# An analysis of CH$_4$/N$_2$ rich biogas production, fuel treatment process and microturbine application

**Jungkeuk Park\*,†, Kwangbeom Hur\*, Sanggyu Rhim\*, and Ho Kang\*\***

\*Green Growth Lab., Korea Electric Power Research Institute, Daejeon 305-380, Korea
\*\*Department of Environmental Engineering, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 305-764, Korea

**Abstract**–Biogas usually contains CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ as main components with the ratio of 6 : 4, and its composition varies with wide range depending on digester conditions. In addition to concentration change of each constituent, biogas composition could be changed due to the variations in the organic matter treatment process. The aim of the study is to analyze the production and application to a microturbine system of CH$_4$/N$_2$ rich biogas produced from Gong-Ju wastewater treatment plant. CH$_4$/N$_2$ rich biogas is produced due to the internal wastewater recirculation. The internal wastewater recirculation is intended to enhance NO$_3^-$ removal without additional carbon source input. As a result, the digester was shown to be the highest contributor for nitrogen removal and average CH$_4$ concentration was lowered compared to the typical biogas composition. Nitrate removal rate was influenced by the internal recirculation ratio. Content of N$_2$ has no effect on biogas clean-up system performance. Besides, adaptability of CH$_4$/N$_2$ rich biogas to microturbine was satisfactory with very low NOx and SO$_2$ concentration in microturbine exhaust gas. Influence of high N$_2$ concentration of biogas on NOx concentration was limited due to the low combustion temperature.

Key words: Biogas, Anaerobic Digestion, Microturbine, Livestock Wastewater, Wastewater Recirculation

## INTRODUCTION

Among different options for wastewater treatment, anaerobic digestion is recently favored in many countries since it decomposes organic matters while producing energy containing biogas and mitigating greenhouse gas emission. Demand for anaerobic digestion will be increased since sea dumping of wastewater is about to be prohibited.

Although anaerobic digestion is one of the best alternatives for decomposition of high strength organic carbon compounds, it is incapable for nitrogen removal. Especially, the nitrogen oxidized form could pose a threat to human health and cause eutrophication of the natural water resource [1]. Therefore, the nitrogen removal process should be coupled with the anaerobic digestion process. Due to the insufficient C/N ratio for anaerobic digestion and denitrification process, additional carbon source should be supplied. To reduce additional carbon source supply for denitrification, the recirculation of the NO$_3^-$ rich supernatant from the nitrification reactor to the anaerobic digester was proposed and has been studied extensively [2,3]. The adaptation of nitrified effluent recirculation results not only in a reduction of additional carbon source supply but also in a change in the produced biogas composition. Increase of recirculation ratio results in lower CH$_4$ concentration and higher N$_2$ concentration [4]. Internal wastewater recirculation results in CH$_4$/N$_2$ rich biogas composition and it differs from typical biogas composition with the concentration of 50-60% CH$_4$ and 40-50% CO$_2$. High N$_2$ concentration of over 50% comes from the denitrification reaction in the anaerobic digester.

Report on the utilization of CH$_4$/N$_2$ rich biogas has been limited. In the combustion science area, however, dilution effect to conventional gaseous fuel such as CH$_4$ and C$_3$H$_8$ has been studied extensively and the studies are deeply related with biogas combustion and utilization. CO$_2$ dilution is related with the FGR (flue gas recirculation) scheme to reduce NOx emission [5,6]. CH$_4$ flame with N$_2$ dilution affects flame stabilization conditions [7]. CH$_4$/N$_2$ rich biogas contains fewer greenhouse gas components and is obviously expected to reduce greenhouse gas emission and N$_2$ content will affect NOx emission level [8]. N$_2$ dilution of fuel will reduce NOx emission due to decrease of the flame temperature [9]. Low combustion temperature effect is dominant compared to higher chances of N$_2$ oxidation. However, for utilization of CH$_4$/N$_2$ rich biogas with real prime movers, the situation will be different since prime movers tend to maintain its design temperature in the combustor.

Despite many small scale and separate studies about the wastewater recirculation process for enhanced denitrification and dilution effect of N$_2$, there is a need for large scale analysis on anaerobic digestion with waste recirculation and energy conversion of CH$_4$/N$_2$ rich biogas. Therefore, the focus of this work was to analyze CH$_4$/N$_2$ rich biogas production from GWTP (Gong-Ju Wastewater Treatment Plant) and evaluate CH$_4$/N$_2$ rich biogas application to a microturbine system combined with biogas clean-up in terms of operation stability and emission.

## CH$_4$/N$_2$ RICH BIOGAS PRODUCTION AND BIOGAS-MICROTURBINE CHP SYSTEM

### 1. Livestock Wastewater Treatment Process

GWTP (capacity: 250 m$^3$/day) is located in the city of Gong-Ju, Republic of Korea. GWTP is in charge of the nutrient removal of

---

†To whom correspondence should be addressed.
E-mail: jkpark@kepri.re.kr

**Fig. 1. Flow diagram of wastewater treatment process of GWTP.**

**Table 1. Summary of the facilities and treatment methods of GWTP**

| Facilities or methods | Characteristics |
|---|---|
| Capacity of treatment | 250 m³/day |
| Method of treatment | HYCEM (USAB+AF, Ceramic media) |
| Pre-treatment equipments | Drum screen, Centrifuge and Sand basin |
| Primary treatment process | Storage tank, HYCEM anaerobic digester, Nitrification aeration tank, and Sedimentation basin |
| Secondary treatment process | SBR |
| Tertiary treatment process | Chemical condensation, Sand filteration, Ozone contact chamber, and Activated carbon filtration |
| Method of deodorization | Ozone deodorization |

livestock wastewater produced by local farmers and its final effluent is discharged into the river nearby. The plant treats livestock wastewater with the pre-treatment, anaerobic digestion, nitrification reactor, sedimentation tank, SBR, chemical flocculation and other treatment processes. The facility components of the treatment process and treatment flow diagram are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1, respectively.

For anaerobic digestion, the HYCEM (Highly effective hybrid wastewater treatment system with ceramic media) process has been adopted. HYCEM process is a kind of hybrid UASB/AF process with ceramic porous media. The UASB (upflow anaerobic sludge blanket) process is a highly efficient system which forms granular sludge so that high concentration of microorganisms can be maintained in the reactor [10]. UASB and AF (anaerobic filter) are combined and designed to maintain more active microorganisms in the reactor [11]. Capacity of the anaerobic digester is 1,800 m³, and floating porous ceramic media is installed at the top of digester to maintain

high concentration of anaerobic microorganisms. Also, for optimal organic material decomposition, the temperature of the mesophilic digester has been maintained at 35 °C.

After the anaerobic digestion process, treated wastewater is transferred to the nitrification tank where further decomposition of organic material (to $CO_2$ and $H_2O$) and nitrification reaction (conversion of $NH_4^+$ to $NO_3^-$ via $NO_2^-$) take place. The biological nitrification process is combined with $NO_3^-$ rich supernatants recirculation. As a result of the recirculation, denitrification (conversion of $NO_3^-$ to $N_2$) and methane fermentation happen simultaneously in an anaerobic digester. The amount of wastewater recirculation ratio has been controlled for optimal nutrient removal performance and the recirculation ratio varied between 1.8 and 3.8.

The SBR (sequencing batch reactor) is followed by the nitrification process and its main functions are phosphorous removal and denitrification. A portion of $NO_3^-$ rich supernatants from nitrification reactor recycle to the anaerobic digester. Remainder of nutrient in the stream is removed by the following chemical flocculation using alum, anion polymer and ferrous salts. Further, treatment process, sand filtration, ozonation and activated carbon filtration are utilized to remove refractory organics and particles. Then the final effluent is discharged to the river. The complicated post-digestion process steps are essential to meet relevant regulations.

**2. Biogas-microturbine Power Generation System**

GWTP previously flared the produced biogas. To reduce GWTP energy consumption, a biogas-microturbine power generation system was designed and installed. A schematic diagram of the biogas-microturbine system is shown in Fig. 2. The biogas-microturbine system consists of two sub-systems: biogas pre-treatment and microturbine.

As a prime mover for energy conversion of $CH_4/N_2$ rich biogas, the microturbine is selected with a consideration of low $CH_4$ production rate, low $CH_4$ concentration and environmental merits. Although microturbines' electrical efficiency is around 30% based on state-of the-art technology [12], microturbines have some merits such as higher reliability, lower maintenance needs and low NOx emission

Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of biogas-microturbine power generation system at GWTP.

**Table 2. Performance specification of CR-30 microturbine (Capstone)**

| Electrical power output | 30 kW | at ISO conditions |
|---|---|---|
| Electrical efficiency, LHV | 26% | at ISO conditions |
| Fuel flow HHV | 415,000 kJ/hr | Full load |
| Exhaust gas flow | 0.31 kg/s | 275 °C |

**Table 3. Fuel biogas quality requirement of the $CH_4/N_2$ rich biogas-microturbine system**

| Condition | Requirement |
|---|---|
| $CH_4$ concentration | Over 35% |
| Fuel pressure | 345-552 kPa (depends of calorific value) |
| Fuel temperature | Less than 50 °C and 20 °C above the fuel dew point temp. (at the fuel supply pressure) |
| $H_2S$ concentration | Less than 200 ppm |
| $NH_3$ concentration | Less than 50 ppm |
| Siloxane concentration | Less than 5 ppb |
| | No liquid water |
| Moisture content | Gas temperature should be at least 20 °C higher than gas dew point temperature |

[13]. Table 2 summarizes the characteristics of 30 kW class microturbine manufactured by Capstone Turbine Corporation. The microturbine's low power generation efficiency can be compensated if exhaust gas heat is recovered for digester heating.

The quality of biogas heavily affects the feasibility, stability and economics of a biogas utilization system. Calorific value or $CH_4$ concentration is related to flame stability in the turbine combustor since it influences the flow rate to achieve the same thermal output [14]. $H_2S$ is corrosive and leads to wear of compressor and turbine parts [15]. Combustion of ammonia results in NO formation, and NO can react to produce other oxides of nitrogen in the atmosphere [16]. Siloxane containing biogas can cause problems such as fouling of a heat exchanger and abrasion of the gas engine surface [17]. Moisture fosters a corrosive environment and liquid water inlet into the microturbine could damage turbine blades.

The biogas pre-treatment system converts raw biogas to fuel biogas by removing harmful contaminants such as $H_2S$, $NH_3$, siloxane and moisture. Sometimes a higher degree of pre-treatment includes



Fig. 3. Process diagram and design data of Biogas pre-treatment system.

a CH$_4$ enrichment step. Since biogas pre-treatment is mainly intended to protect system components, specification of each component determines the system fuel requirement. Table 3 summarizes the biogas-microturbine fuel requirement.

Based on the system fuel requirement, a biogas pre-treatment system was designed as shown in Fig. 3. The pre-treatment system consists of water separator, H$_2$S dry scrubber, fuel gas compressor with air-gas cooler, refrigerated gas dryer with heat exchanger for moisture condensation and removal, and siloxane/moisture remover. The water separator consists of inlet diffuser, outlet nozzle, and PVC fillers which separate liquid water molecular with collision and absorption of its momentum. The adsorption material in the H$_2$S scrubber is activated carbon and iron sponge. Reciprocating gas compressor compresses biogas to 0.6 MPa. In the refrigerated gas dryer, cooling water of 5 °C decreases the temperature of the compressed biogas (from 80 °C to 12 °C) and water vapor is condensed and removed. The last stage of biogas pre-treatment is siloxane/moisture removal by silica gel and activated carbon.

## EXPERIMENT AND MEASUREMENT METHOD

### 1. Measurement of Physio-chemical Characteristics of Wastewater

To evaluate the HYCEM process with the internal recirculation of NO$_3^-$ rich supernatants, BOD, SS, TN and TP were sampled at four different process steps: upstream, centrifuge outlet, HYCEM digester outlet, and SBR outlet. Sampled wastewater was measured by standard method. On a monthly basis, sampling and analysis was conducted for more than three years.

### 2. Measurement for Biogas Composition and Property

Biogas analysis was conducted on a weekly basis. To evaluate the performance of the biogas pre-treatment system, biogas was sampled at three different locations as shown in Fig. 3: blower inlet (sampling port 1), H$_2$S remover outlet (sampling port 2), microturbine inlet (sampling port 3). Biogas composition was analyzed with gas chromatography (GC-14A, Shimadzu), GC/MS (HP 5890+5972MSD), gas detection tube (No. 2H, No. 31B, No. 4H, etc) and NDIR/electro-chemical sensor gas analyser (AEMS with GEM- 2000, CES-Landtec). Siloxane content was analyzed by normal hexane impinge sampling method and GC/MS analysis using the standard materials for hexamethyldisiloxane, octamethylrisiloxane, octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane, and decamethylcyclopentasilxane. Besides, the dew point of biogas at the final stage of the pre-treatment system was also measured with a dew point sensor of aluminium oxide type.

### 3. Experiment for CH$_4$/N$_2$ Rich Biogas Emission Characteristics on Microturbine Operation

Test operation of CH$_4$/N$_2$ biogas-microturbine CHP system was conducted. The test was conducted on a daily basis depending on biogas production condition. During the tests, biogas composition was analyzed with the biogas analyzer above mentioned for continuous biogas analysis. Emission characteristics of CH$_4$/N$_2$ rich biogas on microturbine application were also analyzed with Testo-350 for evaluating environmental influence.

To evaluate the effect of microturbine load, which affects to its operational stability, exhaust gas sampling was done with different microturbine load: 15, 20, 25 and 30 kW. However, due to higher ambient temperature compared to 15 °C, ISO standard, actual turbine output for 30 kW load was reduced under 28 kW.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 1. Analysis HYCEM Digester Performance and Biogas Composition

Concentration variations of BOD, SS, TN, and TP by treatment steps are shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5. Removal efficiencies of them

Fig. 4. Concentration variations at different treatment steps (mg/L, 36 months average value). (a) SS concentration (b) BOD concentration.

Fig. 5. Concentration variations at different treatment steps (mg/L, 36 months average value). (a) TN concentration, (b) TP concentration.

**Table 4. Contribution and efficiency of HYCEM digester on total removal performance**

|                          | BOD  | SS   | TN   | TP   |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Removal contribution (%) | 59.5 | 8.5  | 70.3 | 17.1 |
| Removal efficiency (%)   | 97.7 | 81.5 | 82.8 | 50.8 |

to the removal of 59.5% of BOD, 8.5% of SS, 70.3% of TN and 17.1% of TP. Also, the removal efficiency of the digester is 97.7%, 81.5%, 82.8% and 50.8%, respectively.

The highest contributor of TN removal was found to be the HYCEM digester rather than the aeration tank or SBR. This shows significant amount of biological carbon source was consumed for denitrification as shown in the general biological denitrification equation [18]:

$$NO_3^- + Carbon\ Source \rightarrow N_2 + CO_2 + H_2O + OH^- + Cell \qquad (1)$$

Fig. 6(a) shows $NO_3^-$ removal rate in the anaerobic digester with full organic loading rate range. It can be seen the rate was increased



Fig. 6. Nitrate removal in the anaerobic digester. (a) With full range of organic loading ratio, (b) with divided ranges of organic loading ratio.

with higher recirculation ratio and it directly supports the occurrence of the denitrification process in the digester. The correlation between $NO_3^-$ removal rate and recirculation ratio was found to be strong from regression analysis (the coefficient of correlation $\fallingdotseq 0.82$). With sufficient carbon input and high $NO_2^-$ and/or $NO_3^-$ concentration, organic matters are consumed firstly as electron donor for denitrification process and $N_2$ concentration increases with higher $NO_2^-$ and/or $NO_3^-$ concentration [19]. Fig. 6(b) shows $NO_3^-$ removal rates in the anaerobic digester with different organic loading rate ranges. With lower organic loading rate range, the correlation coefficient and slope became smaller. This means that the effect of recirculation ratio became weaker with sufficient input of organics and it coincides with the fact that nitrification is influenced negatively by the presence of organic matter which promotes the growth of heterotrophs [2].

Biogas was collected in a collecting bag. Biogas production rate was estimated as 248-803 $m^3$/day. The wide range of biogas production is due to the variation of operating conditions like organic loading rate and hydraulic retention time. As summarized in Table 5, biogas composition also varied with wide range. $CH_4$ concentration was between 31.1% and 51.1% with average value of 40.7%. $N_2$ was a balance gas with high concentration and it resulted from denitrification taking place in the HYCEM digester. Overall $CH_4$ and $N_2$ concentration was more than 95%. Fig. 7 shows $CH_4$ concentration in the biogas. A weak correlation between $CH_4$ concentration and recirculation ratio was found (the coefficient of correlation <0.5) and it is contrary to other research results which show strong

**Table 5. Summary of raw biogas composition analysis result**

| Components   | Max. value | Min. value | Avg. value |
|--------------|------------|------------|------------|
| $CH_4$ (%)   | 51.09      | 31.05      | 40.66      |
| $NH_3$ (ppm) | 150        | 3          | 36.16      |
| $H_2S$ (ppm) | 3000       | 285        | 759.26     |
| $CO_2$ (%)   | 3.00       | 0.96       | 1.75       |
| $O_2$        | 3.8        | 0.1        | 0.74       |
| $N_2$ (%)    |            | Balance gas |           |



Fig. 7. Relationship between $CH_4$ concentration and recirculation ratio.

**Fig. 8. Concentrations of H$_2$S and NH$_3$ in raw biogas.**



**Fig. 9. NOx and CO emission and exhaust gas temperature with different microturbine load conditions.**

relations between two factors [2,4]. It was thought that the weak correlation was due to the effect of other factors such as hydraulic retention time, organic loading ratio and input wastewater composition. CH$_4$ concentration was mostly over 35%, the CH$_4$ concentration limit for normal microturbine operation. Biogas contained an average of 759 ppm of H$_2$S (285-3,000 ppm) and 36 ppm of NH$_3$ (3-150 ppm) as shown in Fig. 8. Though siloxane detection analysis focused on four siloxane compounds, no siloxane compound was detected. The result seems to be consistent with the fact that livestock wastewater contains extremely low siloxane source such as shampoo and cosmetics.

As a result of internal recirculation for simultaneous methanogenesis and denitrification process, 70.3% of TN was removed by the digester and its TN removal efficiency reached to 82.8%. Also the effect of recirculation ratio on nitrate removal was found.

**2. Performance of Biogas Pre-treatment System**

Table 6 shows the test results of biogas pre-treatment performance. The tests were performed by collecting biogas samples from the different locations of biogas pre-treatment system and measured with gas chromatography. H$_2$S and NH$_3$ concentration was not detected at the outlet of the H$_2$S scrubber.

CH$_4$ concentration decreased along the pre-treatment system slightly. Decrease of CH$_4$ by H$_2$S scrubber (1.37%) was higher than across the siloxane/moisture remover (1.21%). Activated carbon absorbs CH$_4$ like other gases and the amount of absorption depends on temperature and pressure [20]. Although the absorption reaction is enhanced with higher pressure and lower temperature, more CH$_4$ was absorbed in the H$_2$S scrubber with lower pressure and higher temperature than in the siloxane/moisture remover. It was thought that the short residence time caused by high pressure decreased absolute amount of CH$_4$ absorbed in the remover.

It could be concluded that the biogas pre-treatment system performance for the elimination of impurities satisfied the biogas quality goal shown in Table 3.

**3. Emission and Operation Characteristics of Microturbine with CH$_4$/N$_2$ Rich Biogas Application**

Turbine operation was stable with the CH$_4$ concentration as low as 32%. However, for lower CH$_4$ concentration, turbine operation was extremely unstable, resulting in an increase of operable minimum turbine load, small tolerance of load change. For example, the load input should be over 20 kW and load change should be less than 3 kW for CH$_4$ content less than 40%.

Fig. 9 summarizes the result of test operations. Measured NOx emission level was maintained below 3 ppm for all microturbine load conditions. Compared to the manufacturer's specification, 9 ppm, the emission level is very low. The NOx measurement is consistent with other work [21]. The result suggests that the contribution of N$_2$ in fuel gas to NOx formation is negligible. It can be thought that low combustion temperature of microturbine prevents the oxidation of N$_2$ in the combustion air. Considering high combustion temperature of commercial mid and large scale gas turbines and gas engines, in terms of NOx emission, a microturbine is suitable for utilization of CH$_4$/N$_2$ rich biogas since the turbine inlet temperature of the microturbine is around 800-900 °C. Low NOx emission is one of representative characteristics of the microturbine due to its low turbine inlet temperature.

SO$_2$ was not detected at all for all tested load levels. CO concentration was increased with load level from 230 ppm at 90.8% load to 1,265 ppm at 50% load. On the contrary, flue gas temperature was decreased with load level decrease. CO emission is higher than the specification of the manufacturer for all tested loads. Similar trend of increased CO emission level with microturine load increase was found in natural gas fuelled microturbine system which shows 36 ppm at full power to 500 ppm level at around 70% load [21]. However, there was a huge difference in the absolute emission level (230 ppm v.s. 50 ppm at 90% load condition). High CO emission resulted from the increased combustion instability and decreased residence time due to low CH$_4$ concentration.

Microturbine operation with CH$_4$/N$_2$ rich fuel seems to be technically feasible unless CH$_4$ concentration is above 32%. NOx and SOx emission level was satisfactory while CO emission level was relatively higher compared to natural gas fuelled microturbine system. Detail investigation on NOx, SOx and CO emission covering

**Table 6. Variation in biogas composition by measuring points**

| Concentration | Upstream | After H$_2$S scrubber | After siloxane remover |
|---|---|---|---|
| CH$_4$ (%) | 40.06 | 38.69 | 37.48 |
| H$_2$S (ppm) | 759.26 | 9.28 | 0.82 |
| NH$_3$ (ppm) | 36.16 | 1.52 | 0.29 |

wide range of CH$_4$ concentration and microturbine load should be executed.

## CONCLUSIONS

With the analysis of CH$_4$/N$_2$ rich biogas production and application to microturbine on commercial scale wastewater treatment facility and pilot scale biogas-microturbine system, the following conclusions were drawn:

1. CH$_4$/N$_2$ rich biogas was produced due to simultaneous methanogenesis and denitrification. Denitrification was increased with internal recirculation ratio and TN removal contribution rate and efficiency of HYCEM digester was 70.3% and 82.8%, respectively.

2. The performance of the pre-treatment system was confirmed to satisfy all fuel requirements such as H$_2$S, NH$_3$, siloxane and moisture content. Removal of hydrogen sulphide and ammonia is successful with 99.9% and 90.2% of removal efficiency, respectively.

3. High N$_2$ concentration in biogas and microturbine load change did not affect NOx emission. Low combustion temperature of microturbine was thought to prevent high N$_2$ concentration from increasing NOx emission level.

4. CO emission was increased from 114 ppm to 310 ppm with decrease of microturbine load from 90.2% to 66.7% and the emission level was always higher than microturbine specification.

5. As the next step in this field of study, the emission level with a wide range of CH$_4$ concentration and isolated effect of recirculation ratio on CH$_4$ concentration and CH$_4$ production rate should be investigated in detail.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

## REFERENCES

1. P. M. Biradar, S. B. Roy, S. F. D'Souza and A. B. Pandit, *Bioresour. Technol.*, **101**, 1788 (2010).
2. J. Akunna, C. Bizeau, R. Moletta, N. Bernet and A. Heduit, *Water Sci. Technol.*, **30**, 297-306 305 (1994).
3. P. Rousseau, J.-P. Steyer, E. I. P Volcke, N. Bernet and F. Beline, *Water Sci. Technol.*, **58**, 133(2008).
4. Y. An, F. Yang, H. C. Chua, F. S. Wong and B. Wu, *Bioresour. Technol.*, **99**, 3714 (2008).
5. D. Littlejohn, A. J. Majeski, S. Tonse, C. Castaldini and R. K. Cheng, *Proc. Combust. Inst.*, **29**, 1115 (2002).
6. C. Cohé, C. Chauveau, I. Gökalp and D. F. Kurtuluşb, *Proc. Combust. Inst.*, **32**, 1803 (2008).
7. J. I. Seo, N. I. Kim and H. D. Shin, *Combust. Flame*, **153**, 355 (2008).
8. P. Kumar and D. P. Mishra, *Energy Convers. Manage.*, **49**, 2698 (2008).
9. T. Fujimori, D. Riechelmann and J. Sato, *Symp. (Int.) Combust.*, **27**, 1153 (1998).
10. W. De Zeeuw and G. Lettinga, *Accumulation of digested sewage sludge during start-up of an upflow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor*, Proc. of 35th Perdue industrial waste conference, Lewis publishers, Chelsen, MI, 39-47 (1980).
11. V. K. Lo, P. H. Liao and Y. C. Gao, *Bioresour. Technol.*, **47**, 153 (1994).
12. C. F. McDonald, *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, **23**, 1467 (2003).
13. P. A. Pilavachi, *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, **22**, 2005 (2002).
14. D. A. Wilson and K. M. Lyons, *Fuel*, **87**, 406 (2008).
15. A. Wellinger and A. Lindberg, *Biogas upgrading and utilization*, IEA Bioenergy Task 24 (1999).
16. C. Deed, J. Gronow, A. Rosevear, P. Braithwaite, R. Smith and P. Stanley, *Guidance on gas treatment technologies for landfill gas engines*, Environment Agency (2004).
17. R. Dewil, L. Appels and J. Baeyens, *Energy Convers. Manage.*, **47**, 1718 (2006).
18. J. F. Walker, M. V. Helfrich and T. L. Donalson, *Environ. Progr.*, **8**, 97 (1989).
19. N. Bernet, N. Delegenes, J. C. Akunna, J. P. Delegenes and R. Moleta, *Water Res.*, **34**, 611 (2000).
20. B. M. Jeong, E. S. Ahn, J. H. Yun, C. H. Lee and D. K. Choi, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, **55**, 335 (2007).
21. A. Y. Petrov, A. Zaltash, D. T. Rizy and S. D. Labinov, Proc. of 19th Annual International Pittsburgh Conference, September 23-27, 2002, Pittsburgh, PA (2002).