

## **Rational Approximation in a Class of Weighted Hardy Spaces**

**Wei Qu[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3935-6763) · Pei Dang1**

Received: 26 July 2018 / Accepted: 26 October 2018 / Published online: 1 November 2018 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2018

### **Abstract**

This study aims at rational approximation of a class of weighted Hardy spaces, including the classical Bergman space, the weighted Bergman spaces, the Hardy space, the Dirichlet space and the Hardy–Sobolev spaces. We will mainly concentrate in the Bergman cases in the unit disc context. The methodology of the approximation is a pre-orthogonal method, called Pre-Adaptive Fourier Decomposition. The new idea is that a function is not expanded into a basis but an orthonormal system adapted to the given function. In such way by using a unified method we obtain efficient approximations in our sequence of spaces while avoiding discussions on basis and uniqueness sets, etc. The type of function decompositions is related to direct sum decompositions of the underlying spaces into the closure of the span of a sequence of repeating reproducing kernels and the corresponding zero-based invariant subspaces that arises deep studies.

**Keywords** Bergman space · Weighted Bergman spaces · Weighted Hardy spaces · Rational orthogonal system · Adaptive Fourier decomposition · Reproducing kernel Hilbert space

## **1 Introducrion**

Approximation to holomorphic functions as a general topic has been studied by many authors with a long history [\[1](#page-24-0)[–6\]](#page-24-1). Some recent studies in relation to approximation

 $\boxtimes$  Wei Qu quwei1987@foxmail.com Pei Dang pdang@must.edu.mo

Communicated by Tao Qian.

This work was supported in part by NSFC Grant 11701597; Macao Science and Technology Development Fund, MSAR. Ref. 154/2017/A3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Faculty of Information Technology, University of Science and Technology, Macau, China

in some holomorphic function spaces can be found in [\[7](#page-24-2)[,8\]](#page-24-3). Apart from the classical Hardy space, the existing studies in general weighted Hardy spaces do not address the effectiveness issue, nor adaptive construction, of the approximation. The main technical effort of this paper is to construct explicitly the rational orthonormal systems with fast convergence effect in a class of weighted Hardy spaces. The closest study to this paper includes [\[9](#page-24-4)[,10](#page-24-5)]. The theory developed in this study ensures rapid convergence by allowing repeating selection of the kernel parameters. The study spells out in view of the maximal selection principle why repeating selections of the parameters is necessary and why this procedure involves consecutively one and higher order directional derivatives. The idea of Pre-Adaptive Fourier Decomposition (POAFD) method on the weighted Hardy spaces stems from and further develop [\[9](#page-24-4)[,10\]](#page-24-5). POAFD is reduced to adaptive Fourier decomposition (AFD) in the classical Hardy space context, the latter being motivated by positive and non-linear instantaneous frequency representation of signals, and merging into, and standing as a new type and one of the most effective sparse representation programs with ample applications in industrial and biomedical signal analysis  $[11,12]$  $[11,12]$ , image analysis  $[13]$ , as well as in system identification  $[14,15]$  $[14,15]$  $[14,15]$ . The usual greedy algorithms do not allow repeating selection of parameters and thus cannot reach the best approximation. The AFD theory is briefly introduced as follows.

It is known that a function  $f \in \mathbb{L}^2(\partial \mathbf{D})$  with Fourier expansion  $f(e^{it}) =$ It is known that a function  $f \in \mathbb{L}^2(\partial \mathbf{D})$  with Fourier expansion  $f(e^{it}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{int}$  has its Hardy space decomposition  $f = f^+ + f^-$ , where  $f^+(e^{it}) =$  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{int}$  has its Hardy space decomposition  $f = f^+ + f^-$ , where  $f^+(e^{it}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{int} \in \mathbb{H}^2_+(\partial \mathbf{D})$ , and  $f^-(e^{it}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} c_n e^{int} \in \mathbb{H}^2_-(\partial \mathbf{D})$ . This decomposition corresponds to the space direct sum decomposition

$$
\mathbb{L}^2(\partial \mathbf{D}) = \mathbb{H}^2_+(\partial \mathbf{D}) \oplus \mathbb{H}^2_-(\partial \mathbf{D}),\tag{1.1}
$$

where  $\mathbb{H}^2_{\pm}(\partial \mathbf{D})$  are, correspondingly, the non-tangential boundary limits of the functions in the Hardy spaces inside and outside the unit disc, respectively, denoted H2 <sup>±</sup>(**D**). The projection operators from *<sup>f</sup>* to <sup>H</sup><sup>2</sup> <sup>±</sup>(∂**D**) are respectively denoted as  $P_{+}(f) = (1/2)(f \pm i H f \pm c_0)$ . The projections can also be obtained through boundary limits of the corresponding Cauchy integrals of the boundary data *f* . If *f* is real-valued, due to the property  $c_{-n} = \overline{c}_n$ , one has  $f = 2\text{Re} f^+ - c_0$ . This suggests that analysis of the  $L^2$  functions may be reduced to analysis of the functions in the corresponding Hardy spaces  $[16]$ . Let  ${T_k}$  denote the rational orthogonal system, corresponding to a sequence of numbers  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots)$ , allowing repetition, in the unit disc, of the form

$$
T_k(z) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - |a_k|^2}}{1 - \overline{a}_k z} \prod_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{z - a_l}{1 - \overline{a}_l z}.
$$

{*Tk* }<sup>∞</sup> *<sup>k</sup>*=<sup>1</sup> is an orthonormal system, also called Takenaka-Mulmquist or TM system, in  $\mathbb{H}^2_+$ (**D**). The system may or may not be a basis of  $\mathbb{H}^2_+$ (**D**) depending on whether  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-|a_n|) = \infty$  or not, respectively. In rational approximation of the Hardy space  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-|a_k|) = \infty$  or not, respectively. In rational approximation of the Hardy space the above defined rational orthonormal systems are essential. For a given Hardy space function  $f^+$ , by selecting parameters  $a_k$ 's under the maximal selection principle,

 $a_k = \arg \sup \{ | \langle f, T_k^b \rangle | : b \in \mathbf{D}, b \neq a_l, l = 1, ..., k - 1 \},\$ 

where  $T_k^b$  is the  $T_n$  with  $a_n$  being replaced by the undetermined *b*, regardless whether the corresponding TM system is a basis or not, the resulted expansion, called AFD expansion,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, T_k \rangle T_k(z)
$$

converges at fast pace [\[9\]](#page-24-4) to  $f^+(z)$ . One extra property of the decomposition, but important in signal analysis [\[14](#page-24-9)[–16\]](#page-24-11), and as the original motivation, is that under the selection  $a_1 = 0$  all  $T_k$ 's are of positive frequency (boundary phase derivative). AFD thus gives rise to intrinsic positive frequency decomposition of  $f<sup>+</sup>$  and thus that of *f* as well. Other related work can be found in [\[17](#page-24-12)[,18\]](#page-24-13). In the analytic adaptive and positive-frequency approximation aspects the studies of Coifman et al. and Qian et al. merged together [\[19](#page-24-14)[,20\]](#page-24-15). Related to TM systems is the Beurling–Lax type direct sum decomposition

$$
\mathbb{H}^{2}(\mathbf{D}) = \overline{\operatorname{span}} \{ T_{k} \}_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus \phi \mathbb{H}^{2}(\mathbf{D}), \tag{1.2}
$$

where  $\phi$  is the Blaschke product defined by the parameters  $a_1, \ldots, a_k, \ldots$ . The relevance of the direct sum decomposition rests on the condition  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - |a_k|) < \infty$ under which  $\phi$  is well defined and the TM system is not a basis.

The aim of this study is to generalize the AFD rational approximation theory of the classical Hardy space to a unified class named as *square-integrable* β-*weighted Hardy spaces*, including the Bergman space and weighted Bergman spaces, as well as the Hardy–Sobolev spaces, namely, the spaces

$$
\mathbb{H}_{\beta}^{2}(\mathbf{D}) = \left\{ f : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{C} : f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D},
$$

$$
||f||_{\mathbb{H}_{\beta}^{2}}^{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^{\beta} |c_{k}|^{2} < \infty \right\},
$$
(1.3)

where  $-\infty < \beta < \infty$ .  $\mathbb{H}^2_\beta$  is a particular case of  $\mathbb{H}^2_W$ . We note that the inequality condition in the last definition guarantees that  $f$  is a well defined function holomorphic in the open unit disc **D**. The conventional Hardy–Sobolev spaces  $\mathbb{W}^2_\beta$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , is defined as

$$
\mathbb{W}_{\beta}^{2} = \left\{ f : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{C} : f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1+k)^{2\beta} |c_k|^2 < \infty \right\}.
$$

Therefore,  $\mathbb{H}^2_{\beta} = \mathbb{W}^2_{\beta/2}$ .

The *general weighted Hardy spaces* defined as

$$
\mathbb{H}_{W}^{2}(\mathbf{D}) = \left\{ f : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{C} : f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D},
$$

$$
\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}_{W}^{2}}^{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W(k) |c_{k}|^{2} < \infty \right\},
$$
(1.4)

where the weight sequence  $W(k) \geq 0$ ,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} W(k)^{\frac{1}{k}} \geq 1$  [\[21](#page-24-16)]. Except for the occasional cases, such as in Sect. [4](#page-17-0) when we discuss the Bergman space in the upperhalf plane, we often suppress, in the notation for the function space, the part to indicate the domain of the functions. That is, we simplify the notation  $\mathbb{H}^2_{\beta}(\mathbf{D})$  to be  $\mathbb{H}^2_{\beta}$ .

The particular cases  $\mathbb{H}_0^2$ ,  $\mathbb{H}_1^2$ ,  $\mathbb{H}_1^2$  and  $\mathbb{H}_2^2$  respectively correspond to the Hardy, the classical Bergman, the Dirichlet, and the Hardy–Sobolev  $\mathbb{W}^2_1$  spaces. In general,  $\mathbb{H}^2_\beta$ with  $\beta < 0$  correspond to the weighted Bergman space  $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^2$ , with  $\beta = -(1 + \alpha)$ ,  $\alpha >$ −1 (see Sect. [5\)](#page-19-0). The meaning of the space correspondence is that as function sets they are equal, while the norms are not necessarily equal but at least equivalent [\[22](#page-24-17)]. Due to the correspondence with the specially named spaces the approximation behaviors are just the same. In below we concentrate in studying the  $\beta$ -weighted Hardy spaces  $\mathbb{H}^2_\beta.$ 

It is a known fact that all the weighted Bergman spaces corresponding to  $\mathbb{H}^2_\beta,\,\beta\,< 0,$ are reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces (RKHSs) (see Sect. [5\)](#page-19-0). For  $\beta > 0$ , by invoking the fact that a Hilbert space is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space if and only if the point-evaluating linear functional is a bounded functional, we can conclude that  $\mathbb{H}^2_\beta$ for  $\beta > 0$  are also RKHSs. In fact, due to the set inclusion relation  $\mathbb{H}^2_\beta \subset \mathbb{H}^2 = \mathbb{H}^2_0$ there follows

$$
|f(z)| \le C_z \|f\|_2 \le C_z \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^2_{\beta}}.
$$
\n(1.5)

This implies that  $\mathbb{H}^2_\beta$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , are RKHSs.

POAFD proposed in [\[10\]](#page-24-5) is not valid for general RKHS. It is available only for those that possess the so called *boundary vanishing property* (BVP). BVP corresponds, in fact, the validity of the Riemann–Lebesgue Lemma in each RKHS context. It does not always hold. We will show that for  $\beta > 1$  BVP does not hold in  $\mathbb{H}_{\beta}^2$ . As a result POAFD cannot be performed, and approximation in the latter spaces can be obtained through the inversed operators in  $\mathbb{H}_{\beta}^2$ ,  $\beta = [\beta] + \beta', \beta' \in [0, 1)$ , or be alternatively obtained through a *weak-POAFD method* (see Sect. [6\)](#page-20-0).

The formulation of approximation in non-Hardy reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces is difficult due to the fact that there is no useful inner function theory, and the multiple operators by inner functions are not norm-equivalent, nor contracting. Naturally related to our approximation approach is characterization of zero-based shift-invariant subspaces. In the Hardy space cases with the classical settings there exists the Beurling–Lax Theorem. The remarkable difference between the Hardy space and the non-Hardy reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces is characterization of the zero-based invariant subspaces. The characterization problem is an open problem for each of the non-Hardy space case  $\mathbb{H}_{\beta}^2$ ,  $\beta \neq 0$ . For instance, for the standard Bergman space  $\mathbb{A}^2 = \mathbb{A}_0^2,$ 

$$
\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbf{D}) \neq \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{B_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \oplus H_\mathbf{a} \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbf{D}),
$$

where  ${B_k}_{k=1}^\infty$  is the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization of a sequence of generalized reproducing kernels (see Sect. [2\)](#page-4-0) corresponding to the infinite sequence **a** inducing a Horowitz product  $H_a$  ([\[23](#page-24-18)], also see Sect. [5\)](#page-19-0). On the other hand, we show that in all cases the direct sum decomposition holds with the Beurling type shift invariant subspace being replaced by the zero-based invariant subspace, that is

$$
\mathbb{H}_{\beta}^2 = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{B_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus I_{\mathbf{a}}.
$$

The study on characterizing the zero-based shift-invariant subspaces has attracted many famous work [\[24](#page-24-19)[–28](#page-25-0)].

The paper provides in detail the POAFD procedure for the standard Bergman space case. For the other cases, we indicate the differences and the necessary changes. The special case with the upper-half space is presented in great detail. Related error estimations are provided. A proof of the expansion convergence that does not depend on inner function properties is given.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. [2](#page-4-0) we introduce basic knowledge of the Bergman spaces. Section [3](#page-6-0) constructs the rational orthonormal system in the Bergman space that will be recalled when developing POAFD as an optimal approximation method in the Bergman space. Convergence rate and the related zero based invariant spaces are studied. In Sects. [4](#page-17-0) and [5](#page-19-0) we extend the theory established in the standard Bergman space to the Bergman space in the upper-half complex plane, and to the weighted Bergman spaces, respectively. In Sect. [6](#page-20-0) we deal with the Hardy–Sobolev spaces corresponding to  $\beta > 0$ . Apart from the theory aspect given in this paper we also achieved the related algorithm codes and experiments, and the application aspects, that will be left to the forthcoming complimentary paper.

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>**2 Preliminaries**

Denote by **D** the open unit disc in the complex plane **C**. We will first deal with the square integrable Bergman space of the open unit disc,  $\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbf{D})$ , namely,

$$
\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbf{D}) = \left\{ f : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{C} \mid f \text{ is holomorphic in } \mathbf{D}, \text{ and } \|f\|_{\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbf{D})}^2 \right\}
$$

$$
= \int_{\mathbf{D}} |f(z)|^2 dA < \infty \right\},
$$

where *dA* is the normalized area measure on the unit disc:  $dA = \frac{dxdy}{\pi}$ ,  $z = x + iy$ . The space  $\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbf{D})$  under the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbf{D})}$  forms a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$
\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbf{D})} = \int_{\mathbf{D}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} dA.
$$

In the sequel we often suppress the subscripts  $\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbf{D})$  in the notations  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbf{D})}$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbf{D})}$ , and write them simply as  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

It is known that  $A^2$  is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with the reproducing kernel

$$
k_a(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - \overline{a}z)^2}.
$$

From the reproducing kernel property we have

$$
||k_a||^2 = k_a(a) = \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^2}.
$$

Thus, the normalized reproducing kernel, denoted as  $e_a(z)$ , is

$$
e_a(z) = \frac{k_a(z)}{\sqrt{k_a(a)}} = \frac{1 - |a|^2}{(1 - \overline{a}z)^2}.
$$

We hence have, for any  $f \in \mathbb{A}^2$ ,

$$
\langle f, e_a \rangle = (1 - |a|^2) f(a).
$$

Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots)$  be an infinite sequence in **D**. Here, and in the sequel,  $a_n$ 's are allowed to repeat. Denote by  $l(a_n)$  the number of repeating times of  $a_n$  in the *n*-tuple  $\mathbf{a}_n = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ . Denote by

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\tilde{k}_{a_n}(z) = \left(\frac{d}{d\overline{w}}\right)^{l(a_n)-1} (k_w(z))\,|_{w=a_n}.\tag{2.1}
$$

We will call the sequence  $\{k_{a_n}\}$  the *generalized reproducing kernels corresponding to*  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots).$ 

There follows, for  $f \in \mathbb{A}^2$ ,

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\langle f, \tilde{k}_{a_n} \rangle = f^{(l(a_n)-1)}(a_n). \tag{2.2}
$$

To show  $(2.2)$ , taking the  $(l - 1)$ -th derivative to the both sides of the identity

$$
f(w) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{f(z)}{(1 - w\overline{z})^2} dA,
$$

we have

$$
f^{(l-1)}(w) = l! \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{\overline{z}^{l-1} f(z)}{(1 - w\overline{z})^{l+1}} dA.
$$

The exchange of the orders of differentiation and integration is justified by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem. We thus obtain [\(2.2\)](#page-5-0). In the classical Hardy space case, this relation was noted by [\[10](#page-24-5)]. As explained in the following sections, the consecutive derivatives of the kernel function correspond to repeating use of the parameters  $a_n$ . A number of literature at this point do not bother to discuss the case of repeating use of the parameters (see, for instance, [\[6](#page-24-1)]). The present study shows that in order to obtain the best possible approximation at each step repeating selections of parameters are necessary, and shows how the adaptive selection procedure possibly involves higher and higher orders of derivatives.

Let M be a closed subspace of  $\mathbb{A}^2$ . If further  $\mathbb{Z}M \subset M$ , then we say that M is an *shift-invariant subspace* or simply *invariant subspace*. Let **a** be a finite or infinite sequence of points in the unit disc. We will be interested in the zero-based invariant subspaces *I***<sup>a</sup>** consisting of the functions that vanishes on the points of **a** together with the multiples:

 $I_{\mathbf{a}} = \{ f \in \mathbb{A}^2 : f \text{ has all points in } \mathbf{a} \text{ together with their multiples as its zeros} \}.$ 

It is conventional that *a* is a zero with multiple *l* of an analytic function *f* if and only if  $f(z) = (z - a)^{l} g(z)$ , where *g* is also analytic at *a*. The last fact is equivalent with  $f(a) = f'(a) = \cdots = f^{(l-1)}(a) = 0$ . Denote  $\phi_a(z) = z - a$ . In the case  $I = I_{a_1} = \frac{1}{2}$ *I*<sub>{*a*1</sub>}, we can easily show that  $I_{\{a_1\}} = \phi_{a_1} \mathbb{A}^2$ . Inductively, we have  $I_{\mathbf{a}_n} = \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} \mathbb{A}^2$ , where  $\mathbf{a}_n = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ . This relation, however, is not extendable to an infinite sequence **a**. The zero-based invariant spaces are closed subspaces, they themselves are reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces. Characterizations of zero-based invariant subspaces are of central importance and attract great interest of many researchers. In the Hardy spaces case there exist the Beurling and Beurling–Lax Theorems in relation to the inner functions in the contexts. Necessary and sufficient conditions for **a** being the zero set of some Bergman space function has ever since several decades ago been an open problem [\[29](#page-25-1)[–31](#page-25-2)]. The existing partial results along this direction contribute to our understanding on when a maximally selected parameter sequence gives rise to a basis (see Sect. [3\)](#page-6-0).

### <span id="page-6-0"></span>**3 Pre-orthogonal Adaptive Fourier Decomposition in the Bergman Space**

In this section we first construct for a given infinite sequence **a** the corresponding rational orthogonal system of the Bergman space, called the Bergman space rational orthogonal (BRO) system. Secondly, we show the validity of the so called boundary vanishing condition, and subsequently the validity of the maximal selection principle. Under the maximal selections of the parameters the convergence theorem in the Bergman space is proved. The direct sum decomposition holds as a consequence. To the end of the section we study the convergence rate of the pre-orthogonal adaptive Fourier decomposition.

#### **3.1 Rational Orthogonal System of** A**<sup>2</sup>**

<span id="page-7-1"></span>For any given infinite sequence  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots)$  in the unit disc **D** we have

**Theorem 3.1** *Let*  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots)$  *be an infinite sequence in* **D**, *where each*  $a_n$  *in the* sequence is allowed to repeat. Denote  $A_n = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ . Let the orthonormaliza*tion of*  $(k_{a_1}, \ldots, k_{a_n})$  *be denoted by*  $(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ *. Let*  $w \in \mathbf{D}$  *be different from any a*1,..., *an*. *Then for any positive integer n, (i) the reproducing kernel of the zero-based invariant subspace*  $I_{A_n}$  *is* 

$$
K_{A_n}(z, w) = \sqrt{\|k_w\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n |\langle k_w, B_k \rangle|^2 B_{n+1}^w, K_{A_0}(z, w) = K(z, w)},
$$

*where*  $(B_1, \ldots, B_n, B_{n+1}^w)$  *is the orthonormalization of*  $(\tilde{k}_{a_1}, \ldots, \tilde{k}_{a_n}, k_w)$ ; *(ii) if*  $a_{n+1}$ *coincides with some*  $a_k$ ,  $k = 1, \ldots, n$ , *that is,*  $l(a_{n+1}) > 1$ *, then*  $B_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}$  *defined through*  $\lim_{w\to a_{n+1}} B_{n+1}^w$  *will satisfy* 

$$
\lim_{w \to a_{n+1}} B_{n+1}^w = \frac{\tilde{k}_{a_{n+1}}(z) - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \tilde{k}_{a_{n+1}}, B_k \rangle B_k(z)}{\sqrt{\|\tilde{k}_{a_{n+1}}\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n |\langle \tilde{k}_{a_{n+1}}, B_k \rangle\|^2}}.
$$

*That is,*  $B_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}} = B_{n+1}$ .

*Proof* (i) Let w be none of  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ . Under the Gram–Schmidt (G–S) orthonormalization process,  $B_{n+1}^w$  is given by

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
B_{n+1}^w(z) = \frac{k_w(z) - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle k_w, B_k \rangle B_k(z)}{\sqrt{\|k_w\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n |\langle k_w, B_k \rangle\|^2}}.
$$
(3.1)

We are to show that

$$
K_{A_n}(\cdot, w) = \sqrt{\|k_w\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n |\langle k_w, B_k \rangle|^2} B_{n+1}^w(z)
$$
  
=  $k_w - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle k_w, B_k \rangle B_k(z)$ 

is the reproducing kernel of  $I_{A_n}$ . To this end we will show (1)  $K_{A_n}(\cdot, w)$  itself belongs to the space  $I_{A_n}$ ; and (2) For  $f = \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} g$ ,  $g \in \mathbb{A}^2$ , there holds  $\langle f, K_{A_n}(\cdot, w) \rangle =$  $f(w)$ .

Now we show (1). From the construction of  $K_{A_n}(\cdot, w)$  we know that it is orthogonal with all  $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ , and hence orthogonal with all  $k_{a_1}, \ldots, k_{a_n}$ . Let *a* be a complex number appearing in the sequence  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  with the repetition number *l*. Then  $k_a$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} k_a$ ,...,  $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)^{l-1} k_a$  will appear in  $\tilde{k}_{a_1}, \ldots, \tilde{k}_{a_n}$ . By invoking [\(2.2\)](#page-5-0), the function  $\partial \overline{w}$ *K*<sub>*A<sub>n</sub>*</sub>(*·*, *w*) being orthogonal with  $k_a$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} k_a$ , ...,  $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)^{l-1} k_a$  means that  $K_{A_n}(a, w)$  =  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} K_{A_n}(a, w) = \cdots = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^{l-1} K_{A_n}(a, w) = 0$ . The latter implies that  $K_{A_n}(z, w)$ has the multiplicative factor  $(z - a)^l$ . By applying this argument for all *a* appearing in the sequence  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  we obtain that for each w,  $K_{A_n}(\cdot, w)$  is in  $I_{A_n}$ .

Next we show (2), that is, for  $f = \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} g$ ,  $g \in \mathbb{A}^2$ , there holds  $\langle f, K_{A_n}(\cdot, w) \rangle = f(w)$ . We first claim that

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\langle \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} g, \tilde{k}_{a_k} \rangle = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \tag{3.2}
$$

Let  $a_k$  repeat *l* times in  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  and  $l(a_k)$  times in  $(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ . We always have  $l \ge l(a_k)$ . In such notation  $(\phi_{a_k})^l$  is a factor of  $\phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n}$ , and for some  $h \in$  $\mathbb{A}^2$ ,  $\phi_{a_k}^l h = \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} g$ . By [\(2.2\)](#page-5-0), we have

$$
\langle \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} g, \tilde{k}_{a_k} \rangle = \langle \phi_{a_k}^l h, \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{w}} \right)^{l(a_k)-1} (k_w) |_{w=a_k} \rangle
$$
  
=  $(\phi_{a_k}^l h)^{(l(a_k)-1)} (a_k)$   
= 0.

Since each  $B_k$  is a linear combination of  $(k_{a_1}, \ldots, k_{a_k})$ , we have, owing to [\(3.2\)](#page-8-0),

$$
\langle \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} g, K_n(\cdot, w) \rangle = \langle \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} g, k_w - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle k_w, B_k \rangle B_k(z) \rangle
$$
  

$$
= \langle \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} g, k_w \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \langle \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} g, \tilde{k}_{a_k} \rangle
$$
  

$$
= \phi_{a_1}(w) \cdots \phi_{a_n}(w) g(w)
$$
  

$$
= f(w).
$$

Therefore,  $K_n(z, w)$  is the reproducing kernel of  $I_{A_n}$ . The proof of (2) is complete.

Now we prove (ii) that treats  $l = l(a_{n+1}) > 1$ . This means that the  $l - 1$  terms  $k_{a_{n+1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{w}} k_{a_{n+1}}, \ldots, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{w}}\right)^{(l-2)} k_{a_{n+1}},$  as functions of the *z* variable, have appeared in the sequence  $(k_{a_1}, \ldots, k_{a_n})$ . Therefore, the function

$$
k_{a_{n+1}}+\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{w}}k_{a_{n+1}}}{1!}(\overline{w}-\overline{a}_{n+1})+\cdots+\frac{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{w}}\right)^{l-2}k_{a_{n+1}}}{(l-2)!}(\overline{w}-\overline{a}_{n+1})^{l-2},
$$

as the order-(*l* − 2) Taylor expansion of the function  $k_w(z)$  at  $\overline{w} = \overline{a}_{n+1}$  is already in the linear span of  $B_1$ ,...,  $B_n$ . Denoting it by  $T_{l-2}(k_w, a_{n+1})$ , the last mentioned assertion amounts to the relation

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
T_{l-2}(k_w, a_{n+1}) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle T_{l-2}(k_w, a_{n+1}), B_k \rangle B_k = 0.
$$
 (3.3)

For w being different from all  $a_k$ ,  $k = 1, \ldots, n$ , we have, owing to [\(3.1\)](#page-7-0),

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
\frac{k_w(z) - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle k_w, B_k \rangle B_k(z)}{\|k_w - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle k_w, B_k \rangle B_k\|} = B_{n+1}^w(z),\tag{3.4}
$$

Inserting [\(3.3\)](#page-9-0) into [\(3.4\)](#page-9-1), and dividing by  $(\overline{w} - \overline{a}_{n+1})^{l-1}$  and  $|\overline{w} - \overline{a}_{n+1}|^{l-1}$  to the numerator and the denominator, respectively, we have

$$
\frac{\frac{k_w(z) - T_{l-2}(k_w, a_{n+1})(z)}{(\overline{w} - \overline{a}_{n+1})^{l-1}} - \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{k_w - T_{l-2}(k_w, a_{n+1})(z)}{(\overline{w} - \overline{a}_{n+1})^{l-1}}, B_k \right) B_k(z)}{\left( \overline{w} - \overline{a}_{n+1} \right)^{l-1}} = -e^{(l-1)\theta} B_{n+1}^w(z),
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\left| \frac{k_w(z) - T_{l-2}(k_w, a_{n+1})(z)}{(\overline{w} - \overline{a}_{n+1})^{l-1}} - \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{k_w(z) - T_{l-2}(k_w, a_{n+1})(z)}{(\overline{w} - \overline{a}_{n+1})^{l-1}}, B_k \right) B_k \right|}{(\overline{w} - \overline{a}_{n+1})^{l-1}} = -e^{(l-1)\theta} B_{n+1}^w(z),
$$
\n(3.5)

where  $\overline{w} - \overline{a}_{n+1} = |\overline{w} - \overline{a}_{n+1}|e^{i\theta}$ . Letting  $w \to a_{n+1}$ , keeping the direction  $e^{i\theta}$ , and using the Lagrange type remainder, we obtain

$$
e^{(l-1)\theta} \frac{\tilde{k}_{a_{n+1}}(z) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle \tilde{k}_{a_{n+1}}, B_k \rangle B_k(z)}{\|\tilde{k}_{a_{n+1}} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle \tilde{k}_{a_{n+1}}, B_k \rangle B_k\|} = B_{n+1}(z).
$$

This shows that the G–S orthonormalization of  $(k_{a_1}, \ldots, k_{a_{n+1}})$  is  $(B_1, \ldots, B_{n+1})$ . The proof of (ii) is complete.  $\Box$ 

In [\[6](#page-24-1)] the author obtains analogous results with the assumption that all  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ are distinct.

Recalling that

$$
I_{\mathbf{a}} = \{ f \in \mathbb{A}^2 : f(\mathbf{a}) = 0 \},
$$

we have

**Theorem 3.2** *Let* **a** *be any sequence in* **D** *and h the infinite error function*

$$
h = f - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, B_k \rangle B_k.
$$
 (3.6)

*Then there holds*  $h \in I_a$ *. Moreover,* 

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{A}^2 = \overline{\text{span}} \{ B_k \}_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus I_{\mathbf{a}}.\tag{3.7}
$$

*Proof* First, the Riesz–Fisher Theorem gives

$$
h = f - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, B_k \rangle B_k \in \mathbb{A}^2.
$$

Next, the fact *h*  $\perp$  *B<sub>k</sub>* for all *k* implies *h*  $\perp$  *k*<sub>*a<sub>k</sub>*</sub> for all *k* that further implies that *h* has **a** as its zeros including the multiples. So, the LHS of [\(3.7\)](#page-9-2) is a subset of the RHD. The inverse inclusion is obvious. The proof is complete.

The last theorem does not exclude the case  $I_a = \{0\}$ . For such case we have

**Corollary 3.3**  ${B_k}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  *is a basis if and only if*  $I_{\mathbf{a}} = \{0\}$ *.* 

It is an interesting question that for what **a** there holds  $I_a \neq \{0\}$ , and thus  $\{B_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is not a basis. This, however, has been an open question till now. Studies show that no condition only on the magnitudes of  $a_k$ 's can guarantee **a** to be the zero sequence of some non-trivial  $f \in \mathbb{A}^2$ . The following remark is based on the existing literature [\[23](#page-24-18)[,30](#page-25-3)[,32\]](#page-25-4).

*Remark 3.4* Let  $\Omega = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} [0, 2\pi)$ . Let  $\mu_j$  be the normalized Lebesgue measure on the *j*-th factor of  $\Omega$ . Then let  $\mu$  be the probability measure on  $\Omega$  such that  $\mu = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \mu_i$  [30.32]. Consider the map of  $\Omega$  into the holomorphic function set  $H(\mathbf{D})$  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_j[30,32]$  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_j[30,32]$  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_j[30,32]$ . Consider the map of  $\Omega$  into the holomorphic function set  $H(\mathbf{D})$ defined by  $\omega \to H_a$  such that  $a_j = r_j e^{i\omega_j}$  and  $\omega = {\omega_j}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ . Then under the condition

<span id="page-10-1"></span><span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - r_j)^{1+\varepsilon}}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} < 1/4,\tag{3.8}
$$

there holds that  $H_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{A}^2$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $\omega$  [\[30\]](#page-25-3).

Based on the above result, as well as Corollary [3.3,](#page-10-0) we conclude

**Theorem 3.5** *Under the condition* [\(3.8\)](#page-10-1),  ${B_j}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  *is almost surely not a basis.* 

#### **3.2 Formulation of Pre-orthogonal Adaptive Fourier Decomposition in the Bergman Space** A**<sup>2</sup>**

The purpose of this section is to introduce a machinery that gives rise to fast rational approximation to functions in the Bergman space. The machinery is an adaptation of a general method called pre-orthogonal adaptive Fourier decomposition or POAFD for the reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces possessing *the boundary vanish property* (BVP) [\[10](#page-24-5)[,18](#page-24-13)]. It is the pre-orthogonal process that arises repeating selection of parameters, when necessary, and therefore the necessity of successive derivatives of the reproducing kernel.

Let  $f \in \mathbb{A}^2$ . Denote  $f = f_1$ . For the normalized reproducing kernel  $e_{a_1}$  and any  $a_1 \in \mathbf{D}$ , we have the identity

$$
f(z) = \langle f_1, e_{a_1} \rangle e_{a_1}(z) + f_2(z),
$$

where the *standard remainder*  $f_2 = f_1 - \langle f_1, e_{a_1} \rangle e_{a_1}$  is orthogonal with  $e_{a_1}$ . We thus have

$$
||f||^2 = |\langle f_1, e_{a_1} \rangle|^2 + ||f_2||^2.
$$

<span id="page-11-0"></span>The strategy is to maximize the value of  $| \langle f_1, e_{a_1} \rangle |^2$  through selections of  $a_1$ , and thus to minimize the energy of  $f_2$ . Despite of the fact that **D** is an open set, we have

**Lemma 3.6** *For any*  $f \in \mathbb{A}^2$  *there holds* 

$$
\lim_{|b|\to 1} |\langle f, e_b \rangle| = 0.
$$

*As a consequence, there exists*  $a \in \mathbf{D}$  *such that* 

$$
|\langle f, e_a \rangle|^2 = \max\{|\langle f, e_b \rangle|^2 : b \in \mathbf{D}\}.
$$

*Proof* The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$
|\langle f, e_b \rangle| \leq ||f||.
$$

Therefore  $|\langle f, e_h \rangle|$  has a finite upper bound.

For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , due to density of polynomials in the Bergman space, there exists a polynomial *g* such that

$$
||f-g|| \leq \varepsilon.
$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$
|\langle f, e_b \rangle| \le |\langle g, e_b \rangle| + \varepsilon = (1 - |b|^2)|g(b)| + \varepsilon.
$$

The last quantity tends to zero as  $|b| \to 1$ . A Bolzano–Weierstrass type compact then concludes that  $|(f, e_h)|$  attains the maximum value at an interior point. concludes that  $|\langle f, e_b \rangle|$  attains the maximum value at an interior point.

For  $f_1 \in \mathbb{A}^2$  choose  $a_1$  such that

$$
|\langle f_1, e_{a_1}\rangle|^2 = \max\left\{|\langle f_1, e_b\rangle|^2 : b \in \mathbf{D}\right\}.
$$

Fixing such  $a_1$  and letting  $f_{n+1}(z) = f_n - \langle f_n, B_n^b \rangle B_n^b(z)$ , we have the identity

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
f(z) = \langle f_1, B_1 \rangle B_1(z) + \dots + \langle f_{n-1}, B_{n-1} \rangle B_{n-1}(z) + \langle f_n, B_n^b \rangle B_n^b(z) + f_{n+1},
$$
 (3.9)

where  $B_1 = e_{a_1}$ , and  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ , are preciously selected,  $\{B_1, \ldots, B_{n-1}\}$  is the G–S orthonormalization of  $\{\tilde{k}_{a_1}, \ldots, \tilde{k}_{a_{n-1}}\}$ , and  $\{B_1, \ldots, B_{n-1}, B_n^b\}$  is the G–S orthonormalization of  $\{B_1, \ldots, B_{n-1}, k_b\}$ . For the time being we assume *b* is not among  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ , that is  $l(b) = 1$  and  $\tilde{k}_b = k_b$ . Expressing  $B_n^b$  by [\(3.1\)](#page-7-0), due to the orthogonality between  $f_n$  and  $B_k$ ,  $k = 1, \ldots, n - 1$ , we have

$$
\langle f_n, B_n^b \rangle = \left\langle f_n, \frac{e_b}{\sqrt{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |\langle e_b, B_k \rangle|^2}} \right\rangle.
$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to  $|\langle f_n, B_n^b \rangle|$ , we have that the complex module of the above inner product, first, has a finite upper bound independent of  $b \in D$ . We will show that the module of the complex number, depending on *b*, reaches its global maximum at an interior point  $b = a_n \in \mathbf{D}$ . To this end it suffices to show that the module tends to zero as  $|b| \rightarrow 1$ . The quantity can be decomposed into two parts, as

$$
\left\langle f_n, \frac{e_b}{\sqrt{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |\langle e_b, B_k \rangle|^2}} \right\rangle = \left\langle f_n, \frac{e_b}{\sqrt{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |\langle e_b, B_k \rangle|^2}} - e_b \right\rangle + \left\langle f_n, e_b \right\rangle
$$
  
=  $I_1(b) + I_2(b)$ .

We have

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
|I_1(b)| \le \int_{\mathbf{D}} |f_n||e_b| \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |\langle e_b, B_k \rangle|^2}} - 1 \right) dA. \tag{3.10}
$$

Using Lemma [3.6](#page-11-0) to each term  $|\langle e_b, B_k \rangle|$  of the summation, we obtain that, if  $|b|$  is close to 1, the difference in the round brackets is dominated by any previously set  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By taking this  $\varepsilon$ -domination out from [\(3.10\)](#page-12-0) and applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the rest integration on  $|f_n||e_b|$ , we conclude

$$
\lim_{|b|\to 1-} I_1(b) = 0.
$$

The maximal selection Lemma [3.6](#page-11-0) implies  $\lim_{|b| \to 1^-} I_2(b) = 0$ . To summarize, we have

$$
\lim_{|b|\to 1-} |\langle f_n, B_n^b \rangle| = 0. \tag{3.11}
$$

The process to reach and attain the maximal value of  $|\langle f_n, B_n^b \rangle|$  can always be made from a sequence  $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  in **D**, where for each  $k, b_k \neq a_l, l = 1, \ldots, n$ , and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} b_k = a_n$ . If  $a_n \neq a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ , i.e.,  $l(a_n) = 1$ , then one simply has  $(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$  =  $(B_1, \ldots, B_n^{a_n})$ , the latter being the G–S orthonormalization of  $(k_{a_1}, \ldots, k_{a_{n-1}}, k_{a_n})$ . If,  $l(a_n) > 1$ , then according to Theorem [3.1,](#page-7-1) one also has  $(B_1, \ldots, B_n) = (B_1, \ldots, B_n^{a_n})$ , but the latter is the G–S orthonormalization of  $(k_{a_1}, \ldots, k_{a_n})$ . Fixing such a maximal selection  $a_n$  we have that  $f_{n+1}$ defined by  $(3.9)$  is in the orthogonal complement of the span of  $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ , and  $\langle f_1, B_1 \rangle B_1 + \cdots + \langle f_n, B_n \rangle B_n$  is the orthogonal projection of f into the span of  $(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ . We therefore have

$$
||f||^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n |\langle f, B_k \rangle|^2 + ||f_{n+1}||^2.
$$

We note that  $f_{n+1} \in I_{\{a_1, ..., a_n\}}$ .

The above argument is summarized as the maximal selection principle stated as

**Theorem 3.7** *For any*  $f \in \mathbb{A}^2$  *and any positive integer n there exists*  $a_n \in \mathbf{D}$  *such that* 

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
\left| \left\langle f, B_{n}^{a_{n}} \right\rangle \right|^{2} = \sup \left\{ \left| \left\langle f, B_{n}^{b} \right\rangle \right|^{2} : b \in \mathbf{D} \right\},\tag{3.12}
$$

*where for any*  $b \in D$ ,  $(B_1, \ldots, B_{n-1}, B_n^b)$  *is the G–S orthogonalization of*  $(k_{a_1}, \ldots, k_{a_{n-1}}, k_b)$  corresponding to the *n*-tuple  $(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b)$ .

<span id="page-13-0"></span>We next prove

**Theorem 3.8** Let  $f$  be any function in  $\mathbb{A}^2$ . Under the maximal selections of the param*eters*  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n, \cdots)$  *there holds* 

$$
f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, B_k \rangle B_k.
$$

*Proof* We prove the desired convergence by contradiction. Assume that through a sequence of maximally selected parameters  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n, \cdots)$  we have

$$
f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, B_k \rangle B_k + h, \quad h \neq 0.
$$
 (3.13)

By the Bessel inequality and the Riesz–Fisher Theorem  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, B_k \rangle B_k \in \mathbb{A}^2$ , and hence  $h \in \mathbb{A}^2$ . We separate the series into two parts

$$
f = \sum_{k=1}^{M} + \sum_{k=M+1}^{\infty} \langle f, B_k \rangle B_k + h,
$$

where by our notation,

$$
f_{M+1} = \sum_{k=M+1}^{\infty} \langle f, B_k \rangle B_k + h = g_{M+1} + h.
$$

In the last equality, due to the orthogonality, we may replace  $f$  with  $f_k$  and have

$$
f_{M+1} = \sum_{k=M+1}^{\infty} \langle f_k, B_k \rangle B_k + h.
$$

Since the set of the reproducing kernels of  $A^2$  is dense, there exists  $a \in \mathbf{D}$  such that  $\delta \triangleq |\langle h, e_a \rangle| > 0$ . We can, in particular, choose *a* to be different from all the  $a_k$ 's in the sequence **a**. The contradiction that we are going to introduce will be in relation to the maximal selections of  $a_{M+1}$  when *M* is large. Now, on one hand, the Bessel inequality implies

$$
\lim_{k\to\infty} |\langle f_k, B_k \rangle| = 0,
$$

and thus the maximal selection of  $a_{M+1}$  implies

<span id="page-14-3"></span>
$$
|\langle f_{M+1}, B_{M+1}^a \rangle| \le |\langle f_{M+1}, B_{M+1} \rangle| \to 0
$$
, as  $M \to \infty$ . (3.14)

On the other hand, as we will show, for large *M*,

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
|\langle f_{M+1}, B_{M+1}^a \rangle| > \frac{\delta}{2}.
$$
 (3.15)

This is then clearly a contradiction.

The rest part of the proof is devoted to showing [\(3.15\)](#page-14-0). Due to the quantity relations

<span id="page-14-2"></span>
$$
|\langle f_{M+1}, B_{M+1}^a \rangle| \ge |\langle h, B_{M+1}^a \rangle| - |\langle g_{M+1}, B_{M+1}^a \rangle| \tag{3.16}
$$

and

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
|\langle g_{M+1}, B_{M+1}^a \rangle| \le ||g_{M+1}|| \to 0
$$
, as  $M \to \infty$ , (3.17)

we see that if *M* grows large, the lower bounds of  $|\langle f_{M+1}, B_{M+1}^a \rangle|$  depend on those of  $|\langle h, B_{M+1}^a \rangle|$ . To analyze the lower bounds of  $|\langle h, B_{M+1}^a \rangle|$ , for any positive integer *M*, denote by  $X_{M+1}^a$  the  $(M + 1)$ -dimensional space spanned by  $\{e_a, \tilde{k}_{a_1}, \ldots, \tilde{k}_{a_M}\}\)$ . We have two methods to compute the energy of the projection of *h* into  $X_{M+1}^a$ , denoted by  $\|h/X_{M+1}^a\|^2$ . One method is based on the orthonormalization (*B*<sub>1</sub>, ..., *B<sub>M</sub>*, *B*<sub>*M*<sup>+1</sup>)</sub>. In such way, due to the orthogonality of *h* with  $B_1, \ldots, B_M$ , we have

$$
||h/X_{M+1}^a||^2 = |\langle h, B_{M+1}^a \rangle|^2.
$$

The second way is based on the orthonormalization in the order  $(e_a, k_{a_1}, \ldots, k_{a_M})$ . Then we have

$$
||h/X_{M+1}^a||^2 \geq |\langle h, e_a \rangle|^2 = \delta^2.
$$

Hence we have, for *M*,  $|\langle h, B_{M+1}^a \rangle| \ge \delta$ . In view of this last estimation and [\(3.17\)](#page-14-1),  $(3.16)$ , we arrive at the contradiction spelt by  $(3.15)$ ,  $(3.14)$ . The proof is thus complete.  $\Box$ 

We note that in [\[6\]](#page-24-1) the author studies expansions of functions in the orthogonal complement of a zeros based invariant subspace where the distinguished zeros are assumed to be an interpolation sequence. In our setting the zero sequence, allowing multiples, is not preassumed to form an interpolating sequence and gives rise to fastconvergence-representation of the function to be expanded.

#### **3.3 Convergence Rate of Pre-orthogonal Adaptive Fourier Decomposition**

The POAFD approach is not classified into any existing category of the greedy algorithms. The differences between the methods in the AFD category, including POAFD, and the greedy algorithms include (i) In the AFD methods the parameters can be repeatedly selected; and (ii) At each of the iterative steps the maximal projection can be attained. Those, therefore, in general, offer approximation better than what are called greedy algorithms [\[10](#page-24-5)]. Below we prove the corresponding convergence rate.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>Before we prove the convergence rate estimation we recall the following lemma whose proof can be found in the greedy algorithm literature [\[10](#page-24-5)].

**Lemma 3.9** *Assume that a sequence of positive numbers satisfies the conditions*

$$
d_1 \le A, \quad d_{k+1} \le d_k \left(1 - \frac{d_k}{r_k^2 A}\right), \quad k = 1, 2, ...
$$

*Then there holds*

$$
d_k \le \frac{A}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{r_l^2}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots
$$

For  $M > 0$  we will be working with the subclass  $\mathbb{A}^2_M$  of  $\mathbb{A}^2$  defined as

$$
\mathbb{A}^2_{M} = \{ f \in \mathbb{A}^2 : \text{there exists } \{b_1, \ldots, b_n, \ldots \}, f = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} c_l e_{b_l}, \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |c_l| \le M \}.
$$

**Theorem 3.10** *Let*  $f = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} c_l e_{b_l} \in \mathbb{A}^2 M$ , and  $f_n$  be the orthogonal standard *remainder corresponding to the maximal selections of the*  $a_k$ *'s, then there exists estimation*

$$
|| f_k || \leq M \left( 1 + \sum_{l=1}^k \left( \frac{1}{r_l} \right)^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}},
$$

*where*  $r_k = \sup\{r_k(b_l) : l = 1, 2, ...\}$ , *and*  $r_k(b_l) = \sqrt{1 - \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} |\langle e_{b_l}, B_t \rangle|^2}$  $||e_{b_l} - \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \langle e_{b_l}, B_t \rangle B_t||.$ 

*Proof* We start from the inequality chain

$$
|\langle f_k, B_k \rangle| \ge \sup \{ \left| \langle f_k, B_k^a \rangle \right| : a \in \mathbf{D} \}
$$
  
 
$$
\ge \sup \{ \left| \langle f_k, B_k^{b_l} \rangle \right| : l = 1, 2, \dots \}
$$
  

$$
= \sup \{ \frac{|\langle f_k, e_{b_l} \rangle|}{r_k(b_l)} : l = 1, 2, \dots \}
$$

$$
\geq \frac{1}{r_k} \sup \{ |\langle f_k, e_{b_l} \rangle| : l = 1, 2, \ldots \}
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \frac{1}{r_k M} \left| \left\langle f_k, \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} c_l e_{b_l} \right\rangle \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{r_k M} |\langle f_k, f \rangle|
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{r_k M} |\langle f_k, f_k \rangle| \quad \text{(since } f_k \perp (f - f_k))
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{\|f_k\|^2}{r_k M}.
$$

Substituting this inequality into the relation

$$
|| f_{k+1}||^2 = || f_k ||^2 - |\langle f_k, B_k \rangle|^2,
$$

we have

$$
||f_{k+1}||^2 \leq ||f_k||^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{(r_k M)^2} ||f_k||^2\right).
$$

By invoking Lemma [3.9,](#page-15-0) we have the desired estimation

$$
|| f_k || \leq \frac{M^2}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{r_l^2}}}.
$$

The proof is complete.

*Remark 3.11* Since  $0 < r_k \leq 1$ , we have, at least

$$
||f_k|| \leq \frac{M^2}{\sqrt{k}}.
$$

Coincidentally this is the same bound as for the Shannon expansion. Shannon expansion, however, treats bandlimited entire functions with great smoothness that is what is usually needed for good convergence rates. On the other hand, the Bergman space contains functions that blow up at the boundary.

*Remark 3.12* With regards to the direct sum decomposition [\(3.7\)](#page-9-2) what we can say in relation to Theorem [3.8](#page-13-0) is that  $f \in \overline{\text{span}}\{B_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  with fast convergence, while the maximally selected sequence **a** may or may not give rise to a basis.

#### <span id="page-17-0"></span>**4 The Bergman Space on the Upper Half Complex Plane**

The theory on the upper half complex plane  $C_+$  is a close analogy with the one for the unit disc. Denote by  $\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$  the square integrable Bergman space of the upper half complex plane  $C_+$ . That is,

$$
\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbf{C}_+) = \left\{ f : \mathbf{C}_+ \to \mathbf{C} \mid f \text{ is holomorphic in } \mathbf{C}_+, \text{ and}
$$

$$
\|f\|_{\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbf{C}_+)}^2 = \int_{\mathbf{C}_+} |f(z)|^2 dA < \infty \right\}.
$$

The reproducing kernel  $k_a^+$  of  $\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$  at the point  $a \in \mathbb{C}_+$  is given by  $k_a^+(z) = \frac{-1}{(z-\overline{a})^2}$ [\[33](#page-25-5)[,34](#page-25-6)]. Moreover,  $||k_a^+||^2 = k_a^+(a) = \frac{1}{(2\text{Im}(a))^2}$  and the normalized kernel  $e_a^+ =$ *k*+ *<sup>a</sup>* (*a*)  $\frac{k_a^+(a)}{|k_a^+||} = \frac{-2\text{Im}\{a\}}{(z-\overline{a})^2}$ , where Im{*a*} denotes the imaginary part of *a*. In the later part of this section we will write, for simplicity but with abuse of notation,  $k_a^+$ ,  $e_a^+$ , as  $k_a$ ,  $e_a$ , etc.

**Lemma 4.1** span $\{\frac{1}{(z-\overline{a})^2} \mid a \in \mathbf{C}_+\}$  *is dense in*  $\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbf{C}_+)$ .

*Proof* Let  $A = \overline{\text{span}}\{\frac{1}{(z-\overline{a})^2} \mid a \in \mathbb{C}_+\}$ , we claim that  $A = \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$ . If this does not hold, then

$$
\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbf{C}_+) = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^\perp \text{ and } \mathcal{A}^\perp \neq \{0\}.
$$

Thus, there exists  $f \in A^{\perp}$  and  $f \neq 0$ . In such case due to the reproducing kernel property we have  $\langle f, \frac{1}{(z-\overline{a})^2} \rangle = f(a) = 0$  for every  $a \in \mathbb{C}_+$ . It follows that  $f = 0$ , a contradiction.

**Lemma 4.2** *For any*  $f \in \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$  *there holds* 

$$
\lim_{b \to \partial \mathbf{C}_+} |\langle f, e_b \rangle| = 0,
$$

*and there exists a*  $\in \mathbb{C}_+$  *such that* 

$$
|\langle f, e_a \rangle|^2 = \max\{|\langle f, e_b \rangle|^2 : b \in \mathbf{C}_+\}.
$$

*Proof* The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$
|\langle f, e_b \rangle| \leq ||f||.
$$

Therefore  $|\langle f, e_b \rangle|$  has a finite upper bound. There exists a sequence  $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that

<span id="page-17-1"></span>
$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} |\langle f, e_{b_k} \rangle| = \sup \{ |\langle f, e_b \rangle| \mid b \in \mathbb{C}_+ \}. \tag{4.1}
$$

We will prove there exists a subsequence  ${b_k}_i$  $>^{\infty}_{i=1}$  converging to  $b \in \mathbb{C}_+$ . Then we have  $|\langle f, e_{\tilde{b}} \rangle| = \lim_{l \to \infty} |\langle f, e_{b_{k_l}} \rangle| = \sup \{ |\langle f, e_b \rangle| | b \in \mathbb{C}_+ \}$ , as desired. It suffices to show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an open neighborhood  $B(\delta, R)$  of the boundary of  $C^+$ , where  $B(\delta, R) = \{b \in C^+ \mid \text{Im}\{b\} < \delta\} \cup \{b \in C^+ \mid |b| > R\}$ , such that  $| \langle f, e_b \rangle | < \varepsilon$  whenever  $b \in B(\delta, R)$ . As a consequence of this, as well as of the relation [\(4.1\)](#page-17-1), when *k* grows large those  $b_k$  must stay in a compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}^+$ , and thus one can choose a subsequence of  ${b_{k_l}}$ , according to the Bolzano–Weierstrass Theorem, converging to a point  $\tilde{b}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^+$ .

For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , since span $\{\frac{1}{(z-\overline{a})^2} \mid a \in \mathbb{C}_+\}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$ , there exists a function *g* of the form

$$
g = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{c_k}{(z - \overline{a}_k)^2}, \quad a_k \in \mathbf{C}_+, \quad k = 1, \dots, m,
$$

such that

$$
||f-g|| \le \varepsilon/2.
$$

As for the unit disc case, the triangle inequality and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality give

$$
|\langle f, e_b \rangle| \le |\langle g, e_b \rangle| + \varepsilon/2.
$$

On one hand, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that Im $\{b\} < \delta$  implies  $|\langle g, e_b \rangle| < \varepsilon/2$ . To show this, denote  $\min{\{\text{Im}\{a_k\}}\}_{k=1}^m = \delta_1 > 0$ , we have

$$
|\langle g, e_b \rangle| = 2\mathrm{Im}\{b\} \mid \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{c_k}{(b - \overline{a}_k)^2} |
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq 2\mathrm{Im}\{b\} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{|c_k|}{|b - \overline{a}_k|^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq 2\delta \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{|c_k|}{(\frac{\delta_1}{2})^2} \leq \varepsilon/2,
$$

if  $\delta$  is small enough.

On the other hand, let  $\max\{|a_k|\}_{k=1}^m = R_1 > 0$ , we will show there exists *R* such that  $|b| > R$  implies  $|\langle g, e_b \rangle| < \varepsilon/2$ . Let  $|b|$  > 4 $R_1$ . There holds  $|b - \overline{a}_k|$  ≥  $|b|/2$ . Hence

$$
|\langle g, e_b \rangle| \le 2 \text{Im}\{b\} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{|c_k|}{|b - \overline{a}_k|^2} \le 2|b| \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{4|c_k|}{|b|^2} = \frac{8}{|b|} \sum_{k=1}^m |c_k|.
$$

So, if  $|b| > R$ , and *R* is large enough and, in particular, larger than  $4R_1$ , then the last quantity is dominated by  $\varepsilon/2$ . quantity is dominated by  $\varepsilon/2$ .

Note that ∂**C**<sup>+</sup> is defined to be **R** ∪ ∞, and open neighborhoods of ∂**C**<sup>+</sup> are  $B(\delta, R) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^+ \mid \text{Im} z < \delta, \text{ or } |z| > R\}, \delta > 0, R > 0.$  By *b* being further close to  $\partial C^+$  we mean that *b* is in  $B(\delta', R')$  with  $\delta' < \delta$ ,  $R' > R$ . The above proved is regarded as boundary vanishing property of  $\langle f, e_b \rangle$ , and denoted

$$
\lim_{b \to \partial \mathbf{C}_+} |\langle f, e_b \rangle| = 0.
$$

As in the unit disc Bergman space case we have the maximal selection principle on  $C_{+}$ :

**Theorem 4.3** *For any*  $f \in \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$  *and positive integer k there exists*  $a_k \in \mathbb{C}_+$  *such that*

$$
|\langle f, B_k^{a_k} \rangle|^2 = \sup \{ |\langle f, B_k^b \rangle|^2 : b \in \mathbb{C}_+ \},
$$

*where for any*  $b \in C_+$ ,  $(B_1, \ldots, B_{k-1}, B_k^b)$  *is the G–S orthogonalization of*  $(B_1, \ldots, B_{k-1}, k_b)$  *corresponding to the k-tuple*  $(a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}, b)$ *, where*  $k_b$  *is defined similarly with [\(2.1\)](#page-5-1).*

Then we can develop the POAFD algorithm along with the adaptive rational orthogonal system of the Bergman space in the upper-half complex plane. With a proof similar with that of Theorem [3.8](#page-13-0) we have

**Theorem 4.4** *Let f be any function in*  $\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$ *. Under maximal selections of the parameters*  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots)$  *there holds* 

$$
f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, B_k \rangle B_k.
$$

# <span id="page-19-0"></span> $\bf 5$  Weighted Bergman Spaces  $\mathbb{A}_{\boldsymbol \alpha}^{\boldsymbol 2}$  with  $-1 < \boldsymbol \alpha < \infty$

In this section we study general weighted Bergman spaces  $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^2$ ,  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ . We adopt the notation

$$
\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^{2}(\mathbf{D}) = \left\{ f : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{C} \mid f \text{ is holomorphic in } \mathbf{D}, \text{ and}
$$

$$
\|f\|_{\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^{2}(\mathbf{D})}^{2} = \int_{\mathbf{D}} |f(z)|^{2} dA_{\alpha} < \infty \right\},
$$

where  $dA_{\alpha}(z) = (1 + \alpha)(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha} dA(z)$ . With the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^2(\mathbf{D})}^2$  the space  $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^2$  is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space [\[22\]](#page-24-17). The reproducing kernel and its norm are given, respectively, by

$$
k_a^{\alpha}(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - \overline{a}z)^{2+\alpha}} \text{ and } ||k_a||^2 = k_a(a) = \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^{2+}}.
$$
 (5.1)

In this section we again denote by  $(B_1, \ldots, B_k)$  the G–S orthonormalization of  $(k_{a_1}^{\alpha}, \ldots, k_{a_k}^{\alpha})$ , where  $k_{a_j}^{\alpha}$  is defined as in [\(2.1\)](#page-5-1) depending on the multiple of  $a_j$  in  $(a_1, \ldots, a_j)$ , denoted  $l(a_j)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .

If  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in \mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^2$ , then a simple computation gives

$$
||f||_{\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^{2}}^{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k! \Gamma(\alpha+2)}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+2)} |a_{k}|^{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(\alpha+1+k)(\alpha+k)\cdots(\alpha+2)} |a_{k}|^{2} (5.2)
$$

(Also see [\[22](#page-24-17)]). When  $\alpha$  is an integer, it is identical with  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+2)}{(k+\alpha+1)\cdots(k+1)} |a_k|^2$  and, when  $\alpha = 0$ , it reduces to  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k+1} |a_k|^2$ , corresponding to the classical Bergman space. As in the proof of Lemma [3.6,](#page-11-0) the property  $\lim_{a\to\partial D} ||k_a^{\alpha}|| \to \infty$  implies the BVP of  $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^2$ . With a proof similar to the classical case we can prove the maximal selection principle for  $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha}^2$ :

**Theorem 5.1** *For any*  $f \in \mathbb{A}^2_\alpha$  *and positive integer k there exists*  $a_k \in \mathbf{D}$  *such that* 

$$
|\langle f, B_k^{a_k}\rangle|^2 = \sup\{|\langle f, B_k^b\rangle|^2 : b \in \mathbf{D}\},\
$$

*where for any*  $b$  ∈ **D**,  $(B_1, ..., B_{k-1}, B_k^b)$  *is the G–S orthonormalization of*  $(B_1, \ldots, B_{k-1}, \tilde{k}_{b}^{\alpha})$  *corresponding to the k-tuple*  $(a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}, b)$ .

The proof of Theorem [3.8](#page-13-0) can be adapted to the weighted Bergman space cases. There thus holds

**Theorem 5.2** Let f be any function in  $\mathbb{A}^2_\alpha$ . Under the maximal selections of the param*eters*  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots)$  *there holds* 

$$
f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, B_k \rangle B_k.
$$

# <span id="page-20-0"></span>**6** Weighted Hardy Spaces  $\mathbb{H}^2_\beta$  with  $\beta$  > 0

In the last section, we have discussed the weighted Bergman spaces, which correspond to the weighted Hardy space  $\mathbb{H}^2_\beta$  with  $\beta < 0$ . Now we consider the weighted Hardy spaces  $\mathbb{H}_{\beta}^2$  with  $\beta > 0$ . Let  $f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ . Recall that the weighted Hardy spaces  $\mathbb{H}^2_{\beta}$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , are defined as

$$
\mathbb{H}_{\beta}^{2}(\mathbf{D}) = \left\{ f : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{C} \mid f \text{ is holomorphic in } \mathbf{D}, \text{ and}
$$

$$
\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}_{\beta}^{2}(\mathbf{D})}^{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^{\beta} |c_{k}|^{2} < \infty \right\},
$$

and the reproducing kernels are

$$
k^{\beta}(a, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)^{\beta}} (\overline{a}z)^{k}.
$$

Moreover,  $||k^{\beta}(a, z)||^2 = k^{\beta}(a, a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)^{\beta}} |a|^{2k}$ . As the former cases, denote by  $e^{\beta}_{a}$  the normalized reproducing kernel, that is  $e^{\beta}_{a}(z) = k^{\beta}(a, z)/\|k^{\beta}(a, z)\|$ . We note that for  $\beta > 0$  the imbedding  $\mathbb{H}^2_\beta \subset \mathbb{H}^2$  is continuous.

<span id="page-21-0"></span>We will show that for  $0 < \beta \le 1$  the spaces  $\mathbb{H}^2_\beta$  enjoy the BVP, and as a consequence, have maximal selection principle; whereas for  $\beta > 1$  they do not.

**Lemma 6.1** *Assume*  $\beta \in (0, 1]$ *. For any*  $f \in \mathbb{H}_{\beta}^2$  *there exists*  $a \in \mathbf{D}$  *such that* 

$$
|\langle f, e_a \rangle|^2 = \max\{|\langle f, e_b \rangle|^2 : b \in \mathbf{D}\}.
$$

*Proof* The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$
|\langle f, e_b \rangle| \leq ||f||.
$$

Therefore  $|\langle f, e_b \rangle|$  has a finite upper bound.

For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , since polynomials are dense in  $\mathbb{H}^2_\beta$ , there exists a polynomial g such that

$$
||f-g|| \leq \varepsilon.
$$

It follows

$$
|\langle f, e_b \rangle| \le |\langle g, e_b \rangle| + \varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|b|^{2k}}{(k+1)^{\beta}}}} |g(b)| + \varepsilon.
$$

Since  $0 < \beta \le 1$ , the infinite series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|b|^{2k}}{(k+1)^{\beta}}$  is unbounded as  $|b| \to 1$ . Hence, the right hand side of the last inequality tends to  $\varepsilon$  as  $|b| \to 1$ . As a consequence,  $|(f, e_h)|$  attains the maximum value at an interior point.  $|\langle f, e_b \rangle|$  attains the maximum value at an interior point.

<span id="page-21-1"></span>The following result shows that for  $\beta > 1$  the space  $\mathbb{H}^2_\beta$  does not possess the BVP property.

**Lemma 6.2** *Assume*  $\beta > 1$ *. Then for any non-trivial*  $f \in \mathbb{H}^2_\beta$  *there exists*  $C_f > 0$  *and*  ${a_n}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbf{D}$  *such that*  $\lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n| \to 1$  *and* 

$$
\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty}|\langle f,e_{a_n}\rangle|\geq C_f.
$$

*Proof* For  $a \in \mathbf{D}$ , set

$$
A_{\beta}(a) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a|^{2k}}{(k+1)^{\beta}}}, \quad A_{\beta} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)^{\beta}}}, \quad \alpha_0 = ||f||_{\mathbb{H}^2},
$$

and

<span id="page-22-1"></span>
$$
0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\alpha_0}{2(1 + A_\beta)}.\tag{6.1}
$$

Since  $\beta > 1$ , the above quantities are well defined.

For such an  $\varepsilon$  one can find a polynomial  $g_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $\|f - g_{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2_{\beta}} < \varepsilon$ , and then for any  $a \in \mathbf{D}$ ,

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
|\langle f, e_a \rangle| \ge |\langle g_{\varepsilon}, e_a \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^2_{\beta}}| - \varepsilon
$$
  
= 
$$
\left| \frac{g_{\varepsilon}(a)}{A_{\beta}(a)} \right| - \varepsilon.
$$
 (6.2)

Since the imbedding  $\mathbb{H}^2_{\beta} \subset \mathbb{H}^2$  is continuous,

$$
\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}-\|g_{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}\leq\|f-g_{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}\leq\|f-g_{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2_{\beta}}\leq\varepsilon.
$$

There then follows

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} |g_{\varepsilon}(e^{it})|^2 dt\right)^{1/2} = \|g_{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2} \geq \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^2} - \varepsilon,
$$

where  $g_{\varepsilon}(e^{it})$  denotes the non-tangential boundary limit function of the Hardy space function  $g_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{H}^2$  on  $\partial \mathbf{D}$ . Among the points on the boundary on which the nontangential boundary limit exists, there in particular exists a point *eit*<sup>0</sup> such that

$$
|g_{\varepsilon}(e^{it_0})| \geq ||f||_{\mathbb{H}^2} - \varepsilon,
$$

and a sequence of points  $a_n \in \mathbf{D}$  that non-tangentially tends to  $e^{it_0}$  and

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}g_{\varepsilon}(a_n)=g_{\varepsilon}(e^{it_0}).
$$

From [\(6.2\)](#page-22-0) we obtain, for each *n*,

$$
|\langle f, e_{a_n}\rangle| \geq \left|\frac{g_{\varepsilon}(a_n)}{A_{\beta}(a_n)}\right| - \varepsilon.
$$

Taking limit  $n \to \infty$  on the above sequence of inequalities, we have

$$
\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty}|\langle f, e_{a_n}\rangle|\geq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}-\varepsilon}{A_{\beta}}-\varepsilon=\frac{\alpha_0-\varepsilon}{A_{\beta}}-\varepsilon\geq \frac{\alpha_0}{2A_{\beta}},
$$

if  $\varepsilon < \frac{\alpha_0}{2(1+A_\beta)}$ , being validated by [\(6.1\)](#page-22-1). The proof of the lemma is now complete with  $C_f = \frac{\dot{\alpha}_0}{2A_e}$ .  $\frac{\alpha_0}{2A_\beta}$ .

Based on Lemma [6.1](#page-21-0) one can perform POAFD to  $\mathbb{H}^2_\beta$  for  $\beta \leq 1$  and obtain an adaptive Fourier decomposition that converges at fast pace to the given function. In the Direchlet space case, for instance, corresponding to  $\beta = 1/2$ , one can obtain POAFD type fast decomposition as linear combinations of the parameterized reproducing kernels as well as their one and higher order derivatives in general. For studies on the related zero-based invariant subspaces of the Dirichlet space the reader is referred to [\[24](#page-24-19)[,25](#page-24-20)].

For  $\beta > 1$ , due to unavailability of BVP, proved in Lemma [6.2,](#page-21-1) one cannot directly perform POAFD. At this situation we can proceed with two strategies.

The first strategy makes use of the operator  $\mathfrak{D} = \frac{d}{dz} \circ S$ , where *S* is the forward shift operator  $Sf(z) = zf(z)$ . The operator  $\mathfrak D$  reduces the approximation of  $\mathbb H_\beta^2$ ,  $\beta =$  $[\beta] + \beta'$ , where  $[\beta]$  denotes the maximal integer not exceeding  $\beta$ , to the approximation in  $\mathbb{H}_{\beta'}^2$  or in  $\mathbb{H}_{\beta'-1}^2$ , depending on [ $\beta$ ] is an even or an odd integer, respectively. As a matter of fact, there holds, when  $[\beta]$  is even,  $f \in \mathbb{H}^2_\beta$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{D}^{[\beta]/2} f \in \mathbb{H}^2_\beta$ ; and when  $[\beta]$  is odd,  $f \in \mathbb{H}^2_\beta$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{D}^{([\beta]+1)/2} f \in \mathbb{H}^2_{\beta'-1}$ , the latter being a Bergman space. In each of the two cases, respectively in  $\mathbb{H}^2_{\beta'}$  or  $\mathbb{H}^2_{\beta'-1}$ , the POAFD approximation is available. As a consequence, there exists a partial sum sequence  $Q_n$ , being linear combinations of a sequence of generalized reproducing kernels in the respective space, adaptively at a fast pace converges to  $(\mathfrak{D})^{[\beta]/2} f$  or to  $(\mathfrak{D})^{([\beta]+1)/2} f$ . As a result,  $\mathfrak{D}^{-[\beta]/2}Q_n$  or  $\mathfrak{D}^{-([\beta]+1)/2}Q_n$  converges to *f*. The final convergence is also adaptive in the energy sense and efficient. The operator  $\mathfrak D$  and  $\mathfrak D^{-1}$  can be computationally realized by either using the pair of Fourier multipliers  $\{(k+1)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and  $\{(k+1)^{-1}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  or alternatively using the classical differentiation and integration.

As the second strategy, one can perform Weak-POAFD [\[10](#page-24-5)]. The latter, although not as efficient as POAFD at each step, also gives rise to highly efficient approximations. The difference is, in contrast with [\(3.12\)](#page-13-1), for a given  $0 < \rho < 1$ , to find  $a_n \in \mathbf{D}$ , not coinciding with any of the previously selected *a*1,..., *an*−1, such that

$$
|\langle f, B_n^{a_n}\rangle| \ge \rho \sup\{|\langle f, B_n^b\rangle| : b \in \mathbf{D}\},\
$$

and we set  $(B_1, \ldots, B_{n-1}, B_n)$  to be the Gram–Schmidt orthogonalization of (*B*1,..., *Bn*−1, *ean* ). Weak-POAFD has more available selections of the parameters.

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like to express their thankfulness to Prof. T. Qian and Dr. W. X. Mai for their suggestions and inspired discussions.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-24-0"></span>1. Walsh, J.L.: Interpolation and Approximation by Rational Functions in the Complex Domain, vol. 20. American Mathematical Soc., New York (1935)
- 2. Hedberg, L.I.: Approximation in the mean by analytic functions. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **163**, 157–171 (1972)
- 3. Sinanjan, S.: Approximation by polynomials and analytic functions in the areal mean. Mat. Sb. **69**(111), 546–578 (1966)
- 4. Seip, K.: Regular sets of sampling and interpolation for weighted Bergman spaces. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **117**(1), 213–220 (1993)
- 5. Seip, K.: Beurling type density theorems in the unit disk. Invent. Math. **113**(1), 21–39 (1993)
- <span id="page-24-1"></span>6. Zhu, K.: Interpolating and recapturating in reproducing Hilbert spaces. Bull. Hong Kong Math. Soc. **1**, 21–33 (1997)
- <span id="page-24-2"></span>7. Prokhorov, V.A.: On best rational approximation of analytic functions. J. Approx. Theory **133**(2), 284–296 (2005)
- <span id="page-24-3"></span>8. Gonchar, A.: Rational approximation of analytic functions. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **272**(2), 44 (2011)
- <span id="page-24-4"></span>9. Qian, T., Wang, Y.B.: Adaptive Fourier series variation of greedy algorithm. Adv. Comput. Math. **34**(3), 279–293 (2011)
- <span id="page-24-5"></span>10. Qian, T.: Two-dimensional adaptive Fourier decomposition. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **39**(10), 2431– 2448 (2016)
- <span id="page-24-6"></span>11. Yu, L., Li, M.J., Guo, Q.C., Jin, Z.L.: A new approach to diagnose rolling bearing faults based on AFD. In: Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on Electrical and Information Technologies for Rail Transportation (EITRT2013), Vol. II, pp. 573–582. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2014)
- <span id="page-24-7"></span>12. Wang, Z., da Cruz, J.N., Wan, F.: Adaptive Fourier decomposition approach for lung-heart sound separation. In: 2015 IEEE International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Virtual Environments for Measurement Systems and Applications (CIVEMSA), pp. 1–5. IEEE (2015)
- <span id="page-24-8"></span>13. Wu, M.Z., Wang, Y., Li, X.M.: Improvement of 2D Qian method and its application in image denoising. South China Normal Univ. **48**(4), 119–124 (2016)
- <span id="page-24-9"></span>14. Mi, W., Qian, T.: Frequency-domain identification: an algorithm based on an adaptive rational orthogonal system. Automatica **48**(6), 1154–1162 (2012)
- <span id="page-24-10"></span>15. Mi, W., Qian, T.: On backward shift algorithm for estimating poles of systems. Automatica **50**(6), 1603–1610 (2014)
- <span id="page-24-11"></span>16. Qian, T.: Adaptive Fourier Transform (in Chinese). The Chinese Science Press, Beijing (2015)
- <span id="page-24-12"></span>17. Baratchart, L., Mai, W.X., Qian, T.: Greedy algorithms and rational approximation in one and several variables. In: Bernstein, S., Kähler, U., Sabadini, I., Sommen, F. (eds.) Modern Trends in Hypercomplex Analysis, pp. 19–33. Springer, Cham (2016)
- <span id="page-24-13"></span>18. Mai, W.X., Qian, T.: Aveiro method in reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces under complete dictionary. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **40**(18), 7240–7254 (2017)
- <span id="page-24-14"></span>19. Coifman, R.R., Steinerberger, S.: Nonlinear phase unwinding of functions. J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **23**(4), 778–809 (2017)
- <span id="page-24-15"></span>20. Coifman, R.R., Steinerberger, S., Wu, H.T.: Carrier frequencies, holomorphy, and unwinding. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **49**(6), 4838–4864 (2017)
- <span id="page-24-16"></span>21. MacCluer, B.: Elementary Functional Analysis, Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York (2008). <https://books.google.com/books?id=8KB-ALmOSagC>
- <span id="page-24-17"></span>22. Hedenmalm, H., Korenblum, B., Zhu, K.: Theory of Bergman spaces. Vol. 199, Springer Science and Business Media (2012)
- <span id="page-24-18"></span>23. Duren, P., Schuster, A., Society, A.M.: Bergman Spaces, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, New York (2004)
- <span id="page-24-19"></span>24. Carleson, L.: On the zeros of functions with bounded Dirichlet integrals. Math. Z. **56**(3), 289–295 (1952)
- <span id="page-24-20"></span>25. Shapiro, H., Shields, A.: On the zeros of functions with finite Dirichlet integral and some related function spaces. Math. Z. **80**(1), 217–229 (1962)
- 26. Nagel, A., Rudin, W., Shapiro, J.H.: Tangential boundary behavior of function in Dirichlet-type spaces. Ann. Math. **116**, 331–360 (1982)
- 27. Pau, J., Peláez, J.: On the zeros of functions in Dirichlet-type spaces. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **363**(4), 1981–2002 (2011)
- <span id="page-25-0"></span>28. El-Fallah, O., Kellay, K., Ransford, T.: Invariant subspaces of the Dirichlet space. In: Mashreghi, J., Ransford, T., Seip, K. (eds.) Hilbert Spaces of Analytic Functions, vol. 51, pp. 133–141. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2010)
- <span id="page-25-1"></span>29. Horowitz, C.: Some conditions on Bergman space zero sets. J. d'Anal. Math. **62**(1), 323–348 (1994)
- <span id="page-25-3"></span>30. LeBlanc, E., et al.: A probabilistic zero set condition for the Bergman space. Mich. Math. J. **37**(3), 427–438 (1990)
- <span id="page-25-2"></span>31. Nowak, M., Waniurski, P.: Random zero sets for Bergman spaces. In: Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, vol. 134, pp. 337–345. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003)
- <span id="page-25-4"></span>32. Qian, T., Wang, Y.B.: Remarks on adaptive Fourier decomposition. Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolut. Inf. Process. **11**(01), 1350007 (2013)
- <span id="page-25-5"></span>33. Cui, X., Yang, X.: Reproducing kernel of the Bergman space on the upper half plane. Pure Appl. Math. **16**, 69–73 (2000)
- <span id="page-25-6"></span>34. Elliott, S.J., Wynn, A.: Composition operators on weighted Bergman spaces of a half-plane. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. **54**(2), 373–379 (2011)