J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2018) 20:101 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11784-018-0575-9 Published online June 9, 2018 -c Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2018

**Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications**



# **Homoclinic solutions for a second-order singular differential equation**

Shiping Lu and Xuewen Jia

**Abstract.** In this paper, the problem of existence of homoclinic solutions is studied for the second-order singular differential equation

$$
x''(t) + f(x(t))x'(t) - g(x(t)) - \frac{\alpha(t)x(t)}{1 - x(t)} = h(t),
$$

where f,  $q, h, \alpha : R \to R$  are continuous and  $\alpha(t+T) \equiv \alpha(t)$  for all  $t \in R$ . Using the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory given by Mawhin and Manásevich, a new result on the existence of homoclinic solutions to the equation is obtained.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 34C25, 34B16, 34B18.

**Keywords.** Liénard equation, homoclinic solution, periodic solution, singularity.

# **1. Introduction**

Consider the existence of homoclinic solutions for the equation

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
x''(t) + f(x(t))x'(t) - g(x(t)) - \frac{\alpha(t)x(t)}{1 - x(t)} = h(t),
$$
\n(1.1)

where  $f, g, h, \alpha : R \to R$  are continuous and  $\alpha(t+T) \equiv \alpha(t)$  with  $\alpha(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in R$ . We will say that a solution u of Eq. [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) is a homoclinic equation, if  $u(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to \pm \infty$ . When such a solution satisfies in addition to  $u'(t) \to 0$ <br>as  $t \to \pm \infty$ , then it is usually called a homoclinic solution or a pulse, although as  $t \to \pm \infty$ , then it is usually called a homoclinic solution or a pulse, although here, 0 is not a stationary solution of Eq.  $(1.1)$ . In [\[1](#page-10-0)], by Leray–Schauder fixed point theorem, Faure has studied the T-periodic solutions of equation

$$
x''(t) + cx'(t) - \frac{x(t)}{1 - x(t)} = e(t),
$$

where  $c > 0$  is a constant and  $e(t)$  is a continuous T-periodic solution.

The study of singular systems is perhaps as old as the Kepler classical problem in mechanics. In recent years, the problem of periodic solutions has been studied widely for some second-order differential equations with singularity  $[2-10]$  $[2-10]$ . This is due to the fact that periodic solution for the singular equation possesses a significant role in many practical situations (see [\[5](#page-10-3)[,9](#page-11-1),[11](#page-11-2)[–15\]](#page-11-3)) and the references therein). Compared with the problem of periodic solution, the problem of homoclinic solution for second-order differential equations with singularity is studied less often. In the case of singular Hamiltonian systems, we find that there were some papers on the study of existence of homoclinic solutions  $[16–19]$  $[16–19]$  $[16–19]$ . For example, the first result on existence of a homoclinic orbit to autonomous singular Hamiltonian systems

$$
u'' + V_u(u) = 0, -\infty < t < +\infty
$$

was obtained by Tanaka [\[16\]](#page-11-4) using variational methods. Costa and Tehrani [\[17](#page-11-6)] further studied the problem of homoclinic solutions to a class of nonautonomous singular Hamiltonian systems

$$
u'' + V_u(t, u) = 0, -\infty < t < +\infty,
$$

where  $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N) \in R^N$ ,  $V : R \times R^N$  has a singularity at  $u = q \in R^N$ <br>and  $q \neq 0$ . Under the assumption that  $V(t, u)$  satisfies strong-force condition and  $q \neq 0$ . Under the assumption that  $V(t, u)$  satisfies strong-force condition, the existence of infinitely many homoclinic solutions is obtained. Bonheure and Torres [\[20\]](#page-11-7) considered the problem of homoclinic-like solutions to the singular equation

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
-x''(t) + f(t, x(t), x'(t)) = \frac{b(t)}{u^p(t)},
$$
\n(1.2)

where  $b \in C(R, R)$  is nonzero nonnegative,  $p > 0$  is a constant. The arguments are based upon a well-known fixed point theorem on cones, which is different from the variational methods used in [\[16](#page-11-4)[–19\]](#page-11-5). The reason for this is that there is a first-order derivative term in Eq.  $(1.2)$ . This implies that Eq.  $(1.2)$  is not the Euler–Lagrange equation associated with some functional, and then, the variational methods cannot be applied to Eq.  $(1.2)$  for obtaining homocliniclike solution. However, the function  $f(t, x, y)$  is required to be linear with respect to the variables x and y. In detail,  $f(t, x, y) = a(t)x + c(t)y$ , where  $a, c \in C(R, R)$  with  $a(t) > \tilde{a} > 0$  for all  $t \in R$ . This is due to the fact that  $f(t, x, y)$  in such a way can guarantee the Green function  $G(t, s)$  associated with boundary value problem  $-x''(t) + c(t)x'(t) + a(t)x(t) = 0, x(-\infty) =$ <br> $x(+\infty) = 0$  satisfying  $C(t,s) > 0$  for all  $(t,s) \in R^2$ , then for every  $b \in$  $x(+\infty) = 0$  satisfying  $G(t, s) > 0$  for all  $(t, s) \in R^2$ ; then, for every  $h \in$  $C(R, R)$  with  $\frac{h}{a}$  being bounded, the nonhomogeneous equation

$$
-x''(t) + c(t)x'(t) + a(t)x(t) = h(t)
$$

with boundary condition  $x(-\infty) = x(+\infty) = 0$  has a unique bounded solution  $u(t) = \int_R G(t, s)ds$ , which is crucial in [\[20](#page-11-7)] for applying some fixed point<br>theorems on cones. Motivated by [16–20], as well as [21–22], we continue to theorems on cones. Motivated by  $[16–20]$  $[16–20]$ , as well as  $[21, 22]$  $[21, 22]$ , we continue to study the existence of homoclinic-like solution for Eq. [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0).

The work of present paper for investigating the existence of homoclinic solutions to [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) is divided three parts. First, for each  $k \in N$ , we investigate the existence of  $2kT$ -periodic solutions  $u_k(t)$  for the following equation

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
x''(t) + f(x(t))x'(t) - g(x(t)) - \frac{\alpha(t)x(t)}{1 - x(t)} = h_k(t),
$$
\n(1.3)

where  $h_k : R \to R$  are two 2kT-periodic solutions with

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
h_k(t) = \begin{cases} h(t), & t \in \left[ -kT, kT - \frac{T}{2} \right), \\ h\left( kT - \frac{T}{2} \right) + \frac{2(h(-kT) - h(kT - \frac{T}{2}))}{T} \left( t - kT + \frac{T}{2} \right), & t \in \left[ kT - \frac{T}{2}, kT \right]. \end{cases}
$$
\n(1.4)

Using a known continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory, we obtain that for each  $k \in N$ , there is at least one positive  $2kT$ -periodic solution  $u_k(t)$ to Eq. [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1). Second, we will show that the sequence  $\{u_k(t)\}\$  satisfies

$$
\int_{-kT}^{kT} |u_k(t)|^{n+1} \mathrm{d}t \le M_0, \quad \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u'_k(t)|^2 \mathrm{d}t \le M_1
$$

and

$$
-\infty < \rho_0 < u_k(t) \le \rho_1 \in (0,1), \ \max_{t \in [-kT, kT]} |u'_k(t)| \le \rho_2,
$$

where n,  $M_0$ ,  $M_1$ ,  $\rho_0$ ,  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are positive constants independent of k. Finally, a homoclinic solution for Eq.  $(1.1)$  is obtained as a limit of a certain subsequence of  ${u_k(t)}$ .

By contrast, our approach to Eq.  $(1.1)$  is neither based on variational theory used in [\[16](#page-11-4)[–19](#page-11-5)], because there is a first derivative term  $f(x(t))x'(t)$ <br>in Eq. (1.1) and then Eq. (1.1) has no variational structure, nor based on in Eq.  $(1.1)$ , and then Eq.  $(1.1)$  has no variational structure, nor based on the methods used in [\[20\]](#page-11-7), since the terms of  $f(x)y$  and  $g(x)$  may be generally nonlinear with respect to variables of  $x$  and  $y$ .

### **2. Preliminary lemmas**

Throughout this paper, the set of all positive integers is denoted by  $N$ , and for  $\omega > 0$  being a constant, let  $C_{\omega} = \{x \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) : x(t + \omega) = x(t) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \}$  $\mathbb{R}$  with the norm defined by  $|x|_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [0,\omega]} |x(t)|$ .

Let  $y(t)=1-x(t)$ , then [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1) is converted to the equation

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
y''(t) + f(1 - y(t))y'(t) + g(1 - y(t)) + \frac{\alpha(t)}{y(t)}
$$
  
=  $-h_k(t) + \alpha(t)$ . (2.1)

Clearly, the problem of searching for  $2kT$ -periodic solution  $u(t)$  to [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) with  $u(t) < 1$  is reduced to the question to investigate positive  $2kT$ -periodic solution for  $(2.1)$ . Now, we embed  $(2.1)$  into the following equation family with a parameter  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ 

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
y''(t) + \lambda f(1 - y(t))y'(t) + \lambda g(1 - y(t)) + \frac{\lambda \alpha(t)}{y(t)}
$$
  
=  $\lambda(-h_k(t) + \alpha(t)), \quad \lambda \in (0, 1].$  (2.2)

To study the existence of  $2kT$ -periodic solution to  $(2.1)$  for each  $k \in N$ , we give the following Lemma which is an easy consequence of main result in [\[23\]](#page-11-10) and [\[24\]](#page-11-11).

<span id="page-2-2"></span>**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that there exist positive constants*  $N_0$ ,  $N_1$  *and*  $N_2$  *with*  $0 < N_0 < N_1$ , such that the following conditions hold.

$$
y''(t) + \lambda f(1 - y(t))y'(t) + \lambda g(1 - y(t)) + \frac{\lambda \alpha(t)}{y(t)} = \lambda(-h_k(t) + \alpha(t))
$$

*satisfies the inequalities*  $N_0 < x(t) < N_1$  *and*  $|x'(t)| < N_2$  *for all*  $t \in$  [0 *T*]  $[0, T]$ .

2. *Each possible positive solution* c *to the equation*

$$
g(1-c) + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{c} + \overline{h_k} - \bar{\alpha} = 0,
$$

*satisfies the inequality*  $N_0 < c < N_1$ .

3. *It holds*

$$
\left(g(1-N_0)+\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{N_0}+\overline{h_k}-\bar{\alpha}\right)\left(g(1-N_1)+\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{N_1}+\overline{h_k}-\bar{\alpha}\right)<0.
$$

*Then Eq.* [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0) *has at least one positive* <sup>2</sup>kT*-periodic solution* x *such that*  $N_0 < x(t) < N_1$  *for all*  $t \in [0, T]$ *.* 

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Lemma 2.2.** *If*  $u : R \to R$  *is continuously differentiable on*  $R, a > 0, \mu > 1$ *and*  $p > 1$  *are constants, then for every*  $t \in R$ *, the following inequality holds:* 

$$
|u(t)| \le (2a)^{-\frac{1}{\mu}} \left( \int_{t-a}^{t+a} |u(s)|^{\mu} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} + a(2a)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{t-a}^{t+a} |u'(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

This lemma is a special case of Lemma 2.2 in [\[25](#page-11-12)].

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Lemma 2.3** [\[26\]](#page-12-0)*.* Let  $\{u_k\} \in C_{2k}^1$  be a sequence of  $2kT$ -periodic functions,<br>such that for each  $k \in N$ ,  $u_k$  satisfies *such that for each*  $k \in N$ *,*  $u_k$  *satisfies* 

 $|u_k|_0 \leq A_0, |u'_k|_0 \leq A_1,$ 

*where*  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$  *are constants independent of*  $k \in N$ *. Then there exist a*  $u_0 \in$  $C(R, R)$  *and a subsequence*  ${u_{k_j}}$  *of*  ${u_k}_{k \in N}$  *such that for each*  $j \in N$ ,

$$
\max_{t \in [-jT, jT]} |u_{k_i}(t) - u_0(t)| \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as} \quad i \longrightarrow +\infty.
$$

Now, we list the following assumptions, which will be used for studying the existence of homoclinic solutions to Eq.  $(1.1)$ .

[H1]  $g: R \to R$  is strictly monotone increasing and there are constants  $\sigma > 0$  and  $n > 0$  such that

$$
yg(y) \ge \sigma |y|^{n+1} \quad \text{for all} \ \ y \in R;
$$

[H2]  $\sup_{t\in R} |h(t)| := \rho \in (0, +\infty)$  and  $\int_R |h(t)|^{\frac{n+1}{n}} dt := \rho_0 < +\infty$ , where n is determined in [H1] is determined in  $[H1]$ .

## <span id="page-4-7"></span>**3. Main result**

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that assumptions of*  $|H_1|$  *and*  $|H_2|$  *hold. Then Eq.* [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) *has at least one nontrivial homoclinic solution.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $v(t)$  is an arbitrary positive  $2kT$ -periodic solution to  $(2.2)$ , then

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
v'' + \lambda f(1 - v(t))v'(t) + \lambda g(1 - v(t)) + \frac{\lambda \alpha(t)}{v(t)} = \lambda(-h_k(t) + \alpha(t)), \quad \lambda \in (0, 1].
$$
\n(3.1)

Let  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  be the maximum point and the minimum point of  $v(t)$  on  $[-kT, kT]$ . This implies that  $v'(t_1) - v'(t_2) = 0$ ,  $v''(t_1) \le 0$  and  $v''(t_2) \ge 0$ .  $[-kT, kT]$ . This implies that  $v'(t_1) = v'(t_2) = 0$ ,  $v''(t_1) \leq 0$  and  $v''(t_2) \geq 0$ , which together with  $(3, 1)$  gives that which together with  $(3.1)$  gives that

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
g(1 - v(t_1)) + \frac{\alpha(t_1)}{v(t_1)} \ge -h_k(t_1) + \alpha(t_1) \ge -|h_k|_{\infty} + \alpha(t_1)
$$
 (3.2)

and

<span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
g(1 - v(t_2)) + \frac{\alpha(t_2)}{v(t_2)} \le -h_k(t_2) + \alpha(t_2) \le |h_k|_{\infty} + \alpha(t_2). \tag{3.3}
$$

Using the monotonicity property of  $g(x)$ , we have from  $(3.2)$  that

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
v(t_1) < 1 - g^{-1}(-\rho),\tag{3.4}
$$

where  $\rho$  is determined in [H2]. In fact, if

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
v(t_1) \ge 1 - g^{-1}(-\rho),\tag{3.5}
$$

then  $v(t_1) > 1$ ; and it follows from  $(3.2)$  that

$$
g(1 - v(t_1)) > -|h_k|_{\infty} \geq -\rho,
$$

i.e.,

$$
v(t_1) < 1 - g^{-1}(-\rho),
$$

which contradicts to [\(3.5\)](#page-4-2). This contradiction implies that [\(3.4\)](#page-4-3) holds. Also, we can conclude from [\(3.3\)](#page-4-4) that

<span id="page-4-5"></span>
$$
v(t_2) > \frac{\alpha_l}{\rho + \alpha_l},\tag{3.6}
$$

where  $\alpha_l := \min_{t \in [0,T]} \alpha(t)$ . If  $(3.6)$  does not hold, then

<span id="page-4-6"></span>
$$
v(t_2) \le \frac{\alpha_l}{\rho + \alpha_l}.\tag{3.7}
$$

It follows from [\(3.3\)](#page-4-4) that

$$
g(1 - v(t_2)) \le \rho + \alpha(t_2) - \frac{\alpha(t_2)}{v(t_2)}
$$
  
=  $\rho + \alpha(t_2) \left(1 - \frac{1}{v(t_2)}\right)$   
 $\le \rho + \alpha(t_2) \left(1 - \frac{\rho + \alpha_l}{\alpha_l}\right)$   
 $\le \rho - \frac{\alpha_l \rho}{\alpha_l}$   
= 0,

which together with assumption  $[H1]$  yields that

$$
1 - v(t_2) \leq 0,
$$

i.e.,

 $v(t_2) > 1$ ,

which contradicts to  $(3.7)$ ,  $(3.4)$  and  $(3.6)$  give that

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\gamma_0 := \frac{\alpha_l}{\rho + \alpha_l} < v(t) < 1 - g^{-1}(-\rho) := \gamma_1, \quad \text{for all} \ \ t \in [-kT. k] \tag{3.8}
$$

Let  $w_{\lambda}(t) = v'(t) + \lambda F(v(t)), \lambda \in (0,1],$  where  $F(x) = \int_0^x f(1-s)ds$ , then from (3.1) that from  $(3.1)$  that

$$
w'_{\lambda}(t) = -\lambda g(1 - v(t)) - \frac{\lambda \alpha(t)}{v(t)} + \lambda(-h_k(t) + \alpha(t)), \quad \lambda \in (0, 1],
$$

and then

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\max_{t \in [-kT, kT]} |w'_{\lambda}(t)| \le g_{\gamma_0, \gamma_1} + \frac{\alpha_{\infty}}{\gamma_0} + \alpha_{\infty} + \rho := \gamma_2, \quad \lambda \in (0, 1], \tag{3.9}
$$

where  $\alpha_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [-kT, kT]} \alpha(t)$  and  $g_{\gamma_0, \gamma_1} = \max_{\gamma_0 \leq x \leq \gamma_1} |g(1-x)|$ . Furthermore, for each  $t \in [-kT, kT]$ , it is easy to see that there is an integer  $i \in \{-k, -k+1, \ldots, k-1\}$  such that  $t \in [iT, (i+1)T]$ . From the continuity of  $v'(t)$  on  $[iT, (i+1)T]$ , we have  $t_i \in [iT, (i+1)T]$  such that

$$
v'(t_i) = \frac{1}{T} \int_{iT}^{(i+1)T} v'(s) \mathrm{d}s,
$$

which together with  $(3.8)$  yields

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
|v'(t_i)| = \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_{iT}^{(i+1)T} v'(s) \, ds \right| = \frac{1}{T} |v(iT) - v((i+1)T)| < \frac{2\gamma_1}{T}.\tag{3.10}
$$

Since

$$
|w_{\lambda}(t)| = |w_{\lambda}(t_i) + \int_{t_i}^t w'_{\lambda}(s)ds|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq |w_{\lambda}(t_i)| + \int_{iT}^{(i+1)T} |w'_{\lambda}(s)|ds
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq |v'(t_i)| + |F(v(t_i)| + \int_{iT}^{(i+1)T} |w'_{\lambda}(s)|ds,
$$

it follows from  $(3.8)$ ,  $(3.9)$  and  $(3.10)$  that

$$
|w_{\lambda}(t)| \leq \frac{2\gamma_1}{T} + F_{\gamma_0, \gamma_1} + T\gamma_2,
$$

where  $F_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1} := \max_{\gamma_0 \leq x \leq \gamma_1} |F(x)|$ , i.e.,

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
|v'|_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [-kT, kT]} |v'(t)| \le \frac{2\gamma_1}{T} + 2F_{\gamma_0, \gamma_1} + T\gamma_2 := \gamma_3.
$$
 (3.11)

Clearly,  $\gamma_3$  is a positive constant independent of  $k \in N$ . By [\(3.8\)](#page-5-0), it is easy to check that

$$
g(1-\gamma_0)+\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\gamma_0}+\overline{h_k}-\bar{\alpha}>0
$$

and

$$
g(1-\gamma_1)+\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\gamma_1}+\overline{h_k}-\bar{\alpha}<0,
$$

and then

$$
\left(g(1-\gamma_0)+\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\gamma_0}+\overline{h_k}-\bar{\alpha}\right)\left(g(1-\gamma_1)+\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\gamma_1}+\overline{h_k}-\bar{\alpha}\right)<0.
$$

Thus, using Lemma [2.1](#page-2-2) for the case of  $N_0 = \gamma_0$ ,  $N_1 = \gamma_1$  and  $N_2 = \gamma_3$ , we have from (3.8) and (3.11) that for each  $k \in N$  there is a positive  $2kT$ . we have from  $(3.8)$  and  $(3.11)$  that for each  $k \in N$ , there is a positive  $2kT$ periodic solution  $v_k(t)$  to  $(2.1)$  such that

$$
\frac{\alpha_l}{\rho + \alpha_l} < v_k(t) < 1 - g^{-1}(-\rho), \ |v'_k|_{\infty} < \gamma_3 \quad \text{for all} \ \ k \in N.
$$

It follows from the substitution defined by  $y(t)=1-x(t)$  that for each  $k \in N$ , there is a 2kT-periodic solution  $u_k(t)$  to  $(1.3)$  such that

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
A_0 := g^{-1}(-\rho) < u_k(t) < \frac{\rho}{\rho + \alpha_l} := A_1 \tag{3.12}
$$

and

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
|u_k'|\infty \le \gamma_3. \tag{3.13}
$$

Since  $u_k(t)$  is a 2kT-periodic solution to [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1), we have

<span id="page-6-3"></span>
$$
u_k''(t) + f(u_k(t))u'_k(t) - g(u_k(t)) - \frac{\alpha(t)u_k(t)}{1 - u_k(t)} = h_k(t),
$$
\n(3.14)

and then by  $(3.12)$  and  $(3.13)$ , we have

$$
|u_k''|_{\infty} \le \gamma_4,\tag{3.15}
$$

where  $\gamma_4 := \gamma_3 f_{A_0,A_1} + g_{A_0,A_1} + \frac{\alpha_\infty A_1}{1-A_1} + \rho$  is a constant independent of  $k \in N$ .<br>Heing I amma 2.3, we see that there are a  $u \in C^{1}(B, B)$  and a subsequence Using Lemma [2.3,](#page-3-0) we see that there are a  $u_0 \in C^1(R, R)$  and a subsequence  ${u_{k_i}}$  of  ${u_k}$  such that

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\max_{t \in [-jT,jT]} |u_{k_i}(t) - u_0(t)| \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{t \in [-jT,jT]} |u'_{k_i}(t) - u'_0(t)| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad i \longrightarrow +\infty.
$$
\n(3.16)

For any real numbers a and b satisfying  $a < b$ , there is a positive integer  $j_0$ <br>such that for  $i > i_0$  [ $-k$  T  $k$  T)  $\supset a$  b]. Thus if  $i > i_0$  then from (1.4) and such that for  $j > j_0, [-k_jT, k_jT) \supset [a, b]$ . Thus, if  $j > j_0$ , then from [\(1.4\)](#page-2-3) and  $(3.14)$ , we see that

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
u''_{k_j}(t) + f(u_{k_j}(t))u'_{k_j}(t) - g(u_{k_j}(t)) - \frac{\alpha(t)u_{k_j}(t)}{1 - u_{k_j}(t)} = h(t), \quad t \in [a, b], \tag{3.17}
$$

Integrating  $(3.17)$  over  $[a, t] \subset [a, b]$ , we get

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
u'_{k_j}(t) - u'_{k_j}(a) = -\int_a^t f(u_{k_j}(s))u'_{k_j}(s)ds - \int_a^t g(u_{k_j}(s))ds
$$

$$
-\frac{\alpha(s)u_{k_j}(s)}{1 - u_{k_j}(s)}ds + \int_a^t h(s)ds, \text{ for } t \in [a, b]. \quad (3.18)
$$

[\(3.16\)](#page-7-1) implies that  $u_{k_j}(t) \to u_0(t)$  uniformly for  $t \in [a, b]$  and  $u'_{k_j}(t) \to u'_0(t)$ <br>uniformly for  $t \in [a, b]$ . Let  $i \to \infty$  in (3.18), we have uniformly for  $t \in [a, b]$ . Let  $j \to \infty$  in [\(3.18\)](#page-7-2), we have

<span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
u'_0(t) - u'_0(a) = -\int_a^t f(u_0(s))u'_0(s)ds - \int_a^t g(u_0(s))ds
$$

$$
-\frac{\alpha(s)u_0(s)}{1 - u_0(s)}ds + \int_a^t h(s)ds, \text{ for } t \in [a, b]. \tag{3.19}
$$

Considering a and b are two arbitrary constants with  $a < b$ , it is easy to see from  $(3.19)$  that  $u_0$  is a solution to  $(1.1)$ , i.e.,

<span id="page-7-4"></span>
$$
u_0''(t) + f(u_0(t))u_0'(t) - g(u_0(t)) - \frac{\alpha(t)u_0(t)}{1 - u_0(t)} = h(t), \quad t \in R.
$$
 (3.20)

Below, we will show

 $u_0(t) \to 0$  and  $u'_0(t) \to 0$  as  $|t| \to +\infty$ .

For each  $k \in N$ , multiplying  $(3.14)$  with  $u_k(t)$  and integrating it over the interval  $[-kT, kT]$ , we have

$$
\int_{-kT}^{kT} |u'_{k}(t)|^{2} dt - \int_{-kT}^{kT} f(u_{k}(t))u_{k}(t)u'_{k}(t) dt
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{-kT}^{kT} g(u_{k}(t))u_{k}(t) dt + \int_{-kT}^{kT} \frac{\alpha(t)u_{k}(t)}{1 - u_{k}(t)} dt
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{-kT}^{kT} h_{k}(t)u_{k}(t) dt.
$$

It follows from  $\int_{-kT}^{kT} f(u_k(t))u_k(t)u'_k(t)dt = 0$ , together with assumption [H1] that

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\int_{-kT}^{kT} |u'_{k}(t)|^{2} dt + \sigma \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u_{k}(t)|^{n+1} dt
$$
\n
$$
\leq \int_{-kT}^{kT} |h_{k}(t)u_{k}(t)| dt
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left(\int_{-kT}^{kT} |h_{k}(t)|^{\frac{n+1}{n}} dt\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \left(\int_{-kT}^{kT} |u_{k}(t)|^{n+1} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}.
$$
\n(3.21)

Furthermore, from [\(1.4\)](#page-2-3) we see that

$$
\int_{-kT}^{kT} |h_k(t)|^{\frac{n+1}{n}} dt = \int_{-kT}^{kT - \frac{T}{2}} |h_k(t)|^{\frac{n+1}{n}} dt + \int_{kT - \frac{T}{2}}^{kT} |h_k(t)|^{\frac{n+1}{n}} dt
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \int_{-kT}^{kT - \frac{T}{2}} |h(t)|^{\frac{n+1}{n}} dt + \frac{\rho^{\frac{n+1}{n}}T}{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \int_R |h(t)|^{\frac{n+1}{n}} dt + \frac{\rho^{\frac{n+1}{n}}T}{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
:= \rho_3,
$$
 (3.22)

which together with [\(3.21\)](#page-8-0) yields

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\sigma \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u_k(t)|^{n+1} dt \le \rho_3^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \left( \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u_k(t)|^{n+1} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \tag{3.23}
$$

and

<span id="page-8-3"></span>
$$
\int_{-kT}^{kT} |u_k'(t)|^2 dt \le \rho_3^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \left( \int_{-kT}^{kT} |u_k(t)|^{n+1} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}.
$$
 (3.24)

[\(3.23\)](#page-8-1) gives

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
\int_{-kT}^{kT} |u_k(t)|^{n+1} \mathrm{d}t \le \rho_3 \sigma^{-\frac{n+1}{n}}, \quad \text{for all} \ \ k \in N. \tag{3.25}
$$

Substituting  $(3.25)$  into  $(3.24)$ , we get

<span id="page-8-4"></span>
$$
\int_{-kT}^{kT} |u_k'(t)|^2 dt \le \rho_3 \sigma^{-\frac{1}{n}}, \quad \text{for all} \ \ k \in N. \tag{3.26}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (|u_0(t)|^{n+1} + |u'_0(t)|^2) dt = \lim_{i \to +\infty} \int_{-iT}^{iT} (|u_0(t)|^{n+1} + |u'_0(t)|^2) dt
$$
  
= 
$$
\lim_{i \to +\infty} \lim_{j \to +\infty} \int_{-iT}^{iT} (|u_{k_j}(t)|^{n+1} + |u'_{k_j}(t)|^2) dt,
$$

clearly, for every  $i \in N$ , if  $k_j > i$ , then by  $(3.25)$  and  $(3.26)$ , we have

$$
\int_{-iT}^{iT} (|u_{k_j}(t)|^{n+1} + |u'_{k_j}(t)|^2) dt \le \int_{-k_jT}^{k_jT} (|u_{k_j}(t)|^{n+1} + |u'_{k_j}(t)|^2) dt
$$
  

$$
\le \rho_3 \sigma^{-\frac{1}{n}} + \rho_3 \sigma^{-\frac{n+1}{n}}.
$$

Let  $i \to +\infty$  and  $j \to +\infty$ , we get

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (|u_0(t)|^{n+1} + |u'_0(t)|^2) dt \le \rho_3 \sigma^{-\frac{1}{n}} + \rho_3 \sigma^{-\frac{n+1}{n}}, \tag{3.27}
$$

and then

$$
\int_{|t| \ge r} (|u_0(t)|^{n+1} + |u'_0(t)|^2) dt \to 0
$$

as  $r \to +\infty$ . So using Lemma [2.2,](#page-3-1) we obtain

$$
|u_0(t)| \le (2T)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} \left( \int_{t-T}^{t+T} |u_0(s)|^{n+1} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} + T(2T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{t-T}^{t+T} |u'_0(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
  

$$
\le \left[ (2T)^{-\frac{1}{m}} + T(2T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \left[ \left( \int_{t-T}^{t+T} |u_0(s)|^{n+1} ds \right)^{1/(n+1)}
$$
  

$$
+ \left( \int_{t-T}^{t+T} |u'_0(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]
$$
  

$$
\to 0, \text{ as } |t| \to +\infty,
$$

which implies that

$$
u_0(t) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |t| \to +\infty. \tag{3.28}
$$

Next, we will prove that

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
u_0'(t) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |t| \to +\infty. \tag{3.29}
$$

From  $(3.12)$ ,  $(3.13)$  and  $(3.16)$ , we obtain

$$
|u_0(t)| \le \max\left\{\frac{\rho}{\rho + \alpha_l}, |g^{-1}(-\rho)|\right\} := A_0, \text{ for } t \in R.
$$
 (3.30)

and

$$
|u'_0(t)| \le \gamma_3, \quad \text{for } t \in R. \tag{3.31}
$$

It follows from [\(3.20\)](#page-7-4) that

$$
|u_0''(t)| \le f_{A_0, A_1} \gamma_3 + g_{A_0, A_1} + \frac{\alpha_\infty A_1}{1 - A_1} + \rho := A_2, \quad \text{for } t \in R. \tag{3.32}
$$

If  $(3.29)$  does not hold, then there is a constant  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and a sequence  $\{t_k\}$  that

$$
|t_1| < |t_2| < |t_3| < \cdots,
$$

with  $|t_k| + 1 < |t_{k+1}|, k = 1, 2, \ldots$ , and

$$
|u'_0(t_k)| \ge 2\delta, \ \ k=1,2,\ldots,
$$

which results in

$$
|u'_0(t)| = \left| u'_0(t_k) + \int_{t_k}^t u''_0(s)ds \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq |u'_0(t_k)| - \int_{t_k}^t |u''_0(s)|ds
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \delta, \text{ for } t \in [t_k, t_k + \frac{\delta}{1 + A_2}],
$$

and then

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |u_0'(t)|^2 dt \ge \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \int_{t_k}^{t_k + \frac{\delta}{1+A_2}} |u_0'(t)|^2 dt = +\infty.
$$

This contradicts to [\(3.27\)](#page-9-1). It is easy to see that [\(3.29\)](#page-9-0) holds. Thus,  $u_0(t)$  is just a homoclinic solution to equation (1.1) just a homoclinic solution to equation  $(1.1)$ .

### **4. Example**

In this section, we present an example to demonstrate the main result.

Consider the following equation:

<span id="page-10-4"></span>
$$
x''(t) + f(x(t))x'(t) - x^3(t) - \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{2}\sin t)x(t)}{1 - x(t)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}},\tag{4.1}
$$

where  $f: R \to R$  are continuous, $h(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}$  is a standard normal distribution probability function. Corresponding to  $(1.1)$ , we have  $g(x) = x^3$ ,  $\alpha(t) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sin t$ . We can easily check that [H1] and [H2] holds for the case<br>of  $\sigma = 1$  and  $n = 3$ . From Theorem 3.1, we know that equation (4.1) has at of  $\sigma = 1$  and  $n = 3$ . From Theorem [3.1,](#page-4-7) we know that equation [\(4.1\)](#page-10-4) has at least one nontrivial homoclinic solution.

## <span id="page-10-1"></span>**References**

- <span id="page-10-0"></span> $[1]$  Faure, R.: Sur l'application d'un théorème de point fixe à l'existence de solutions p´eriodiques. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **282 A**, 1295–1298 (1976)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>[2] Lazer, A.C., Solimini, S.: On periodic solutions of nonlinear differential equations with singularities. J. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **99**, 109–114 (1987)
- [3] Torres, P.J.: Existence of one-signed periodic solutions of some second order differential equations via a Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem. J. Differ. Equ. **190**, 643–662 (2003)
- [4] Martins, R.: Existence of periodic solutions for second-order differential equations with singularities and the strong force condition. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **317**, 1–13 (2006)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[5] Jebelean, P., Mawhin, J.: Periodic solutions of singular nonlinear perturbations of the ordinary p-Laplacian. J. Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **2**(3), 299–312 (2002)
- [6] Chu, J., Torres, P.J., Wang, F.: Twist periodic solutions for differential equations with a combined attractive-repulsive singularity. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **437**, 1070–1083 (2016)
- [7] Li, X., Zhang, Z.: Periodic solutions for second order differential equations with a singular nonlinearity. Nonlinear Anal. **69**, 3866–3876 (2008)
- [8] Hakl, R., Torres, P.J.: On periodic solutions of second-order differential equations with attractive Crepulsive singularities. J. Differ. Equ. **248**, 111–126 (2010)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>[9] Hakl, R., Torres, P.J., Zamora, M.: Periodic solutions of singular second order differential equations: upper and lower functions. Nonlinear Anal. **74**, 7078– 7093 (2011)
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>[10] Wang, Z.: Periodic solutions of Li´enard equations with a singularity and a deviating argument. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **16**, 227–234 (2014)
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>[11] Forbat, N., Huaux, A.: Dtermination approach´ee et stabilit´e locale de la solution périodique d'une equation différentielle non linéaire. Mém. Public Soc. Sci. Arts Lett. Hainaut **76**, 3–13 (1962)
- [12] Lei, J., Zhang, M.: Twist property of periodic motion of an atom near a charged wire. Lett. Math. Phys. **60**(1), 9–17 (2002)
- [13] Adachi, S.: Non-collision periodic solutions of prescribed energy problem for a class of singular Hamiltonian systems. Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **25**, 275–296 (2005)
- [14] Terracini, S.: Remarks on periodic orbits of dynamical systems with repulsive singularities. J. Funct. Anal. **111**, 213–238 (1993)
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>[15] Gaeta, S., Man´asevich, R.: Existence of a pair of periodic solutions of an ode generalizing a problem in nonlinear elasticity via variational methods. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **123**, 257–271 (1988)
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>[16] Costa, D.G., Tehrani, H.: On a class of singular second-order Hamiltonian systems with infinitely many homoclinic solutions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **412**, 200–211 (2014)
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>[17] Tanaka, K.: Homoclinic orbits for a singular second order Hamiltonian system. Ann. Inst. H. Poincar´e Anal. NonLin´eaire **7**, 427–438 (1990)
- [18] Bessi, U.: Multiple homoclinic orbits for autonomous singular potentials. Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. Sect. A **124**, 785–802 (1994)
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>[19] Borges, M.J.: Heteroclinic and homoclinic solutions for a singular Hamiltonian system. Eur. J. Appl. Math. **17**(1), 1–32 (2006)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>[20] Bonheure, Denis, Torres, Pedro J.: Bounded and homoclinic-like solutions of a second-order singular differential equation. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **44**, 47–54 (2012)
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>[21] Lu, S.: Homoclinic solutions for a class of second-order p-Laplacian differential systems with delay. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **12**(1), 780–788 (2011)
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>[22] Lu, S.: Homoclinic solutions for a class of prescribed mean curvature Linard equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. 239 (2015). [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-015-0579-3) [s13662-015-0579-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-015-0579-3)
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>[23] Mawhin, J.: Topological degree and boundary value for nonlinear differential equations. In: Furi, M., Zecca, P. (eds.) Topological Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1537, pp. 74–142. Springer, Berlin (1993)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>[24] Manásevich, R., Mawhin, J.: Periodic solutions for nonlinear systems with p-Laplacian-like operators. J. Differ. Equ. **145**, 367–393 (1998)
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>[25] Tang, X., Li, X.: Homolinic solutions for ordinary p-Laplacian systems with a coercive potential. Nonlinear Anal. **71**, 1124–1132 (2009)

<span id="page-12-0"></span>[26] Lu, S.: Existence of homoclinic solutions for a class of neutral functional diffierential equations. Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser. **28**, 1261–1274 (2012)

Shiping Lu and Xuewen Jia College of Mathematics and Statistics Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology Nanjing 210044 Jiangsu People's Republic of China e-mail: lushiping88@sohu.com

Xuewen Jia e-mail: 460181907@qq.com