

# OPPOSITE ALGEBRAS OF GROUPOID $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

BY

ALCIDES BUSS\*

*Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina  
88.040-900 Florianópolis-SC, Brazil  
e-mail: alcides@mtm.ufsc.br*

AND

AIDAN SIMS\*\*

*School of Mathematics and Applied Statistics  
The University of Wollongong  
NSW 2522, Australia  
e-mail: asims@uow.edu.au*

ABSTRACT

We show that every groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra is isomorphic to its opposite, and deduce that there exist  $C^*$ -algebras that are not stably isomorphic to groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras, though many of them are stably isomorphic to twisted groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras. We also prove that the opposite algebra of a section algebra of a Fell bundle over a groupoid is isomorphic to the section algebra of a natural opposite bundle.

## 1. Introduction

Groupoids are among the most widely used models for operator algebras. It is therefore a basic question whether a given  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  can be realised as  $C^*(G)$  or  $C_r^*(G)$  for some locally compact topological groupoid  $G$ . Many classes of  $C^*$ -algebras have groupoid models: for example, graph  $C^*$ -algebras

---

\* The first author is supported by CNPq (Brazil).

\*\* The second author was supported by the Australian Research Council grant DP150101598.

Received August 11, 2017 and in revised form August 4, 2020

and higher-rank graph  $C^*$ -algebras,  $C^*$ -algebras of actions of inverse semi-groups, and  $C^*$ -algebras associated to foliations. Moreover, it follows from the main results in [5] that every UCT Kirchberg  $C^*$ -algebra (that is, every separable, simple, nuclear, purely infinite, UCT  $C^*$ -algebra) has an étale groupoid model.

We show in this paper that not every  $C^*$ -algebra has a groupoid model. We achieve this by showing that all groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras are self-opposite in the sense that they are isomorphic to their opposite  $C^*$ -algebras. Similar results for  $L^p$ -algebras of ample étale groupoids appear in [7, Corollary 6.10 and Remarks 6.8 and 6.13].

Several examples of non-self-opposite  $C^*$ -algebras are already known. The first, produced by Connes [2], is a non-self-opposite von Neumann factor. Later, examples of non-self-opposite separable  $C^*$ -algebras were found by Phillips in [11]. All of Phillips' examples are continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebras, hence nuclear. Simple and separable non-self-opposite  $C^*$ -algebras are constructed in [13, 12]; these examples are non-nuclear, though the one in [13] is exact. It remains open whether there exists a simple, separable and nuclear non-self-opposite  $C^*$ -algebra [3]. This is related to Elliott's conjecture (see [17]) because the Elliott invariant (essentially  $K$ -groups) used in the conjecture cannot distinguish a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  from its opposite  $A^{\text{op}}$ .

Although our result implies the existence of  $C^*$ -algebras with no groupoid model, it is still possible that such  $C^*$ -algebras can be realised as twisted groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras. That is, they could be isomorphic to  $C^*(G, \Sigma)$  or  $C_r^*(G, \Sigma)$ , for some twist  $\Sigma$  over a groupoid  $G$ . A twist over  $G$  is essentially the same thing as a Fell line bundle  $L$  over  $G$ , and  $C^*(G, \Sigma)$  and  $C_r^*(G, \Sigma)$  are then the corresponding full and reduced cross-sectional  $C^*$ -algebras  $C^*(G, L)$  and  $C_r^*(G, L)$ . Renault proves in [16] that every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  admitting a Cartan subalgebra  $C_0(X) \subseteq A$  is isomorphic to  $C_r^*(G, \Sigma)$  for some (second countable, locally compact Hausdorff) étale essentially principal groupoid  $G$  with  $G^0 = X$  and some twist  $\Sigma$  on  $G$ ; furthermore, the pair  $(G, \Sigma)$  is uniquely determined by the Cartan pair  $(A, C_0(X))$ .

Kumjian, an Huef and Sims proved in [8] that every Fell  $C^*$ -algebra (in particular, every continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebra) is Morita equivalent to one with a diagonal subalgebra in the sense of Kumjian [9]. These diagonal subalgebras are exactly the Cartan subalgebras (in the sense of Renault) with the **unique extension property**: every pure state of the Cartan subalgebra  $C_0(X)$  extends

uniquely to  $A$ . The corresponding twist  $(G, \Sigma)$  that describes  $(A, C_0(X))$  is over a principal, not just essentially principal, groupoid  $G$ . After stabilisation, these results imply that all continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebras have a twisted groupoid model—including the examples of Phillips in [11] that do not admit untwisted groupoid models. The point is that the opposite algebra of  $C^*(G, \Sigma)$  arises as the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G, \bar{\Sigma})$  of the conjugate twist, and this corresponds to taking the negative of the associated Dixmier–Douady invariant.

We elucidate the above phenomenon by describing the opposite  $C^*$ -algebras  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}}$  and  $C_r^*(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}}$  of the cross-sectional algebras of arbitrary Fell bundles  $\mathcal{A}$  over locally compact groupoids. Specifically, given a Fell bundle  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $G$ , we construct an appropriate opposite bundle  $\mathcal{A}^{\circ}$  over  $G$ , and prove that

$$C^*(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \cong C^*(G, \mathcal{A}^{\circ}).$$

This can also be described in terms of the conjugate Fell bundle  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ . In the special case of a Fell line bundle  $L$  (that is, a twist over  $G$ ), this corresponds to the conjugate line bundle. When  $L$  is the trivial line bundle,  $\bar{L} = L$ , and  $C_r^*(G; L)$  and  $C^*(G; L)$  coincide with  $C_r^*(G)$  and  $C^*(G)$ , so we recover our earlier result as a special case.

For a Fell bundle associated to an action  $\alpha$  of a locally compact group  $G$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , our result is equivalent to the statement that the opposite  $C^*$ -algebras of the full and reduced crossed products  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$  and  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$  are isomorphic to  $A^{\text{op}} \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{op}}} G$  and  $A^{\text{op}} \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{op}}, r} G$  (where  $\alpha^{\text{op}}$  is the action of  $G$  on  $A^{\text{op}}$  determined by  $\alpha$  upon identifying  $A$  and  $A^{\text{op}}$  as linear spaces); this was proved for full crossed products in [3].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. We would like to thank Chris Phillips and Ilijas Farah for helpful discussions and references to examples of non-self-opposite  $C^*$ -algebras.

## 2. Groupoid $C^*$ -algebras and their opposites

For background on groupoids and their  $C^*$ -algebras, we refer the reader to [15].

In this section we show that the full and reduced  $C^*$ -algebras of a locally compact, locally Hausdorff groupoid with Haar system are self-opposite. We first briefly recall how these  $C^*$ -algebras are defined.

Let  $G$  be a locally compact and locally Hausdorff groupoid with Hausdorff unit space  $G^0$  and a (continuous) left invariant Haar system  $\lambda = \{\lambda^x\}_{x \in G^0}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_c(G, \lambda)$  be the  $*$ -algebra of compactly supported, quasi-continuous sections,

that is, the linear span of continuous functions with compact support  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  on open Hausdorff subsets  $U \subseteq G$ . These functions are extended by zero off  $U$  and hence viewed as functions  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The continuity of  $\lambda$  means that every such function is mapped to a continuous function  $\lambda(f): G^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  via

$$\lambda(f)(x) := \int_G f(g) d\lambda^x(g).$$

By definition,  $\lambda^x$  is a Radon measure on  $G$  with support  $G^x := r^{-1}(x)$  for all  $x \in G^0$ .

Throughout the paper we follow the convention established by Renault that for  $g \in G$  and  $f_1, f_2 \in C_c(G)$ , we abuse notation a little and write

$$\int_G f_1(h)f_2(h^{-1}g) d\lambda^{r(g)}(h)$$

rather than  $\int_{G^{r(g)}} f_1(h)f_2(h^{-1}g) d\lambda^{r(g)}(h)$ —strictly speaking, the integrand makes no sense for  $h \notin G^{r(g)}$ , but since the set of such  $h$  has measure zero under  $\lambda^{r(g)}$  it is clear what the integral means. Recall that the product and involution on  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda)$  are defined by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(g) := \int_G f_1(h)f_2(h^{-1}g) d\lambda^{r(g)}(h) \quad \text{and} \quad f^*(g) := \overline{f(g^{-1})}.$$

Under these operations and the inductive-limit topology,  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda)$  is a topological \*-algebra. The  $I$ -norm on  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda)$  is defined by

$$\|f\|_I := \max\{\|\lambda(|f|)\|_\infty, \|\lambda(|f^*|)\|_\infty\}.$$

The  $L^1$ -Banach \*-algebra of  $G$  is the completion of  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda)$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_I$ ; we denote it by  $L^1_I(G, \lambda)$ . The full  $C^*$ -algebra of  $G$  is the universal enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra of  $L^1_I(G, \lambda)$ ; in other words, it is the  $C^*$ -completion of  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda)$  with respect to the maximum  $\|\cdot\|_I$ -bounded  $C^*$ -norm:

$$\|f\|_u := \sup\{\|\pi(f)\| : \pi \text{ is an } I\text{-norm decreasing } * \text{-representation of } \mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda)\}.$$

The **regular representations** of  $(G, \lambda)$  are the representations

$$\pi_x : \mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(L^2(G_x, \lambda_x)), \quad x \in G^{(0)}$$

given by  $\pi_x(f)\xi(g) := (f * \xi)(g) = \int_G f(gh)\xi(h^{-1}) d\lambda^x(h)$ . Here  $\lambda_x$  is the image of  $\lambda^x$  under the inversion map  $G \rightarrow G, g \mapsto g^{-1}$ ; so it is a measure with support  $G_x = s^{-1}(x)$ . The system of measures  $(\lambda_x)_{x \in G^0}$  is a right invariant Haar system on  $G$ .

The regular representations of  $G$  give rise to a  $\|\cdot\|_r$ -bounded  $C^*$ -norm called the **reduced  $C^*$ -norm**:

$$\|f\|_r := \sup_{x \in G^0} \|\pi_x(f)\|.$$

The **reduced  $C^*$ -algebra** of  $G$  is the completion of  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda)$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_r$ . It is denoted by  $C_r^*(G, \lambda)$ .

Given a groupoid  $G$ , we write  $G^{\text{op}}$  for the opposite groupoid, equal to  $G$  as a topological space, but with

$$(G^{\text{op}})^{(2)} = \{(h, g) : (g, h) \in G^{(2)}\}$$

and composition given by  $h \cdot_{\text{op}} g = gh$ . We write  $\lambda^{\text{op}}$  for the Haar system on  $G^{\text{op}}$  defined as the image of  $\lambda$  under the inversion map regarded as a homeomorphism of  $G$  onto  $G^{\text{op}}$ .

**THEOREM 2.1:** *Let  $(G, \lambda)$  be a locally compact, locally Hausdorff groupoid with Haar system. The inversion map  $g \mapsto g^{-1}$  defines an isomorphism*

$$(G, \lambda) \cong (G^{\text{op}}, \lambda^{\text{op}})$$

*of topological groupoids with Haar systems. Given  $f \in \mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda)$ , define  $f^{\text{op}} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by*

$$f^{\text{op}}(g) := f(g^{-1}).$$

*Then  $f \mapsto f^{\text{op}}$  is an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda)^{\text{op}}$  of topological  $*$ -algebras. This isomorphism extends to a Banach  $*$ -algebra isomorphism*

$$L_I^1(G, \lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} L_I^1(G, \lambda)^{\text{op}}$$

*and to  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphisms*

$$C^*(G, \lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} C^*(G, \lambda)^{\text{op}} \quad \text{and} \quad C_r^*(G, \lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} C_r^*(G, \lambda)^{\text{op}}.$$

*Proof.* The map  $g \mapsto g^{-1}$  is a homeomorphism  $G \rightarrow G^{\text{op}}$  and satisfies

$$g^{-1} \cdot_{\text{op}} h^{-1} = h^{-1}g^{-1} = (gh)^{-1},$$

so it is an isomorphism  $G \xrightarrow{\sim} G^{\text{op}}$  of topological groupoids. The range (resp. source) map of  $G^{\text{op}}$  is the source (resp. range) map of  $G$ , and the inversion map sends the left invariant Haar system  $\lambda = (\lambda^x)_{x \in G^0}$  on  $G$  to the right invariant Haar system  $(\lambda_x)_{x \in G^0}$ , which is precisely  $\lambda^{\text{op}}$ . This yields the isomorphism  $(G, \lambda) \cong (G^{\text{op}}, \lambda^{\text{op}})$ . The map

$$f \mapsto f^{\text{op}}$$

is a linear involution (in particular, a bijection) which is clearly a homeomorphism with respect to the inductive-limit topology. It is also clearly isometric for the  $\|\cdot\|_I$ -norms on  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda)$  and  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G^{\text{op}}, \lambda^{\text{op}})$ . So to prove that it is topological  $*$ -algebra isomorphism  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda) \cong \mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda)^{\text{op}}$  and extends to isomorphisms  $L^1_I(G, \lambda) \cong L^1_I(G, \lambda)^{\text{op}}$  and  $C^*(G, \lambda) \cong C^*(G, \lambda)^{\text{op}}$ , it suffices to show that  $f \mapsto f^{\text{op}}$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism. For  $f \in \mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda)$ ,

$$(f^{\text{op}})^*(g) = \overline{f^{\text{op}}(g^{-1})} = \overline{f(g)} = f^*(g^{-1}) = (f^*)^{\text{op}}(g).$$

So  $f \mapsto f^{\text{op}}$  preserves involution. If  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda)$ , then

$$(2.2) \quad (f_1 * f_2)^{\text{op}}(g) = (f_1 * f_2)(g^{-1}) = \int_G f_1(h)f_2(h^{-1}g^{-1}) \, d\lambda^{s(g)}(h),$$

while

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (f_2^{\text{op}} * f_1^{\text{op}})(g) &= \int_G f_2^{\text{op}}(h)f_1^{\text{op}}(h^{-1}g) \, d\lambda^{r(g)}(h) \\ &= \int_G f_1(g^{-1}h)f_2(h^{-1}) \, d\lambda^{r(g)}(h). \end{aligned}$$

Making the change of variables  $h \mapsto gh$  and applying left invariance of  $\lambda$  shows that (2.2) and (2.3) are equal.

To prove that  $C_r^*(G, \lambda) \cong C_r^*(G, \lambda)^{\text{op}}$ , observe that the map  $f \mapsto f^{\text{op}}$  gives an isomorphism  $L^2(G_x, \lambda_x) \cong L^2(G^x, \lambda^x) = L^2(G_x^{\text{op}}, \lambda_x^{\text{op}})$  which induces a unitary equivalence between the regular representations

$$\pi_x : \mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(L^2(G_x, \lambda_x)) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_x^{\text{op}} : \mathfrak{C}_c(G^{\text{op}}, \lambda^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(L^2(G_x^{\text{op}}, \lambda_x^{\text{op}})).$$

This yields the equality

$$\|f\|_r = \|f^{\text{op}}\|_r$$

which shows that  $f \mapsto f^{\text{op}}$  extends to an isomorphism  $C_r^*(G, \lambda) \cong C_r^*(G^{\text{op}}, \lambda^{\text{op}})$ . ■

*Remark 2.4:* Similar arguments to those above show that the identity map on  $G$ , regarded as an anti-multiplicative homeomorphism from  $G$  to  $G^{\text{op}}$ , induces (by composition) an anti-multiplicative linear isomorphism  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda) \cong \mathfrak{C}_c(G^{\text{op}}, \lambda^{\text{op}})$ , and therefore a topological  $*$ -algebra isomorphism  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda)^{\text{op}} \cong \mathfrak{C}_c(G^{\text{op}}, \lambda^{\text{op}})$ . This latter extends to isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} L^1_I(G, \lambda)^{\text{op}} &\cong L^1_I(G^{\text{op}}, \lambda^{\text{op}}), & C^*(G, \lambda)^{\text{op}} &\cong C^*(G^{\text{op}}, \lambda^{\text{op}}) \\ \text{and } C_r^*(G, \lambda)^{\text{op}} &\cong C_r^*(G^{\text{op}}, \lambda^{\text{op}}). \end{aligned}$$

Another way to prove Theorem 2.1 is to work with conjugate algebras. If  $A$  is a  $*$ -algebra, its **conjugate  $*$ -algebra**  $\bar{A}$  is the conjugate vector space of  $A$

endowed with the same algebraic operations as  $A$ . Involution,  $a \mapsto a^*$  is then a linear anti-multiplicative isomorphism  $A \rightarrow \bar{A}$  and therefore an isomorphism  $A^{\text{op}} \cong \bar{A}$ . We have  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda) \cong \overline{\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda)}$  via  $\xi \mapsto \bar{\xi}$  and this extends to isomorphisms  $L_I^1(G, \lambda) \cong \overline{L_I^1(G, \lambda)}$ ,  $C^*(G, \lambda) \cong \overline{C^*(G, \lambda)}$  and  $C_r^*(G, \lambda) \cong \overline{C_r^*(G, \lambda)}$ .

**COROLLARY 2.5:** *There are (nuclear, separable)  $C^*$ -algebras that are not isomorphic to either  $C^*(G, \lambda)$  or  $C_r^*(G, \lambda)$  for any locally compact, locally Hausdorff groupoid with Haar system.*

*Proof.* It is known that there are examples of nuclear and separable  $C^*$ -algebras that are not self-opposite [11, 3]. ■

Let us say that a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is **self-opposite** if  $A \cong A^{\text{op}}$ . Our main result says that given a topological groupoid with Haar system  $(G, \lambda)$ , the  $*$ -algebras  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \lambda)$ ,  $L_I^1(G, \lambda)$ ,  $C^*(G, \lambda)$  and  $C_r^*(G, \lambda)$  are all self-opposite. Both the minimal and the maximal tensor product of self-opposite  $C^*$ -algebras are again self-opposite because  $(A \otimes B)^{\text{op}} \cong A^{\text{op}} \otimes B^{\text{op}}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators on a separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space; writing  $\mathcal{R}$  for the equivalence relation  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  regarded as a discrete principal groupoid, we have  $\mathbb{K} \cong C^*(\mathcal{R}) = C_r^*(\mathcal{R})$ . Hence the preceding paragraph shows that every self-opposite  $C^*$ -algebra is also stably self-opposite. The converse fails in general: Phillips constructs in [11] examples of (separable, continuous-trace) non-self-opposite  $C^*$ -algebras which are stably self-opposite. But Phillips also constructs examples of (separable, continuous-trace)  $C^*$ -algebras that are not stably self-opposite. This yields the following:

**COROLLARY 2.6:** *There are separable continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebras that are not stably isomorphic to any groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra.*

*Remark 2.7:* By the Brown–Green–Rieffel theorem [1], Corollary 2.6 implies that there exist separable  $C^*$ -algebras that are not Morita equivalent to a separable (or even  $\sigma$ -unital) groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra. However, it is unclear whether these examples could be Morita equivalent to a non- $\sigma$ -unital groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra.

In [6], in the framework of ZFC enriched with Jensen’s diamond principle (a strengthening of the continuum hypothesis), Farah and Hirshberg construct examples of non-separable approximately matricial algebras (uncountable direct limits of the CAR algebra) that are non-self-opposite, so we can also state:

**COROLLARY 2.8:** *It is consistent with ZFC that there are non-separable approximately matricial (so simple, nuclear)  $C^*$ -algebras that are not isomorphic to a groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra.*

Recall that the ordinary separable AF-algebras admit groupoid models: it is even known that they are always crossed products for a partial action of the integers, see [4].

By [8, Theorem 6.6(1)], every separable continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebra (indeed, every Fell algebra) is Morita equivalent to a separable  $C^*$ -algebra with a diagonal subalgebra in the sense of Kumjian [9]. Kumjian shows in [9] that  $C^*$ -algebras containing diagonals are, up to isomorphism, the  $C^*$ -algebras obtained from twists on étale principal groupoids. More precisely, writing  $\mathbb{T}$  for the circle group, this means a locally compact Hausdorff central groupoid extension

$$\mathbb{T} \times G^0 \hookrightarrow \Sigma \twoheadrightarrow G,$$

of a (second countable) locally compact Hausdorff groupoid  $G$  by the (trivial) group bundle  $\mathbb{T} \times G^0$ . To a twisted groupoid  $(G, \Sigma)$  one can assign a full  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G, \Sigma)$  and a reduced  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(G, \Sigma)$ , and then every separable  $C^*$ -algebra containing a diagonal subalgebra has the form  $C_r^*(G, \Sigma)$  for some twist  $\Sigma$  over a principal groupoid  $G$ . Moreover, the pair  $(G, \Sigma)$  is unique, up to isomorphism of twisted groupoids. This follows from the more general result, proved by Renault in [16], that isomorphism classes of Cartan subalgebras correspond bijectively to isomorphism classes of twisted essentially principal étale groupoids (meaning twisted groupoids where  $G$  is not necessarily principal, but only essentially principal; see [16] for details). Using these results, we arrive at the following consequence:

**COROLLARY 2.9:** *There are separable stable continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebras that are not isomorphic to any groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra but which are isomorphic to the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra of a twisted principal étale groupoid.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a separable continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebra which is not stably isomorphic to any groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra as in Corollary 2.6. Let

$$B := A \otimes \mathbb{K}$$

be the stabilisation of  $A$ . Then  $B$  is a separable stable continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebra which is not isomorphic to any groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra. By [8, Theorem 6.(1)]



$A$  is Morita equivalent to  $C_r^*(G, \Sigma)$ , for some twisted principal étale groupoid  $(G, \Sigma)$ . It follows from the Brown–Green–Rieffel theorem that

$$B \cong C_r^*(G, \Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{K}.$$

To finish the proof we observe that, again writing  $\mathcal{R}$  for the discrete equivalence relation  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $C_r^*(G, \Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{K} \cong C_r^*(G \times \mathcal{R}, \Sigma \times \mathcal{R})$ . ■

### 3. Section $C^*$ -algebras of Fell bundles and their opposites

Let  $G$  be a locally compact and locally Hausdorff groupoid endowed with a continuous Haar system  $\lambda$ , which we fix throughout the rest of the section. In this section we generalise our previous result and describe the opposite  $C^*$ -algebras of the section  $C^*$ -algebras of Fell bundles over  $G$ . Our result generalises the observation in [3] that  $(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G)^{\text{op}} \cong A^{\text{op}} \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{op}}} G$  for any action  $\alpha$  of a locally compact group  $G$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ .

Fell bundles over topological groupoids are defined in [10]. Only Hausdorff groupoids are considered there, but the same definition makes sense for locally Hausdorff groupoids. A Fell bundle over  $G$  consists of an upper semicontinuous Banach bundle  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $G$  endowed with **multiplications**  $\mathcal{A}_g \times \mathcal{A}_h \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{gh}$ ,  $(a, b) \mapsto a \cdot b$ , for every composable pair  $(g, h) \in G^2$  and **involutions**  $\mathcal{A}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}}$ ,  $a \mapsto a^*$ , for every  $g \in G$ . These operations are required to be continuous (with respect to the given topology on  $\mathcal{A}$ ) and satisfy algebraic conditions similar to those in the definition of a  $C^*$ -algebra.

We next recall, briefly, how to define the full and reduced  $C^*$ -algebras of a Fell bundle. Consider the space  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})$  of compactly supported continuous sections  $\xi: U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  defined on open Hausdorff subspaces  $U \subseteq G$  and extended by zero outside  $U$  and hence viewed as sections  $\xi: G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . The continuity of the algebraic operations on  $\mathcal{A}$  implies that for  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})$ , the formulas

$$(\xi * \eta)(g) := \int_G \xi(h) \cdot \eta(h^{-1}g) \, d\lambda^{r(g)}(h) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi^*(g) := \xi(g^{-1})^*$$

define elements  $\xi * \eta, \xi^* \in \mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})$  and so determine a convolution product  $*$  and an involution  $*$  on  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})$ . Under these operations,  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})$  is a  $*$ -algebra; and indeed, a topological  $*$ -algebra in the inductive-limit topology.

Since the norm function on  $\mathcal{A}$  is upper semicontinuous, the function  $g \mapsto \|\xi(g)\|$  from  $G$  to  $[0, \infty)$  is upper semicontinuous and hence measurable. So we can define the  $I$ -norm on  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})$  by

$$\|\xi\|_I := \sup_{x \in G^{(0)}} \max \left\{ \int_{G^x} |\xi(g)| \, d\lambda^x(g), \int_{G^x} |\xi^*(g)| \, d\lambda^x(g) \right\}.$$

The  $L^1$ -Banach algebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ , denoted  $L^1_I(G, \mathcal{A})$ , is defined as the completion of  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_I$ . The full  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as the universal enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra of  $L^1_I(G, \mathcal{A})$ : the completion of  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})$  with respect to the  $C^*$ -norm

$$\|\xi\|_u := \sup \{ \|\pi(\xi)\| : \pi \text{ is an } I\text{-norm decreasing } * \text{-representation of } \mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A}) \}.$$

That this is indeed a norm on  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})$ , and not just a seminorm, follows from the existence of the following regular representations.

For each  $x \in G^{(0)}$ , let  $L^2(G_x, \mathcal{A})$  be the right Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}_x$ -module completion of the space  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G_x, \mathcal{A})$  of quasi-continuous sections  $G_x \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  with respect to the norm induced by the  $\mathcal{A}_x$ -valued inner product

$$\langle \xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{A}_x} := \int_G \xi(h)^* \eta(h) \, d\lambda_x(h) = \int_G \xi(h^{-1})^* \eta(h^{-1}) \, d\lambda^x(h).$$

Then for each  $x \in G^{(0)}$ , the regular representation  $\pi_x : \mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(L^2(G_x, \mathcal{A}))$  is defined by

$$(\pi_x(\xi)\eta)(g) := \int_G \xi(gh)\eta(h^{-1}) \, d\lambda^x(h) = \int_G \xi(gh^{-1})\eta(h) \, d\lambda_x(h),$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})$ ,  $\eta \in \mathfrak{C}_c(G_x, \mathcal{A})$  and  $g \in G_x$ . The reduced  $C^*$ -norm on  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})$  is defined by

$$\|\xi\|_r := \sup_{x \in G^0} \|\pi_x(\xi)\|.$$

This is, indeed, a norm: if  $\pi_x(\xi) = 0$  then  $(\xi * \eta)(g) = 0$  for all  $\eta \in \mathfrak{C}_c(G_x, \mathcal{A})$  and  $g \in G_x$ ; so

$$\xi * \xi^*(x) = \int_G \xi(h)\xi(h)^* \, d\lambda^x(h) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in G^{(0)},$$

forcing  $\xi|_{G^x} = 0$  for all  $x$ . A standard computation shows that  $\|\xi\|_r \leq \|\xi\|_I$ . Therefore  $\|\cdot\|_u$  is also a  $C^*$ -norm and  $\|\cdot\|_r \leq \|\cdot\|_u$ . The completion of  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_r$  is the **reduced section  $C^*$ -algebra** of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and is denoted by  $C^*_r(G, \mathcal{A})$ .

Our goal is to describe the opposite  $C^*$ -algebras  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}}$  and  $C_r^*(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}}$ . We show that  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \cong C^*(G, \mathcal{A}^\circ)$ , for an appropriate opposite Fell bundle  $\mathcal{A}^\circ$  over  $G$  associated to  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is more natural to first define an opposite Fell bundle  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$  over the opposite groupoid  $G^{\text{op}}$  and then later use the canonical anti-isomorphism  $G \cong G^{\text{op}}$  induced by the inversion map to obtain the desired Fell bundle  $\mathcal{A}^\circ$  over  $G$ .

The opposite Fell bundle  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$  over  $G^{\text{op}}$  is defined as follows. As a Banach bundle,  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$  does not differ from  $\mathcal{A}$ . In particular, the fibres are equal,  $\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{op}} = \mathcal{A}_g$  for all  $g \in G$ , and also the topology on  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$  is equal to that on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$  is also endowed with the same involution as  $\mathcal{A}$ , which makes sense because  $G$  and  $G^{\text{op}}$  carry the same inversion map. The only thing that changes in  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$  is the multiplication: given  $g, h \in G^{\text{op}}$  the condition  $s^{\text{op}}(g) = r^{\text{op}}(h)$  means  $r(g) = s(h)$ , so we can use the multiplication map  $\mu_{h,g}: \mathcal{A}_h \times \mathcal{A}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{hg}$  and define the multiplication maps

$$\mu^{\text{op}}: \mathcal{A}_g^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{A}_h^{\text{op}} = \mathcal{A}_g \times \mathcal{A}_h \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g^{\text{op}}h}^{\text{op}} = \mathcal{A}_{hg} \quad \text{by } \mu^{\text{op}}(a, b) := \mu(b, a).$$

In other words,  $a \cdot_{\text{op}} b := b \cdot a$  if we use  $\cdot$  and  $\cdot_{\text{op}}$  to denote the multiplications on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ , respectively. It is straightforward to see that  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$  is indeed a Fell bundle over  $G^{\text{op}}$ . Now we use the anti-isomorphism  $G^{\text{op}} \cong G$  induced by the inversion map  $g \mapsto g^{-1}$  to form the pullback Fell bundle of  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{A}^\circ$  is a Fell bundle over  $G$  with fibres  $\mathcal{A}_g^\circ = \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}}$  and the topology induced by the sections  $\xi^\circ(g) := \xi(g^{-1})$  for  $\xi: U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  a continuous section defined on a Hausdorff open subset  $U \subseteq G$ . The involution map  $\mathcal{A}_g^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}}^\circ$  is the involution map  $\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  and the multiplication map  $\mathcal{A}_g^\circ \times \mathcal{A}_h^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{gh}^\circ$  is given by  $(a, b) \mapsto b \cdot a$  for all  $b \in \mathcal{A}_g^\circ = \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}}$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{A}_h^\circ = \mathcal{A}_{h^{-1}}$  and  $g, h \in G$  with  $s(g) = r(h)$ .

**THEOREM 3.1:** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Fell bundle over a locally compact, locally Hausdorff groupoid with Haar system  $(G, \lambda)$ , and consider the Fell bundle  $\mathcal{A}^\circ$  over  $(G, \lambda)$  described above. The map  $\xi \mapsto \xi^\circ$  defined by*

$$\xi^\circ(g) := \xi(g^{-1})$$

*gives an isomorphism of topological  $*$ -algebras  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A}^\circ)$ . Moreover, this isomorphism extends to an isomorphism of Banach  $*$ -algebras  $L_I^1(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_I^1(G, \mathcal{A}^\circ)$  and  $C^*$ -algebras  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} C^*(G, \mathcal{A}^\circ)$  and  $C_r^*(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} C_r^*(G, \mathcal{A}^\circ)$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the equivalent assertion that  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \cong \mathfrak{C}_c(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$  via the canonical linear isomorphism  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A}) \ni \xi \mapsto \xi^{\text{op}} := \xi \in \mathfrak{C}_c(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$ , and that this isomorphism extends to isomorphisms

$$L_I^1(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_I^1(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}), \quad C^*(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} C^*(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}), \quad \text{and} \\ C_r^*(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} C_r^*(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}).$$

Since the topologies on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$  are the same, the map  $\xi \mapsto \xi^{\text{op}}$  is clearly a linear bijection  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_c(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$  which is a homeomorphism with respect to the inductive-limit topologies. Also, this map preserves the involution; that is,  $(\xi^{\text{op}})^* = \xi^*$  on  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})$  (which is the same as the involution on  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}}$ ), and on  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$  because the involutions on  $\mathcal{A}$  and on  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$  are the same. It remains to check that the map is a homomorphism  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_c(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$ . But, remembering that the left Haar system  $\lambda^{\text{op}}$  on  $G^{\text{op}}$  is the right Haar system  $(\lambda_x)_{x \in G^0}$  on  $G$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{\text{op}} * \eta^{\text{op}}(g) &= \int_{G^{\text{op}}} \xi(h) \cdot_{\text{op}} \eta(h^{-1}g) \, d(\lambda^{\text{op}})^{r^{\text{op}}(g)}(h) \\ &= \int_G \eta(gh^{-1})\xi(h) \, d\lambda_{s(g)}(h) \\ &= \int_G \eta(gh)\xi(h^{-1}) \, d\lambda^{s(g)}(h) = (\eta * \xi)(g) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})$  and  $g \in G$ . This shows that the identity map is an anti-homomorphism  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_c(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$ , that is, a homomorphism  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_c(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$ , as desired. A similar computation shows that  $\|\xi^{\text{op}}\|_I = \|\xi\|_I$  and that therefore the identity map extends to an isomorphism  $L_I^1(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_I^1(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$  and hence also to the corresponding universal enveloping  $C^*$ -algebras  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} C^*(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$ .

Finally, to check that  $C_r^*(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \cong C_r^*(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$ , fix  $x \in G^0$ . The regular representation  $\pi_x^{\text{op}}$  defines a representation of  $C^*(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$  by adjointable operators on the right-Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ -module  $L^2(G_x^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$ . Recall that a left-Hilbert module over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C$  is a left  $C$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  with an inner product  ${}_C\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  in which  ${}_C\langle c \cdot \xi | \eta \rangle = c {}_C\langle \xi | \eta \rangle$  for  $c \in C$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}$ . Any right Hilbert module  $\mathcal{E}$  over the opposite  $B^{\text{op}}$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  determines a left Hilbert  $B$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  with left  $B$ -action  $b \cdot \xi := \xi \cdot b$  and left  $B$ -valued inner product  ${}_B\langle \xi | \eta \rangle := \langle \eta | \xi \rangle_{B^{\text{op}}}$ . This process preserves the  $C^*$ -algebras of adjointable operators, meaning that the identity map on  $\mathcal{E}$  yields an isomorphism  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E}_{B^{\text{op}}}) \cong \mathbb{B}({}_B\mathcal{E})$ . Applying

this to the right Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}_x^{\text{op}}$ -module  $L^2(G_x^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$  we get a left Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}_x$ -module with left  $\mathcal{A}_x$ -action given by  $a \cdot \xi = \xi \cdot_{\text{op}} a$  for all  $\xi \in L^2(G_x^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$ ; the right hand side denotes the right  $\mathcal{A}_x^{\text{op}}$ -action on  $L^2(G_x^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$ , so it is given by  $(a \cdot_{\text{op}} \xi)(g) = \xi(g) \cdot_{\text{op}} a(s^{\text{op}}(g)) = a(r(g)) \cdot \xi(g)$ . The left  $\mathcal{A}_x$ -valued inner product on  $L^2(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$  is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_x \langle \xi | \eta \rangle = \langle \eta | \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{A}_x^{\text{op}}} = \int_{G^{\text{op}}} \eta(h)^* \cdot_{\text{op}} \xi(h) \, d\lambda_x^{\text{op}}(h) = \int_G \xi(h) \eta(h)^* \, d\lambda^x(h)$$

for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{C}_c(G_x^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}) = \mathfrak{C}_c(G^x, \mathcal{A})$ . Therefore the left Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}_x$ -module obtained from the right Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}_x^{\text{op}}$ -module  $L^2(G_x^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$  in this way equals the left Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}_x$ -module  $L^2(G^x, \mathcal{A})$  defined as the completion of  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G^x, \mathcal{A})$  with respect to the norm associated to the left  $\mathcal{A}_x$ -valued inner product given by the above formula and the left  $\mathcal{A}_x$ -action also defined above. Therefore we may view  $\pi_x^{\text{op}}$  as a representation of  $C^*(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$  on

$$\mathbb{B}_{(\mathcal{A}_x L^2(G^x, \mathcal{A}))} \cong \mathbb{B}(L^2(G_x^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})_{\mathcal{A}_x^{\text{op}}}).$$

Under the isomorphism  $C^*(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}) \cong C^*(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}}$ , this corresponds to the canonical representation  $\tilde{\pi}_x$  of  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}}$  on  $\mathcal{A}_x L^2(G^x, \mathcal{A})$  via the formula

$$\tilde{\pi}_x(\xi)\eta(g) := (\eta * \xi)(g) = \int_G \eta(h)\xi(h^{-1}g) \, d\lambda^x(h)$$

for  $\xi \in \mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})$ ,  $\eta \in \mathfrak{C}_c(G^x, \mathcal{A})$  and  $g \in G^x$ . Straightforward computations show that the above formula defines a representation

$$\tilde{\pi}_x : C^*(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{(\mathcal{A}_x L^2(G^x, \mathcal{A}))}$$

of the opposite  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}}$ .

Given a left Hilbert  $B$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$ , let  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$  denote the dual right Hilbert  $B$ -module of  $\mathcal{E}$ : as a vector space  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \{\tilde{\xi} : \xi \in \mathcal{E}\}$  is the conjugate of  $\mathcal{E}$  and the right  $B$ -action and right  $B$ -valued inner product are defined by

$$\tilde{\xi} \cdot b := (b^* \cdot \xi)^\sim \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \tilde{\xi} | \eta \rangle_B :=_B \langle \xi | \eta \rangle.$$

Then each representation  $\pi : A^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{(B\mathcal{E})}$  of an opposite  $C^*$ -algebra  $A^{\text{op}}$  on the  $C^*$ -algebra of adjointable operators  $\mathbb{B}_{(B\mathcal{E})}$  of a left Hilbert  $B$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  induces a representation

$$\pi^{\text{op}} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{(B\mathcal{E})^{\text{op}}} \cong \mathbb{B}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_B).$$

The isomorphism  $\mathbb{B}_{(B\mathcal{E})^{\text{op}}} \cong \mathbb{B}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_B)$  we used above is induced by the involution; that is, it sends an operator  $T \in \mathbb{B}_{(B\mathcal{E})}$  to  $\tilde{T} \in \mathbb{B}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_B)$  defined by

$$\tilde{T}(\tilde{\xi}) := (T^*(\xi))^\sim.$$

For  $\xi \in \mathfrak{C}_c(G^x, \mathcal{A})$ , the formula

$$\xi^*(g) := \xi(g^{-1})^*$$

determines an element  $\xi^* \in \mathfrak{C}_c(G_x, \mathcal{A})$ . The map  $\xi \mapsto \xi^*$  induces an isomorphism  $(L^2(G^x, \mathcal{A}))_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_x} \cong L^2(G_x, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}_x}$  from the dual Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}_x$ -module of  ${}_{\mathcal{A}_x}L^2(G^x, \mathcal{A})$  to the right Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}_x$ -module  $L^2(G_x, \mathcal{A})$  that carries the regular representation  $\pi_x : C^*(G, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(L^2(G_x, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}_x})$ . This isomorphism intertwines the representations

$$\pi_x : C^*(G, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(L^2(G_x, \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{A}_x})$$

and

$$\widetilde{\pi}_x^{\text{op}} : C^*(G, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}({}_{\mathcal{A}_x}L^2(G^x, \mathcal{A}))^{\text{op}} \cong \mathbb{B}((L^2(G^x, \mathcal{A}))_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_x}).$$

We conclude that

$$\|\pi_x^{\text{op}}(\xi)\| = \|\widetilde{\pi}_x(\xi^\circ)\| = \|\widetilde{\pi}_x^{\text{op}}(\xi^\circ)\| = \|\pi_x(\xi^\circ)\|.$$

Since  $x \in G^0$  was arbitrary, we get the equality  $\|\xi^\circ\|_r = \|\xi\|_r$  and therefore the desired isomorphism  $C_r^*(G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}) \cong C_r^*(G, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}}$ . ■

*Remark 3.2:* As in the case of groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras, we can rephrase the preceding result in terms of conjugate bundles as well. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Fell bundle over a groupoid  $G$ . For  $g \in G$ , let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$  be the conjugate vector space of  $\mathcal{A}_g$ ; that is,  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$  is a copy  $\{\bar{a} : a \in \mathcal{A}_g\}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_g$  as an abelian group under addition, but with scalar multiplication given by  $\lambda\bar{a} = \overline{\lambda a}$ . Via the map  $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ , the operations on the Fell bundle  $\mathcal{A}$  induce operations on  $\overline{\mathcal{A}} := \bigsqcup_{g \in G} \overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$ :  $\bar{a}\bar{b} = \overline{ab}$ , and  $\overline{a^*} = \bar{a}^*$ . Under these operations,  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is a Fell bundle over  $G$ , called the **conjugate bundle** of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}^\circ$  be the opposite bundle of  $\mathcal{A}$  defined above; so  $\mathcal{A}_g^\circ = \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}}$ , and write  $\cdot_\circ$  for the multiplication in this bundle. Then the maps  $\mathcal{A}_g^\circ \ni a \mapsto \overline{a^*} \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$  are linear isometries because the maps  $a \mapsto a^*$  and  $a \mapsto \bar{a}$  are both conjugate linear. We have  $\overline{(a \cdot_\circ b)^*} = \overline{(ba)^*} = \overline{a^*b^*} = \overline{a^*}\overline{b^*}$  and  $\overline{(\bar{a}^*)^*} = \bar{a} = \overline{(\bar{a}^*)^*}$ , so  $a \mapsto \overline{a^*}$  determines an isomorphism  $\mathcal{A}^\circ \cong \overline{\mathcal{A}}$  of Fell bundles over  $G$ . Thus Theorem 3.1 shows that there is a topological- $*$ -algebra isomorphism  $\xi \mapsto \overline{\xi}$  from  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \mathcal{A})$  to  $\mathfrak{C}_c(G, \overline{\mathcal{A}})$  given by  $\overline{\xi}(g) := \overline{\xi(g^{-1})^*}$  that extends to isomorphisms

$$L_I^1(G, \mathcal{A}^\circ) \cong L_I^1(G, \overline{\mathcal{A}}), \quad C^*(G, \mathcal{A}^\circ) \cong C^*(G, \overline{\mathcal{A}}), \quad \text{and} \quad C_r^*(G, \mathcal{A}^\circ) \cong C_r^*(G, \overline{\mathcal{A}}).$$

*Remark 3.3:* Remark 3.2 is closely related to the idea behind Phillips’ construction in [11] of non-self-opposite continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$ ; the observation underlying his construction is that the Dixmier–Douady class of the opposite

algebra  $A^{\text{op}}$  is the inverse of the Dixmier–Douady class of  $A$ . To see how this relates to our results, fix a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the sheaf of germs of continuous  $\mathbb{T}$ -valued functions on  $X$ . The Raeburn–Taylor construction [14] shows that (after identifying  $\check{H}^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $H^2(X, \mathcal{S})$ ) any class  $\delta \in H^2(X, \mathcal{S})$  is the Dixmier–Douady invariant of a twisted groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G, \sigma)$  associated to a continuous 2-cocycle  $\sigma$  on a principal étale groupoid  $G$  with unit space  $G^{(0)} = \bigsqcup_{i,j} U_{ij}$  for some precompact open cover  $\{U_i\}$  of  $X$ . The cocycle  $\sigma$  determines, and is determined up to cohomology by, the Fell line-bundle  $L_\sigma$  over  $G$  given by  $L_\sigma = G \times \mathbb{T}$  with twisted multiplication  $(g, w)(h, z) = (gh, c(g, h)wz)$  and the obvious involution. Remark 3.2 shows that  $C^*(G, \sigma)^{\text{op}}$  is given by the conjugate bundle  $\overline{L_\sigma}$ , so the corresponding class in  $H^2(X, \mathcal{S})$  is determined by the pointwise conjugate of the class  $\delta$ ; that is,  $\delta(C^*(G, \sigma)) = \delta(C^*(G, \sigma)^{\text{op}})^{-1}$ .

## References

- [1] L. G. Brown, P. Green and M. A. Rieffel, *Stable isomorphism and strong Morita equivalence of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **71** (1977), 349–363.
- [2] A. Connes, *A factor not anti-isomorphic to itself*, Annals Mathematics **101** (1975), 536–554.
- [3] M. Dadarlat, I. Hirshberg and N. C. Phillips, *Simple nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras not equivariantly isomorphic to their opposites*, Journal of Noncommutative Geometry **12** (2018), 1227–1253.
- [4] R. Exel, *Approximately finite  $C^*$ -algebras and partial automorphisms*, Mathematica Scandinavica **77** (1995), 281–288.
- [5] R. Exel and E. Pardo *Self-similar graphs, a unified treatment of Katsura and Nekrashevych  $C^*$ -algebras*, Advances in Mathematics **306** (2017), 1046–1129.
- [6] I. Farah and I. Hirshberg, *Simple nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras not isomorphic to their opposites*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **114** (2017), 6244–6249.
- [7] E. Gardella and M. Lupini, *Representations of étale groupoids in  $L^p$ -spaces*, Advances in Mathematics **318** (2017), 233–278.
- [8] A. an Huef, A. Kumjian and A. Sims, *A Dixmier–Douady theorem for Fell algebras*, Journal of Functional Analysis **260** (2011), 1543–1581.
- [9] A. Kumjian, *On  $C^*$ -diagonals*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics **38** (1986), 969–1008.
- [10] A. Kumjian, *Fell bundles over groupoids*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **126** (1998), 1115–1125.
- [11] N. C. Phillips, *Continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebras not isomorphic to their opposite algebras*, International Journal of Mathematics **12** (2001), 263–275.
- [12] N. C. Phillips, *A simple separable  $C^*$ -algebra not isomorphic to its opposite algebra*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **132** (2004), 2997–3005.

- [13] N. C. Phillips and M. G. Viola, *A simple separable exact  $C^*$ -algebra not anti-isomorphic to itself*, *Mathematische Annalen* **355** (2013), 783–799.
- [14] I. Raeburn and J. L. Taylor, *Continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebras with given Dixmier–Douady class*, *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society . Series A* **38** (1985), 394–407.
- [15] J. Renault, *A Groupoid Approach to  $C^*$ -algebras*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 793, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [16] J. Renault, *Cartan subalgebras in  $C^*$ -algebras*, *Irish Mathematical Society Bulletin* **61** (2008), 29–63.
- [17] M. Rørdam and E. Størmer, *Classification of nuclear, simple  $C^*$ -algebras*, in *Classification of Nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras. Entropy in Operator Algebras*, *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 126, Springer, Berlin, 2002, pp. 1–145.