#### **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# **The introgression of resistance to** *Tuta absoluta* **in tomato based on glandular trichomes**

**Juliano Tadeu Vilela de Resende1,2 · Diego Munhoz Dias2 · Ligia Erpen‑Dalla Corte[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0226-3520) · Leonel Vinicius Constantino<sup>1</sup> · Maurício Ursi Ventura<sup>1</sup> · Renato Barros de Lima Filho3 · Luiz Vitor Barbosa de Oliveira1 · Paulo Roberto Da‑Silva4**

Received: 20 March 2021 / Accepted: 21 October 2021 / Published online: 30 October 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2021

## **Abstract**

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) is one of the most important and consumed vegetables worldwide both in fresh and processed form. Among the main pests, the South American tomato pinworm (*Tuta absoluta* Meyrick, Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) stands out as one that causes great damage to its production. Control is based essentially on intensive chemical applications which increases the production cost, besides promoting ecological imbalances and possible health problems for consumers and farmers. Among the plant defense mechanisms against herbivores pests are the glandular trichomes and their secondary metabolites that together can be poisonous, repellent, or trap insects and other organisms. In order to develop a resistant cultivar to *T. absoluta*, we introgressed a trichome-based resistance trait from the wild tomato (*Solanum pennellii*) into cultivated tomato. The wild tomato has the type IV glandular trichomes, the major site of biosynthesis for acylsugars, which have been consistently linked to broad-spectrum resistance to herbivorous arthropods of tomato. The backcross genotypes (F2BC2) come from a cross between the species *S. pennellii* access LA-716 (donor genome with high acylsugar content) and the commercial cultivar *S. lycopersicum* cv. Redenção (background genome with low acylsugar content). Eight genotypes with high recovery of the background genome were selected to identify and quantify the glandular and non-glandular trichomes as well as to assess their resistance to the *T. absoluta*. Direct correlations between the acylsugar content, number of type IV glandular trichomes and resistance to the South American tomato pinworm were observed, indicating that the desired trait from *S. pennellii* is inherited throughout the backcrosses. Two groups were formed among the analyzed genotypes: high and low levels of acylsugars. In the high-level group, the higher acylsugar content led to a better control of the pinworm in a similar way to the wild parent (resistant). The overall analysis of our data shows that the genotypes RVTA-2010pl#232 and RVTA-2010pl#257 have the greatest potential to develop tomato lines with desirable commercial traits for the processing industry besides resistance to the South American tomato pinworm.

**Keywords** *Solanum pennellii* · *Solanum lycopersicum* · Acylsugar · ISSR · Plant breeding

Handling Editor: Joe Louis.

 $\boxtimes$  Ligia Erpen-Dalla Corte ligiacorte@uel.br

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Agronomy, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Celso Garcia Cid Road, km 380, P.O. Box 10.011, Londrina, ZIP 86057-970, Brazil
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Agronomy, Universidade Estadual de Centro-Oeste, Simeão Camargo Varela de Sá 03, Vila Carli, Guarapuava, Paraná, ZIP 85040-080, Brazil
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Agronomy, Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Av. Colombo, Maringá, Paraná 5790, Brazil
- <sup>4</sup> Genetics and Plant Molecular Biology Laboratory, Universidade Estadual de Centro-Oeste, Simeão Camargo Varela de Sá 03, Vila Carli, Guarapuava, Paraná, ZIP 85040-080, Brazil

# **1. Introduction**

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) is one of the most important and consumed vegetables worldwide, cultivated in tropical and subtropical regions with a production of 182.3 million tons in 4.8 million hectares (FAOSTAT [2020](#page-11-0)). The tomato is also one of the most demanding crops in terms of phytosanitary products, due to several pathogens and pests that compromise the yield and commercial fruit traits. The South American tomato pinworm (SATP) (*Tuta absoluta* Meyrick, Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) is an insect pest that has a major economic impact on the tomato, especially in tropical and subtropical climate countries (Han et al. [2019](#page-11-1)). Originally from the Western Neotropical region of South

America, the pinworm was recently identifed in Spain and has spread rapidly through Afro-Eurasia (Biondi et al. [2018](#page-10-0)).

The control of this pest is complex and depend on intensive chemical applications. This control strategy increases the production cost, besides promoting ecological imbalances and possible health problems for consumers and farmers (Krechemer and Foerster [2020;](#page-11-2) Reddy and Rajam [2016](#page-11-3)). In agroecological systems, the damage caused by the tomato pinworm is even more severe, mainly due to the lack of phytosanitary products allowed by the legislation (Fiaboe et al. [2020](#page-11-4)). Thus, the use of resistant tomato would be the most viable and environmental-friendly method to efectively reduce the damage caused by pinworm (Biondi et al. [2018](#page-10-0); Giorgini et al. [2019;](#page-11-5) Silva et al. [2016\)](#page-12-0). However, no resistant/tolerant commercial tomato varieties are yet available.

The lack of resistances within the current cultivated tomato varieties make difficult to find natural sources for breeding programs. Consequently, resistance traits have been explored from wild tomato relatives that can be introgressed into susceptible cultivars (Giorgini et al. [2019](#page-11-5); Lima et al. [2015](#page-11-6); Paudel et al. [2019;](#page-11-7) Zanin et al. [2018](#page-12-1)). Among these traits, the presence of glandular trichomes have been shown to confer a high level of resistance against a wide range of pests, especially in wild tomato species (Ali et al. [2019;](#page-10-1) Dias et al. [2016](#page-10-2); Smeda et al. [2018](#page-12-2)).

Trichomes are epidermal bulges located on aerial parts of many higher plants and provide the frst line of defense against insects, pathogens, and herbivores contributing to a plant's resistance (Werker [2000](#page-12-3)). Glandular trichomes produce and secrete secondary metabolites in a species- and cultivar-specifc fashion that can be poisonous, repellent, or trap insects and other organisms (Samanani and Facchini [2006](#page-12-4); Weinhold and Baldwin [2011](#page-12-5); Lucini et al. [2015](#page-11-8); Vosman et al. [2018\)](#page-12-6). Non-glandular trichomes are thought to enhance plant defense increasing the thickness of the epidermis and acting as obstacles against external invasion (Rodrıguez-Lopez et al. [2011;](#page-12-7) Simmons et al. [2004](#page-12-8); War et al. [2012](#page-12-9)).

The species of the genus Solanum possess eight diferent types of trichomes. Types I, IV, VI, and VII are glandular trichomes, and types II, III, V, and VIII are non-glandular trichomes. Wild tomato species such as *Solanum pennellii* have the type IV glandular trichomes, the major site of biosynthesis for acylsugars (McDowell et al. [2011](#page-11-9); Zhang et al. [2020](#page-12-10)). Acylsugar consist of sucrose or glucose cores esterifed with fatty acid acyl groups of variable length and branching pattern (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)) (Lybrand et al. [2020\)](#page-11-10).

The acylsugars have been consistently linked to broadspectrum resistance to herbivorous arthropods in *S. pennellii* and other Solanum species. Studies with acylsugars report deleterious efects on the life cycle of insects and mites (antibiosis resistance mechanism), reducing feeding, oviposition, and interfering in behavior (antixenosis resistance



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Structure of acylglucose purifed from *S. pennellii*. Fonte*:* (Lybrand et al. [2020](#page-11-10))

mechanism) (Lucini et al. [2015;](#page-11-8) Vosman et al. [2018](#page-12-6)). Leckie et al. ([2016\)](#page-11-11) showed that it was possible to reduce the whitefy oviposition rate on susceptible tomato treated with purifed acylsugars extracts from *S. pennellii* (LA-716). Likewise, introgression of these traits in tomato cultivated plants had reduced important pest infestation (Pereira et al. 2008; Su et al. 2018). Thus, increasing type IV glandular trichomes and acylsugars production has long been a target in tomato breeding programs (Paudel et al. [2019;](#page-11-7) Zanin et al. [2018](#page-12-1); Dias et al. [2016](#page-10-2); Lucini et al. [2015](#page-11-8)).

Genetic inheritance studies on acylsugars in tomatoes demonstrate that the achievement of higher levels of this allelochemical depends mainly on the action of a major gene, with additive efect of minor genes (Resende et al. [2002](#page-11-12); Smeda et al. [2018](#page-12-2)). The genetic inheritance type (one major gene) of this characteristic can facilitate the obtention of genotypes with a satisfactory level of resistance to some pests through successive crosses followed by selection for high levels of acylsugars in the leaves (Oliveira et al. [2018](#page-11-13); Resende et al. [2009\)](#page-11-14).

The crossing of commercial cultivars with wild tomatoes produces hybrids with resistance to some pests, but with unsuitable market characteristics. To reestablish market traits in the resistant hybrids, backcross methods can be applied to recover the background genome; however, they require a long time and present relatively high cost (Kabelka et al. [2004;](#page-11-15) Mesquita et al. [2005;](#page-11-16) Osei et al. [2018](#page-11-17)). To minimize this problem, marker-assisted selection has been successfully integrated into breeding programs (Collard and Mackill [2008;](#page-10-3) Dreher et al. [2003;](#page-11-18) Lenaerts et al. [2019](#page-11-19)). Molecular markers are uninfuenced by the environment and can be applied in the early stages of crop development, reducing costs and time for the selection process. In addition, it is possible to select a greater number of alleles of the background genome, since the donor has non-market characteristics, bringing greater gains in selection (Bishwas et al. [2016](#page-10-4)).

Among the available markers, the ISSR (inter-simple sequence repeat) and the expressed sequences tags (EST) based markers are widely used in breeding since they are efficient, relatively simple, and highly reproducible techniques, in addition to the high rates of polymorphism (Foolad and Panthee [2012](#page-11-20); Kiani and Siahchehreh [2018](#page-11-21); Rai et al. [2013\)](#page-11-22). Moreover, the ISSR markers have a uniform distribution throughout the entire plant genome, thus being excellent for studies of recurrent genome recovery in backcross programs (Lenaerts et al. [2019\)](#page-11-19). On the other hand, EST-based markers are within coding regions, which can accelerate the recovery of contrasting characteristics among parents.

Tomato lines for fresh consumption with high levels of acylsugars and with resistance to important pests such as striped mite (*Tetranychus urticae*)(Oliveira et al. [2018](#page-11-13)), green peach aphid (*Myzus persicae*) (Silva et al. [2019](#page-12-11)), whitefy (*Bemisia tabaci*)(Resende et al. [2009](#page-11-14); Dias et al. [2016\)](#page-10-2) including South American tomato pinworm (Maluf et al. [2010\)](#page-11-23) have been developed. However, few studies have been carried out to incorporate pest resistance genes in tomato genotypes destined for industrial processing (Oliveira et al. [2018,](#page-11-13) [2020\)](#page-11-24) or targeting sustainable systems. Thus, in this study, we describe the development of a resistant cultivar to the South American tomato pinworm. To achieve this goal, we introgressed a trichome-based resistance trait from the wild tomato *S. pennellii* into cultivated tomato, *S. lycopersicum*. F<sub>2</sub>BC<sub>2</sub> tomato materials present a wide distribution of glandular trichomes and high content of acylsugars contributing to resistance to South American tomato pinworm.

# **Materials and methods**

## **Segregating populations from the crossing between** *S. pennelli* **LA‑716 and cultivar Redenção**

The tomato genotypes used in this study came from a  $F_2BC_1$ population that resulted from a crossing between *S. lycopersicum* cv. Redenção and *S. pennelli* LA-716: RVTA-2010- 31-pl#177, RVTA-2010-31-pl#310, RVTA-2010-31-pl#319, and RVTA-2010pl#345. They were selected by Dias et al. [\(2016](#page-10-2)) for resistance to whitefy (*Bemisia tabaci*), with high levels of acylsugars. These genotypes were again crossed with the cv. Redenção (background genome) to obtain the  $F_1BC_2$  population, which was self-fertilized, originating the  $F_2BC_2$  population. The backcross was carried out at full fowering stage of the genotypes selected for high levels of allelochemical (pollen source) and the cv. Redenção (female parent with desirable traits for industrial processing). For this, 20 plants of the cv. Redenção and 10 of each genotype with high allelochemical content were grown in a greenhouse. First, these plants were seeded in 128-cell polystyrene trays with a commercial substrate based on pine bark and vermiculite in a 3:1 ratio. The wild parent was sown 15 days before the commercial genotype, considering the slow initial development of the species. When they reached approximately 10 to 15 cm in height, they were transplanted into polypropylene pots with a capacity of  $5 \text{ dm}^3$  of substrate containing subsurface soil and commercial substrate in a 1:1 ratio. To correct the pH, 25.3 g of Calcium Carbonate were added per pot. For fertilization, 30 g of the formula 4-14-8 containing N (nitrogen), P(P2O5), K (K2O) were applied. At 30 days after transplanting, 12 g of urea per pot were applied in the pre-fowering period. At fowering, but before anthesis, fowers of the cv. Redenção were emasculated and pollinated with pollen from the fowers of the parents selected in the previous generation.

#### **Quantifcation of acylsugars and genotype selection**

To quantify the levels of acylsugars, the  $F_2BC_2$  tomato genotypes were grown in a greenhouse in pots with  $2 \text{ dm}^3$ , as described above. A total of 420 genotypes were evaluated, with 105 plants from each crossing, 40 plants from the cv. Redenção (control of low acylsugars), and 40 plants of *S. pennellii* (control of high acylsugars).

In the pre-fowering phase, 50 to 60 days after the transplantation of the genotypes, six leaf disks  $(5.65 \text{ cm}^2)$  were removed in triplicate from the upper third part of each plant, using a cork borer of 3/8' in diameter. Leaf disks were placed in test tubes containing 2 mL of dichloromethane for acyl sugar extraction. The tubes were shaken for 30 s and the leaf disks were removed for evaporation by the extractor. To promote the saponifcation of the acylated groups, sodium hydroxide dissolved in methanol at 0.1 M concentration was added. Afterward, the solvent was evaporated and 0.4 ml of water added to the residue. For sucrose hydrolysis, 0.1 ml of 0.04 M hydrochloric acid solution was added and heated for 5 min until boiling. After the sequence of reactions proposed by Resende et al. ([2002](#page-11-12)), adapted from the tests for determining reducing sugars by Somogy–Nelson, the samples were read in a spectrophotometer. The absorbances were determine at 540 nm (Nelson [1944\)](#page-11-25) and the sugar concentration was calculated in nmols cm−2 of leaf area.

Based on the levels of acylsugars, contrasting F2BC2 plants were selected (high and low allelochemical plants). Then, they were used in the bioassays with the SATP. Only the 13 high-level acylsugar genotypes and the parents were used to determine genetic similarity using ISSR and EST molecular markers.

Molecular analyses and behavior assessments regarding the response to the SATP were performed with plants grown into 10  $\text{dm}^3$  pots, filled with a mixture of 1:1 soil and pin bark substrate. The pH of the soil was corrected to 5.6 (CaCl<sub>2</sub>) with base saturation at  $75\%$  with the application of 3.8 g of calcium carbonate. For each pot, 27.3 g of Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Potassium (N-P-K) as a 0-20-20 formula was applied. The pots were kept in a greenhouse

with an average temperature of  $28 \pm 3$  °C, relative humidity of  $75 \pm 4\%$ , and photophase of 13 h of light and 11 h of dark.

# **Assessment of recurrent genome recovery by molecular markers**

In this work, 13 high-level acylsugars genotypes were used (RVTA-2010-31-177pl#28=RVTA-28H, RVTA-2010-31- 177pl#39=RVTA-39H, RVTA-2010-31 177pl#113=RVTA-113H, RVTA-2010-31-177pl#177=RVTA-177H, RVTA-2010-31 177pl#180=RVTA-180H,  $RVTA-2010-31-177p1#232=RVTA-232H,$ RVTA-2010-83-347pl#257=RVTA-257H, RVTA-2010-83-347pl#359=RVTA-359H, RVTA-2010-83- 347pl#61=RVTA-61H, RVTA-2010-31-177pl#152=RVTA-152H, RVTA-2010-31-177pl#155=RVTA-155H, RVTA-2010-83-347pl#214=RVTA-214H, and RVTA-2010-31-177PL#160=RVTA-160H) along with the parents *S. lycopersicum* cv. Redenção and the wild access *S. pennellii* LA-716.

Leafets of each genotype were collected and immediately stored in liquid nitrogen for subsequent DNA extraction, following the method described by Sharma et al. [\(2008](#page-12-12)). First, 100 mg of plant material was ground in liquid nitrogen. Then, 1 mL of extraction bufer (100 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 200 mM EDTA, 2 M NaCl, 2% PVP, 2% CTAB, 2% β-mercaptoethanol) was added to the samples, which were incubated in a water bath for 30 min at 65 °C. Then the DNA was separated from other cellular components using phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol in a 25:24:1 ratio followed by centrifugation. After this step, the DNA was precipitated with PEG (Polyethylene Glycol) and washed with ethanol to obtain high purity. At the end of the extraction, the DNA was resuspended in TE (10 mM Tris–HCl; pH 8.0; 1 mM EDTA) with RNAse, incubated at 37 °C for 30 min, and stored at −20 °C until use. The DNA samples were quantifed by electrophoresis on a 0.8% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide (0.5  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>), by comparison with Lambda phage DNA (50 ng, 100 ng, and 200 ng).

#### **Molecular analyses**

The DNA amplifcation reactions via PCR (polymerase chain reaction) were performed with six ISSR primers and six EST primers (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). These primers were previously selected by Secco et al. (not published) as the most informative considering the parents used in this study. The PCR reactions for the ISSR analyses were prepared with a fnal volume of 12.5 μL containing 20 ng of DNA, 1X PCR buffer,  $0.2 \mu M$  of primer,  $200 \mu M$  of dNTP,  $1.5 \text{ mM}$ of  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$ , and 1 U of Taq DNA Polymerase. The thermal cycler was programmed for initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 45 s, annealing temperature (Table [1\)](#page-3-0) for 45 s, and extension at 72 °C for 90 s; and a fnal extension step at 72 °C for 5 min. The amplifcation products were separated by agarose gel (1.8%) electrophoresis stained with ethidium bromide  $(0.5 \mu g \text{ mL}^{-1})$ . To determine the size of the amplified fragments, the 100 bp DNA ladder was used. The fragments

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1** Inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR) and expressed sequence tags (EST) primers used to evaluate the recovery of the background genome in the tomato genotypes with high acylsugar content



*T °*C Annealing temperature

were visualized in UV light and photo-documented by a digital system.

For the markers based on expressed sequence tags (Table [1](#page-3-0)), the DNA amplifcation reactions via PCR were prepared in a fnal volume of 10 μL containing: 0.5 ng of DNA, 1X PCR buffer, 0.8  $\mu$ M of each primer, 0.2 mM of dNTP, 3 mM of  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$ , and 0.4U of Taq DNA polymerase. For amplifcation, the thermal cycler was programmed for an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 9 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 68 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s; 21 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 62 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s, and a fnal extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The amplifcation products were separated by electrophoresis on a 12% polyacrylamide gel run at 2000 V for 4 h. The electrophoresis gel was visualized by silver nitrate staining.

### **Pinworm resistance bioassay**

Adults of the South American tomato moth were collected from commercial tomato production areas in a protected environment in the municipality of Faxinal, PR during the spring (located at 824 m of altitude and Latitude: 23° 59' 59″ South, Longitude: 51° 19′ 15″ West) to start a controlled rearing in the laboratory. The moths were released on tomato plants of the cv. Santa Clara (susceptible) placed in wooden cages (C150  $\times$  L150  $\times$  H100 cm) with anti-aphid netting. The cages were kept in a greenhouse with an average temperature of  $28 \pm 3$  °C, average humidity of  $75 \pm 4\%$ , and photophase of 13/11 h light/dark regime. After 72 h of the release of adult moths on tomato plants, leafets with eggs were collected and transferred to tomato plants in another cage placed in the same environment, aiming to standardize the age of the insects.

The bioassay was conducted in a greenhouse with a randomized block design with 12 treatments and 2 controls in 4 repetitions. Each plot was composed of a pot with a plant. Each cage was considered a block. The treatments consisted of eight  $F_2BC_2$  tomato genotypes with greater genetic similarity to the recurrent parent, that is, with genotypic constitution closer to do commercial and, with also high levels of acylsugar (RVTA-28H, RVTA-39H, RVTA-113H, RVTA-177H, RVTA-180H, RVTA-232H, RVTA-257H, and RVTA-359H); or low levels of acylsugar (RVTA-205L, RVTA-227L, RVTA-376L, and RVTA-385L), along with the parents *S. lycopersicum* cv. Redenção and the wild accession *S. pennellii* LA-716, as controls of susceptibility or resistance to the South American tomato pinworm, respectively. The insects used in the bioassays were bred on tomato plants, cv. Santa Cruz (susceptible) inside wooden cages covered with veil. To ensure age-matched adults at the time of infestation, moths were transferred to other cages for oviposition. Afterward, the adults were removed, leaving only the tomato plants with eggs. The caterpillars empupated and gave rise to adults that were later sexed in the laboratory.

At the pre-fowering stage of the plants (60 days after transplanting), adult moths of the same age were sexed at the laboratory and released into the cages at the rate of 1.23 females for each male per plant. The methodology proposed by Resende et al. [\(2006\)](#page-12-13) reports that the infestation of each plant in the pre-fowering stage with 1 male for each 1.23 females is sufficient to cause economic damage to the tomato plant in cages. With a stereomicroscope (Olympus SZ61), eggs (area of 20  $mm<sup>2</sup>$ ), and caterpillars (total area of the leafet) were counted, at all instars, on the adaxial and abaxial surfaces of two opposing leafets, in lower, middle, and upper thirds of the plant at 07, 14, 21, and 28 days after infestation. For this, the leafets were detached from the plants and taken to the laboratory for evaluation. The values corresponding to eggs and pinworms were related to the averages obtained from the four evaluations, in the two leafets, harvested in the three thirds of the tested plants.

The severity of the damage caused by the South American tomato pinworm was assessed at 14, 21, 28, and 35 days after infestation using a grading scale (Labory et al. [1999\)](#page-11-26) as follows:

- (A) **Intensity of plant damage (IPD)**: 0- no damage; 1 small lesions (0.1% to 5% damage); 2- small, non-coalescing lesions (5.1% to 20% damage); 3- medium to large lesions (20.1% to 50% damage); 4- large and coalescing lesions (50.1% to 80% damage); and 5- totally destroyed plants (over 80% damage).
- (B) **Types of leafet lesions (TLL)**: 0- no lesions; 1- few and minor lesions; 2- small and medium lesions; 3 medium-sized lesions, without coalescence; 4- large and coalescing lesions, deformed leafets; and 5- totally destroyed leafet.
- (C) **Percentage of attacked leafets (PAL)**: 0- no attacked leafets; 1- 0.1% to 5% of leafets attacked; 2- 5.1% to 20% of attacked leafets; 3- 20.1% to 50% of leafets attacked; 4- 50.1% to 80% of leafets attacked; and 5 more than 80% of the leafets attacked. The evaluations were performed at 28 days after the infestation.

In the bioassay with *T. absoluta*, the attractiveness index was estimated by  $AI = 2 T/(T+C)$ , where  $AI =$ attractiveness index; T (treatment)=number of insects attracted to the evaluated genotype; and  $C$  (control) = number of insects attracted to the susceptible control genotype, *S. lycopersicum* cv. Redenção. The AI value range between 0 and 2, with  $AI = 1$  indicating similar attraction between the evaluated genotypes (repellent test plant) and the control (attractant test plant), AI<1 corresponding to less attraction (greater repellency) by the evaluated genotype and AI>1 showing greater attraction for the tested genotype compared with the control. This index is an adaptation of the formula mentioned by Lin et al. ([1990\)](#page-11-27) and used by Baldin et al. [\(2000](#page-10-5)).

The oviposition preference index was also calculated using the formula proposed by Fenemore ([1980\)](#page-11-28):  $OPI = [(T-C)/(T+C)] \times 100$ , where T (treatment) = number of eggs counted in the evaluated treatment and C (control)=number of eggs counted in the susceptible control genotype, *S. lycopersicum* cv. Redenção. The index ranges from  $+100$  (very stimulating) to  $-100$  (total deterrence), with a value of 0 (zero) indicating neutrality. The classification of genotypes (stimulant/deterrent) was carried out by comparing the mean of treatment eggs with the mean of the control *S. lycopersicum* cv. Redenção.

#### **Identifcation and quantifcation of leaf trichomes**

To evaluate the types and number of trichomes, newly expanded leafets from the upper third of the plants in the pre-fowering stage (45–60 days) were collected. Subsequently, these samples were sent to the Electron Microscopy and Microanalysis Laboratory (LMEM) of the Londrina State University (UEL), in Londrina, Paraná, Brazil.

To identify and quantify the glandular and non-glandular trichomes, paradermical cuts of approximately  $12 \text{ mm}^2$  were performed on the abaxial and adaxial faces of each leafet, using eight replicates. Then the plant material was fxed on microscope slides with carbon tape on a metallic support (Goldstein et al. [1992\)](#page-11-29), with subsequent analysis of 300  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> of the leaf surface in a scanning electron microscope (SEM) Tescan® Veja3 HV at 30kv with attached camera, to quantify and classify the trichomes, observing the presence or absence of the gland shape at the apical extremity.

#### **Data analysis**

The oviposition data and number of pinworms did not meet the assumption of normality. Therefore, after the analysis of variance, these data were transformed using the formula  $(x+1)^{0.5}$ . Subsequently, the Scott–Knott cluster analysis was performed (*P*<0.05). Orthogonal contrasts between groups of genotypes with diferent acylsugar contents were verifed using the SISVAR statistical program (Ferreira [2011\)](#page-11-30). The correlations between the variables analyzed were estimated by Spearman's rank test  $(P < 0.05)$  and the significance was verified by the *t*-test ( $P < 0.05$ ). The means of the genotypes for each response variable were normalized and visualized in a heatmap, and, for the hierarchical grouping, Euclidean distance and the UPGMA method were used.

In the molecular characterization using ISSR and EST markers, the genotyping of the materials was performed according to the presence  $(1)$  or absence  $(0)$  of bands and a binary matrix was generated to analyze the genetic similarity by the Jaccard coefficient using the NTSYS 2.2 software.

## **Results**

## **Molecular marker‑assisted recurrent genome recovery**

ISSR primers amplifed 40 polymorphic fragments between the cv. Redenção (background genome) and the wild access *S. pennellii* LA-716 (donor genome). EST-based primers amplifed 10 polymorphic bands. The joint evaluation of the 50 polymorphic fragments allowed to establish the genetic similarity between the evaluated genotypes (Table [2\)](#page-5-0). The plants that showed higher similarity with the recurrent cv. (Redenção) and, consequently, greater recovery of the background genome, were RVTA-39H (0.64), RVTA-257H (0.64), RVTA-152H (0.62), RVTA-28H (0.62), RVTA-232H (0.60), RVTA-113H (0.54), RVTA-177H (0.52), and RVTA-180H (0.50) (Table [2\)](#page-5-0). Genotypes with less than 50% of similarity to the recurrent parent were not used in the South American tomato pinworm resistance bioassay.

#### **Pinworm resistance bioassay**

Eight acylsugar-rich genotypes with high genetic similarity to the recurrent parent (RVTA-28H, RVTA-39H, RVTA-113H, RVTA-177H, RVTA-180H, RVTA-232H, RVTA-257H, and RVTA-359H), four low-acylsugar genotypes (RVTA-205L, RVTA-227L, RVTA-276L, and RVTA-385L), and the parents were used to the resistance test.

In the preference test, the feeding choice was signifcantly influenced by the levels of acylsugars present in the  $F_2BC_2$ 

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 2** Genetic similarity among tomato  $F_2BC_2$  genotypes from the cross between the wild genotype S. pennellii and the cultivar Redenção (S. lycopersicum)

	$LA-716$	'Redenção'		
LA-716	1.00	0.00		
'Redenção'	0.00	1.00		
RVTA-28H	0.23	0.62		
RVTA-39H	0.33	0.64		
RVTA-61H	0.24	0.47		
<b>RVTA-113H</b>	0.32	0.54		
<b>RVTA-152H</b>	0.23	0.48		
RVTA-155H	0.29	0.44		
<b>RVTA-177H</b>	0.40	0.52		
RVTA-180H	0.32	0.50		
$RVTA-214H$	0.14	0.46		
RVTA-232H	0.22	0.60		
RVTA-257H	0.21	0.64		
RVTA-359H	0.12	0.55		
RVTA-160H	0.18	0.46		

genotypes for all evaluated characteristics. The average of eggs observed in 20  $mm<sup>2</sup>$  of leaflets allowed to separate the genotypes in six groups, with average values ranging from 1.00 (*S. pennellii*) to 2.85 (cv. Redenção). Among the diferent groups, the genotype with less preference for the pest was the wild access *S. pennellii* LA-716. The genotypes selected with high levels of acylsugars, RVTA-39H, RVTA-177H, RVTA-232H, RVTA-257H, and RVTA-359H, obtained lower values of oviposition when compared with the low-acylsugar genotypes (RVTA-205L, RVTA-205L, RVTA-376L, and RVTA-385L) and the cv. Redenção. The presence of pinworms was observed in greater numbers in genotypes classifed as low in acylsugars, difering from the genotypes with high content of the allellochemical. Among the high-acylsugar genotypes, RVTA-232H, RVTA-257H, and RVTA-359H stood out, showing no diference from the resistant control *S. pennellii* LA-716 (Table [3\)](#page-6-0).

Concerning the plant damage intensity (PDI), types of leafet lesions (TLL), and percentage of attacked leafets (PAL), three groups were formed. The resistant parent, *S. pennellii* LA-716, had significant lower PDI, TLL, and PAL, compared with the other genotypes. An intermediate group was composed of plants with high levels of acylsugars (RVTA-28H, RVTA-39H, RVTA-113H, RVTA-177H, RVTA-232H, RVTA-257H, and RVTA-359H). A third group was formed by plants that were greater attacked by the South American tomato pinworm: the commercial cv. Redenção and the genotypes with low levels of acylsugars (RVTA-205L, RVTA-227L, RVTA-376L, and RVTA-385L), including the RVTA-180H genotype that was selected for high levels of this allelochemical compound (Table [3](#page-6-0)).

The C1 contrast demonstrates the comparison between high- and low-acylsugar genotypes. The negative values for NE (number of eggs), NP (number of pinworms, PDI, TLL, and PAL (−0.40, −0.90, −1.33, −1.32, and −1.33, respectively), indicate that the South American tomato pinworm is afected regarding all traits analyzed in high-acylsugar genotypes, resulting in a decrease in the number of eggs and pinworms, as well as in the plant damage intensity. The C2 contrast also shows the efectiveness of genotypes with high allelochemical levels in comparison to the cv. Redenção, which has a low content of acylsugars and presents values of −0.65, −0.94, −1.05, −1.04, and −1.14 for NE, NP, PDI, TLL, and PAL, respectively. When the high-acylsugar genotypes were contrasted with *S. pennellii* LA-716, no

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 3** Acylsugar content (AC, nmols cm<sup>2</sup>), average number of eggs (NE, 20 mm−2), average number of pinworm per leafet (NP), plant damage index (PDI, %), types of leafet lesions (TLL, %), percentage of attacked leafets (PAL, %), attractiveness index (AI), oviposition

preference index (OPI), in  $F_2BC_2$  tomato genotypes with different levels of acylsugars, *S. pennellii* access LA-716, and *S. lycopersicum* cv. Redenção subjected to infestation with the South American tomato pinworm

Genotype	AC	NE	NP	PDI	TLL	PAL	AI	<b>OPI</b>
$LA-716$ (H)	145.57 a	$1.00a^*$	$1.00a^*$	$0.25 c^*$	$0.03 c^*$	$0.08c$ *	0.56	$-48.05$
$RVTA-28$ $(H)$	136.14 a	2.38 <sub>e</sub>	1.55 <sub>b</sub>	1.62 <sub>b</sub>	1.62 <sub>b</sub>	1.67 <sub>b</sub>	0.75	$-8.98$
$RVTA-39$ (H)	145.64 a	1.74 <sub>b</sub>	1.60 <sub>b</sub>	1.37 <sub>b</sub>	1.39 <sub>b</sub>	1.41 <sub>b</sub>	0.77	$-24.18$
$RVTA-113$ $(H)$	131.19 b	2.34e	1.48 <sub>b</sub>	1.19 <sub>b</sub>	1.24 <sub>b</sub>	1.32 <sub>b</sub>	0.73	$-9.82$
$RVTA-177$ $(H)$	122.51 b	2.02d	1.82 <sub>b</sub>	1.50 <sub>b</sub>	1.54 <sub>b</sub>	1.67 <sub>b</sub>	0.83	$-17.04$
$RVTA-180$ (H)	134.78 b	2.43 e	1.52 <sub>b</sub>	2.49a	2.42a	2.36a	0.74	$-7.95$
<b>RVTA-232 (H)</b>	139.69 a	1.76 <sub>b</sub>	1.25a	1.53 <sub>b</sub>	1.54 <sub>b</sub>	1.64 <sub>b</sub>	0.65	$-23.64$
$RVTA-257$ $(H)$	131.12 a	1.68 <sub>b</sub>	1.32a	1.21 <sub>b</sub>	1.21 <sub>b</sub>	1.23 <sub>b</sub>	0.68	$-25.83$
$RVTA-359$ (H)	121.07 b	1.80c	1.20a	1.21 <sub>b</sub>	1.27 <sub>b</sub>	1.29 <sub>b</sub>	0.64	$-22.58$
$RVTA-205$ (L)	43.24 d	2.43 e	2.35c	2.90a	2.88 a	1.95a	0.96	$-7.95$
$RVTA-227(L)$	80.07c	2.55 e	2.56d	2.61a	2.56a	2.67a	1.00	$-5.55$
$RVTA-376$ (L)	52.87 d	2.34e	2.21c	2.63a	2.69a	2.70a	0.92	$-9.82$
$RVTA-385$ (L)	55.93 d	2.38 <sub>e</sub>	2.51d	2.70a	2.76a	2.79a	0.99	$-8.98$
Redenção (L)	44.76 d	2.85 f	2.57d	2.59a	2.57a	2.69a	1.00	$\overline{0}$
CV(%)		6.14	10.09	14.37	20.41	20.54	9.45	26.51
$Cl$ —Genotypes (H) x (L)		$-0.40^*$	$-0.90**$	$-1.33***$	$-1.32$ **	$-1.33***$		
C <sub>2</sub> —Genotypes (H) x (Redenção)		$-0.65^*$	$-0.94***$	$-1.05***$	$-1.04***$	$-1.14***$		
$C3$ —Genotypes (H) x (LA716)		0.19 <sup>ns</sup>	0.12 <sup>ns</sup>	0.04 <sup>ns</sup>	0.06 <sup>ns</sup>	0.09 <sup>ns</sup>		

Means followed by the same letter in the column belong to the same group by the Scott–Knott test at 5% of probability

\*Signifcant at 5% of probability

\*\*Signifcant at 1% of probability

*ns* Not signifcant at 5% or 1% of probability

signifcance was identifed for the orthogonal contrasts estimated for all characteristics (Table [3](#page-6-0)).

The attractiveness and preference for oviposition and feeding of the South American tomato pinworm for the diferent tomato genotypes demonstrated that *S. lycopersicum* cv. Redenção and RVTA-227L, with a low content of acylsugars, were the most attractive, followed by RVTA-385L, RVTA-376L, and RVTA-205L (all with low content of acylsugars), difering from *S. pennelli* LA-716 and other genotypes selected for high levels of the allelochemical (RVTA-28H, RVTA-39H, RVTA-113H, RVTA-177H, RVTA-180H, RVTA-232H, RVTA-257H, RVTA-359H, and RVTA-143H) (Table [3](#page-6-0)).

According to the attractiveness index calculated after infestation, none of the high-acylsugar genotypes were attractive to the Tomato South American pinworm when compared with the susceptible control *S. lycopersicum* cv. Redenção and the low-acylsugar genotypes. In addition, the oviposition preference index classifes all materials with a high content of acylsugar as not preferred for oviposition when compared with the susceptible control *S. lycopersicum* cv. Redenção and the low-acylsugar genotypes (Table [3](#page-6-0)).

Considering the density of trichomes in the diferent genotypes (Fig. [2\)](#page-7-0), *S. pennelli* LA-716 and *S. lycopersicum* cv. Redenção had the highest density of total trichomes. However, acylsugar-rich genotypes, including the wild accession *S. pennelli,* had a higher number of total glandular trichomes and type IV glandular trichomes (Fig. [3A](#page-8-0)) compared with low-content acylsugar genotypes and the cv. Redenção. Nonglandular trichomes (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)B, [C](#page-8-0), and [G\)](#page-8-0) were observed in higher densities in genotypes with low content of acylsugars,

with emphasis on the cv. Redenção (Fig. [2\)](#page-7-0). The RVTA-232H genotype (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)D) and the wild accession *S. pennelli* (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)E) presented higher density of type IV glandular trichomes and total glandular trichomes on the leafet surface. In the RVTA-385L genotype (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)F), non-glandular trichomes predominated, as well as in the other genotypes with a low content of acylsugars.

The Spearman rank correlation analysis (Fig. [4](#page-9-0)) indicated that the acylsugar content is inversely proportional to the average number of eggs (−0.65), average number of pinworms in the plant  $(-0.81)$ , plant damage index  $(-0.83)$ , types of leafet lesions (−0.81), percentage of attacked leaflets (−0.81), attractiveness index (−0.68), and oviposition preference index (−0.69). The density of type IV glandular and total glandular trichomes correlated directly with acylsugar levels (0.78 and 0.75, respectively), and inversely with all the evaluated resistance characteristics: number of eggs (−0.68), attractiveness index (−0.85), number of pinworms (−0.85), percentage of attacked leafet (−0.83), plant damage index (−0.78), number of lesions per leafet (−0.81), attractiveness index (−0.85), and oviposition preference index  $(-0.75)$ .

Considering all the variables observed in the experiment, acylsugar content (AC), density of glandular trichomes (TGT), density of glandular trichomes type IV (IVGT), nonglandular trichomes (NGT), number of eggs (NE), number of pinworms (NP), plant damage index (PDI), types of leafet lesions (TLL), percentage of attacked leafets (PAL), attractiveness index (AI), and oviposition preference index (OPI), a heatmap grouping analysis was performed (Fig. [5](#page-9-1)). It is possible to observe the formation of two groups, separated in



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Type IV glandular trichomes (TIVGT), total glandular trichomes (TGT), total non-glandular trichomes (TNGT), and total trichomes (TT) in  $F_2BC_2$  tomato genotypes with different levels of acylsugars, *S. pennellii* accession LA-716, and *S. lycopersicum* cv.

Redenção. Means followed by the same letter in the column with de same color do not differ by the Scott–Knott test  $(P<0.05)$  of probability



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Glandular trichome type IV present in genotypes with a high content of acylsugars (**A**). Type III non-glandular trichome in lowacylsugar genotypes (**B**). Non-glandular trichome type VIII (**C**). Abaxial surface of the genotype RVTA-232H (**D**) and the wild acces-

low and high levels of acylsugars. The low-acylsugar genotypes were grouped with the susceptible genotype 'Redenção'. The other group was formed by *S. pennellii* LA-716 and the acylsugar-rich genotypes. In the second group, *S. pennellii* LA-716 diverges from the other high-acylsugar genotypes since it has even higher levels of this allelochemical in the leafets and, consequently, has greater resistance. Among the genotypes with high levels of acylsugars, it is possible to identify two groups, from which the genotypes RVTA-39H, RVTA-232H, RVTA-257H, and RVTA-359H stood out with the greatest resistance to the South American tomato pinworm.

# **Discussion**

The introgression of genetic resistance is the main strategy for integrated pest management in sustainable production systems, especially for pests with high damage potential and that are difficult to control (Trapero et al.  $2016$ ; Alphey and Bonsall [2018](#page-10-6)). Thus, the search for resistance genes in wild species is crucial, especially when the trait has a high heritability in populations from interspecifc crosses, such as in

sion S. pennelli. (**E**) covered with glandular trichomes of types IV, VI, VII, and non-glandular types I and III. Abaxial surface of the RVTA-385L (**F**) genotype and abaxial surface of *the commercial cv. Redenção* (**G**)

the present study. The lower susceptibility of wild species to pests is related to the presence of allelochemicals known as acylsugars secreted by glandular trichomes.

The evaluation of the similarity between the genotypes with a high content of acylsugars and the parents showed genetic proximity to the cv. Redenção (Table [2](#page-5-0)). Of the 13 genotypes subjected to the similarity analysis, eight were selected with an index equal to or greater than 0.50 (Table  $2$ ). In order to attain better efficiency in the selection of plants with greater resistance to the South American tomato pinworm combined with greater similarity to the recurrent parent, the use of molecular markers is essential. According to the literature, the use of molecular markers for assisted selection has been one of the most efficient applications of biotechnology in agriculture (Collard and Mackill [2008](#page-10-3); Foolad and Panthee [2012](#page-11-20); Rai et al. [2013\)](#page-11-22). The markers allow diferentiating genotypes by using similarity coeffcients, resulting in greater selection gains, especially in recurrent crosses (Kiani and Siahchehreh [2018\)](#page-11-21). In tomato, marker-assisted selection has been an important tool to develop superior materials (Osei et al. [2018](#page-11-17)). In this study, the use of assisted selection allowed the identifcation of genotypes with greater recovery of the recurrent genome and

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Spearman's rank correlation coefficient between the *evaluated characteristics.* AC *A*cylsugar conten*t,* PDI *Plant damage index,* NLL *N*um*ber of lesions per leafet,* PAL *Percentage of attacked leafets,* NP *N*umber of pinworms*,* NE *N*umber of eggs*,* AI *Attractiveness* index*,* OPI oviposition preference index*,* TIVGT *Type* IV glandular trichomes*,* TGT *T*otal glandular trichomes*,* TNGT *T*otal non-glandular *trichomes;* TT *Total trichomes*





<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Heatmap with the normalized means of the variables and hierarchical grouping of the separate genotypes based on the level (high and low) of acylsugars. TIVGT *T*ype IV glandular trichomes*,* TGT *T*otal glandular trichomes*,* TNGT *Total* non-glandular trichomes*,* TT *Total* trichomes, NE *Number* of eggs, AI *Attractiveness* index*,* NP *N*umber of pinworms*,* PDI *Plant* damage index*,* NLL *N*umber of lesions per leaflet, PAL *Percentage* of attacked leaflets, in  $F_2BC_2$ *tomato genotypes*

also demonstrated that the backcrossing process efficiently recovers the recurrent genome without losing the genetic resistance to the South American tomato pinworm.

Among the  $F_2BC_2$  genotypes selected for high levels of acylsugars, some plants presented greater resistance to the South American tomato pinworm than the cv. Redenção. The presence of acylsugars in tomato leafets and their infuence on pest behavior has been previously investigated (Dias et al. [2016;](#page-10-2) Maluf et al. [2010](#page-11-23); Resende et al. [2009\)](#page-11-14). The obtained data assure that the selection of plants with high content of acylsugars, in laboratory, represents a promising tool to fnd genotypes with resistance to pests in a faster and cheaper process. Maluf et al. [\(2010\)](#page-11-23) reported that the presence of high levels of acylsugars in the leafets provides resistance to the South American tomato pinworm. Furthermore, they affirm that the presence of the allelochemical in the leafets negatively afects the behavior of other arthropod pests, when subjected to biological tests with plants containing high content of acylsugars. In addition to being present in *S. pennellii* LA-716 (Rakha et al. [2017](#page-11-31); Silva et al. [2016\)](#page-12-0), the acylsugars showed a high correlation with glandular trichomes, especially the type IV, as previously reported by Bitew ([2018\)](#page-10-7) and Lucini et al. ([2015](#page-11-8)), demonstrating that these structures can also be a strong indicator for the selection of pest-resistant genotypes. The acylsugar-rich

genotypes, besides showing higher resistance to the South American tomato pinworm, presented the highest densities of glandular trichomes, indicating the positive relationship between these traits, working together for greater pest control efficiency.

Low-acylsugar genotypes had a higher concentration of non-glandular trichomes, demonstrating that in larger arthropods, such as the South American tomato pinworm, only physical barriers are insufficient to reduce the attack. The RVTA-332H genotype presented a diversity of trichomes on the leaf surface, with several types of glandular and non-glandular trichomes, which is an interesting condition for pest control, as they constitute associated chemical and physical barriers (Fig. [3C](#page-8-0)) (Lucini et al. [2015](#page-11-8); Oliveira et al. [2018](#page-11-13); Silva et al. [2019](#page-12-11); Zhang et al. [2020](#page-12-10)).

In this study, most genotypes with high content of acylsugars showed lower values for number of eggs, number of pinworms, plant damage index, number of lesions per leafet, and percentage of attacked leafets when compared to low-acylsugar plants and Redenção (Table [3\)](#page-6-0). The genotypes RVTA-180H, RVTA-113H, and RVTA-28H were inconsistent for resistance to the South American tomato pinworm, especially for oviposition preference, even though they have high acylsugar content and high density of glandular trichomes. Moreover, the South American tomato pinworm caused similar damage to the RVTA-180H genotype and the low-acylsugar genotypes. This can be explained by the types and concentration of the hexose molecules that constitute the acylsugars of the plant. It is known that acyl esters may consist of acyglucoses and acylsucroses (Weinhold and Baldwin [2011\)](#page-12-5), and the higher concentrations of acylsugars glucose-based better improve the plant endogenous defense against pests. Besides the type, the proportion of acylsugars present in the leafets interferes with the level of the plant resistance to arthropods. Thus, although this characteristic has not been evaluated in the present study, it can be assumed that there are diferences in the chemical constitution of acylsugar compounds among the genotypes with high levels of the allellochemical, leading to diferent responses regarding resistance to the South American tomato pinworm.

Results from the literature support this hypothesis. For instance, Leckie et al. ([2016\)](#page-11-11) found that when the acylsugars extracted from *S. pennellii* LA-716 were isolated as acylglucose and acylsucrose and applied individually to thrips (*Frankliniella* spp. Thysanoptera: Thripidae), they did not control the arthropod efficiently. However, when applying the combined compounds, the results were similar to that observed in *S. pennellii* LA-716, which proves the role played by diferent types of acylsugars in pest resistance and explains the diference in resistance levels among the selected genotypes with high levels of acylsugars. Thus, even though the acylsugar content represents an important indirect marker of selection for the South American tomato

pinworm resistance, it does not eliminate the need to expose the genotypes to the arthropod pest. These results are related to the erosion of genes responsible for these factors during backcross generations to obtain segregating populations.

Considering the hierarchical grouping (Fig. [4](#page-9-0)), among the  $F_2BC_2$  plants, the genotypes RVTA-39H, RVTA-232H, RVTA-257H, and RVTA-359H stood out for containing high concentrations of acylsugars in leaves, density of glandular and glandular trichomes type IV, and higher resistance to the South American tomato pinworm, since they showed less preference for oviposition, fewer pinworms, low attractiveness, and reduced severity of damage caused by the South American tomato pinworm, behaving similarly to *S. pennellii*, the resistant control (Table [3](#page-6-0)).

Considering the results obtained in this work, plants with the best results and the highest proportions of the recurrent parent genome were maintained for advances in the breeding program. Thus, the plants RVTA-232H and RVTA-257H, which had a performance similar to the resistant control, *S. pennellii* LA-716, and had greater similarity with the cv. Redenção (0.60 and 0.64, respectively), are the ones that will result in greater genetic gains in future crosses, representing great options to be included in sustainable farming systems.

# **References**

- <span id="page-10-6"></span>Alphey N, Bonsall MB (2018) Genetics-based methods for agricultural insect pest management. Agric Foresty Entomol 20:131–140. <https://doi.org/10.1111/afe.12241>
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>Ali A, Rakha M, Shaheen FA, Srinivasan R (2019) Resistance of certain wild tomato (*Solanum* spp.) accessions to Helicoverpa armigera (Hübner)(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) based on choice and no-choice bioassays. Fla. Entomol. 102:544–548. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1653/024.102.0311) [10.1653/024.102.0311](https://doi.org/10.1653/024.102.0311)
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>Baldin EL, Toscano LC, Lima AC, Lara FM, Boiça AL Jr (2000) Preferência para oviposição de Bemisia tabaci biótipo B por genótipos de Cucurbita moschata e Cucurbita maxima. Boletín De Sanidad Vegetal Plagas 26:409–413
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>Biondi A, Guedes RNC, Wan F-H, Desneux N (2018) Ecology, worldwide spread, and management of the invasive South American tomato pinworm, Tuta absoluta: past, present, and future. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 63:239–258. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annur](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-031616-034933) [ev-ento-031616-034933](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-031616-034933)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Bishwas N, Sharma M, Hasan A, Akhtar S, Sharma N (2016) Improvement of rice crop by Marker-assisted Backcross method. Magnesium 8:2
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>Bitew M (2018) Signifcant role of wild genotypes of tomato trichomes for Tuta absoluta resistance. J Plant Genet Breed 2:104
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>Collard BC, Mackill DJ (2008) Marker-assisted selection: an approach for precision plant breeding in the twenty-frst century. Phil Transact Royal Soc B Biol Sci 363:557–572. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2170) [doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2170](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2170)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>Dias D, Resende JV, Marodin J, Matos R, Lustosa I, Resende N (2016) Acyl sugars and whitefy (Bemisia tabaci) resistance in segregating populations of tomato genotypes. Genet. Mol. Res. 15:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.4238/gmr.15027788>
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>Dreher K, Khairallah M, Ribaut J-M, Morris M (2003) Money matters (I): costs of feld and laboratory procedures associated with conventional and marker-assisted maize breeding at CIMMYT. Mol. Breed. 11:221–234. [https://doi.org/10.1023/A:10228](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022820520673) [20520673](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022820520673)
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>FAOSTAT (2020) Food and agriculture data. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- <span id="page-11-30"></span>Ferreira DF (2011) Sisvar: a computer statistical analysis system. Ciência e Agrotecnologia. Lavras 35:1039–1042
- <span id="page-11-28"></span>Fenemore PG (1980) Oviposition of potato tuber moth, Phthorimaea operculella Zell (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae); identifcation of host-plant factors infuencing oviposition response. New Zealand J Zool 7(3):435–439. [https://doi.org/10.1080/03014223.](https://doi.org/10.1080/03014223.1980.10423798) [1980.10423798](https://doi.org/10.1080/03014223.1980.10423798)
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Fiaboe KR, Agboka K, Agboyi L, Koffi D, Ofoe R, Kpadonou GE, Agnamba O, Assogba K, Adjevi M, Zanou K, Fening K (2020) First report and distribution of the South American tomato pinworm, Tuta absoluta (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Togo. Phytoparasitica. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12600-020-00841-4) [s12600-020-00841-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12600-020-00841-4)
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>Foolad MR, Panthee DR (2012) Marker-assisted selection in tomato breeding. Crit Rev Plant Sci 31:93–123. [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2011.616057) [07352689.2011.616057](https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2011.616057)
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Giorgini M, Guerrieri E, Cascone P, Gontijo L (2019) Current strategies and future outlook for managing the Neotropical tomato pest Tuta absoluta (Meyrick) in the Mediterranean Basin. Neotrop. Entomol. 48:1–17. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13744-018-0636-1) [s13744-018-0636-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13744-018-0636-1)
- <span id="page-11-29"></span>Goldstein JI, Newbury DE, Echlin P, Joy DC, Romig AD Jr, Lyman CE, Fiori C, Lifshin E (1992) Scanning electron microscopy and x-ray microanalysis: a text for biologists. Plenum Press, New York and Boston, Materials Scientists and Geologists
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Han P, Bayram Y, Shaltiel-Harpaz L, Sohrabi F, Saji A, Esenali UT, Jalilov A, Ali A, Shashank PR, Ismoilov K (2019) Tuta absoluta continues to disperse in Asia: damage, ongoing management and future challenges. J Pest Sci. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-018-1062-1) [s10340-018-1062-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-018-1062-1)
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>Kabelka E, Yang W, Francis DM (2004) Improved tomato fruit color within an inbred backcross line derived from Lycopersicon esculentum and L. hirsutum involves the interaction of loci. J Am Soc Horticult Sci 129:250–257. [https://doi.org/10.21273/JASHS.](https://doi.org/10.21273/JASHS.129.2.0250) [129.2.0250](https://doi.org/10.21273/JASHS.129.2.0250)
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>Kiani G, Siahchehreh M (2018) Genetic diversity in tomato varieties assessed by ISSR markers. Int. J. Veg. Sci. 24:353–360
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Krechemer FDS, Foerster LA (2020) Infuence of biotic and abiotic factors on the population fuctuation of Tuta absoluta (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in an organic tomato farming. Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci. 40:199–208.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42690-019-00070-1>
- <span id="page-11-26"></span>Labory CRG, Santa-Cecília LVC, Maluf WR, Cardoso MDG, Bearzotti E, Souza JCDJPAB (1999) Seleção indireta para teor de 2-tridecanona em tomateiros segregantes e sua relação com a resistência à traça-do-tomateiro. Pesqui. Agropecu. Bras. 34:733–740. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X1999000500002) [doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X1999000500002](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X1999000500002)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>Leckie BM, D'Ambrosio DA, Chappell TM, Halitschke R, De Jong DM, Kessler A, Kennedy GG, Mutschler MA (2016) Diferential and synergistic functionality of acylsugars in suppressing oviposition by insect herbivores. PloS One 11:e0153345. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0153345) [10.1371/journal.pone.0153345](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0153345)
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>Lenaerts B, Collard BC, Demont M (2019) Improving global food security through accelerated plant breeding. Plant Sci. 287:110207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.110207>
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Lima I, Resende J, Oliveira J, Faria M, Resende N, Lima Filho R (2015) Indirect selection of industrial tomato genotypes rich in zingiberene and resistant to Tuta absoluta Meyrick. Genet Mol Res 14:15081–15089. [https://doi.org/10.4238/2015.November.](https://doi.org/10.4238/2015.November.24.16) [24.16](https://doi.org/10.4238/2015.November.24.16)
- <span id="page-11-27"></span>Lin H, Kogan M, Fischer D (1990) Induced resistance in soybean to the Mexican bean beetle (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae): comparisons of inducing factors. Environ. Entomol. 19:1852–1857. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/19.6.1852) [org/10.1093/ee/19.6.1852](https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/19.6.1852)
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>Lucini T, Faria MV, Rohde C, Resende JTV, de Oliveira JRF (2015) Acylsugar and the role of trichomes in tomato genotypes resistance to Tetranychus urticae. Arthropod Plant Interact. 9:45–53. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-014-9347-7>
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Lybrand DB, Anthony TM, Jones AD, Last RL (2020) An integrated analytical approach reveals trichome acylsugar metabolite diversity in the wild tomato Solanum pennellii. Metabolites 10(10):401. <https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo10100401>
- <span id="page-11-23"></span>Maluf WR, de Fátima Silva V, das Graças Cardoso M, Gomes LAA, Neto ÁCG, Maciel GM, Nízio DAC (2010) Resistance to the South American tomato pinworm Tuta absoluta in high acylsugar and/or high zingiberene tomato genotypes. Euphytica 176, 113– 123.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-010-0234-8>.
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>Mesquita AGG, Guimarães CT, Parentoni SN, Paiva E (2005) Recuperação do genitor recorrente em milho utilizando retrocruzamento assistido por marcadores microssatélites. Rev bras milho sorgo. <https://doi.org/10.18512/1980-6477/rbms.v4n03p%25p>
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>McDowell ET, Kapteyn J, Schmidt A, Li C, Kang JH, Descour A, Shi F, Larson M, Schilmiller A, An L (2011) Comparative functional genomic analysis of Solanum glandular trichome types. Plant Physiol 155:524–539.<https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.167114>
- <span id="page-11-25"></span>Nelson N (1944) A photometric adaptation of the Somogyi method for the determination of glucose. J Biol Chem 153:375–380
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>Oliveira JR, Resende JT, Maluf WR, Lucini T, Lima Filho RB, Lima IP, Nardi C (2018) Trichomes and allelochemicals in tomato genotypes have antagonistic efects upon behavior and biology of Tetranychus urticae. Front. Plant Sci. 9:1132. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01132) [3389/fpls.2018.01132](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01132)
- <span id="page-11-24"></span>Oliveira JRFD, Resende JTVD, Roberto SR, Silva PRD, Rech C, Nardi C (2020) Tomato breeding for sustainable crop systems: high levels of zingiberene providing resistance to multiple arthropods. Horticulturae 6:34.<https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae6020034>
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>Osei MK, Prempeh R, Adjebeng-Danquah J, Opoku JA, Danquah A, Danquah E, Blay E, Adu-Dapaah H (2018) Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS): a fast-track tool in tomato breeding, recent advances in tomato breeding and production. IntechOpen. [https://](https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.76007) [doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.76007.](https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.76007)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Paudel S, Lin P-A, Foolad MR, Ali JG, Rajotte EG, Felton GW (2019) Induced plant defenses against herbivory in cultivated and wild tomato. J Chem Ecol 45:693–707. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-019-01090-4) [s10886-019-01090-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-019-01090-4)
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>Rai NK, Sahu PP, Gupta S, Reddy MK, Ravishankar KV, Singh M, Sadashiva AT, Prasad M (2013) Identifcation and validation of an ISSR marker linked to Tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus resistant gene in a core set of tomato accessions. Veg Sci 40:1–6
- <span id="page-11-31"></span>Rakha M, Bouba N, Ramasamy S, Regnard J-L, Hanson P (2017) Evaluation of wild tomato accessions (Solanum spp.) for resistance to two-spotted spider mite (Tetranychus urticae Koch) based on trichome type and acylsugar content. Genet. Resour. Crop Evol. 64:1011–1022.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-016-0421-0>
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Reddy K, Rajam M (2016) Targeting chitinase gene of Helicoverpa armigera by host-induced RNA interference confers insect resistance in tobacco and tomato. Plant Mol. Biol. 90:281–292. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-015-0414-y) [doi.org/10.1007/s11103-015-0414-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-015-0414-y)
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>Resende JT, Maluf WR, Cardoso MDG, Gonçalves LD, Faria MV, de Nascimento IR (2009) Resistance of tomato genotypes to the silverleaf whitefy mediated by acylsugars. Hort. bras. 27:345–348. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-05362009000300015>
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>Resende JTVD, Cardoso MDG, Maluf WR, Santos CDD, Gonçalves LD, Resende LV, Naves FO (2002) Método colorimétrico para quantifcação de acilaçúcar em genótipos de tomateiro. Cienc. e Agrotecnologia 26:1204–1208
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>Resende JTVD, Maluf WR, Faria MV, Pfann AZ, Nascimento IRD (2006) Acylsugars in tomato leafets confer resistance to the South American tomato pinworm, Tuta absoluta Meyr. Sci. Agric. 63:20–25. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-90162006000100004>
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>Rodrıguez-Lopez MJ, Garzo E, Bonani JP, Fereres A, Fernandez-Munoz R et al (2011) Whitefy resistance traits derived from the wild tomato *Solanum pimpinellifollium* affect the preference and feeding behavior of *Bemisia tabaci* and reduce the spread of tomato yellow leaf curl virus. Phytopathology 101:1191–1201. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-01-11-0028>
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Sharma K, Mishra AK, Misra RS (2008) A simple and efficient method for extraction of genomic DNA from tropical tuber crops. Afr. J. Biotechnol 7.
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Samanani N, Facchini PJ (2006) Compartmentalization of plant secondary metabolism. Recent Adv Phytochem 40:54–72. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-9920(06)80037-7)) [org/10.1016/S0079-9920\(06\)80037-7\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-9920(06)80037-7))
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Silva AAD, Andrade MC, Carvalho RDC, Neiva IP, Santos DC, Maluf WR (2016) Resistência à Helicoverpa armigera em genótipos de tomateiro obtidos do cruzamento de Solanum lycopersicum com Solanum galapagense. Pesqui. Agropecu. Bras. 51:801–808. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X2016000700002>
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>Silva AAD, Carvalho RDC, Andrade MC, Zeist AR, Resende JTVD, Maluf WR (2019) Glandular trichomes that mediate resistance to green peach aphid in tomato genotypes from the cross between S. galapagense and S. lycopersicum. Acta Scientiarum Agronomy. <https://doi.org/10.4025/actasciagron.v41i1.42704>
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Simmons AT, Gurr GM, McGrath D, Martin PM, Nicol HI (2004) Entrapment of Helicoverpa armigera (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on glandular trichomes of Lycopersicon species. Aust J Entomol 43:196–200. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-6055.2004.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-6055.2004.00414.x) [00414.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-6055.2004.00414.x)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Smeda JR, Schilmiller AL, Anderson T, Ben-Mahmoud S, Ullman DE, Chappell TM, Kessler A, Mutschler MA (2018) Combination of Acylglucose QTL reveals additive and epistatic genetic

interactions and impacts insect oviposition and virus infection. Mol. Breed. 38:3. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-017-0756-z>

- <span id="page-12-14"></span>Trapero C, Wilson IW, Stiller WN, Wilson LJ (2016) Enhancing Integrated Pest Management in GM Cotton Systems Using Host Plant Resistance. Front Plant Sci 7:500. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00500) [2016.00500](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00500)
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Vosman B, van't Westende WP, Henken B, van Eekelen HD, de Vos RC, Voorrips RE (2018) Broad spectrum insect resistance and metabolites in close relatives of the cultivated tomato. Euphytica 214, 46.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-018-2124-4>
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>War AR, Paulraj MG, Ahmad T, Buhroo AA, Hussain B, Ignacimuthu S, Sharma HC (2012) Mechanisms of plant defense against insect herbivores. Plant Signal Behav 7:1306-1320. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.21663) [4161/psb.21663](https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.21663)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Weinhold A, Baldwin ITS (2011) Trichome-derived O-acyl sugars are a frst meal for caterpillars that tags them for predation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108:7855–7859. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1101306108) [1101306108](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1101306108)
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Werker E (2000) Trichome diversity and development. Adv Bot Res 31:1–35. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2296\(00\)31005-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2296(00)31005-9)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Zanin DS, Resende JT, Zeist AR, Oliveira JR, Henschel JM, Lima Filho RB (2018) Selection of processing tomato genotypes resistant to two spotted spider mite. Hort. bras. 36:271–275. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1590/s0102-053620180221) [10.1590/s0102-053620180221](https://doi.org/10.1590/s0102-053620180221)
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>Zhang Y, Song H, Wang X, Zhou X, Zhang K, Chen X, Liu J, Han J, Wang A (2020) The roles of diferent types of trichomes in tomato resistance to cold, drought, whitefies, and botrytis. Agronomy 10:411.<https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10030411>

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.