



Harmonic Bergman Spaces on the Real Hyperbolic Ball: Atomic Decomposition, Interpolation and Inclusion Relations

A. Ersin Üreyen¹

Received: 4 May 2023 / Accepted: 18 January 2024 / Published online: 22 February 2024
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2024

Abstract

For $\alpha > -1$ and $0 < p < \infty$, we study weighted Bergman spaces \mathcal{B}_α^p of harmonic functions on the real hyperbolic ball. We obtain an atomic decomposition of Bergman functions in terms of reproducing kernels. We show that an r -separated sequence $\{a_m\}$ with sufficiently large r is an interpolating sequence for \mathcal{B}_α^p . Using these we determine precisely when a Bergman space \mathcal{B}_α^p is included in another Bergman space \mathcal{B}_β^q .

Keywords Real hyperbolic ball · Hyperbolic harmonic function · Bergman space · Atomic decomposition · Interpolation · Inclusion relations

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 31C05; Secondary 46E22

1 Introduction

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\langle x, y \rangle = x_1 y_1 + \cdots + x_n y_n$ be the Euclidean inner product and $|x| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ be the corresponding norm. Let $\mathbb{B} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$ be the unit ball and $\mathbb{S} = \partial\mathbb{B}$ be the unit sphere. The hyperbolic ball is \mathbb{B} endowed with the hyperbolic metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{4}{(1 - |x|^2)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i^2.$$

Communicated by H. Turgay Kaptanoglu.

This research is supported by Eskişehir Technical University Research Fund under grant 22ADP349.

✉ A. Ersin Üreyen
aeureyen@eskisehir.edu.tr

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Eskişehir Technical University, 26470 Eskişehir, Turkey

The Laplacian Δ_h and the gradient ∇^h with respect to the hyperbolic metric are given by (see [13, Chapter 3] for more details)

$$(\Delta_h f)(a) = \Delta(f \circ \varphi_a)(0) \quad (f \in C^2(\mathbb{B})),$$

and

$$(\nabla^h f)(a) = -\nabla(f \circ \varphi_a)(0) \quad (f \in C^1(\mathbb{B})),$$

where $\Delta = \partial^2/\partial x_1^2 + \dots + \partial^2/\partial x_n^2$ and $\nabla = (\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)$ are the usual Euclidean Laplacian and gradient. Here φ_a is the canonical Möbius transformation mapping \mathbb{B} to \mathbb{B} and exchanging a and 0 given in (9). It is easy to show that

$$\Delta_h f(a) = (1 - |a|^2)^2 \Delta f(a) + 2(n - 2)(1 - |a|^2)\langle a, \nabla f(a) \rangle,$$

and

$$\nabla^h f(a) = (1 - |a|^2)\nabla f(a). \tag{1}$$

A twice continuously differentiable function $f : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called hyperbolic harmonic or \mathcal{H} -harmonic on \mathbb{B} if $\Delta_h f(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{B}$. We denote the set of all \mathcal{H} -harmonic functions by $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B})$.

Let ν be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n normalized so that $\nu(\mathbb{B}) = 1$. For $\alpha > -1$, define the weighted measure $d\nu_\alpha(x)$ by

$$d\nu_\alpha(x) = (1 - |x|^2)^\alpha d\nu(x),$$

and for $0 < p < \infty$, denote the Lebesgue space with respect to $d\nu_\alpha$ by $L_\alpha^p = L^p(d\nu_\alpha)$. The subspace of L_α^p consisting of \mathcal{H} -harmonic functions is called the weighted \mathcal{H} -harmonic Bergman space and is denoted by \mathcal{B}_α^p ,

$$\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}) : \|f\|_{L_\alpha^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(x)|^p d\nu_\alpha(x) < \infty \right\}.$$

These are Banach spaces when $1 \leq p < \infty$, and complete metric spaces with respect to the metric $d(f, g) = \|f - g\|_{L_\alpha^p}^p$ when $0 < p < 1$.

Point evaluation functionals are bounded on all \mathcal{B}_α^p and, in particular, \mathcal{B}_α^2 is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space. Therefore, for every $x \in \mathbb{B}$, there exists $\mathcal{R}_\alpha(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha^2$ such that

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} f(y) \overline{\mathcal{R}_\alpha(x, y)} d\nu_\alpha(y) \quad (f \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha^2). \tag{2}$$

The reproducing kernel $\mathcal{R}_\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)$ is symmetric in its variables, is real valued (so conjugation in (2) can be deleted) and is \mathcal{H} -harmonic with respect to each variable.

For $a, b \in \mathbb{B}$, let $\rho(a, b) = |\varphi_a(b)|$ be the pseudo-hyperbolic metric, and for $0 < r < 1$, let $E_r(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{B} : \rho(x, a) < r\}$ be the pseudo-hyperbolic ball of radius r centered at a . For $0 < r < 1$, a sequence $\{a_m\}$ of points of \mathbb{B} is called r -separated if $\rho(a_k, a_m) \geq r$ when $k \neq m$. An r -separated sequence $\{a_m\}$ is called an r -lattice if $\bigcup_{m=1}^\infty E_r(a_m) = \mathbb{B}$, that is, if $\{a_m\}$ is maximal.

In [6, Theorem 2], it is shown by Coifman and Rochberg that if $\{a_m\}$ is an r -lattice with r sufficiently small, then every holomorphic Bergman function $f \in A^p$ on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n (more generally on a symmetric Siegel domain of type two) can be represented in the form $f(z) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \lambda_m \tilde{B}(z, a_m)$, where $\{\lambda_m\} \in \ell^p$ and $\tilde{B}(z, a_m)$ is determined by $B(\cdot, a_m)$, the reproducing kernel at the point a_m . This representation is called atomic decomposition, $B(\cdot, a_m)$ being the atoms. They further showed that a similar decomposition holds for (Euclidean) harmonic functions on the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n . This last result is extended in [14, 15] to harmonic Bergman spaces on bounded symmetric domains of \mathbb{R}^n .

Our first aim in this work is to show that if $\{a_m\}$ is an r -lattice with small enough r , then an analogous series representation in terms of the reproducing kernels holds also for \mathcal{H} -harmonic Bergman spaces \mathcal{B}_α^p . Atomic decomposition of \mathcal{H} -harmonic Hardy spaces on the real hyperbolic ball has been obtained in [7].

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\alpha > -1$ and $0 < p < \infty$. Pick s large enough to satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + 1 &< p(s + 1), & \text{if } p \geq 1 \\ \alpha + n &< p(s + n), & \text{if } 0 < p < 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

There is an $r_0 < 1/8$ depending only on n, α, p, s such that if $\{a_m\}$ is an r -lattice with $r < r_0$, then for every $f \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha^p$, there exists $\{\lambda_m\} \in \ell^p$ such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \lambda_m \frac{\mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m)}{\|\mathcal{R}_s(\cdot, a_m)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}} \quad (x \in \mathbb{B}), \tag{4}$$

where the series converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{B} and in $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}$, and the norm $\|\{\lambda_m\}\|_{\ell^p}$ is equivalent to the norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}$.

The decomposition above can be written in other forms. By Lemma 2.10 below, the estimate $\|\mathcal{R}_s(\cdot, a_m)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p} \sim (1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p - (s+n)}$ holds and Theorem 1.1 remains true if (4) is replaced with (see Remark 3.8)

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \lambda_m (1 - |a_m|^2)^{s+n - (\alpha+n)/p} \mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m) \quad (x \in \mathbb{B}). \tag{5}$$

Also, $\mathcal{R}_s(a_m, a_m) \sim (1 - |a_m|^2)^{-(s+n)}$ by (17), and (4) can be replaced with

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \lambda_m (1 - |a_m|^2)^{-(\alpha+n)/p} \frac{\mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m)}{\mathcal{R}_s(a_m, a_m)} \quad (x \in \mathbb{B}).$$

We next consider the interpolation problem. If $\{a_m\}$ is r -separated and $f \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha^p$, then the sequence (see Proposition 3.3)

$$\{f(a_m)(1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p}\}$$

is in ℓ^p . If the converse holds, that is, if for every $\{\lambda_m\} \in \ell^p$, one can find an $f \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha^p$ such that $f(a_m)(1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p} = \lambda_m$, then $\{a_m\}$ is called an interpolating sequence for \mathcal{B}_α^p . We show that if the separation constant r is large enough, then $\{a_m\}$ is an interpolating sequence.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $\alpha > -1$ and $0 < p < \infty$. There is an r_0 with $1/2 < r_0 < 1$ depending only on n, α, p such that if $\{a_m\}$ is an r -separated sequence with $r > r_0$, then for every $\{\lambda_m\} \in \ell^p$, there exists $f \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha^p$ such that*

$$f(a_m)(1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p} = \lambda_m,$$

and the norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\{\lambda_m\}\|_{\ell^p}$.

The holomorphic analogue of the above theorem is proved in [2] for the unit ball and polydisc, and in [11] for more general domains of \mathbb{C}^n . For harmonic Bergman spaces on the upper half-space of \mathbb{R}^n , an analogous result is proved in [5].

Finally, we determine precisely when a Bergman space \mathcal{B}_α^p is contained in another Bergman space \mathcal{B}_β^q .

Theorem 1.3 *Let $\alpha, \beta > -1$ and $0 < p, q < \infty$.*

(a) *If $q \geq p$, then*

$$\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p \subset \mathcal{B}_\beta^q \text{ if and only if } \frac{\alpha + n}{p} \leq \frac{\beta + n}{q}$$

(b) *If $q < p$, then*

$$\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p \subset \mathcal{B}_\beta^q \text{ if and only if } \frac{\alpha + 1}{p} < \frac{\beta + 1}{q}$$

In both cases the inclusion $i : \mathcal{B}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\beta^q$ is continuous.

For holomorphic Bergman spaces on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , the counterpart of this theorem has been proved in [8, Lemma 2.1]. However, this source uses gap series formed by using the so-called Ryll–Wojtaszczyk polynomials (see [12]). We do not know whether such type of \mathcal{H} -harmonic functions exist on the real hyperbolic ball. Our proof is based on the above atomic decomposition and interpolation theorems.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we collect some known facts about Möbius transformations and \mathcal{H} -harmonic Bergman spaces that will be used in the sequel.

2.1 Notation

We denote positive constants whose exact values are inessential with C . The value of C may differ from one occurrence to another. We write $X \lesssim Y$ if $X \leq CY$, and $X \sim Y$ if both $X \leq CY$ and $Y \leq CX$.

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we write

$$[x, y] := \sqrt{1 - 2\langle x, y \rangle + |x|^2|y|^2},$$

which is symmetric in the variables x, y , and the following equality holds

$$[x, y]^2 = |x - y|^2 + (1 - |x|^2)(1 - |y|^2). \tag{6}$$

If either of the variables is 0, then $[x, 0] = [0, y] = 1$; otherwise

$$[x, y] = \left| |y|x - \frac{y}{|y|} \right| = \left| \frac{x}{|x|} - |x|y \right|, \tag{7}$$

and so

$$1 - |x||y| \leq [x, y] \leq 1 + |x||y| \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{B}). \tag{8}$$

2.2 Möbius Transformations

For more details about the facts listed in this subsection we refer the reader to [1] or [13].

A Möbius transformation of $\hat{\mathbb{R}}^n = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$ is a finite composition of reflections (inversions) in spheres or planes. We denote the group of all Möbius transformations mapping \mathbb{B} to \mathbb{B} by $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{B})$. For $a \in \mathbb{B}$, the mapping

$$\varphi_a(x) = \frac{a|x - a|^2 + (1 - |a|^2)(a - x)}{[x, a]^2} \quad (x \in \mathbb{B}) \tag{9}$$

is in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{B})$, exchanges a and 0, and satisfies $\varphi_a \circ \varphi_a = \text{Id}$. The group $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{B})$ is generated by $\{\varphi_a : a \in \mathbb{B}\}$ and orthogonal transformations. A very useful identity involving φ_a is ([13, Eqn. 2.1.7])

$$1 - |\varphi_a(x)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)(1 - |x|^2)}{[x, a]^2}. \tag{10}$$

The Jacobian J_{φ_a} of φ_a satisfies ([13, Theorem 3.3.1])

$$|J_{\varphi_a}(x)| = \frac{(1 - |\varphi_a(x)|^2)^n}{(1 - |x|^2)^n}. \tag{11}$$

The following lemma is a special case of [10, Theorem 1.1].

Lemma 2.1 For $a, x \in \mathbb{B}$, the following equality holds

$$[\varphi_a(x), a] = \frac{1 - |a|^2}{[x, a]}.$$

Proof Replacing x in (10) with $\varphi_a(x)$ and noting that $\varphi_a \circ \varphi_a = \text{Id}$ shows

$$[\varphi_a(x), a]^2 = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)(1 - |\varphi_a(x)|^2)}{1 - |x|^2}.$$

Applying (10) again, we obtain the desired result. □

For $a, b \in \mathbb{B}$, the pseudo-hyperbolic metric $\rho(a, b) = |\varphi_a(b)|$ satisfies

$$\rho(a, b) = \frac{|a - b|}{[a, b]}, \tag{12}$$

by (10) and (6). It is Möbius invariant in the sense that $\rho(\psi(a), \psi(b)) = \rho(a, b)$ for every $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{B})$. It satisfies not only the triangle inequality, but the following strong triangle inequality (see [10, Theorem 1.2]).

Lemma 2.2 For $a, b, x \in \mathbb{B}$, the following inequalities hold

$$\frac{|\rho(a, x) - \rho(b, x)|}{1 - \rho(a, x)\rho(b, x)} \leq \rho(a, b) \leq \frac{\rho(a, x) + \rho(b, x)}{1 + \rho(a, x)\rho(b, x)}.$$

Lemma 2.3 For $x, y \in \mathbb{B}$,

$$1 - \rho(x, y) \leq \frac{1 - |x|^2}{[x, y]} \leq 1 + \rho(x, y).$$

Proof The lemma clearly holds when $x = 0$. Otherwise, let $x^* := x/|x|^2$ be the inversion of x with respect to the unit sphere \mathbb{S} . Multiply the triangle inequality

$$|x^* - y| - |y - x| \leq |x^* - x| \leq |x^* - y| + |y - x|$$

by $|x|$. Noting that $|x||x^* - y| = [x, y]$ by (7), and $|x||x^* - x| = 1 - |x|^2$, we deduce

$$[x, y] - |x||y - x| \leq 1 - |x|^2 \leq [x, y] + |x||y - x|.$$

The lemma follows from the facts that $|y - x| = \rho(x, y)[x, y]$ by (12), and $|x| < 1$. □

The following lemma is a slight modification of [3, Lemma 2.1] and immediately follows from Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.4 For $x, y \in \mathbb{B}$,

$$\frac{1 - \rho(x, y)}{1 + \rho(x, y)} \leq \frac{1 - |x|^2}{1 - |y|^2} \leq \frac{1 + \rho(x, y)}{1 - \rho(x, y)}.$$

The next lemma is proved in [3, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 2.5 For $a, x, y \in \mathbb{B}$,

$$\frac{1 - \rho(x, y)}{1 + \rho(x, y)} \leq \frac{[x, a]}{[y, a]} \leq \frac{1 + \rho(x, y)}{1 - \rho(x, y)}.$$

Let $\mathbb{B}_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < r\}$. The pseudo-hyperbolic ball $E_r(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{B} : \rho(x, a) < r\} = \varphi_a(\mathbb{B}_r)$ is also a Euclidean ball with (see [13, Theorem 2.2.2])

$$\text{center} = \frac{(1 - r^2)a}{1 - |a|^2 r^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{radius} = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)r}{1 - |a|^2 r^2}. \tag{13}$$

2.3 Separated Sequences and Lattices

There exists an r -lattice for every $0 < r < 1$ as explained in [6, p. 18], and every r -separated sequence can be completed to an r -lattice. The following lemma follows from an invariant volume argument.

Lemma 2.6 Let $0 < r, \delta < 1$ and $\{a_m\}$ be r -separated. There exists N depending only on n, r, δ such that every $x \in \mathbb{B}$ belongs to at most N of the balls $E_\delta(a_m)$.

Lemma 2.7 Let $\{a_m\}$ be an r -lattice. There exists a sequence $\{E_m\}$ of disjoint sets such that $\bigcup_{m=1}^\infty E_m = \mathbb{B}$ and

$$E_{r/2}(a_m) \subset E_m \subset E_r(a_m). \tag{14}$$

Proof Let $E_1 = E_r(a_1) \setminus \bigcup_{m=2}^\infty E_{r/2}(a_m)$ and given E_1, \dots, E_{m-1} , let

$$E_m = E_r(a_m) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m-1} E_i \cup \bigcup_{i=m+1}^\infty E_{r/2}(a_i) \right).$$

□

Lemma 2.8 Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < r < 1$.

- (a) If $\{a_m\}$ is r -separated and $\gamma > n - 1$, then $\sum_{m=1}^\infty (1 - |a_m|^2)^\gamma < \infty$.
- (b) If $\{a_m\}$ is an r -lattice, then $\sum_{m=1}^\infty (1 - |a_m|^2)^\gamma < \infty$ if and only if $\gamma > n - 1$.

Proof To see part (a), note that

$$\int_{E_{r/2}(a_m)} (1 - |y|^2)^{\gamma-n} dv(y) \sim (1 - |a_m|^2)^\gamma, \tag{15}$$

where the implied constants depend only on the fixed parameters n, γ, r and are independent of a_m . This is true because for $y \in E_{r/2}(a_m)$, we have $(1 - |y|^2) \sim (1 - |a_m|^2)$ by Lemma 2.4 and $v(E_{r/2}(a_m)) \sim (1 - |a_m|^2)^n$ by (13). Thus

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - |a_m|^2)^\gamma \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}} (1 - |y|^2)^{\gamma-n} dv(y),$$

since the balls $E_{r/2}(a_m)$ are disjoint. If $\gamma > n - 1$, then the above integral is finite.

For part (b), let E_m be as given in Lemma 2.7. By (14), we similarly have

$$\int_{E_m} (1 - |y|^2)^{\gamma-n} dv(y) \sim (1 - |a_m|^2)^\gamma \tag{16}$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - |a_m|^2)^\gamma \sim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_m} (1 - |y|^2)^{\gamma-n} dv(y) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} (1 - |y|^2)^{\gamma-n} dv(y).$$

The last integral is finite if and only if $\gamma > n - 1$. □

2.4 Reproducing Kernels and Bergman Projection

The following upper estimates of the reproducing kernels \mathcal{R}_α of \mathcal{H} -harmonic Bergman spaces have been obtained in [16, Theorem 1.2].

Lemma 2.9 *For $\alpha > -1$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{B}$,*

- (a) $|\mathcal{R}_\alpha(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{[x, y]^{\alpha+n}},$
- (b) $|\nabla_1 \mathcal{R}_\alpha(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{[x, y]^{\alpha+n+1}}.$

Here ∇_1 means the gradient is taken with respect to the first variable.

More is true on the diagonal $y = x$ and the two-sided estimate ([16, Lemma 6.1])

$$\mathcal{R}_\alpha(x, x) \sim \frac{1}{(1 - |x|^2)^{\alpha+n}} \tag{17}$$

holds. The following lemma is part of [16, Theorem 1.3].

Lemma 2.10 *If $\alpha, s > -1, 0 < p < \infty$ and $p(s + n) - (\alpha + n) > 0$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} |\mathcal{R}_s(x, y)|^p dv_\alpha(y) \sim \frac{1}{(1 - |x|^2)^{p(s+n)-(\alpha+n)}}.$$

The implied constants depend only on n, α, s, p and are independent of x .

For $s > -1$ and suitable f , we define the projection operator P_s and the related operator Q_s by

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_s f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} f(y) \mathcal{R}_s(x, y) \, dv_s(y), \\
 Q_s f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{f(y)}{[x, y]^{s+n}} \, dv_s(y).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{18}$$

Lemma 2.11 *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\alpha, s > -1$. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) $P_s : L^p_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^p_\alpha$ is bounded,
- (b) $Q_s : L^p_\alpha \rightarrow L^p_\alpha$ is bounded,
- (c) $\alpha + 1 < p(s + 1)$.

In case (c) holds, then $P_s f = f$ for every $f \in \mathcal{B}^p_\alpha$.

Proof (b) \Rightarrow (a) follows from Lemma 2.9 (a), (a) \Rightarrow (c) is proved in [16, Theorem 1.1], and (c) \Rightarrow (b) is well-known and included in the proof of [16, Theorem 1.1]. \square

For a proof of the following estimates, see [9, Proposition 2.2].

Lemma 2.12 *Let $b > -1$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. For $x \in \mathbb{B}$, define*

$$I_c(x) := \int_{\mathbb{S}} \frac{d\sigma(\zeta)}{|x - \zeta|^{n-1+c}} \quad \text{and} \quad J_{b,c}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1 - |y|^2)^b}{[x, y]^{n+b+c}} \, dv(y),$$

where σ is the normalized surface measure on \mathbb{S} . For all $x \in \mathbb{B}$,

$$I_c(x) \sim J_{b,c}(x) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(1 - |x|^2)^c}, & \text{if } c > 0; \\ 1 + \log \frac{1}{1 - |x|^2}, & \text{if } c = 0; \\ 1, & \text{if } c < 0, \end{cases}$$

where the implied constants depend only on n, b, c and are independent of x .

We record the following elementary facts about the sequence spaces ℓ^p for future reference.

Lemma 2.13 (i) *For $0 < p < q < \infty$, $\|\{\lambda_m\}\|_{\ell^q} \leq \|\{\lambda_m\}\|_{\ell^p}$.*

(ii) *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and p' be the conjugate exponent of p , $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. If $\sum_{m=1}^\infty |\lambda_m \kappa_m| < \infty$ for every $\{\kappa_m\} \in \ell^{p'}$, then $\{\lambda_m\} \in \ell^p$.*

3 Atomic Decomposition

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. The main problem is to show that under the assumptions of the theorem, the operator $U : \ell^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^p_\alpha$ defined in (19) below is onto. We do this through a couple of propositions.

Proposition 3.1 For $\alpha > -1$ and $0 < p < \infty$, choose s so that (3) holds. If $\{a_m\}$ is r -separated for some $0 < r < 1$, then the operator $U : \ell^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\alpha^p$ mapping $\lambda = \{\lambda_m\}$ to

$$U\lambda(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m \frac{\mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m)}{\|\mathcal{R}_s(\cdot, a_m)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}} \quad (x \in \mathbb{B}) \tag{19}$$

is bounded. The above series converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{B} , and also in $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}$.

Proof Throughout the proof we suppress the constants that depend on the fixed parameters n, α, p, s and r . Note that, by Lemma 2.10 and (3), for every $0 < p < \infty$,

$$\|\mathcal{R}_s(\cdot, a_m)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p} \sim (1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p - (s+n)}, \tag{20}$$

since in (3), the inequality $p(s + n) > (\alpha + n)$ holds also in the case $p \geq 1$.

We begin with the case $0 < p \leq 1$. We first show that for $\lambda \in \ell^p$, the series in (19) converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{B} which implies that $U\lambda$ is \mathcal{H} -harmonic on \mathbb{B} since so is each $\mathcal{R}_s(\cdot, a_m)$. If $|x| \leq R < 1$, then $|\mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m)| \lesssim 1$ by Lemma 2.9 (a), since $[x, a_m] \geq 1 - |x|$ by (8). Thus, using also (20), the fact that $(s + n) - (\alpha + n)/p > 0$ by (3), and Lemma 2.13 (i) we obtain

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_m| \frac{|\mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m)|}{\|\mathcal{R}_s(\cdot, a_m)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}} \lesssim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_m| (1 - |a_m|^2)^{(s+n) - (\alpha+n)/p} \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_m| \leq \|\lambda\|_{\ell^p},$$

which proves the assertion. The inequality $\|U\lambda\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p} \leq \|\lambda\|_{\ell^p}$ immediately follows from Lemma 2.13 (i) and shows also that the series in (19) converges in $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}$.

We next consider the case $1 < p < \infty$. Let p' be the conjugate exponent of p . The series in (19) converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{B} because we again have $|\mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m)| \lesssim 1$, and by (20) and Hölder’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_m| \frac{|\mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m)|}{\|\mathcal{R}_s(\cdot, a_m)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}} &\lesssim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_m| (1 - |a_m|^2)^{s+n - (\alpha+n)/p} \\ &\leq \|\lambda\|_{\ell^p} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - |a_m|^2)^{p'(s+n - (\alpha+n)/p)} \right)^{1/p'}. \end{aligned}$$

The last sum is finite by Lemma 2.8 (a) since the inequality $p'(s + n - (\alpha + n)/p) > n - 1$ is equivalent to (3). Thus $U\lambda$ is \mathcal{H} -harmonic on \mathbb{B} .

To show $\|U\lambda\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p} \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\ell^p}$, following [4, 6, 15], we use the projection theorem. Denote by χ_A the characteristic function of a set A . For $\lambda \in \ell^p$, let

$$g(x) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_m| (1 - |a_m|^2)^{-(\alpha+n)/p} \chi_{E_{r/2}(a_m)}(x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{B}).$$

We have $\|g\|_{L^p_\alpha} \sim \|\lambda\|_{\ell^p}$, since the balls $E_{r/2}(a_m)$ are disjoint and

$$\|g\|_{L^p_\alpha}^p = \sum_{m=1}^\infty |\lambda_m|^p (1 - |a_m|^2)^{-(\alpha+n)} v_\alpha(E_{r/2}(a_m)) \sim \sum_{m=1}^\infty |\lambda_m|^p,$$

by (15). Next, with Q_s as in (18),

$$\begin{aligned} Q_s g(x) &= \sum_{m=1}^\infty |\lambda_m| (1 - |a_m|^2)^{-(\alpha+n)/p} \int_{E_{r/2}(a_m)} \frac{(1 - |y|^2)^s}{[x, y]^{s+n}} d\nu(y) \\ &\sim \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{|\lambda_m| (1 - |a_m|^2)^{s+n-(\alpha+n)/p}}{[x, a_m]^{s+n}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we first use the fact that $[x, y] \sim [x, a_m]$ for $y \in E_{r/2}(a_m)$ by Lemma 2.5, and then use (15). This shows that $|U\lambda(x)| \lesssim Q_s g(x)$ by Lemma 2.9 (a) and (20). Since Q_s is bounded by Lemma 2.11 and (3), we conclude

$$\|U\lambda\|_{\mathcal{B}^p_\alpha} \lesssim \|Q_s g\|_{L^p_\alpha} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^p_\alpha} \sim \|\lambda\|_{\ell^p}.$$

□

To verify that the above operator $U: \ell^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^p_\alpha$ is onto under the additional assumption that $\{a_m\}$ is an r -lattice with r small enough, we need to consider a second operator. We first recall the following sub-mean value inequality for \mathcal{H} -harmonic functions. For a proof see [13, Section 4.7]. Here, $d\tau(x) = (1 - |x|^2)^{-n} d\nu(x)$ is the invariant measure on \mathbb{B} .

Lemma 3.2 *Let $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B})$ and $0 < p < \infty$. For all $a \in \mathbb{B}$ and all $0 < \delta < 1$,*

$$|f(a)|^p \leq \frac{C}{\delta^n} \int_{E_\delta(a)} |f(y)|^p d\tau(y),$$

where $C = 1$ if $p \geq 1$ and $C = 2^{n/p}$ if $0 < p < 1$.

Proposition 3.3 *Let $\alpha > -1$, $0 < p < \infty$ and $\{a_m\}$ be r -separated for some $0 < r < 1$. Then the operator $T: \mathcal{B}^p_\alpha \rightarrow \ell^p$ defined by*

$$Tf = \{f(a_m)(1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p}\} \tag{21}$$

is bounded.

Proof Applying Lemma 3.2 with $\delta = r/2$ and noting that $(1 - |y|^2) \sim (1 - |a_m|^2)$ for $y \in E_{r/2}(a_m)$ by Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$|f(a_m)|^p (1 - |a_m|^2)^{\alpha+n} \lesssim \int_{E_{r/2}(a_m)} |f(y)|^p d\nu_\alpha(y).$$

Since the balls $E_{r/2}(a_m)$ are disjoint, we deduce

$$\|Tf\|_{\ell^p}^p = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |f(a_m)|^p (1 - |a_m|^2)^{\alpha+n} \lesssim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_{r/2}(a_m)} |f(y)|^p dv_{\alpha}(y) \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^p}^p.$$

□

We need a slightly modified version of the above operator T .

Proposition 3.4 *For $\alpha > -1$ and $0 < p < \infty$, choose s so that (3) holds. If $\{a_m\}$ is an r -lattice for some $0 < r < 1$ and $\{E_m\}$ is the associated sequence as given in Lemma 2.7, then the operator $\hat{T}: \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ defined by*

$$\hat{T}f = \{f(a_m) \|\mathcal{R}_s(\cdot, a_m)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^p} v_s(E_m)\} \tag{22}$$

is bounded.

Proof Since $\|\mathcal{R}_s(\cdot, a_m)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^p} v_s(E_m) \sim (1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p}$ by (16) and (20), the result follows from Proposition 3.3. □

Proposition 3.5 *For $\alpha > -1$ and $0 < p < \infty$, choose s so that (3) holds. There exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on n, α, p, s such that if $\{a_m\}$ is an r -lattice with $r < 1/8$, then $\|I - U\hat{T}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^p} \leq Cr$.*

In the proofs of the previous propositions we allowed the constants to depend on the separation constant r . This time we need to be careful that the suppressed constants are independent of r . We prove the cases $p \geq 1$ and $0 < p < 1$ separately.

Proof of Proposition 3.5 when $p \geq 1$ By (19) and (22),

$$U\hat{T}f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_m} f(a_m) \mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m) dv_s(y),$$

and by (3) and Lemma 2.11 we have $P_s f = f$ and so

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_m} f(y) \mathcal{R}_s(x, y) dv_s(y).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (I - U\hat{T})f(x) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_m} (\mathcal{R}_s(x, y) - \mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m)) f(y) dv_s(y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_m} \mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m) (f(y) - f(a_m)) dv_s(y) \\ &=: h_1(x) + h_2(x). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

We first estimate h_1 . Let $y \in E_m$. By the mean value theorem of calculus, there exists \tilde{y} lying on the line segment joining a_m and y such that

$$\mathcal{R}_s(x, y) - \mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m) = \langle y - a_m, \nabla_2 \mathcal{R}_s(x, \tilde{y}) \rangle,$$

where ∇_2 means the gradient is taken with respect to the second variable. Observe that because r is bounded above by $1/8$, there are constants independent of r such that for $y \in E_m \subset E_r(a_m)$, we have $[y, a_m] \sim [y, y] = 1 - |y|^2$ by Lemma 2.5. Thus, by (12),

$$|y - a_m| = \rho(y, a_m)[y, a_m] < r[y, a_m] \lesssim r(1 - |y|^2).$$

Next, since a_m and y are both in the ball $E_r(a_m)$, so is \tilde{y} . Hence $\rho(y, \tilde{y}) < 1/4$ and by Lemma 2.5, $[x, y] \sim [x, \tilde{y}]$ for every $x \in \mathbb{B}$ with the constants again not depending on r . Therefore, by Lemma 2.9 (b) and the symmetry of $\mathcal{R}_s(\cdot, \cdot)$,

$$|\nabla_2 \mathcal{R}_s(x, \tilde{y})| \lesssim \frac{1}{[x, \tilde{y}]^{s+n+1}} \sim \frac{1}{[x, y]^{s+n+1}}.$$

Combining these we see that for $y \in E_m$ and $x \in \mathbb{B}$,

$$|\mathcal{R}_s(x, y) - \mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m)| \lesssim \frac{r(1 - |y|^2)}{[x, y]^{s+n+1}} \lesssim \frac{r}{[x, y]^{s+n}}, \tag{24}$$

where in the last inequality we use $[x, y] \geq 1 - |y|$ by (8). Thus

$$|h_1(x)| \lesssim r \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_m} \frac{|f(y)|}{[x, y]^{s+n}} dv_s(y) = r \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{|f(y)|}{[x, y]^{s+n}} dv_s(y) = r Q_s(|f|)(x),$$

and since Q_s is bounded on L^p_α by Lemma 2.11, we obtain $\|h_1\|_{L^p_\alpha} \lesssim r \|f\|_{B^p_\alpha}$.

We now estimate h_2 . Let $y \in E_m$. As above, we have $P_s f = f$, and so

$$f(y) - f(a_m) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} (\mathcal{R}_s(y, z) - \mathcal{R}_s(a_m, z)) f(z) dv_s(z).$$

Since $\mathcal{R}_s(\cdot, \cdot)$ is symmetric, by (24),

$$|\mathcal{R}_s(y, z) - \mathcal{R}_s(a_m, z)| \lesssim \frac{r}{[y, z]^{s+n}},$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{B}$ with the constants not depending on r . Thus

$$|f(y) - f(a_m)| \lesssim r \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{|f(z)|}{[y, z]^{s+n}} dv_s(z) = r Q_s(|f|)(y),$$

and so

$$|h_2(x)| \lesssim r \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_m} |\mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m)| Q_s(|f|)(y) dv_s(y) \lesssim r \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_m} \frac{Q_s(|f|)(y)}{[x, a_m]^{s+n}} dv_s(y),$$

where in the last inequality we use Lemma 2.9 (a). By Lemma 2.4 again, we have $[x, a_m] \sim [x, y]$ for $y \in E_m \subset E_r(a_m)$ since $r < 1/8$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |h_2(x)| &\lesssim r \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_m} \frac{Q_s(|f|)(y)}{[x, y]^{s+n}} dv_s(y) = r \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{Q_s(|f|)(y)}{[x, y]^{s+n}} dv_s(y) \\ &= r Q_s(Q_s(|f|))(x), \end{aligned}$$

and since Q_s is bounded on L^p_α we obtain that $\|h_2\|_{L^p_\alpha} \lesssim r \|f\|_{B^p_\alpha}$.

We conclude that $\|(I - U\hat{T})f\|_{B^p_\alpha} \leq Cr \|f\|_{B^p_\alpha}$, with C depending only on n, α, p, s . This finishes the proof when $p \geq 1$. \square

In order to prove the case $0 < p < 1$, we need to do some preparation. The following inequality is proved in [13, Theorem 4.7.4 part (b)].

Lemma 3.6 *Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < \delta < 1/2$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on n, p, δ such that for all $a \in \mathbb{B}$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B})$,*

$$|\nabla^h f(a)|^p \leq \frac{C}{\delta^n} \int_{E_\delta(a)} |f(y)|^p d\tau(y).$$

The next lemma is a special case of Theorem 1.3 part (a).

Lemma 3.7 *Let $0 < p < 1$ and $\alpha > -1$. Then $B^p_\alpha \subset B^1_{(\alpha+n)/p-n}$ and the inclusion is continuous.*

Proof By [13, Eqn. (10.1.5)], there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on n, α, p such that

$$|f(x)| \leq \frac{C}{(1 - |x|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p}} \|f\|_{B^p_\alpha}, \tag{25}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{B}$ and $f \in B^p_\alpha$. In the integral below writing $|f(x)| = |f(x)|^p |f(x)|^{1-p}$ and applying (25) to the factor $|f(x)|^{1-p}$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(x)|(1 - |x|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p-n} dv(x) &\leq C^{1-p} \|f\|_{B^p_\alpha}^{1-p} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(x)|^p (1 - |x|^2)^\alpha dv(x) \\ &= C^{1-p} \|f\|_{B^p_\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proof of Proposition 3.5 when $0 < p < 1$ In this part of the proof we can not use the projection theorem which requires $p \geq 1$. Instead, we follow [6, p. 19] and use a suitable rearrangement of the sequence $\{a_m\}$ as described below.

Pick a $1/2$ -lattice $\{b_m\}$ and fix it throughout the proof. Denote the sequence of sets associated to the lattice $\{b_m\}$ as described in Lemma 2.7 by $\{D_m\}$. That is, the sets D_m are disjoint with $\bigcup_{m=1}^\infty D_m = \mathbb{B}$ and

$$E_{1/4}(b_m) \subset D_m \subset E_{1/2}(b_m) \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Given an r -lattice $\{a_m\}$ with $r < 1/8$, renumber $\{a_m\}$ in the following way. Call the elements of $\{a_m\}$ that are in D_1 as $a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1\kappa_1}$ and in general call the points of $\{a_m\}$ that are in D_m as $a_{m1}, a_{m2}, \dots, a_{m\kappa_m}$. Denote the sets given in Lemma 2.7 corresponding to this renumbering by E_{mk} . Thus, the sets E_{mk} are disjoint, $\bigcup_{m=1}^\infty \bigcup_{k=1}^{\kappa_m} E_{mk} = \mathbb{B}$ and

$$E_{r/2}(a_{mk}) \subset E_{mk} \subset E_r(a_{mk}) \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots, k = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa_m).$$

By the above construction, since $a_{mk} \in D_m \subset E_{1/2}(b_m)$, we have

$$\rho(a_{mk}, b_m) < 1/2 \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots, k = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa_m), \tag{26}$$

and by the triangle inequality and the fact that $r < 1/8$,

$$E_{mk} \subset E_{5/8}(b_m). \tag{27}$$

Suppose now $f \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha^p$. We claim that $P_s f = f$. This is true because by Lemma 3.7, f is in $\mathcal{B}_{(\alpha+n)/p-n}^1$ and for this space the required condition in Lemma 2.11 (c) is $s > (\alpha + n)/p - n$ which holds by (3). Therefore

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} f(y) \mathcal{R}_s(x, y) dv_s(y) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa_m} \int_{E_{mk}} f(y) \mathcal{R}_s(x, y) dv_s(y).$$

Next, with the above rearrangement, by (19) and (22),

$$U\hat{T}f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa_m} f(a_{mk}) v_s(E_{mk}) \mathcal{R}_s(x, a_{mk})$$

and so, similar to (23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I - U\hat{T})f(x) &= \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa_m} \int_{E_{mk}} (\mathcal{R}_s(x, y) - \mathcal{R}_s(x, a_{mk})) f(y) dv_s(y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa_m} \int_{E_{mk}} \mathcal{R}_s(x, a_{mk}) (f(y) - f(a_{mk})) dv_s(y) \\ &=: h_1(x) + h_2(x). \end{aligned}$$

We first estimate h_1 . We will again be careful that in the estimates below the suppressed constants are independent of the separation constant r . Let $y \in E_{mk}$. First, as is shown in (24), for all $x \in \mathbb{B}$,

$$|\mathcal{R}_s(x, y) - \mathcal{R}_s(x, a_{mk})| \lesssim \frac{r}{[x, y]^{s+n}} \lesssim \frac{r}{[x, b_m]^{s+n}}, \tag{28}$$

where in the last inequality we use Lemma 2.5 with (27). Next, applying Lemma 3.2 with $\delta = 1/8$ and noting that $E_{1/8}(y) \subset E_{3/4}(b_m)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y)|^p &\lesssim \int_{E_{1/8}(y)} |f(z)|^p d\tau(z) \leq \int_{E_{3/4}(b_m)} |f(z)|^p d\tau(z) \\ &\lesssim (1 - |b_m|^2)^{-(\alpha+n)} \int_{E_{3/4}(b_m)} |f(z)|^p d\nu_\alpha(z), \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where in the last inequality we use $(1 - |z|^2) \sim (1 - |b_m|^2)$ for $z \in E_{3/4}(b_m)$ by Lemma 2.4. Combining (28) and (29) we deduce

$$|h_1(x)| \lesssim r \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{(1 - |b_m|^2)^{-(\alpha+n)/p}}{[x, b_m]^{s+n}} \left(\int_{E_{3/4}(b_m)} |f(z)|^p d\nu_\alpha(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa_m} \nu_s(E_{mk}). \tag{30}$$

Since the sets E_{mk} are disjoint and $E_{mk} \subset E_{5/8}(b_m)$ for every $k = 1, \dots, \kappa_m$ by (27), we have $\sum_{k=1}^{\kappa_m} \nu_s(E_{mk}) \leq \nu_s(E_{5/8}(b_m))$. Also $\nu_s(E_{5/8}(b_m)) \sim (1 - |b_m|^2)^{s+n}$ by Lemma 2.4 and (13). Using this and then Lemma 2.13 (i) yields

$$|h_1(x)|^p \lesssim r^p \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{(1 - |b_m|^2)^{p(s+n)-(\alpha+n)}}{[x, b_m]^{p(s+n)}} \int_{E_{3/4}(b_m)} |f(z)|^p d\nu_\alpha(z).$$

Integrating over \mathbb{B} with respect to $d\nu_\alpha$, applying Fubini's theorem, and noting that

$$(1 - |b_m|^2)^{p(s+n)-(\alpha+n)} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{d\nu_\alpha(x)}{[x, b_m]^{p(s+n)}} \lesssim 1,$$

by Lemma 2.12 and (3), we obtain

$$\|h_1\|_{L_\alpha^p}^p \lesssim r^p \sum_{m=1}^\infty \int_{E_{3/4}(b_m)} |f(z)|^p d\nu_\alpha(z). \tag{31}$$

Finally, by Lemma 2.6, there exists N such that every $z \in \mathbb{B}$ belongs at most N of the balls $E_{3/4}(b_m)$, and so $\sum_{m=1}^\infty \int_{E_{3/4}(b_m)} |f(z)|^p d\nu_\alpha(z) \leq N \int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(z)|^p d\nu_\alpha(z)$. We

conclude that

$$\|h_1\|_{L_\alpha^p}^p \lesssim r^p \|f\|_{L_\alpha^p}^p. \tag{32}$$

We next estimate h_2 . Let $y \in E_{mk}$. By the mean-value theorem of calculus, there exists \tilde{y} lying on the line segment joining a_{mk} and y such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y) - f(a_{mk})| &\leq |y - a_{mk}| |\nabla f(\tilde{y})| = \rho(y, a_{mk}) [y, a_{mk}] \frac{|\nabla^h f(\tilde{y})|}{1 - |\tilde{y}|^2} \\ &< r \frac{[y, a_{mk}]}{1 - |\tilde{y}|^2} |\nabla^h f(\tilde{y})|, \end{aligned}$$

where we also use (1), (12) and the fact that $\rho(y, a_{mk}) < r$ because $E_{mk} \subset E_r(a_{mk})$. Since the point \tilde{y} is also in the ball $E_r(a_{mk})$ and $r < 1/8$, we have

$$\rho(\tilde{y}, a_{mk}) < 1/8, \tag{33}$$

and therefore $(1 - |\tilde{y}|^2) \sim (1 - |a_{mk}|^2)$ by Lemma 2.4. Similarly, since $\rho(y, a_{mk}) < 1/8$, we have $[y, a_{mk}] \sim [a_{mk}, a_{mk}] = (1 - |a_{mk}|^2)$ by Lemma 2.5 and we conclude

$$|f(y) - f(a_{mk})| \lesssim r |\nabla^h f(\tilde{y})|.$$

Next, applying Lemma 3.6 with $\delta = 1/8$ and then using $E_{1/8}(\tilde{y}) \subset E_{3/4}(b_m)$ which follows from (33) and (26), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^h f(\tilde{y})|^p &\lesssim \int_{E_{1/8}(\tilde{y})} |f(z)|^p d\tau(z) \leq \int_{E_{3/4}(b_m)} |f(z)|^p d\tau(z) \\ &\lesssim (1 - |b_m|^2)^{-(\alpha+n)} \int_{E_{3/4}(b_m)} |f(z)|^p d\nu_\alpha(z), \end{aligned}$$

similar to (29). Using also that

$$|\mathcal{R}_s(x, a_{mk})| \lesssim \frac{1}{[x, a_{mk}]^{s+n}} \sim \frac{1}{[x, b_m]^{s+n}},$$

which follows from Lemma 2.9 (a) and Lemma 2.5 with (26), we conclude that

$$|h_2(x)| \lesssim r \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{(1 - |b_m|^2)^{-(\alpha+n)/p}}{[x, b_m]^{s+n}} \left(\int_{E_{3/4}(b_m)} |f(z)|^p d\nu_\alpha(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa_m} \nu_s(E_{mk}).$$

This estimate is same as (30). Thus we again have $\|h_2\|_{L_\alpha^p}^p \lesssim r^p \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}^p$ and hence $\|(I - U\hat{T})f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}^p \leq \|h_1\|_{L_\alpha^p}^p + \|h_2\|_{L_\alpha^p}^p \lesssim r^p \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}^p$. We conclude that $\|(I - U\hat{T})\| \leq Cr$, where C depends only on n, p, α, s . \square

Proposition 3.5 immediately implies Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 By Proposition 3.5, if r is small enough, then $\|I - U\hat{T}\| < 1$, and so $U\hat{T}$ has bounded inverse. Given $f \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha^p$, let $\lambda = \hat{T}(U\hat{T})^{-1}f$. Then $\lambda \in \ell^p$, $U\lambda = f$, and $\|\lambda\|_{\ell^p} \sim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}$. We note that in the equivalence $\|\lambda\|_{\ell^p} \sim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}$, the suppressed constants depend also on r . \square

Remark 3.8 One can replace (4) in Theorem 1.1 with (5) because of Lemma 2.10. The only change needed in the above proof is to replace $U\lambda$ in (19) with

$$U\lambda(x) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \lambda_m (1 - |a_m|^2)^{s+n-(\alpha+n)/p} \mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m),$$

and $\hat{T}f$ in (22) with

$$\hat{T}f = \{f(a_m)(1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p-(s+n)} \nu_s(E_m)\}.$$

Then $U\hat{T}$ remains the same and so does Proposition 3.5. In the proofs of Propositions 3.1 and 3.4 we omit the references to (20).

4 Interpolation

To prove Theorem 1.2 we again consider two operators. One is $\hat{U} : \ell^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\alpha^p$, a slightly modified version of U given in (19) and the other is $T : \mathcal{B}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \ell^p$,

$$Tf = \{f(a_m)(1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p}\},$$

given in (21). Our main purpose is to show that the composition $T\hat{U} : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ is invertible when the separation constant is large enough.

Proposition 4.1 For $\alpha > -1$ and $0 < p < \infty$, choose s so that (3) holds. If $\{a_m\}$ is r -separated for some $0 < r < 1$, then the operator $\hat{U} : \ell^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\alpha^p$ mapping $\lambda = \{\lambda_m\}$ to

$$\hat{U}\lambda(x) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \lambda_m (1 - |a_m|^2)^{-(\alpha+n)/p} \frac{\mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m)}{\mathcal{R}_s(a_m, a_m)} \quad (x \in \mathbb{B}) \tag{34}$$

is bounded. The above series converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{B} , and also in $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}$.

We have $\mathcal{R}_s(a_m, a_m)(1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p} \sim \|\mathcal{R}_s(\cdot, a_m)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}$ by (17) and Lemma 2.10, and this proposition can be proved in the same way as Proposition 3.1. The minor changes required are omitted.

Proposition 4.2 For $\alpha > -1$ and $0 < p < \infty$, choose s so that (3) holds. There exists $1/2 < r_0 < 1$ depending only on n, α, p, s such that if $\{a_m\}$ is r -separated with $r > r_0$, then $\|T\hat{U} - I\|_{\ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p} < 1$.

This proposition immediately implies Theorem 1.2, similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1 above.

To verify Proposition 4.2, let $\lambda = \{\lambda_m\} \in \ell^p$. Then the m -th term of the sequence $(T\hat{U} - I)\lambda$ is given by

$$\{(T\hat{U} - I)\lambda\}_m = (1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^{\infty} \lambda_k (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(\alpha+n)/p} \frac{\mathcal{R}_s(a_m, a_k)}{\mathcal{R}_s(a_k, a_k)},$$

and by Lemma 2.9 (a) and (17), we have

$$|\{(T\hat{U} - I)\lambda\}_m| \leq C(1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^{\infty} |\lambda_k| \frac{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{s+n-(\alpha+n)/p}}{[a_m, a_k]^{s+n}}, \quad (35)$$

where the constant C depends only on n, α, p and s .

To estimate the norm $\|(T\hat{U} - I)\lambda\|_{\ell^p}$, we need an estimate of the series on the right of (35) (without the $|\lambda_k|$ term) as given in Lemma 4.4 below. We first prove this lemma and complete the proof of Proposition 4.2 at the end of the section.

Observe that by Lemma 2.12, for $b > -1$ and $c > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ (depending only on n, b, c) such that

$$(1 - |a|^2)^c \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1 - |y|^2)^b}{[a, y]^{n+b+c}} dv(y) \leq C,$$

uniformly for all $a \in \mathbb{B}$. The next result will be needed in the proof of Lemma 4.4.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $b > -1$ and $c > 0$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $0 < r_\varepsilon < 1$ such that if $r_\varepsilon < r < 1$, then for all $a \in \mathbb{B}$,*

$$(1 - |a|^2)^c \int_{\mathbb{B} \setminus E_r(a)} \frac{(1 - |y|^2)^b}{[a, y]^{n+b+c}} dv(y) < \varepsilon.$$

Proof Let

$$F(a, r) := (1 - |a|^2)^c \int_{\mathbb{B} \setminus E_r(a)} \frac{(1 - |y|^2)^b dv(y)}{[a, y]^{n+b+c}},$$

and in the integral make the change of variable $y = \varphi_a(z)$. Since $\varphi_a(\mathbb{B}_r) = E_r(a)$ and $|J_{\varphi_a}|$ is as given in (11), we obtain

$$F(a, r) = (1 - |a|^2)^c \int_{\mathbb{B} \setminus \mathbb{B}_r} \frac{(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2)^{b+n} dv(z)}{[a, \varphi_a(z)]^{n+b+c} (1 - |z|^2)^n}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 and (10), and simplifying shows

$$F(a, r) = \int_{\mathbb{B} \setminus \mathbb{B}_r} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^b}{[a, z]^{n+b-c}} d\nu(z) = n \int_r^1 t^{n-1} (1 - t^2)^b \int_{\mathbb{S}} \frac{d\sigma(\zeta)}{|ta - \zeta|^{n+b-c}} dt,$$

where in the second equality we integrate in polar coordinates and use the fact that $[a, t\zeta] = |ta - \zeta|$ by (7). By Lemma 2.12 and the inequality $1 - |a|^2 t^2 \geq 1 - t^2$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} \frac{d\sigma(\zeta)}{|ta - \zeta|^{n+b-c}} \leq Cg(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(1 - t^2)^{1+b-c}}, & \text{if } 1 + b - c > 0; \\ 1 + \log \frac{1}{1 - t^2}, & \text{if } 1 + b - c = 0; \\ 1, & \text{if } 1 + b - c < 0, \end{cases}$$

where the constant C depends only on n, b, c and do not depend on a . Thus

$$F(a, r) \leq Cn \int_r^1 t^{n-1} (1 - t^2)^b g(t) dt.$$

In all the three cases the integral $\int_0^1 t^{n-1} (1 - t^2)^b g(t) dt$ is finite because $b > -1$ and $c > 0$ and hence, one can make $F(a, r) < \varepsilon$ by choosing r close to 1. \square

The next lemma is an analogue of Lemma 3.1 of [11].

Lemma 4.4 *Let $b > n - 1$ and $c > 0$. For $1/2 < r < 1$, there exists $C(r) > 0$ (depending also on n, b and c) such that for every r -separated sequence $\{a_m\}$ and for every $m = 1, 2, \dots$,*

$$(1 - |a_m|^2)^c \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - |a_k|^2)^b}{[a_m, a_k]^{b+c}} \leq C(r).$$

Moreover, one can choose $C(r)$ to be arbitrarily small by making r sufficiently close to 1.

Proof By the Lemmas 2.4, 2.5 and (13), there exists $C > 0$ depending only on n, b, c such that

$$\frac{(1 - |a|^2)^b}{[x, a]^{b+c}} \leq C \int_{E_{1/4}(a)} \frac{(1 - |y|^2)^{b-n}}{[x, y]^{b+c}} d\nu(y),$$

for all $a, x \in \mathbb{B}$. If $\{a_m\}$ is r -separated with $r > 1/2$, then the balls $E_{1/4}(a_m)$ are disjoint and therefore

$$(1 - |a_m|^2)^c \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - |a_k|^2)^b}{[a_m, a_k]^{b+c}} \leq C(1 - |a_m|^2)^c \int_{\bigcup_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^{\infty} E_{1/4}(a_k)} \frac{(1 - |y|^2)^{b-n}}{[a_m, y]^{b+c}} d\nu(y).$$

Set

$$R := \frac{r - 1/4}{1 - r/4}.$$

Clearly, $0 < R < 1$. We claim that $\bigcup_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^\infty E_{1/4}(a_k) \subset \mathbb{B} \setminus E_R(a_m)$. To see this, let $z \in E_{1/4}(a_k)$ with $k \neq m$. Then, by the strong triangle inequality in Lemma 2.2,

$$\rho(z, a_m) \geq \frac{\rho(a_m, a_k) - \rho(z, a_k)}{1 - \rho(a_m, a_k)\rho(z, a_k)} \geq \frac{r - \rho(z, a_k)}{1 - r\rho(z, a_k)} \geq \frac{r - 1/4}{1 - r/4},$$

where in the second and third inequalities we use $\rho(a_m, a_k) \geq r$ and $\rho(z, a_k) < 1/4$, and the elementary fact that for $0 \leq t_0 < 1$, the function $f(t) = (t - t_0)/(1 - tt_0)$ is increasing on the interval $0 \leq t < 1$ and $-f$ is decreasing. Thus

$$(1 - |a_m|^2)^c \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^\infty \frac{(1 - |a_k|^2)^b}{[a_m, a_k]^{b+c}} \leq C(1 - |a_m|^2)^c \int_{\mathbb{B} \setminus E_R(a_m)} \frac{(1 - |y|^2)^{b-n}}{[a_m, y]^{b+c}} dv(y),$$

and since $R \rightarrow 1^-$ as $r \rightarrow 1^-$, the desired result follows from Lemma 4.3. □

We now complete the proof of Proposition 4.2. We consider the cases $0 < p \leq 1$ and $p > 1$ separately.

Proof of Proposition 4.2 when $0 < p \leq 1$ For $\lambda = \{\lambda_m\} \in \ell^p$, by (35), Lemma 2.13 (i) and Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T\hat{U} - I)\lambda\|_{\ell^p}^p &\leq C^p \sum_{m=1}^\infty (1 - |a_m|^2)^{\alpha+n} \left(\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^\infty |\lambda_k| \frac{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{s+n-(\alpha+n)/p}}{[a_m, a_k]^{s+n}} \right)^p \\ &\leq C^p \sum_{m=1}^\infty (1 - |a_m|^2)^{\alpha+n} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^\infty |\lambda_k|^p \frac{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{p(s+n)-(\alpha+n)}}{[a_m, a_k]^{p(s+n)}} \\ &= C^p \sum_{k=1}^\infty |\lambda_k|^p (1 - |a_k|^2)^{p(s+n)-(\alpha+n)} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq k}}^\infty \frac{(1 - |a_m|^2)^{\alpha+n}}{[a_m, a_k]^{p(s+n)}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.4, there exists $C(r)$ such that (note that $\alpha + n > n - 1$ since $\alpha > -1$, and $p(s + n) - (\alpha + n) > 0$ by (3))

$$\|(T\hat{U} - I)\lambda\|_{\ell^p}^p \leq C^p C(r) \|\lambda\|_{\ell^p}^p.$$

Since $C(r)$ can be made arbitrarily small by making r close enough to 1, the proposition follows. □

We next deal with the case $1 < p < \infty$. Let p' be the conjugate exponent of p . We employ Schur's test which, for the sequence space ℓ^p , has the following form (see [11, Lemma 3.2]): Let $A = (A_{mk})_{1 \leq m, k < \infty}$ be an infinite matrix with nonnegative entries and $L_A : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ be the corresponding operator taking $\lambda = \{\lambda_m\}$ to

$$\{L_A \lambda\}_m = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{mk} \lambda_k, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

If there exists a constant $C > 0$ and a positive sequence $\{\gamma_m\}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{mk} \gamma_k^{p'} \leq C \gamma_m^{p'}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{mk} \gamma_m^p \leq C \gamma_k^p, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

then the operator $L_A : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ is bounded and $\|L_A\| \leq C$.

Proof of Proposition 4.2 when $1 < p < \infty$ Without loss of generality we can assume that the r -separated sequence $\{a_m\}$ is maximal, that is $\{a_m\}$ is an r -lattice and so is an infinite sequence.

For $m, k = 1, 2, \dots$, let $A_{mk} = 0$ if $k = m$; and if $k \neq m$, let

$$A_{mk} = (1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p} \frac{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{s+n-(\alpha+n)/p}}{[a_m, a_k]^{s+n}}.$$

Let $A = (A_{mk})$ and $L_A : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ be the corresponding operator. Then by (35),

$$|\{(T\hat{U} - I)\lambda\}_m| \leq C \{L_A \lambda\}_m.$$

To estimate $\|L_A\|$ with the Schur's test, we take $\{\gamma_m\} = \{(1 - |a_m|^2)^{(n-1)/pp'}\}$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{mk} \gamma_k^{p'} = (1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{s+n-(\alpha+1)/p}}{[a_m, a_k]^{s+n}},$$

and by Lemma 4.4, there exists $C_1(r)$ such that (we check that $s+n-(\alpha+1)/p > n-1$ by (3), and $(\alpha+1)/p > 0$)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{mk} \gamma_k^{p'} \leq (1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p} \frac{C_1(r)}{(1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\alpha+1)/p}} = C_1(r) \gamma_m^{p'}.$$

Observe next that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{mk} \gamma_m^p = (1 - |a_k|^2)^{s+n-(\alpha+n)/p} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq k}}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\alpha+n)/p+(n-1)/p'}}{[a_m, a_k]^{s+n}}.$$

To apply Lemma 4.4 we check that $(\alpha + n)/p + (n - 1)/p' = (\alpha + 1)/p + n - 1 > n - 1$, and $s + n - ((\alpha + n)/p + (n - 1)/p') = s + 1 - (\alpha + 1)/p > 0$ by (3). Thus there exists $C_2(r)$ such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{mk} \gamma_m^p \leq (1 - |a_k|^2)^{s+n-(\alpha+n)/p} \frac{C_2(r)}{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{s+n-(\alpha+n)/p-(n-1)/p'}} = C_2(r) \gamma_k^p.$$

We conclude that L_A is bounded and $\|L_A\| \leq \max\{C_1(r), C_2(r)\}$. Therefore $\|T\hat{U} - I\| \leq C \max\{C_1(r), C_2(r)\}$ and since both $C_1(r)$ and $C_2(r)$ can be made arbitrarily small by making r close enough to 1, we conclude that $\|T\hat{U} - I\|$ can be made small. This finishes the proof of Proposition 4.2. \square

5 Inclusion Relations

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 We first prove part (a). Suppose $\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p \subset \mathcal{B}_\beta^q$. Since point evaluations are bounded on \mathcal{H} -harmonic Bergman spaces, the inclusion $i : \mathcal{B}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\beta^q$ is continuous by the closed graph theorem. For every $s > -1$ and $a \in \mathbb{B}$, the reproducing kernel $\mathcal{R}_s(a, \cdot)$ is bounded on \mathbb{B} by Lemma 2.9 (a) and (8), so belongs to every Bergman space. By Lemma 2.10, for large enough s , we have

$$\frac{\|\mathcal{R}_s(a, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta^q}}{\|\mathcal{R}_s(a, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}} \sim (1 - |a|^2)^{(\beta+n)/q-(\alpha+n)/p}, \tag{36}$$

and the right-hand side is bounded as $|a| \rightarrow 1^-$ only if $(\beta + n)/q \geq (\alpha + n)/p$.

Suppose now that

$$\frac{\alpha + n}{p} \leq \frac{\beta + n}{q}. \tag{37}$$

Pick s large enough so that (3) holds both for α, p and β, q . Let r_0 be as asserted in the atomic decomposition theorem for \mathcal{B}_α^p and let $\{a_m\}$ be an r -lattice with $r < r_0$. Then for every $f \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha^p$, there exists $\{\lambda_m\} \in \ell^p$ with $\|\{\lambda_m\}\|_{\ell^p} \sim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}$ such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m \frac{\mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m)}{\|\mathcal{R}_s(\cdot, a_m)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \kappa_m \frac{\mathcal{R}_s(x, a_m)}{\|\mathcal{R}_s(\cdot, a_m)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta^q}},$$

where

$$\kappa_m = \lambda_m \frac{\|\mathcal{R}_s(\cdot, a_m)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta^q}}{\|\mathcal{R}_s(\cdot, a_m)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}}.$$

By (36) and (37), $|\kappa_m| \sim |\lambda_m|(1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\beta+n)/q - (\alpha+n)/p} \leq |\lambda_m|$, and so the sequence $\{\kappa_m\}$ is in ℓ^p . Thus, $\{\kappa_m\} \in \ell^q$ by Lemma 2.13 (i), and it follows from Proposition 3.1 that $f \in \mathcal{B}_\beta^q$ with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta^q} \lesssim \|\{\kappa_m\}\|_{\ell^q} \leq \|\{\kappa_m\}\|_{\ell^p} \lesssim \|\{\lambda_m\}\|_{\ell^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}$.

We next prove part (b). Note first that in this case $p/q > 1$ and the conjugate exponent of p/q is $p/(p - q)$. To see the if part, suppose

$$\frac{\alpha + 1}{p} < \frac{\beta + 1}{q}. \tag{38}$$

By Hölder’s inequality,

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(x)|^q dv_\beta(x) \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(x)|^p dv_\alpha(x) \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} (1 - |x|^2)^{(\beta - \alpha \frac{q}{p}) \frac{p}{p-q}} dv(x) \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}},$$

and since the exponent $(\beta - \alpha \frac{q}{p}) \frac{p}{p-q} > -1$ by (38), we obtain $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta^q} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p}$.

Suppose now that $\mathcal{B}_\alpha^p \subset \mathcal{B}_\beta^q$. Let r_0 be as asserted in the interpolation theorem for \mathcal{B}_α^p and let $\{a_m\}$ be an r -lattice with $r > r_0$. Given $\{\lambda_m\} \in \ell^{p/q}$, we have $\{|\lambda_m|^{1/q}\} \in \ell^p$ and there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha^p$ such that

$$f(a_m) = |\lambda_m|^{1/q} (1 - |a_m|^2)^{-(\alpha+n)/p}.$$

Since f is also in \mathcal{B}_β^q , the sequence $\{f(a_m)(1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\beta+n)/q}\}$ is in ℓ^q by Proposition 3.3, and so

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_m|(1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\beta+n) - (\alpha+n)q/p} < \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.13 (ii), this implies that the sequence $\{(1 - |a_m|^2)^{(\beta+n) - (\alpha+n)q/p}\}$ is in $\ell^{p/(p-q)}$ and by Lemma 2.8 (b) this holds only if

$$\left((\beta + n) - (\alpha + n) \frac{q}{p} \right) \frac{p}{p - q} > n - 1,$$

which is equivalent to (38). □

References

1. Ahlfors, L.A.: Möbius Transformations in Several Dimensions. Univ. Minnesota, Minneapolis (1981)

2. Amar, É.: Suites d'interpolation pour les classes de Bergman de la boule et du polydisque de \mathbb{C}^n . *Can. J. Math.* **30**(4), 711–737 (1978)
3. Choe, B.R., Koo, H., Lee, Y.J.: Positive Schatten class Toeplitz operators on the ball. *Stud. Math.* **189**, 65–90 (2008)
4. Choe, B.R., Lee, Y.J.: Note on atomic decompositions of harmonic Bergman functions. In: *Complex Analysis and its Applications, OCAMI Stud.*, vol. 2, Osaka Munic. Univ. Press, Osaka, pp. 11–24 (2007)
5. Choe, B.R., Yi, H.: Representations and interpolations of harmonic Bergman functions on half-spaces. *Nagoya Math. J.* **151**, 51–89 (1998)
6. Coifman, R.R., Rochberg, R.: Representation theorems for holomorphic and harmonic functions in L^p . *Astérisque* **77**, 12–66 (1980)
7. Jaming, P.: Harmonic functions on the real hyperbolic ball I. Boundary values and atomic decomposition of Hardy spaces. *Colloq. Math.* **80**, 63–82 (1999)
8. Jevtić, M., Massaneda, X., Thomas, P.J.: Interpolating sequences for weighted Bergman spaces of the ball. *Mich. Math. J.* **43**, 495–517 (1996)
9. Liu, C.W., Shi, J.H.: Invariant mean-value property and \mathcal{M} -harmonicity in the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n . *Acta Math. Sin.* **19**, 187–200 (2003)
10. Ren, G., Kähler, U.: Pseudohyperbolic metric and uniformly discrete sequences in the real unit ball. *Acta Math. Sci.* **34B**(3), 629–638 (2014)
11. Rochberg, R.: Interpolation by functions in Bergman spaces. *Mich. Math. J.* **29**, 229–236 (1982)
12. Ryll, J., Wojtaszczyk, P.: On homogeneous polynomials on a complex ball. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **276**, 107–116 (1983)
13. Stoll, M.: *Harmonic and Subharmonic Function Theory on the Hyperbolic Ball*, London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Series, vol. 431, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2016)
14. Tanaka, K.: Atomic decomposition of harmonic Bergman functions. *Hiroshima Math. J.* **42**, 143–160 (2012)
15. Tanaka, K.: Representation theorem for harmonic Bergman and Bloch functions. *Osaka J. Math.* **50**, 947–961 (2013)
16. Üreyen, A.E.: \mathcal{H} -Harmonic Bergman projection on the real hyperbolic ball. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **519**, 126802 (2023)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.