



# Normal Truncated Toeplitz Operators

Cheng Chu<sup>1</sup>

Received: 20 August 2017 / Accepted: 20 October 2017 / Published online: 29 October 2017  
© Springer International Publishing AG 2017

**Abstract** The characterization of normal truncated Toeplitz operators is first given by Chalendar and Timotin. We give an elementary proof of their result without using the algebraic properties of truncated Toeplitz operators.

**Keywords** Truncated Toeplitz operator · Normal operator

**Mathematics Subject Classification** Primary 47

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be the open unit disk in the complex plane. Let  $L^2$  denote the Lebesgue space of square integrable functions on the unit circle  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ . The Hardy space  $H^2$  is the subspace of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  whose Taylor coefficients are square summable. Then it can also be identified with the subspace of  $L^2$  of functions whose negative Fourier coefficients vanish. Let  $P$  and  $P^\perp$  be the orthogonal projections from  $L^2$  to  $H^2$  and  $[H^2]^\perp$ , respectively. Here  $[H^2]^\perp$  is the orthogonal complement of  $H^2$  in  $L^2$ . For  $f \in L^\infty$ , the space of essentially bounded Lebesgue measurable functions on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_f$  with symbol  $f \in L^\infty$  is defined by

$$T_f h = P(fh),$$

for  $h \in H^2$ .

---

Communicated by Nikolai Vasilevski.

---

✉ Cheng Chu  
cheng.chu@vanderbilt.edu

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

An analytic function  $\theta$  is called an inner function if  $|\theta| = 1$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ . For each non-constant inner function  $\theta$ , the so-called model space is

$$K_\theta = H^2 \ominus \theta H^2.$$

It is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernels

$$k_w^\theta(z) = \frac{1 - \overline{\theta(w)}\theta(z)}{1 - \bar{w}z}.$$

Let  $P_\theta$  denote the orthogonal projection from  $L^2$  onto  $K_\theta$ ,

$$P_\theta f = Pf - \theta P(\bar{\theta}f). \tag{1.1}$$

For  $\varphi \in L^2$ , the truncated Toeplitz operator  $A_\varphi$  is defined by

$$A_\varphi^\theta f = P_\theta(\varphi f),$$

on the dense subset  $K_\theta \cap H^\infty$  of  $K_\theta$ . In particular,  $K_\theta \cap H^\infty$  contains all reproducing kernels  $k_w^\theta$ . The operator  $A_\varphi^\theta$  may be extended to a bounded operator on  $K_\theta$  even for unbounded symbols  $\varphi$ . The symbol  $\varphi$  is never unique and it is proved in [2] that

$$A_\varphi^\theta = 0$$

if and only if

$$\varphi \in \theta H^2 + \overline{\theta H^2}.$$

If  $\theta(0) = 0$ , then  $A_\varphi^\theta$  has a unique symbol

$$\varphi \in K_\theta + \overline{K_\theta}.$$

The set of all bounded truncated Toeplitz operators is denoted by  $\mathcal{T}_\theta$ .

Recall that a bounded operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is normal if  $T^*T = TT^*$ . The characterization of normal truncated Toeplitz operators is first given by Chalendar and Timotin using the algebraic properties of truncated Toeplitz operators obtained by Sarason [2] and Sedlock [3].

**Theorem 1.1** [1, Theorem 6.2] *Let  $\theta$  be a non-constant inner function vanishing at 0. Then  $A_\varphi^\theta$  is normal if and only if one of the following holds*

- (1)  $A_\varphi^\theta$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_\theta^\alpha$ , for some unimodular constant  $\alpha$ .
- (2)  $A_\varphi^\theta$  is a linear combination of a self-adjoint truncated Toeplitz operator and the identity.

Here  $\mathcal{B}_\theta^\alpha$  is a class of truncated Toeplitz operators introduced in [3]. In this note, we give an elementary proof of their result.

## 2 Proof of the Main Result

In this section we offer a proof of our characterization of normal truncated Toeplitz operators  $A_\varphi^\theta$ . We begin with some reduction. Notice that for any constant  $C$ ,  $A_{\varphi+C}^\theta = A_\varphi^\theta + CI$ , which implies  $A_\varphi^\theta$  is normal if and only if  $A_{\varphi+C}^\theta$  is normal. Thus we may assume, without losing of generality, that  $\varphi(0) = 0$ .

For  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ , let  $u_a$  be the Möbius transform

$$u_a(z) = \frac{z - a}{1 - \bar{a}z}.$$

The Crofoot transform is the unitary operator  $J : K_\theta \rightarrow K_{u_a \circ \theta}$  defined by

$$J(f) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - |a|^2}}{1 - \bar{a}\theta} f.$$

It is proved in [2] that

$$J\mathcal{T}_\theta J^* = \mathcal{T}_{u_a \circ \theta}.$$

Taking  $a = \theta(0)$ , we see that it is sufficient to consider the normal truncated Toeplitz operators for  $\theta(0) = 0$ . In this case, constant functions are in  $K_\theta$ . Write  $\varphi = \varphi_1 + \overline{\varphi_2}$ , where  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are in  $K_\theta$ . We may also assume  $\varphi_1(0) = \varphi_2(0) = 0$ .

It is easy to see that

$$(A_\varphi^\theta)^* = A_{\overline{\varphi}}^\theta.$$

Our approach to characterizing normal truncated Toeplitz operators starts with a computation of

$$\|A_\varphi^\theta u\|^2 - \|(A_\varphi^\theta)^* u\|^2.$$

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $\theta$  be a non-constant inner function. Suppose*

$$\varphi = \varphi_1 + \overline{\varphi_2},$$

where  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are in  $K_\theta$ . Then for every  $u \in K_\theta \cap H^\infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A_\varphi^\theta u\|^2 - \|(A_\varphi^\theta)^* u\|^2 \\ &= \|P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_1 u)\|^2 - \|P(\overline{\varphi_2} u)\|^2 - (\|P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_2 u)\|^2 - \|P(\overline{\varphi_2} u)\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof* By (1.1), we have for every  $u \in K_\theta \cap H^\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} A_\varphi^\theta u &= P_\theta(\varphi u) \\ &= P(\varphi u) - \theta P(\bar{\theta}\varphi u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \varphi_1 u + P(\bar{\varphi}_2 u) - \theta P(\bar{\theta} \varphi_1 u + \bar{\theta} \bar{\varphi}_2 u) \\
&= \varphi_1 u - \theta P(\bar{\theta} \varphi_1 u) + P(\bar{\varphi}_2 u).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A_\varphi^\theta u\|^2 &= \|(\varphi_1 u - \theta P(\bar{\theta} \varphi_1 u)) + P(\bar{\varphi}_2 u)\|^2 \\
&= \|\varphi_1 u - \theta P(\bar{\theta} \varphi_1 u)\|^2 + \|P(\bar{\varphi}_2 u)\|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \varphi_1 u - \theta P(\bar{\theta} \varphi_1 u), P(\bar{\varphi}_2 u) \rangle \\
&= \|\bar{\theta} \varphi_1 u\|^2 - \|P(\bar{\theta} \varphi_1 u)\|^2 + \|P(\bar{\varphi}_2 u)\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \varphi_1 u - \theta P(\bar{\theta} \varphi_1 u), P(\bar{\varphi}_2 u) \rangle \\
&= \|P^\perp(\bar{\theta} \varphi_1 u)\|^2 + \|P(\bar{\varphi}_2 u)\|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \varphi_1 u - \theta P(\bar{\theta} \varphi_1 u), P(\bar{\varphi}_2 u) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \varphi_1 u - \theta P(\bar{\theta} \varphi_1 u), P(\bar{\varphi}_2 u) \rangle &= \langle \varphi_1 u, P(\bar{\varphi}_2 u) \rangle - \langle \theta P(\bar{\theta} \varphi_1 u), P(\bar{\varphi}_2 u) \rangle \\
&= \langle \varphi_1 u, \bar{\varphi}_2 u \rangle - \langle P(\bar{\theta} \varphi_1 u), \bar{\theta} P(\bar{\varphi}_2 u) \rangle \\
&= \langle \varphi_1 u, \bar{\varphi}_2 u \rangle - \langle P(\bar{\theta} \varphi_1 u), \bar{\theta} u \bar{\varphi}_2 - \bar{\theta} P^\perp(\bar{\varphi}_2 u) \rangle \\
&= \langle \varphi_1 u, \bar{\varphi}_2 u \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|A_\varphi^\theta u\|^2 = \|P^\perp(\bar{\theta} \varphi_1 u)\|^2 + \|P(\bar{\varphi}_2 u)\|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \varphi_1 u, \bar{\varphi}_2 u \rangle. \quad (2.1)$$

Similarly

$$\|(A_\varphi^\theta)^* u\|^2 = \|A_{\varphi_2 + \bar{\varphi}_1}^\theta u\|^2 = \|P^\perp(\bar{\theta} \varphi_2 u)\|^2 + \|P(\bar{\varphi}_1 u)\|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \varphi_2 u, \bar{\varphi}_1 u \rangle. \quad (2.2)$$

Subtracting (2.2) from (2.1), we get the desired identity.  $\square$

For  $w \in \mathbb{D}$ , let

$$k_w(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{w}z}$$

be the reproducing kernel of  $H^2$ .

First we show that if  $A_\varphi^\theta$  is normal then  $\varphi_1/\varphi_2$  is a unimodular function.

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $\theta$  be a non-constant inner function vanishing at 0. Suppose  $\varphi = \varphi_1 + \bar{\varphi}_2$ , where  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are in  $K_\theta$ , and  $\varphi_1(0) = \varphi_2(0) = 0$ . If  $A_\varphi^\theta$  is normal then*

$$|\varphi_1| = |\varphi_2|,$$

a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

*Proof* By Lemma 2.1,  $A_\varphi^\theta$  is normal implies

$$\|P^\perp(\bar{\theta} \varphi_1 u)\|^2 - \|P(\bar{\varphi}_1 u)\|^2 = \|P^\perp(\bar{\theta} \varphi_2 u)\|^2 - \|P(\bar{\varphi}_2 u)\|^2, \quad (2.3)$$

for every  $u \in K_\theta \cap H^\infty$ . Take  $u = 1$ , we get

$$\|P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_1)\|^2 - \|P(\bar{\varphi}_1)\|^2 = \|P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_1)\|^2 - \|P(\bar{\varphi}_2)\|^2.$$

Since

$$P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_j) = \bar{\theta}\varphi_j, \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$P(\bar{\varphi}_j) = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

we have

$$\|\varphi_1\| = \|\varphi_2\|. \tag{2.6}$$

Next we consider the reproducing kernels of  $K_\theta$ :

$$k_w^\theta(z) = \frac{1 - \overline{\theta(w)}\theta(z)}{1 - \bar{w}z},$$

and take  $u = u_w = k_w^\theta + 1$  in (2.3). Using (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_j u_w)\|^2 &= \|P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_j k_w^\theta)\|^2 + \|P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_j)\|^2 + 2\text{Re} \langle P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_j k_w^\theta), P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_j) \rangle \\ &= \|P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_j k_w^\theta)\|^2 + \|\bar{\theta}\varphi_j\|^2 + 2\text{Re} \langle P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_j k_w^\theta), \bar{\theta}\varphi_j \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(\bar{\varphi}_j u_w)\|^2 &= \|P(\bar{\varphi}_j k_w^\theta)\|^2 + \|P(\bar{\varphi}_j)\|^2 + 2\text{Re} \langle P(\bar{\varphi}_j k_w^\theta), P(\bar{\varphi}_j) \rangle \\ &= \|P(\bar{\varphi}_j k_w^\theta)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This together with Lemma 2.1 and (2.6) implies

$$\text{Re} \langle P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_1 k_w^\theta), \bar{\theta}\varphi_1 \rangle = \text{Re} \langle P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_2 k_w^\theta), \bar{\theta}\varphi_2 \rangle. \tag{2.7}$$

Since

$$k_w^\theta = (1 - \overline{\theta(w)}\theta)k_w,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_j k_w^\theta) &= P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_j(1 - \overline{\theta(w)}\theta)k_w) = P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_j k_w) - \overline{\theta(w)}P^\perp(\varphi_j k_w) \\ &= P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_j k_w). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Re} \langle P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_j k_w^\theta), \bar{\theta}\varphi_j \rangle \\ &= \text{Re} \langle P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_j k_w), \bar{\theta}\varphi_j \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \operatorname{Re} \langle \bar{\theta} \varphi_j k_w, \bar{\theta} \varphi_j \rangle \\
 &= \operatorname{Re} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|\varphi_j(e^{it})|^2}{1 - \bar{w}e^{it}} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \\
 &= \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_j(e^{it})|^2 \left( \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1 - \bar{w}e^{it}} \right) \frac{dt}{2\pi} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_j(e^{it})|^2 \left( 1 + \operatorname{Re} \frac{1 + \bar{w}e^{it}}{1 - \bar{w}e^{it}} \right) \frac{dt}{2\pi} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi_j\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_j(e^{it})|^2 \left( \operatorname{Re} \frac{1 + \bar{w}e^{it}}{1 - \bar{w}e^{it}} \right) \frac{dt}{2\pi} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} (\|\varphi_j\|^2 + \widehat{|\varphi_j|^2}(w)).
 \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds because

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{1 + \bar{w}e^{it}}{1 - \bar{w}e^{it}}$$

is the Poisson kernel at  $w$ . Here  $\widehat{|\varphi_j|^2}$  is the harmonic extension of the function  $|\varphi_j|^2$ . It follows from (2.7) and (2.6) that

$$\widehat{|\varphi_1|^2}(w) = \widehat{|\varphi_2|^2}(w).$$

Let  $w \rightarrow \zeta \in \mathbb{T}$  nontangentially, we see that

$$|\varphi_1| = |\varphi_2|,$$

a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ . □

Let  $U$  is the unitary operator on  $L^2$  defined by

$$Uh(z) = \bar{z}\tilde{h}(z),$$

where  $\tilde{h}(z) = h(\bar{z})$ . Let  $V_\theta$  be the operator

$$V_\theta h = P(\theta h),$$

for  $h \in L^2$ . Consider the decomposition

$$[H^2]^\perp = \bar{\theta}K_\theta \oplus \bar{\theta}[H^2]^\perp.$$

It is easy to check that  $V_\theta$  maps  $\bar{\theta}K_\theta$  onto  $K_\theta$ , and maps  $\bar{\theta}[H^2]^\perp$  to 0. Thus  $V_\theta$  maps  $[H^2]^\perp$  onto  $K_\theta$ . Since  $U$  maps  $H^2$  onto  $[H^2]^\perp$ , we see that

$$V_\theta U : H^2 \rightarrow K_\theta$$

is also onto.

We shall use the following identity.

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $\theta$  be an inner function and let  $g$  be in  $H^2$ . Then for every function  $f \in H^\infty$*

$$\|P(\bar{g}V_\theta Uf)\| = \|P^\perp(\bar{\theta}gf^*)\|,$$

where  $f^*(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}$ .

*Proof* Notice that for all  $h \in L^2$ , we have

$$(Uh)^* = U(h^*)$$

and

$$(Ph)^* = P(h^*).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P(\bar{g}V_\theta Uf) &= P(\bar{g}P(\theta Uf)) = P(\bar{g}\theta Uf) = P(\bar{z}\theta\bar{g}\tilde{f}) \\ &= PU((\bar{\theta}g)^*f) = P(U(\bar{\theta}gf^*))^* \\ &= (PU(\bar{\theta}gf^*))^* = (UP^\perp(\bar{\theta}gf^*))^*. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used  $PU = UP^\perp$  in the last equality. Since  $\|h\| = \|h^*\|$ , for all  $h \in L^2$  and  $U$  is an isometry, we get the desired identity.  $\square$

The following result is well-known (see e.g. [4, Lemma 8]).

**Theorem 2.1** *If  $f \in H^2$ , then for every  $w \in \mathbb{D}$ ,*

$$P(\bar{f}k_w) = \overline{f(w)}k_w.$$

Now we can prove the main result.

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $\theta$  be a non-constant inner function vanishing at 0. Suppose  $\varphi = \varphi_1 + \overline{\varphi_2}$ , where  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are in  $K_\theta$ . Then  $A_\varphi^\theta$  is normal if and only if either*

$$\varphi_2 - \varphi_2(0) = \alpha(\varphi_1 - \varphi_1(0))$$

or

$$\varphi_2 - \varphi_2(0) = \alpha\theta(\overline{\varphi_1} - \overline{\varphi_1(0)}),$$

for some unimodular constant  $\alpha$ .

*Proof* We may assume  $\varphi_1(0) = \varphi_2(0) = 0$ . Sufficiency follows easily from Lemma 2.1.

Suppose  $A_\varphi^\theta$  is normal. By (2.3) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\|P(\bar{\varphi}_1 u)\|^2 + \|P(\bar{\theta}\varphi_1 u)\|^2 = \|P(\bar{\varphi}_2 u)\|^2 + \|P(\bar{\theta}\varphi_2 u)\|^2, \tag{2.8}$$

for every  $u \in K_\theta \cap H^\infty$ . According to the discussion before Lemma 2.3, if we write  $u = V_\theta Uf$ , where  $f \in H^\infty$ , (2.8) is equivalent to

$$\|P(\bar{\varphi}_1 V_\theta Uf)\|^2 + \|P(\bar{\theta}\varphi_1 V_\theta Uf)\|^2 = \|P(\bar{\varphi}_2 V_\theta Uf)\|^2 + \|P(\bar{\theta}\varphi_2 V_\theta Uf)\|^2,$$

for every  $f \in H^\infty$ . Using Lemma 2.3 and that  $f \mapsto f^*$  is a bijection on  $H^\infty$ , we have

$$\|P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_1 f)\|^2 + \|P^\perp(\bar{\varphi}_1 f)\|^2 = \|P^\perp(\bar{\theta}\varphi_2 f)\|^2 + \|P^\perp(\bar{\varphi}_2 f)\|^2, \tag{2.9}$$

for every  $f \in H^\infty$ . By Lemma 2.2,

$$\|\bar{\theta}\varphi_1 f\| = \|\bar{\theta}\varphi_2 f\|,$$

and

$$\|\bar{\varphi}_1 f\| = \|\bar{\varphi}_2 f\|.$$

We see that (2.9) implies

$$\|P(\bar{\theta}\varphi_1 f)\|^2 + \|P(\bar{\varphi}_1 f)\|^2 = \|P(\bar{\theta}\varphi_2 f)\|^2 + \|P(\bar{\varphi}_2 f)\|^2, \tag{2.10}$$

for every  $f \in H^\infty$ .

Take  $f = k_w$  in (2.10). By Theorem 2.1, we get

$$|\varphi_1(w)|^2 + |(\theta\bar{\varphi}_1)(w)|^2 = |\varphi_2(w)|^2 + |(\theta\bar{\varphi}_2)(w)|^2, \tag{2.11}$$

for every  $w \in \mathbb{D}$ . Here  $(\theta\bar{\varphi}_1)(w)$  means  $\langle \theta\bar{\varphi}_1, k_w \rangle$ .

On the other hand, using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(w)(\theta\bar{\varphi}_1)(w) &= \langle \varphi_1(\theta\bar{\varphi}_1), k_w \rangle = \langle \theta|\varphi_1|^2, k_w \rangle = \langle \theta|\varphi_2|^2, k_w \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi_2(\theta\bar{\varphi}_2), k_w \rangle = \varphi_2(w)(\theta\bar{\varphi}_2)(w). \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

for every  $w \in \mathbb{D}$ .

Multiplying both sides of (2.11) by  $|\varphi_2(w)|^2$  and using (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_1(w)\varphi_2(w)|^2 + |\varphi_2(w)(\theta\bar{\varphi}_1)(w)|^2 &= |\varphi_2(w)|^4 + |\varphi_2(w)(\theta\bar{\varphi}_2)(w)|^2 \\ &= |\varphi_2(w)|^4 + |\varphi_1(w)(\theta\bar{\varphi}_1)(w)|^2, \end{aligned}$$



which is equivalent to

$$(|\varphi_1(w)|^2 - |\varphi_2(w)|^2)(|(\theta\bar{\varphi}_1)(w)|^2 - |\varphi_2(w)|^2) = 0.$$

Thus for every  $w \in \mathbb{D}$ , either

$$|\varphi_1(w)| = |\varphi_2(w)|,$$

or

$$|\varphi_2(w)| = |(\theta\bar{\varphi}_1)(w)|.$$

Then it follows from the properties of analytic functions that either

$$\varphi_1 = \alpha\varphi_2,$$

or

$$\varphi_2 = \alpha\theta\bar{\varphi}_1,$$

for some unimodular constant  $\alpha$ . □

*Remark 2.1* The characterization given in Theorem 2.2 is equivalent to that in Theorem 1.1. In fact, if we write  $\varphi = \varphi_1 + \bar{\varphi}_2 + \varphi(0)$ , where  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are in  $K_\theta \cap zH^2$ , it is shown in [1, Section 5] that  $A_\varphi^\theta \in \mathcal{B}_\theta^\alpha$  if and only if  $\theta\bar{\varphi}_2 = \alpha\varphi_1$ .

## References

1. Chalendar, I., Timotin, D.: Commutation relations for truncated Toeplitz operators. *Oper. Matrices* **8**(3), 877–888 (2014)
2. Sarason, D.: Algebraic properties of truncated Toeplitz operators. *Oper. Matrices* **1**(4), 491–526 (2007)
3. Sedlock, N.A.: Algebras of truncated Toeplitz operators. *Oper. Matrices* **5**, 309–326 (2011)
4. Treil, S.: A remark on the reproducing kernel thesis for Hankel operators. *St. Petersburg. Math. J.* **26**(3), 479–485 (2015)