Measuring sedimentation in tidal marshes: a review on methods and their applicability in biogeomorphological studies

S. Nolte \cdot E. C. Koppenaal \cdot P. Esselink \cdot K. S. Dijkema \cdot M. Schuerch · A. V. De Groot · J. P. Bakker · S. Temmerman

Received: 24 October 2012 /Revised: 21 December 2012 /Accepted: 18 January 2013 / Published online: 30 January 2013 \circledcirc Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2013

Abstract It is increasingly recognised that interactions between geomorphological and biotic processes control the functioning of many ecosystem types as described e.g. by the ecological theory of ecosystem engineering. Consequently, the need for specific bio-geomorphological research methods is growing recently. Much research on biogeomorphological processes is done in coastal marshes. These areas provide clear examples of ecosystem engineering as well as other bio-geomorphological processes: Marsh vegetation slows down tidal currents and hence stimulates the process of sedimentation, while vice versa, the sedimentation controls ecological processes like vegetation succession. This review is meant to give insights in the various

S. Nolte $(\boxtimes) \cdot$ E. C. Koppenaal $(\boxtimes) \cdot$ J. P. Bakker Community and Conservation Ecology Group, University of Groningen, P.O. BOX 11103, 9700 CC Groningen, The Netherlands e-mail: s.nolte@rug.nl e-mail: e.c.koppenaal@rug.nl

P. Esselink Puccimar, Boermarke 35, 9481 HD Vries, The Netherlands

K. S. Dijkema : A. V. De Groot IMARES, Wageningen UR, PO Box 167, 1790 AD Den Burg, Texel, The Netherlands

M. Schuerch

The Future Ocean Excellence Cluster, Institute of Geography, University of Kiel, Ludewig-Meyn-Strasse 14, 24098 Kiel, Germany

S. Temmerman

Ecosystem Management Research Group, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium

available methods to measure sedimentation, with special attention to their suitability to quantify bio-geomorphological interactions. The choice of method used to measure sedimentation is important to obtain the correct parameters to understand the biogeomorphology of tidal salt marshes. This review, therefore, aims to be a tool for decision making regarding the processes to be measured and the methods to be used. We, subdivide the methods into those measuring suspended sediment concentration (A), sediment deposition (B), accretion (C) and surface-elevation change (D). With this review, we would like to further encourage interdisciplinary studies in the fields of ecology and geomorphology.

Keywords Accretion . Elevation change . Estuary . Salt marsh . Sediment deposition . Suspended sediment

Introduction

The ability of plants or animals to directly or indirectly alter their own physical environment was already recognized by Darwin in the 19th century in his studies on earthworms (see Butler and Sawyer [2012\)](#page-19-0). More recently, this phenomenon has been extensively described within the context of the ecological theory of ecosystem engineering (Jones et al. [1994](#page-21-0)), highlighting that certain organisms can modify their physical environment, and that these habitat modifications can have a feedback effect on the performance of the organism. For example, sea grasses or salt marsh vegetation directly trap fine sediments by slowing down water currents (e.g. Bouma et al. [2005](#page-19-0)), while beavers indirectly influence their environment by building dams (e.g. Wright et al. [2002](#page-24-0)). In both these examples of ecosystem engineering, the habitat modification has a positive feedback effect on the organism. More recently, geomorphologists also highlighted

the role of mutual feedbacks between organisms and their geomorphological environment in the evolution of landforms and landscapes, and this has increased the number of recent studies in the field of biogeomorphology (Darby [2010;](#page-20-0) Murray et al. [2008](#page-22-0); Reinhardt et al. [2010](#page-22-0); Corenblit et al. [2011](#page-19-0)) or zoogeomorphology (Viles et al. [2008](#page-23-0); Statzner [2012\)](#page-23-0). However, there are still many questions unanswered about the connection between the physical environment, and the ecology and evolution of species as summarised by Corenblit et al. [\(2011](#page-19-0)). Additionally, the idea to include ecosystem engineers in practical solutions for ecosystem restoration and the provision of ecosystem services has been proposed (Byers et al. [2006](#page-19-0)). However, objective monitoring and assessment of such solutions are still limited and further studies are needed on the application of ecosystem engineers (Borsje et al. [2011](#page-19-0)).

Studies connecting geomorphological and ecological processes are often performed by researchers with either an ecological or a geomorphological background. However, this interdisciplinary field requires geomorphologists to understand ecology (Corenblit et al. [2011](#page-19-0)) and vice versa. Ecologists need to gain knowledge of geomorphology to measure, for example, the effect of ecological processes on sedimentation in tidal areas. However, sharing of knowledge on methods and techniques rarely occurs between the disciplines (Reinhardt et al. [2010](#page-22-0)). We aim to amend this state of affairs with this review.

Tidal marshes

The main focus of this review lies on coastal salt marshes, which can be found throughout the world along coasts that experience low wave action and sufficient fine sediment supply (Bakker et al. [1993\)](#page-19-0). Sedimentation processes in intertidal areas and their link to ecological processes have lately been the object of many studies (e.g. Borsje et al. [2011;](#page-19-0) Eklöf et al. [2011](#page-20-0); Mermillod-Blondin [2011\)](#page-22-0). One important question in coastal ecology is how coastal marshes will cope with climatic changes, such as enhanced sea-level rise (SLR) (e.g. Temmerman et al. [2004a;](#page-23-0) Kirwan et al. [2010](#page-21-0)). A key mechanism that governs the ability of salt-marsh ecosystems to maintain elevation with rising sea level (e.g. Kirwan et al. [2010\)](#page-21-0) and their long-term evolution (e.g. Olff et al. [1997](#page-22-0)) is sedimentation. Sedimentation in marshes is enhanced by the presence of vegetation (Bakker et al. [1993](#page-19-0)), which may slow down currents (Bouma et al. [2005;](#page-19-0) Möller [2006](#page-22-0); Temmerman et al. [2012](#page-23-0)) (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)), diminish the impact of storm surges (Costanza et al. [2008](#page-20-0); Wamsley et al. [2010](#page-24-0)), and counteract coastal erosion (Gedan et al. [2011](#page-21-0)). Vegetation succession is mainly driven by the elevation of the marsh and nutrient input through sediment (Olff et al. [1997;](#page-22-0) Reed [1989\)](#page-22-0), which increases with increased sedimentation, leading to a positive feedback loop.

This vegetation-sedimentation feedback enabled saltmarshes in the past to cope with SLR.

Vegetation-sedimentation feedbacks, however, are only one of many potentially important interactions. The main external controls of sedimentation are sea level (hydroperiod) and sediment supply, which is strongly related to the suspended sediment concentration (SSC) (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0), but the internal interactions between physical and biological features of coastal zones are also of great importance. Surface roots and algae may positively influence sedimentation (McKee et al. [2007\)](#page-22-0). Additionally, the accumulation of biomass can play an influential role in accretion processes (Culberson et al. [2004\)](#page-20-0). On the other hand, bioturbation is often found to have a negative effect on salt marshes by causing erosion (e.g. Davidson and de Rivera [2010\)](#page-20-0). However, the possible positive effects such as sediment mixing (Hippensteel [2005](#page-21-0)) and soil aeration (Daleo et al. [2007](#page-20-0)) should not be overlooked. There is even evidence that burrowing crabs caused both erosion and accumulation of sediments in their burrows within the same marsh, but in different zones (Escapa et al. [2008](#page-20-0)). Finally, human impacts such as ditching or management practices (e.g. livestock grazing) can alter processes related to hydrodynamics, vegetation composition, sedimentation and erosion. Wide regions of the European Wadden Sea coast, for example, have been traditionally grazed by livestock since 600 BC (Esselink et al. [2000](#page-20-0)), but the impact of these animals on sedimentation processes and compaction is rarely studied. All these different geomorphological and ecological dynamics have been separately investigated in a large number of studies using a variety of methods to measure different processes. Integrating the knowledge gained from these studies will help us understand the complex interplay between biotic and abiotic factors and sedimentation, which is important for protecting these ecosystems (Corenblit et al. [2011](#page-19-0)).

Aim of the review

The increasing research focus on ecosystem engineering and bio-geomorphological interactions in tidal marshes has motivated the writing of this overview. It is meant to enable researchers to choose an appropriate method for investigating the interactions between sedimentation and ecological processes. This review gives an inventory of the available methods to quantify sedimentation, vertical accretion and erosion processes in coastal marshes for researchers from different fields. Table [1](#page-3-0) lists the characteristics of these methods, including important references. In order to further improve the quality of such measurements, we discuss the possibilities offered by and limitations of these various methods and suggest possible combinations of different methods. All methods have specific advantages as well as disadvantages. Often, such disadvantages result from the method influencing or disturbing some part of the

Fig. 1 Factors affecting sedimentation processes in coastal marshes after Allen [\(2000](#page-19-0)) and Cahoon et al. [\(2002b\)](#page-19-0). The letters A, B, C, and D indicate the subsections of this review

sedimentation processes, or interfering with exactly the ecological process that is of interest in bio-geomorphological studies. Thus, depending on the aim of a study, a method should be chosen that measures the correct aspect of sedimentation, and which interferes least with the processes of interest. If, for example, the effect of vegetation structure on accretion rate is under investigation, a method that leaves the vegetation intact should be chosen.

Definition of terms

In this review, a wide variety of methods is analyzed. These methods are divided into four categories according to the process they are addressing (Fig. 1): the measurement of suspended sediment concentration (A in Fig. 1), sediment deposition (B), vertical accretion (C) and surface-elevation change (D). Below, the meaning of these four processes is first defined.

The terminology used in this paper is adapted from Cahoon et al. ([1995\)](#page-19-0) and Van Wijnen and Bakker ([2001\)](#page-23-0) but we supplement it with the term, suspended sediment concentration (SSC) (A in Fig. 1). SSC (in g/l) is used to describe the dry mass of sediment that is suspended in a defined volume of water. This refers to water running in creeks or flooding the marsh surface. The process of sediment particles settling out of the water column onto the marsh surface is called sediment deposition (B in Fig. 1) or simply sedimentation (in g/m^2 , i.e. dry mass of sediment deposited/surface area of the marsh surface). In contrast to sediment deposition, we define accretion (C in Fig. 1) as the vertical increase in surface elevation (in mm) relative to a specific layer of the soil. This vertical accretion combines deposition (B) and erosion of sediments, as well as the accumulation of dead biomass, such as roots. If the surface of the marsh is measured with respect to a fixed benchmark, we refer to surface-elevation change (D in Fig. 1) (in mm). In the literature, rates of accretion (C) and surface-elevation change (D) are often expressed per time unit (mostly per year), and referred to as accretion rate (mm/year) and rate of surface-elevation change (mm/year). The distinction between accretion and surface-elevation change is not always clear in literature. However, in this paper, we use the term surface-elevation change (D) only if the measurements are compared to a fixed bench mark of known elevation with respect to an ordnance datum. Both accretion and surfaceelevation change include a certain amount of subsidence, which is sometimes also called settlement (Kaye and Barghoorn [1964](#page-21-0)) or autocompaction (Cahoon et al. [1995;](#page-19-0) Bartholdy et

Table 1 The methods with references to the section and paragraph in this review, the unit in which they measure sedimentation, an important reference, time resolution, pre- or post event, level of

complicated than SEB

Set-up bit more

Disadvantages

Disturbance at installation

Disturbance at installation complicated than SEB

Difficult to cover large areas

Difficult to cover

 $\begin{array}{c}\n\hline\n\text{if } \mathbf{g} \\
\hline\n\text{if } \mathbf{g} \\
\hline\n\end{array}$

vegetation cover

Need to correct for vegetation cover get accurate map

get accurate map

scans to

Many s

al. [2010](#page-19-0)). Subsidence can be classified into shallow and deep subsidence (Cahoon et al. [1995\)](#page-19-0). Shallow subsidence is the decrease of the marsh surface elevation due to sediment compaction in the top layer of the soil, e.g. by shrinkage of silt, clay or peat deposits due to drying, and decomposition of subsurface organic material. Deep subsidence also includes every form of subsidence that the instrument itself is subject to, such as tectonic and isostatic processes. Therefore, methods that measure vertical accretion (C) generally include the effects of only shallow subsidence, whereas measurements of surfaceelevation change (D) include both shallow and deep subsidence in this review. In the case of vertical accretion measurements, the depth of the boundary between shallow and deep subsidence depends on the specific methodology. Thus, the precise definition of the distinction between shallow and deep subsidence varies between methods.

We divide the methods summarised in this review according to the process they are addressing, following the highlighted sections in Fig. [1](#page-2-0). The distinction between methods which measure accretion (C) and surface-elevation change (D) is sometimes difficult. In this review, we thus add a chapter in which we summarise a hybrid method which can measure both processes ("[Hybrid methods \(C/D\)](#page-14-0) "). The methods in each chapter are then further sorted by the timescale they encompass (temporal resolution) (Fig. 2, Table [1\)](#page-3-0).

The accuracy of a method is defined here as how close the measured value is to the real value, in contrast to the precision. The precision is defined as how similar results are to each other if the same measurement is performed repeatedly, preferably at the same time and position. Being able to identify the exact same location for repeated measures of accretion, for example, plays an important role in the

Fig. 2 Overview of methods described in this review divided according to the measured processes and their timescales

et al. [2004](#page-22-0)

method's precision. Both the accuracy and precision of each method is given in Table [1.](#page-3-0) A relative estimation of both accuracy and precision was made if no value was available from the literature.

Time

In this review, the term, temporal resolution, is defined as the possible time period covered by the method, which ranges between shortest and longest possible application time (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0). The user should be aware that seasonal effects can influence the measured outcome when choosing a method and measuring period. For example, a higher flooding frequency during the winter season can affect the sediment deposition rate (Temmerman et al. [2005\)](#page-23-0) or the seasonal process of shrinking and swelling of sediments can affect the measured accretion rate (Cahoon et al. [1995\)](#page-19-0). Furthermore, it is important to make the distinction between preand post-event methods to measure accretion (C). Pre-event methods, on the one hand, need to be installed in the field before the event of interest takes place and are thus often only useful for relatively short-term investigations. Postevent methods, on the other hand, make use of already existing marker horizons (such as $137Cs$) and thus enable researchers to make assessments over longer time periods.

Space

The spatial resolution is the surface area covered by the method. In most cases, the spatial resolution is rather low and only includes the direct vicinity of the measurement (e.g. the surface of a sediment trap $[cm^2]$). Methods which combine low cost and low labour usually make it possible to perform a high number of measurements at different locations, thus enabling measurement of spatial variations in sedimentation processes. Only a very few methods, such as remote sensing, are able to cover larger areas per se.

Physical disturbances

Furthermore, methods should be chosen with respect to their resilience to disturbance. For example, poles and other structures are known to be disturbed by drift-ice. Other well-known causes for disturbance are the grazing activity of wild or domestic animals, such as grazing geese, sheep or cattle (Dijkema et al. [2005](#page-20-0)), or bioturbation by a wide number of animals such as crabs (Davidson and de Rivera [2010\)](#page-20-0), small Crustaceans (Schrama et al. [2012](#page-23-0)), lugworms (van Wesenbeeck et al. [2007\)](#page-23-0), water voles (Kuijper and Bakker [2012\)](#page-21-0), and geese grubbing for belowground parts of the vegetation (Esselink et al. [1997](#page-20-0)). With respect to grazing, the exclusion of animals (e.g. by fences) is an option in only some cases to protect equipment from

damage. Grazing animals are an important part of the ecosystem and if they are excluded, their effect on sedimentation (e.g. through grazing, causing reduction of vegetation structure and perhaps less sediment trapping) is not measured. This means that by locally excluding animals, the accretion rate may be altered and thus measurements inside exclosures would not represent the situation outside exclosures (Esselink and Chang [2010](#page-20-0)). With respect to bioturbation, both natural and artificial marker horizons can be destroyed or mixed by this process. Bioturbation was found to have a high impact in North-American marshes (e.g. Talley et al. [2001](#page-23-0)), but negligible effects in some, but not all (Wolters et al. [2005](#page-24-0)), European marshes (De Groot et al. [2011a](#page-20-0), [b\)](#page-20-0).

Minerogenic vs. organogenic marshes

Finally, we find some differences in the applicability of methods to minerogenic vs. organogenic marshes. Minerogenic marshes are characterized by a dominance of mineral sediment input that is supplied from suspension in the inundating water. A local organic component of sediment comes from the vegetation on the marsh platform but to a lesser extent. When sea level is stable or falls, in response to century- or millennium-scale fluctuations in sea level, the organic sediment component becomes more dominant and minerogenic marshes may transform into organogenic ones (Allen [2000\)](#page-19-0). At present, very few organogenic peat marshes occur in Europe, except in the Baltic Sea region (Dijkema [1987,](#page-20-0) [1990](#page-20-0)). In contrast, the East coast of North America features large areas of coastal peat marshes (Niering [1997\)](#page-22-0). The rate of subsidence is normally higher in organogenic marshes as organogenic sediments are compacted or decomposed to a greater extent than minerogenic sediments. This review focuses on methods suitable for minerogenic marshes, although several may also be applied to organogenic marshes.

Suspended sediment concentration (A)

Introduction

The SSC in water inundating coastal marshes determines the amount of sediment that can potentially be deposited on a marsh. The measurement of SSC alone in a marsh system, however, does not hold any information about the actual deposition rate, as the suspended sediment can be part of either erosion or deposition processes. The SSC often varies both at large scales (e.g. between marshes) and within a single marsh (Reed [1989;](#page-22-0) Allen and Duffy [1998;](#page-19-0) Allen [2000](#page-19-0); Temmerman et al. [2003a\)](#page-23-0). Measured in g/l or kg/m³, low SSC values are an indication that the marsh is not likely

to accrete over the long term through mineral sediment deposition, although organogenic accretion may be dominant. Including grain size analysis may yield more information on settling velocity and, consequently, sediment deposition rate.

There are two major reasons to measure SSC mentioned in literature. Firstly, it is measured to determine sediment fluxes and budget (Dankers et al. [1984;](#page-20-0) Asjes and Dankers [1994\)](#page-19-0). Secondly, it is used as a parameter to improve sedimentation models (Temmerman et al. [2003a,](#page-23-0) [2005;](#page-23-0) French [2006;](#page-20-0) Kirwan et al. [2010](#page-21-0)). When used to calculate sediment fluxes and budgets, SSC measurements are taken at the beginning and end of tidal cycles, often at the mouth of a creek (e.g. Dankers et al. [1984;](#page-20-0) Asjes and Dankers [1994](#page-19-0); Van Duin et al. [1997;](#page-23-0) Temmerman et al. [2003a](#page-23-0)). Temporal variations in SSC may be associated with tidal range, inundation height, turbulence and (seasonal) weather conditions, such as storm events (e.g. Osborne and Greenwood [1992](#page-22-0); Asjes and Dankers [1994;](#page-19-0) Allen [2000](#page-19-0); Voulgaris and Meyers [2004](#page-23-0); Temmerman et al. [2004a\)](#page-23-0). The difference in SSC between the in- and outgoing water is assumed to have been deposited on the marsh surface (Dankers et al. [1984;](#page-20-0) Reed [1988;](#page-22-0) Brown et al. [2009\)](#page-19-0). However, measuring at the creek does not give any information about sediment input via inundation from the marsh edge (Leonard and Luther [1995;](#page-21-0) Van Proosdij et al. [2006](#page-23-0)), or the spatial distribution of the sediment over the marsh. When SSC is used as a parameter in models, it can be measured at one location (as an indication of available sediment), multiple locations (for use in spatial models; Temmerman et al. [2005\)](#page-23-0), or at different heights in the water column (to monitor decrease of SSC during tides; Schuerch et al. [2012a\)](#page-23-0).

Taking SSC into account can enhance our knowledge about the ability of ecosystem engineers to affect their environment and vice versa. For example, increased SSC levels in the Wadden Sea are thought to have contributed to the disappearance of sea grasses, thus inhibiting their re-establishment (Van Der Heide et al. [2007](#page-23-0); Eriksson et al. [2010\)](#page-20-0).

Bottle method and automated sampling

SSC can be measured by taking water samples with bottles manually (Wattayakorn et al. [1990\)](#page-24-0), with semi-automated samplers such as siphon samplers (Gregory and Walling [1971;](#page-21-0) Grazcyk et al. [2000\)](#page-21-0) (Fig. [3a\)](#page-7-0), or with fully automated samplers (Temmerman et al. [2003b\)](#page-23-0). The collected water samples are usually filtered, dried and weighed (Dankers et al. [1984](#page-20-0); Temmerman et al. [2003a\)](#page-23-0), which requires substantial additional work in the laboratory. These methods are widely used on salt marshes (Gregory and Walling [1971\)](#page-21-0).

Manual sampling with bottles (i.e. scooping up sea water) is generally associated with a higher uncertainty, because of the possibility of locally disturbing the sediment layer during the sampling process. This can be slightly improved by taking larger sampling volumes.

Using a siphon sampler is a cheap method for measuring SSC. A semi-automated method, it requires emptying of the bottles after every inundation event. Siphon samplers allow for the measurement of SSC at various depths and times during the flooding phase of inundation (Fig. [3a](#page-7-0)) (Reed et al. [1999](#page-22-0); Grazcyk et al. [2000](#page-21-0)). This provides valuable information for model parameter estimation because SSC is not homogeneously distributed throughout the creek (French et al. [1995](#page-20-0); Grazcyk et al. [2000](#page-21-0); Temmerman et al. [2005](#page-23-0)). However, a siphon sampler cannot collect water samples during ebb tide and the sampler itself may substantially disturb the local hydro- and morphodynamics.

Automated samplers are able to take several samples during a tide. They are more expensive to implement than the other two methods, but they do not need to be emptied every tide (Temmerman et al. [2005](#page-23-0)), and it is possible to sample during ebb tide. Like the other methods, it is likely to disturb the local hydrodynamics.

Optical back scatter/sediment accumulation sensor

Optical Back Scatter (OBS) sensors and/or turbidity sensors also measure SSC (French [2000;](#page-20-0) Ridd et al. [2001;](#page-22-0) Thomas and Ridd [2004;](#page-23-0) Downing [2006\)](#page-20-0). They were initially developed for near-shore use (Downing et al. [1981](#page-20-0)), but are now also used in tidal creeks and on marsh surfaces (Leonard and Luther [1995;](#page-21-0) Leonard et al. [1995](#page-21-0); Davidson-Arnott et al. [2002](#page-20-0)). Usually, OBS-sensors are used to continuously measure SSC for up to several months. These continuous measurements allow for detailed information to be collected on SSC changes during tide inundations at one specific location. For information about the instrument mechanisms see [www.campbellsci.ca/Download/LitNote_obsbasics.pdf.](http://www.campbellsci.ca/Download/LitNote_obsbasics.pdf)

Due to site-specific differences regarding particle properties (such as flocculation and reflectivity), the accuracy of the measurements depends on the proper calibration of the sensor signal against the SSC (Downing and Beach [1989;](#page-20-0) Kineke and Sternberg [1992](#page-21-0); Bunt et al. [1999](#page-19-0)). When OBS is used on the marsh surface, vegetation has to be removed in order to not disturb the optical signal of the instrument.

The OBS-sensor is the precursor of the Sediment Accumulation Sensor (SAS) (Thomas et al. [2002;](#page-23-0) Thomas and Ridd [2004\)](#page-23-0), which works in the same way. The initial equipment costs for such OBS or SAS sensors are high. However, these sensors are able to run autonomously for several months, depending on data logger frequency and battery life.

LISST and ADCP

Other automated methods for SSC measurements in fluvial environments include Laser In Situ Scattering and Fig. 3 Schematic illustration of equipment for a bottles, b open cylinder, c flat surface trap, d marker horizon, e sedimentation plate, f 'erosion' pin, g SET, and h SEB

Transmissometry (LISST) (Agrawal and Pottsmith [1994,](#page-18-0) [2000;](#page-19-0) Fugate and Friedrichs [2002\)](#page-21-0), Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) (Kaneko and Koterayama [1988](#page-21-0); Kaneko et al. [1990](#page-21-0)) and the Acoustic Doppler Velocimeter (ADV). In contrast to OBS-sensors, for which calibration is often difficult, LISST was developed for off-shore studies to provide more accurate measurements (Fugate and Friedrichs [2002](#page-21-0)). Whereas OBS and LISST use light reflection on suspended sediment particles, ADCP and ADV are based on sound reflection (Kaneko and Koterayama [1988;](#page-21-0) Fugate and Friedrichs [2002\)](#page-21-0). All of these methods need to be calibrated against SSC measured from water samples (Gartner and Cheng [2001](#page-21-0)). Although these methods have not yet been applied to tidal marshes, they are feasible candidates for use in tidal marsh research. Fugate and Friedrichs [\(2002](#page-21-0)) give a detailed review on OBS, LISST and ADV.

Sediment deposition (B)

Introduction

In contrast to SSC, which only measures potential sedimentation, the methods reviewed in this section measure actual sedimentation of particles in g/m^2 or g/m^2 /time. The downward flux of sediment is not only important for studying sedimentation processes on marshes and their reaction to SLR, but can also help to understand the dispersal and

deposition of other materials in tidal marshes, such as seeds (Wolters et al. [2004](#page-24-0)), nutrients (Zhang and Mitsch [2007](#page-24-0)), silica (Struyf et al. [2007](#page-23-0)), and organic matter (Costantini et al. [2009](#page-20-0)). A wide variety of devices, mostly referred to as sediment traps, are used. These traps range from simple plywood boards or tiles (Steiger et al. [2003\)](#page-23-0) to automated sampling devices (Zuniga et al. [2008](#page-24-0)). The trapped sediment is collected and dried to quantify its weight (Grant et al. [1997;](#page-21-0) Braskerud [2001](#page-19-0)). One of the advantages of sediment traps is that the trapped sediment can be used for further analyses such as grain size and chemical or mineralogical characteristics. Especially important to bio-geomorphological studies, sediment traps allow determination of the nutrient content of deposited sediments, and subsequently, relationships with ecological processes such as vegetation productivity. By enabling evaluation of the restoration site's functioning with respect to deposition of sediment and sufficient seed dispersal, sediment traps are very useful in monitoring marsh restoration projects (Wolters et al. [2004](#page-24-0)) and determining whether goals such as vegetation composition will be met. One problem with these methods is that they do not take into account particles deposited on the vegetation, which may settle on the ground at a later time.

Filters

In this method, filter papers or membranes of a known weight are placed on the sediment surface. The sediment from the inundating water accumulates on the filter during one or a few tides, after which the filter is removed. The accumulated sediment is measured by drying and weighing the collected filters (e.g. Reed [1989](#page-22-0); Temmerman et al. [2003a](#page-23-0); Culberson et al. [2004](#page-20-0)). Plastic discs or Petri-dishes are usually used underneath the filter to prevent soil particles from adhering to the underside of the filter (Culberson et al. [2004\)](#page-20-0).

Advantages of this method include low cost and easy repeatability (Table [1](#page-3-0)). In addition, the organic matter in the deposited material can be easily determined through combustion of the (ash-free paper) filters in 550 °C after drying and weighing them (Reed [1989](#page-22-0); Culberson et al. [2004\)](#page-20-0). It is advisable to pre-weigh the dried filters before placing them in the field, in order to correct for any measuring errors that may occur through the drying process, particularly if deposition rates are very low.

Open cylinders

Cylindrical sediment traps consist of containers buried in the ground with the opening level to the soil surface. The variety of constructions ranges from bottles (Deicke et al. [2007\)](#page-20-0), various plastic materials such as PVC pipes (Grant et al. [1997\)](#page-21-0) to glass jars (Jordan and Valiela [1983](#page-21-0)). Differences also exist between simple cylinders (Grant et al. [1997\)](#page-21-0) and conical traps with a funnel-shaped opening (Bloesch and Burns [1980\)](#page-19-0). A slightly more complicated design was used by Braskerud ([2001\)](#page-19-0) (Fig. [3b](#page-7-0)).

A sediment trap design that combines elements of flat devices and cylindrical traps is described by Temmerman et al. [\(2003a,](#page-23-0) [2005](#page-23-0)): a very flat cylindrical trap with a rim of only a few mm high. The traps are attached to the soil surface using steel claws or a plastic rod running through the middle of the trap (Temmerman et al. [2003a\)](#page-23-0). A buoyant cover, which is lifted by the tide, protects the deposited material from splashing by rain during low tide.

An important consideration when choosing a trap design is the aspect ratio (height/diameter), which strongly influences the turbulence around and within the trap (Hargrave and Burns [1979](#page-21-0); Bale [1998\)](#page-19-0). Both trap diameter and aspect ratio should be scaled according to the expected flow conditions and sediment load (Baker et al. [1988](#page-19-0)). For an extensive discussion on the practical aspects of trap design, consult Bloesch and Burns [\(1980](#page-19-0)), Hakanson et al. ([1989](#page-21-0)), and Hargrave and Burns ([1979\)](#page-21-0).

Even though cylindrical traps are considered to be the best tool to measure downward settling fluxes within reasonable error limits (Bloesch and Burns [1980\)](#page-19-0) by some authors, they can overestimate sediment flux compared to flat surface traps ("Flat surface traps") (Kozerski and Leuschner [1999\)](#page-21-0). In cylinders, deposition takes place in the absence of significant turbulences and in decreased flow velocities caused by bottom shear, which allows sediment particles to settle faster in the cylinder than they would outside. Depending on trap construction, the method is relatively cheap, but retrieving the traps and drying the samples is labour intensive (Table [1](#page-3-0)).

Flat surface traps

Flat sediment traps are positioned directly on the soil surface. Simple versions consist of flat plates (Pinay et al. [1995](#page-22-0)), plywood boards (Mansikkaniemi [1985\)](#page-22-0), clay roof tiles (Brunet et al. [1994\)](#page-19-0) or plastic discs with a roughened upper surface to prevent sediment wash-off (Kleiss [1996\)](#page-21-0). Total deposition rates on surfaces of different roughness were not found to be significantly different by Steiger et al. [\(2003](#page-23-0)). Nevertheless, some studies used Astroturf mats (Goodson et al. [2003;](#page-21-0) Steiger et al. [2003;](#page-23-0) Deicke et al. [2007](#page-20-0)) to mimic the effect of vegetation. A slightly more complicated method is described by Pasternack and Brush ([1998\)](#page-22-0), who anchored the trap in the soil with an aluminium rod (Fig. [3c\)](#page-7-0). An alternative design of a flat sediment trap is discussed by Kozerski and Leuschner [\(1999](#page-21-0)). They introduce another more complex device with a lid construction, which also serves as an alternative to cylindrical sediment traps.

Flat traps are sensitive to sediment being washed away by rain or tides, but the major technical problem is sediment loss during retrieval (Gardner [1980;](#page-21-0) Kozerski and Leuschner [1999\)](#page-21-0). A further drawback is that the surface of the trap is generally smoother and less adhesive than the marsh surface, affecting the sedimentation and resuspension process. On the other hand, a big advantage of these traps is that they have no edge to disturb the water flow in contrast to cylindrical traps (Table [1](#page-3-0)).

Accretion (short term) (C)

Introduction

Many studies investigating whether coastal marshes are able to cope with SLR have measured accretion. Therefore, a wide variety of methods exists for measuring accretion rates in mm/yr. We discuss them in the following two sections and classify them according to their timescale, which range from months to decades (short term, this section) or decades to centuries (long term, "[Accretion \(long-term\) \(C\)](#page-10-0)") (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0).

In contrast to earlier described methods, accretion measurements include erosion as well as sedimentation processes of both mineral and organic material. The accumulation of dead plant material, such as roots, can contribute substantially to the yearly elevational rise in marshes. In addition, the fraction of organic material in the soil influences characteristics such as soil moisture content, which in an important factor for plants. The process of accretion also buries seeds. Therefore, studies addressing the availability of seeds as a food source for animals or for the formation of a seed bank might benefit from assessing accretion rates. Additionally, erosion processes are more likely to occur in sparsely vegetated parts of the marsh, such as the pioneer zone. Thus, measuring (short-term) accretion could be used to assess the vegetation-sedimentation interactions constraining establishment of pioneer marsh vegetation.

Marker horizons

A marker horizon serves as a reference layer within the soil, against which accumulation of mineral and organic sediment can be measured using a soil corer (Cahoon and Turner [1989;](#page-19-0) Cahoon et al. [1995;](#page-19-0) Van Wijnen and Bakker [2001](#page-23-0); Krauss et al. [2010\)](#page-21-0) (Fig. [3d](#page-7-0)). This measurement is taken with a soil corer and often repeated at regular time intervals, such as every year. A marker horizon generally consists of degradable material, as opposed to plates ("[Sedimentation](#page-10-0) [plates](#page-10-0)"). Some coastal marshes have a natural marker horizon formed by an underlying sand or gravel layer. In such cases, the base elevation of the sandy (or gravel) surface can

be used as reference framework if the time of marsh initiation is known (Olff et al. [1997](#page-22-0); Van Wijnen and Bakker [1999](#page-23-0); De Groot et al. [2011a](#page-20-0)).

Artificial marker horizons have been constructed from different materials, which are applied to the soil surface, such as red sand (Nielsen [1935](#page-22-0)), aluminium glitter (Stumpf [1983](#page-23-0)), red tennis court gravel (Van Wijnen and Bakker [2001](#page-23-0)), white clay (Baumann et al. [1984](#page-19-0)), stable rare-earth elements (REE) (Knaus and Vangent [1989](#page-21-0)), sand (Stoddart et al. [1989;](#page-23-0) French and Spencer [1993;](#page-20-0) Nielsen and Nielsen [2002](#page-22-0)) and feldspar (Cahoon et al. [1995;](#page-19-0) Krauss et al. [2010\)](#page-21-0). Material effectiveness depends on flooding frequency, wave activity and the retrieval time frame of the marker. Applying the marker material after clipping the vegetation is advisable in cases of dense vegetation (Van Wijnen and Bakker [2001\)](#page-23-0). However, this procedure might change flow conditions and subsequent accumulation of organic material in the first year. For less dense vegetation types such as Phragmites sp. or Scirpus sp., it is possible to place the marker horizon on the soil surface in between plant stems in order to minimise disturbance of the vegetation canopy (e.g. Temmerman et al. [2004b\)](#page-23-0). Thus, if the research aim is to investigate the effects of vegetation canopy structure on accretion, the marker horizon technique may be a suitable method. However, the level of vegetation disturbance accrued during placement of the horizon depends on the specific vegetation type, and the vegetation canopy may need some time to recover after the placement. The marker horizon area should be marked by sticks, or belowground metal pins or plates that can be found with a metal detector. A new marker layer can be added to the surface every couple of years to minimize the effects of autocompaction on measurements. This should be done, however, in adjacent plots or by using different colours for each horizon to avoid confusing the separate markers. If autocompaction is the focus of the study, stacked layers of markers could be used to assess autocompaction rates.

Several problems hindering accurate recovery are associated with using marker horizons, such as bioturbation (Krauss et al. [2010\)](#page-21-0), redistribution of the marker layer by severe floods (Steiger et al. [2003](#page-23-0)), and mixing with darker organic or inorganic material (Cahoon and Turner [1989\)](#page-19-0). Furthermore, the necessary coring at repeated intervals removes marker material, resulting in sampling inaccuracy after several years (Stoddart et al. [1989](#page-23-0)). Lowering the frequency of coring is not a good option, because of the aforementioned autocompaction. Therefore, the size, intended lifetime of the marker, and the number of cores taken per measurement should be considered together. The costs depend mainly on the choice of material, but are generally low to medium (Cahoon and Turner [1989\)](#page-19-0). In addition, sampling effort is not very high and sampling success can be assessed directly in the field (Cahoon and Turner [1989\)](#page-19-0).

Sedimentation plates

In the sedimentation plate method, the marker horizon consists of a firm plate made of metal (Watson [2008](#page-24-0); Stokes et al. [2010](#page-23-0)) or plastic (e.g. nylon or Perspex (French and Burningham [2003](#page-20-0))) (Fig. [3e\)](#page-7-0). The plate is buried in the soil just below the rooting zone under a carefully extracted block of marsh turf, which is then placed back on top of the sedimentation plate (French and Burningham [2003\)](#page-20-0). Thus, vegetation disturbance is kept to a minimum. An alternative method (preferable for organogenic marshes) is to dig a hole and carefully push the plate horizontally into the sediment from the side. Small holes drilled into the plate reduce the influence of the plate on drainage conditions and plant rooting. The plates should be placed in a perfectly horizontal position to allow for reliable repeated measurements. After burial, the plates need to settle for at least 1 month before the first measurement can be taken (Stokes et al. [2010](#page-23-0)). To measure sediment accretion, a thin metal pin is pushed into the sediment until it hits the plate, and its length above the sediment is determined. As with marker horizons, metal plates can be found back by using a metal detector or marking them with sticks. For plastic plates, it is advisable to use metal pins to mark the position of the plate.

The costs for this method strongly depend on plate material but are estimated to be intermediate. The amount of labour involved is also intermediate and comparable to that involved with marker horizons. Errors may occur when plates fail to stay level or are disturbed by burrowing animals such as water voles.

'Erosion' pin

The 'erosion' pin method is usually used in dynamic areas such as dunes and beaches (Saynor et al. [1994;](#page-22-0) Edeso et al. [1999;](#page-20-0) Saynor and Erskine [2006](#page-22-0); Hancock et al. [2010](#page-21-0); Veihe et al. [2011\)](#page-23-0), but can also be applied to salt marshes. With this method, accretion is measured similarly to the Sedimentation Erosion Table (SET) technique (Cahoon and Lynch [1997](#page-19-0), "[Surface elevation table \(SET\) and sedimentation](#page-14-0) [erosion bar \(SEB\)](#page-14-0)"). In this method, pins of stainless steel or glass fibre are driven into the ground, leaving a small part aboveground (Stokes et al. [2010;](#page-23-0) Hancock et al. [2010](#page-21-0)). The length of the pin above the soil is measured repeatedly (Fig. [3f\)](#page-7-0). Thus, the exact location can be re-assessed. However, a major problem of this method is that the pin itself can be unstable. Furthermore, the pin changes flow velocity and turbulence (Veihe et al. [2011](#page-23-0)), which may lead to scouring of sediment directly around the pin and therefore to an overestimation of erosion. The measurement error associated with this method was found to be about 1 mm (Edeso et al. [1999](#page-20-0); Hancock et al. [2010](#page-21-0)). Method durability varies from months to years (Sirvent et al. [1997;](#page-23-0) Hancock et al. [2010\)](#page-21-0).

Accretion (long-term) (C)

Introduction

The methods in this section address the measurement of accretion rates over timescales ranging from decades to centuries (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0) and are used to answer questions on how environmental changes (natural and induced by humans) influence sedimentation processes over the long term. Using these methods, it is possible to increase knowledge on how systems might react to similar changes in the future when combined with, for example, aerial photographs, vegetation maps, and historical records of land-use change. For example, the influence of storm surges on sedimentation rates can be assessed after the events took place (post-event method) using a combination of the 137 Cs- and 210 Pb-dating method (Bellucci et al. [2007](#page-19-0); Schuerch et al. [2012b\)](#page-23-0). As the frequency of storms is predicted to increase, this connection will be very important in determining whether marshes can survive SLR. Other very important factors influencing marsh accretion over the long-term include various human activities. For example, dredging leads to changes in sedimentation rates on salt marshes along the river Schelde (Dyer et al. [2002](#page-20-0)). Another factor likely to affect accretion rates is grazing by livestock, which is a very common practice both for agricultural and nature conservation purposes in Europe, as the animals modify the vegetation structure and may add to compaction by trampling.

In contrast to the measurement techniques discussed in the previous section, most of the long-term accretion methods can only be employed if erosion during the investigated time span is assumed to be negligible. This is because measurements are made post-event and rely on the accurate estimation of the time period during which a reference layer has been buried. This limitation usually restricts the application of these methods to parts of the marsh that were already present during the time period of interest. Additionally, most methods mentioned in this section can be disturbed by bioturbation as they all depend on the availability of marker horizons or on clear sedimentation layers.

Paleo-environmental method

The paleo-environmental method links historical vegetation data to natural marker horizons consisting of vegetation remains. This technique can be applied to studying marsh accretion rates over timescales up to millennia (e.g. Brush [1989](#page-19-0); Neumann et al. [2007;](#page-22-0) Tanaka et al. [2011\)](#page-23-0) but it is also suitable for shorter time scales such as decades (Temmerman et al. [2003a](#page-23-0)). Over long timescales, ecosystems generally show a change in plant communities due to succession or land-use change. When conditions are favourable, plant remains such as pollen, roots, or peat are preserved in the soil.

The method consists of taking soil cores, slicing them into layers and identifying the vegetation remains in each layer. The depth of transition layers between plant communities is then related to the date of these changes obtained from historical information (Temmerman et al. [2003a\)](#page-23-0) or dating techniques (Orson et al. [1998;](#page-22-0) Dobrowolski et al. [2012](#page-20-0)). Such historical information may include aerial photographs or vegetation maps that document when these transitions between successional stages or land uses took place.

Firstly, this method requires historical data on plant communities and/or land use and, secondly, the right circumstances (e.g. anoxia), for preservation of recognizable plant remains. Furthermore, the number and clarity of successional changes define the time resolution of this method because only a change in species composition results in a datable marker horizon. Note that this method cannot untangle accretion from autocompaction processes but is relatively cheap if labour intensive.

Caesium dating (^{137}Cs)

This method takes advantage of existing marker horizons formed from ¹³⁷Cs, which is an anthropogenic radionuclide. $137Cs$ is deposited onto the soil surface after being released to the atmosphere as a product of nuclear accidents. Peaks in the historical release of $137Cs$ into the atmosphere lead to peaks in 137Cs activity concentrations in the soil profiles of sedimentary environments with relatively constant accretion rates, such as tidal marshes. Nuclear incidents contributing significantly to caesium deposition vary between regions. In Northern Europe, caesium deposition is dominated by atomic bomb tests conducted in the early 1960s and the Chernobyl accident in 1986, leading to two distinct $137Cs$ horizons (Fig. 4) (Bellucci et al. [2007\)](#page-19-0). Some authors have also found a minor peak at 1974–1977 in cores from the North and Baltic Sea, originating from an incidental release at the Sellafield nuclear reprocessing site (Kunzendorf [1998](#page-21-0);

Fig. 4 ¹³⁷Cs profiles of cores from St. Annaland Marsh, Netherlands (Callaway et al. [1996](#page-19-0))

Andersen et al. [2000\)](#page-19-0). Chinese and French nuclear bomb tests conducted in 1973 created a significant peak in China (Wang et al. [2008\)](#page-24-0). In America, the deepest occurrence of $137Cs$ in the soil is assigned to the beginning of atomic bomb testing in 1954 and the highest activity to the peak of these tests in 1963 (DeLaune et al. [2003](#page-20-0)). The Fukushima accident of 2011 may form a new horizon in Asian marshes.

Samples are taken by pushing a tube or cylinder into the soil (Callaway et al. [1996](#page-19-0)). During and after this procedure, it is important to measure the difference between the rim of the tube and the soil surface inside and outside the tube to quantify the compaction that occurred during the sampling process (Milan et al. [1995;](#page-22-0) Callaway et al. [1996](#page-19-0)). Additionally, the length of the core should also be measured before and after extrusion (Callaway et al. [1996\)](#page-19-0), or the core tube (e.g. a PVC tube) should be cut open along its entire length when retrieving the sample from the core tube. The soil core is then cut into slices of 1 cm or greater, and dried (Callaway et al. [1996](#page-19-0)). The levels of $137Cs$ are measured by gamma spectrometry and have a detection limit of 0.2 Bq kg^{-1} (Zwolsman et al. [1993](#page-24-0); Turner et al. [2001;](#page-23-0) Bellucci et al. [2007](#page-19-0)).

The activity of $137Cs$ in the soil has been shown to increase with smaller grain sizes and higher organic matter content (Kirchner and Ehlers [1998\)](#page-21-0). In case of larger variations in these factors, normalizing this effect should be considered. Therefore, grain size and the organic carbon content should be measured in the same soil cores used to sample caesium.

Interpretation of the 137 Cs profile is not always straight forward. For example, the Chernobyl accident is sometimes difficult to distinguish due to regional differences in the fallout, which result from precipitation patterns and additional minor peaks such as Sellafield (Nikulina [2008](#page-22-0)). Therefore, additional validation of the age with an independent method is advisable, such as ^{210}Pb (discussed below), historic aerial photographs (Schuerch et al. [2012b\)](#page-23-0), and/or the paleo-environmental method ("[Paleo-environmental](#page-10-0) [method](#page-10-0)"). Dating of the layer based on the $137Cs$ peak may further be complicated by bioturbation and the downward migration of ^{137}Cs (Milan et al. [1995](#page-22-0)). Finally, ^{137}Cs has a half-life time of 30.5 years so that layers become more difficult to clearly distinguish over time.

Lead dating (^{210}Pb)

The radionuclide, ²¹⁰Pb, is a product of the natural decay series of ²³⁸U, which consists of a chain of isotopes including 226 Ra (Walling and He [1999\)](#page-24-0). The 210 Pb found in the soil can result from two origins (Fig. [5\)](#page-12-0). Firstly, 'supported 210Pb' is continually produced locally from the decay of 238 U via the long-lived radioisotope 226 Ra and the shortlived 222 Rn within the soil, which is in equilibrium with

Fig. 5 Formation and pathways of ^{210}Pb until deposition onto the soil surface (Walling and He [1999](#page-24-0))

 226 Ra. Secondly, 'unsupported or excess 210 Pb' is deposited from the atmosphere, because parts of the highly mobile 222 Rn escape into the atmosphere, where it further decays to ^{210}Pb . Therefore, the unsupported ^{210}Pb also contributes to the total $210Pb$ inventory in the soil. Thus, unsupported ^{210}Pb is calculated by subtracting the supported ^{210}Pb from the total ^{210}Pb (Fig. 5) (Walling and He [1999](#page-24-0)), which in turn is measured in combination with 226 Ra, for dating purposes. Due to its radioactive decay (half-life time of 22.3 years) the unsupported 210Pb activity concentration in each layer in the soil declines exponentially with its age (Fig. 5) (Appleby and Oldfield [1978](#page-19-0); Gelen et al. [2003\)](#page-21-0). This exponential decline can be used to calculate the age of the sediment at different depths from which the sedimentation rate is derived.

Sampling and sample processing require the same steps as described for $137Cs$ measurements. In fact, $210Pb$ and 226 Ra are usually measured simultaneously with 137 Cs from the same sample. There are several approaches to measure the activity of $210Pb$. The most widely-used method is to directly determine the activity of 2^{10} Pb via counting gammaemissions using a gamma-ray spectrometer (often a Germanium detector) (He and Walling [1996;](#page-21-0) Walling and He [1999](#page-24-0); Nie et al. [2001;](#page-22-0) Wang et al. [2008\)](#page-24-0). Before measuring, samples should be hermetically sealed and stored for 20– 30 days to allow equilibrium to establish between 226 Ra, 222 Rn and shorter-lived 222 Rn daughters (He and Walling [1996;](#page-21-0) Gelen et al. [2003](#page-21-0); Wang et al. [2008\)](#page-24-0). Indirect methods consist of measuring alpha-emissions of $201P$ o (Frignani and Langone [1991;](#page-21-0) Zwolsman et al. [1993;](#page-24-0) Bellucci et al. [2007\)](#page-19-0) or ²¹⁰Bi (Tsai and Chung [1989](#page-23-0); Applequist [1975;](#page-19-0) Chung and Chang [1995](#page-19-0)) instead of $2^{10}Pb$ itself.

After measuring ²¹⁰Pb activity, an appropriate model should be applied to calculate the date of sedimentation of

the respective layer and the related accretion rates. These models are based on different assumptions in connection with ²¹⁰Pb and its deposition. The Constant Initial Concentration model (CIC) is based on the assumption that accumulation rates of both unsupported ²¹⁰Pb and suspended sediment are constant over time at the sampling location (Appleby and Oldfield [1978;](#page-19-0) Andersen et al. [2000](#page-19-0); Gelen et al. [2003](#page-21-0)). From this assumption, it follows that the activity of unsupported ²¹⁰Pb decreases exponentially with depth in accordance with its half-life time of 22.3 years (Fig. 6) (Roman et al. [1997](#page-22-0); Andersen et al. [2000](#page-19-0); Gelen et al. [2003\)](#page-21-0). Thus, the constant accretion rate can be determined from the slope of the least-square regression of the exponential decrease of unsupported 210 Pb with depth (Fig. 6) (Robbins and Edgington [1975;](#page-22-0) Goldberg et al. [1977](#page-21-0); Zwolsman et al. [1993](#page-24-0)).

In contrast to the CIC model, the 'Constant flux' or 'Constant rate of supply' model (CRS) assumes that the influx of the isotope ²¹⁰Pb has been constant over time, but accretion rate has not (Bellucci et al. [2007](#page-19-0)). The CRS model allows for calculation of sediment age in soil profiles even when there is evidence of rapidly changing accretion rates (Pennington et al. [1976](#page-22-0); Appleby and Oldfield [1978\)](#page-19-0). Other studies have tried to improve this approach by calculating age/depth profiles and accretion rates, but these are not yet widely used (He and Walling [1996;](#page-21-0) Nie et al. [2001\)](#page-22-0). Several studies have compared the validity of these models (Appleby and Oldfield [1978](#page-19-0); Gelen et al. [2003](#page-21-0); Wang et al. [2008](#page-24-0)).

Overall, the $2^{10}Pb$ method is widely applied in marine and coastal research. Disadvantages of the method include the possibilities of physical disturbance of the sediments (e.g. erosive events or periods), bioturbation, leaching, and the uncertainties surrounding model selection.

Optically stimulated luminescence dating (OSL)

In the time since Huntley et al. ([1985\)](#page-21-0) used the OSL method to date sediments, studies have shown that younger

Fig. 6 Relation between depth and $2^{10}Pb$, which is used to calculate accretion rates (slope) (Zwolsman et al. [1993](#page-24-0))

(<60 year) sediments can also be successfully dated with this method (Ollerhead et al. [1994;](#page-22-0) Madsen et al. [2005](#page-21-0)). A review of these studies is given in Madsen and Murray [\(2009](#page-21-0)). This method uses the phenomenon that natural radiation within the soil affects the crystalline structure of some minerals, such as quartz and feldspar. Energy from natural radiation is stored in imperfections of the mineral structure (Aitken [1985;](#page-19-0) Madsen and Murray [2009\)](#page-21-0), and can be released through emission of photons, using heat (thermo luminescence) or light (optically stimulated luminescence) as a stimulus (Murray and Wintle [2000](#page-22-0), [2003;](#page-22-0) Madsen and Murray [2009](#page-21-0)). The amount of stored energy is used to determine when sediments were last exposed to light, i.e. the moment that they were buried under younger sediment deposits. Consequently, it is important that samples are kept in the dark (Reimann et al. [2010\)](#page-22-0) and handled under subdued red light conditions (Pietsch [2009\)](#page-22-0). In addition, specific detectors (including gamma-ray detectors) are necessary to measure total energy absorbed per unit mass (the dose) and the rate of energy absorption (dose rate) in order to calculate burial time. Further detailed information about the principles of OSL can be found in Aitken [\(1985](#page-19-0)), Duller [\(2004](#page-20-0)), Lian and Roberts [\(2006](#page-21-0)), and Duller and Wintle ([2012\)](#page-20-0).

In their review, Madsen and Murray [\(2009](#page-21-0)) examine the validity of OSL results by assessing several coastal and marine studies (including one salt marsh) where OSL results were compared to an independent method (e.g. ^{210}Pb) method). No evidence for systematic over- or underestimation was found, so that the OSL method can be characterised as reliable. An excellent agreement between OSL and ²¹⁰Pb methods was for example found in the younger part of a core (<60 year) in fine-grained intertidal sediments in the Danish Wadden Sea (Fig. 7) (Madsen et al. [2005\)](#page-21-0).

For further information about technical problems associated with the OSL method, see Madsen and Murray [\(2009](#page-21-0)). Drawbacks of the method include very time-consuming laboratory work and the need for specialized equipment,

making this method relatively expensive. Additionally, this method is sensitive to bioturbation. During bioturbation, stored energy contained within exposed sediments is completely re-set before soil is reincorporated in the soil column (Madsen et al. [2011\)](#page-21-0) so that accretion rates suddenly seem to increase in upper layers of the soil core. Madsen et al. [\(2011\)](#page-21-0) identified this sudden increase in calculated accretion rate as the border of bioturbation in the soil and consequently used it as a way to assess bioturbation rates.

Radiocarbon dating (^{14}C)

The method using $14C$ to date organic material is well known in archaeology. It is sometimes used to determine changes in sea-level by dating basal salt-marsh peats (e.g. González and Törnqvist [2009](#page-21-0); Yu et al. [2012](#page-24-0)). It is also possible to determine long-term sedimentation rates from freshwater (Toledo and Bush [2008\)](#page-23-0) or marine ecosystems (Shaw and Ceman [1999;](#page-23-0) Watson [2004;](#page-24-0) Parker et al. [2008;](#page-22-0) Sabatier et al. [2012\)](#page-22-0) using 14 C dating.

During photosynthesis, plants fix atmospheric $CO₂$ thus incorporating the ratio of ${}^{14}C/{}^{12}C$ isotopes found in the atmosphere at that time. The 14C isotope in organic material decays at a specific rate (half-life of $5,730\pm40$ years), which makes it possible to calculate the age of a sample. The amount of 14 C in the atmosphere was not always constant therefore ages must be calibrated to calendar years (Blaauw [2010](#page-19-0)).

Possible materials suitable for 14 C analyses include carbonate shell samples (Sabatier et al. [2012\)](#page-22-0) or fossil plant remains (Shaw and Ceman [1999](#page-23-0); Watson [2004](#page-24-0)), such as basal peats (González and Törnqvist [2009;](#page-21-0) Yu et al. [2012\)](#page-24-0). For a summary of soil dating using radiocarbon analysis, see Scharpenseel and Schiffman [\(1977](#page-22-0)). In the past, 14 C-measurements used to be performed by counting the radioactive decay of single carbon atoms by gas proportional counting or liquid scintillation counting. Nowadays, most samples are analysed using accelerator mass spectronomy (AMS) (e.g.

Fig. 7 Comparisons between ages determined using OSL and 210Pb in two sediment cores recovered from the intertidal zone in the northernmost part of the Wadden Sea (Madsen and Murray [2009\)](#page-21-0)

Watson [2004](#page-24-0)), which allows direct counting of the 14 Catoms instead of indirect assessment from radioactive decay. Details of the method can be found in Bowman ([1990\)](#page-19-0) and Goslar and Czernik [\(2000](#page-21-0)).

Sedimentation rates estimated from ${}^{14}C$ are rough measurements, as they sometimes encompass a small number of measuring point suspended over a long timescale (ca. ³⁰⁰– 62.000 years). For example, approximately 2,800 years are represented by five measuring points in a study of how human activity, such as deforestation, influenced sedimentation rates in the UK (Parker et al. [2008](#page-22-0)). Additionally, disturbance can lead to the contamination of samples with younger material, which in turn leads to misinterpretation of sample age. Nevertheless, this method can still provide valuable insights into changes in sedimentation rates over very long timescales (Bowman [1990](#page-19-0)).

Hybrid methods (C/D)

Introduction

Hybrid methods can be used to measure either accretion (C) or surface-elevation change (D), depending on how they are applied. Technically, these methods measure accretion (C) but can be used for surface-elevation change (D) when they are measured in relation to a recent fixed ordnance datum, thus incorporating deep subsidence processes.

Surface elevation table (SET) and sedimentation erosion bar (SEB)

The Surface Elevation Table (SET) was developed from the Sedimentation Erosion Table (Schoot and De Jong [1988](#page-23-0)), which was introduced by Boumans and Day ([1993\)](#page-19-0) (Fig. [3g\)](#page-7-0). The SET was first applied in the Mississippi river delta by Cahoon et al. ([2000](#page-19-0)). In order to enable SET measurements, a benchmark pole is inserted into the ground until it reaches a stable horizon, such as a sand layer. During actual measurements, the benchmark is used to attach a portable metal arm, with a horizontal metal plate at the end containing nine holes (Cahoon et al. [2002a](#page-19-0))([http://](http://www.pwrc.usgs.gov/set/) [www.pwrc.usgs.gov/set/\)](http://www.pwrc.usgs.gov/set/). Metal pins are carefully put through the holes until they touch the soil surface. The length of the pin above the plate is measured to determine the relative surface-elevation change (Boumans and Day [1993;](#page-19-0) Cahoon et al. [2000\)](#page-19-0). In order to stabilise the benchmark, the Rod SET (RSET) was introduced (Cahoon et al. [2002b\)](#page-19-0).

The Sedimentation Erosion Bar (SEB, Fig. [3h\)](#page-7-0) is further based on the principles of the SET (Van Duin et al. [1997](#page-23-0); Van Wijnen and Bakker [2001](#page-23-0); Van Duin et al. [2007](#page-23-0)) but the equipment setup is slightly modified. The setup consists of two horizontally aligned poles, and during measurements, a 2 m-long bar with 17 holes is placed on the poles. Some studies use three poles in a triangle formation to increase the amount of measuring points per station (Van Wijnen and Bakker [2001\)](#page-23-0). There are several minor variations in the construction of the SEB (Daborn et al. [1991](#page-20-0); Perillo et al. [2003](#page-22-0)). In comparison to the SET, this method is less costly and usually applied in Northern Europe on minerogenic salt marshes with low tidal ranges, fine grained sediment, and low accretion rates. In contrast to organogenic marshes, deep compaction is negligible in these marshes.

Both methods are able to measure the exact same points repeatedly, thus increasing the accuracy of the time series to about 1.5 mm vertically (Van Duin et al. [1997;](#page-23-0) Cahoon et al. [2002a](#page-19-0)). Installation of the benchmarks is labour intensive, and additionally in areas with high accretion rates, the poles need to be replaced often to prevent burial by fresh sediment. However, the actual measurement process is relatively fast. Installation of SET/SEB can disturb local sediments, which may decrease accuracy at the start of the experiment. For SET, these disturbances can be minimised by using a walkway during both installation and measurements (Cahoon et al. [2002a](#page-19-0), [b](#page-19-0)). During SEB installation, however, disturbances will be more difficult to minimise because the measurement points are relatively closer to the poles than for SET.

The SET/SEB-methods were initially designed to measure net surface-elevation change (Cahoon et al. [2000,](#page-19-0) [2002a](#page-19-0), [b](#page-19-0)). However, using SET/SEB to measure surfaceelevation change requires that the poles are regularly recalibrated with respect to ordnance datum, or that subsidence of the poles can be ruled out. When poles are not connected to an ordnance datum, the method then simply measures accretion rate.

Surface-elevation change (D)

Introduction

Surface-elevation change is often measured in studies on the influence of SLR on coastal zones. Additionally, it is an important factor used to explain changes in characteristics of coastal marsh ecosystems that depend on inundation frequency, such as vegetation composition. The basic principle of the method is to measure surface elevation with respect to ordnance datum repeatedly, in order to calculate surfaceelevation change. Surface-elevation change is measured in mm/yr, like accretion rate, but includes the effect of both shallow and deep subsidence and should always be related to a given ordnance datum. This section only includes methods for which these requirements are met.

Levelling

Levelling is a method used to calculate the elevation change of an area by repeatedly measuring the elevation of the same points (Olff et al. [1997;](#page-22-0) Esselink et al. [1998;](#page-20-0) De Groot et al. [2011b\)](#page-20-0). This is done using conventional topographical surveying equipment, such as laser level (e.g. Parkhurst [1928\)](#page-22-0) and total station theodolite (Keim et al. [1999;](#page-21-0) Lavine et al. [2003\)](#page-21-0). Other techniques include Real Time Kinematic (RTK) and Differential GPS (DGPS), which makes use of satellite GPS signals. Although the latter two techniques are often used in land surveys, their vertical resolution is not always accurate enough for tidal marshes. For example, the vertical accuracy of both RTK and DGPS (several cm) is much less than that of the total station (5 mm).

Relative elevation measurements need to be linked to a fixed benchmark, which is calibrated to an ordnance datum, in order to calculate accurate values of absolute elevation (Keim et al. [1999\)](#page-21-0). Accuracy of the measurements can be estimated by the closure error, i.e. the difference in measured elevation between the same point at the beginning and end of the survey. This error can depend on instrument quality, and weather conditions affecting sight and instrument stability (e.g. wind). The level of labour necessary for this method depends on the type of instrument used and proximity to a benchmark. Furthermore, in the case of repeated measurements, the precision of the method is low.

LiDAR

LiDAR (Light Detection And Ranging) is a remote sensing method based on laser scanning to measure the distance between objects. In this section, we discuss airborne LiDAR and ground-based LiDAR. Ground-based LiDAR consists of 3D laser scanners that emit optical arrays to capture the topography of an area (Huang and Bradford [1990;](#page-21-0) Fan [1998;](#page-20-0) Nagihara et al. [2004\)](#page-22-0). Trees and other objects can sometimes obstruct the view of the lasers. To counteract this, multiple scans of an area are commonly used (Nagihara et al. [2004](#page-22-0)). In general, the merging of multiple scans results in an integrated, detailed and very accurate 3D-map of an area with a resolution of several mm (Nagihara et al. [2004](#page-22-0)).

Airborne LiDAR is applied from an airplane or helicopter (Nilsson [1996](#page-22-0)). An advantage of LiDAR is the large geographical area that can be covered in just one flight. Also, the possibility to assess biodiversity in the air (Tuner et al. [2003\)](#page-23-0) may be the main reason to use this method in some studies. However, the presence of vegetation affects the quality of the results. Techniques for correcting for the presence of vegetation have improved over the years, and include using a collinear green wavelength (Nilsson [1996](#page-22-0); Lefsky et al. [2002\)](#page-21-0), adding markers (Nilsson [1996\)](#page-22-0), and measuring canopy height in the field. The vertical accuracy

is in the order of ca. $10-15$ cm (Glenn et al. [2006,](#page-21-0) [2011\)](#page-21-0). which renders this method less suitable for measuring shortterm elevation changes. Frequently, salt-marsh researchers may have access to airborne LiDAR data, which were collected by management authorities (e.g. [http://www.csc.noaa.gov/](http://www.csc.noaa.gov/digitalcoast/data/click/index.html) [digitalcoast/data/click/index.html](http://www.csc.noaa.gov/digitalcoast/data/click/index.html)). In such cases, it is important to check horizontal and vertical resolution, as these may vary considerably between surveys. Additionally, even though the spatial resolution may be high, the temporal resolution is often not accurate enough for biogeomorphological research (Reinhardt et al. [2010](#page-22-0)).

Discussion

Aim

The wide range of methods available to measure sedimentation processes in interdisciplinary biogeomorphological research of tidal marshes has been summarised in this review. The discussion provides guidelines to help choose the method that is best suited for a specific research question. These guidelines are intended to improve biogeomorphological research on, for example, ecosystem engineers and their application to restoration of ecosystems and their services (Byers et al. [2006](#page-19-0)).

First of all, the process under consideration has to be identified, which then significantly constrains the number of suitable methods. Secondly, temporal and/or spatial scales need to be determined. Thirdly, more practical considerations for the choice of method are considered: minerogenic vs. organogenic marsh, types of external disturbance expected i.e. livestock, drift-ice or even tourists. A combination of different methods may also help to unravel the interplay between different abiotic and biotic influences on sedimentation processes. Unravelling such interactions is the aim of many bio-geomorphological research studies. We will give several examples of how a combination of methods may improve the knowledge and understanding of coastal ecosystems.

Processes

The first step in choosing an appropriate method, for investigating a specific research question about sedimentation processes, is defining the most relevant process. Does the study focus on SSC (A), sediment deposition (B), accretion (C) or surface-elevation change (D) (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0)? The distinction between accretion and elevation change can be subtle but important to make. Accretion, on the one hand, can be measured as the additional accreted sediment on top of a marker horizon (mm/yr). This may include, for example, accumulation of organic material, but excludes the influence

of deep subsidence or autocompaction underneath the marker horizon (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). Thus, if the future trajectory of marsh development is to be predicted, it is especially important to distinguish accretion (C) from surface-elevation change (D) in marshes that are strongly influenced by deep subsidence. If measurements of accretion alone are used, the net change of elevation may be strongly overestimated.

A combination of at least some of the different processes described here should be included if data are collected as input for dynamic models predicting future marsh development. Predictions of these models may also be more robust if they are based on data that were collected by using a combination of different methods. For example, basing dynamic models of coastal sedimentation on physical descriptions of suspended sediment settling and including SSC as a parameter may yield better results than more empiricallybased models (Kirwan et al. [2010;](#page-21-0) Fagherazzi et al. [2011\)](#page-20-0).

Time

The temporal scale is very important in biogeomorphology. For example, an ecosystem engineer's impact may be greatly affected by the scale of its activity over time (Jones et al. [1994\)](#page-21-0). Therefore, depending on the ecosystem engineer, the appropriate time period to be considered can differ significantly, thus affecting the choice of an appropriate method. This choice may further depend on the time that is available for measurements, thus determining whether it is better to use a pre- or post-event method. Pre-event methods may enable the immediate understanding of patterns over short (ecological) time scales (i.e. individual tides to a few years), such as seasonal availability of SSC (e.g. Asjes and Dankers [1994\)](#page-19-0). In contrast, post-event methods are useful in investigating prolonged temporal patterns of sediment deposition relative to ambient conditions, such as the influence of storm frequencies on accretion rates (Schuerch et al. [2012b;](#page-23-0) Bellucci et al. [2007](#page-19-0)).

Many long-term techniques are post-event and make use of existing markers in the soil. Temporal resolution for these post-event methods often depends on the deposition rate in the study area. The longest time periods are covered by the 14 C-method (300–60.000 year; Bird et al. [1999;](#page-19-0) Turney et al. [2001](#page-23-0), [2006](#page-23-0)) and the OSL-method (up to 100.000 year; Huntley et al. [1985\)](#page-21-0). Caution is needed when interpreting the results obtained using long-term methods since processes, such as autocompaction (Bartholdy et al. [2010\)](#page-19-0), may considerably influence the measurements. In general, deeper sediments are more compacted. Therefore, accretion rates measured in the first years of measurement may be systematically higher than those of later years (Cahoon et al. [1995](#page-19-0)). As a result, the estimated average accretion rate becomes smaller with increasing depth of a marker in the soil profile (Fig. 8). Recently, methods have been developed to correct

Fig. 8 Schematic illustration of the effects of autocompaction. The actual accretion rate is 1 cm y−¹ . If measured 5 years after application, the marker horizons is found at a depth of 3.1 cm, because of the autocompaction of deeper layers. This would result in a calculated accretion rate of 0.6 cm y^{-1} and leads to an underestimation of yearly accretion rate

for compaction (Williams [2003](#page-24-0); Bartholdy et al. [2010](#page-19-0)). These corrections can help to evaluate the efficiency of marker horizons. Nevertheless, soil properties that influence compaction can be affected by many factors, such as vegetation type, bioturbators (Schrama et al. [2012\)](#page-23-0) and trampling by livestock.

Using post- and pre-event methods in combination (e.g. ¹³⁷Cs and SET/SEB) can help to resolve how sedimentation processes have changed over time. This was demonstrated by a 15-year study on the Peazemerlannen in the Netherlands, where an underestimation of yearly accretion was identified in the first 2 years (Van Duin et al. [2007](#page-23-0)). The underestimation was linked to lack of sediment import by winter storms during these first 2 years (Bakker et al. [2002](#page-19-0)) (Fig. 9).

Space

Ecosystem engineers can have localized impacts, such as mussel beds stabilizing sediment (Eriksson et al. [2010\)](#page-20-0), but their engineering can also affect large areas, such as the disappearance of sea grass is thought to have affected the

Fig. 9 Comparison of accretion rate measurements using SEB measurements for 2 years and 15 years. Measurements were done on levees, in the low marsh, and in basins at the Peazemerlannen salt marsh, the Netherlands. The 2 year measurements represent a period without major winter storms, which leads to an underestimation if general conclusions are drawn over short timescales

turbidity of the entire Dutch Wadden Sea (Van Katwijk et al. [2000;](#page-23-0) Eklöf et al. [2011](#page-20-0)). Furthermore, landscape features, such as proximity to tidal channels, influence the biogeomorphological development of an area over the long term (French et al. [1995](#page-20-0); Temmerman et al. [2003a](#page-23-0)). Therefore, the spatial resolution of a method is important to many research questions. Many of the described methods do not have large spatial resolutions per se, or do not have the necessary vertical accuracy needed for some questions. The spatial resolution of most methods can be increased by spreading the stations over a larger area instead of putting placing them in clusters (De Groot et al. [2011b\)](#page-20-0). Increasing the accuracy, however, is somewhat more difficult. For example, airborne LiDAR covers large spatial scales, but is not very accurate (ca. 10–15 cm). Airborne LiDAR, therefore, is mostly suitable for creating digital elevation maps or digital terrain models, which can be used for various (spatial) analyses (Petzold et al. [1999](#page-22-0); Keim et al. [1999](#page-21-0)).

Geostatistical models can be applied for spatial interpolation of point measurements when combining methods. In many cases, one method may be difficult or expensive to measure (e.g. OBS-sensor), but the measurements maybe correlated with a second, simpler method (e.g. sediment trap) or a method with a large spatial resolution (e.g. LiDAR). Using the spatial correlation between OBS and sediment traps, for example, may increase the spatial coverage value of the OBS-sensor (Diggle and Ribeiro [2007\)](#page-20-0).

Minerogenic vs. organogenic tidal marshes

The differences between minerogenic and organogenic marshes are important when choosing and applying methods to measure sedimentation processes. On minerogenic marshes, mineral sediments are generally the most important contributor to marsh accretion (Allen [1990,](#page-19-0) [2000\)](#page-19-0). On organogenic marshes, however, organic deposition, originating from dead plant material such as roots (Niering [1997\)](#page-22-0), is the most important contributor.

The significance of different processes (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0) may be different in these two types of marches. In organogenic marshes, applying SSC (A) and sediment deposition (B) methods may not be relevant, because of the low mineral input. Combining accretion (C) and surface-elevation change (D) methods to quantify shallow subsidence rates may be especially useful in these organogenic marshes. Shallow subsidence may be more important in organogenic than minerogenic marshes, since organic particles are decomposed and compacted to a higher degree than mineral particles. To address this problem, some studies use a combination of marker horizons and SET (Cahoon et al. [2000](#page-19-0); Day et al. [2011](#page-20-0)). Additionally, due to the differences between organogenic- and minerogenic marshes, method adaptations are sometimes advisable. For example, it is not possible to bury a sedimentation plate in an organogenic marsh by extracting a piece of marsh turf, because organogenic marsh soil is less stable. Also due to the relative instability of organic soil, Cahoon et al. ([2002a,](#page-19-0) [b](#page-19-0)) used wooden walkways to prevent disturbances during measurements.

Physical disturbance

When measuring sediment processes, we encounter two different types of disturbance. Firstly, the process of interest itself can be disturbed by the equipment or the act of measuring. Secondly, the accuracy of the measurements can be negatively affected by external physical disturbances of the equipment (e.g. livestock or drift-ice).

The shape of the device used to measure sediment deposition can often affect wind and wave activity, especially near the end of tidal submersion. For example, the shape of the sediment trap can have a very large influence on water flow velocity and direction, which can lead to an overestimation of settled sediment (Hargrave and Burns [1979;](#page-21-0) Bale [1998](#page-19-0)). To avoid this problem, a flat device may be a better option. However, many flat devices increase the probability of losing sediment during recovery (Gardner [1980;](#page-21-0) Kozerski and Leuschner [1999](#page-21-0)). Another example of disturbance exerted by measuring equipment is the possible influence of sedimentation plates on soil hydrological processes and on the production of roots. When these processes are relevant to the aims of the study, using an SEB/SET might be a better option.

It is advisable to consider the possible effects of equipment disturbance in the research area before installation. Many instruments are easily disturbed or destroyed by extreme events such as severe floods (marker horizons may then be eroded just after installation), drift ice (poles of SEB) or mowing. Poles are also known to attract both wild- and domestic animals (Dijkema et al. [2005](#page-20-0)), as well as people. This activity may influence the measurements through trampling effects, for example, which was shown in the Peazemerlannen, the Netherlands. Here, the underestimation of accretion increased by 20 % due to livestock grazing (unpublished data). Therefore, the use of inconspicuous methods, such as sedimentation plates, may be preferable in such areas. Furthermore, bioturbation can disturb both natural and artificial marker horizons, as well as the layering of sediments, leading to errors in accretion measurements. If the only bioturbators are small animals, such as the Crustacean, Orchestia gammarellus (Schrama et al. [2012](#page-23-0)), common sedimentation plates may be a good alternative to artificial marker horizons. If the burrowing animals are bigger, such as the water vole (Kuijper and Bakker [2012](#page-21-0)), they may also disturb the plates and it is advisable to use hybrid methods.

Biogeomorphology on tidal marshes

The increased interest of ecologists in the interplay between biotic and abiotic factors has illuminated other aspects of geomorphological methods. In ecological and geomorphological studies, it is often realized that the biota are influenced by the geomorphology and vice versa. These interactions may be easy to recognize over short time scales, such as how plants trap sediments and then are affected by nutrients from these sediments. On longer time scales that also encompass evolutionary processes, the influence of the biology on the development of geomorphology is less recognised and/or less understood than the influence of geomorphology on biology (Corenblit et al. [2011](#page-19-0)). Research on short-term interactions can nevertheless enhance the understanding of long-term interactions.

The methods discussed in this review focus on geomorphological processes. However, the methods can also be used to assess biological processes on tidal marshes. For example, the dispersal of seeds and other propagules can be studied with sediment traps, as these seeds are caught together with the sediment. However, these traps are not always appropriate when studying the effect of ecosystem engineers, such as Spartina anglica, as they may underestimate the sediment deposited on the plant and the protection S. anglica confers on deposited sediments against re-suspension. Additionally, sediment deposition measurements do not measure the accumulation of dead biomass. To study these kinds of vegetationsedimentation interactions, buried plates or SET/SEB may be better options as they disturb the interactions between vegetation and sediment less.

Another interesting but largely unstudied factor is livestock grazing, especially in European marshes. Grazing may have a major effect on vegetation-sedimentation dynamics on tidal marshes. On the one hand, grazing livestock may influence accretion rates directly through compaction of the soil. On the other hand, there are various indirect ways in which livestock may affect sedimentation processes. For example, livestock are known to reduce vegetation density in marshes (e.g. Berg et al. [1997](#page-19-0)), which may in turn alter flow conditions of inundating water and lead to differences in sediment deposition rates and patterns. Additionally, grazing may increase the accumulation of biomass in the soil, as plants which experience grazing are known to produce more roots (Esselink et al. [1998\)](#page-20-0). However, soil conditions maybe become more anoxic for decomposers through compaction and thus grazing may actually reduce the decomposition of biomass (Schrama et al. [2013\)](#page-23-0). In this way, grazing may stimulate organogenic accretion in marshes but this negative effect might be counterbalanced to a certain extent by the positive effect of bioturbation (Schrama et al. [2012](#page-23-0)). To assess the impact of grazing on marshes, it is thus important to unravel the potentially confounding factors associated with 1) changes in vegetation structure, by measuring sedimentation in grazed and ungrazed vegetation, from those

associated with 2) changes resulting from compaction by trampling, by monitoring accretion and shallow subsidence in grazed and ungrazed marshes. It is not advisable to use methods that influence vegetation structure to assess influence on sedimentation processes. To install sediment traps, for example, it is necessary to clip the local vegetation to obtain a bare surface and thus sediment traps are not useful to study the effect of vegetation structure. To do this, we advise the use of SEB in combination with marker horizons, for example, or plates in grazed and ungrazed areas to assess both accretion and shallow compaction. By additionally taking soil samples close to the plot, it is also possible to gain extra information on differences between grazed and ungrazed marshes in soil texture, porosity, and organic matter content.

These examples show how the interplay between abiotic and biotic components of marshes, such as seen in ecosystem engineers, can be studied using a variety of methods to measure sedimentation processes or by applying combinations of these methods. However, ecosystem engineers and biogeomorphological interactions are present in many other ecosystems. For example, sea grasses in the Wadden Sea have been shown to maintain their own preferred environment of fine sediments on intertidal flats (Van Katwijk et al. [2000;](#page-23-0) Eklöf et al. [2011\)](#page-20-0). Similarly in a lagoon in South-Africa, organic matter content of the sediment was highly influenced by the interaction between reefs, crabs and hydrodynamics (Bruschetti et al. [2011](#page-19-0)). These are just two examples, and much is still unknown about how these ecosystems function. In the future, more research is needed to understand sedimentation processes and the abiotic and biotic factors influencing them, especially in these times of global change when these ecosystems are threatened by SLR. The guidelines for application, for the methods presented in this review, are probably also valid for research in other ecosystem types with vegetation-sedimentation interactions, such as river floodplains, dunes, and aquatic systems. Insight into these vegetation-sedimentation interactions will hopefully enable researchers to find solutions to protect ecosystems by recruiting the ability of ecosystem engineers to modify their own environment.

Acknowledgments We wish to thank the participants of the Sedimentation workshop in Groningen for their contributions and discussion. We also thank Dick Visser for enhancing the figures. S.N. was funded by the Waddenfonds and E.C.K. by the Dutch Petroleum Company (Nederlandse Aardolie Maatschappij, NAM). We thank an anonymous reviewer for comments and Esther Chang for revising the English language.

References

Agrawal YC, Pottsmith HC (1994) Laser diffraction particle sizing in STRESS. Cont Shelf Res 14:1101–1121

- Agrawal YC, Pottsmith HC (2000) Instruments for particle size and settling velocity observations in sediment transport. Mar Geol 168:89–114
- Aitken MJ (1985) Thermoluminescence dating. Academic, London, 359 pp
- Allen JRL (1990) The formation of coastal peat marshes under an upward tendency of relative sea-level. J Geol Soc 147:743–745
- Allen JRL (2000) Morphodynamics of Holocene salt marshes: a review sketch from the Atlantic and Southern North Sea coasts of Europe. Quat Sci Rev 19:1155–1231
- Allen JRL, Duffy MJ (1998) Temporal and spatial depositional patterns in the Severn Estuary, southwestern Britain: intertidal studies at spring-reap and seasonal scales, 1991–1993. Mar Geol 146:147–171
- Andersen TJ, Mikkelsen OA, Moller AL, Pejrup M (2000) Deposition and mixing depths on some European intertidal mudflats based on Pb-210 and Cs-137 activities. Cont Shelf Res 20:1569–1591
- Appleby PG, Oldfield F (1978) The calculation of lead-210 dates assuming a constant rate of supply of unsupported ²¹⁰Pb to the sediment. Catena 5:1–8
- Applequist MD (1975) Lead-210 in the deep sea: Pacific Ocean investigations. M. S. Thesis, University of California, San Diego, California, unpublished
- Asjes J, Dankers NMJA (1994) Variations in tidal exchange processes between a Dutch Salt Marsh, and the North Sea. In: Mitsch WJ (ed) Global wetlands: old world and new. Elsevier Science B.V, Amsterdam, pp 201–214
- Baker ET, Milburn HB, Tennant DA (1988) Field assessment of sediment trap efficiency under varying flow conditions. J Mar Res 46:573–592
- Bakker JP, De Leeuw J, Dijkema KS, Leendertse PC, Prins HHT, Rozema J (1993) Salt marshes along the coast of The Netherlands. Hydrobiologia 265:73–95
- Bakker JP, Esselink P, Dijkema KS, Van Duin WE, De Jong DJ (2002) Restoration of salt marshes. Hydrobiologia 478:29–51
- Bale AJ (1998) Sediment trap performance in tidal waters: comparison of cylindrical and conical collectors. Cont Shelf Res 18:1401– 1418
- Bartholdy J, Pedersen JBT, Bartholdy ATT (2010) Autocompaction of shallow silty salt marsh clay. Sediment Geol 223:310–319
- Baumann RH, Day JW Jr, Miller CA (1984) Mississippi deltaic wetland survival: sedimentation versus coastal submergence. Science 224:1093–1095
- Bellucci L, Frignani M, Cochran J, Albertazzi S, Zaggia L, Cecconi G, Hopkins H (2007) Pb-210 and Cs-137 as chronometers for salt marsh accretion in the Venice Lagoon–links to flooding frequency and climate change. J Environ Radioact 97:85–102
- Berg G, Esselink P, Groeneweg M, Kiehl K (1997) Micropatterns in Festuca rubra-dominated salt-marsh vegetation induced by sheep grazing. Plant Ecol 132:1–14
- Bird MI, Ayliffe LK, Fifield LK, Turney CSM, Cresswell RG, Barrows TT, David B (1999) Radiocarbon dating of "old" charcoal using a wet oxidation, stepped-combustion procedure. Radiocarbon 41:127–140
- Blaauw M (2010) Methods and code for 'classical' age-modelling of radiocarbon sequences. Quat Geochronol 5:512–518. doi[:10.1016/j.quageo.2010.01.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2010.01.002)
- Bloesch J, Burns NM (1980) A critical-review of sedimentation trap technique. Schweiz Z Hydrol-Swiss J Hydrol 42:15–55
- Borsje BW, Van Wesenbeeck BK, Dekker F, Paalvast P, Bouma TJ, Van Katwijk MM, De Vries MB (2011) How ecological engineering can serve in coastal protection. Ecol Eng 37:113–122
- Bouma TJ, De Vries MB, Low E, Kusters L, Herman PMJ, Tanczos IC, Temmerman S, Hesselink A, Meire P, Van Regenmortel S, Vries MBD, Tánczos IC, Regenmortel SV (2005) Flow hydrodynamics on a mudflat and in salt marsh vegetation: identifying general

relationships for habitat characterisations. Hydrobiologia 540:259–274

- Boumans RMJ, Day JW (1993) High precision measurements of sediment elevation in shallow coastal areas using a sedimentationerosion table. Estuaries 16:375–380
- Bowman S (1990) Radiocarbon dating (interpreting the past), 1st edition. Book British Museum press
- Braskerud BC (2001) The influence of vegetation on sedimentation and resuspension of soil particles in small constructed wetlands. J Environ Qual 30:1447–1457
- Brown AG, Carey C, Erkens G, Fuchs M, Hoffmann T, Macaire JJ, Moldenhauer KM, Walling DE (2009) From sedimentary records to sediment budgets: multiple approaches to catchment sediment flux. Geomorphology 108:35–47
- Brunet RC, Pinay G, Gazelle F, Roques L (1994) Role of the floodplain and riparian zone in suspended matter and nitrogen-retention in the Adour River, South-West France. Regul Rivers Res Manag $9.55 - 63$
- Bruschetti M, Bazterrica C, Fanjul E, Iribarne O (2011) Effect of biodeposition of an invasive polychaete on organic matter content and productivity of the sediment in a coastal lagoon. J Sea Res 66:20–28. doi:[10.1016/j.seares.2011.04.007](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2011.04.007)
- Brush GS (1989) Rates and patterns of estuarine sediment accumulation. Limnol Oceanogr 34:1235–1246
- Bunt JAC, Larcombe P, Jago CF (1999) Quantifying the response of optical backscatter devices and transmissometers to variations in suspended particulate matter. Cont Shelf Res 19:1199– 1220
- Butler DR, Sawyer CF (2012) Introduction to the special issue- zoogeomorphology and ecosystem engineering. Geomorphology 157–158:1–5
- Byers JE, Cuddington K, Jones CG, Talley TS, Hastings A, Lambrinos JG, Crooks JA, Wilson WG (2006) Using ecosystem engineers to restore ecological systems. Trends Ecol Evol 9:493–500
- Cahoon DR, Lynch JC (1997) Vertical accretion and shallow subsidence in a mangrove forest of southwestern Florida, U.S.A. Mangroves and Salt Marshes 1:173–186
- Cahoon DR, Turner RE (1989) Accretion and canal impacts in a rapidly subsiding wetland II. Feldspar marker horizon technique. Estuaries 12:260–268
- Cahoon DR, Reed DJ, Day JW (1995) Estimating shallow subsidence in microtidal salt marshes of the southeastern United States: Kaye and Barghoorn revisited. Mar Geol 128:1–9
- Cahoon DR, Marin PE, Black BK, Lynch JC (2000) A method for measuring vertical accretion, elevation and compaction of soft, shallow water sediments. J Sediment Res 70:1250–1253
- Cahoon DR, Lynch JC, Hensel P, Boumans RMJ, Perez BC, Segura B, Day JW (2002a) High-precision measurements of wetland sediment elevation: I. Recent improvements to the sedimentationerosion table. J Sediment Res 72:730–733
- Cahoon DR, Lynch JC, Perez BC, Segura B, Holland RD, Stelly C, Stephenson G, Hensel P (2002b) High-precision measurements of wetland sediment elevation: II. The rod surface elevation table. J Sediment Res 72:730–733
- Callaway JC, DeLaune RD, Patrick WH (1996) Chernobyl Cs-137 used to determine sediment accretion rates at selected northern European coastal wetlands. Limnol Oceanogr 41:444–450
- Chung Y, Chang WC (1995) Pb-210 fluxes and sedimentation-rates in the lower continental-slope between Taiwan and the South Okinawa Trough. Cont Shelf Res 15:149–164
- Corenblit D, Baas ACW, Bornette G, Darrozes J, Delmotte S, Francis RA, Gurnell AM, Julien F, Naiman RJ, Steiger J (2011) Feedbacks between geomorphology and biota controlling Earth surface processes and landforms: a review of foundation concepts and current understandings. Earth Sci Rev 106:307–331
- Costantini MLC, Rossi L, Fazi S, Rossi D (2009) Detritus accumulation and decomposition in a coastal lake (Acquatina–southern Italy). Aquat Conserv Mar Freshwat Ecosyst 19:566–574
- Costanza R, Perez-Maqueo O, Martinez ML, Sutton P, Anderson SJ, Mulder K (2008) The value of coastal wetlands for hurricane protection. Ambio 37:241–248
- Culberson SD, Foin TC, Collins JN, Foinij TC (2004) The role of sedimentation in estuarine marsh development within the San Francisco Estuary, California, USA. J Coast Res 20:970–979
- Daborn GR, Yeo G, Perillo GME, De Wolfe DJM, Smith S (1991) Bathymetry of Starrs point tidal flat. In: Daborn GR (ed) Littoral investigation of sediment properties, Minas Basin 1989. Acadia Centre for Estuarine Research Pub. 17, Wolfville, Nova Scotia, Canada, pp 69–71
- Daleo P, Fanjul E, Casariego AM, Silliman BR, Bertness MD, Iribarne O (2007) Ecosystem engineers activate mycorrhizal mutualism in salt marshes. Ecol Lett 10:902–908
- Dankers N, Binsbergen M, Zegers K, Laane R, Van der Loeff MR (1984) Transportation of water, particulate and dissolved organic and inorganic matter between a salt-marsh and the Ems-Dollard Estuary, the Netherlands. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci 19:143–165
- Darby SE (2010) Reappraising the geomorphology-ecology link. Earth Surf Process Landforms 35:368–371
- Davidson TM, de Rivera CE (2010) Accelerated erosion of saltmarshes infested by the non-native burrowing crustacean Sphaeroma quoianum. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 419:129–136
- Davidson-Arnott RGD, Van Proosdij D, Ollerhead J, Schostak L (2002) Hydrodynamics and sedimentation in salt marshes: examples from a macrotidal marsh, Bay of Fundy. Geomorphology 48:209–231
- Day JW, Kemp GP, Reed DJ, Cahoon DR, Boumans RM, Suhayda JM, Gambrell R (2011) Vegetation death and rapid loss of surface elevation in two contrasting Mississippi delta salt marshes: the role of sedimentation, autocompaction and sea-level rise. Ecol Eng 37:229–240
- De Groot AV, Veeneklaas RM, Bakker JP (2011a) Sand in the salt marsh: contribution of high-energy conditions to salt-marsh accretion. Mar Geol 282:240–254
- De Groot AV, Veeneklaas RM, Kuijper DPJ, Bakker JP (2011b) Spatial patterns in accretion on barrier-island salt marshes. Geomorphology 134:280–296
- Deicke M, Karius V, Jahnke W, Kallweit W, Rebens M, Reyer D (2007) Charakterisierung von Sturmflutablagerungen auf Hallig Hooge. Coastline Reports 9:93–102
- DeLaune RD, Jugsujinda A, Peterson GW, Patrick WH (2003) Impact of Mississippi River freshwater reintroduction on enhancing marsh accretionary processes in a Louisiana estuary. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci 58:653–662
- Diggle PJ, Ribeiro PJ Jr (2007) Model-based geostatistics. Springer Science + Business Media, LLC, New York, 230 pp
- Dijkema KS (1987) Geography of salt marshes in Europe. Z Geomorphol NF 31(4):489–499
- Dijkema KS (1990) Salt- and brackish marshes around the Baltic Sea and adjacent parts of the North Sea, their vegetation and management. Biol Conserv 51:191–209
- Dijkema KS, Van Duin W, Van Dobben HF (2005) Kweldervegetatie op Ameland: effecten van veranderingen in de maaiveldhoogte van Nieuwlandsreid en de Hon. In: Monitoring effecten van bodemdaling op Ameland-Oost. Rapport Nederlandse Aardolie Maatschappij
- Dobrowolski R, Pidek IA, Alexandrowicz WP, Hałas S, Pazdur A, Piotrowska N, Buczek A, Urban D, Melke J (2012) Interdisciplinary studies of spring mire deposits from Radzików (South Podlasie Lowland, East Poland) and their significance for palaeoenvironmental reconstructions. Geochronometria 39:10–29
- Downing JP (2006) Twenty-five years with OBS sensors: the good, the bad, and the ugly. Cont Shelf Res 26:2299–2318
- Downing JP, Beach RA (1989) Laboratory apparatus for calibrating optical suspended solids sensors. Mar Geol 86:243–249
- Downing JP, Sternberg RW, Lister CRB (1981) New instrumentation for the investigation of sediment suspension processes in the shallow marine environment. Mar Geol 42:19–34
- Duller GAT (2004) Luminescence dating of quaternary sediments: recent advances. J Quat Sci 19:183–192
- Duller GAT, Wintle AG (2012) A review of the thermally transferred optically stimulated luminescence signal from quartz for dating sediments. Quat Geochronol 7:6–20
- Dyer FMD, Thomson J, Croudace IW, Cox R, Wadsworth RA (2002) Records of change in salt marshes: a radiochronological study of three Westerschelde (SW Netherlands) marshes. Environ Sci Technol 36:854–861
- Edeso JM, Merino A, Gonzalez MJ, Marauri P (1999) Soil erosion under different harvesting managements in steep forestlands from northern Spain. Land Degrad Dev 10:79–88
- Eklöf JS, Van der Heide T, Donadi S, Van der Zee EM, O'Hara R, Eriksson BK (2011) Habitat-mediated facilitation and counteracting ecosystem engineering interactively influence ecosystem responses to disturbance. PLoS One 6:e23229. doi[:10.1371/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023229) [journal.pone.0023229](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023229)
- Eriksson BK, Van der Heide T, Piersma T, Van der Veer HW, Olff H (2010) Major changes in the ecology of the Wadden Sea: human impacts, ecosystem engineering and sediment dynamics. Ecosystems 13:752–764. doi:[10.1007/s10021-010-9352-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10021-010-9352-3)
- Escapa M, Perillo GME, Iribarne O (2008) Sediment dynamics modulated by burrowing crab activities in contrasting SW Atlantic intertidal habitats. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci 80:365–373
- Esselink P, Chang ER (2010) Kwelderherstel Noard-Fryslân Bûtendyks: invloed van stormactiviteit op zes jaar proefverkweldering. rapport 01. PUCCIMAR Ecologisch Onderzoek & Advies, Vries
- Esselink P, Helder GJF, Aerts BA, Gerdes K (1997) The impact of grubbing by Greylag Geese (Anser anser) on the vegetation dynamics of a tidal marsh. Aquat Bot 55:261–279
- Esselink P, Dijkema KS, Reents S, Hageman G (1998) Vertical accretion and profile changes in abandoned man-made tidal marshes in the Dollard estuary, the Netherlands. J Coast Res 14:570–582
- Esselink P, Zijlstra W, Dijkema KS, Van Diggelen RV (2000) The effects of decreased management on plant-species distribution patterns in a salt marsh nature reserve in the Wadden Sea. Biol Conserv 93:61–76
- Fagherazzi S, Kirwan ML, Mudd SM, Guntenspergen GR, Temmerman S, D'Alpaos A, Van de Koppel J, Rybczyk JM, Reyes E, Craft C, Clough J (2011) Numerical models of salt marsh evolution: ecological, geomorphic, and climatic factors. Rev Geophys 49:RG1002. doi:[10.1029/2011RG000359](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011RG000359)
- Fan KC (1998) A non-contact automatic measurement for free-form surface profiles. Comput Integr Manuf Syst 10:277–285
- French JR (2000) Coastal defence and earth science conservation. Geogr J 166:280–281
- French JR (2006) Tidal marsh sedimentation and resilience to environmental change: exploratory modelling of tidal, sea-level and sediment supply forcing in predominantly allochthonous systems. Mar Geol 235:119–136
- French JR, Burningham H (2003) Tidal marsh sedimentation versus sealevel rise: a southeast England estuarine perspective. Proceedings Coastal Sediments'03, Sheraton Sand Key, Clearwater, Florida, pp $1 - 14$
- French JR, Spencer T (1993) Dynamics of sedimentation in a tidedominated Backbarrier Salt-Marsh, Norfolk, UK. Mar Geol 110:315–331
- French JR, Spencer T, Murray ASL, Arnold NS (1995) Geostatistical analysis of sediment deposition in two small tidal wetlands, Norfolk, U.K. J Coast Res 11:308–321
- Frignani M, Langone L (1991) Accumulation rates and $137Cs$ distributions in sediments off the Po River delta and the Emilia-Romagna coast (northwestern Adriatic Sea, Italy). Cont Shelf Res 6:525– 542
- Fugate DC, Friedrichs CT (2002) Determining concentration and fall velocity of estuarine particle populations using ADV, OBS and LISST. Cont Shelf Res 22:1867–1886
- Gardner WD (1980) Sediment trap dynamics and calibration a laboratory evaluation. J Mar Res 38:17–39
- Gartner JW, Cheng RT (2001) The promises and pitfalls of estimating total suspended solids based on backscatter intensity from acoustic Doppler current profiler. Proceedings of the Seventh Federal Interagency Sedimentation Conference, March 25 to 29, 2001, Reno, Nevada
- Gedan KB, Kirwan ML, Wolanski E, Barbier EB, Silliman BR (2011) The present and future role of coastal wetland vegetation in protecting shorelines: answering recent challenges to the paradigm. Climate Change 106:7–29
- Gelen A, Diaz O, Simon MJ, Herrera E, Soto J, Gomez J, Rodenas C, Beltran J, Ramirez M (2003) Pb-210 dating of sediments from Havana Bay. J Radioanal Nucl Chem 256:561–564
- Glenn NF, Streutker DR, Chadwick DJ, Thackray GD, Dorsch SJ (2006) Analysis of LiDAR-derived topographic information for characterizing and differentiating landslide morphology and activity. Geomorphology 73:131–148
- Glenn NF, Spaete LP, Sankey TT, Derryberry DR, Hardegree SP, Mitchell JJ (2011) Errors in LiDAR-derived shrub height and crown area on sloped terrain. J Arid Environ 75:377–382
- Goldberg ED, Gambles E, Griffin JJ, Koide M (1977) Pollution history in Narragansett Bay as recorded in its sediments. Estuar Coast Mar Sci 5:549–561
- González JL, Törnqvist TE (2009) A new late Holocene sea-level record from the Mississippi delta: evidence for a climate/sea level connection? Quat Sci Rev 28:1737–1749
- Goodson JM, Gurnell AM, Angold PG, Morrissey IP (2003) Evidence for hydrochory and the deposition of viable seeds within winter flow-deposited sediments: the River Dove, Derbyshire, UK. River Res Appl 19:317–334
- Goslar T, Czernik J (2000) Sample preparation in the Gliwice Radiocarbon Laboratory for AMS 14C dating of sediments. Geochronometria 18:1–8
- Grant J, Turner SJ, Legendre P, Hume TM, Bell RG (1997) Patterns of sediment reworking and transport over small spatial scales on an intertidal sandflat, Manukau Harbour, New Zealand. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 216:33–50
- Grazcyk DJ, Robertson DM, Rose WJ, Steuer JJ (2000) Comparison of water-quality samples collected by Siphon Samplers and Automatic Samplers in Wisconsin. United States Geological Survey, United States Geological Survey Fact Sheet FS-067-00, Middleton, Wisconsin
- Gregory KJ, Walling DE (1971) Field measurements in the drainage basin. Geography 56:277–292
- Hakanson L, Floderus S, Wallin M (1989) Sediment trap assemblages—a methodological description. Hydrobiologia 176:481–490
- Hancock GR, Murphy D, Evans KG (2010) Hillslope and catchment scale soil organic carbon concentration: an assessment of the role of geomorphology and soil erosion in an undisturbed environment. Geoderma 155:36–45
- Hargrave BT, Burns NM (1979) Assessment of sediment trap collection efficiency. Limnol Oceanogr 24:1124–1136
- He Q, Walling DE (1996) Use of fallout Pb-210 measurements to investigate longer-term rates and patterns of overbank sediment deposition on the floodplains of lowland rivers. Earth Surf Process Landforms 21:141–154
- Hippensteel SP (2005) Limiting the limits of bioturbation, or at least focusing on the positive. Palaios 20:319–320
- Huang C-H, Bradford JM (1990) Portable laser scanner for measuring soil surface roughness. Soil Sci Soc Am J 54(5):1402–1406. doi[:10.2136/sssaj1990.03615995005400050032x](http://dx.doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1990.03615995005400050032x)
- Huntley DJ, Godfreysmith DI, Thewalt MLW (1985) Optical dating of sediments. Nature 313:105–107
- Jones CG, Lawton JH, Shachak M (1994) Organisms as ecosystem engineers. Oikos 69(3):373–386
- Jordan TE, Valiela I (1983) Sedimentation and resuspension in a New-England Salt-Marsh. Hydrobiologia 98:179–184
- Kaneko A, Koterayama W (1988) ADCP measurements from a towed fish. Eos 69:643–644
- Kaneko A, Koterayama W, Honji H, Mizuno S, Kawatate K, Le Gordon R (1990) Cross-stream survey of the upper 400 m of the Kuroshio by an ADCP on a towed fish. Deep-Sea Res 37:875– 889
- Kaye CA, Barghoorn ES (1964) Late quaternary sea-level change and crustal rise at Boston, Massachusetts, with notes on the autocompaction of peat. Geol Soc Am Bull 75:63–80
- Keim RF, Skaugset AE, Bateman DS (1999) Digital terrain modeling of small stream channels with a total-station theodolite. Adv Water Resour 23:41–48
- Kineke GC, Sternberg RW (1992) Measurements of high concentration suspended sediments using the optical backscatterance sensor. Mar Geol 108:253–258
- Kirchner G, Ehlers H (1998) Sediment geochronology in changing coastal environments: potentials and limitations of the Cs-137 and Pb-210 methods. J Coast Res 14:483–492
- Kirwan ML, Guntenspergen GR, D'Alpaos A, Morris JT, Mudd SM, Temmerman S (2010) Limits on the adaptability of coastal marshes to rising sea level. Geophys Res Lett 37:L23401
- Kleiss BA (1996) Sediment retention in a bottomland hardwood wetland in Eastern Arkansas. Wetlands 16:321–333
- Knaus RM, Vangent DL (1989) Accretion and canal impacts in a rapidly subsiding wetland.3. a new soil-horizon marker method for measuring recent accretion. Estuaries 12:269–283
- Kozerski HP, Leuschner K (1999) Plate sediment traps for slowly moving waters. Water Res 33:2913–2922
- Krauss KW, Cahoon DR, Allen JA, Ewel KC, Lynch JC, Cormier N (2010) Surface elevation change and susceptibility of different mangrove zones to sea-level rise on pacific high islands of Micronesia. Ecosystems 13:129–143
- Kuijper DPJ, Bakker JP (2012) Below- and above-ground vertebrate herbivory and abiotic factors alternate in shaping salt-marsh plant communities. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 432:17–28
- Kunzendorf H (1998) Marine mineral exploration–realities and strategies at the end of the 1990s. Mar Georesour Geotechnol 16:121–131
- Lavine A, Gardner JN, Reneau SL (2003) Total station geologic mapping: an innovative approach to analyzing surface-faulting hazards. Eng Geol 70:71–91
- Lefsky MA, Cohen WB, Parker GG, Harding DJ (2002) Lidar remote sensing for ecosystem studies. BioScience 52:19–30
- Leonard LA, Luther ME (1995) Flow hydrodynamics in tidal marsh canopies. Limnol Oceanogr 40:1474–1484
- Leonard LA, Hine AC, Luther ME (1995) Surficial sediment transport and deposition processes in a Juncus roemerianus marsh, West-Central Florida. J Coast Res 11:322–336
- Lian OB, Roberts RG (2006) Dating the quaternary: progress in luminescence dating of sediments. Quat Sci Rev 25:2449–2468
- Madsen AT, Murray AS (2009) Optically stimulated luminescence dating of young sediments: a review. Geomorphology 109:3–16
- Madsen AT, Murray AS, Andersen TJ, Pejrup M, Breuning-Madsen H (2005) Optically stimulated luminescence dating of young estuarine sediments: a comparison with 210Pb and 137Cs dating. Mar Geol 214:251–268
- Madsen AT, Murray AS, Jain M, Andersen TJ, Pejrup M (2011) A new method for measuring bioturbation rates in sandy tidal flat

sediments based on luminescence dating. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci 92:464–471

- Mansikkaniemi H (1985) Sedimentation and water quality in the flood basin of the river Kyrˇsnjoki in Finland. Fennia 163:155–194
- McKee KL, Cahoon DR, Feller IC (2007) Caribbean mangroves adjust to rising sea level through biotic controls on change in soil elevation. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 16:545–556
- Mermillod-Blondin F (2011) The functional significance of bioturbation and biodeposition on biogeochemical processes at the water– sediment interface in freshwater and marine ecosystems. J N Am Bentholl Soc 30:770–778
- Milan CS, Swenson EM, Turner RE, Lee JM (1995) Assessment of the Cs-137 method for estimating sediment accumulation rates - Louisiana salt marshes. J Coast Res 11:296–307
- Möller I (2006) Quantifying saltmarsh vegetation and its effect on wave height dissipation: results from a UK East coast saltmarsh. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci 69(3–4):337–351
- Murray AS, Wintle AG (2000) Luminescence dating of quartz using an improved single-aliqout regenerative-dose protocol. Radiat Meas 32:57–73
- Murray AS, Wintle AG (2003) The single aliqout regenerative dose protocol: potential for improvements in reliability. Radiat Meas 37:377–381
- Murray AB, Knaapen MAF, Tal M, Kirwan ML (2008) Biomorphodynamics: physical-biological feedbacks that shape landscapes. Water Resour Res 44:w11301. doi:[10.1029/2007WR006410](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2007WR006410)
- Nagihara S, Mulligan KR, Xiong W (2004) Use of a three-dimensional laser scanner to digitally capture the topography of sand dunes in high spatial resolution. Earth Surf Process Landforms 29:391– 398
- Neumann FH, Kagan EJ, Schwab MJ, Stein M (2007) Palynology, sedimentology and palaeoecology of the late Holocene Dead Sea. Quat Sci Rev 26:1476–1498
- Nie YH, Suayah IB, Benninger LK, Alperin MJ (2001) Modeling detailed sedimentary Pb-210 and fallout Pu-239, Pu-240 profiles to allow episodic events: an application in Chesapeake Bay. Limnol Oceanogr 46:1425–1437
- Nielsen N (1935) Eine Methode zur exacten sedimentationsmessung: studien über die marschbildung auf der halbinseln Skållingen. Det. Kgl. Danske videnskabernes selskab. Biol Med 12:1–97
- Nielsen N, Nielsen J (2002) Vertical growth of a young back barrier salt marsh, skallingen, SW Denmark. J Coast Res 18:287–299
- Niering WA (1997) Tidal wetland restoration and creation along the east coast of North America. In: Urbanska KM, Webb NR, Edwards PS (eds) Restoration ecology and sustainable development. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 259–285
- Nikulina A (2008) The imprint of anthropogenic activity versus natural variability in the fjords of Kiel Bight: evidence from sediments. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Kiel, unpublished
- Nilsson M (1996) Estimation of tree heights and stand volume using an airborne Lidar system. Remote Sens Environ 56:1–7
- Olff H, De Leeuw J, Bakker JP, Platerink RJ, Van Wijnen HJ, De Munck W (1997) Vegetation succession and herbivory in a salt marsh: changes induced by sea level rise and silt deposition along an elevational gradient. J Ecol 85:799–814
- Ollerhead J, Huntley DJ, Berger GW (1994) Luminescence dating of sediments from Buctouche spit, New-Brunswick. Can J Earth Sci 31:531–532
- Orson RA, Warren RS, Niering WA (1998) Interpreting sea level rise and rates of vertical marsh accretion in a Southern New England Tidal Salt Marsh. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci 47:419–429
- Osborne PD, Greenwood B (1992) Frequency dependent cross-shore suspended sediment transport 1: a non-barred shoreface. Mar Geol 106:1–24
- Parker AG, Lucas AS, Walden J, Goudie AS, Robinson MA, Allen TG (2008) Late Holocene geoarchaeological investigation of the Middle

Thames floodplain at Dorney, Buckinghamshire, UK: an evaluation of the bronze age, iron age, roman and saxon landscapes. Geomorphology 101:471–483

- Parkhurst DL (1928) A new first order theodolite. J Frankl Inst 206:623–629
- Pasternack G, Brush GS (1998) Sedimentation cycles in a River-Mouth Tidal Freshwater Marsh. Estuaries 21:407–415
- Pennington W, Cambray RS, Eakins JD, Harkeness D (1976) Radionuclide dating of the recent sediments of Blelham Tarn. Freshw Biol 6:317–331
- Perillo GME, Dos Santos EP, Piccolo MC (2003) An inexpensive instrument for sediment erosion-accumulation rate measurement in intertidal environments. Wetl Ecol Manag 11:195–198
- Petzold B, Reiss P, Stössel W (1999) Laser scanning surveying and mapping agencies are using a new technique for the derivation of digital terrain models. ISPRS J Photogramm Remote Sens 54:95– 104
- Pietsch TJ (2009) Optically stimulated luminescence dating of young (<500 years old) sediments: testing estimates of burial dose. Quat Geochronol 4:406–422
- Pinay G, Ruffinoni C, Fabre A (1995) Nitrogen cycling in 2 riparian forest soils under different geomorphic conditions. Biogeochemistry 30:9–29
- Reed J (1988) Sediment dynamics and deposition in a retreating coastal salt marsh. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci 26:67–79
- Reed DJ (1989) Patterns of sediment deposition in subsiding coastal salt marshes, Terrebonne Bay, Louisiana: the role of winter storms. Estuaries 12:222
- Reed DJ, Spencer T, Murray AL, French JR, Leonard L (1999) Marsh surface sediment deposition and the role of tidal creeks: implications for created and managed coastal marshes. J Coast Conserv 5:81–90
- Reimann T, Naumann M, Tsukamoto S, Frechen M (2010) Luminescence dating of coastal sediments from the Baltic Sea coastal barrier-spit Darss–Zingst, NE Germany. Reconstr Landsc Chang Quat Environ 122:264–273
- Reinhardt L, Jerolmack D, Cardinale BJ, Vanacker V, Wright J (2010) Dynamic interactions of life and its landscape: feedbacks at the interface of geomorphology and ecology. Earth Surf Process Landforms 35:78–101
- Ridd P, Day G, Thomas S, Harradence J, Fox D, Bunt J, Renagi O, Jago C (2001) Measurement of sediment deposition rates using an optical backscatter sensor. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci 52:155– 163
- Robbins JA, Edgington DN (1975) Determination of recent sedimentation rates in Lake Michigan using ^{210}Pb and ^{137}Cs . Geochim Cosmochim Acta 39:285–304
- Roman CT, Peck JA, Allen JRL, King JW, Appleby PG (1997) Accretion of a New England (USA) salt marsh in response to inlet migration, storms, and sea-level rise. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci 45:717–727
- Sabatier P, Dezileau L, Briqueu L, Bouchette F, Martinez P, Siani G, Raynal O, Von Grafenstein U (2012) 7000 years of paleostorm activity in the NW Mediterranean Sea in response to Holocene climate events. Quat Res 77:1–11
- Saynor MJ, Erskine WD (2006) Spatial and temporal variations in bank erosion on sand-bed streams in the seasonally wet tropics of northern Australia. Earth Surf Process Landforms 31:1080– 1099
- Saynor MJ, Loughran RJ, Erskine WD, Scott PF (1994) Sediment movement on hill slopes measured by caesium-137 and erosion pins. Variability in Streams Erosion and Sediment Transport. Proceedings of the Canberra Symposium. IAHS Publication, pp 87–93
- Scharpenseel HW, Schiffman H (1977) Soil radiocarbon analysis and soil dating. Surv Geophys 3:143–156
- Schoot PM, De Jong JEA (1988) Sedimentation and erosion measurements with the use of the Sed-Eros Table, SET. Notitie DDM1 82.401. Rijkswaterstaat
- Schrama MJJ, Van Boheemen L, Berg M, Olff H (2012) Amelioration of stress for plants on a salt marsh by the macrodetritivore Orchestia gamarellus. Dissertation, University of Groningen
- Schrama MJJ, Heijing P, Van Wijnen HJ, Bakker JP, Berg MP, Olff H (2012). Herbivore trampling as an alternative pathway for explaining differences in nitrogen mineralization in moist grasslands. Oecologia. doi:[10.1007/s00442-012-2484-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00442-012-2484-8)
- Schuerch M, Rapaglia J, Zaggia L, Vafeidis A (2012a) Sediment dynamics on a salt marsh platform in the German Wadden Sea. Unpublished manuscript. Dissertation, University of Kiel, Germany
- Schuerch M, Rapaglia J, Liebetrau V, Vafeidis A, Reise K (2012b) Salt marsh accretion and storm tide variation: an example from a barrier island in the North Sea. Estuar Coasts 35:486–500
- Shaw J, Ceman J (1999) Salt-marsh aggradation in response to late-Holocene sea-level rise at Amherst Point, Nova Scotia, Canada. Holocene 9:439–451
- Sirvent J, Desir G, Gutierrez M, Sancho C, Benito G (1997) Erosion rates in badland areas recorded by collectors, erosion pins and profilometer techniques (Ebro Basin, NE-Spain). Geomorphology 18:61–75
- Statzner B (2012) Geomorphological implications of engineering bed sediments by lotic animals. Geomorphology 157–158:49–65
- Steiger J, Gurnell AM, Goodson JM (2003) Quantifying and characterizing contemporary riparian sedimentation. River Res Appl 19:335–352
- Stoddart DR, Reed DJ, French JR (1989) Understanding salt-marsh accretion, Scolt Head Island, Norfolk, England. Estuaries 12:228– 236
- Stokes DJ, Healy TR, Cooke PJ (2010) Expansion dynamics of monospecific, temperate mangroves and sedimentation in two embayments of a barrier-enclosed lagoon, Tauranga Harbour, New Zealand. J Coast Res 261:113–122
- Struyf E, Van Damme S, Gribsholt B, Bal K, Beauchard O, Middelburg JJ, Meire P (2007) Phragmites australis and silica cycling in tidal wetlands. Aquat Bot 87:134–140
- Stumpf RP (1983) The process of sedimentation on the surface of a salt-marsh. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci 17:495–508
- Talley TS, Crooks JA, Levin LA (2001) Habitat utilization and alteration by the invasive burrowing isopod, Sphaeroma quoyanum, in California salt marshes. Mar Biol 138:561–573
- Tanaka G, Komatsu T, Saito Y, Nguyen DP, Vu QL (2011) Temporal changes in ostracod assemblages during the past 10,000 years associated with the evolution of the Red River delta system, northeastern Vietnam. Mar Micropaleontol 81:77–87
- Temmerman S, Govers G, Wartel S, Meire P (2003a) Spatial and temporal factors controlling short-term sedimentation in a salt and freshwater tidal marsh, Scheldt estuary, Belgium, SW Netherlands. Earth Surf Process Landforms 28:739–755
- Temmerman S, Govers G, Meire P, Wartel S (2003b) Modelling longterm tidal marsh growth under changing tidal conditions and suspended sediment concentrations, Scheldt estuary, Belgium. Mar Geol 193:151–169
- Temmerman S, Govers G, Wartel S, Meire P (2004a) Modelling estuarine variations in tidal marsh sedimentation: response to changing sea level and suspended sediment concentrations. Mar Geol 212:1–19
- Temmerman S, Govers G, Meire P, Wartel S (2004b) Simulating the long-term development of levee-basin topography on tidal marshes. Geomorphology 63:39–55
- Temmerman S, Bouma TJ, Govers G, Lauwaet D (2005) Flow paths of water and sediment in a tidal marsh: relations with marsh developmental stage and tidal inundation height. Estuaries 28:338–352
- Temmerman S, Moonen P, Schoelynck J, Govers G, Bouma TJ (2012) Impact of vegetation die-off on spatial flow patterns over a tidal marsh. Geophys Res Lett 39:L03406
- Thomas S, Ridd PV (2004) Review of methods to measure short time scale sediment accumulation. Mar Geol 207:95–114
- Thomas S, Ridd PV, Smith PJ (2002) New instrumentation for sediment dynamics studies. Mar Technol Soc J 36:55–58
- Toledo MB, Bush MB (2008) Vegetation and hydrology changes in Eastern Amazonia inferred from a pollen record. An Acad Bras Sci 80:191–203
- Tsai SW, Chung Y (1989) Pb-210 in the sediments of Taiwan Strait. Acta Oceanogr Taiwan 22:1–13
- Tuner W, Spector S, Gardiner N, Fladeland M, Sterling E, Steiniger M (2003) Remote sensing for biodiversity science and conservation. Trends Ecol Evol 18:306–314
- Turner RE, Swenson EM, Milan CS (2001) Organic and inorganic contributions to vertical accretion in salt marsh sediments. In: Weinstein M, Kreeger M (eds) Concepts and controversies in tidal marsh ecology. Kluwer Academic Publishing, Dordrecht, pp 583– 864
- Turney CSM, Lowe JJ, Wastegård S, Cooper R, Roberts SJ (2001) The development of a tephrochronological framework for the last glacial–holocene transition in NW Europe. In: Juvigne J, Raynal J-P (eds) Tephras: chronology and archeology. Les Dossiers de l'Arche´o-Logis, No. 1, Goudet, CRDP: Clermont Ferrand, 101–109
- Turney CSM, Van den Burg K, Wastega S, Davies SM, Whitehouse NJ, Pilcher JR, Callaghan C (2006) North European last glacial– interglacial transition (LGIT; 15–9 ka) tephrochronology: extended limits and new events. J Quat Sci 21:335–345
- Van der Heide T, Van Nes EH, Geerling GW, Smolders AJP, Bouma TJ, Van Katwijk MM (2007) Positive feedbacks in seagrass ecosystems: implications for success in conservation and restoration. Ecosystems 10:1311–1322
- Van Duin WE, Dijkema KS, Zegers J (1997) Veranderingen in Bodemhoogte (opslibbing, Erosie En Inklink) in De Peazemerlannen. IBN-report 326, Wageningen
- Van Duin WE, Dijkema KS, Van Leeuwen PW (2007) Uitgangssituatie maaiveldhoogte en kweldervegetatie in de Peazemerlannen (2006). Wageningen IMARES, Texel. Rapport C128/07. 79 p
- Van Katwijk MM, Hermus DCR, De Jong DJ, Asmus RM, De Jonge VN (2000) Habitat suitability of the Wadden Sea for restoration of Zostera marina beds. Helgol Mar Res 54:117–128
- Van Proosdij D, Davidson-Arnott RGD, Ollerhead J (2006) Controls on spatial patterns of sediment deposition across a macro-tidal salt marsh surface over single tidal cycles. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci 69:64–86
- Van Wesenbeeck BK, van de Koppel J, Herman PMJ, Bakker JP, Bouma TJ (2007) Biomechanical warfare in ecology; negative interactions between species by habitat modification. Oikos 116:742–750
- Van Wijnen HJ, Bakker JP (1999) Nitrogen and phosphorus limitation in a coastal barrier salt marsh: the implications for vegetation succession. J Ecol 87:265–272
- Van Wijnen HJ, Bakker JP (2001) Long-term surface elevation change in salt marshes: a prediction of marsh response to future sea-level rise. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci 52:381–390
- Veihe A, Jensen NH, Schiøtz IG, Nielsen SL (2011) Magnitude and processes of bank erosion at a small stream in Denmark. Hydrol Process 25:1597–1613
- Viles HA, Naylor LA, Carter NEA, Chaput D (2008) Biogeomorphological disturbance regimes: progress in linking ecological and geomorphological systems Biogeomorphological disturbance regimes. Earth Surf Process Landforms 33:1419–1435
- Voulgaris G, Meyers ST (2004) Temporal variability of hydrodynamics, sediment concentration and sediment settling velocity in a tidal creek. Cont Shelf Res 24:1659–1683
- Walling D, He Q (1999) Using fallout lead-210 measurements to estimate soil erosion on cultivated land. Soil Sci Soc Am J 63:1404–1412
- Wamsley TV, Cialone MA, Smith JM, Atkinson JH, Rosati JD (2010) The potential of wetlands in reducing storm surge. Ocean Eng 37:59–68
- Wang F, Wang H, Li J, Pei Y, Fan C, Tian L, Shang Z, Song M, Geng Y (2008) /sup 210/Pb and/sup 137/Cs measurements in the Circum Bohai Sea coastal region: sedimentation rates and implications. Front Earth Sci China 2:276–282
- Watson EB (2004) Changing elevation, accretion, and tidal marsh plant assemblages in a south San Fransisco Bay tidal marsh. Estuar Coasts 27:684–698. doi[:10.1007/BF02907653](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02907653)
- Watson EB (2008) Marsh expansion at calaveras point marsh, South San Francisco Bay, California. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci 78:593–602
- Wattayakorn G, Wolanski E, Kjerfve B (1990) Mixing, trapping and outwelling in the Klong Ngao Mangrove Swamp, Thailand. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci 31:667–688
- Williams H (2003) Modeling shallow autocompaction in coastal marshes using cesium-137 fallout: preliminary results from the Trinity River Estuary, Texas. J Coast Res 19:180–188
- Wolters M, Geertsema J, Chang ER, Veeneklaas RM (2004) Astroturf seed traps for studying hydrochory. Funct Ecol 18:141–147
- Wolters M, Bakker JP, Bertness MD, Jefferies RL, Möller I (2005) Saltmarsh erosion and restoration in south-east England: squeezing the evidence requires realignment. J Appl Ecol 42:844–851
- Wright JP, Jones CG, Flecker AS (2002) An ecosystem engineer, the beaver, increases species richness at the landscape scale. Oecologia 132:96–101
- Yu SY, Törnqvist TE, Hu P (2012) Quantifying lithospheric subsidence rates underneath the Mississippi delta. Earth Planet Sci Lett 331– 332:21–30
- Zhang L, Mitsch WJ (2007) Sediment chemistry and nutrient influx in a hydrologically restored bottomland hardwood forest in Midwestern USA. River Res Appl 23:1026–1037
- Zuniga D, Calafat A, Heussner S, Miserocchi S, Sanchez-Vidal A, Garcia-Orellana J, Canals M, Sanchez-Cabeza JA, Carbonne J, Delsaut N, Saragoni G (2008) Compositional and temporal evolution of particle fluxes in the open Algero-Balearic basin (Western Mediterranean). J Mar Syst 70:196–214
- Zwolsman JJG, Berger GW, Van Eck GTM (1993) Sediment accumulation rates, historical input, postdepositional mobility and retention of major elements and trace-metals in salt-marsh sediments of the Scheldt Estuary, Sw Netherlands. Mar Chem 44:73–94

websites

http://www.campbellsci.ca/Download/LitNote_obsbasics.pdf <http://www.csc.noaa.gov/digitalcoast/data/click/index.html> <http://www.pwrc.usgs.gov/set/>