



Landau Theorem For Planar Harmonic Mappings

Jian-Feng Zhu

Received: 19 September 2014 / Accepted: 3 February 2015 / Published online: 6 March 2015
© Springer Basel 2015

Abstract Let $w = P[F]$ be a harmonic mapping of the unit disk \mathbb{D} with the boundary function F . By using Poisson formula, we obtain some better estimates on Bloch constants for planar harmonic mappings.

Keywords Landau theorem · Bloch constant · Harmonic mapping · Poisson formula

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 30C62; Secondary 30C20 · 30F15

1 Introduction

Let $H(\mathbb{D})$ denote the class of holomorphic functions in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Given a function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ define B_f to be the least upper bound of all numbers $b > 0$ such that there exists a number $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and a region $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ which is univalently mapped onto $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < b\}$ by f . The Bloch's constant B is defined as (cf. [2])

$$B := \inf\{B_f : f \in H(\mathbb{D}) \text{ and } f'(0) = 1\}.$$

Communicated by Ronen Peretz.

The author of this work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 11471128 and the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province of China (No. 2014J01013).

J.-F. Zhu (✉)
School of Mathematical Sciences, Huaqiao University,
Quanzhou 362021, Fujian, People's Republic of China
e-mail: flandy@hqu.edu.cn

The best known bounds for B at present are (cf. [1,3])

$$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} + 2 \times 10^{-4} \leq B \leq \frac{\sqrt{3} - 1}{2} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(1/3)\Gamma(11/12)}{\Gamma(1/4)},$$

where Γ is the Gamma function. However, the exact value of B is still unknown.

Let $H_M(\mathbb{D})$ denote the class of functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with $|f(z)| < M$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The classical Landau theorem states that if $f \in H_M(\mathbb{D})$ with $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$, then f is univalent in the disk $|z| < \rho_0$ with $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{M + \sqrt{M^2 - 1}}$ and $f(|z| < \rho_0)$ contains a disk $|w| < \sigma_0$ with $\sigma_0 = M\rho_0^2$. This result is sharp (cf. [8]).

Define complex derivatives of $w(z)$ as follows:

$$w_z := \frac{1}{2} (w_x - i w_y) \quad \text{and} \quad w_{\bar{z}} := \frac{1}{2} (w_x + i w_y), \tag{1.1}$$

where $z = x + iy$. A complex-valued function w on \mathbb{D} is harmonic if it is twice continuously differentiable and satisfies Laplace’s equation:

$$\Delta w = 4w_{z\bar{z}} = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} = 0 \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We refer to the book of Duren [5,6] for good setting of harmonic mappings. Let

$$\Lambda_w(z) = \max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi} |e^{i\alpha} w_z(z) + e^{-i\alpha} w_{\bar{z}}(z)| = |w_z(z)| + |w_{\bar{z}}(z)|$$

and

$$\lambda_w(z) = \min_{0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi} |e^{i\alpha} w_z(z) + e^{-i\alpha} w_{\bar{z}}(z)| = ||w_z(z)| - |w_{\bar{z}}(z)||.$$

It is well known that $w(z)$ is locally univalent and sense-preserving in \mathbb{D} if and only if its Jacobian satisfies the following condition.

$$J_w(z) = |w_z(z)|^2 - |w_{\bar{z}}(z)|^2 > 0 \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We know from Poisson formula that every bounded harmonic mapping w defined in \mathbb{D} has the representation

$$w(z) = P[F](z) = \int_0^{2\pi} P(r, t - \varphi) F(e^{it}) dt, \quad z = r e^{i\varphi} \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{1.2}$$

where F is the boundary function defined on the unit circle $\mathbb{T} := \{z : |z| = 1\}$ and

$$P(r, t - \varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r \cos(t - \varphi) + r^2},$$

denote the Poisson kernel. In what follows we write $F(t)$ instead of $F(e^{it})$ for the boundary function (cf. [9, 10]).

For harmonic mappings in \mathbb{D} , under suitable restriction we can obtain its Bloch and Landau theorems. For $r > 0$, let $\mathbb{D}_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$. In [4], Chen et al. proved the Landau theorem for harmonic mappings as follows.

Theorem A *Let w be a harmonic mapping of \mathbb{D} satisfies $w(0) = w_{\bar{z}}(0) = w_z(0) - 1 = 0$ and $|w(z)| < M$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then w is univalent in the disk \mathbb{D}_{r_1} , where*

$$r_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{16mM} \approx \frac{1}{11.105M} \tag{1.3}$$

and $w(\mathbb{D}_{r_1})$ contains a schlicht disk \mathbb{D}_{R_1} , where

$$R_1 = \frac{r_1}{2} \approx \frac{1}{22.21M}. \tag{1.4}$$

Here $m \approx 6.85$ is the minimum of the function $(3 - r^2)/(r(1 - r^2))$ for $0 < r < 1$.

By using sharp coefficients estimate, the authors in [7] improved a version of Landau theorem for the class of bounded harmonic mappings.

Theorem B *Let w be a harmonic mapping of \mathbb{D} satisfies $w(0) = w_{\bar{z}}(0) = w_z(0) - 1 = 0$ and $|w(z)| < M$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then w is close-to-convex (univalent) in the disk \mathbb{D}_{r_2} , where*

$$r_2 = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{4M}{4M + \pi}} \tag{1.5}$$

and $w(\mathbb{D}_{r_2})$ contains a schlicht disk \mathbb{D}_{R_2} , where

$$R_2 = r_2 - \frac{4M}{\pi} \frac{r_2^2}{1 - r_2}. \tag{1.6}$$

By using Poisson formula, we obtain better estimates on Bloch constants for planar harmonic mappings.

2 Auxiliary Results

Lemma 2.1 *Let $z = \rho e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|z||2 - e^{-it}z|}{|e^{it} - z|^2} dt = \frac{2\rho\sqrt{2 - \rho^2}}{1 - \rho^2}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $0 \leq \rho < 1$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$.

Proof Let $\zeta = e^{it} \in \mathbb{T}$. According to the residue theorem, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|z||2 - e^{-it}z|}{|e^{it} - z|^2} dt &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\rho\sqrt{4 - 4\rho \cos(\theta - t) + \rho^2}}{1 - 2\rho \cos(\theta - t) + \rho^2} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi i} \oint_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{\rho\sqrt{(4 + \rho^2) - 2\rho e^{-i\theta}(e^{2i\theta} + \zeta^2)/\zeta}}{(1 + \rho^2)\zeta - \rho e^{-i\theta}(e^{2i\theta} + \zeta^2)} d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi i} \oint_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{\rho\sqrt{(4 + \rho^2) - 2\rho e^{-i\theta}(e^{2i\theta} + \zeta^2)/\zeta}}{-\rho e^{-i\theta}[\zeta - \rho e^{i\theta}][\zeta - (1/\rho)e^{i\theta}]} d\zeta \\ &= \frac{2\rho\sqrt{2 - \rho^2}}{1 - \rho^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.2 *Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2\pi$ and $w = P[F]$ be a harmonic mapping in \mathbb{D} with the boundary function F . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\alpha} w_z(z) + e^{-i\alpha} w_{\bar{z}}(z) \\ = e^{i\alpha} w_z(0) + e^{-i\alpha} w_{\bar{z}}(0) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{i\alpha} \frac{2z - e^{-it}z^2}{(e^{it} - z)^2} \right\} F(t) dt, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$.

Proof For each $z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, it follows from (1.2) that

$$w(z) = P[F](z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it} F(t)}{e^{it} - z} dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\overline{zF(t)}}{e^{it} - z} dt. \tag{2.3}$$

Then $w_z(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it} F(t)}{(e^{it} - z)^2} dt$ and $w_{\bar{z}}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{-it} F(t)}{(e^{-it} - \bar{z})^2} dt$. Let $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi]$ be an arbitrary constant. Then

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\alpha} w_z(z) &= e^{i\alpha} w_z(0) + \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\frac{e^{it}}{(e^{it} - z)^2} - \frac{1}{e^{it}} \right] F(t) dt \\ &= e^{i\alpha} w_z(0) + \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{2z - z^2 e^{-it}}{(e^{it} - z)^2} F(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$e^{-i\alpha}w_{\bar{z}}(z) = e^{-i\alpha}w_{\bar{z}}(0) + \frac{e^{-i\alpha}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{2\bar{z} - \bar{z}^2 e^{it}}{(e^{-it} - \bar{z})^2} F(t) dt.$$

Equality (2.2) holds directly from the above two equalities. □

3 Main Results

Theorem 3.1 *Let $w = P[F]$ be a harmonic mapping of \mathbb{D} satisfies $w(0) = w_{\bar{z}}(0) = w_z(0) - 1 = 0$ and $|w(z)| < M$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, where $M > 1$ and F is the boundary function. Then w is univalent in the disk \mathbb{D}_{r_0} , where*

$$r_0 = \sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{\sqrt{1 + 4M^2}}} \tag{3.1}$$

is the root of the equation $1 - \frac{2Mr\sqrt{2-r^2}}{1-r^2} = 0$ and $w(\mathbb{D}_{r_0})$ contains a schlicht disk \mathbb{D}_{R_0} , where

$$R_0 = r_0 - \log \frac{\sqrt{2-r_0^2} + 1}{\sqrt{2-r_0^2} - 1} + 2\sqrt{2-r_0^2} + 2 \left[\log(\sqrt{2} + 1) - \sqrt{2} \right]. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof For $0 < r < 1$, take $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_r$. Let $\ell : z(x) = z_1 + (z_2 - z_1)x = \rho(x)e^{i\theta(x)}$ be the segment line of z_1 and z_2 , where $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |w(z_1) - w(z_2)| &= \left| \int_{\ell} \left[w_z(z(x))z'(x) + w_{\bar{z}}(z(x))\overline{z'(x)} \right] dx \right| \\ &= |z_1 - z_2| \left| \int_0^1 \left[e^{i\alpha} w_z(z(x)) + e^{-i\alpha} w_{\bar{z}}(z(x)) \right] dx \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \arg(z_1 - z_2) \in [0, 2\pi]$. Since $0 \leq \rho(x) < r < 1$ and the function $\frac{s\sqrt{2-s^2}}{1-s^2}$ is an increasing function for $0 \leq s < 1$, we see that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\rho(x)\sqrt{2-\rho^2(x)}}{1-\rho^2(x)} dx \leq \frac{r\sqrt{2-r^2}}{1-r^2}.$$

Applying $w_{\bar{z}}(0) = w_z(0) - 1 = 0$ together with (2.2) and Lemma 2.1 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^1 \left[e^{i\alpha} w_z(z(x)) + e^{-i\alpha} w_{\bar{z}}(z(x)) \right] dx \right| \\ &= \left| e^{i\alpha} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} \left[e^{i\alpha} \frac{2z(x) - e^{-it} z^2(x)}{(e^{it} - z(x))^2} \right] F(t) dt \right) dx \right| \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{M}{\pi} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|z(x)| |2 - e^{-it} z(x)|}{|e^{it} - z(x)|^2} dt \right) dx \\ &= 1 - M \int_0^1 \frac{2\rho(x)\sqrt{2 - \rho^2(x)}}{1 - \rho^2(x)} dx \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{2Mr\sqrt{2 - r^2}}{1 - r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|w(z_1) - w(z_2)| \geq |z_1 - z_2| \left(1 - \frac{2Mr\sqrt{2 - r^2}}{1 - r^2} \right). \tag{3.3}$$

Let $1 - \frac{2Mr\sqrt{2 - r^2}}{1 - r^2} = 0$. Then $r_0 = \sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{\sqrt{1 + 4M^2}}}$. This shows that $w(z)$ is univalent in the disk \mathbb{D}_{r_0} . Let $z = r_0 e^{i\varphi} \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{r_0}$. Then according to (2.2) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w(r_0 e^{i\varphi})| &= \left| \int_0^{r_0} \left[w_z(x e^{i\varphi}) e^{i\varphi} + w_{\bar{z}}(x e^{i\varphi}) e^{-i\varphi} \right] dx \right| \\ &\geq r_0 - \int_0^{r_0} \frac{2x\sqrt{2 - x^2}}{1 - x^2} dx \\ &= r_0 - \log \frac{\sqrt{2 - r_0^2} + 1}{\sqrt{2 - r_0^2} - 1} + 2\sqrt{2 - r_0^2} + 2 \left[\log(\sqrt{2} + 1) - \sqrt{2} \right] \\ &= R_0. \end{aligned}$$

We see that $w(\mathbb{D}_{r_0})$ contains the schlicht disk \mathbb{D}_{R_0} .

The proof is completed. □

Remark 3.2 For $1 < M \leq 5.07$, we see that $r_2 < r_0$. Let

$$r_0^* = \begin{cases} r_0 & \text{if } 1 < M \leq 5.07 \\ r_2 & \text{if } M > 5.07. \end{cases}$$

Then $w(z)$ is univalent in the disk $D_{r_0^*}$, where $r_0^* \geq r_2 > r_1$. This shows that our Theorem 3.1 has improved the former results.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $w = P[F]$ be a harmonic mapping of \mathbb{D} satisfies $w(0) = w_{\bar{z}}(0) = w_z(0) - 1 = 0$ and $|w(z)| < M$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, where $M > 1$ and F is the boundary function. Then*

$$\Lambda_w(re^{i\theta}) \leq 1 + \frac{2Mr\sqrt{2-r^2}}{1-r^2} \text{ for } z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\lambda_w(re^{i\theta}) \geq 1 - \frac{2Mr\sqrt{2-r^2}}{1-r^2} \text{ for } z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof Let $z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$. According to (2.2) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| e^{i\alpha} w_z(z) + e^{-i\alpha} w_{\bar{z}}(z) \right| &= \left| e^{i\alpha} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{i\alpha} \frac{2z - e^{-it}z^2}{(e^{it} - z)^2} \right\} F(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{M}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|z||2 - e^{-it}z|}{|e^{it} - z|^2} dt \\ &= 1 + \frac{2Mr\sqrt{2-r^2}}{1-r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\Lambda_w \leq 1 + \frac{2Mr\sqrt{2-r^2}}{1-r^2}$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| e^{i\alpha} w_z(z) + e^{-i\alpha} w_{\bar{z}}(z) \right| &\geq 1 - \frac{M}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|z||2 - e^{-it}z|}{|e^{it} - z|^2} dt \\ &= 1 - \frac{2Mr\sqrt{2-r^2}}{1-r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\lambda_w(z) \geq 1 - \frac{2Mr\sqrt{2-r^2}}{1-r^2}.$$

It follows from (3.3) that $1 - \frac{2Mr\sqrt{2-r^2}}{1-r^2} > 0$ holds for $0 \leq r < r_0$.

The proof is completed. □

Acknowledgments The author expresses his appreciation to the reviewer's helpful advices.

References

1. Ahlfors, L., Grunsky, H.: Über die Blochsche Konstante. *Math. Z.* **42**(1), 671–673 (1937)
2. Bonk, M.: On Bloch's constant. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **110**, 889–894 (1990)
3. Chen, H.H., Gauthier, P.M.: On Bloch's constant. *J. d'Anal. Math.* **69**(1), 275–291 (1996)
4. Chen, H.H., Gauthier, P.M., Hengartner, W.: Bloch constants for planar harmonic mappings. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **128**, 3231–3240 (2000)
5. Duren, P.: *Theory of H^p Spaces*. Academic, New York (1970)
6. Duren, P.: *Harmonic Mappings in the Plane*. Cambridge University Press, New York (2004)
7. Kalaj, D., Ponnusamy, S., Vuorinen, M.: Radius of close-to-convexity of harmonic functions. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **59**(4), 539–552 (2014)
8. Liu, M.S.: Estimates on Bloch constants for planar harmonic mappings. *Sci. China Ser. A.* **52**(1), 87–93 (2009)
9. Zhu, J.F.: A variant of Schwarz–Pick lemma for planar harmonic mappings (in Chinese). *Sci. Sin. Math.* **44**, 837–842 (2014). doi:[10.1360/012013-203](https://doi.org/10.1360/012013-203)
10. Zhu, J.F.: Some estimates for harmonic mappings with given boundary function. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **411**, 631–638 (2014)