**ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **One‑Pot Preparation of Alpha‑Chymotrypsin Degradable Hydrogel Micropatterns for Controlled Drug Release**

**Kyungeun Park1 · Youngeun Jeon1 · Joonwon Bae2 · Seongsoo Kim3,4 · Dong‑Sik Shin[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8101-0793)**

Received: 7 March 2024 / Revised: 31 May 2024 / Accepted: 4 June 2024 / Published online: 20 June 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Korean Institute of Chemical Engineers, Seoul, Korea 2024

## **Abstract**

Fluorouracil (5-FU) has been the most widely used chemotherapy agent since its clinical introduction in 1957, and it continues to form the basis of treatment for various cancers. However, due to the side efects of an antimetabolite, a strategy fulflling stringent requirements placed on a 5-FU delivery system requires controlled and extended release of 5-FU in a localized manner. Here, an in-situ gel-forming method for the preparation of micropatterned, α-chymotrypsin-degradable hydrogel (PHcd) for controlled release of 5-FU is introduced. More specifcally, methacrylated hyaluronic acid (HA) and polyethylene glycol diacrylate (PEGDA), known for their excellent moisture retention capacity, are chosen for skin therapy. They are crosslinked with CYKC peptide through a thiol-ene click reaction. The synthesis of the CYKC peptide and its cleavage by α-chymotrypsin were confrmed using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Additionally, the in vitro release behavior was accurately monitored using the micropatterning method, demonstrating the stable immobilization and successful sustained release of 5-FU upon the addition of  $\alpha$ -chymotrypsin in micropatterned PHcd hydrogel. Consequently, this micropatterned PHcd hydrogel can be considered a promising scafold for localized and sustained delivery of cytotoxic drugs for skin cancer treatment.

**Keywords** 5-FU · Enzyme-degradable hydrogel · Drug delivery · Controlled release · Micropatterned hydrogel

# **Introduction**

Fluorouracil (5-FU) is a widely used hydrophilic anticancer drug employed in the treatment of breast, stomach, pancreatic, and colorectal cancers [[1–](#page-7-0)[4\]](#page-7-1). Its synergistic efects

Kyungeun Park and Youngeun Jeon have contributed equally to this work.

 $\boxtimes$  Seongsoo Kim ksswdf@kist.re.kr

- $\boxtimes$  Dong-Sik Shin dshin@sookmyung.ac.kr
- <sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Sookmyung Women's University, Seoul 04310, Republic of Korea
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Applied Chemistry, Dongduk Women's University, Seoul 02748, Republic of Korea
- <sup>3</sup> Division of Chemical and Bioengineering, Kangwon National University, Gangwon-do 24341, Republic of Korea
- <sup>4</sup> Biomedical Research Division, Biomaterials Research Center, Korea Institute of Science and Technology, Seoul 02792, Republic of Korea

with other anticancer drugs, such as oxaliplatin and irinotecan, have established it as a key agent in combating various malignant tumors [[5–](#page-7-2)[8\]](#page-7-3). Despite its broad-spectrum antitumor activity, 5-FU, known as an antimetabolite, can cause dermatitis, myelosuppression, diarrhea, vomiting, nausea, and gastrointestinal issues [\[9](#page-7-4)[–11\]](#page-7-5). Consequently, systemic administration often results in undesirable outcomes like high-dosage requirements, poor bioavailability, and side efects [[12](#page-7-6), [13\]](#page-7-7). In response to these challenges associated with systemic administration, numerous therapeutic approaches have been explored to discover more efficient methods, including combination therapy, nano-formulation, and localized delivery, aiming to target the desired amount of drugs specifically to tumor sites  $[14–18]$  $[14–18]$  $[14–18]$ .

In cancer resection scenarios, where the quantity of remaining cancer cells is minimal, effective removal can often be achieved by delivering a precise amount of drug [[19](#page-7-10)–[21](#page-8-0)]. For various skin-related lesions like malignant melanoma and breast cancer, which typically do not necessitate biopsies, 5-FU stands as a more suitable topical treatment compared to cryotherapy due to its higher success rate in long-term lesion removal from the treated area

[[22](#page-8-1)]. To enhance the adjuvant therapy of 5-FU, several researchers have reported on a biopolymer patch loaded with chemotherapeutic drugs, enabling continuous administration in small doses [[14,](#page-7-8) [23](#page-8-2)[–25\]](#page-8-3).

Various tumor-microenvironment-responsive hydrogel patches have been designed to modulate the plasticity of cancer in fat and scaly areas. Hyaluronic acid (HA), an anionic polysaccharide consisting of β-1,4-linked D-glucuronic acid and *N*-acetyl-p-glucosamine disaccharide units, is a component of the extracellular matrix, displaying excellent biocompatibility [[26–](#page-8-4)[29\]](#page-8-5). Furthermore, HA's exceptional hydrophilicity promotes tissue and dermal regeneration. With diverse functional groups and a high negative charge, various anionic and synthetic forms of HA, such as methacrylated hyaluronic acid (meHA) and thiolated hyaluronic acid (HA-SH), facilitate convenient click reactions to prepare multifunctional and adjustable hydrogels [[30–](#page-8-6)[32](#page-8-7)]. Additionally, polyethylene glycol (PEG)-based materials are widely used in scafolds for drug release and tissue engineering. PEG plays a critical role in preventing protein uptake and fouling, thereby reducing antigenicity, immunogenicity, and systemic toxicity, while also extending the half-life of drugs in the plasma [[33](#page-8-8)[–35\]](#page-8-9).

Stimuli-responsive hydrogels are promising biomaterials capable of changing their properties in response to various environmental stimuli, including temperature, pH, light, and biochemical reagents [\[36–](#page-8-10)[39\]](#page-8-11). Many researchers have reported theses hydrogels for their potential applications in drug delivery, tissue engineering, and other biomedical felds. Specifcally, protease-degradable hydrogels can be used for wound healing and cancer treatment due to their ability to respond to enzymatic activity in the body, facilitating controlled drug release induced by biodegradation [\[40](#page-8-12)].

In this study, we developed a straightforward method to create ultrathin, micropatterned hydrogel patterns of PEGDA, and meHA (designated as PHcd) on solid substrates (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). These flms were designed with adjustable crosslinking densities and biochemical cues facilitating cell attachment and controlled release of 5-FU. Methacrylated hyaluronic acid was conjugated with the CYKC peptide using a 'clickable' photochemical thiol-ene addition. This adaptable addition reaction allowed for one-pot fabrication using a single photo-crosslinking reaction, efectively



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Crosslinking of a protease-degradable hydrogel and subsequent drug release following the hydrogel degradation. **a** Methacrylated hyaluronic acid and PEG-DA are crosslinked with the CYKC peptide using a clickable photochemical thiol-ene addition

in a single-batch fabrication process. 5-FU is entrapped within the hydrophilic polymer networks. **b** α-Chymotrypsin degrades between the CY-KC sequences in the peptide crosslinker, leading to drug release

entrapping 5-FU within the hydrophilic polymer networks. To create an enzyme-responsive hydrogel system for controlled 5-FU release, we employed α-chymotrypsin to degrade the peptide chain located between the CY-KC sequence. This combination of materials presents an efficient delivery system capable of meeting sustainable and intermittent requirements for hydrophilic and cytotoxic drug delivery, particularly targeting skin care.

## **Experimental**

## **Materials and Methods**

Fmoc-amino acids, *N,N,Nʹ,Nʹ*-tetramethyl-O-(1Hbenzotriazol-1-yl)uronium hexafuorophosphate (HBTU), 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt), filtered reaction tubes (Libra tube®) were purchased from BeadTech Inc, (Ansan, Korea). H-Cys(Trt)-2-ClTrt resin (loading level: 0.7 mmol/g) was purchased from Gyros Protein Technologies (Uppsala, Sweden). Dichloromethane (DCM), methanol (MeOH), *N,Nʹ*-dimethylformamide (DMF), piperidine, *N,Nʹ*-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA), triisopropylsilane (TIPS), trifuoroacetic acid (TFA), 70% Ethanol (EtOH), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), pyridine, *n*-butanol, and phenol were purchased from Daejung Chemical & Metals Co., Ltd, (Siheung, Korea). Potassium cyanide (KCN) was purchased from Junsei Chemical Co., Ltd, (Tokyo, Japan). 3,6-Dioxa-1,8-octanedithiol (DODT) was purchased from TCI Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd, (Tokyo, Japan). Diethyl ether was purchased from Carlo Erba Reagents, (Milan, Italy). Dialysis tubing 6–8 kDa was purchased from Spectrum Chemical Mfg. Corp., (Gardena, CA, US). Ninhydrin, deuterium oxide  $(D_2O)$ , 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate (TMSPM), (3-aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane (APTMS), poly(ethylene glycol) diacrylate (PEGDA: average *M*n=700), 2-hydroxy-2-methylpropiophenone (Darocur 1173; photoinitiator), chloroform, 5-fuorouracil (5-FU), methacrylic anhydride (MA), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), sodium chloride (NaCl),  $\alpha$ -chymotrypsin from bovine pancreas (α-chymotrypsin: molecular weight=25 kDa), thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide (MTT solution), calcein-AM, and ethidium homodimer (EthD-1) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, US); hyaluronic acid (CN Lab: 10kDA HA); microscope glass slides (76 mm × 26 mm) were purchased from Heinz Herenz (Hamburg, German), respectively. Microscope cover glass slides were purchased from Duran (Mainz, German). A-431 human epidermoid carcinoma cells were purchased from Korea Cell Line Bank (Seoul, Korea). RPMI 1640 with stable glutamine (RPMI 1610), fetal bovine serum USA (FBS), penicillin–streptomycin solution 100X (PS), and Dulbecco's phosphate bufered saline (DPBS) were purchased from BIOWEST (Nuaillé,

France). UV–Vis absorbance data were obtained with a UV–Vis spectrophotometer (UV-2550, Shimadzu, Japan) and microplate reader (SpectraMax i3x, Molecular Devices, CA, US). Ninhydrin color test (Kaiser test) was performed using a heat block (BioFree, Korea). High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis data were obtained using Agilent 1260 Infinity system  $(CA, US)$ . <sup>1</sup>H-Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) spectrum was acquired by a Bruker AvanceIII-HD500 spectrometer (Rheinstetten, Germany) operating at 500 MHz.  $O_2$  plasma was performed using CUTE (Femto Science Co., Gyeonggi, Korea). Photo-polymerization was performed using Spot light source LC8 (L9588-01A UV illuminator, Hamamatsu, Japan) to generate  $100 \text{ mW/cm}^2$  at 365 nm. Fluorescencelabeled cell images were obtained with a confocal laser scanning microscope (LSM700, Carl Zeiss AG, Jena, Germany).

#### **Preparation of CYKC Tetrapeptide**

A solution of Fmoc-Lys(Boc)-OH (3.0 equiv.), HBTU (3.0 equiv.), and DIPEA (6.0 equiv.) in DMF (5 mL) was added to 500 mg of H-Cys(Trt)-2-ClTrt resin in a reaction tube and the suspension was shaken for 2 h at room temperature. The resin was then washed three times each with DMF, DCM, and methanol. The resin was treated with 20% (v/v) piperidine in DMF (5 mL) for 15 min to generate the dibenzofulvene–piperidine adduct that is quantifed by UV–Vis spectrophotometer at 301 nm, and washed with DMF, DCM, and methanol (3 times each). The loading level was 0.92 mmol/g, calculated using the dibenzofulvene–piperidine adduct. For the synthesis of other amino acid sequences, the coupling and deprotection steps were repeated. In the coupling step, a solution of Fmoc-amino acid (3.0 equiv.) (sequentially, Tyr and Cys), HBTU (3.0 equiv.), HOBt (3.0 equiv.), and DIPEA (6.0 equiv.) in DMF (5 mL) was added to the H-Cys(Trt)- 2-Cl Trt resin, and the suspension was shaken for 2 h at room temperature. The deprotection step involved the addition of a solution of 20% (v/v) piperidine in DMF ( $2 \times 5$  mL) to the resin for 5 and 10 min, respectively. The resin was washed with DMF, DCM, and methanol (3 times each) at each step of coupling and deprotection. The completion of each amino acid coupling reaction used in the synthesis was confrmed using Kaiser's ninhydrin test. Kaiser's ninhydrin test was performed to verify the completion of deprotections and coupling reactions. 10–15 peptide beads were taken into a 1.7-mL microtube and heated at 110 ℃ for 5 min. Subsequently, 1–2 drops of each of the three reagents were added: (a) 1 mL of 10 mM KCN in 49 mL of pyridine, (b) a solution of 1.0 g of ninhydrin in 20 mL of *n*-butanol, and (c) a mixture of 40 g of phenol in 20 mL of *n*-butanol. Upon completion of the coupling reaction, the solution exhibited a yellow color, and the beads appeared colorless. If the coupling reaction was incomplete, either the solution turned dark blue or the beads exhibited a dark blue color.

Once the peptide sequences were synthesized, a cleavage cocktail comprising TFA/DODT/TIPS/water (94:2.5:1:2.5, v/v/v/v; 5 mL) was added to dry resins for 1 h to cleave the peptides from the resin and remove the protecting groups from the side chains. The resulting solution was precipitated with cold diethyl ether, and the supernatant was decanted after centrifugation. This process was repeated two more times, and the collected peptides were dried *in vacuo*. The synthesized tetrapeptide was analyzed by HPLC and Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS).

#### **Preparation of Methacrylated Hyaluronic Acid**

To methacrylate the hyaluronic acid (HA, 10 kDa), 200 mg of HA was dispersed in water to achieve a concentration of 1% (*w*/*v*), and DMF was added in a ratio of DMF to water (2/3, v/v). Methacrylic anhydride (MA) was added in a molar ratio of 3:1 of HA to MA. NaOH (1 N) was utilized to adjust the pH within a range of 8–10, and the solution was stirred overnight at 400 rpm. To reach a fnal DMF-to-water ratio of 1/10 (v/v), the solution was diluted with water, and NaCl (0.5 M) was added. The resulting solution was purifed using a 7–11 kDa dialysis tubing cellulose membrane for 2 days. The purifed solution was subsequently frozen at – 80 ℃ for 2 days and then lyophilized. For analysis of the synthesized methacrylated hyaluronic acid, 1 mg was dissolved in 1 mL of deuterium oxide  $(D_2O)$  and subjected to <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy to determine the degree of modification (MoD).

#### **Micropatterning of PHcd Hydrogel on the Glass**

The glass slides were placed in an oxygen plasma for 6 min and then immersed in a solution containing  $0.095\%$  (v/v) APTMS and 0.005% (v/v) TMSPM in chloroform for 1 h. The glass slides, with introduced amino and acryl groups, were subsequently washed with DCM and dried. The hydrogel precursor solution was prepared by mixing 10% (*w*/*v*) solution of tetrapeptide (CYKC) in 50% (v/v) methanol and deionized (DI) water, 10% (*w*/*v*) solution of methacrylated hyaluronic acid (meHA) in DI water, 40% (v/v) solution of PEGDA in deionized (DI) water, 10% (v/v) solution of photoinitiator in DI water, and 100 mM of 5-FU in DI water, in a ratio of 3:3:2.5:1:0.5. The hydrogel precursor solution was dropped onto the amino and acryl-introduced glass and polymerized using UV exposure at 365 nm for 1.2 s with a designed photomask. The polymerized hydrogel was then rinsed with DI water and dried.

#### **Swelling Studies of PHcd Hydrogel**

The hydrogel precursor solution  $(40 \mu L)$  with a final concentration of 10%, 15%, and 20% (v/v) PEGDA prepared in a mold (4 mm in diameter and 5 mm in height) were polymerized by UV exposure at 365 nm for 6.0 s from a distance of 3.0 cm. The hydrogels were dried up in a 55 °C incubator for 2 d, and then immersed in water at room temperature. At regular interval of time, PHcd hydrogels were transferred from the water, dried and then weighed. Swelling ratio at different times  $(H_t)$  was calculated from the following equation:

$$
H_t(\%) = \frac{m_t - m_0}{m_0} \times 100,
$$
\n(1)

where  $m_0$  and  $m_t$  is the weight of the initial dry hydrogel and of the weight of the hydrogel at time *t*, respectively.

Another parameter, water content at different times  $(W_t)$  in the hydrogel can be calculated from the following equation:

$$
W_t(\%) = \frac{m_t - m_0}{m_t} \times 100,
$$
\n(2)

where  $W_{\infty}$  is the water content when the equilibrium is reached.

## **Cell Viability Test After Controlled Release of 5‑FU by PHcd Hydrogel**

A-431 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with  $10\%$  (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) and  $1\%$ (v/v) penicillin–streptomycin (PS) in humidifed atmosphere containing 37 °C and 5%  $CO_2$ . A-431 cell suspension (500 μL) was seeded on the hydrogel-patterned glass at a density of 50,000–100,000 cells per well in a 24-well plate and incubated for 1 d. The cell culture medium was carefully discarded, and 500 μL of α-chymotrypsin (100 μg/mL) was added into the cells to degrade the PHcd hydrogel. After α-chymotrypsin treatment, 40 μL of MTT working solution was added into each well, and the plate was shaken for 20 min and incubated for 2 h at 37 ℃ in the dark. After incubation, the absorbance was determined at a wavelength of 490 nm using a microplate reader.

In addition, microscopic observation of cell viability test was performed after controlled release of 5-FU. After α-chymotrypsin treatment, 2 μM of calcein-AM and 4 μM of EthD-1 working solution was added to cell and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Following incubation, the cell suspension was transferred to the conical tube and centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 3 min. The supernatant was removed, and 200 μL of DPBS was added to clean the remaining fuorescence. DPBS  $(10 \mu L)$  was added, and the cell suspension

was dropped onto the glass with a coverslip. Labeled cells were observed by a confocal laser scanning microscope at 488 nm and 555 nm.

# **Results and Discussion**

## **Preparation of Peptide and Acrylated Hyaluronic Acid**

To prepare an enzyme-responsive hydrogel, we utilized the α-chymotrypsin-cleavable tetrapeptide 'H-CYKC-OH (CYKC)', because  $\alpha$ -chymotrypsin recognizes and cleaves the amide bond of aromatic amino acids, including tyrosine, tryptophan, and phenylalanine [[41\]](#page-8-13). Therefore, the CYKC tetrapeptide was synthesized using the solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) method using H-Cys(Trt)-2-Cl Trt resin [\[42](#page-8-14), [43\]](#page-8-15) (Fig. S1) and characterized by HPLC and MALDI-TOF MS (Fig. S2). The crude HPLC spectrum showed 74.3% purity of peptide and the peptide was used after HPLC purifcation. MALDI-TOF MS exhibited the corresponding molecular weight of the peptide sequence. The degradation of the CYKC peptide by  $\alpha$ -chymotrypsin was analyzed using HPLC data. When the CYCK peptide was treated with 20  $\mu$ g/mL of α-chymotrypsin for 30 min, 80% of the peptide was cleaved (Fig. S3a, b). When treated with different concentrations of  $\alpha$ -chymotrypsin, the peptide was cleaved over 95% more than 30 μg/mL of the protease concentration (Fig. S3c). Additionally, to incorporate hyaluronic acid in the PHcd hydrogel, the hyaluronic acid was chemically modifed to introduce methacrylate. Figure S4 represents a  ${}^{1}$ H NMR spectrum of meHA, where the degree of methacrylate modifcation was calculated as 10.6% by integrating the peak of the vinyl singlets relative to the sugar ring of hyaluronic acid [[44\]](#page-8-16).

## **Micropatterning of PHcd Hydrogel**

Subsequently, the diacrylate group of PEGDA and the methacrylated hyaluronic acid reacted with the thiol group of cysteine in the CYKC tetrapeptide under UV exposure in the presence of a photoinitiator. Additionally, the antitumor agent 5-FU was embedded in the crosslinked PEGDA hydrogel through hydrogen bonding with the hydrophilic side chain of meHA. As depicted in Fig. [2](#page-4-0), the hydrogel precursor solution was prepared by combining the following materials: tetrapeptide (CYKC), methacrylated hyaluronic acid (meHA), PEGDA, photoinitiator, and 5-FU. The mixture of hydrogel precursor solution was deposited onto glass surfaces functionalized with amino and acryl groups, and then polymerized using UV exposure with a designed photomask. The micropatterned PHcd hydrogel can be degraded

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** The drug delivery through the degradation of the hydrogel. **a** Fabrication of the PHcd hydrogel on an acrylated glass slide with photomask and immobilization of cells. **b** Bright-feld image of patterned PHcd hydrogel on the glass  $(scale bar=100 \mu m)$ . **c** Brightfeld image of cells after seeding for 24 h on the patterned PHcd (scale  $bar = 100 \mu m$ ). Approximately 9.6 cells were seeded per well. **d** Schematic representation of 5-FU delivery to the cells by the  $\alpha$ -chymotrypsincleavable PHcd hydrogel



2656 K. Park et al.

via the α-chymotrypsin-induced cleavage reaction of CY/ KC, enabling the sustained and localized release of 5-FU. The fuorescently labeled hydrogel micropattern was used to visualize the degradation of hydrogel upon the presence of protease. When the hydrogel labeled with rhodamine B isothiocyanate (RITC) was treated with 30 μg/mL of α-chymotrypsin, its fuorescent intensity decreased by 50% after 5 h compared to the hydrogel treated with PBS as a control experiment (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)). This result exhibits the protease triggered the degradation of the hydrogel.

## **Swelling Properties of PHcd Hydrogel**

To investigate the volumetric changes in PHcd hydrogel due to the absorption of bound water, we conducted a swelling profle analysis of PHcd hydrogel at varying fnal concentrations of 10%, 15%, and 20% PEGDA without α-chymotrypsin-induced gel degradation. The PHcd hydrogels crosslinked with 10%, 15%, and 20% PEGDA reached equilibrium at 120 min, 140 min, and 180 min, respectively.

The swelling ratios of PHcd hydrogels crosslinked with 10%, 15%, and 20% PEGDA were approximately 370%, 275%, and 245%, respectively (Fig. [4a](#page-6-0)), while their water contents were 79%, 72%, and 71%, respectively (Fig. [4b](#page-6-0)). Notably, the PEGDA percentage within the PHcd hydrogel signifcantly afected the swelling ratios, particularly between 10 and 15% [\[45](#page-8-17)]. However, there were no substantial changes in water content observed among diferent PEGDA percentages after 40 min. Considering that even the lowest concentration of 10% PEGDA within the PHcd hydrogel exhibited stable swelling behavior after 100 min, similar to the behavior of PHcd hydrogels crosslinked with 15% or 20% PEGDA, the 10% PEGDA content was used to crosslink the PHcd hydrogel, aiming for higher water content in the hydrogel.

## **Antitumor Activity of Micropatterned PHcd Hydrogel Containing 5‑FU**

The cell viability of A-431 cells on the PEGDA hydrogel according to the presence of 5-FU was compared

<span id="page-5-0"></span>



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Swelling behavior of PHcd hydrogels based on PEGDA concentration. **a** Swelling ratio  $(H_t)$  of PHcd hydrogels in water at various time intervals and room temperature. **b** Water content  $(W_t)$  within PHcd hydrogel at diferent time points and room temperature, represented as mean  $\pm$  standard error

for 7 d by MTT assay. When the cells were treated with α-chymotrypsin, the observed cell viability was 96.9%. This exhibited only a 2.3% diference compared to the PHcd hydrogel without 5-FU, which showed a viability of 99.2% (Fig. [5a](#page-6-1)). This result confrms that the hydrophilic PHcd hydrogel, primarily composed of PEGDA and hyaluronic acid, efectively adsorbed 5-FU between the polymer chains through hydrogen bonding with minimal loss. Next, the cell viability of A-431 cells on the PHcd hydrogel was compared from day 1 to day 6 by MTT assay to evaluate the controlled release of 5-FU from PHcd hydrogel. The cell viability after adding  $\alpha$ -chymotrypsin for 1, 3, and 6 d to cells seeded into the hydrogel pattern without 5-FU was 92.2%, 108.0%, and 109.0%, respectively (Fig. [5b](#page-6-1)). In contrast, when using the 5-FU loaded PHcd hydrogel, the cell viability decreased to 91.4%, 92.4%, and 94.0% at 1, 3, and 6 d, respectively. Notably, the anticancer efficacy of the 5-FU loaded PHcd hydrogel was signifcantly evident on day 6 due to α-chymotrypsin-induced gel degradation. These results indicate that the controlled release of 5-FU within the PHcd hydrogel sustained its efficacy for at least 6 d through α-chymotrypsin-induced hydrogel degradation.

To further validate the anticancer efectiveness of the 5-FU loaded PHcd hydrogel, we employed confocal laser microscopy to observe live/dead cells and calculated the percentage of live cells during the controlled release of 5-FU from micropatterned PHcd hydrogel. The micropatterning method provided accuracy in the preclinical tumor model for assessing drug efficacy against cancer cells. A431 cells seeded into the hydrogel micropattern, with or without 5-FU, were stained with Calcein-AM/EthD-1 to distinguish live and dead cells (Fig. [6](#page-7-11)a–d). Upon the addition of  $\alpha$ -chymotrypsin to the PHcd hydrogel without 5-FU, the cell viability on day 5 was 91.9%. However, the 5-FU loaded PHcd hydrogel exhibited a significant reduction in cell viability (81.5%) after 5 days of  $\alpha$ -chymotrypsin addition (Fig. [6](#page-7-11)e). These results indicate that the PHcd hydrogel micropattern is capable of drug release in the presence of α-chymotrypsin, acting as a trigger. This drug release platform can be used for the drug efficacy upon the controlled release.



<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Cell viability after controlled release of 5-FU from micropatterned PHcd hydrogel. **a** Viability of A-431 cells was determined using the MTT assay after treated with a solution of 10 μM 5-FU for 1, 2, 4, and 7 days. Control experiment was performed without 5-FU treatment. **b** After 1, 3, and 6 days of exposure to  $\alpha$ -chymotrypsin, cell viability was measured using the MTT assay, with 5-FU released

in a controlled manner from PHcd hydrogel. α-Chymotrypsin was introduced 24 h after cell seeding, and the MTT assay was performed on days 1, 3, and 6 post-α-chymotrypsin addition. Cells in the control group were seeded on patterned PHcd hydrogels without 5-FU. Results are presented as mean±standard error. \**p*-value was calculated using an unpaired *t* test  $(p < 0.05)$ 



<span id="page-7-11"></span>**Fig. 6** Confocal fuorescence images of A-431 cells were captured, depicting live cells stained green with calcein-AM and dead cells stained red with EthD-1. **a** A-431 cells were observed after exposure to α-chymotrypsin for 24 h on the PHcd hydrogel without 5-FU. **b** A-431 cells were observed after exposure to  $\alpha$ -chymotrypsin for 24 h on the PHcd hydrogel containing 5-FU. **c** A-431 cells were observed after exposure to α-chymotrypsin for 5 days on the PHcd hydrogel without 5-FU. **d** A-431 cells were observed after exposure to α-chymotrypsin for 5 days on the PHcd hydrogel containing 5-FU (scale  $bar=50 \text{ µm}$ ). **e** Cell viability following controlled release of 5-FU was assessed using confocal images

# **Conclusion**

To develop a controlled delivery system for the potent anticancer drug 5-FU, we introduced a novel and straightforward method to prepare micropatterned PHcd hydrogel. This hydrogel consists of methacrylated hyaluronic acid and polyethylene glycol diacrylate, susceptible to degradation by α-chymotrypsin. The PHcd hydrogel, crosslinked by PEGDA, exhibits high water absorption and stable water retention capabilities. Its high water content prevents the rapid release of 5-FU through simple physical binding interactions. Cell viability assays also demonstrated that the  $\alpha$ -chymotrypsin-responsive PHcd hydrogel significantly enhances the controlled release of anticancer efficacy over a sustained period, particularly observed from day 6 onwards. The micropatterned PHcd hydrogel allows for localized distribution within specifc areas and is predominantly influenced by  $\alpha$ -chymotrypsin. This hydrogel exhibits substantial potential for broad applications in patch-type therapy for delivering hydrophilic drugs. Our next step involves broadening the scope of extended release applications by focusing on drug targeting and evaluating its efficacy.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11814-024-00205-2>.

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by the research fund of the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Energy (Grant No. 20015793), Korea and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT, Grant No. NRF-2022R1A2C1009809 and NRF-2022R1A5A2021216).

**Data Availability** Data will be made available on request.

## **References**

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>1. N. Zhang, Y. Yin, S.J. Xu, W.S. Chen, Molecules **13**, 1551 (2008)
- 2. D.B. Longley, D.P. Harkin, P.G. Johnston, Nat. Rev. Cancer **3**, 330 (2003)
- 3. X. Sun, C. Liu, A.M. Omer, W. Lu, S. Zhang, X. Jiang, H. Wu, D. Yu, X.K. Ouyang, Int. J. Biol. Macromol. **128**, 468 (2019)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>4. E. Entezar-Almahdi, S. Mohammadi-Samani, L. Tayebi, F. Farjadian, Int. J. Nanomedicine **15**, 5445 (2020)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>5. M. Milczarek, A. Pogorzelska, K. Wiktorska, Molecules **26**, 3019 (2021)
- 6. R. Akhtar, S. Chandel, P. Sarotra, B. Medhi, World J. Gastrointest. Oncol. **6**, 177 (2014)
- 7. B. Gustavsson, G. Carlsson, D. Machover, N. Petrelli, A. Roth, H.J. Schmoll, K.M. Tveit, F. Gibson, Clin. Colorectal Cancer **14**, 1 (2015)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>8. S. Vodenkova, T. Buchler, K. Cervena, V. Veskrnova, P. Vodicka, V. Vymetalkova, Pharmacol. Ther. **206**, 107447 (2020)
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>9. M.K. Song, M.Y. Park, M.K. Sung, J. Cancer Prev. **18**, 322 (2013)
- 10. J.J. Lee, J.H. Beumer, E. Chu, Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. **78**, 447 (2016)
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>11. C. Yuan, H. Parekh, C. Allegra, T.J. George, J.S. Starr, Cardiooncology **5**, 13 (2019)
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>12. M.M. Borner, J. Kneer, C. Crevoisier, K.W. Brunner, T. Cerny, Br. J. Cancer **68**, 537 (1993)
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>13. S. Toden, H.M. Tran, O.A. Tovar-Camargo, Y. Okugawa, A. Goel, Oncotarget **7**, 16158 (2016)
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>14. I.K. Shim, H.J. Yi, H.G. Yi, C.M. Lee, Y.N. Lee, Y.J. Choi, S.Y. Jeong, E. Jun, R.M. Hofman, D.W. Cho, S.C. Kim, Oncotarget **8**, 40140 (2017)
- 15. L. Li, W. Gu, J. Chen, W. Chen, Z.P. Xu, Biomaterials **35**, 3331 (2014)
- 16. O. Mohammad, S.M. Faisal, N. Ahmad, M.A. Rauf, M.S. Umar, A.A. Mujeeb, P. Pachauri, A. Ahmed, M. Kashif, M. Ajmal, S. Zubair, Sci. Rep. **9**, 12288 (2019)
- 17. S. Handali, E. Moghimipour, M. Rezaei, S. Saremy, F.A. Dorkoosh, Int. J. Biol. Macromol. **124**, 1299 (2019)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>18. M. Heidari Khoee, S. Khoee, M. Lotf, Anal. Biochem. **572**, 16 (2019)
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>19. J.B. Wolinsky, Y.L. Colson, M.W. Grinstaf, J. Control. Release **159**, 14 (2012)
- 20. D. Reichel, B. Sagong, J. Teh, Y. Zhang, S. Wagner, H. Wang, L.W.K. Chung, P. Butte, K.L. Black, J.S. Yu, J.M. Perez, ACS Nano **14**, 8392 (2020)
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>21. S.A. Wanve, N.N. Andrade, L. Venkatakrishnan, H. Desai, J. Oral Biol. Craniofac. Res. **13**, 436 (2023)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>22. J. Maghfour, D. Kuraitis, A. Murina, J. Drugs Dermatol. **20**, 192 (2021)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>23. J.E. Lee, S.M. Lee, C.B. Kim, K.H. Lee, Bioengineering **9**, 742 (2022)
- 24. L. Zhang, J. Lv, Y. Yin, G. Ling, P. Zhang, Int. J. Pharm. **635**, 122730 (2023)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>25. D. Das, R. Preet, P. Mohapatra, S.R. Satapathy, S. Siddharth, T. Tamir, V. Jain, P.V. Bharatam, M.D. Wyatt, C.N. Kundu, DNA Repair **24**, 15 (2014)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>26. B. Song, I. Puskas, L. Szente, J.E.K. Hildreth, J. Pharm. Sci. **105**, 2760 (2016)
- 27. P. Singh, L. Wu, X. Ren, W. Zhang, Y. Tang, Y. Chen, A. Carrier, X. Zhang, J. Zhang, Int. J. Pharm. **586**, 119542 (2020)
- 28. H. Dennaoui, E. Chouery, H. Rammal, Z. Abdel-Razzak, C. Harmouch, Stem Cell Investig. **5**, 47 (2018)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>29. X. Xu, Y. Chen, Y. Zhang, Y. Yao, P. Ji, J. Mater. Chem. B **8**, 9129 (2020)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>30. V. Engkagul, A. Sereemaspun, S. Chirachanchai, Carbohydr. Polym. **200**, 616 (2018)
- 31. G. Baier, M. Fichter, A. Kreyes, K. Klein, V. Mailander, S. Gehring, K. Landfester, Biomacromol **17**, 148 (2016)
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>32. S. Fu, H. Dong, X. Deng, R. Zhuo, Z. Zhong, Carbohydr. Polym. **169**, 332 (2017)
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>33. M. Monajati, A.M. Tamaddon, S.S. Abolmaali, G. Yousef, S. Javanmardi, S. Borandeh, R. Heidari, N. Azarpira, R. Dinarvand, Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces **225**, 113234 (2023)
- 34. Z. Li, L. Shen, A. Ma, A. Talkington, Z. Li, A.C. Nyborg, M.S. Bowers, B. LaMoreaux, E.W. Livingston, J.E. Frank, H. Yuan, S.K. Lai, Acta Biomater. **170**, 250 (2023)
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>35. Y. Jiaying, S. Bo, W. Xiaolu, Z. Yanyan, W. Hongjie, S. Nan, G. Bo, W. Linna, Z. Yan, G. Wenya, L. Keke, J. Shan, L. Chuan, Z. Yu, Z. Qinghe, Z. Haiyu, Drug Deliv. **30**, 2177362 (2023)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>36. S.-E. Park, S.-J. Jeon, Korean J. Chem. Eng. **38**, 645 (2021)
- 37. H. Ding, P. Tan, S. Fu, X. Tian, H. Zhang, X. Ma, Z. Gu, K. Luo, J. Control. Release **348**, 206 (2022)
- 38. D.-S. Shin, J. You, A. Rahimian, T. Vu, C. Siltanen, A. Ehsanipour, G. Stybayeva, J. Sutclife, A. Revzin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **53**, 8221 (2014)
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>39. M. Neumann, G. di Marco, D. Iudin, M. Viola, C.F. van Nostrum, B.G.P. van Ravensteijn, T. Vermonden, Macromolecules **56**, 8377 (2023)
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>40. H.K. Noddeland, M. Lind, K. Petersson, F. Caruso, M. Malmsten, A. Heinz, Biomacromol **24**, 3203 (2023)
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>41. S. Donadio, H.M. Perks, K. Tsuchiya, E.H. White, Biochemistry **24**, 2447 (1985)
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>42. M. Amblard, J.A. Fehrentz, J. Martinez, G. Subra, Mol. Biotechnol. **33**, 239 (2006)
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>43. P. Cherkupally, G.A. Acosta, S. Ramesh, B.G. De la Torre, T. Govender, H.G. Kruger, F. Albericio, Amino Acids **46**, 1827 (2014)
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>44. D. Aycan, F. Karaca, A. Koca, N. Alemdar, Int. J. Biol. Macromol. **231**, 123297 (2023)
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>45. Z. Zhu, G. Yang, R. Li, T. Pan, Microsyst. Nanoeng. **3**, 17004 (2017)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.