

ON THE TOTAL CURVATURE OF CURVES IN A MINKOWSKI SPACE

BY

ALEXANDER A. BORISENKO*

*Geometry Department, Math.-Mech. Faculty
Kharkov National Karazin University, Pl. Svobodu 4, 310077-Kharkov, Ukraine
e-mail: borisenk@univer.kharkov.ua*

AND

KETI TENENBLAT**

*Departamento de Matemática, Inst. de Ciências Exatas
Universidade de Brasília, 71910-900 Brasília, DF, Brasil
e-mail: keti@mat.unb.br*

ABSTRACT

We consider simple closed curves in a Minkowski space. We give bounds of the total Minkowski curvature of the curve in terms of the total Euclidean curvature and of normal curvatures on the indicatrix (supposed to be a central symmetric hypersurface) of the Minkowski norm. Corollaries of this result provide analogues to Fenchel and Fary–Milnor theorems. We also give an upper bound of the Minkowski length of a simple closed curve contained in a Minkowski ball of radius R , in terms of the total Minkowski curvature and of normal curvatures on the indicatrix. Whenever the Minkowski space is Euclidean our results reduce to the classical ones.

* Partially supported by CAPES.

** Partially supported by CNPq.

Received November 8, 2010 and in revised form February 11, 2011

1. Introduction

In this paper we prove some properties for closed curves in a Minkowski space by considering the definition of the Minkowski curvature of a curve given by Rund [13]. We consider a Minkowski space M^{n+1} , i.e., a pair (V, F) , where V^{n+1} is an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional vector space and F is a Minkowski metric. We assume that the indicatrix of F is a central symmetric hypersurface.

We first relate (see Theorem 1) the Minkowski total curvature of a simple closed L in M^{n+1} with its total Euclidean curvature, considering L as a curve in V with the Euclidean metric. As an immediate consequence, we get a Fenchel's type theorem, giving a lower bound for the total Minkowski curvature (see Corollary).

The Fary–Milnor Theorem, proved independently by F ary [9] and Milnor [11], states that the total curvature of a knot in the Euclidean space E^3 is greater than 4π . A different proof was given by Brickell–Hsiung [5], that also works in the hyperbolic space H^3 . More recently, Alexander–Bishop [1] and Schmitz [14] extended the Fary–Milnor Theorem to curves in a Riemannian 3-manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature.

In this paper, as a consequence of Theorem 1, we obtain an analogue to the Fary–Milnor Theorem for a simple closed curve in a 3-dimensional Minkowski space (Corollary 2). We also get an upper bound for the Minkowski length of a simple closed curve contained in a (Minkowski) ball, in terms of its Minkowski total curvature (Theorem 2).

We observe that, whenever the Minkowski space is Euclidean, our results reduce to the classical ones.

2. On the total curvature of a curve in a Minkowski space

Let $\gamma(s)$ be a curve in a Finsler space M^{n+1} , where s is the arc length parameter in the Finsler space. One defines the covariant derivative ∇ [15] of vector fields along a curve and $\gamma(s)$ is said to be a geodesic when $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} = 0$. We define the **Minkowski curvature** of a curve L in M^{n+1} as

$$(2.1) \quad k_M = \|\nabla_{\dot{X}}\dot{X}\|,$$

where $X = X(s)$ is a parametrization of the curve and $\|\cdot\|$ is a Finsler norm.

For a Finsler space and a Minkowski space there are other definitions of curvature given by Finsler [10] and Busemann [6]. The definition of the curvature

for curves given by Finsler coincides with Cartan’s definition [7]. In this article, our definition of curvature coincides with the one given by Rund [12] (see also [13]),

$$k_M^2 = g_{ij} \left(x, \frac{d^2 X}{ds^2} \right) \frac{d^2 x^i}{ds^2} \frac{d^2 x^j}{ds^2}.$$

Busemann’s definition of curvature for curves in Minkowski space [6] is different from the definitions above. In [8], there is still another definition for curves in a Minkowski plane.

A Minkowski space M^{n+1} is a pair (V, F) , where V^{n+1} is an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional vector space and F is a nonnegative function $F : V \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which has the following properties:

- (1) F is C^∞ on $V^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$.
- (2) $F(\lambda y) = \lambda F(y)$, for all $\lambda > 0$ and $y \in V^{n+1}$.
- (3) The symmetric bilinear form $g_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 F^2 / \partial y^\alpha \partial y^\beta$ is an inner product on V , where on the vector space V we are considering coordinates y^1, \dots, y^{n+1} which are orthogonal in the standard Euclidean metric.

The **indicatrix** (unit sphere) of a Minkowski space is the compact convex hypersurface defined by $F_0 = \{y^1, \dots, y^{n+1}, F(y^1, \dots, y^{n+1}) = 1\}$. The **Minkowski ball** of radius R is the set of points such that $F(y^1, \dots, y^{n+1}) \leq R$.

Since the indicatrix F_0 is a compact hypersurface of the Euclidean space E^{n+1} , there exists k_1 and k_2 such that the normal curvatures k of the indicatrix F_0 , in the Euclidean space, satisfy

$$(2.2) \quad 0 < k_1 \leq k \leq k_2.$$

In this paper we will assume that the indicatrix is a central symmetric hypersurface.

Let $X(s)$ be a smooth parametrization of a curve L in M^{n+1} such that s is the Minkowski arc length of the curve. Then the Minkowski curvature of the curve, defined by (2.1), can be rewritten as

$$(2.3) \quad k_M = \left\| \frac{d^2 X}{ds^2} \right\|,$$

where $\| \cdot \|$ is the Minkowski norm. Let σ be the Euclidean arc length of the curve L as a curve in the Euclidean space E^{n+1} . We denote by k_E the curvature of the curve L , as a curve in E^{n+1} .

We first want to consider the following question: how does the Minkowski curvature k_M of a curve L relate to the curvature k_E ? For a simple closed curve L , from now on, we will denote by $\omega_M(L)$ and $\omega_E(L)$ the total Minkowski curvature and the total Euclidean curvature of L , respectively, i.e.,

$$\omega_M(L) = \int_L k_M ds, \quad \omega_E(L) = \int_L k_E d\sigma.$$

We will prove the following result.

THEOREM 1: *Let M^{n+1} be a Minkowski space whose indicatrix is central symmetric and has Euclidean normal curvature between k_1 and k_2 , $0 < k_1 \leq k_2$. Then the total Minkowski curvature $\omega_M(L)$ of a simple closed curve L in M and its total Euclidean curvature $\omega_E(L)$ satisfy the following inequality:*

$$(2.4) \quad \left(\frac{k_1}{k_2}\right) \omega_E(L) \leq \omega_M(L) \leq \left(\frac{k_2}{k_1}\right)^2 \omega_E(L).$$

One recalls that in the Euclidean space Fenchel’s theorem says that the total curvature ω_E of a simple closed curve L in Euclidean space E^{n+1} satisfies the inequality

$$(2.5) \quad \omega_E(L) \geq 2\pi.$$

Therefore, as an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain

COROLLARY 1: *The total curvature ω_M of a simple closed curve L in a Minkowski space satisfies the inequality*

$$\omega_M(L) \geq 2\pi \frac{k_1}{k_2}.$$

Moreover, the Fary–Milnor Theorem [9], [11] states that if the total curvature ω_E of a closed curve L in E^3 satisfies

$$(2.6) \quad \omega_E(L) \leq 4\pi,$$

then the curve is unknotted (i.e., l is the boundary of an embedded disk). An analogue to the Fary–Milnor Theorem holds for closed curves in a Minkowski space. More precisely,

COROLLARY 2: *If the total curvature of a simple closed curve L in a 3-dimensional Minkowski space satisfies the inequality*

$$\omega_M(L) \leq 4\pi \left(\frac{k_1}{k_2}\right),$$

then the curve is unknotted.

In fact, as a consequence of (2.4), we have $\omega_E(L) \leq 4\pi$ and then we apply the Fary–Milnor Theorem.

The Euclidean length $\ell_E(L)$ of a simple closed curve L , contained in a Euclidean ball of radius R of E^{n+1} , and its total curvature satisfy the inequality

$$\ell_E(L) \leq R\omega_E(L).$$

The corresponding result for the Minkowski length $\ell_M(L)$ of a closed curve in Minkowski space M^{n+1} and its total Minkowski curvtaure is given in the following theorem.

THEOREM 2: *If a simple closed curve L in a Minkowski space is contained in a (Minkowski) ball of radius R , then its Minkowski length satisfies the inequality*

$$(2.7) \quad \ell_M(L) \leq \left(\frac{k_2}{k_1}\right)^4 R\omega_M(L).$$

We observe that if the Minkowski space is Euclidean, then $k_1 = k_2$ and hence Theorem 1 is an identity, while Corollaries 1 and 2 and Theorem 2 reduce to the classical results.

Before we prove Theorems 1 and 2 in Section 4, we will mention some properties of the Minkowski space and a lemma for compact convex hypersurfaces in Euclidean space that will be useful in the proofs.

3. Preliminaries

We will be using greek letters α, β for indices from 1 to $n + 1$ and latin letters i, j for indices from 1 to n . Moreover, we will use the Einstein summation convention for repeated indices.

We start by observing that it follows from item (2) of the definition of a Minkowski norm F that

$$(3.1) \quad F(y) = F_\alpha(y)y^\alpha,$$

$$(3.2) \quad F_{\alpha\beta}(y)y^\alpha y^\beta = 0,$$

where $y = (y^1, \dots, y^{n+1})$ and F_α denotes the derivative of F with respect to y^α . In fact, these relations follow from taking the first and second derivatives

of $F(\lambda y) = \lambda F(y)$ with respect to λ , and then considering $\lambda = 1$. Moreover, taking the derivative of (3.1) with respect to y^β one gets

$$(3.3) \quad F_{\alpha\beta}(y)y^\alpha = 0, \quad \forall \beta.$$

Now assume that a neighborhood U of the indicatrix F_0 is a graph of a function, i.e., U is given by

$$(3.4) \quad F(y^1, \dots, y^n, f(y^1, \dots, y^n)) = 1.$$

Then it follows from (3.1) that

$$(3.5) \quad 1 = F_i y^i + F_{n+1} f.$$

Taking the derivative of (3.4) with respect to y^i and then with respect to y^j we have

$$(3.6) \quad F_i + F_{n+1} f_i = 0, \quad \forall i,$$

$$(3.7) \quad F_{ij} + F_{in+1} f_j + F_{n+1j} F_i + F_{n+1n+1} f_j f_i + F_{n+1} F_{ij} = 0, \quad \forall i, j.$$

We now consider a curve $y(s) = (y^1(s), \dots, y^{n+1}(s))$ on the indicatrix F_0 , i.e., we have $F(y(s)) = 1$. Then (3.1) reduces to

$$(3.8) \quad 1 = F_\alpha(y(s))y^\alpha(s),$$

and its derivative with respect to s gives

$$(3.9) \quad F_{\alpha\beta}(y(s)) \frac{dy^\beta}{ds} y^\alpha + F_\alpha \frac{dy^\alpha}{ds} = 0.$$

Finally, it follows from (3.3) restricted to the curve and (3.9) that

$$(3.10) \quad F_\alpha(y(s)) \frac{dy^\alpha}{ds} = 0.$$

In the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, we will consider a parametrization of the indicatrix F_0 of the form $r(\nu)\nu$, where ν is a unit vector of the sphere S^n in the Euclidean space, and $r(\nu) > 0$. It follows from (2.2) that $\forall \nu \in S^n$, we have

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{1}{k_2} \leq r(\nu) \leq \frac{1}{k_1}.$$

We will also need the following lemma, which is analogous to the results in the Riemannian manifolds of negative sectional curvature obtained in [2], [3], [4].

LEMMA 1: Let M^n be a compact, convex hypersurface in the Euclidean space E^{n+1} . Let O be a point in the interior of the region bounded by M and let h be the distance from O to M . Suppose the normal curvature k of M satisfies the inequalities $0 < k_1 \leq k \leq k_2$. If α is the angle between the position vector of M and the exterior normal direction, then

$$(3.12) \quad \cos \alpha \geq hk_1.$$

If M^n is also symmetric and O is the center of symmetry, then

$$(3.13) \quad \cos \alpha \geq \frac{k_1}{k_2}.$$

Proof. We will first prove (3.12) when $n = 1$, i.e., when M^1 is a closed convex curve in the plane E^2 , and h is the distance from O to the curve. Then we will prove the result in any dimension n .

If M^1 is a circle centered at O , then (3.12) holds trivially. So we may assume that M^1 is not such a circle. We consider u, θ polar coordinates in E^2 with pole O . Let $u(s), \theta(s), 0 \leq s \leq \ell$ be a parametrization by arc length of the curve. For later arguments in this proof, we will need to consider intervals contained in $[0, \ell]$, where the distance function from O to the points of the curve, $u(s)$, is monotone. Since $u(s)$ is not constant, there exists a subdivision $0 \leq s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_r \leq \ell$ such that in each interval (s_i, s_{i+1}) , we have $u'(s) \neq 0$. Since $u(s)$ is strictly monotone in such an interval, there exists the inverse function $s(u)$ for $u \in J$. Hence we can locally reparametrize the curve by $(x(u), y(u)) = (u \cos \theta(u), u \sin \theta(u)), u \in J$. The curvature and the external unit normal are respectively given by

$$k(u) = \frac{-x''y' + x'y''}{((x')^2 + (y')^2)^{3/2}}, \quad n(u) = \frac{(y', -x')}{((x')^2 + (y')^2)^{1/2}}.$$

Let $\alpha(u)$ be the angle between the position vector and the normal $n(u)$. Then

$$(3.14) \quad \cos \alpha(u) = \frac{u\theta'(u)}{\sqrt{1 + u^2(\theta'(u))^2}}, \quad u \in J,$$

where without loss of generality we may assume $\theta' > 0$. Moreover,

$$(3.15) \quad k(u) = \frac{2\theta' + u^2(\theta')^3 + u\theta''}{(1 + u^2(\theta')^2)^{3/2}}.$$

By computing θ'' from (3.14), we get

$$(3.16) \quad k(u) = \frac{\cos \alpha}{u} - \sin \alpha \frac{d\alpha}{du}, \quad u \in J.$$

Now consider a circle of radius $1/k_1$, centered at the point $(1/k_1 - h, 0)$, locally parametrized by $(x(u), y(u)) = (u \cos \theta_2(u), u \sin \theta_2(u))$, $u \in J$, where without loss of generality we may assume $\theta'_2 > 0$. Then $\theta_2(u)$ satisfies the equation

$$(3.17) \quad h^2 + u^2 - \frac{2h}{k_1} + 2\left(h - \frac{1}{k_1}\right) \cos \theta_2 = 0.$$

Let $\beta(u)$ be the angle between the position vector of the circle and the exterior normal. Then, the same arguments as before give

$$(3.18) \quad \cos \beta(u) = \frac{u\theta'_2(u)}{\sqrt{1 + u^2(\theta'_2(u))^2}}, \quad k_1 = \frac{\cos \beta}{u} - \sin \beta \frac{d\beta}{du}.$$

It follows from (3.17) that

$$\theta'_2 = \frac{1}{\sin \theta_2(hk_1 - 1)} \left(\frac{h}{u^2}(2 - hk_1) + \frac{k_1}{2} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$\cos \beta = \frac{h(2 - hk_1)}{2u} + \frac{uk_1}{2}.$$

Since $u \in J$ and $h \leq u \leq 2/k_1 - h$, we conclude that

$$(3.19) \quad \cos \beta \geq hk_1.$$

Subtracting (3.18) from (3.16) we get, $\forall u \in J$,

$$0 \leq k(u) - k_1 = \frac{1}{u}(\cos \alpha - \cos \beta) - \sin \alpha \frac{d\alpha}{du} + \sin \beta \frac{d\beta}{du}.$$

We consider the function $f(u) = \cos \alpha(u) - \cos \beta(u)$. Then

$$(3.20) \quad \frac{1}{u}f(u) + f'(u) \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in J.$$

We now observe that the distance u from O to the points of the curve M^1 is monotone for $u \in J$. Moreover, if u_0 is a point on the boundary ∂J of J , then u_0 is a critical point of the distance function and $\cos \alpha(u_0) = 1$. Hence, $\lim_{u \rightarrow u_0} f(u) \geq 0$.

CLAIM: If $u_0 \in \partial J$ then for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $u_1 \in J$ such that $0 < |u_1 - u_0| < \epsilon$ and $f(u_1) \geq 0$.

In fact, otherwise, there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that $f(u) < 0$ for all $u \in J_1 = J \cap (u_0 - \epsilon_1, u_0 + \epsilon_1)$. Since the limit of f when u tends to u_0 is nonnegative, we conclude that there exists $\epsilon_2 > 0$ such that f is strictly decreasing for all $u \in J_2 = J \cap (u_0 - \epsilon_2, u_0 + \epsilon_2)$, i.e., $f'(u) < 0$ for $u \in J_2$. Let $J_0 = J_1 \cap J_2$. Then

for all $u \in J_0$, we have $f(u) < 0$ and $f'(u) < 0$, and hence $f(u)/u + f'(u) < 0$, $\forall u \in J_0$, which contradicts (3.20). This proves our claim.

We consider the differential equation $g/u + g' = 0$ with initial conditions $g(u_1) = f(u_1) \geq 0$, where u_1 is fixed as in the Claim. The unique solution to this equation in J is $g(u) = f(u_1)u_1/u \geq 0$. Comparing solutions of this equation with solutions of (3.20), we conclude that $f(u) \geq g(u) \geq 0$. Therefore, $\cos \alpha(u) \geq \cos \beta(u)$, $\forall u \in J$. It follows from (3.19) that $\cos \alpha(u) \geq hk_1$ in J . Since J corresponds to any interval s_i, s_{i+1} where $u'(s) \neq 0$, we conclude that (3.12) holds for M^1 .

Now we prove the n -dimensional case. Let $M^n \subset E^{n+1}$ be a compact and convex hypersurface. We consider O as the origin of E^{n+1} . We observe that the critical points of the distance function from O to M are the points where the angle between the position vector and the exterior normal is zero. If M is a ball centered at O , then (3.12) holds trivially. Assuming M is not such a ball, we consider a point $P \in M$ which is not a critical point of the distance function from O . Let Π be the plane through the origin determined by the position vector and the exterior normal at P . The intersection of the plane Π with M is a closed and convex plane curve \mathcal{C} . Let h_0 be the distance from O to \mathcal{C} and let $k_0 > 0$ be the lower bound of the curvature of \mathcal{C} . It follows from the case $n = 1$ that $\cos \alpha(P) \geq h_0k_0$. Since $k_1 \leq k_0$ and $h \leq h_0$, we conclude that (3.12) for M^n .

If the manifold M^n is also symmetric and O is the center of symmetry, then $h \geq 1/k_2$. Hence, as an immediate consequence of (3.12), we obtain (3.13). ■

4. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $X(s)$ be a smooth parametrization of a curve L in a Minkowski space, where s is the Minkowski arc length of the curve. Let σ be the Euclidean arc length of the curve L as a curve in the Euclidean space E^{n+1} . Then $X(s) = X(\sigma(s))$ and $ds = F(X_\sigma)d\sigma$, i.e.,

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{d\sigma}{ds} = \frac{1}{F(X_\sigma)},$$

where $dX/d\sigma = X_\sigma$.

We consider a parametrization of the indicatrix F_0 of the form $r(\nu)\nu$, where ν is a unit vector of the sphere S^n in the Euclidean space and $r(\nu) > 0$. Moreover, we consider the unit sphere $S^n \subset E^{n+1}$ to be parametrized by the angles

$\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$. Since the derivative of X with respect to s , denoted by X_s , is a curve on the indicatrix F_0 , we have $X_s = r(X_\sigma)X_\sigma$ and

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{d\sigma}{ds} = r(X_\sigma) = r.$$

From (2.3), we have $k_M = F\left(\frac{d^2X}{ds^2}\right)$. We need to compute d^2X/ds^2 .

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dX}{ds} &= \frac{dX}{d\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{ds}; \\ \frac{d^2X}{ds^2} &= \frac{d^2X}{d\sigma^2} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{ds}\right)^2 + \frac{dX}{d\sigma} \frac{d^2\sigma}{ds^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{d^2\sigma}{ds^2} = \frac{d}{ds}(r(X_\sigma)) = \frac{d}{ds}(r(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)) = \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi_i} \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial \sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{ds},$$

where $X_\sigma(s) = (\varphi_1(s), \dots, \varphi_n(s))$ is a curve on the unit sphere S^n . Moreover,

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi_i} \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial \sigma} = \langle \text{grad } r, X_{\sigma\sigma} \rangle_{S^n},$$

where the last expression is the inner product of $X_{\sigma\sigma}$ with the gradient of r on S^n . Now we consider two Euclidean orthonormal vector fields τ and ν along the curve as follows: $\tau = X_\sigma$ and ν such that $X_{\sigma\sigma} = k_E \nu$ (assuming $k_E \neq 0$). Then it follows from (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) that

$$\frac{d^2X}{ds^2} = rk_E(r\nu + |\text{grad } r|_{S^n} \beta \tau),$$

where $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ is the cosine of the angle between ν and $\text{grad } r$. Therefore, we have

$$\frac{d^2X}{ds^2} = rk_E \sqrt{r^2 + |\text{grad } r|_{S^n}^2 \beta^2} (\cos \gamma \nu + \sin \gamma \tau),$$

where

$$\cos \gamma = \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 + |\text{grad } r|_{S^n}^2 \beta^2}}, \quad \sin \gamma = \frac{|\text{grad } r|_{S^n} \beta}{\sqrt{r^2 + |\text{grad } r|_{S^n}^2 \beta^2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$(4.6) \quad k_M = F\left(\frac{d^2X}{ds^2}\right) = rk_E \sqrt{r^2 + |\text{grad } r|_{S^n}^2 \beta^2} F(e),$$

where

$$e = \cos \gamma \nu + \sin \gamma \tau = \frac{\frac{d^2X}{ds^2}}{\left|\frac{d^2X}{ds^2}\right|_E}$$

is a unit Euclidean vector field.

Since we are assuming that the indicatrix F_0 is a central symmetric hypersurface, it follows from (2.2) that

$$(4.7) \quad k_2 \geq F(e) = \frac{1}{r(e)} \geq k_1.$$

Moreover, considering the polar coordinates in E^{n+1} , with the origin at the center of F_0 , it follows, by a straightforward computation, that the Euclidean angle α between the position vector X_s and the outward normal to F_0 is given by

$$\cos \alpha = \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 + |\text{grad } r|_{S^n}^2}}.$$

Hence, from (3.13), we have

$$\sqrt{r^2 + |\text{grad } r|_{S^n}^2} \leq \frac{k_2}{k_1} r(X_\sigma).$$

Therefore, since $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, we have

$$(4.8) \quad \sqrt{r^2 + |\text{grad } r|_{S^n}^2} \beta^2 \leq \sqrt{r^2 + |\text{grad } r|_{S^n}^2} \leq \frac{k_2}{k_1} r.$$

Combining (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) we get

$$(4.9) \quad k_E r \left(\frac{k_1}{k_2} \right) \leq k_M \leq k_E r \left(\frac{k_2}{k_1} \right) F(e) r(X_\sigma) \leq k_E r \left(\frac{k_2}{k_1} \right)^2.$$

Using (4.2) and (4.9) we get (2.4), and this concludes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

Proof of Theorem 2. Let L be a closed curve in a Minkowski space. We consider an orthogonal system of coordinates x^1, \dots, x^{n+1} in the auxiliary Euclidean space E^{n+1} and the curve L parametrized by Minkowski arc length $X(s) = (x^1(s), \dots, x^{n+1}(s))$. Then $F(X_s) = 1$ and $y(s) = X_s$ is a closed curve on the indicatrix F_0 . It follows from (3.8) and integration by parts that its length is given by

$$(4.10) \quad \ell_M(L) = \int_L ds = \int_L F_\alpha(X_s) \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds} ds = - \int_L F_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2 x^\beta}{d^2 s} x^\alpha ds.$$

From item (3) of the definition of the Minkowski norm we have $F_{\alpha\beta} a^\alpha a^\beta \geq 0$, for any vector $a = (a^1, \dots, a^{n+1})$. Hence, it follows from the Cauchy inequality

that

$$(4.11) \quad - \int F_{\alpha\beta} \frac{d^2 x^\beta}{ds^2} x^\alpha ds \leq \int \sqrt{F_{\alpha\beta} x^\alpha x^\beta} \sqrt{F_{\alpha\beta} \frac{d^2 x^\alpha}{ds^2} \frac{dx^\beta}{\partial s^2}} ds.$$

In what follows, we will compute the two terms on the right-hand side of (4.11) separately.

Now we fix a point of the indicatrix $p = X_{s_0}$ and choose a special system of orthogonal coordinates in the auxiliary Euclidean space in the following way. We consider the center O of the indicatrix F_0 to be the origin of the system of coordinates, the coordinates x^1, \dots, x^n in a hyperplane parallel to the tangent space of the indicatrix F_0 at the point p and the coordinate axis x^{n+1} to be parallel to the Euclidean normal to F_0 at p . In the neighborhood of the point p , the indicatrix F_0 can be described by

$$x^{n+1} = f(x^1, \dots, x^n).$$

It follows from the choice of the axis x^{n+1} , from (3.6) and (3.5), that

$$(4.12) \quad f_i(p) = 0, \quad F_i(p) = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n, \quad F_{n+1}(p) \frac{dx^{n+1}}{ds}(s_0) = 1.$$

From (4.12), we get $F_{n+1}(p) \neq 0$ and (3.10) reduces to

$$(4.13) \quad \frac{d^2 x^{n+1}}{ds^2}(s_0) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$(4.14) \quad F_{\alpha\beta} \frac{d^2 x^\alpha}{ds^2} \frac{d^2 x^\beta}{ds^2}(s_0) = F_{ij} \frac{d^2 x^i}{ds^2} \frac{d^2 x^j}{ds^2}(s_0).$$

Moreover, at the point p , equation (3.7) reduces to

$$(4.15) \quad F_{ij}(p) + F_{n+1}(p) f_{ij}(p) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ij} \frac{d^2 x^i}{ds^2} \frac{d^2 x^j}{ds^2}(s_0) &= - F_{n+1}(p) f_{ij}(p) \frac{d^2 x^i}{ds^2} \frac{d^2 x^j}{ds^2}(s_0) \\ &\leq k_2 \left| \frac{d^2 X}{ds^2}(s_0) \right|_E^2 F_{n+1}(p) \\ &= k_2 \left\| \frac{d^2 X}{ds^2}(s_0) \right\|_M^2 r^2(\tau_1) F_{n+1}(p) \\ &= k_2 k_M^2(s_0) r^2(\tau_1) F_{n+1}(p), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_1 = e(s_0)$. Since the point p was arbitrary, we finally obtain

$$(4.16) \quad \sqrt{F_{\alpha\beta} \frac{d^2x^\alpha}{ds^2} \frac{d^2x^\beta}{ds^2}} \leq \sqrt{k_2} k_M r(e) \sqrt{F_{n+1}}.$$

We will now estimate $\sqrt{F_{\alpha\beta}x^\alpha x^\beta}$. We consider another orthogonal system of coordinates for the auxiliary Euclidean space E^{n+1} as follows. We take the origin to be the center of the indicatrix F_0 and we assume the indicatrix is not a sphere. We fix a generic point $p = X_s(s_0)$ such that the vector $X_s(s_0)$ and the normal of F_0 are not parallel and hence generate a plane Π . We fix the axis \tilde{x}^{n+1} to be in the direction of $X_s(s_0)$ and the axis \tilde{x}^1 to be orthogonal to $X_s(s_0)$ in the plane Π . Now we choose the axes $\tilde{x}^2, \dots, \tilde{x}^n$ in a hyperplane parallel to the tangent space of F_0 at the point and orthogonal to the plane Π .

In a neighborhood of the point p , the indicatrix F_0 is a graph of a function

$$\tilde{x}^{n+1} = \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}^1, \dots, \tilde{x}^n), \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{x}^1 = \cos \alpha x^1 \mp \sin \alpha x^{n+1} \\ \tilde{x}^{n+1} = \pm \sin \alpha x^1 + \cos \alpha x^{n+1}, \\ \tilde{x}^i = x^i, \quad i = 2, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

α being the angle between $\frac{\partial X}{\partial s}(s_0)$ and the unit normal to F_0 in the auxiliary euclidean space E^{n+1} .

It follows from the definition of the axis that

$$(4.17) \quad \tilde{f}_i(p) = 0, \quad i = 2, \dots, n, \quad \tilde{f}_1(p) = \pm \tan \alpha \neq 0.$$

It follows from (3.6) that

$$(4.18) \quad \tilde{F}_i(p) = 0, \quad \forall i = 2, \dots, n, \quad \tilde{F}_1(p) = -\tilde{F}_{n+1}(p) \tan \alpha.$$

From (3.3), along the curve we have $F_{\alpha\beta}(X_s)dx^\alpha/ds = 0$. But in the coordinates \tilde{x} , at the point p , we have \tilde{x}^{n+1} in the direction of $X_s(s_0)$. Hence,

$$\tilde{F}_{n+1\alpha}(p) = 0, \quad \forall \alpha,$$

and using (3.7) we have

$$(4.19) \quad \tilde{F}_{ij}(p) + \tilde{f}_{ij}\tilde{F}_{n+1}(p) = 0 \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta}\tilde{x}^\alpha\tilde{x}^\beta(p) &= \tilde{F}_{ij}\tilde{x}^i\tilde{x}^j(p) \\
 &\leq -\tilde{F}_{n+1}f_{ij}\tilde{x}^i\tilde{x}^j(p) \\
 (4.20) \quad &\leq \tilde{F}_{n+1}(p)\frac{k_2\|\tilde{x}(p)\|_E^2}{\cos^3\alpha} \\
 &= \tilde{F}_{n+1}(p)\frac{k_2\|x(p)\|_M^2r_0^2}{\cos^3\alpha},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $r_0 = r(\tilde{x}/|\tilde{x}|_E(p))$.

Now we consider the curve L in the Minkowski space in the system of coordinates $\tilde{x}^1, \dots, \tilde{x}^{n+1}$. Since at the point $p = X_s(s_0)$ the coordinate \tilde{x}^{n+1} is in the direction of X_s , we have

$$\frac{d\tilde{x}^i}{ds}(s_0) = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \frac{d\tilde{x}^{n+1}}{ds}(s_0) = r_1 = r(X_\sigma(s_0)).$$

Therefore, we conclude from (3.8) that

$$(4.21) \quad \tilde{F}_{n+1}(p) = \frac{1}{r_1}.$$

Moreover, it follows from the relation of the coordinates \tilde{x} and the coordinates x that $\frac{d\tilde{x}^{n+1}}{ds}(s_0) = r_1 \cos \alpha$. Therefore, from (4.12) we get

$$(4.22) \quad F_{n+1}(p) = \frac{1}{r_1 \cos \alpha}.$$

Substituting (4.22) and (4.21) into (4.16) and (4.20), respectively, we get

$$(4.23) \quad \sqrt{F_{\alpha\beta}\frac{d^2x^\alpha}{ds^2}\frac{d^2x^\beta}{ds^2}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{k_2}{r_1 \cos \alpha}} r_0 k_M,$$

$$(4.24) \quad \sqrt{\tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta}\tilde{x}^\alpha\tilde{x}^\beta} = \sqrt{F_{\alpha\beta}x^\alpha x^\beta} \leq \sqrt{\frac{k_2}{r_1 \cos^3 \alpha}} r_0 \|x\|_M \leq \sqrt{\frac{k_2}{r_1 \cos^3 \alpha}} r_0 R,$$

where we have used the assumption of the theorem $\|x\|_M \leq R$. Therefore, from (4.23) and (4.24), we conclude that at the generic point p we have

$$(4.25) \quad \sqrt{F_{\alpha\beta}x^\alpha x^\beta} \sqrt{F_{\alpha\beta}\frac{d^2x^\alpha}{ds^2}\frac{d^2x^\beta}{ds^2}} = \frac{k_2 r_0^2}{r_1 \cos^2 \alpha} R k_M \leq \left(\frac{k_2}{k_1}\right)^4 R k_M,$$

where, in the last inequality, from (3.13) of Lemma 1 we used that

$$\frac{1}{\cos \alpha} \leq \frac{k_2}{k_1},$$

and from (3.11)

$$r_0 \leq \frac{1}{k_1}, \quad r_1 \geq \frac{1}{k_2}.$$

It follows from (4.10), (4.11) and (4.25) that

$$\ell_M(L) \leq \left(\frac{k_2}{k_1}\right)^4 R \int_L k_M ds = \left(\frac{k_2}{k_1}\right)^4 R \omega_M(L),$$

which concludes the proof. \blacksquare

We finally observe that it is possible to generalize these results to the case of a nonsymmetric indicatrix of the Minkowski space.

References

- [1] S. B. Alexander and R. L. Bishop, *The Fary–Milnor Theorem in Hadamard manifolds*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **126** (1998), 3427–3436.
- [2] A. A. Borisenko, *Convex sets in Hadamard manifolds*, Differential Geometry and its Applications **17** (2002), 111–121.
- [3] A. A. Borisenko and V. Miquel, *Total curvatures of convex hypersurfaces in hyperbolic space*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics **43** (1999), 61–78.
- [4] A. A. Borisenko, E. Gallego and A. Reventós, *Relation between area and volume for λ -convex sets in Hadamard manifolds*, Differential Geometry and its Applications **14** (2001), 267–280.
- [5] F. Brickell and C. C. Hsiung, *The absolute total curvature of closed curves in Riemannian manifolds*, Journal of Differential Geometry **9** (1974), 177–193.
- [6] H. Busemann, *The foundations of Minkowskian geometry*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici **24** (1950), 156–187.
- [7] E. Cartan, *Les espaces de Finsler*, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles Vol. 79, Herman, Paris, 1934.
- [8] M. A. Chandehari, *Geometric inequalities in the Minkowski plane*, PhD thesis, University of California, 1983.
- [9] I. Fáry, *Sur la courbure totale d'une courbe gauche faisant un noeud*, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France **77** (1949), 128–138.
- [10] P. Finsler, *Über Kurven und Flächen in allgemeinen Raumen*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1951.
- [11] J. W. Milnor, *On the total curvature of knots*, Annals of Mathematics **52** (1950), 248–257.
- [12] H. Rund, *Finsler Spaces Considered as Locally Minkowskian Spaces*, Thesis, Cape Town, 1950.
- [13] H. Rund, *The Differential Geometry of Finsler Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1959.
- [14] C. Schmitz, *The theorem of Fary and Milnor for Hadamard manifolds*, Geometriae Dedicata **71** (1998), 83–90.
- [15] Z. Shen, *Lectures on Finsler Geometry*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2001.