00950001) should help identify which patients would benefit most from each approach, based on factors such as histology, tumor size and location, performance status, and extracranial disease status.

Is repeated radiosurgery for new brain metastases an appropriate management strategy?

Limited data exist to guide management of new brain metastases (distant brain failure) that appear after initial therapy [63], an occurrence expected to increase in incidence with longer survival following initial diagnosis of brain metastases. Options include salvage surgery, WBRT, and repeat SRS. Surgical resection in the salvage setting is valuable for pathologic confirmation of recurrence or for large, symptomatic lesions [21, 64, 65]. Studies of salvage surgery for recurrent lesions previously treated with surgical resection or radiosurgery have demonstrated median OS of 9–12 months following salvage surgery and minimal operative morbidity and mortality, although recurrences following salvage surgery were frequent in the absence of adjuvant radiotherapy [64–67]. As discussed in the previous section, resection is now typically followed by post-operative radiotherapy due to high operative bed recurrence rates with surgery alone [50].

Salvage WBRT even if the patient has received prior WBRT may be considered. Studies describing outcomes of whole brain re-irradiation have noted symptomatic improvement in 30–70 % of patients, minimal toxicity, and median OS following re-irradiation of 4–5 months [68–70]. Salvage WBRT following initial focal therapy is commonly practiced and was used for 16 % of patients in the JROSG 99-1 randomized study [12] and 31 % of patients in the EORTC 22952-26001 randomized study [14] who were initially treated with SRS or surgery alone. The “need” for later WBRT should not be considered a reliable metric of success of stereotactic therapy, as criteria for selecting salvage therapy are not well established and vary greatly among treatment teams.

More recently, mirroring the increased use of SRS in the initial setting, salvage SRS is now more widely employed, following both prior WBRT [71–73, 74•] and prior radiosurgery [16–18, 19•, 20]. In both settings, survival does not appear to be impaired by the use of salvage radiosurgery, with median OS of 8–13 months from the time of salvage SRS and 17–25 months from brain metastasis diagnosis [19•, 20•, 71–73]. Although there has been concern that once distant brain failure has occurred, it is likely that further lesions will rapidly develop resulting in adverse clinical outcomes, we have shown in a retrospective data set that patients who received three or more sessions of radiosurgery had favorable survival from diagnosis (32.5 months) [20•]. While selection factors are certainly responsible for this favorable survival outcome, the data suggest that repeated use of radiosurgery is appropriate for many patients.

Because of the lack of prospective evidence in the management of new/recurrent brain metastases following initial therapy, current clinical practice guidelines recommend individualizing treatment based on patient factors [63]. It is clear that with improvements in systemic therapy, a higher proportion of patients are likely to require salvage therapy for new or recurrent brain metastases during their lifetimes. Additional prospective studies are needed to identify patients who would benefit most from the various approaches, taking into consideration the risks of long-term toxicities and potential need for multiple brain treatments for long-term survivors.

Can systemic therapy be given concurrently with brain metastasis-directed therapy?

While most systemic therapies have limited CNS penetration, it may be beneficial to deliver systemic therapy concomitantly with brain radiotherapy in cases of active extra- and intracranial disease, as well as when agents with better CNS penetration are used to enhance anti-tumor effect in the brain. Concurrent delivery of systemic therapy and WBRT has been avoided due to concerns of increased neurotoxicity and worsening myelosuppression [75–80]. Even partial brain fractionated radiotherapy delivered to a significant area of brain has been shown to result in substantial myelosuppression, likely the result of exposure of a large proportion of circulating blood that flows through the brain [81, 82]. As a result, WBRT often results in an interruption or delay in systemic therapy of well over a month.

Radiosurgery is attractive as a treatment option that may not require interruption or delay in initiation of needed systemic therapies. Our retrospective analysis demonstrates no evidence of enhanced neurotoxicity and myelosuppression with concurrent systemic therapy (conventional chemotherapy, targeted therapy, or immune therapy) and brain SRS. Patients with a new diagnosis of primary cancer with brain metastasis may derive particular benefit from early concurrent systemic and brain-directed therapy [11••].

The development of targeted and immune systemic therapies may also provide new opportunities to improve outcomes and treatment tolerability [9]. A recent phase II study demonstrated that WBRT could be safely given concurrently with erlotinib with no increase in neurotoxicity [83•]. Recent studies evaluating combined treatment with SRS and BRAF inhibitors for melanoma brain metastases suggest no increased toxicity [84, 85], despite increased radiodermatitis noted with larger volume radiation combined with BRAF inhibition [86, 87]. Intriguingly, very

long-term survival has been demonstrated for patients with anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK)-rearranged non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treated with highly effective ALK inhibitors along with brain management with radiosurgery or WBRT [10••]. For those beginning inhibitor therapy at or after the diagnosis of brain metastasis, median survival was 54.8 months from brain metastasis discovery, whereas it was still favorable at 28.4 months for those who developed brain metastasis while on inhibitor therapy. Over this prolonged period of survival, patients frequently required additional brain therapy, emphasizing that agents that improve systemic control but rarely penetrate the blood-brain barrier fully will create a cadre of patients who survive long enough to require several treatments to the brain.

Several ongoing prospective studies are evaluating combinations of WBRT or SRS with targeted or immune therapies primarily for lung cancer, breast cancer, and melanoma patients [9]. Thus, recent developments in systemic therapies, as well as increasing use of SRS for brain metastases, now allow for concurrent systemic and CNS-directed therapy, which will hopefully result in fewer interruptions in systemic therapy, as well as improved CNS disease control. Concurrent therapy may be particularly important for harnessing the potential abscopal effect of immune therapy [88, 89], and several recent studies have demonstrated improved outcomes with combined immune therapy and SRS for melanoma brain metastases [90•, 91•, 92•]. The efficacy of checkpoint inhibitor therapy for disease within the immune privileged CNS requires further investigation.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of Interest

Colette J. Shen declares that she has no conflict of interest.

Michael Lim has received research support through grants from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Agenus, Celldex Therapeutics, ImmunoCellular Therapeutics, Accuray, and Stryker, and has received compensation from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Stryker, Merck, and Regeneron for service as a consultant.

Lawrence R. Kleinberg declares that he has no conflict of interest.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent

This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

References and Recommended Reading

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- Of major importance

1. Barnholtz-Sloan JS, Sloan AE, Davis FG, Vigneau FD, Lai P, Sawaya RE. Incidence proportions of brain metastases in patients diagnosed (1973 to 2001) in the Metropolitan Detroit Cancer Surveillance System. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004;22(14):2865–72. doi:10.1200/JCO.2004.12.149.
2. Davis FG, Dolecek TA, McCarthy BJ, Villano JL. Toward determining the lifetime occurrence of metastatic brain tumors estimated from 2007 United States cancer incidence data. *Neuro-Oncology.* 2012;14(9):1171–7. doi:10.1093/neuonc/nos152.

3. Schouten LJ, Rutten J, Huvneers HA, Twijnstra A. Incidence of brain metastases in a cohort of patients with carcinoma of the breast, colon, kidney, and lung and melanoma. *Cancer*. 2002;94(10):2698–705.
 4. Nayak L, Lee EQ, Wen PY. Epidemiology of brain metastases. *Curr Oncol Rep*. 2012;14(1):48–54. doi:10.1007/s11912-011-0203-y.
 5. Pitz MW, Desai A, Grossman SA, Blakeley JO. Tissue concentration of systemically administered antineoplastic agents in human brain tumors. *J Neuro-Oncol*. 2011;104(3):629–38. doi:10.1007/s11060-011-0564-y.
 6. Patchell RA, Tibbs PA, Walsh JW, Dempsey RJ, Maruyama Y, Kryscio RJ, et al. A randomized trial of surgery in the treatment of single metastases to the brain. *N Engl J Med*. 1990;322(8):494–500. doi:10.1056/NEJM19900223220802.
 7. Chang EL, Wefel JS, Hess KR, Allen PK, Lang FF, Kornguth DG, et al. Neurocognition in patients with brain metastases treated with radiosurgery or radiosurgery plus whole-brain irradiation: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2009;10(11):1037–44. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(09)70263-3.
 - 8••. Soffiotti R, Kocher M, Abacioglu UM, Villa S, Fauchon F, Baumert BG, et al. A European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer phase III trial of adjuvant whole-brain radiotherapy versus observation in patients with one to three brain metastases from solid tumors after surgical resection or radiosurgery: quality-of-life results. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(1):65–72. doi:10.1200/JCO.2011.41.0639.
- This paper reports quality-of-life results for the EORTC 22952-26001 randomized trial evaluating the addition of WBRT to radiosurgery or surgery for brain metastases and suggests better quality of life with omission of WBRT.
9. Aly Z, Peereboom DM. Combination of radiotherapy and targeted agents in brain metastasis: an update. *Curr Treat Options Neurol*. 2016;18(7):32. doi:10.1007/s11940-016-0416-3.
 - 10••. Johung KL, Yeh N, Desai NB, Williams TM, Lautenschlaeger T, Arvold ND, et al. Extended survival and prognostic factors for patients with ALK-rearranged non-small-cell lung cancer and brain metastasis. *J Clin Oncol*. 2016;34(2):123–9. doi:10.1200/JCO.2015.62.0138.
- This multi-institutional study demonstrates that long-term survival is possible in patients with brain metastases from ALK-rearranged NSCLC treated with brain radiotherapy and TKIs.
- 11••. Shen CJ, Kummerlowe MN, Redmond KJ, Rigamonti D, Lim MK, Kleinberg LR. Stereotactic radiosurgery: treatment of brain metastasis without interruption of systemic therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2016;95(2):735–42. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2016.01.054.
- This single-institution study suggests that concurrent treatment with brain SRS and systemic therapy is associated with minimal neurologic and hematologic toxicity and is safe for most patients.
12. Aoyama H, Shirato H, Tago M, Nakagawa K, Toyoda T, Hatano K, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery plus whole-brain radiation therapy vs stereotactic radiosurgery alone for treatment of brain metastases: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2006;295(21):2483–91.
 - 13••. Brown PD, Asher AL, Ballman KV, Farace E, Cerhan JH, Anderson SK, et al. NCCTG N0574 (Alliance): a phase III randomized trial of whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT) in addition to radiosurgery (SRS) in patients with 1 to 3 brain metastases. *J Clin Oncol*. 2015;33(suppl; abstr LBA4).
- This randomized, phase III trial (in abstract form) represents one of four large studies evaluating the role of WBRT added to SRS for 1–3 brain metastases and focuses on cognitive function as a primary endpoint.
14. Kocher M, Soffiotti R, Abacioglu U, Villa S, Fauchon F, Baumert BG, et al. Adjuvant whole-brain radiotherapy versus observation after radiosurgery or surgical resection of one to three cerebral metastases: results of the EORTC 22952-26001 study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011;29(2):134–41. doi:10.1200/JCO.2010.30.1655.
 - 15••. Yamamoto M, Serizawa T, Shuto T, Akabane A, Higuchi Y, Kawagishi J, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery for patients with multiple brain metastases (JLKG0901): a multi-institutional prospective observational study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2014;15(4):387–95. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70061-0.
- This multi-institutional prospective study suggests that treatment with SRS alone may be an appropriate approach for patients with multiple brain metastases, as outcomes were similar for patients with 5–10 brain metastases versus 4 or fewer metastases.
16. Yamanaka K, Iwai Y, Yasui T, Nakajima H, Komiyama M, Nishikawa M, et al. Gamma knife radiosurgery for metastatic brain tumor: the usefulness of repeated gamma knife radiosurgery for recurrent cases. *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg*. 1999;72(Suppl 1):73–80.
 17. Chen JC, Petrovich Z, Giannotta SL, Yu C, Apuzzo ML. Radiosurgical salvage therapy for patients presenting with recurrence of metastatic disease to the brain. *Neurosurgery*. 2000;46(4):860–6. discussion 866–7.
 18. Kwon KY, Kong DS, Lee JI, Nam DH, Park K, Kim JH. Outcome of repeated radiosurgery for recurrent metastatic brain tumors. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg*. 2007;109(2):132–7.
 - 19•. Shultz DB, Modlin LA, Jayachandran P, Von Eyben R, Gibbs IC, Choi CY, et al. Repeat courses of stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS), deferring whole-brain irradiation, for new brain metastases after initial SRS. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2015;92(5):993–9. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2015.04.036.
- References 19 and 20 suggest that repeated course of SRS for new brain metastases following initial SRS is a safe and effective approach.
- 20•. Shen CJ, Rigamonti D, Redmond KJ, Kummerlowe MN, Lim M, Kleinberg LR. The strategy of repeat stereotactic radiosurgery without whole brain radiation treatment for new brain metastases: outcomes and implications for follow-up monitoring. *Pract Radiat Oncol*. 2016. doi:10.1016/j.prro.2016.04.004.

References 19 and 20 suggest that repeated course of SRS for new brain metastases following initial SRS is a safe and effective approach.

21. Holt DE, Gill BS, Clump DA, Leeman JE, Burton SA, Amankulor NM, et al. Tumor bed radiosurgery following resection and prior stereotactic radiosurgery for locally persistent brain metastasis. *Front Oncol.* 2015;5:84. doi:10.3389/fonc.2015.00084.
 22. Borgelt B, Gelber R, Kramer S, Brady LW, Chang CH, Davis LW, et al. The palliation of brain metastases: final results of the first two studies by the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1980;6(1):1–9.
 23. Gondi V, Hermann BP, Mehta MP, Tome WA. Hippocampal dosimetry predicts neurocognitive function impairment after fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy for benign or low-grade adult brain tumors. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2012;83(4):e487–93. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.10.021.
 24. Shibamoto Y, Baba F, Oda K, Hayashi S, Kokubo M, Ishihara S, et al. Incidence of brain atrophy and decline in mini-mental state examination score after whole-brain radiotherapy in patients with brain metastases: a prospective study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2008;72(4):1168–73. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2008.02.054.
 25. Borgelt B, Gelber R, Larson M, Hendrickson F, Griffin T, Roth R. Ultra-rapid high dose irradiation schedules for the palliation of brain metastases: final results of the first two studies by the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1981;7(12):1633–8.
 26. Andrews DW, Scott CB, Sperduto PW, Flanders AE, Gaspar LE, Schell MC, et al. Whole brain radiation therapy with or without stereotactic radiosurgery boost for patients with one to three brain metastases: phase III results of the RTOG 9508 randomised trial. *Lancet.* 2004;363(9422):1665–72. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16250-8.
 27. DeAngelis LM, Delattre JY, Posner JB. Radiation-induced dementia in patients cured of brain metastases. *Neurology.* 1989;39(6):789–96.
 28. Roman DD, Sperduto PW. Neuropsychological effects of cranial radiation: current knowledge and future directions. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1995;31(4):983–98.
 29. Aoyama H, Tago M, Kato N, Toyoda T, Kenjyo M, Hirota S, et al. Neurocognitive function of patients with brain metastasis who received either whole brain radiotherapy plus stereotactic radiosurgery or radiosurgery alone. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2007;68(5):1388–95.
 30. Li J, Bentzen SM, Renschler M, Mehta MP. Regression after whole-brain radiation therapy for brain metastases correlates with survival and improved neurocognitive function. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007;25(10):1260–6.
 31. Regine WF, Huhn JL, Patchell RA, St Clair WH, Strottmann J, Meigooni A, et al. Risk of symptomatic brain tumor recurrence and neurologic deficit after radiosurgery alone in patients with newly diagnosed brain metastases: results and implications. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2002;52(2):333–8.
 32. Sperduto PW, Kased N, Roberge D, Xu Z, Shanley R, Luo X, et al. Summary report on the graded prognostic assessment: an accurate and facile diagnosis-specific tool to estimate survival for patients with brain metastases. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012;30(4):419–25. doi:10.1200/JCO.2011.38.0527.
 33. Aoyama H, Tago M, Shirato H, Japanese Radiation Oncology Study Group 99-1 (JROSG 99-1) Investigators. Stereotactic radiosurgery with or without whole-brain radiotherapy for brain metastases: secondary analysis of the JROSG 99-1 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Oncol.* 2015;1(4):457–64. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2015.1145.
- This secondary analysis of the JROSG 99-1 randomized trial suggests that certain subgroups of patients with favorable prognosis based on diagnosis-specific graded prognostic assessment (DS-GPA) may benefit from addition of WBRT to SRS.
34. Sahgal A, Aoyama H, Kocher M, Neupane B, Collette S, Tago M, et al. Phase 3 trials of stereotactic radiosurgery with or without whole-brain radiation therapy for 1 to 4 brain metastases: individual patient data meta-analysis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2015;91(4):710–7. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2014.10.024.
- This meta-analysis of phase 3 trials of SRS with or without WBRT suggests improved survival with SRS alone for patients age 50 or younger.
35. Varlotto JM, Flickinger JC, Niranjana A, Bhatnagar A, Kondziolka D, Lunsford LD. The impact of whole-brain radiation therapy on the long-term control and morbidity of patients surviving more than one year after gamma knife radiosurgery for brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2005;62(4):1125–32.
 36. Shaw E, Scott C, Souhami L, Dinapoli R, Kline R, Loeffler J, et al. Single dose radiosurgical treatment of recurrent previously irradiated primary brain tumors and brain metastases: final report of RTOG protocol 90-05. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2000;47(2):291–8.
 37. Kleinberg L. Neurocognitive challenges in brain tumor survivors: is there anything we can do? *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33(15):1633–6. doi:10.1200/JCO.2014.60.2805.
 38. Gondi V, Pugh SL, Tome WA, Caine C, Corn B, Kanner A, et al. Preservation of memory with conformal avoidance of the hippocampal neural stem-cell compartment during whole-brain radiotherapy for brain metastases (RTOG 0933): a phase II multi-institutional trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32(34):3810–6. doi:10.1200/JCO.2014.57.2909.
- This phase II trial suggests an approach to minimize memory loss with WBRT through hippocampal sparing.
39. Brown PD, Pugh S, Laack NN, Wefel JS, Khuntia D, Meyers C, et al. Memantine for the prevention of cognitive dysfunction in patients receiving whole-brain radiotherapy: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-

- controlled trial. *Neuro-Oncology*. 2013;15(10):1429–37. doi:10.1093/neuonc/not114.
40. Rapp SR, Case LD, Peiffer A, Naughton MM, Chan MD, Stieber VW, et al. Donepezil for irradiated brain tumor survivors: a phase III randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2015;33(15):1653–9. doi:10.1200/JCO.2014.58.4508.
 41. Shaw EG, Rosdhal R, D'Agostino Jr RB, Lovato J, Naughton MJ, Robbins ME, et al. Phase II study of donepezil in irradiated brain tumor patients: effect on cognitive function, mood, and quality of life. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006;24(9):1415–20.
 42. Bhatnagar AK, Flickinger JC, Kondziolka D, Lunsford LD. Stereotactic radiosurgery for four or more intracranial metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2006;64(3):898–903.
 43. Hunter GK, Suh JH, Reuther AM, Vogelbaum MA, Barnett GH, Angelov L, et al. Treatment of five or more brain metastases with stereotactic radiosurgery. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2012;83(5):1394–8. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.10.026.
 44. Mohammadi AM, Recinos PF, Barnett GH, Weil RJ, Vogelbaum MA, Chao ST, et al. Role of gamma knife surgery in patients with 5 or more brain metastases. *J Neurosurg*. 2012;117(Suppl):5–12. doi:10.3171/2012.8.GKS12983.
 45. Gerosa M, Nicolato A, Foroni R, Zanotti B, Tomazzoli L, Miscusi M, et al. Gamma knife radiosurgery for brain metastases: a primary therapeutic option. *J Neurosurg*. 2002;97(5 Suppl):515–24. doi:10.3171/jns.2002.97.supplement.
 46. Muacevic A, Kreth FW, Horstmann GA, Schmid-Elsaesser R, Wowra B, Steiger HJ, et al. Surgery and radiotherapy compared with gamma knife radiosurgery in the treatment of solitary cerebral metastases of small diameter. *J Neurosurg*. 1999;91(1):35–43. doi:10.3171/jns.1999.91.1.0035.
 47. O'Neill BP, Iturria NJ, Link MJ, Pollock BE, Ballman KV, O'Fallon JR. A comparison of surgical resection and stereotactic radiosurgery in the treatment of solitary brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2003;55(5):1169–76.
 48. Ahluwalia MS, Vogelbaum MV, Chao ST, Mehta MM. Brain metastasis and treatment. *F1000Prime Rep*. 2014;6:114–114. eCollection 2014. doi: 10.12703/P6-114.
 49. Kalkanis SN, Kondziolka D, Gaspar LE, Burri SH, Asher AL, Cobbs CS, et al. The role of surgical resection in the management of newly diagnosed brain metastases: a systematic review and evidence-based clinical practice guideline. *J Neuro-Oncol*. 2010;96(1):33–43. doi:10.1007/s11060-009-0061-8.
 50. Patchell RA, Tibbs PA, Regine WF, Dempsey RJ, Mohiuddin M, Kryscio RJ, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy in the treatment of single metastases to the brain: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 1998;280(17):1485–9.
 - 51•. Brennan C, Yang TJ, Hilden P, Zhang Z, Chan K, Yamada Y, et al. A phase 2 trial of stereotactic radiosurgery boost after surgical resection for brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2014;88(1):130–6. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2013.09.051.
- This is the first prospective study of SRS boost of resected brain metastases and demonstrates excellent local control and maintained survival compared to historical standards.
52. Hwang SW, Abozed MM, Hale A, Eisenberg RL, Dvorak T, Yao K, et al. Adjuvant gamma knife radiosurgery following surgical resection of brain metastases: a 9-year retrospective cohort study. *J Neuro-Oncol*. 2010;98(1):77–82. doi:10.1007/s11060-009-0051-x.
 53. Jensen CA, Chan MD, McCoy TP, Bourland JD, deGuzman AF, Ellis TL, et al. Cavity-directed radiosurgery as adjuvant therapy after resection of a brain metastasis. *J Neurosurg*. 2011;114(6):1585–91. doi:10.3171/2010.11.JNS10939.
 54. Prabhu R, Shu HK, Hadjipanayis C, Dhabaan A, Hall W, Raore B, et al. Current dosing paradigm for stereotactic radiosurgery alone after surgical resection of brain metastases needs to be optimized for improved local control. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2012;83(1):e61–6. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.12.017.
 55. Minniti G, Esposito V, Clarke E, Scaringi C, Lanzetta G, Salvati M, et al. Multidose stereotactic radiosurgery (9 Gy x 3) of the postoperative resection cavity for treatment of large brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2013;86(4):623–9. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2013.03.037.
 - 56•. Atalar B, Modlin LA, Choi CY, Adler JR, Gibbs IC, Chang SD, et al. Risk of leptomeningeal disease in patients treated with stereotactic radiosurgery targeting the postoperative resection cavity for brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2013;87(4):713–8. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2013.07.034.
- References 56 and 57 represent a large, single-institution experience with SRS to the post-operative resection cavity and suggest higher risk of leptomeningeal disease with breast cancer histology, as well as improved local control with a 2-mm SRS margin around the resection cavity.
- 57•. Choi CY, Chang SD, Gibbs IC, Adler JR, Harsh IV GR, Lieberson RE, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery of the postoperative resection cavity for brain metastases: prospective evaluation of target margin on tumor control. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2012;84(2):336–42. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.12.009.
- References 56 and 57 represent a large, single-institution experience with SRS to the post-operative resection cavity and suggest higher risk of leptomeningeal disease with breast cancer histology, as well as improved local control with a 2-mm SRS margin around the resection cavity.
58. Ojerholm E, Lee JY, Thawani JP, Miller D, O'Rourke DM, Dorsey JF, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery to the resection bed for intracranial metastases and risk of leptomeningeal carcinomatosis. *J Neurosurg*. 2014;121(Suppl):75–83. doi:10.3171/2014.6.GKS14708.
 59. Tsao MN, Rades D, Wirth A, Lo SS, Danielson BL, Gaspar LE, et al. Radiotherapeutic and surgical management for newly diagnosed brain metastasis(es): an

- American Society for Radiation Oncology evidence-based guideline. *Pract Radiat Oncol.* 2012;2(3):210–25. doi:10.1016/j.prro.2011.12.004.
60. Gaspar LE, Mehta MP, Patchell RA, Burri SH, Robinson PD, Morris RE, et al. The role of whole brain radiation therapy in the management of newly diagnosed brain metastases: a systematic review and evidence-based clinical practice guideline. *J Neuro-Oncol.* 2010;96(1):17–32. doi:10.1007/s11060-009-0060-9.
 61. Ahmed Z, Balagamwala E, Murphy E, Angelov L, Suh J, Lo S, et al. Postoperative stereotactic radiosurgery for resected brain metastasis. *CNS Oncol.* 2014;3(3):199–207. doi:10.2217/cns.14.18.
 62. Roberge D, Parney I, Brown PD. Radiosurgery to the postoperative surgical cavity: who needs evidence? *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2012;83(2):486–93. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.09.032.
 63. Ammirati M, Cobbs CS, Linskey ME, Paleologos NA, Ryken TC, Burri SH, et al. The role of retreatment in the management of recurrent/progressive brain metastases: a systematic review and evidence-based clinical practice guideline. *J Neuro-Oncol.* 2010;96(1):85–96. doi:10.1007/s11060-009-0055-6.
 64. Sundaresan N, Sachdev VP, DiGiacinto GV, Hughes JE. Reoperation for brain metastases. *J Clin Oncol.* 1988;6(10):1625–9.
 65. Truong MT, St Clair EG, Donahue BR, Rush SC, Miller DC, Formenti SC, et al. Results of surgical resection for progression of brain metastases previously treated by gamma knife radiosurgery. *Neurosurgery.* 2006;59(1):86–97. doi:10.1227/01.NEU.0000219858.80351.38. discussion 86-97.
 66. Vecil GG, Suki D, Maldaun MV, Lang FF, Sawaya R. Resection of brain metastases previously treated with stereotactic radiosurgery. *J Neurosurg.* 2005;102(2):209–15. doi:10.3171/jns.2005.102.2.0209.
 67. Bindal RK, Sawaya R, Leavens ME, Hess KR, Taylor SH. Reoperation for recurrent metastatic brain tumors. *J Neurosurg.* 1995;83(4):600–4. doi:10.3171/jns.1995.83.4.0600.
 68. Sadikov E, Bezjak A, Yi QL, Wells W, Dawson L, Millar BA, et al. Value of whole brain re-irradiation for brain metastases—single centre experience. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol).* 2007;19(7):532–8.
 69. Son CH, Jimenez R, Niemierko A, Loeffler JS, KS O, Shih HA. Outcomes after whole brain reirradiation in patients with brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2012;82(2):e167–72. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.03.020.
 70. Wong WW, Schild SE, Sawyer TE, Shaw EG. Analysis of outcome in patients reirradiated for brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1996;34(3):585–90.
 71. Caballero JA, Sneed PK, Lamborn KR, Ma L, Denduluri S, Nakamura JL, et al. Prognostic factors for survival in patients treated with stereotactic radiosurgery for recurrent brain metastases after prior whole brain radiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2012;83(1):303–9. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.06.1987.
 72. Chao ST, Barnett GH, Vogelbaum MA, Angelov L, Weil RJ, Neyman G, et al. Salvage stereotactic radiosurgery effectively treats recurrences from whole-brain radiation therapy. *Cancer.* 2008;113(8):2198–204. doi:10.1002/cncr.23821.
 73. Kurtz G, Zadeh G, Gingras-Hill G, Millar BA, Laperriere NJ, Bernstein M, et al. Salvage radiosurgery for brain metastases: prognostic factors to consider in patient selection. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2014;88(1):137–42. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2013.10.003.
 74. Lucas Jr JT, Colmer IV HG, White L, Fitzgerald N, Isom S, Bourland JD, et al. Competing risk analysis of neurologic vs. non-neurologic death in patients undergoing radiosurgical salvage following whole brain radiotherapy failure (WBRT): who actually dies of their brain metastases? *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2015;92(5):1008–15. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2015.04.032.
- This study provides a nomogram to estimate risk of neurologic death following salvage SRS for patients who have failed prior WBRT for brain metastases.
75. Soussain C, Ricard D, Fike JR, Mazon JJ, Psimaras D, Delattre JY. CNS complications of radiotherapy and chemotherapy. *Lancet.* 2009;374(9701):1639–51. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61299-X.
 76. Antonadou D, Paraskevaidis M, Sarris G, Coliarakis N, Economou I, Karageorgis P, et al. Phase II randomized trial of temozolomide and concurrent radiotherapy in patients with brain metastases. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20(17):3644–50.
 77. Ball DL, Matthews JP. Prophylactic cranial irradiation: more questions than answers. *Semin Radiat Oncol.* 1995;5(1):61–8. doi:10.1054/SRAO00500061.
 78. Cao KI, Lebas N, Gerber S, Levy C, Le Scodan R, Bourcier C, et al. Phase II randomized study of whole-brain radiation therapy with or without concurrent temozolomide for brain metastases from breast cancer. *Ann Oncol.* 2015;26(1):89–94. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdl488.
 79. Keime-Guibert F, Napolitano M, Delattre JY. Neurological complications of radiotherapy and chemotherapy. *J Neurol.* 1998;245(11):695–708.
 80. Verger E, Gil M, Yaya R, Vinolas N, Villa S, Pujol T, et al. Temozolomide and concomitant whole brain radiotherapy in patients with brain metastases: a phase II randomized trial. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2005;61(1):185–91.
 81. Yovino S, Kleinberg L, Grossman SA, Narayanan M, Ford E. The etiology of treatment-related lymphopenia in patients with malignant gliomas: modeling radiation dose to circulating lymphocytes explains clinical observations and suggests methods of modifying the impact of radiation on immune cells. *Cancer Investig.* 2013;31(2):140–4. doi:10.3109/07357907.2012.762780.
 82. Kleinberg L, Grossman SA, Piantadosi S, Zeltzman M, Wharam M. The effects of sequential versus concurrent chemotherapy and radiotherapy on survival and

- toxicity in patients with newly diagnosed high-grade astrocytoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1999;44(3):535–43.
- 83•. Welsh JW, Komaki R, Amini A, Munsell MF, Unger W, Allen PK, et al. Phase II trial of erlotinib plus concurrent whole-brain radiation therapy for patients with brain metastases from non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013;31(7):895–902. doi:10.1200/JCO.2011.40.1174.
- This phase II trial demonstrates the safety of concurrent erlotinib with WBRT for patients with brain metastases from NSCLC.
84. Gaudy-Marqueste C, Carron R, Delsanti C, Loundou A, Monestier S, Archier E, et al. On demand gamma-knife strategy can be safely combined with BRAF inhibitors for the treatment of melanoma brain metastases. *Ann Oncol.* 2014;25(10):2086–91. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdl266.
85. Ahmed KA, Freilich JM, Sloot S, Figura N, Gibney GT, Weber JS, et al. LINAC-based stereotactic radiosurgery to the brain with concurrent vemurafenib for melanoma metastases. *J Neuro-Oncol.* 2015;122(1):121–6. doi:10.1007/s11060-014-1685-x.
86. Hecht M, Zimmer L, Loquai C, Weishaupt C, Gutzmer R, Schuster B, et al. Radiosensitization by BRAF inhibitor therapy-mechanism and frequency of toxicity in melanoma patients. *Ann Oncol.* 2015;26(6):1238–44. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdv139.
87. Satzger I, Degen A, Asper H, Kapp A, Hauschild A, Gutzmer R. Serious skin toxicity with the combination of BRAF inhibitors and radiotherapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013;31(13):e220–2. doi:10.1200/JCO.2012.44.4265.
88. Sharabi AB, Lim M, DeWeese TL, Drake CG. Radiation and checkpoint blockade immunotherapy: radiosensitisation and potential mechanisms of synergy. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015;16(13):e498–509. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00007-8.
89. Sharabi AB, Nirschl CJ, Kochel CM, Nirschl TR, Francica BJ, Velarde E, et al. Stereotactic radiation therapy augments antigen-specific PD-1-mediated antitumor immune responses via cross-presentation of tumor antigen. *Cancer Immunol Res.* 2015;3(4):345–55. doi:10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-14-0196.
- 90•. Kiess AP, Wolchok JD, Barker CA, Postow MA, Tabar V, Huse JT, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery for melanoma brain metastases in patients receiving ipilimumab: safety profile and efficacy of combined treatment. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2015;92(2):368–75. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2015.01.004.
- References 90–92 suggest that combination immune therapy and brain SRS is well-tolerated and associated with favorable local control and survival in patients with melanoma brain metastases.
- 91•. Knisely JP, Yu JB, Flanigan J, Sznol M, Kluger HM, Chiang VL. Radiosurgery for melanoma brain metastases in the ipilimumab era and the possibility of longer survival. *J Neurosurg.* 2012;117(2):227–33. doi:10.3171/2012.5.JNS111929.
- References 90–92 suggest that combination immune therapy and brain SRS is well-tolerated and associated with favorable local control and survival in patients with melanoma brain metastases.
- 92•. Ahmed KA, Stallworth DG, Kim Y, Johnstone PA, Harrison LB, Caudell JJ, et al. Clinical outcomes of melanoma brain metastases treated with stereotactic radiation and anti-PD-1 therapy. *Ann Oncol.* 2016;27(3):434–41. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdv622.
- References 90–92 suggest that combination immune therapy and brain SRS is well-tolerated and associated with favorable local control and survival in patients with melanoma brain metastases.