## **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# **Behavioral pattern of generalist and specialist insect pests to brassicaceous leaf cuticular** *n***‑alkanes and free fatty acids**

**Nayan Roy[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0114-0596)**

Received: 2 March 2022 / Accepted: 22 August 2022 / Published online: 8 September 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2022

#### **Abstract**

The role of leaf cuticular wax of cabbage, *Brassica oleracea* L., rapeseed, *B. campestris* L., and Indian mustard, *B. juncea* L. in host preference by two generalists, *Spilarctia obliqua* Walker and *Pieris brassicae* L., and a specialist, *Plutella xylostella* L. was investigated under laboratory conditions. A total of 25 *n*-alkanes from  $n-C_{14}$  to  $n-C_{36}$  and 15 free fatty acids (FFAs) from  $C_{120}$  to  $C_{220}$  were detected from leaf cuticular wax of three crops with significant variations in their respective quantities ( $\mu$ g leaf<sup>-1</sup>). The most preferred wax chemicals were consisted of 5 *n*-alkanes (*n*-C<sub>16</sub>, *n*-C<sub>18</sub>, *n*-C<sub>20</sub>, *n*-C<sub>22</sub>, *n*-C<sub>30</sub>) and 5 FFAs  $(C_{12:0}, C_{16:0}, C_{18:3}, C_{18:2}, C_{18:1})$  for the pests. Highest attraction index (AI%) and oviposition preference index (OPI%) were in the order of *P. brassicae*>*P. xylostella*>*S. obliqua* and *P. xylostella*>*P. brassicae*>*S. obliqua*, respectively, toward the combined synthetic mixture (5 *n*-alkanes+5 FFAs) treated leaf of cabbage due to higher amount of wax chemicals in the specifc combination. Both generalist and specialist have same patterns of olfaction and oviposition preferences with diferent magnitude of responses. Thus, the synthetic blends of same 5 *n*-alkanes and 5 FFAs of the most preferred cabbage cultivar might be used as lure to develop baited trap as well as less preferred crop (rapeseed and Indian mustard) species might be used as main crop with cabbage as trap crop against the pests for their sustainable ecological management in near future.

**Keywords** *Brassica oleracea* · *B. campestris* · *B. juncea* · *Spilarctia obliqua* · *Pieris brassicae* · *Plutella xylostella* · *n*-alkanes · Free fatty acids · Baited trap · Trap crop

# **Introduction**

Brassicaceous vegetables like cabbage and oil seeds are most popular around the world in respect of its great potential for providing food security (Biswas et al. [2019;](#page-11-0) Gawariya et al. [2015;](#page-11-1) Neik et al. [2017](#page-12-0); Obermeier et al. [2022;](#page-12-1) Tandayu et al. [2022\)](#page-13-0). Cabbage, *Brassica oleracea* L. var. *capitata*, is one of the most important vegetables grown throughout the world (Koza et al. [2018](#page-12-2)). After China, India is the largest grower of cabbage in world (Salim and Abed [2015\)](#page-13-1). Bearing leafy green heads, the cabbage is used as salad, vegetable, food (cooked/raw), as well as for medicinal purposes (Tripathi [1999;](#page-13-2) Koza et al. [2018](#page-12-2)). Rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* L.) and Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern & Coss.) are the major oilseed crops in the world after soybean and groundnut, respectively (Singh et al. [2010;](#page-13-3) Dutta [2016](#page-11-2)).

 $\boxtimes$  Nayan Roy nayan909@gmail.com India is one of the largest grower, producer, importer, as well as exporter of these oilseed crops in the world (Barick et al. [2020](#page-11-3)). The oil content of the rapeseed and mustard ranges about 42% and 38–40%, respectively (Biswas et al. [2019](#page-11-0)). In southeast Asian countries a complex of more than 30 benefcial and harmful insect pests are found on these crops (Ali and Rizvi [2007;](#page-11-4) Golizadeh et al. [2009](#page-11-5); Kumar et al. [2020;](#page-12-3) Song et al. [2022\)](#page-13-4). However, genetic breeding and mixed cropping of these crops were conducted for development of improved cultivars with multiple insect pest resistances/tolerances for their better production (Hussain et al. [2020](#page-12-4); Roy [2021a](#page-13-5); Obermeier et al. [2022](#page-12-1)).

Among the insect pests, the hairy caterpillar, *Spilarctia obliqua* (Walker, 1855) (Lepidoptera: Arctiidae) (Gupta and Bhattacharya [2008;](#page-12-5) Warad and Kalleswaraswamy [2017](#page-13-6)), cabbage caterpillar, *Pieris brassicae* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) (Ali and Rizvi [2007](#page-11-4); Bhowmik and Gupta [2017](#page-11-6)), and the oligophagous, diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) (Sarfraz et al. [2007;](#page-13-7) Karmakar et al. [2022](#page-12-6)) are cosmopolitan in distribution and most serious defoliator of diferent

<sup>1</sup> Ecology Research Unit, Department of Zoology, M.U.C. Women's College, Burdwan, West Bengal 713104, India

cruciferous crops. Their full-grown larvae cause severe defoliation and signifcant reduction in yield (Kumar et al. [2018](#page-12-7); Gupta and Bhattacharya [2008](#page-12-5)). In many countries they have developed resistance to most synthetic insecticides used against them (Sarfraz and Keddie [2005;](#page-13-8) Hasan and Ansari [2010](#page-12-8); Kumar and Ali [2010;](#page-12-9) Passos et al. [2020\)](#page-12-10). In addition, the indiscriminate use of broad-spectrum insecticides are considered responsible for its high pest status (Aktar et al. [2009](#page-11-7); Deguine et al. [2021](#page-11-8)). Consequently, a comprehensive behavioral study of these pests on most common brassicaceous crops (cabbage, *B. oleracea* L., cv. NS-183, rapeseed, *B. campestris* L. cv. TS 38, and Indian mustard, *B. juncea* L. Czern & Coss., cv. B 85) (Atri et al. [2012;](#page-11-9) Banerjee et al. [2018;](#page-11-10) Barick et al. [2020\)](#page-11-3) is needed to promote integrated pest management (IPM) and to reduce the reliance on the chemical pesticides.

Herbivorous insects recognize their host plants by several physicochemical cues (Renwick and Chew [1994;](#page-13-9) Schoonhoven et al. [2005](#page-13-10); Lucas-Barbosa et al. [2016\)](#page-12-11). The frst physical contact between an herbivorous insect and host plant occurs on the leaf cuticular surfaces which act as low volatile cues for host acceptance (Chapman and Bernays [1989;](#page-11-11) Derridj et al. [1996](#page-11-12); Jetter and Schäfer [2001](#page-12-12); Fernández et al. [2019](#page-11-13); Song et al. [2022\)](#page-13-4). Particularly, in lepidopterans it is crucial as their neonates are often relatively immobile and thus depend on the judicious choice of host plant by the adult females (Jetter et al. [2000](#page-12-13); Müller and Riederer [2005](#page-12-14)). Even, the epicuticular waxes protect plant tissues from uncontrolled non-stomatal water loss, UV radiation, defense against pathogens, etc. (Wang et al. [2015](#page-13-11)). Epicuticular waxes mainly consist of long-chain alkanes, FFAs, esters, aldehydes, and alcohols, which composition varies widely within a species or cultivars of a species (Jetter et al. [2006;](#page-12-15) Mitra et al. [2020;](#page-12-16) Debnath et al. [2021\)](#page-11-14). The importance of leaf alkanes and FFAs as semio-chemicals has been demonstrated for management of diferent insect species (Eigenbrode and Pillai [1998](#page-11-15); Müller and Hilker [2001](#page-12-17); Das et al. [2019;](#page-11-16) Little et al. [2019](#page-12-18)). Specially, low volatile *n*-alkanes and FFAs serve an important role in insect–plant interactions, like olfactory attractant (Roy et al. [2012a,](#page-13-12) [b](#page-13-13); Karmakar et al. [2016](#page-12-19); Malik et al. [2017](#page-12-20)) and or oviposition stimulant (van Loon et al. [1992](#page-13-14); Parr et al. [1998;](#page-12-21) Li and Ishikawa [2006\)](#page-12-22). There are a handful of studies that investigated the attraction to host plant volatiles (Ikeura et al. [2010](#page-12-23); Feng et al. [2017](#page-11-17); Hussain et al. [2020](#page-12-4); Song et al. [2022](#page-13-4)) but unequivocal evidence for host wax chemicals (*n*-alkanes and FFAs) used in olfaction and oviposition site selection by these insect pests has so far been scarce.

In view of the potential for using host-derived semiochemicals in insect pest management, the aims of this study were (i) to identify and quantify the composition of leaf cuticular wax chemicals (*n*-alkanes and FFAs) present in the three widely used crops (cabbage [cv. NS-183], rapeseed [cv. TS 38], and Indian mustard [cv. B 85]), (ii) to fnd the role of respective leaf surface (epicuticular) wax chemicals followed by their synthetic analogs and their mixtures (*n*-alkanes and FFAs) in short-range attraction and oviposition of the three pests (*S. obliqua*, *P. brassicae*, and *P. xylostella*) through diferent bioassay experiments under laboratory conditions, (iii) to fnd the pattern of olfaction and oviposition preferences of the generalists (moth and butterfy) and specialist (moth) to the wax chemicals, and (iv) to fnd out the most effective combination of wax chemicals (*n*-alkanes and FFAs) in attraction and oviposition of the pests for designing a baited trap as well as more susceptible crops as trap crop for integrated pest management (IPM) in near future.

## **Materials and methods**

# **Plants**

Three widely used brassicaceous crops, like cabbage, *Brassica oleracea* L. (cv. NS-183), rapeseed, *B. campestris* L. (cv. TS 38), and Indian mustard, *B. juncea* L. (cv. B 85) (Banerjee et al. [2018;](#page-11-10) Biswas et al. [2019](#page-11-0); Barick et al. [2020](#page-11-3)), were cultivated in a selected feld in West Bengal, India (22° 53′ N, 88° 23′ E, 13-m above sea level) during 2020–2021 growing season. Nine plots [each plot  $10 \text{ m} \times 10 \text{ m}$ ; soil organic matter  $5.3 \pm 0.2\%$ , pH 7.7, average relative humidity (RH)  $70 \pm 15\%$ , photoperiod 14 L:10 D at 30–36 °C] were prepared for cultivation of the crops with average plant density of  $9 \pm 3$ ,  $34 \pm 4$ , and  $40 \pm 4$  plants/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. The selected crop species were separately germinated and each was grown in three side-by-side plots with a gap of 0.5 m between two plots. A space of 1 m was kept for cultivation of another crop and all plots were maintained without any insecticide spraying. Two to three mature leaves were collected from each 5–7-week-old plant at 6 AM. Three separate batches of around 100-g leaves of each crop (cabbage leaf [*n*=7], rapeseed leaf [*n*=51], and Indian mustard leaf  $[n=55]$ ) were collected from the respective plots for extraction of leaf surface waxes. Only mature leaf surface waxes of crops were considered during this study because the herbivores generally prefer to feed on these leaves.

#### **Insects**

Three lepidopteran pests, polyphagous, *S. obliqua* Walker (Arctiidae), *P. brassicae* L. (Pieridae), and oligophagous, *P. xylostella* L. (Plutellidae) adults (males and females), were collected by light trap from the similarly cultivated sesame (*Sesamum indicum*, cv. Rama, Pedaliaceae) plants growing in the feld in West Bengal, India and placed them on the same sesame leaves for egg laying. Newly emerged frst instar larvae  $(F_1)$  of each pest species were placed separately on the same host leaves for feeding and they were kept at  $27 \pm 1$  °C,  $70 \pm 10\%$  RH, and 14 L:10 D photoperiod with light intensity of 1500 lx in a Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) incubator as in Roy ([2019b](#page-13-15), [2020\)](#page-13-16). Three generations  $(F_1-F_3)$  of each pest species were completed on the same host leaves. The  $F_4$  mature females (1–2 days old) of each species were used for olfactory and oviposition bioassays in laboratory conditions. The larvae and adults (females) of *S. obliqua*, *P. brassicae*, and *P. xylostella* were not reared on the selected host plants (cabbage, rapeseed, and Indian mustard) other than sesame based on Hopkins' host-selection principle (Barron [2001](#page-11-18)) to avoid any biasness to leaf surface waxes of respective crop species during their bioassays.

# **Extraction of leaf surface wax**

Freshly collected mature leaves of about 100 g for each crop (cabbage [cv. NS-183], rapeseed [cv. TS 38], and Indian mustard [cv. B 85]), respectively (ESM Table [1\)](#page-2-0) with three replications were dipped in 2L *n*-hexane

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1** Composition of *n*-alkanes (µg leaf<sup>-1</sup>) in plant surface waxes (Mean $\pm$ SE,  $n=3$ ) of three selected brassicaceous crops (cabbage, *Brassica oleracea* L. [cv. NS-183], rapeseed, *B. campestris* L. [cv. TS

separately for 1 min at room temperature for extraction of their surface wax which yielded straw-colored extracts without trace of any chlorophyll (Mitra et al. [2020](#page-12-16)). The crude extract was passed through Whatman No. 41 (Maidstone, UK) flter paper and was evaporated at room temperature (20–22  $\textdegree$ C) to dryness. The extraction was repeated three times separately for each crop and the dry extract (wax) were yielded  $16.667 \pm 0.371$ ,  $38.400 \pm 0.519$ , and  $73.067 \pm 0.867$  mg 100 g<sup>-1</sup> in cabbage, rapeseed, and Indian mustard leaves, respectively (ESM Table [1\)](#page-2-0). Each crude extract was then dissolved in 30-ml *n*-hexane and divided into three equal portions (equivalent to 33.33 g of leaves), frst one used for identifcation and quantifcation of *n*-alkanes and FFAs, whereas remaining second and third one after purifcation used for attraction and oviposition bioassays, respectively. All solvents used were of analytical grade and purchased from E. Merck (Mumbai, India). All standard *n*-alkanes and fatty acids (FAs) (>99% purity) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany).

38], and Indian mustard, *B. juncea* L. [cv. B 85]) determined during their growing season in 2020–2021

Alkanes ( $\mu$ g leaf <sup>-1</sup> )	Cabbage	Rapeseed	Indian mustard	$F_{2,6}$	$\boldsymbol{P}$
<i>n</i> -Tetradecane $(n-C_{14})$	$4.261 \pm 0.113$ <sup>a</sup>	$4.647 \pm 0.047$ <sup>b</sup>	$1.347 \pm 0.080$ <sup>c</sup>	457.361	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Pentadecane $(n-C_{15})$	$39.944 \pm 1.058$ <sup>a</sup>	$20.253 \pm 0.204^b$	$12.164 \pm 0.724$ <sup>c</sup>	363.463	< 0.001
$n$ -Hexadecane ( $n$ -C <sub>16</sub> )	$105.645 \pm 2.798^a$	$76.903 \pm 0.775^{bA}$	$29.691 \pm 1.767$ <sup>c</sup>	381.913	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Octadecane $(n-C_{18})$	$169.702 \pm 4.495^a$	$105.860 \pm 1.067^b$	$49.860 \pm 2.968$ <sup>c</sup>	357.822	< 0.001
$n$ -Eicosane ( $n$ -C <sub>20</sub> )	$155.249 \pm 4.112^a$	$98.299 \pm 0.991^b$	$44.610 \pm 2.655$ <sup>c</sup>	368.244	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Docosane $(n-C_{22})$	$140.145 \pm 3.712^a$	$81.084 \pm 0.817^b$	$34.806 \pm 2.072$ <sup>c</sup>	446.351	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Tricosane $(n-C_{23})$	$24.728 \pm 0.655^a$	$15.762 \pm 0.159^b$	$2.127 \pm 0.127$ <sup>c</sup>	826.376	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Tetracosane ( <i>n</i> -C <sub>24</sub> )	$14.190 \pm 0.376^a$	$23.463 \pm 0.236^b$	$2.333 \pm 0.139$ <sup>c</sup>	1554.525	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Pentacosane $(n-C_{25})$	$134.964 \pm 3.575^a$	$76.244 \pm 0.768$ <sup>bA</sup>	$28.056 \pm 1.670$ <sup>c</sup>	532.276	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Hexacosane $(n-C_{26})$	$54.823 \pm 1.452^a$	$26.394 \pm 0.266^b$	$4.817 \pm 0.287$ <sup>c</sup>	834.568	< 0.001
$n$ -Heptacosane ( $n$ -C <sub>27</sub> )	$107.842 \pm 2.856^a$	$62.660 \pm 0.632^b$	$19.950 \pm 1.187$ <sup>c</sup>	581.469	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Octacosane $(n-C_{28})$	$48.073 \pm 1.273$ <sup>a</sup>	$64.653 \pm 0.652^b$	$16.860 \pm 1.003$ <sup>c</sup>	578.732	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Nonacosane $(n-C_{29})$	$71.758 \pm 1.901^a$	$50.316 \pm 0.507^b$	$15.671 \pm 0.933$ <sup>c</sup>	507.014	< 0.001
$n$ -Triacontane ( $n$ -C <sub>30</sub> )	$193.154 \pm 5.116^a$	$126.437 \pm 1.274$ <sup>b</sup>	$32.072 \pm 1.909$ <sup>c</sup>	625.086	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Hentriacontane $(n-C_{31})$	$65.533 \pm 1.736^a$	$47.634 \pm 0.480^b$	$15.115 \pm 0.900^{\circ}$	483.659	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Dotriacontane $(n-C_{32})$ Branch		$18.356 \pm 0.185^b$	$6.193 \pm 0.369$ <sup>c</sup>	1538.144	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Dotriacontane $(n-C_{32})$	$99.128 \pm 2.625^a$	$371.268 \pm 3.742^b$	$100.550 \pm 5.984$ <sup>c</sup>	1299.159	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Tritriacontane $(n-C_{33})$ Branch			$0.759 \pm 0.045$		
<i>n</i> -Tritriacontane $(n-C_{33})$	$33.377 \pm 0.884$ <sup>a</sup>	$82.895 \pm 0.836^b$	$25.941 \pm 1.544$ <sup>cB</sup>	744.345	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Tetratriacontane ( $n-C_{34}$ ) Branch		$71.032 \pm 0.716^a$	$26.083 \pm 1.552^{bB}$	1325.268	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Tetratriacontane $(n-C_{34})$	$23.162 \pm 0.613^a$	$709.447 \pm 7.151^{\rm b}$	$235.751 \pm 14.031$ <sup>c</sup>	1490.775	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Pentatriacontane ( $n$ -C <sub>35</sub> ) Branch		$7.411 \pm 0.075$ <sup>a</sup>	$4.832 \pm 0.288$ <sup>b</sup>	481.040	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Pentatriacontane $(n-C_{35})$	$20.853 \pm 0.552^a$	$95.679 \pm 0.964^b$	$35.925 \pm 2.138$ <sup>c</sup>	809.106	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Hexatriacontane ( $n-C_{36}$ ) Branch		$34.167 \pm 0.344$ <sup>a</sup>	$14.727 \pm 0.877$ <sup>b</sup>	993.512	< 0.001
<i>n</i> -Hexatriacontane $(n-C_{36})$	$22.667 \pm 0.600^a$	$180.716 \pm 1.821^b$	$75.833 \pm 4.513$ <sup>c</sup>	806.833	< 0.001

Within rows and columns means followed by same letters (lower and upper case, respectively) are not signifcantly diferent (*P*≥0.05) by Tukey's HSD test

#### **Analysis of** *n***‑alkanes**

One half of the frst portion of each crude extract of each kind of crop (cabbage, rapeseed, and Indian mustard) leaves was passed through a column of aluminum oxide (Alcoa, Frankfurt, Germany: F-20 grade) and eluted with petroleum ether. The eluent was fractioned by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel G (Sigma St. Louis, MO, USA) of 0.5 mm thickness using carbon tetrachloride  $(CCl<sub>4</sub>)$  as the mobile phase. A faint yellowish band was appeared on the TLC plate and the plate was air-dried under laboratory conditions. The  $R_f$  (Retention factor) value (0.86) was compared with the  $R_f$  value of a mixture of synthetic *n*-alkanes between  $n - C_{10}$  and  $n - C_{40}$ . The single hydrocarbon band produced in each TLC plate was eluted from the silica gel layer with chloroform, which showed only C–H stress in IR spectroscopy (JASCO FT-IR spectrophotometer). The purifed alkane samples were used for gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) and GC-FID (flame ionization detector) for identifcation and quantifcation, respectively, as described by Roy ([2019a\)](#page-13-17). The extracts were analyzed with a Shimadzu GCMS-QP5050A to produce electron ionization (EI) mass spectra using HP-5MS column for GCMS-EI analysis using a specifed oven temperature program (initially 80 °C held for 2 min, then raised at 15 °C/min to 320 °C, and fnally held for 15 min) as described by Roy [\(2021b](#page-13-18)). The areas of each peak were converted into quantities of *n*-alkanes based on GC peak area of internal standard heneicosane (*n*-C<sub>21</sub> at 100 ng  $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup>).

### **Analysis of FFAs**

Remaining half of the frst portion of each crude extract of each crop (cabbage, rapeseed, and Indian mustard) leaves was mixed with diethyl ether and fltered through Whatman No. 41 flter paper. The extract was purifed by TLC on silica gel G of 0.5 mm thickness using *n*-butanol:acetic acid:water (4:1:5) as the mobile phase after discarding water. The band  $(R_f 0.69)$  was eluted from the silica gel layer with diethyl ether to get purifed FFAs. Then the purifed FFAs were esterified with 3-ml  $BF_3$ -Methanol followed by warming for 5 min in a hot water bath at 50–60 °C and cooled at room temperature of 20–22 °C. Hexane (40 ml) was added to this mixture followed by washing with saturated NaCl twice in a separating funnel. The aqueous layer of each sample was discarded and the hexane fraction was passed through 40-g anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . One portion of each esterified sample was used for GC–MS and another for GC-FID. The extraction of FFAs from each crude extract was separately repeated thrice followed by esterifcation as described by Roy ([2019a](#page-13-17)). The extracts were analyzed with a Shimadzu GCMS-QP5050A to produce electron ionization (EI) mass spectra using HP-5MS column for GCMS-EI analysis using

a specified oven temperature program (initially held at 120 °C for 2 min, then raised at the rate of 10 °C/min to 220 °C, and finally held at 220 °C for 15 min) as described by Roy [\(2021b\)](#page-13-18). The area of each peak was converted into quantities of FFAs based on GC peak area of internal standard methyl tricosanoate ( $C_{23:0}$  at 100 ng  $\mu$ l<sup>−1</sup>).

#### **Bioassays**

#### **Wax chemicals for bioassays**

Both natural *n*-alkanes and FFAs isolated from leaf surface wax of the three brassicaceous crops (cabbage, rapeseed, and Indian mustard) were prepared in leaf equivalent (μg leaf−1 ml−1) amount dissolving in petroleum ether for different bioassays (olfactory attraction and oviposition) of selected generalists (*S. obliqua* and *P. brassicae*) and specialist (*P. xylostella*) pests through different treatments under defned conditions. Petroleum ether was used as the control solvent because both adults and larvae of the pests were neither attracted nor deterred by it in their preliminary bioassay experiments. The synthetic individual *n*-alkanes, FFAs, and their mixtures mimicking the natural leaf wax ( $\mu$ g leaf<sup>-1</sup> ml<sup>-1</sup>) were prepared by the same procedure as in naturally isolated chemicals. The de-waxed leaves for the bioassays were prepared using fresh leaves before dipped in *n*-hexane for 1 min as described in wax extraction process.

#### **Insects for bioassays**

Newly emerged (1–2 days old) F4 mature females of *S. obliqua*, *P. brassicae*, and *P. xylostella* were provisioned with water and starved for 12 h prior to use in olfactory attraction and only 10% sucrose solution provided as food during oviposition bioassays in diferent treatments. Always healthy individuals were selected and used once throughout the bioassay experiments with three replications for each pest species.

#### **Y‑tube olfactometer for bioassays:**

The effectiveness of *n*-alkanes and FFAs as olfactory attractants was evaluated by diferent treatments under specifed conditions described below. The behavioral responses of adult females were investigated in a Y-tube olfactometer [20.0-cm long (1) stem and arms, 8.0 cm diameter (d),  $60^{\circ}$ Y angle] as described by Roy  $(2019a)$  $(2019a)$ . The stem of the olfactometer was connected to a porous glass vial [(8.0 cm  $(d) \times 10.0$  cm long (l)] in which test insects were released. Each arm of the olfactometer was connected to a glass micro kit adapter  $[4.0 \text{ cm } (d) \times 6.0 \text{ cm } (l)]$  fitted into a glass vial  $[8.0 \text{ cm } (d) \times 8.0 \text{ cm } (1)]$ . The membrane pump producing an air flow of 450 ml min<sup>-1</sup> was first purified by passing through charcoal filter and the flow of purified air was adjusted to 150 ml min−1 which led into left and right glass vials through the micro kit adapters. All the connections between diferent parts of the set-up consisted of silicon tube. One ml of solvent bearing one gram leaf equivalent (μg leaf−1 ml−1) amount of identifed *n*-alkanes and FFAs were applied (individually or in mixture to Whatman no. 41 filter paper pieces  $[4 \text{ cm}^2]$  or on leaf) as volatile cues and another only with solvent (petroleum ether) or de-waxed leaf as control and allowed to evaporate the solvent in open space (1 min) under laboratory condition. These flter papers or leaves in diferent treatments were introduced into the glass vials attached with the olfactometer. One adult female of each pest (*S. obliqua*, *P. brassicae*, and *P. xylostella*) was introduced into the porous glass vial attached with the olfactometer to measure the attractiveness.

The behavior of each female was observed for 3 min in the Y-tube because increasing the experimental time did not increase the number of responding insects. A decision line was located at 10 cm in each side from the junction of the Y-tube and an individual crossing the line within 3 min from release with at least half the body was counted as a response. If no line was crossed after the experimental time had run out, the experiment was treated as no response. To eliminate traces from previous trials, the tube was cleaned with petroleum ether followed by acetone and dried before a new individual was tested. Each experiment with one volatile sample was conducted until a total of  $72 (24 \times 3)$  females had used and after testing 12 insects the olfactometer set-up and the position of the two arms were systematically changed (rotated 180°) in order to avoid any positional biasness.

## **Bioassays for host preference by leaf cuticular wax chemicals**

The dual-choice tests for olfactory attraction of *S. obliqua*, *P. brassicae*, and *P. xylostella* females to natural *n*-alkanes, FFAs, and wax in leaf equivalent ( $\mu$ g leaf<sup>-1</sup>) amount along with intact leaf of selected three crops (cabbage, rapeseed, and Indian mustard) were conducted to fnd the most preferred crop for them with three replications in four diferent treatments under defned conditions as follows:

Condition 1 (C1): Normal vs. de-waxed leaf of the selected crops (ESM Table 2).

Condition 2 (C2): Natural *n*-alkanes treated flter paper vs. solvent (ESM Table 2).

Condition 3 (C3): Natural FFAs treated flter paper vs. solvent (ESM Table 2).

Condition 4 (C4): Natural wax-treated flter paper vs. solvent (ESM Table 2).

The attraction index (AI%) is determined for each pest using the formula  $[(T - C)/(T + C) \times 100]$ , where *T* is the number of adults (females) attracted in various treatments (normal leaf or wax chemicals) and *C* is the number of adults (females) attracted in controls (de-waxed leaf or solvent) with few modifcations (Singh et al. [2011](#page-13-19)).

The same dual-choice olfaction test was conducted for identifed *n*-alkanes and FFAs present in the most preferred host (cabbage, cv. NS-183) individually through their synthetic analogs in leaf equivalent ( $\mu$ g leaf<sup>-1</sup>) amounts to find the most preferred cues having minimum  $\geq 65\%$ attractiveness with three replications under same conditions as in host preference experiments (ESM Table 3). Even, the same tests to the most preferred cues of selected synthetic *n*-alkanes (*n*-C<sub>16</sub>, *n*-C<sub>18</sub>, *n*-C<sub>20</sub>, *n*-C<sub>22</sub>, *n*-C<sub>22</sub>) and FFAs ( $C_{12:0}$ ,  $C_{16:0}$ ,  $C_{18:3}$ ,  $C_{18:2}$ , and  $C_{18:1}$ ) were conducted in mixture as well as in combination  $(5 n$ -alkanes + 5FFAs) under four defned conditions (A1, A2, A3, and A4) with three replications in leaf equivalent ( $\mu$ g leaf<sup>-1</sup>) amounts of respective wax chemicals present in cabbage (cv. NS-183) because they were produced more attractiveness than their individual cues to the pest species (ESM Table 4).

#### **Female oviposition bioassays**

Oviposition preference was assessed using newly emerged 24 pairs of mature male and female of each pest species (*S. obliqua*, *P. brassicae*, and *P. xylostella*) in 3 groups for each (24  $\times$  3 = 72 pairs) in glass chambers (40  $\times$  40  $\times$  40  $\text{cm}^3$ ) using the synthetic mixtures as in adult olfactory bioassays (ESM Table 4). The dual-choice test was conducted for each treatment in the said glass chambers covered with nylon net and the data were collected after 24-h interval up to 96 h. For the choice experiments, each flter paper or leaf was marked to create two halves vertically. One half was treated with the test compound and the other half was treated with solvent as a control. Each mixture was applied with a micropipette in leaf equivalent ( $\mu$ g leaf<sup>-1</sup>) amount present in cabbage (cv. NS-183) leaf and after evaporating the solvent, one pair of newly emerged (1–2 days old)  $F_4$ mature adults (1:1 sex ratio) was released in each glass chamber. Each chamber was provided with 10% sucrose solution as food and then kept in a BOD incubator as in mass culture. The flter paper or leaf of the three replicates having egg masses was detached from the glass chamber and eggs deposited on the surfaces were counted at the black head stage through fve defned conditions (O1, O2, O3, O4, and O5) for each pest as in adult olfactory attraction (ESM Table 4). The oviposition preference index (OPI%) is determined for the conditions using the formula  $[(I - D)/(I + D) \times 100]$ , where *I* is the number of eggs laid in various treatments and *D* is the number of eggs laid in controls with few modifcations (Singh et al. [2011\)](#page-13-19).

#### **Data analyses**

The data on total amounts of *n*-alkanes and FFAs present in the selected hosts (cabbage, rapeseed, and Indian mustard) were in normal distribution (Kruskal–Wallis's test) and analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD test. The data obtained for responses of the selected pests (*S. obliqua*, *P. brassicae*, and *P. xylostella*) to epicuticular wax compounds and mixtures of their synthetic analogs were analyzed by Chi-square  $(\chi^2)$  test based on the null hypothesis whether the ratio of individual choosing the stimulus vs. the control difer signifcantly from 1:1 (Zar [1999](#page-13-20)). Insects that did not respond to any one of the treatments were excluded from the analyses. All the statistical analyses were conducted using the software SPSS 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

# **Results**

# **Surface wax**

A single mature leaf of cabbage, rapeseed, and Indian mustard yielded  $7948.586 \pm 285.802$ ,  $6594.515 \pm 393.463$ , and  $1528.106 \pm 91.282$  µg (mean  $\pm$  SE,  $n = 3$ ) surface wax, respectively (ESM Table [1\)](#page-2-0). The extracted waxes from a single leaf of cabbage, rapeseed, and Indian mustard represented  $1528.620 \pm 40.461$ ,  $2451.319 \pm 24.708$ , and  $836.034 \pm 49.731$  µg *n*-alkanes and  $481.533 \pm 16.555$ , 558.142  $\pm$  17.809, and 139.019  $\pm$  4.402 µg FFAs, respectively (ESM Table 1). The extracted n-alkanes  $(F_{2,6} = 417.324, P < 0.001)$  and FFAs  $(F_{2,6} = 244.713,$ 

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 2** Composition of free fatty acids (FFAs) (µg leaf−1) in plant surface waxes (Mean  $\pm$  SE,  $n=3$ ) of three selected brassicaceous crops (cabbage, *Brassica oleracea* L. [cv. NS-183], rapeseed, *B. campestris* L. [cv. TS 38], and Indian mustard, *B. juncea* L. [cv. B 85]) determined during their growing season in 2020–2021

*P*<0.001) of the selected crops were with significant differences (rapeseed>cabbage>Indian mustard) but total waxes were without significant difference  $(F_{2,6}=1.018, P=0.416)$ between the crops (cabbage>rapeseed>Indian mustard) at respective leaf equivalent ( $\mu$ g leaf<sup>-1</sup>) amount (ESM Table 1).

# **Alkanes in leaf surface wax**

Total 25 diferent *n*-alkanes (20 straight chain+5 branch chain) were identified between  $n-C_{14}$  to  $n-C_{36}$  and out of them 20, 24, and 25 types of *n*-alkanes were detected from the leaves of cabbage, rapeseed, and Indian mustard, respec-tively (Table [1](#page-2-0)). Among them *n*-Triacontane  $(n-C_{30})$  in cabbage (193.154±5.116 µg leaf−1) and *n*-Tetratriacontane  $(n-C_{34})$  in rapeseed (709.447 ± 7.151 µg leaf<sup>-1</sup>) and Indian mustard  $(235.751 \pm 14.031 \text{ µg} \text{ leaf}^{-1})$  were predominant (Table [1\)](#page-2-0). All the identifed *n*-alkanes were difered signifcantly  $(F_{2,6} \geq 357.822, P < 0.001)$  within the selected crop (cabbage, rapeseed, and Indian mustard) species (Table [1\)](#page-2-0).

## **FFAs in leaf surface wax**

Total 15 different FFAs  $(11$  saturated + 4 unsaturated) were identified between  $C_{12:0}$  and  $C_{22:0}$  and out of them 15, 12, and 13 types of FFAs were detected from the leaves of cabbage, rapeseed, and Indian mustard, respectively (Table [2\)](#page-5-0). Among them hexadecanoic acid  $(C_{16:0})$  in cabbage (130.905 $\pm$ 4.468 µg leaf<sup>-1</sup>) and hexadecenoic acid (C<sub>16:1</sub>) in rapeseed (220.401  $\pm$  7.199 µg leaf<sup>-1</sup>) and Indian mustard (71.969 ± 2.296  $\mu$ g leaf<sup>-1</sup>) were predominant (Table [2](#page-5-0)). All the identifed FFAs were difered signifcantly



Within rows and columns means followed by same letters (lower and upper case, respectively) are not significantly different ( $P \ge 0.05$ ) by Tukey's HSD test

 $(F_{1,4} \geq 311.209, P < 0.001)$  within the selected crop (cabbage, rapeseed, and Indian mustard) species (Table [2](#page-5-0)).

#### **Adult olfactory attractions**

A series of olfactory bioassays were conducted to fnd the attractiveness (AI%) of *S. obliqua*, *P. brassicae*, and *P. xylostella* (females) toward leaf cuticular wax chemicals (*n*-alkanes and FFAs) of selected crop (cabbage, rapeseed, and Indian mustard) species, their synthetic individuals, and mixture of most attractive individual wax chemicals in leaf equivalent ( $\mu$ g leaf<sup>-1</sup>) amount (ESM Tables 2, 3 and 4, Figs. [1](#page-6-0), [2](#page-7-0), [3](#page-8-0)).

In host preference test highest AI (%) of  $45.370 \pm 2.006$ ,  $45.341 \pm 2.019$ , and  $42.593 \pm 2.045\%$ , respectively, in *S*. *obliqua*, *P. brassicae* and *P. xylostella* were found toward the natural wax of cabbage leaf (7948.586 $\pm$ 285.802 µg leaf<sup>-1</sup>) which might be due to higher wax content followed by rapeseed and Indian mustard, respectively (ESM Table 2, Fig. [1](#page-6-0)). The degree of attractiveness (AI%) toward the conditions can be arranged in the order of natural wax  $(C4)$  > intact leaf (C1)>FFAs (C3)>*n*-alkanes (C2) for the pests (*S. obliqua*>*P. brassicae*>*P. xylostella*) (ESM Table 2, Fig. [1](#page-6-0)). The attraction (%) toward any treatments over controls were always significantly ( $\chi^2$ >3.874, df = 1, *P* < 0.05) higher in all conditions for each pest species (ESM Table 2). The AI (%) values of the pests were significantly ( $F_{2,6} \ge 7.737$ , *P*≤0.022) difered except C2 and C3 for *S. obliqua* and *P.* 

*xylostella*, respectively, within the crop (cabbage > rape-seed > Indian mustard) species (ESM Table 2, Fig. [1\)](#page-6-0).

To fnd most efective synthetic wax chemicals highest AI (%) was observed toward *n*-hexadecane  $(n-C_{16})$  and dodecenoic acid (C<sub>12:0</sub>) as  $39.815 \pm 2.578$  and  $43.981 \pm 2.598\%$ , respectively, in *P. xylostella* (ESM Table 3, Fig. [2\)](#page-7-0). Among the treatments the most preferred wax chemicals were consisted of FFAs ( $C_{12:0}$ ,  $C_{16:0}$ ,  $C_{18:3}$ ,  $C_{18:2}$ ,  $C_{18:1}$ ) > *n*-alkanes  $(n-C_{16}, n-C_{18}, n-C_{20}, \text{ and } n-C_{22}, n-C_{30})$  for the three pests (*S. obliqua*, *P. brassicae*, and *P. xylostella*) over the other (ESM Table 3, Fig. [2](#page-7-0)). The attraction (%) toward individual wax chemicals over controls were always signifcantly  $(\chi^2 \ge 6.958, df = 1, P < 0.05)$  higher for each pest species except *n*-Triacontane (*n*-C<sub>30</sub>) ( $\chi^2$  = 3.032, df = 1, *P* > 0.05) in *P. xylostella* (ESM Table 3). Similarly, all the AI (%) values of selected pests were without signifcant diferences  $(F_{2,6} \leq 4.742, P \geq 0.058)$  for the most preferred wax chemicals except *n*-Triacontane (*n*-C<sub>30</sub>) ( $F_{2,6}$ =7.842, *P*=0.020) within the pests (ESM Table 3, Fig. [2](#page-7-0)).

During olfaction toward most efective wax chemicals, the highest AI (%) of  $46.759 \pm 2.377$ ,  $52.315 \pm 2.457$ , and 49.537±2.454%, respectively, in *S. obliqua*, *P. brassicae*, and *P. xylostella* were found toward the combined synthetic mixture (5 *n*-alkanes  $[n-C_{16}, n-C_{18}, n-C_{20}, n-C_{22}, n-C_{30}] + 5$ FFAs  $[C_{12:0},C_{16:0},C_{18:3},C_{18:2},$  and  $C_{18:1}$ ])-treated leaf (A4) of cabbage (9073.726  $\pm$  84.235 µg leaf<sup>-1</sup>) due to higher amount of wax chemicals followed by combined synthetic mixturetreated flter paper (A3), combined synthetic mixture of

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Adult olfactory attraction (Mean  $\pm$  SE,  $n=72$ ) of three lepidopteran pests, polyphagous, *S. obliqua* Walker (Arctiidae)**,** *P. brassicae* L. (Pieridae), and oligophagous, *P. xylostella* L. (Plutellidae) to plant surface wax chemicals (*n*-alkanes and FFAs in leaf equivalent amount [µg leaf−1]) of three selected host (cabbage, *B. oleracea* L. [cv. NS-183], rapeseed, *B. campestris* L. [cv. TS 38], and Indian mustard, *B. juncea* L. [cv. B 85]), plants under specifed bioassay conditions. Means followed by same letters above the error bars are not signifcantly different ( $P \ge 0.05$ ) by Tukey's HSD test. Where, *C1* intact leaf vs. de-waxed leaf, *C2* natural *n*-alkanes treated flter paper vs. solvent, *C3* natural free fatty acids (FFAs) treated flter paper vs. solvent, *C4* natural wax-treated flter paper vs. solvent, *AI* Attraction index



 $\Box$ Cabbage  $\Box$  Rapeseed  $\Box$  Indian Mustard

Note: Means followed by same letters above the error bars are not significantly different (*P*≥ 0.05) by Tukey's HSD test. Where, C1: Intact leaf vs. de-waxed leaf, C2: Natural n-alkanes treated filter paper vs. solvent, C3: Natural free fatty acids (FFAs) treated filter paper vs. solvent, C4: Natural wax treated filter paper vs. solvent, AI=Attraction index.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Adult olfactory attraction (Mean  $\pm$  SE,  $n = 72$ ) of three lepidopteran pests, polyphagous, *S. obliqua* Walker (Arctiidae), *P. brassicae* L. (Pieridae), and oligophagous, *P. xylostella* L. (Plutellidae) to plant surface wax chemicals (*n*-alkanes and FFAs in leaf equivalent amount [µg leaf−1]) of most preferred host (cabbage, *B. oleracea* L. [cv. NS-183) plants under specifed bioassay conditions having more than 65% responses. Means followed by same letters above the error bars are not significantly different  $(P \ge 0.05)$ by Tukey's HSD test. *AI* Attraction index



Note: Means followed by same letters above the error bars are not significantly different ( $P \ge 0.05$ ) by Tukey's HSD test. AI=Attraction index.

FFAs (A2), and combined synthetic mixture of *n*-alkanes (A1), respectively (ESM Table 4, Fig. [3\)](#page-8-0). The attraction (%) toward any treatment over controls were always signifcantly  $(\chi^2 \ge 7.980, df = 1, P < 0.05)$  higher for the pests (ESM Table 4). All the AI  $(\%)$  values were without significant  $(F_{2,6} \leq 4.742, P \geq 0.058)$  differences within the pests (ESM) Table 4). In all the treatments AI (%) values for the pests were in the order of *P. brassicae*>*P. xylostella*>*S. obliqua* and in Tukey's HSD test they were with diferent signifcant variations (ESM Table 4, Fig. [3](#page-8-0)).

### **Oviposition toward most efective wax chemicals**

Highest OPI (%) of  $10.185 \pm 1.234$ ,  $12.963 \pm 1.979$ , and 15.741±1.607%, respectively, in *S. obliqua*, *P. brassicae*, and *P. xylostella* were found toward the combined synthetic mixture  $(5 n$ -alkanes + 5 FFAs)-treated leaf  $(05)$  of cabbage (9073.726  $\pm$  84.235 µg leaf<sup>-1</sup>) due to higher amount of wax chemicals followed by combined synthetic mixturetreated flter paper (O4), intact leaf (O1), combined synthetic mixture of FFAs (O3), and combined synthetic mixture of *n*-alkanes (O2), respectively (ESM Table 4, Fig. [3\)](#page-8-0). The oviposition choice (%) toward any treatments over controls was always significantly ( $\chi^2$  ≤ 3.586, df = 1, *P* < 0.05) lower except O4 and O5 in *P. xylostella* (ESM Table 4). All the OPI (%) values were without any significant  $(F_{2,6} \le 2.769)$ ,  $P \ge 0.141$ ) differences within the pests as they were all

potent pest on cabbage (ESM Table 4). In all the treatments OPI (%) values for the pests were in the order of *P. xylostella*>*P. brassicae*>*S. obliqua* and in Tukey's HSD test they were also with diferent signifcant variations (ESM Table 4, Fig. [3\)](#page-8-0).

## **Discussion**

The epicuticular wax of cabbage, rapeseed, and Indian mustard led through diferent sensory cues to suitable and diferently preferred host selection and oviposition in the polyphagous, *S. obliqua*, *P. brassicae*, and oligophagous, *P. xylostella*, pests like the generalist pests, *Diacrisia casignetum*, *Spilosoma obliqua*, *Helicoverpa armigera*, and *Spodoptera litura* (Roy and Barik [2012,](#page-13-21) [2014](#page-13-22); Roy [2019a](#page-13-17), [2021b](#page-13-18)). Total 25 *n*-alkanes from  $n-C_{14}$  to  $n-C_{36}$  and 15 FFAs from  $C_{12:0}$  to  $C_{22:0}$  were detected in leaf epicuticular wax of the three crops as major components with signifcant variations in their respective quantities ( $\mu$ g leaf<sup>-1</sup>) as in other plants (Mukherjee et al. [2014,](#page-12-24) [2015;](#page-12-25) Mitra et al [2017](#page-12-26); Das et al. [2019](#page-11-16)). Among the identified *n*-alkanes and FFAs,  $n-C_{34}$  and  $C_{16:1}$ , respectively, of rapeseed leaf were most predominant.

Similarly, 9 *n*-alkanes (*n*-C<sub>24</sub> to *n*-C<sub>30</sub>, *n*-C<sub>32</sub>, and *n*-C<sub>33</sub>) and 13 FFAs  $(C_{12:0}$  to  $C_{20:0}$ ) were detected in the epicuticular wax of mature leaves of sunfower (*H. annuus* cv. PAC-36), where  $n-C_{29}$  and  $C_{18:2}$ , respectively, were most predominant



**N**ote: Means followed by same letters above the error bars are not significantly different (*P*≥ 0.05) by Tukey's HSD test. Where, A1: Synthetic n-alkanes treated filter paper vs. solvent, A2: Synthetic free fatty acids (FFAs) treated filter paper vs. solvent, A3: Combined synthetic mixture treated filter paper vs. solvent, A4: Combined synthetic mixture treated intact leaf vs. solvent, O1: Intact leaf vs. de-waxed leaf, O2: Synthetic n-alkanes treated filter paper vs. solvent, O3: Synthetic free fatty acids (FFAs) treated filter paper vs. solvent, O4: Combined synthetic mixture treated filter paper vs. solvent, O5: Combined synthetic mixture treated intact leaf vs. solvent, AI=Attraction index, OPI=Oviposition preference index.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Olfactory attraction and oviposition preference (Mean $\pm$ SE, *n*=72) of three lepidopteran pests, polyphagous, *S. obliqua* Walker (Arctiidae), *P. brassicae* L. (Pieridae), and oligophagous, *P. xylostella* L. (Plutellidae) to plant surface wax chemicals (*n*-alkanes and FFAs in leaf equivalent amount [µg leaf−1]) of most preferred host (cabbage, *B. oleracea* L. [cv. NS-183) plants under specifed bioassay conditions. Means followed by same letters above the error bars are not significantly different ( $P \ge 0.05$ ) by Tukey's HSD test. Where, *A1* synthetic *n*-alkanes treated flter paper vs. solvent, *A2* synthetic free

(Roy and Barik [2012](#page-13-21), [2014\)](#page-13-22). Eighteen *n*-alkanes ( $n-C_{16}$  to  $n\text{-}C_{36}$ ) and 13 FFAs (C<sub>12:0</sub> to C<sub>20:0</sub>) were detected in the leaf surface wax of jute (*C. capsularis* cv. Sonali [JRC-321]) and among them  $n-C_{29}$  and  $C_{18:1}$ , respectively, were most abundant (Roy [2019a\)](#page-13-17). Further, 18 *n*-alkanes (*n*-C<sub>15</sub> to  $n-C_{36}$ ) and 14 FFAs (C<sub>12:0</sub> to C<sub>22:0</sub>) were detected in the leaf surface wax of grass pea *Lathyrus sativus*, and, among them, *n*-C<sub>15</sub> and C<sub>15:0</sub>, C<sub>16:1</sub>, respectively, were most pre-dominant (Mitra et al. [2020\)](#page-12-16). Twenty *n*-alkanes (*n*-C<sub>15</sub> to  $n-C_{36}$ ) and 13 FFAs (C<sub>12:0</sub> to C<sub>21:0</sub>) were identified from green gram *V. radiata* leaves, and, among them,  $n-C_{25}$  and  $C_{16:1}$  and  $C_{21:0}$ , respectively, were most abundant (Mobarak et al. [2020](#page-12-27)). Twenty *n*-alkanes from  $n - C_{14}$  to  $n - C_{36}$  and 13 FFAs from C12:0 to C21:0 was detected in the leaf surface waxes of three *Trichosanthes anguina* L. cultivars (MNSR-1,

fatty acids (FFAs) treated flter paper vs. solvent, *A3* combined synthetic mixture-treated flter paper vs. solvent, *A4* combined synthetic mixture-treated intact leaf vs. solvent, *O1* intact leaf vs. de-waxed leaf, *O2* synthetic *n*-alkanes treated flter paper vs. solvent, *O3* synthetic free fatty acids (FFAs) treated flter paper vs. solvent, *O4* combined synthetic mixture-treated flter paper vs. solvent, *O5* combined synthetic mixture-treated intact leaf vs. solvent, *AI* attraction index, *OPI* oviposition preference index

Baruipur Long, and Polo No.1) and among them *n*-C17 and C18:0 were predominant (Debnath et al. [2021\)](#page-11-14). Cuticular wax of sesame (*Sesamum indicum*) cultivars (Savitri and Nirmala) indicated the presence of 14 *n*-alkanes from *n*-C9 to *n*-C44 and 12 FFAs from C9:0 to C20:0, where *n*-C26 and C18:1 were most predominant (Roy [2021b\)](#page-13-18). The above-mentioned *n*-alkanes and FFAs can act as short-range attractants for diferent insect pests to respective host plants (Li and Ishikawa [2006](#page-12-22); Malik et al. [2017;](#page-12-20) Das et al. [2019](#page-11-16); Zhu et al. [2021\)](#page-13-23).

Moreover, there are several behavioral studies only on *P. xylostella* other than *P. brassicae* and *S. obliqua* in specifc host selection for alternative management strategies (Wee [2016\)](#page-13-24). Host plant-mediated orientation and oviposition by *P. xylostella* were signifcantly greater on cabbage,

(*B. oleracea* L. subsp. *capitata*) followed by caulifower (*B. oleracea* L. subsp. *botrytis*), kohlrabi (*B. oleracea* L. subsp. *gongylodes*), and broccoli (*B. oleracea* L. subsp. *italica*) and female oviposition was signifcantly greater on injured plant leaves than on intact plants leaves (Reddy et al. [2004](#page-13-25)). Adult *P. xylostella* were equally attracted to transgenic and nontransgenic cabbage plants but larvae were attracted to transgenic plant and sufered heavy mortality (Kumar [2004](#page-12-28)). The relative roles of olfaction and vision of *P. xylostella* were regulated by plant volatile cues (Couty et al. [2006](#page-11-19)). Both sexes of *P. xylostella* responded to leaf volatiles of Oriental mustard, *B. juncea* Cosson, and females laid more eggs for the cues (Pivnick et al. [1990\)](#page-13-26). Whereas, *P. brassicae* elicited oviposition-induced plant volatiles having indirect plant defense cues (Fatouros et al. [2015](#page-11-20)). The electrophysiological and behavioral responses of *P. xylostella*-mated females showed the repellence for fve volatile components like myrcene, γ-terpinene, linalool, camphor, and terpinen-4-ol present in none-host geranium plants (Song et al. [2022](#page-13-4)). The most active green leaf volatile (GLV), (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate, from *B. oleracea* subsp. *capitata* L. enhances attraction to both males and females of *P. xylostella* to the baited traps with pheromone in 1:1 ratio (Reddy and Guerrero [2000\)](#page-13-27). Even, *P. xylostella-*damaged cabbages emitted herbivore-induced volatile compounds like homoterpene (*E*)-4,8-dimethyl-1,3,7-nonatriene, sesquiterpene (*E*, *E*)-*α*farnesene, and (*Z*)-3-hexenyl acetate and attract their natural enemies for indirect defense of the plants (Vuorinen et al. [2004\)](#page-13-28). Biogenic amines like octopamine and its receptors are involved in mating-triggered oviposition in *P. xylostella* and provide a new strategy for their control (Li et al. [2020](#page-12-29)). Even, pheromone traps may be a viable alternative to manage *P. xylostella* in an IPM system (Shakeel et al. [2017](#page-13-29); Deguine et al. [2021;](#page-11-8) Passos et al. [2020\)](#page-12-10).

The present study revealed clear olfactory responses of all the pests to *n*-alkanes and FFAs present in leaf cuticular waxes of the selected crops. After reaching within a close range to the host plant, *n*-alkanes and FFAs were acted as a short-range attractant which facilitated oviposition induction in all gravid females. Even, the role of olfaction is well documented in moths due to their typical nocturnal lifestyle (Cunningham et al. [1999](#page-11-21)). Visual (Barragán-Fonseca et al. [2020](#page-11-22)), olfactory (Lucas-Barbosa et al. [2016](#page-12-11); Das et al. [2019\)](#page-11-16), tactile (Foster and Howard [1998](#page-11-23)), and gustatory (Feng et al. [2017\)](#page-11-17) cues can themselves or in combinations with each other enhanced behaviors in host selection for oviposition as well as for larval feeding (Carlsson et al. [1999;](#page-11-24) Bandoly et al. [2015\)](#page-11-25). Although, resistant cabbage (NY 8329) with glossy leaves having triterpenols *α*- and *β*-amyrin in the waxes reduced acceptance of the neonate *P. xylostella* (Eigenbrode et al. [1991](#page-11-26)). But, the synergistic efect of sinigrin (10–3, 10–4, and 10–5 M) with *n*-alkanes on *P. xylostella* oviposition behavior was increased by additional time spend in contact with the stimuli (Spencer et al. [1999](#page-13-30)). In this study, olfaction (AI%) toward the natural wax (*n*-alkanes and FFAs) for the pests were in the order of *S. obliqua*>*P. brassicae*>*P. xylostella* within the crop  $(cabbage > rapeseed > Indian mustard) species. The most$ preferred wax chemicals were consisted of 5 *n*-alkanes (*n*- $C_{16}$ , *n*-C<sub>18</sub>, *n*-C<sub>20</sub>, *n*-C<sub>22</sub>, and *n*-C<sub>30</sub>) and 5 FFAs (C<sub>12:0</sub>, C<sub>16:0</sub>,  $C_{18:3}$ , and  $C_{18:2}$ ,  $C_{18:1}$ ) for the pests. All the AI (%) values of selected pests were without signifcant diferences for the most preferred wax chemicals due to the abundance of host chemicals and preference for the respective hosts as in other pest species (Li and Ishikawa [2006](#page-12-22); Mitra et al. [2019](#page-12-30)). Highest AI (%) in the order of *P. brassicae*>*P. xylostella*>*S. obliqua* was found toward the combined synthetic mixture  $(5 n$ -alkanes + 5 FFAs)-treated leaf of cabbage due to higher amount of wax chemicals, like other lepidopteran species (Mitra et al. [2020](#page-12-16); Mobarak et al. [2020](#page-12-27)). Similarly, highest OPI (%) was also found toward the same combined synthetic mixture  $(5 n$ -alkanes + 5 FFAs)-treated leaf of cabbage due to higher amount of wax chemicals as in other pest species (Roy [2019a,](#page-13-17) [2021b\)](#page-13-18). All the OPI (%) values were without any signifcant diferences within the pests (*P. xylostella*>*P. brassicae*>*S. obliqua*) as they were all potent pest on cabbage. They probably use visual (color and shape), olfactory (odorous *n*-alkanes and FFAs), tactile (surface ultrastructure), and gustatory (cuticular wax) cues in synergistic manner for oviposition preference toward cabbage leaf-like other insects (Mitra et al. [2017;](#page-12-26) Das et al. [2019](#page-11-16); Spencer et al. [1999](#page-13-30)).

Similarly, 5 long-chain *n*-alkanes ( $n-C_{26}$  to  $n-C_{30}$ ) of Zea *mays* L. (Poaceae) and *Fallopia japonica* (Houtt.) Ronse Decr. (Polygonaceae) leaves act as oviposition stimulants in the European corn borer *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) (Udayagiri and Mason [1997](#page-13-31); Li and Ishikawa [2006](#page-12-22)). Further, two FFAs  $(C_{18:1}, C_{18:2})$  act as host fnding and oviposition cues for *Amyelois transitella* (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) (Phelan et al. [1991\)](#page-12-31). Fatty acids from  $C_{8:0}$  to  $C_{12:0}$ ,  $C_{18:1}$ , and  $C_{18:2}$  of *Picea* spp. and *Abies* spp. serve as oviposition stimulants for the *Choristoneura fumiferana* (Clemens) (Lepidoptera, Tortricidae) (Grant et al. [2000\)](#page-11-27). Five predominant *n*-alkanes (*n*-C<sub>18</sub>, *n*- $C_{23}$ , *n*-C<sub>24</sub>, *n*-C<sub>28</sub>, and *n*-C<sub>32</sub>) and 6 FFAs (C<sub>16:0</sub>, C<sub>16:1</sub>, C<sub>18:0</sub>, C18:1, C18:2, and C18:3) of sunfower-leaf attracted *D. casignetum* (Roy and Barik [2012,](#page-13-21) [2014\)](#page-13-22). The synthetic combination mixture of 4 *n*-alkanes (*n*-C<sub>17</sub>, *n*-C<sub>18</sub>, *n*-C<sub>27</sub>, and *n*-C<sub>29</sub>) and 5 FFAs ( $C_{16:0}$ ,  $C_{16:1}$ ,  $C_{18:1}$ ,  $C_{18:2}$ , and  $C_{18:3}$ ) was most attractive to *D. casignetum* adults, whereas the same mixture excluding 2 *n*-alkanes ( $n-C_{27}$ ,  $n-C_{29}$ ) also caused a significant oviposition preference in jute leaf equivalent amount (Roy [2019a\)](#page-13-17). In the grass pea, 5 *n*-alkanes (*n*-C<sub>15</sub>, *n*-C<sub>22</sub>,  $n-C_{25}$ ,  $n-C_{27}$ , and  $n-C_{33}$ ) and 2 FFAs (C<sub>13:0</sub>, C<sub>18:2</sub>) in mixture acted as attractants and stimulated the emergence of nymphs in *Aphis craccivora* Koch (Hemiptera: Aphididae) at leaf equivalent amount (Mitra et al. [2020\)](#page-12-16). In green gram (cv. PDM), 4 *n*-alkanes (*n*-C<sub>25</sub>, *n*-C<sub>27</sub>, *n*-C<sub>29</sub>, and *n*-C<sub>36</sub>) and 3 FFAs ( $C_{16:1}$ ,  $C_{18:0}$ , and  $C_{18:3}$ ) in combination acted as shortrange attractants and oviposition stimulants in females of *S. obliqua* at leaf equivalent amount (Mobarak et al. [2020\)](#page-12-27). A synthetic blend of *n*-C17, *n*-C20, *n*-C26, and C18:0 in one leaf equivalent surface wax of *Trichosanthes anguina* L. (cv. MNSR-1) was acted short-range attractants and oviposition stimulants in *Diaphania indica* (Debnath et al. [2021\)](#page-11-14). The olfactory attraction, oviposition, and feeding preference of 3 generalist pests (*S. obliqua* Walker, *H. armigera* Hübner, and *S. litura* Fabricius) were maximum toward 4 *n*-alkanes (*n*-C16, *n*-C22, *n*-C24, and *n*-C26) and 3 FFAs (C12:0, C14:0, and C18:1) mixture in leaf equivalent amount of sesame (cv. Savitri) cultivar (Roy [2021b](#page-13-18)).

In this study, the pests (*P. brassicae*>*P. xylostella*>*S. obliqua*) were mostly attracted toward the synthetic blend of 5 *n*-alkanes (*n*-C<sub>16</sub>, *n*-C<sub>18</sub>, *n*-C<sub>20</sub>, *n*-C<sub>22</sub>, and *n*-C<sub>30</sub>) and 5 FFAs ( $C_{12:0}$ ,  $C_{16:0}$ ,  $C_{18:3}$ ,  $C_{18:2}$ , and  $C_{18:1}$ ) present in the leaf equivalent amount (µg leaf−1) of the selected cabbage cultivar. Whereas, the oviposition preference of the pests was in the order of *P. xylostella*>*P. brassicae*>*S. obliqua* due to large amount of wax chemicals along with other physicochemical properties of cabbage leaf which act in synergistic manner as in other studies (Spencer et al.[1999](#page-13-30)). The specialist (*P. xylostella*) moth has more sensitivity to the leaf cuticular wax chemicals in oviposition site selection than the specialists (*P. brassicae* > *S. obliqua*), like other pests (Akhtar and Isman [2003\)](#page-11-28). Whereas, generalist butterfy (*P. brassicae*) has leaf wax chemical guided more olfaction response than the generalist (*S. obliqua*) and specialist (*P. xylostella*) moths as found in other pests (Pivnick et al. [1994;](#page-13-32) Schäpers et al. [2015](#page-13-33)). In other instances, host fnding and oviposition site selection require a set of sensory (visual, olfactory, tactile, and gustatory) cues that interact with the females before laying eggs (Dahanukar et al. [2005](#page-11-29); Renwick and Chew [1994\)](#page-13-9). Host plant selection for oviposition by the females is a decisive step as they require unique set of recognition cues for suitable host selection in establishing a new generation (Schäpers et al. [2015\)](#page-13-33). The preference–performance hypothesis (PPH) or 'mother-knows-best' hypothesis similarly state that natural selection favors those insect females which prefer host plants where the ofspring performs best, especially when immature stages are less mobile than adults (Altesor and González [2018](#page-11-30); Birke and Aluja [2018](#page-11-31)). Thus, this fnding can explain the clue how mature females of the specialist and generalist pests choose their oviposition site in a perfect fashion for their potential hosts through different sensory modalities for better survival and growth of their neonates, like other insects (Griese et al. [2020](#page-11-32); Gripenberg et al. [2010](#page-12-32)). The females of the selected pests maximize their own ftness by laying eggs on their preferred crop cultivar (cabbage [cv. NS-183]>rapeseed [cv. TS  $38$  > Indian mustard [cv. B  $85$ ]) where their neonates perform best like other butterfies and moths (Birke and Aluja [2018;](#page-11-31) Mobarak et al. [2020](#page-12-27)). So, it can be generalized that both generalist (moth and butterfy) and specialist (moth) have same pattern of olfaction and oviposition preferences with diferent magnitude of responses. Further, insect herbivores often have higher densities on host plants grown in monocultures than those in diverse environments. Population of *P. xylostella* signifcantly decreased in a mixed cropping feld of *Brassica* spp. due to reduced oviposition compared with a monoculture (Huang et al. [2014\)](#page-12-33). To control *P. xylostella*, the use of intercropping (such as trap crops) is gaining attention as ecologically safe strategy (Hussain et al. [2020](#page-12-4)). Thus, this study also suggested that the synthetic blends of the 5 *n*-alkanes and 5 FFAs along with the green leaf volatiles (need to determine) of the most preferred cultivar of cabbage (cv. NS-183) can be used as lure to develop baited trap and less preferred crops (rapeseed and Indian Mustard) can be used as main crop with the cabbage as trap crop against the pests for their sustainable ecological management in near future.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-022-09917-w>.

**Acknowledgements** I wish to express my deep sense of gratitude to West Bengal Department of Science and Technology (WBDST) Project [File No.: ST/P/S&T/1G-29/2018], from Government of West Bengal, India for fnancial assistance. I must acknowledge the farmers who help me in every way during my feldwork.

**Author contributions** NR designed the whole study including sample collection, chemical analysis, index calculation, data analysis and drafts the manuscript with the help of institutional support.

**Funding** West Bengal Department of Science and Technology (WBDST) Project [File No.: ST/P/S&T/1G-29/2018], from Government of West Bengal, India.

**Data availability** All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article.

**Code availability** Not applicable.

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The author declares that there is no competing interest other than publication of this paper.

**Ethical approval** Not applicable.

**Consent to participate** Not applicable.

**Consent for publication** Not applicable.

# **References**

- <span id="page-11-28"></span>Akhtar Y, Isman MB (2003) Larval exposure to oviposition deterrents alters sub-sequent oviposition behavior in generalist, *Trichoplusia ni* and specialist, *Plutella xylostella* moths. J Chem Ecol 29:1853–1870. [https://doi.org/10.1023/A:10248](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024802328458) [02328458](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024802328458)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Aktar MW, Sengupta D, Chowdhury A (2009) Impact of pesticides use in agriculture: their benefts and hazards. Interdiscip Toxicol 2:1–12. <https://doi.org/10.2478/v10102-009-0001-7>
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Ali A, Rizvi PQ (2007) Development response of cabbage butterfy, *Pieris brassicae* L. on diferent cole crops under laboratory and feld conditions. Asian J Plant Sci 6:1241–1245. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3923/ajps.2007.1241.1245) [doi.org/10.3923/ajps.2007.1241.1245](https://doi.org/10.3923/ajps.2007.1241.1245)
- <span id="page-11-30"></span>Altesor P, González A (2018) Preference–performance in a specialist sawfy on congeneric host plants. Entomol Exp Appl 166:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eea.12690>
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>Atri C, Kumar B, Kumar H, Kumar S, Sharma S (2012) Banga SS (2012) Development and characterization of *Brassica juncea* – fruticulosa introgression lines exhibiting resistance to mustard aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi* Kalt). BMC Genet 13:104. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-13-104) [org/10.1186/1471-2156-13-104](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-13-104)
- <span id="page-11-25"></span>Bandoly M, Hilker M, Steppuhn A (2015) Oviposition by *Spodoptera exigua* on *Nicotiana attenuata* primes induced plant defense against larval herbivory. Plant J 83:661–672. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12918) [10.1111/tpj.12918](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12918)
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Banerjee H, Samanta S, Dutta A, Sarkar S, Garai S (2018) Selection of rapeseed-mustard varieties in coastal region of West Bengal: a way forward to rice-fallow intensifcation. J Indian Soc Coastal Agric Res 36:54–63
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Barick BB, Patra BC, Bandyopadhyay P (2020) Performance of rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* L.) under varied irrigation and sowing methods. J Crop Weed 16:269–273. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.22271/09746315.2020.v16.i2.1349) [22271/09746315.2020.v16.i2.1349](https://doi.org/10.22271/09746315.2020.v16.i2.1349)
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>Barragán-Fonseca KY, van Loon JJA, Dicke M, Lucas-Barbosa D (2020) Use of visual and olfactory cues of fowers of two brassicaceous species by insect pollinators. Ecol Entomol 45:45– 55. <https://doi.org/10.1111/een.12775>
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>Barron AB (2001) The life and death of Hopkins' host-selection principle. J Insect Behav 14:725–737. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013033332535) [1023/A:1013033332535](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013033332535)
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Bhowmik M, Gupta M (2017) Biology of cabbage butterfy *Pieris brassicae* Linn. (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 6:3639–3644
- <span id="page-11-31"></span>Birke A, Aluja M (2018) Do mothers really know best? Complexities in testing the preference-performance hypothesis in polyphagous frugivorous fruit fies. Bull Entomol Res 108:674–684. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0007485317001213>
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Biswas S, Mukherjee B, Munshi A, Chongre S, Ray M (2019) Evaluation of mustard hybrid varieties in gangetic plains of West Bengal, India. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci 8:585–590. [https://](https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.810.065) [doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.810.065](https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.810.065)
- <span id="page-11-24"></span>Carlsson MA, Anderson P, Hartlieb E, Hansson BS (1999) Experience-dependent modifcation of orientational response to olfactory cues in larvae of *Spodoptera littoralis*. J Chem Ecol 25:2445–2454. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020865922827>
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>Chapman RF, Bernays EA (1989) Insect behavior at the leaf surface and learning as aspects of host plant selection. Cell Mol Life Sci 45:215–222
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>Couty A, Emden HV, Perry JN, Hardie JIM, Pickett JA, Wadhams LJ (2006) The roles of olfaction and vision in host-plant fnding by the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella*. Physiol Entomol 31:134–145. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3032.2006.00499.x>
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>Cunningham JP, Zalucki MP, West SA (1999) Learning in *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): a new look at the

behavior and control of a polyphagous pest. Bull Entomol Res 89:201–207.<https://doi.org/10.1017/s0007485399000310>

- <span id="page-11-29"></span>Dahanukar A, Hallen EA, Carlson JR (2005) Insect chemoreception. Curr Opin Neurobiol 15:423–430. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2005.06.001) [2005.06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2005.06.001)
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>Das S, Koner A, Barik A (2019) A beetle biocontrol agent of rice feld weeds recognizes its host plants by surface wax long-chain alkanes and free fatty acids. Chemoecology 29:155–170. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00049-019-00285-1) [doi.org/10.1007/s00049-019-00285-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00049-019-00285-1)
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>Debnath R, Mitra P, Das S, Barik A (2021) Leaf surface wax chemicals in *Trichosanthes anguina* (Cucurbitaceae) cultivars mediating short-range attraction and oviposition in *Diaphania indica*. J Chem Ecol 47:664–679. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-021-01291-w) [s10886-021-01291-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-021-01291-w)
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>Deguine JP, Aubertot JN, Flor RJ, Lescourret F, Wyckhuys KAG, Ratnadass A (2021) Integrated pest management: good intentions, hard realities a review. Agron Sustain Dev 41:38. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-021-00689-w) [10.1007/s13593-021-00689-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-021-00689-w)
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>Derridj S, Wu B, Stammitti L, Garrec J, Derrien A (1996) Chemicals on the leaf surface, information about the plant available to insects. Entomol Exp Appl 80:197–201. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.1996.tb00917.x) [1111/j.1570-7458.1996.tb00917.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.1996.tb00917.x)
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Dutta A (2016) Impact of improved technologies on productivity and proftability of rapeseed mustard production at farm level in West Bengal, India. SAARC J Agric 14:126–136. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3329/sja.v14i2.31251) [3329/sja.v14i2.31251](https://doi.org/10.3329/sja.v14i2.31251)
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>Eigenbrode SD, Pillai SK (1998) Neonate *Plutella xylostella* responses to surface wax components of a resistant cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*). J Chem Ecol 24:1611–1627. [https://doi.org/10.1023/A:](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020812411015) [1020812411015](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020812411015)
- <span id="page-11-26"></span>Eigenbrode SD, Espelie KE, Shelton AM (1991) Behavior of neonate diamondback moth larvae [*Plutella xylostella* (L.)] on leaves and on extracted leaf waxes of resistant and susceptible cabbages. J Chem Ecol 17:1691–1704. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00984697>
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>Fatouros NE, Paniagua Voirol LR, Drizou F, Doan QT, Pineda A, Frago E, van Loon JJ (2015) Role of large cabbage white butterfy male-derived compounds in elicitation of direct and indirect egg-killing defenses in the black mustard. Front Plant Sci 6:794. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00794>
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>Feng B, Qian K, Du YJ (2017) Floral volatiles from *Vigna unguiculata* are olfactory and gustatory stimulants for oviposition by the bean pod borer moth *Maruca vitrata*. Insects 8:60. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects8020060) [3390/insects8020060](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects8020060)
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>Fernández PC, Braccini CL, Dávila C, Barrozo RB, Aráoz MVC, Cerrillo T, Gershenzon J, Reichelt M, Zavala JA (2019) The use of leaf surface contact cues during oviposition explains feld preferences in the willow sawfy *Nematusoligospilus*. Sci Rep 9:4946. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41318-7>
- <span id="page-11-23"></span>Foster SP, Howard AJ (1998) Infuence of stimuli from *Camellia japonica* on ovipositional behavior of generalist herbivore *Epiphyas postvittana*. J Chem Ecol 24:1251–1275. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022455120922) [10.1023/A:1022455120922](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022455120922)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Gawariya SC, Chopra NK, Chopra N, Harika AS (2015) Efect of date of sowing and crop geometry on growth and yield parameters of forage mustard (var. Chinese Cabbage). Afr J Agric Res 10:3292–3295.<https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR2015.9745>
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Golizadeh A, Kamali K, Fathipour Y, Abbasipour H (2009) Life table of the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) on fve cultivated brassicaceous host plants. J Agric Sci Technol 11:115–124
- <span id="page-11-27"></span>Grant GG, Zhao B, Langevin D (2000) Oviposition response of spruce budworm (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) to aliphatic carboxylic acids. Environ Entomol 29:164–170. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/29.2.164) [ee/29.2.164](https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/29.2.164)
- <span id="page-11-32"></span>Griese E, Pineda A, Pashalidou FG, Iradi EP, Hilker M, Dicke M, Fatouros NE (2020) Plant responses to butterfy oviposition

partly explain preference–performance relationships on diferent brassicaceous species. Oecologia 192:463–475. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-019-04590-y) [org/10.1007/s00442-019-04590-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-019-04590-y)

- <span id="page-12-32"></span>Gripenberg S, Mayhew PJ, Parnell M, Roslin T (2010) A meta-analysis of preference–performance relationships in phytophagous insects. Ecol Lett 13:383–393. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01433.x) [0248.2009.01433.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01433.x)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Gupta G, Bhattacharya AK (2008) Assessing toxicity of post-emergence herbicides to the *Spilarctia obliqua* Walker (Lepidoptera: Arctiidae). J Pest Sci 81:9–15. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-007-0175-8) [s10340-007-0175-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-007-0175-8)
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Hasan F, Ansari MS (2010) Effect of different cole crops on the biological parameters of *Pieris brassicae* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) under laboratory conditions. J Crop Sci Biotechnol 13:195–202. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12892-010-0025-2>
- <span id="page-12-33"></span>Huang B, Shi Z, Hou Y (2014) Host selection behavior and the fecundity of *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) on multiple host plants. J Insect Sci 14:251. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/](https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieu113) [ieu113](https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieu113)
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Hussain M, Gao J, Bano S, Wang L, Lin Y, Arthurs S, Qasim M, Mao R (2020) Diamondback moth larvae trigger host plant volatiles that lure its adult females for oviposition. Insects 11:725. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11110725) [doi.org/10.3390/insects11110725](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11110725)
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>Ikeura H, Kobayashi F, Hayata Y (2010) How do *Pieris rapae* search for Brassicaceae host plants? Biochem System Ecol 38:1199– 1203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2010.12.007>
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Jetter R, Schäfer S (2001) Chemical composition of the *Prunus laurocerasus* leaf surface. Dynamic changes of the epicuticular wax flm during leaf development. Plant Physiol 126:1725–1737. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.126.4.1725>
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>Jetter R, Schӓfer S, Riederer M (2000) Leaf cuticular waxes are arranged in chemically and mechanically distinct layers: evidence from *Prunus laurocerasus* L. Plant Cell Environ 23:619–628. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.2000.00581.x>
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>Jetter R, Kunst L, Samuels AL (2006) Composition of plant cuticular waxes. In: Riederer M, Müller C (eds) Biology of the plant cuticle. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, pp 145–175
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>Karmakar A, Malik U, Barik A (2016) Efects of leaf epicuticular wax compounds from *Solena amplexicaulis* (Lam.) Gandhi on olfactory responses of a generalist insect herbivore. Allelopath J 37:253–272
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Karmakar P, Pal S, Chakraborty G (2022) Efects of cabbage cultivars on the food consumption and utilization parameters of diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.). Int J Trop Insect Sci 42:83–92.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42690-021-00520-9>
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Koza A, Sahu AK, Das S, Patra NK (2018) Economics of cabbage cultivation and post-harvest losses in Phek district of Nagaland. Econ Aff 63:229-235. [https://doi.org/10.30954/0424-2513.2018.](https://doi.org/10.30954/0424-2513.2018.00150.28) [00150.28](https://doi.org/10.30954/0424-2513.2018.00150.28)
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>Kumar H (2004) Orientation, feeding, and ovipositional behavior of diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), on transgenic cabbage expressing Cry1Ab toxin of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Berliner). Environ Entomol 33:1025–1031. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-33.4.1025) [doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-33.4.1025](https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-33.4.1025)
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>Kumar R, Ali S (2010) Efficacy of botanical pesticides against Spilarc*tia obliqua* in *Sesamum indicum*. Ann Plant Prot Sci 18:223–224
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>Kumar V, Kaur S, Kumar J, Gupta Y (2018) Development of white butterfy, *Pieris brassicae* L. in cabbage ecosystem. J Entomol Zool Stud 6:1270–1273
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Kumar P, Brar JS, Singh G (2020) Life cycle of cabbage caterpillar, *Pieris brassicae* Linn. (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) on cabbage leaves in Talwandi Sabo (Punjab). J Entomol Zool Stud 8:766–769
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>Li G, Ishikawa Y (2006) Leaf epicuticular wax chemicals of the Japanese knotweed *Fallopia japonica* as oviposition stimulants for *Ostrinia latipennis*. J Chem Ecol 32:595–604. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-005-9022-7) [1007/s10886-005-9022-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-005-9022-7)
- <span id="page-12-29"></span>Li F, Li K, Wu LJ, Fan YL, Liu TX (2020) Role of biogenic amines in oviposition by the diamondback moth *Plutella Xylostella* L. Front Physiol 11:475.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2020.00475>
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>Little CM, Chapman TW, Hillier NK (2019) Considerations for insect learning in integrated pest management. J Insect Sci 19:1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/iez064>
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>Lucas-Barbosa D, Sun P, Hakman A, van Beek TA, van Loon JJA, Dicke M (2016) Visual and odour cues: plant responses to pollination and Herbivory afect the behaviour of fower visitors. Funct Ecol 30:431–441. [https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12509) [12509](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12509)
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>Malik U, Mitra S, Barik A (2017) Attraction of the biocontrol agent, *Galerucella placida* Baly (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) to the leaf surface alkanes of the weed, *Polygonum orientale* L. Allelopath J 40:103–116.<https://doi.org/10.26651/2017-40-1070>
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>Mitra S, Sarkar N, Barik A (2017) Long-chain alkanes and fatty acids from *Ludwigia octovalvis* weed leaf surface waxes as shortrange attractant and ovipositional stimulant to *Altica cyanea* (Weber) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Bull Entomol Res 107:391–400. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0007485316001012>
- <span id="page-12-30"></span>Mitra P, Mobarak SH, Debnath R, Barik A (2019) The role of *Lathyrus sativus* fower surface wax in short-range attraction and stimulant for nymph laying by an adult viviparous aphid. Bull Entomol Res 110:231–241. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485319000531>
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>Mitra P, Das S, Barik A (2020) Leaf waxes from *Lathyrus sativus*: short-range attractant and stimulant for nymph laying in a viviparous insect. Chemoecology 30:117–129. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00049-020-00303-7) [s00049-020-00303-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00049-020-00303-7)
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>Mobarak SH, Koner A, Mitra S, Mitra P, Barik A (2020) The importance of leaf surface wax as short-range attractant and oviposition stimulant in a generalist Lepidoptera. J Appl Entomol 144:616– 631. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jen.12769>
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>Mukherjee A, Sarkar N, Barik A (2014) Long-chain free fatty acids from *Momordica cochinchinensis* leaves as attractants to its insect pest, *Aulacophora foveicollis* Lucas (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). J Asia-Pac Entomol 17:229–234. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2014.01.010) [org/10.1016/j.aspen.2014.01.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2014.01.010)
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>Mukherjee A, Sarkar N, Barik A (2015) Leaf surface n–alkanes of *Momordica cochinchinensis* Spreng as short–range attractants for its insect pest, *Aulacophora foveicollis* Lucas (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Alleop J 36:109–122
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>Müller C, Hilker M (2001) Host fnding and oviposition behavior in a chrysomelid specialist–the importance of host plant surface waxes. J Chem Ecol 27:985–994. [https://doi.org/10.1023/a:](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1010343205114) [1010343205114](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1010343205114)
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>Müller C, Riederer M (2005) Plant surface properties in chemical ecology. J Chem Ecol 31:2621–2651. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-005-7617-7) [s10886-005-7617-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-005-7617-7)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Neik TX, Barbetti MJ, Batley J (2017) Current status and challenges in identifying disease resistance genes in *Brassica napus*. Front Plant Sci 8:1788. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01788>
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Obermeier C, Mason AS, Meiners T, Petschenka G, Rostás M, Will T, Wittkop B, Austel N (2022) Perspectives for integrated insect pest protection in oilseed rape breeding. Theor Appl Genet. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-022-04074-3>
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>Parr MJ, Tran BMD, Simmonds MSJ, Kite GC, Credland PF (1998) Infuence of some fatty acids on oviposition by the bruchid beetle, *Callosobruchus maculatus*. J Chem Ecol 24:1577–1593
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>Passos DA, Silva-Torres CSA, Siqueira HAA (2020) Behavioral response and adaptive cost in resistant and susceptible *Plutella xylostella* to Chlorantraniliprole. Bull Entomol Res 110:96–105. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485319000300>
- <span id="page-12-31"></span>Phelan PL, Roelofs CJ, Youngman RR, Baker TC (1991) Characterization of chemicals mediating ovipositional host-plant fnding by *Amyelois transitella* females. J Chem Ecol 17:599–613. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00982129) [doi.org/10.1007/BF00982129](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00982129)
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>Pivnick KA, Jarvis BJ, Slater GP, Gillott C, Underhill EW (1990) Attraction of the diamondback moth (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) to volatiles of Oriental mustard: the infuence of age, sex, and prior exposure to mates and host plants. Environ Entomol 19:704–709.<https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/19.3.704>
- <span id="page-13-32"></span>Pivnick KA, Jarvis BJ, Slater GP (1994) Identifcation of olfactory cues used in host-plant fnding by diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). J Chem Ecol 20:1407– 1427. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02059870>
- <span id="page-13-27"></span>Reddy GV, Guerrero A (2000) Behavioral responses of the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella*, to green leaf volatiles of *Brassica oleracea* Subsp. capitata. J Agric Food Chem 48:6025– 6029. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0008689>
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>Reddy GVP, Tabone E, Smith MT (2004) Mediation of host selection and oviposition behavior in the diamondback moth *Plutella xylostella* and its predator *Chrysoperla carnea* by chemical cues from cole crops. Biol Control 29:270–277. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1049-9644(03)00162-2) [org/10.1016/S1049-9644\(03\)00162-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1049-9644(03)00162-2)
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>Renwick J, Chew FS (1994) Oviposition behavior in Lepidoptera. Annu Rev Entomol 39:377–400. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annur](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.39.010194.002113) [ev.en.39.010194.002113](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.39.010194.002113)
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>Roy N (2019a) Jute leaf physicochemical cues mediated behavioral responses of *Diacrisia casignetum* Kollar. Agric Res 8:287– 296.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40003-018-0362-2>
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>Roy N (2019b) Life table and economic threshold concept for ecologically sustainable management of *Diacrisia casignetum* Kollar (Lepidoptera: Arctiidae) on jute. Entomon 44:103–110. <https://doi.org/10.33307/entomon.v44i.436>
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>Roy N (2020) Population ecology and ETs based time series for climate smart pest management of *Spilosoma obliqua* Walker. Entomon 45:15–30. [https://doi.org/10.33307/entomon.v45i1.](https://doi.org/10.33307/entomon.v45i1.500) [500](https://doi.org/10.33307/entomon.v45i1.500)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Roy N (2021a) Population dynamics and economic thresholds-based time series for smart pest management of sesame. Int J Trop Insect Sci. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42690-021-00437-3>
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>Roy N (2021b) Synergism in host selection behaviour of three generalists towards leaf cuticular wax of sesame cultivars. Neotrop Entomol 50:812–827. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13744-021-00892-0) [s13744-021-00892-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13744-021-00892-0)
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>Roy N, Barik A (2012) Alkanes used for host recognition by the arctiid moth, *Diacrisia casignetum* Kollar. J Entomol Res 36:345–350
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>Roy N, Barik A (2014) Long-chain fatty acids: semiochemicals for host location by the insect pest, *Diacrisia casignetum*. J Kansas Entomol Soc 87:22–36.<https://doi.org/10.2317/JKES130521.1>
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>Roy N, Laskar S, Barik A (2012a) The attractiveness of odorous esterifed fatty acids to the potential biocontrol agent, *Altica cyanea*. J Asia Pac Entomol 15:277–282. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2012.03.001) [1016/j.aspen.2012.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2012.03.001)
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>Roy N, Laskar S, Barik A (2012b) Determination of *n*-alkane profle through developmental state of sunfower leaves. S Pac J Nat Appl Sci 30:72–76. <https://doi.org/10.1071/sp12008>
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Salim HA, Abed MS (2015) Efect of botanical extracts, biological and chemical control against *Spilosoma obliqua* on cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*). J Entomol Zool Stud 3:43–46
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Sarfraz M, Keddie BA (2005) Conserving the efficacy of insecticides against *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lep., Plutellidae). J Appl Entomol 129:149–157. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2005.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2005.00930.x) [00930.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2005.00930.x)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Sarfraz M, Dosdall LM, Keddie BA (2007) Resistance of some cultivated Brassicaceae to infestations by *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). J Econ Entomol 100:215–224. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493(2007)100[215:roscbt]2.0.co;2) [org/10.1603/0022-0493\(2007\)100\[215:roscbt\]2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493(2007)100[215:roscbt]2.0.co;2)
- <span id="page-13-33"></span>Schäpers A, Carlsson MA, Gamberale-Stille G, Janz N (2015) The Role of olfactory cues for the search behavior of a specialist

and generalist butterfy. J Insect Behav 28:77–87. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10905-014-9482-0) [org/10.1007/s10905-014-9482-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10905-014-9482-0)

- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Schoonhoven LM, van Loon JJA, Dicke M (2005) Insect-plant biology. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- <span id="page-13-29"></span>Shakeel M, Farooq M, Nasim W, Akram W, Khan FZA, Jaleel W, Zhu X, Yin H, Li S, Fahad S, Hussain S, Chauhan BS, Jin F (2017) Environment polluting conventional chemical control compared to an environmentally friendly IPM approach for control of diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.), in China: a review. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 24:14537–14550. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-8996-3>
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Singh RK, Singh Y, Singh AK, Kumar R, Singh VK (2010) Productivity and economics of mustard (*Brassica juncea*) varieties as infuenced by diferent fertility levels under late sown condition. Ind J Soil Conserv 38:121–124
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>Singh R, Koul O, Rup PJ, Jindal J (2011) Oviposition and feeding behavior of the maize borer, *Chilo partellus*, in response to eight essential oil allelochemicals. Entomol Exp Appl 138:55– 64. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2010.01071.x>
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Song C, Ma L, Zhao J, Xue Z, Yan X, Hao C (2022) Electrophysiological and behavioral responses of *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) to volatiles from a non-host plant, geranium, *Pelargonium hortorum* (Geraniaceae). J Agric Food Chem 70:5982–5992. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.1c08165>
- <span id="page-13-30"></span>Spencer JL, Pillai S, Bernays EA (1999) Synergism in the oviposition behavior of *Plutella xylostella*: sinigrin and wax compounds. J Insect Behav 12:483–500. [https://doi.org/10.1023/A:](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020914723562) [1020914723562](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020914723562)
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Tandayu E, Borpatragohain P, Mauleon R, Kretzschmar T (2022) Genome-wide association reveals trait loci for seed glucosinolate accumulation in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Plants (basel) 11:364.<https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11030364>
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Tripathi RS (1999) Economics of cabbage production in high-hills of Uttar Pradesh. Ind J Hort 56:343–347
- <span id="page-13-31"></span>Udayagiri S, Mason CE (1997) Epicuticular wax chemicals in *Zea mays* infuences oviposition in *Ostrinia nubilalis*. J Chem Ecol 23:1675–1687. [https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOEC.0000006443.](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOEC.0000006443.72203.f7) [72203.f7](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOEC.0000006443.72203.f7)
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>Van Loon JJA, Blaakmeer A, Griepink FC, Van Beek TA, Schoonhoven LM, De Groot Æ (1992) Leaf surface compound from *Brassica oleracea* (Cruciferae) induces oviposition by *Pieris brassicae* (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). Chemoecology 3:39–44. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01261455>
- <span id="page-13-28"></span>Vuorinen T, Nerg AM, Ibrahim MA, Reddy GV, Holopainen JK (2004) Emission of *Plutella xylostella*-induced compounds from cabbages grown at elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and orientation behavior of the natural enemies. Plant Physiol 135:1984–1992. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.047084) [doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.047084](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.047084)
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>Wang Y, Wang J, Chai G, Li C, Hu Y, Chen X, Wang Z (2015) Developmental changes in composition and morphology of cuticular waxes on leaves and spikes of glossy and glaucous Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). PLoS ONE 10:e0141239. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0141239>
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Warad M, Kalleshwaraswamy CM (2017) Biology of Bihar hairy caterpillar, *Spilarctia obliqua* (Walker) (Erebidae: Lepidoptera) on feld bean. Agric Update 12:1256–1260. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.15740/HAS/AU/12.TECHSEAR(5)2017/1256-1260) [15740/HAS/AU/12.TECHSEAR\(5\)2017/1256-1260](https://doi.org/10.15740/HAS/AU/12.TECHSEAR(5)2017/1256-1260)
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>Wee SK (2016) Effects of conspecific herbivory and mating status on host searching and oviposition behavior of *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) in relation to its host, *Brassica oleracea* (Brassicales: Brassicaceae). Florida Entomol 99:159–165.<https://doi.org/10.1653/024.099.sp119>
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>Zar JH (1999) Biostatistical analysis. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, p 663
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>Zhu JY, Xiang ZW, Zhang SZ, Wu LN, Liu TX (2021) Adaptations of *Plutella xylostella* adult females and larvae to waxy

host plants. J Pest Sci 95:203–214. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-021-01366-3) [s10340-021-01366-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-021-01366-3)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.