## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

## Evaluation of the technoeconomic feasibility of electrochemical hydrogen peroxide production for decentralized water treatment

## Yang Li, Yixin Zhang, Guangshen Xia, Juhong Zhan, Gang Yu, Yujue Wang (🖂)

School of Environment, State Key Joint Laboratory of Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control, Beijing Key Laboratory for Emerging Organic Contaminants Control, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

## HIGHLIGHTS

- Gas diffusion electrode (GDE) is a suitable setup for practical water treatment.
- Electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production is an economically competitive technology.
- High current efficiency of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production was obtained with GDE at 5–400 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>.
- GDE maintained high stability for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production for ~1000 h.
- Electro-generation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> enhances ibuprofen removal in an E-peroxone process.

## GRAPHIC ABSTRACT



ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 21 April 2020 Revised 7 June 2020 Accepted 7 June 2020 Available online 9 July 2020

*Keywords:* Advanced oxidation process Electro-peroxone Gas diffusion electrode Hydrogen peroxide Oxygen reduction

## **1** Introduction

#### A B S T R A C T This study evaluated the feasibility of electrochemical hydrogen peroxide $(H_2O_2)$ production with gas diffusion electrode (GDE) for decentralized water treatment. Carbon black-polytetrafluoroethylene GDEs were prepared and tested in a continuous flow electrochemical cell for $H_2O_2$ production from oxygen reduction. Results showed that because of the effective oxygen transfer in GDEs, the electrode maintained high apparent current efficiencies (ACEs, >80%) for $H_2O_2$ production over a wide current density range of 5–400 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, and $H_2O_2$ production rates as high as ~202 mg/h/cm<sup>2</sup> could be obtained. Long-term stability test showed that the GDE maintained high ACEs (>85%) and low energy consumption (< 10 kWh/kg $H_2O_2$ ) for $H_2O_2$ production for 42 d (~1000 h). However, the ACEs then decreased to ~70% in the following 4 days because water flooding of GDE pores considerably impeded oxygen transport at the late stage of the trial. Based on an electrode lifetime of 46 days, the overall cost for $H_2O_2$ production was estimated to be ~0.88 \$/kg $H_2O_{2_2}$ including an electricity cost of 0.61 \$/kg and an electrode capital cost of 0.27 \$/kg. With a 9 cm<sup>2</sup> GDE and 40 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> current density, ~2–4 mg/L of $H_2O_2$ could be produced on site for the electro-peroxone treatment of a 1.2 m<sup>3</sup>/d groundwater flow, which considerably enhanced ibuprofen abatement compared with ozonation alone (~43%–59% vs. 7%). These findings suggest that electrochemical $H_2O_2$ production with GDEs holds great promise for the development of compact treatment technologies for decentralized water treatment at a household and community level.

© Higher Education Press 2020

Hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  is an indispensable chemical for a variety of advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) that are commonly used in water treatment, such as the Fenton  $(Fe^{2+}/H_2O_2)$ , ultraviolet/ $H_2O_2$  (UV/ $H_2O_2$ ), and peroxone  $(O_3/H_2O_2)$  processes (Lu et al., 2018a; von Gunten, 2018;

Corresponding author

E-mail: wangyujue@tsinghua.edu.cn

Yang et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019). Currently,  $H_2O_2$  is produced almost exclusively by the anthraquinone process on an industrial scale (Campos-Martin et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2019). The anthraquinone process is a large-scale centralized production process that requires massive infrastructure and significant energy input. To minimize transportation costs, the crude  $H_2O_2$  obtained from the anthraquinone process is usually purified, concentrated, and then marketed as aqueous solutions with ~30 wt.%– 70 wt.%  $H_2O_2$ . However, concentrated  $H_2O_2$  is explosive and corrosive (Yang et al., 2018). The risks involved in the transport and storage of concentrated  $H_2O_2$  stocks thus have considerably limited the application of  $H_2O_2$ -based AOPs in some cases, such as decentralized water treatment (Barazesh et al., 2015; von Gunten, 2018; Yang et al., 2018). Therefore, it is highly desired that  $H_2O_2$  can be produced on site and on demand during water treatment in an energy-efficient, environmental friendly, and safe way.

Electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production from two-electron oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) has been considered a promising approach to produce H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on site for water treatment (Wang et al., 2018b; Yang et al., 2018). The in situ production of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> avoids the transport and storage of  $H_2O_2$  stocks and can thus considerably enhance the safety and flexibility of H2O2-based AOPs. Over the past years, a variety of electricity-driven AOPs (EAOPs) have been developed based on the in situ generation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> from ORR, for example, the electro-Fenton (E-Fenton) (Brillas et al., 1996; Oturan et al., 1999), electro-peroxone (Eperoxone) (Yuan et al., 2013), and electrochemically driven UV/H2O2 (E-UV/H2O2) processes (Barazesh et al., 2015; Frangos et al., 2016). A great number of bench- and pilot-scale studies have demonstrated that these EAOPs can generally achieve similar or even better water treatment performance compared with their conventional counterparts (Brillas et al., 2009; Turkay et al., 2017b; Wang et al., 2018b). Therefore, it has been expected that these EAOPs will play an increasingly important role in future urban water systems if they can be successfully scaled up (von Gunten, 2018; Wang et al., 2018b; Yang et al., 2018).

While much progress has been made on electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production, its technoeconomic feasibility for practical water treatment has yet to be evaluated (Yang et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2019). To be economically competitive, the cost of electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production must be competitive with that of the conventional way of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> supplying (i.e., purchasing, transport, and storage of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> stocks for water treatment). The cost of electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production includes mainly two parts, the energy consumption of electrolysis operation and the capital cost of electrodes (Yang et al., 2018). The reported energy consumption of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production vary significantly in literature, e.g., from ~3.1 to 60 kWh/kg H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Sheng et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2017; Pérez et al., 2019). Based on the electricity price of ~0.066 \$/kWh (USEIA, 2016), these energy consumptions translate to ~0.20–4.0  $\text{Kg H}_2O_2$ . In comparison, the market price of  $H_2O_2$  stock fluctuates between ~0.7 and 1.2 \$/kg on 100 wt.% product basis (Ciriminna et al., 2016). Notably, while some studies have shown that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> could be electrochemically produced at considerably lower electricity costs (e.g., 0.1-0.3 \$/kg H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) than the market price of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> stock (Barazesh et al., 2015), the current densities used in these studies (e.g.,  $\sim 0.4-1.5 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ ) are probably too low for practical applications, where high current densities (several tens to hundreds of mA/cm<sup>2</sup>) are desired to obtain high  $H_2O_2$  production rate, minimize electrode surface (and capital cost), and construct compact electrochemical reactor (Wang et al., 2018b; Yang et al., 2018; Chaplin, 2019). This information suggests that the electricity cost of  $H_2O_2$  production needs to be more relevantly evaluated under realistic operating conditions of water treatment. Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, the capital cost of electrode for  $H_2O_2$  production has not been evaluated in previous studies.

More importantly, for decentralized water treatment, the electrode must be able to maintain high stability for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production over long-term operation and varying operating conditions (Zhou et al., 2019). Because of their low cost, high selectivity for two-electron ORR, and other favorable characteristics (e.g., chemical resistance and non-toxicity), carbonaceous materials are generally considered the most relevant catalysts for electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production in water treatment applications (Lu et al., 2018b). Various carbon-based electrodes have been developed for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> electro-generation in EAOPs, for example, carbon felt, carbon black-polytetrafluoroethylene (CB-PTFE), activated carbon fiber, and reticulated vitreous carbon (Brillas et al., 2009; Turkay et al., 2017a; Wang et al., 2018b). These carbon-based electrodes generally exhibit good stability in short-term trials (e.g., laboratory-scale multicycle batch tests) (Sheng et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). However, the time frame of the shortterm trials is typically just several to several tens of hours, which are too short to draw convincing conclusions on the long-term stability of electrode. As the long-term stability of electrode is critical for maintaining robust water treatment performance and minimizing the capital cost of electrode, longer time trials are needed to evaluate this critical issue for practical applications.

The main objective of this study was to evaluate the technoeconomic feasibility of electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production for practical water treatment applications. A continuous electrochemical cell was developed to produce  $H_2O_2$  under realistic operating conditions water treatment. The effects of electrode configurations and major process parameters (e.g., current density, interelectrode distance, flow rate, and conductivity) were investigated systematically. The stability of electrode for  $H_2O_2$  production was evaluated in a long-term trial (~1100 h). Finally, the feasibility of electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production for micropollutant abatement in groundwater by the E-peroxone process was evaluated.

## 2 Materials and methods

## 2.1 Chemicals and water sample

Sodium sulfate, potassium titanium oxalate, anhydrous alcohol, and concentrated sulfuric acid were purchased

from Beijing Chemical Works Co., China.  $H_2O_2$  solutions (30 wt.%) were shipped from Sigma-Aldrich. Ibuprofen was purchased from Aladdin Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) and used as a model for ozone-resistant compound. Carbon black (CB) powder (Vulcan XC-72, Cabot Corp., USA) and polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) dispersion (60 wt.%) were purchased from Hesen electric Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China. A groundwater sample was collected in the north-west suburban of Beijing (see Table 1 for the water quality parameters).

 Table 1
 Water quality parameters of the groundwater used in this study

Parameters	Value
pH	7.57
DOC (mg/L)	1.60
$\text{HCO}_3^-$ (mg/L)	302
$\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ (mg/L)	—
Cl <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	30.5
$SO_4^{2-}$ (mg/L)	56.6
Na <sup>+</sup> (mg/L)	21.1
$Ca^{2+}$ (mg/L)	64.5
Mg <sup>2+</sup> (mg/L)	30.2
Conductivity (µS/cm)	806

#### 2.2 Electrode preparation

Carbon black-polytetrafluoroethylene (CB-PTFE) electrodes were prepared following the procedure described previously (Wang et al., 2012). Appropriate amounts of Vulcan XC-72 CB powder, PTFE dispersion, and anhydrous alcohol were mixed in an ultrasonic bath for 15 min. The mixture was then gently heated to evaporate alcohol at 80°C. The resulting CB-PTFE paste was compressed to form a sheet with a thickness of  $\sim 0.5$  mm in a press. Next, two pieces of the CB-PTFE sheet, together with a nickel mesh in their middle as the current collector, were compressed at a pressure of 20 MPa for 5 min, followed by calcination at 350°C for 1 h to form the CB-PTFE electrode. Vulcan XC-72 was one of the most commonly used carbon materials for preparing CB-PTFE electrodes, whose properties have been well characterized in a number of previous studies (Assumpção et al., 2011; Valim et al., 2013; Stoerzinger et al., 2015).

For  $H_2O_2$  electro-generation, the prepared CB-PTFE electrodes were either directly submerged in electrolytes aerated with an oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) gas (i.e., in the traditional submerged and aerated electrode (SAE) configuration, see Fig. 1(a)), or assembled with a gas chamber (3 cm × 3 cm × 2.4 cm) to form a gas diffusion electrode (GDE), which were then submerged in electrolytes to produce  $H_2O_2$  using  $O_2$  fed in the gas chamber as  $O_2$  source for ORR (see Fig. 1(b)).

#### 2.3 Electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production

Electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production experiments were conducted in a continuous flow electrochemical cell (8.8 cm × 8 cm × 10 cm) with a three-electrode system (see Fig. 1(c)). The cathode was the CB-PTFE electrode in the SAE or GDE configuration (exposed area of 3 cm × 3 cm). The anode was a platinum mesh (exposed area of 3 cm × 3 cm). During the experiments, the cathodic potentials were monitored using a saturated calomel electrode as the reference electrode. The selected groundwater was amended with 0.05–0.1 mol/L Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, then continuously fed into the bottom of the electrochemical cell and discharged at the top. The flow rate was regulated between 5 and 40 mL/min, which corresponds to a hydraulic residence time (HRT) of 80 and 10 min in the cell.

During electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production with the SAE cathode, a pure oxygen gas (99.9%) was continuously aerated in the electrochemical cell using a fine bubble diffuser at varying flow rates between 0.25 and 2.0 L/min. In contrast, no oxygen aeration was applied during electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production with the GDE cathode. Rather, the pure oxygen gas was passed through the gas chamber at a constant flow rate of 0.25 L/min, whereby oxygen in the gas chamber can diffuse in the micropores of CB-PTFE matrix to react with H<sup>+</sup> from the electrolyte and electron from the electrode to yield  $H_2O_2$ . During the experiments, the solution was vigorously agitated with a magnetic stirring bar to achieve homogeneous solution.

The apparent current efficiency, energy consumption, and electrode capital cost for  $H_2O_2$  production are calculated using Eqs. (1), (2), and (3), respectively.

$$\eta = \frac{nF \int_{0}^{t} C_{\rm H_2O_2} Q dt}{3.6 \times 34S \int_{0}^{t} j dt} \times 100\%,$$
(1)

$$EC = \frac{S \int_{0}^{t} jU dt}{\int_{0}^{t} C_{\rm H_2O_2} Q dt} \times 10^{-3},$$
 (2)

$$C_{\text{electrode}} = \frac{P_{\text{electrode}}S}{\int_{0}^{T} C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2}Q\text{d}t} \times 10^3,$$
(3)

where  $\eta$  is the apparent current efficiency for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> electrogeneration (%), *n* is the number of electrons consumed for converting 1 mol of O<sub>2</sub> to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (2 electrons), *F* is the Faraday constant (96485 C/mol), *Q* is the flow rate of water (m<sup>3</sup>/h), C<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub> is the concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (mg/L), *S* is the cathode area (cm<sup>2</sup>), *t* is the electrolysis time (h), *j* is the cathodic current density (mA/cm<sup>2</sup>), *EC* is the energy consumption of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production (kWh/kg), *U* is the cell



Fig. 1 Scheme of (a) electrochemical cell with the submerged and aerated electrode (SAE) and (b) the gas diffusion electrode (GDE), and (c) the electro-peroxone system for groundwater treatment.

voltage (V),  $C_{\text{electrode}}$  is the electrode capital cost for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production (\$/kg),  $P_{\text{electrode}}$  is the cost per unit cathode area (\$/cm<sup>2</sup>), *T* is the lifetime of electrode (h).

# 2.4 Micropollutant abatement by ozonation and the E-peroxone process

To evaluate the effects of electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production on water treatment, a pilot scale E-peroxone system was developed by combining the electrochemical cell and an ozone system, then tested for micropollutant abatement in the selected groundwater (see Fig. 1(c) for the scheme of the system). The groundwater in the water tank was added with 100 µg/L ibuprofen (as a model compound for ozone-resistant micropollutant), then continuously fed into the bottom of the ozone column (10 cm i.d., 120 cm height, effective volume of 8.3 L) at a flow rate of 50 L/h (833 mL/min, corresponding to a HRT of 10 min in the column). Meanwhile, a side stream of the groundwater (20 mL/min, amended with 0.1 mol/L Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) was passed through the electrochemical cell for  $H_2O_2$  production at a current density of 40 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> and HRT of 20 min. The electrochemical cell effluent was then continuously fed in the ozone reactor to mix with the mainstream groundwater. Simultaneously with the electro-generation of  $H_2O_2$ , an ozone-containing oxygen gas ( $O_3 = 18.7 \text{ mg/L}$ ) was produced by passing a pure oxygen gas (99.9%) through an ozone generator (OL80F/DST, Ozone services, Canada), and then continuously bubbled into the ozone column at a constant flow rate of 0.25 L/min. The E-peroxone treatment was operated with a HRT of 10 min. The treated groundwater was then discharged at the top of the ozone column.

#### 2.5 Analytical methods

 $H_2O_2$  concentration was measured using the potassium titanium (IV) oxalate method (Sellers, 1980). O<sub>3</sub> concentrations in the inlet gas and off gas of the ozone column were followed using ozone analyzers (BMT 964, Ozone

Systems Technology International Inc., Germany). Ibuprofen concentrations were measured with an ultraperformance liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (Agilent LC1290/QQQ6460, Agilent, USA) using the protocol described by (Yao et al., 2018).

## 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Comparison of SAE and GDE for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production

Figure 2(a) shows the evolution of  $H_2O_2$  concentrations in the electrochemical cell effluent during electrolysis with the SAE cathode (HRT of 10 min). During the experiments, applied current densities were stepwise increased every 30 min to evaluate the effects of current density on

 $H_2O_2$  production. When a low current density of 5 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> was applied, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the cell effluents were generally the same (~5 mg/L) regardless of the oxygen flow rates (0.25-2.0 L/min). However, as the current densities were stepwise increased, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentrations exhibited different dynamics for the samples aerated with varying flow rates of oxygen. Specifically, when the current density was increased to 10 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentrations increased similarly to ~9.5 mg/L for the samples aerated with 0.5-2.0 L/min O2, but decreased abruptly to <1 mg/L for the sample aerated with 0.25 L/min O<sub>2</sub>. Similar abrupt drops in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentrations and ACE for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production were also observed for the samples aerated with 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 L/min O<sub>2</sub> as the current densities was stepwise increased to 15, 20, and 25 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively (Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)).



**Fig. 2** Evolution of  $H_2O_2$  concentrations and apparent current efficiency for  $H_2O_2$  during electrolysis with the submerged and aerated electrode (a and b) and with gas diffusion electrode (c and d). Reaction conditions: HRT = 10 min, water flow rate = 40 mL/min, electrolyte = 0.1 mol/L Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, electrode area = 2 cm × 2 cm for the SAE and GDE, O<sub>2</sub> flow rates = 0.25–2 L/min for the SAE and 0.25 L/min for the GDE.

The results shown in Fig. 2(a) indicate that oxygen flow rates have a significant influence on electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production with the SAE cathodes. Due to oxygen aeration, numerous oxygen microbubbles are generated in the solution. Some oxygen microbubbles, together with dissolved oxygen (DO), can then diffuse to the cathode surface to supply  $O_2$  for ORR to  $H_2O_2$  (Tang et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2019). The CB-PTFE electrodes used in this study have a high selectivity for two-electron ORR to  $H_2O_2$  (Wang et al., 2015). When ORR at the cathode is limited by applied current densities rather than by O<sub>2</sub> mass transfer, high ACEs for  $H_2O_2$  production (e.g., >90%) can generally be obtained with the CB-PTFE electrode (Wang et al., 2015). Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show that when a low current density of 5 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> was applied, similar  $H_2O_2$ concentration ( $\sim 5 \text{ mg/L}$ ) and high ACEs (generally >95%) were obtained irrespective of the flow rate of oxygen aeration. These observations suggest that ORR is current limited under the test conditions. However, as current densities are progressively increased, ORR to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> may change from current limited to oxygen mass transfer limited (Xia et al., 2017). When there are insufficient quantities of  $O_2$  in the cathodic diffusion layer to accepted electrons transferred at the cathode, side reactions such as further reduction of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to H<sub>2</sub>O are promoted, which will result in significant decreases in H2O2 concentrations and ACEs for  $H_2O_2$  production (Xia et al., 2017). Overall, the results shown above indicate that although increasing the flow rates of oxygen aeration can result in more oxygen microbubbles in the solution and thus enhance oxygen transfer to the cathode, this approach is not an effective way to supply  $O_2$  to the SAE cathode. Therefore, electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production can only be stably operated at relatively low current densities ( $\leq 20$  $mA/cm^2$ ) with the SAE cathodes even when high flow rates of oxygen were aerated.

In contrast to the SAE electrode, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentrations increased monotonically with increasing applied current densities up to 400 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> (the highest current density our DC power could supply) during electrolysis with the GDE cathode (Fig. 2(c)). In GDEs, oxygen in the gas chamber can diffuse in the porous CB-PTFE matrix, then react with H<sup>+</sup> and electron at a gas-electrode-liquid interface inside the electrode to yield H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, which then diffuses to the bulk electrolyte in the electrochemical cell (Zhou et al., 2019). The supply of  $O_2$  through the porous structure and the formation of three-phase interface greatly enhance O<sub>2</sub> mass transfer and electron transfer between the multiple phases (Tang et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2019). Therefore, significantly higher current densities can be applied during electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production with the GDE cathode without being subjected to  $O_2$  mass transfer limitation.

Note that Fig. 2(d) shows that with increasing current densities from 5 to 400 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, the ACEs for  $H_2O_2$ 

production decreased gradually from ~100% to ~78% during electrolysis with the GDE cathode. These decreases can be mainly attributed to the accelerated decomposition of cathodically generated  $H_2O_2$  by anodic oxidation at higher  $H_2O_2$  concentrations (see the effects of HRT on  $H_2O_2$  production for further discussion) (Brillas et al., 2009; Xia et al., 2017).

For practical applications, the rate of  $H_2O_2$  production (mass of  $H_2O_2$  produced per unit time and per unit cathode surface area) must be able to meet the required  $H_2O_2$  doses in water treatment (Eq. (4)). Meanwhile, as shown in Eq. (5), the rate of  $H_2O_2$  production is directly proportional to current density and ACE for  $H_2O_2$  production.

$$r = \frac{D_{\rm H_2O_2}Q_{\rm W}}{S} \times 10^3,$$
 (4)

$$r = \frac{122400j\eta}{nF},\tag{5}$$

where *r* is the mass of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> produced per unit time and per unit cathode area (mg/h/cm<sup>2</sup>),  $D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2}$  is the required H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> dose for water treatment (mg/L),  $Q_W$  is the flow rate of water that needs to be treated (m<sup>3</sup>/h), *S* is the cathode area (cm<sup>2</sup>), *j* is the current density (mA/cm<sup>2</sup>),  $\eta$  is the apparent efficiency for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> electro-generation (%).

The SAE configuration is prone to suffer from O<sub>2</sub> mass transfer limitation and can therefore be only stably operated at relatively low current densities even when a high flow rate of oxygen was aerated (e.g.,  $\leq 20 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ with 2.0 L/min oxygen aeration, see Fig. 2(a)). Therefore, the highest rate of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production obtained during electrolysis with the SAE cathode was only ~11.2 mg/h/ cm<sup>2</sup> (see Fig. 3 inset). This means that large electrode surface area will be needed to produce required  $H_2O_2$ doses when scaling up this process for decentralized water treatment applications (Eq. (4)). For example, based on the highest H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production rate obtained during electrolysis with the SAE cathode, at least a ~0.9-9 m<sup>2</sup> cathode surface area is needed to produce 10 mg/L  $H_2O_2$  in a 10–100 m<sup>3</sup>/h water flow. The requirement of large electrode surface increases not only the capital cost of the electrodes (Eq. (3)), but also the difficulty in the construction of compact electrochemical reactor for practical applications.

In contrast, the GDEs allow  $H_2O_2$  to be efficiently produced at significantly higher current densities (Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)), and  $H_2O_2$  production rates as high as ~202 mg/h/cm<sup>2</sup> could be obtained during electrolysis with the GDE cathode (see Fig. 3). Consequently, a 0.05–0.5 m<sup>2</sup> GDE is sufficient to produce the required  $H_2O_2$  dose (10 mg/L) in the aforementioned water flow (10– 100 m<sup>3</sup>/h). This result suggests that GDEs are a more feasible electrode configuration for the development of compact electrochemical reactors for practical water treatment applications.



**Fig. 3** H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production rates as a function of applied current density during electrolysis with the GDE cathode and with the SAE cathode (inset). Reaction conditions: HRT = 10 min, water flow rate = 40 mL/min, electrolyte = 0.1 mol/L Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, electrode area = 2 cm  $\times$  2 cm for the SAE and GDE, O<sub>2</sub> flow rates = 0.25 L/min for the GDE and 2 L/min for the SAE.

#### 3.2 Electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production with GDE

#### 3.2.1 Effects of current density

Figure 4(a) shows that with increasing applied current densities from 10 to 100 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>,  $H_2O_2$  concentrations in the electrolytic cell effluent increased almost linearly during electrolysis with the GDE cathode. Adjusting current densities can thus offer a convenient and flexible way to control the  $H_2O_2$  doses for water treatment. However, cell voltages increased concomitantly with

increasing current densities (Fig. 4(b)). Consequently, the energy consumption for  $H_2O_2$  production increased from 5.2 to 17.8 kWh/kg as the current densities were increased from 10 to 100 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> (Fig. 4(b)).

It is noted that the sales price of  $H_2O_2$  stocks is ~0.7– 1.2 \$/kg  $H_2O_2$  (Ciriminna et al., 2016), which corresponds to ~10.6–18.2 kWh/kg  $H_2O_2$  based on the electricity price of 0.066 \$/kWh (USEIA, 2016). Therefore, to be competitive with the market price of  $H_2O_2$  stocks, the energy consumption of electrochemical of  $H_2O_2$  production needs to be controlled below ~10–18 kWh/kg  $H_2O_2$ . Under the test conditions, this goal can be achieved by controlling the current densities lower than 50–100 mA/ cm<sup>2</sup> (see Fig. 4(b)). Note that by optimizing operating conditions (e.g., reducing the interelectrode distance between the anode and cathode), higher current densities can be used without exceeding the target energy consumption (see discussion of Fig. 5).

Overall, the results presented above indicate that while higher current densities are desired to reduce the size and capital cost of electrodes and electrochemical cell, the electricity cost of  $H_2O_2$  production increases with increasing current densities. Therefore, applied current densities need to be carefully controlled to balance the trade-off between capital cost and electricity cost of electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production.

### 3.2.2 Effects of interelectrode distance

By combining Eqs. (1) and (2), the energy consumption for  $H_2O_2$  production can be expressed as:

$$EC = \frac{nFU}{122400n}.$$
(6)

According to this equation, the energy consumption for  $H_2O_2$  production is directly proportional to the cell voltage



Fig. 4 (a)  $H_2O_2$  concentrations and ACEs for  $H_2O_2$  production, (b) cell voltage and energy consumption for  $H_2O_2$  production as a function of applied current densities during electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production with the GDE cathode. Reaction conditions: HRT = 10 min, water flow rate = 40 mL/min, electrolyte = 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, electrode area = 3 cm × 3 cm, interelectrode distance = 2 cm.

and inversely proportional to the ACE for  $H_2O_2$  production. As shown in Fig. 4(a), ACEs for  $H_2O_2$  production changed insignificantly within the tested current density range of 10–100 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. This observation suggests that the increased energy consumption of  $H_2O_2$  production at higher current densities is mainly caused by the increase of cell voltages (see Fig. 4(b)).

To get more information on the cell voltages, the potential profile in the electrochemical cell was measured using multimeters (Qiang et al., 2002) and is shown in Fig. 5(a). The cell voltage (the potential drop between the cathode and anode) is composed of three parts, the potential drops at the cathode- and anode-solution interface and the Ohmic drop in the solution. Note that because a reference electrode was placed between the anode and cathode to measure the cathodic potential, the anode and cathode had to be separated by a minimal interelectrode distance of ~1.5 cm, and most experiments were conducted with an interelectrode distance of 2 cm in this study. Due to the large interelectrode distance, the Ohmic drop in the solution (3.67 V) constituted a major fraction (~68.9%) of the cell voltage (5.33 V), whereas the potential drops at the anode- and cathode-solution interface constituted a minor fraction (23.6% and 7.5%, respectively). Because the electrolyte was homogeneous in the electrochemical cell, the Ohmic drop in the solution obeys the Ohm's Law and is directly proportional to the interelectrode distance. Figure 5(b) shows that as the interelectrode distance was decreased from 3 to 1.5 cm, cell voltages decreased almost linearly from ~6.82 to 4.93 V ( $R^2 = 0.998$ ). By extrapolation, it is estimated that the cell voltage could be further reduced to ~3.65 V when an interelectrode distance of 0.5 cm is used, which will decrease the energy consumption for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production to 6.3 kWh/kg (compared with 9.5 kWh/kg with an interelectrode distance of 2 cm). This result indicates that minimizing the interelectrode distance is critical for energy-efficient  $H_2O_2$  production when designing the electrochemical reactors for practical water treatment.

#### 3.2.3 Effects of conductivity

To evaluate the effects of solution conductivity on electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production, the selected groundwater was amended with varying quantities of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (0.025–0.5 mol/L), then fed in the electrochemical cell for  $H_2O_2$  production. Figure 6(a) shows that the ACEs for  $H_2O_2$  production remained almost constant (~90%) when the conductivity was varied between 4.9 and 49.6 mS/cm. In contrast, cell voltages decreased considerably with increasing the solution conductivities (Fig. 6(a)), which in turn decreased the energy consumption of  $H_2O_2$  production (Fig. 6(b)).

The results shown above indicate that solution conductivities have an important impact on the energy consumption of  $H_2O_2$  production. To economically produce  $H_2O_2$  at relatively high current densities, the solutions must have sufficiently high conductivities. For example, Fig. 6(b) shows that for a current density of 40 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, conductivities higher than 4.9–14.4 mS/cm are required to keep the energy consumption of  $H_2O_2$ production lower than the sales price of  $H_2O_2$  stocks (~10– 18 kWh/kg). The conductivities of different water matrices vary significantly, e.g., ranging from typically < 1 mS/cm for most drinking water and municipal wastewater to>50 mS/cm for some industrial wastewater, landfill leachate, and membrane concentrates (Wang et al., 2012; Barazesh et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2018a). The results shown herein



Fig. 5 (a) Potential profile in the electrochemical cell, (b) cell voltages and energy consumptions for  $H_2O_2$  production as a function of interelectrode distance during electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production with the GDE cathode. Reaction conditions: HRT = 10 min, water flow rate = 40 mL/min, electrolyte = 0.1 mol/L Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, electrode area = 3 cm × 3 cm, current density = 40 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>.



Fig. 6 Evolution of (a) apparent current densities and cell voltages, (b) energy consumption of  $H_2O_2$  production as a function of solution conductivity during electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production with the GDE cathode. Reaction conditions: HRT = 10 min, water flow rate = 40 mL/min, electrolyte = 0.025–0.1 mol/L Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, electrode area = 3 cm × 3 cm, current density = 40 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>

suggest that for water matrices that have high conductivities (e.g., >20 mS/cm), high current densities can be applied to produce  $H_2O_2$  directly in the water to be treated. However, direct production of  $H_2O_2$  in low-conductivity water matrices (e.g., drinking water and municipal wastewater) may not be economically feasible at the high current densities desired for practical applications (Barazesh et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2018a; Yao et al., 2018). A more feasible way is possibly to produce high-concentration  $H_2O_2$  in electrolytes in a separate electrochemical cell, then feed the cell effluent to the water to be treated (see discussion below).

## 3.2.4 Effects of HRT

To evaluate the effects of HRT on electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production, the flow rate of the groundwater (amended with 0.1 mol/L Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) was varied between 5 and 40 mL/min, which results in a HRT of 10–80 min in the

electrochemical cell. Figure 7(a) shows that as the HRTs were increased from 10 to 80 min,  $H_2O_2$  concentrations in the effluent increased from ~94 to 349 mg/L. However, the ACEs for  $H_2O_2$  production decreased considerably from ~98% to 46% with increasing the HRTs (Fig. 7(a)). Due to the significant decreases in ACEs (cell voltages did not change much with varying HRTs), the energy consumption for  $H_2O_2$  production increased from ~8.6 to 17.4 kWh/kg as the HRTs were increased from 5 to 80 min (Fig. 7(b)).

The above results indicate that HRT has complex implications on the performance of electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production. On the one hand, increasing HRTs can result in higher  $H_2O_2$  concentrations in the electrochemical cell effluent (Fig. 7(a)). Thus, smaller volumes of the cell effluent will be needed to supply the required  $H_2O_2$  doses for subsequent water treatment. This is helpful to reduce the salt consumption for preparing the electrolyte solutions and the residual salt concentrations in the final effluent after water treatment. On the other hand, as  $H_2O_2$ 



Fig. 7 Evolution of (a)  $H_2O_2$  concentrations and ACEs for  $H_2O_2$  production, (b) cell voltages and energy consumption for  $H_2O_2$  production as a function of HRT during electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production with the GDE cathode. Reaction conditions: water flow rate = 5–40 mL/min, electrolyte = 0.1 mol/L Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, electrode area = 3 cm × 3 cm, current density = 40 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>.

concentrations increase in the electrochemical cell, side reactions such as  $H_2O_2$  decomposition by anodic oxidation are enhanced (Xia et al., 2017), which results in a decrease in the ACEs and an increase in the energy consumption of  $H_2O_2$  production (Fig. 7(b)). By installing protonexchange membrane to separate the cathodic and anodic compartment, the destruction of cathodically generated  $H_2O_2$  by anodic oxidation can be prevented. Nevertheless, this approach increases the complexity and cost of electrochemical cell, and therefore is not employed in the present study. Overall, the results shown in Fig. 7 suggest that for practical applications, HRT needs to be optimized to balance the trade-off among the different factors and thus achieve better overall performance of water treatment.

#### 3.2.5 Stability of electrode

To evaluate the stability of GDE cathodes, a new GDE cathode was made and then tested for continuous electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production for 46 days (~1100 h). Figure 8(a) shows that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the effluent decreased gradually from ~186 to 166 mg/L during the first 42 d (~1000 h). However, they then exhibited a quick decrease during the last 4 d. Meanwhile, it was observed that some water had penetrated the CB-PTFE electrode to the gas chamber on the 46th d. Hence, the experiment was stopped thereafter. Despite some fluctuations, cell voltages showed a general slow increasing trend from initially ~5 to finally 5.5 V during the 46 d trial (Fig. 8(b)). Due to the changes in the ACEs for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production and cell voltages, the energy consumption of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production increased gradually from ~8.3 to 9.8 kWh/kg during the first 42 d, then increased quickly to 12.6 kWh/kg during the last 4 days (Fig. 8(b)).

The results shown in Fig. 8 demonstrate that in the long run, the performance of the GDE cathode for  $H_2O_2$  production deteriorates. This deterioration can be possibly attributed to several events that occur gradually during

electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production. A few studies have indicated that upon H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> exposure and/or negative polarization, some active sites of two-electron ORR on the carbon surface (e.g., pyridinic-N groups) will be gradually transformed to inactive or less active sites (e.g., pyrodonic-N) (Wang et al., 2019; Xia et al., 2020). Moreover, due to the high cathodic pH and migration of calcium ions in the groundwater, calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) precipitations formed gradually on the surface and inside the porous structure of the CB-PTFE cathode during the long-term trial (see SI Fig. S1). The CaCO<sub>3</sub> scaling may block some active sites of ORR on the electrode and thus lead to gradually declined efficiencies of the electrode for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production. Furthermore, due to the formation of CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitations in the porous CB-PTFE structure, the hydrophobicity of the GDE decreases (Warsinger et al., 2015; Rezaei et al., 2018), which facilitates water seepage into the pores of the electrode under cathodic polarization conditions (Sheng et al., 2014) and eventually led to water penetration to the gas chamber on the 46th day. The flooding of pores in the CB-PTFE structure considerably impedes oxygen transport in the GDE cathode, thus leading to the quick decrease of  $H_2O_2$ production at the late stage of the long-term trial (Fig. 8(a)).

Despite the gradual deterioration, the GDE cathode maintained a good performance for  $H_2O_2$  production (ACEs  $\geq$  85%, energy consumption  $\leq$  10 kWh/kg) for 42 d (~1000 h) (Fig. 7). Based on the costs of raw materials (carbon black, PTFE dispersion, and nickel mesh) used to make the CB-PTFE electrode, the cost per unit electrode area is calculated to be ~0.0067 \$/cm<sup>2</sup> (see SI for the calculation detail). With a total production of ~0.225 kg  $H_2O_2$  over the electrode lifetime, the capital cost of the electrode for  $H_2O_2$  production is thus estimated to be ~0.27 \$/kg  $H_2O_2$  (Eq. (3)). Meanwhile, the average energy consumption for  $H_2O_2$  production is ~9.3 kWh/kg (equivalent to 0.61 \$/kg) during the 46 d trial. Therefore,



**Fig. 8** Evolution of (a)  $H_2O_2$  concentrations and ACEs for  $H_2O_2$  production, (b) cell voltages and energy consumptions for  $H_2O_2$  production during electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production with the GDE cathode. Reaction conditions: HRT = 20 min, water flow rate = 20 mL/min, electrolyte = 0.1 mol/L Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, electrode area = 3 cm × 3 cm, interelectrode distance = 2 cm, current density = 40 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>

the overall cost of  $H_2O_2$  production is estimated to be about 0.88 \$/kg  $H_2O_2$  under the tested conditions. These data suggest that besides avoiding the risks linked to  $H_2O_2$ transport and storage, electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production can be an economically competitive alternative to the conventional way of  $H_2O_2$  supplying (0.7–1.2 \$/kg (Ciriminna et al., 2016)). In addition, the results also suggests that the capital cost of electrode can constitute a non-negligible fraction (~30% herein) of the overall cost for  $H_2O_2$  production and thus should be taken into account when evaluating the economic feasibility of electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production for practical applications.

#### 3.3 Electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production for water treatment

To evaluate the feasibility of electrochemical  $H_2O_2$ production in the context of decentralized water treatment, the electrochemical cell was combined with a pilot ozonation system for micropollutant abatement in the selected groundwater (see Fig. 1(c) for the scheme). Due to its low background conductivity (Table 1), directly producing  $H_2O_2$  in the selected groundwater is uneconomical at high current densities required for practical applications (see discussion in Section 3.1). Moreover, adding salts (e.g., Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> or NaCl) to raise the conductivity of the whole water flow that needs to be treated is also impractical because this approach consumes large amounts of salts and results in high concentrations of residual salts in the treated water. Therefore, a side stream of the groundwater (20 mL/min) was amended with 0.1 M  $Na_2SO_4$ , then passed through the electrochemical cell for  $H_2O_2$  production. With a current density of 40 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> and HRT of 20 min, the electrochemical cell produced  $\sim 166 \text{ mg/L H}_2\text{O}_2$  in its effluent (Fig. 8(a)). A varying fraction of the cell effluent (10–20 mL/min) was then fed to the ozone column to mix with the mainstream groundwater (833 mL/min), followed by ozonation treatment (HRT = 10 min). With the dilution factor of ~42.7–84.3, the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> doses were about 2.0–3.9 mg/L in the ozone column, and the residual Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> concentrations were ~168.4– 332.6 mg/L in the ozonation effluent. In comparison, the suggested taste threshold of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in drinking water is 250 mg/L (WHO, 2011). These data indicate that for practical applications, high dilution factors are desired to minimize the residual salt concentrations in treated water. Otherwise, an additional desalination process will be needed to reduce the salt concentrations to below the regulated concentrations (Lin et al., 2020), resulting in an increase in the overall treatment process.

Figure 9(a) shows that when no electrochemical cell effluent was fed in the ozone column, ozonation alone abated only ~7% of ibuprofen spiked in the selected groundwater. In contrast, the abatement efficiency of ibuprofen was considerably increased to ~43%-59% when 10-20 mL/min of the cell effluent was fed in the ozone column. The low abatement efficiency of ibuprofen by ozonation alone can be mainly attributed to the low reactivity of ibuprofen with ozone ( $k_{O3} = 9.6 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ (Huber et al., 2003)). Moreover, as shown in SI Fig. S2, while  $\sim 3.8 \text{ mg/L O}_3$  was transferred from the bubbled O<sub>3</sub>/  $O_2$  gas to the groundwater during ozonation alone, only ~0.8 mg/L  $O_3$  decomposed in the ozone column (i.e., 3.0 mg/L of the transferred O<sub>3</sub> dose remained in the ozone column effluent). This observation indicates that the selected groundwater had a high ozone stability, which results in natural O<sub>3</sub> decomposition to hydroxyl radicals (•OH) a slow process in the groundwater (Wang et al., 2018a; Yao et al., 2018). Consequently, ibuprofen abate-



Fig. 9 (a) Ibuprofen abatement efficiency, (b)  $E_{EO}$  of ibuprofen abatement as a function of  $H_2O_2$  doses during ozonation and the Eperoxone treatment of the selected groundwater. Operating conditions of electrochemical cell: HRT = 20 min, water flow rate = 20 mL/ min, electrolyte = 0.1 mol/L Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, electrode area = 3 cm × 3 cm, interelectrode distance = 2 cm, current density = 40 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. Operating conditions of ozone column: HRT = 10 min, water flow rate = 833 mL/min, flow rate of electrochemical cell effluent = 10– 20 mL/min, O<sub>3</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> gas flow rate = 0.25 L/min, gas phase O<sub>3</sub> concentration = 18.7 mg/L.

ment by •OH oxidation is insignificant during ozonation alone.

In comparison, the combination of electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production with ozonation (i.e., the E-peroxone process) considerably enhanced ibuprofen abatement (Fig. 9(a)). These enhancements can be mainly attributed to the accelerated O<sub>3</sub> transfer and transformation to •OH by electro-generated H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Wang et al., 2018b; Yao et al., 2018). As shown in SI Fig. S2, under the same O<sub>3</sub>containing gas bubbling conditions, the transferred ozone doses increased from ~3.8 mg/L during ozonation alone to ~5.0-5.2 mg/L during the E-peroxone process fed with 10-20 mL/min electrolyzer effluent. In addition, the decomposed O<sub>3</sub> doses increased significantly from  $\sim 0.8$  mg/L O<sub>3</sub> during ozonation to 4.6–5.0 mg/L O<sub>3</sub> during the E-peroxone process. These observations indicate that the feeding of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-containing electrochemical cell effluent significantly accelerates O3 transfer and decomposition in the ozone column. Besides accelerating  $O_3$  decomposition to •OH, the •OH yield (moles of •OH) formed per mole of O<sub>3</sub> consumed) from the reaction of O<sub>3</sub> with  $H_2O_2$  (~50%) is also generally higher than those from natural  $O_3$  decomposition in water matrix (~10%–30%) (von Sonntag and von Gunten, 2012; Wang et al., 2018a). Due to the  $H_2O_2$ -enhanced  $O_3$  transformation to •OH, the E-peroxone process can considerably enhance ozoneresistant micropollutant abatement by •OH oxidation compared with ozonation alone (Wang et al., 2018b).

Based on the decomposed  $O_3$  doses (i.e., ozone doses actually consumed in the zone column) and energy consumption for  $H_2O_2$  production, the electrical energy demand to abate ibuprofen concentration by 1 order in 1 m<sup>3</sup> of water ( $E_{EO}$  (Bolton et al., 2001)) is calculated and shown in Fig. 9(b) (see SI for the calculation detail). Despite the extra energy consumption for  $H_2O_2$  production, the  $E_{EO}$  values of the E-peroxone process (0.294– 0.360 kWh/m<sup>3</sup>) is generally comparable or slightly lower than that of ozonation alone (0.343 kWh/m<sup>3</sup>). This finding indicates that the energy consumption for  $H_2O_2$  production can be adequately compensated by the higher efficiency of the E-peroxone process for micropollutant abatement (Wang et al., 2018b; Yao et al., 2018).

The above results show that with a 9 cm<sup>2</sup> GDE cathode, typical  $H_2O_2$  doses applied in  $H_2O_2$ -based AOPs (~3 mg/L (Barazesh et al., 2015)) can be produced on site for the treatment of 1–2 m<sup>3</sup>/d water flow, which is sufficient for the daily use at a household level. In addition, due to the accelerated  $O_3$  transfer and decomposition by electrogenerated  $H_2O_2$  (SI Fig. S2), significantly smaller ozone contactors can be used during water treatment by the Eperoxone process than by conventional ozonation (Yao et al., 2018). These findings suggest that electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production holds great promise for the development of compact treatment technologies for decentralized water treatment systems at a household and community level or even larger scale applications (Barazesh et al., 2015; von Gunten, 2018; Wang et al., 2018b).

Besides the E-peroxone process, electrochemical  $H_2O_2$ production has been used to drive other EAOPs such as the E-Fenton, photoelectro-Fenton, and E-UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> processes for water and wastewater treatment (Brillas et al., 2009; Barazesh et al., 2015; Frangos et al., 2016). Recently, there are an increasing number of pilot-scale studies that have evaluated the feasibility of these EAOPs for small-scale water and wastewater treatment (Plakas et al., 2016; Salmerón et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2018; Alcaide et al., 2020). The promising results shown in these studies suggest that because of their own characteristics, the various H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-based EAOPs may fit well into different niches in the future water treatment systems. For example, the E-Fenton process may provide a particularly suitable way to treat acid wastewater because of its high energy efficiency of •OH generation at low pH. In contrast, the Eperoxone process is more suitable to treat waters with circumneutral and basic pH due to the faster reaction of O<sub>3</sub> with  $H_2O_2$  at elevated pH. Therefore, these  $H_2O_2$ -based EAOPs may together constitute an important component of next generation technologies for decentralized water treatment systems.

#### 3.4 Implications

It is noted that the electrode materials and operating conditions employed in this study are far from being ideal. The catalyst, Vulcan XC72 carbon black, has a high selectivity but low activity for two-electron ORR to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Assumpção et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2018). Many studies have shown that by tailoring with metals, metal oxides, and other materials, the activity of Vulcan XC72 for ORR can be considerably enhanced, thus reducing the cathodic overpotential during electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production (Valim et al., 2013; Stoerzinger et al., 2015; Paz et al., 2018). In addition, anodes with higher oxygen evolution activity can be used to decrease the overpotential of anode (Chen et al., 2017). Furthermore, by minimizing the interelectrode distance, the Ohmic drop in the solutions can also be substantially reduced (see Fig. 5(b)) (Pérez et al., 2019). This information indicates that there is still large room for the improvement of the system to reduce the cell voltages and thus energy consumption of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production.

The lifetime of the prepared GDE cathode (~46 d) still needs to be considerably extended to ensure stable longterm operations and to reduce the capital and maintenance cost for electrode replacement. To this end, several measures may be taken, for example, enhanced hydrophobic treatment of the electrode and periodic polarity reversal operation to mitigate CaCO<sub>3</sub> scaling and retard water penetration. Additional studies will be conducted to evaluate these measures and to further enhance the economic competitiveness of this technology for practical water treatment.

In addition, the results of this study demonstrate that the

events that cause electrode deterioration (e.g., calcium carbonate precipitation and water penetration) occur only gradually during electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  production. Therefore, the deterioration of electrode performance is a slow process, especially in the first several days (Fig. 8). The commonly used short-term stability tests that are conducted within a typical time frame of several to several tens of hours are unlikely to perceive such slow changes in the electrode performance (Sheng et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2015). More studies are needed to evaluate the electrode lifetime, the mechanisms and control strategies of electrode deterioration over longer time periods and realistic operating conditions for water treatment.

## 4 Conclusions

This study confirms the technoeconomic feasibility of electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production for practical water treatment. Because of the effective oxygen transfer in the GDE, high current densities (several tens to hundreds of  $mA/cm^2$ ) can be applied to efficiently produce H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> with apparent current efficiencies generally >85% during electrolysis with the GDE cathodes. This allows compact electrochemical reactors to be developed for practical applications such as decentralized water treatment at a household and community level. The overall cost of electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, including the electrode capital cost and electricity cost, was estimated to be  $\sim 0.88$  \$/kg under the test conditions and can be further decreased by optimizing the electrodes, electrochemical cell, and operating conditions. By combining H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> electro-generation with ozonation, the E-peroxone process considerably accelerated water treatment process and enhanced ozoneresistant micropollutant abatement compared with ozonation alone. These results indicate that electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production holds great promise for the development of compact treatment technologies for future urban water systems.

**Acknowledgements** This study is funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51878370), the National Special Program of Water Pollution Control and Management (No. 2017ZX07202), and the special fund of State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control (No. 18L01ESPC).

**Electronic Supplementary material** Supplementary material is available in the online version of this article at https://doi.org/10.1007/s11783-020-1293-2 and is accessible for authorized users.

## References

Alcaide F, Álvarez G, Guelfi D R V, Brillas E, Sirés I (2020). A stable CoSP/MWCNTs air-diffusion cathode for the photoelectro-Fenton degradation of organic pollutants at pre-pilot scale. Chemical Engineering Journal, 379: 122417

- Assumpção M H M T, De Souza R F B, Rascio D C, Silva J C M, Calegaro M L, Gaubeur I, Paixao T R L C, Hammer P, Lanza M R V, Santos M C (2011). A comparative study of the electrogeneration of hydrogen peroxide using Vulcan and Printex carbon supports. Carbon, 49(8): 2842–2851
- Barazesh J M, Hennebel T, Jasper J T, Sedlak D L (2015). Modular advanced oxidation process enabled by cathodic hydrogen peroxide production. Environmental Science & Technology, 49(12): 7391– 7399
- Bolton J R, Bircher K G, Tumas W, Tolman C A (2001). Figures-ofmerit for the technical development and application of advanced oxidation technologies for both electric- and solar-driven systems-(IUPAC Technical Report). Pure and Applied Chemistry, 73(4): 627– 637
- Brillas E, Mur E, Casado J (1996). Iron(II) catalysis of the mineralization of aniline using a carbon-PTFE O-2-fed cathode. Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 143(3): L49–L53
- Brillas E, Sires I, Oturan M A (2009). Electro-Fenton process and related electrochemical technologies based on Fenton's reaction chemistry. Chemical Reviews, 109(12): 6570–6631
- Campos-Martin J M, Blanco-Brieva G, Fierro J L (2006). Hydrogen peroxide synthesis: an outlook beyond the anthraquinone process. Angewandte Chemie International Edition in English, 45(42): 6962– 6984
- Chaplin B P (2019). The prospect of electrochemical technologies advancing worldwide water treatment. Accounts of Chemical Research, 52(3): 596–604
- Chen Z, Chen S, Siahrostami S, Chakthranont P, Hahn C, Nordlund D, Dimosthenis S, Nørskov J K, Bao Z, Jaramillo T F (2017). Development of a reactor with carbon catalysts for modular-scale, low-cost electrochemical generation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Reaction Chemistry & Engineering, 2(2): 239–245
- Ciriminna R, Albanese L, Meneguzzo F, Pagliaro M (2016). Hydrogen peroxide: A Key chemical for today's sustainable development. ChemSusChem, 9(24): 3374–3381
- Frangos P, Shen W H, Wang H J, Li X, Yu G, Deng S B, Huang J, Wang B, Wang Y J (2016). Improvement of the degradation of pesticide deethylatrazine by combining UV photolysis with electrochemical generation of hydrogen peroxide. Chemical Engineering Journal, 291: 215–224
- Huber M M, Canonica S, Park G Y, Von Gunten U (2003). Oxidation of pharmaceuticals during ozonation and advanced oxidation processes. Environmental Science & Technology, 37(5): 1016–1024
- Lin S, Lu Y, Ye B, Zeng C, Liu G, Li J, Luo H, Zhang R (2020). Pesticide wastewater treatment using the combination of the microbial electrolysis desalination and chemical-production cell and Fenton process. Frontiers of Environmental Science & Engineering, 14(1): 12
- Lu S, Wang N, Wang C (2018a). Oxidation and biotoxicity assessment of microcystin-LR using different AOPs based on UV, O<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Frontiers of Environmental Science & Engineering, 12(3): 12
- Lu Y B, Liu G L, Luo H P, Zhang R D (2017). Efficient in-situ production of hydrogen peroxide using a novel stacked electrosynthesis reactor. Electrochimica Acta, 248: 29–36
- Lu Z, Chen G, Siahrostami S, Chen Z, Liu K, Xie J, Liao L, Wu T, Lin D, Liu Y, Jaramillo T F, Nørskov J K, Cui Y (2018b). High-efficiency

oxygen reduction to hydrogen peroxide catalysed by oxidized carbon materials. Nature Catalysis, 1(2): 156–162

- Oturan M A, Aaron J J, Oturan N, Pinson J (1999). Degradation of chlorophenoxyacid herbicides in aqueous media, using a novel electrochemical method. Pesticide Science, 55(5): 558–562
- Paz E C, Aveiro L R, Pinheiro V S, Souza F M, Lima V B, Silva F L, Hammer P, Lanza M R V, Santos M C (2018). Evaluation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> electrogeneration and decolorization of Orange II azo dye using tungsten oxide nanoparticle-modified carbon. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 232: 436–445
- Pérez J F, Llanos J, Sáez C, López C, Cañizares P, Rodrigo M A (2019). Towards the scale up of a pressurized-jet microfluidic flow-through reactor for cost-effective electro-generation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Journal of Cleaner Production, 211: 1259–1267
- Plakas K V, Sklari S D, Yiankakis D A, Sideropoulos G T, Zaspalis V T, Karabelas A J (2016). Removal of organic micropollutants from drinking water by a novel electro-Fenton filter: Pilot-scale studies. Water Research, 91: 183–194
- Qiang Z, Chang J H, Huang C P (2002). Electrochemical generation of hydrogen peroxide from dissolved oxygen in acidic solutions. Water Research, 36(1): 85–94
- Rezaei M, Warsinger D M, Lienhard V J H, Duke M C, Matsuura T, Samhaber W M (2018). Wetting phenomena in membrane distillation: Mechanisms, reversal, and prevention. Water Research, 139: 329–352
- Salmerón I, Plakas K V, Sirés I, Oller I, Maldonado M I, Karabelas A J, Malato S (2018). Optimization of electrocatalytic H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production at pilot plant scale for solar-assisted water treatment. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 242: 327–336
- Sellers R M (1980). Spectrophotometric determination of hydrogen peroxide using potassium titanium(IV) oxalate. Analyst (London), 105(1255): 950–954
- Sheng Y P, Zhao Y, Wang X L, Wang R, Tang T (2014). Electrogeneration of  $H_2O_2$  on a composite acetylene black-PTFE cathode consisting of a sheet active core and a dampproof coating. Electrochimica Acta, 133: 414–421
- Stoerzinger K A, Risch M, Han B H, Shao-Horn Y (2015). Recent insights into manganese oxides in catalyzing oxygen reduction kinetics. ACS Catalysis, 5(10): 6021–6031
- Tang C, Wang H F, Zhang Q (2018). Multiscale principles to boost reactivity in gas-involving energy electrocatalysis. Accounts of Chemical Research, 51(4): 881–889
- Turkay O, Barisci S, Ozturk B, Ozturk H, Dimoglo A (2017a). Electroperoxone treatment of phenol: process comparison, the effect of operational parameters and degradation mechanism. Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 164(9): E180–E186
- Turkay O, Ersoy Z G, Barisci S (2017b). Review—the application of an electro-peroxone process in water and wastewater treatment. Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 164(6): E94–E102
- USEIA (2016). Annual Electric Sales, Revenue, and Average Price. Washington: U.S. Energy Information Administration
- Valim R B, Reis R M, Castro P S, Lima A S, Rocha R S, Bertotti M, Lanza M R V (2013). Electrogeneration of hydrogen peroxide in gas diffusion electrodes modified with tert-butyl-anthraquinone on carbon black support. Carbon, 61: 236–244

von Gunten U (2018). Oxidation processes in water treatment: are we on

track? Environmental Science & Technology, 52(9): 5062-5075

- von Sonntag C, von Gunten U (2012). Chemistry of Ozone in Water and Wastewater Treatment: From Basic Principles to Applications. London: IWA Publishing
- Wang H, Yuan S, Zhan J, Wang Y, Yu G, Deng S, Huang J, Wang B (2015). Mechanisms of enhanced total organic carbon elimination from oxalic acid solutions by electro-peroxone process. Water Research, 80: 20–29
- Wang H, Zhan J, Yao W, Wang B, Deng S, Huang J, Yu G, Wang Y (2018a). Comparison of pharmaceutical abatement in various water matrices by conventional ozonation, peroxone (O<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), and an electro-peroxone process. Water Research, 130: 127–138
- Wang Y, Li X, Zhen L, Zhang H, Zhang Y, Wang C (2012). Electro-Fenton treatment of concentrates generated in nanofiltration of biologically pretreated landfill leachate. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 229–230: 115–121
- Wang Y, Yu G, Deng S, Huang J, Wang B (2018b). The electro-peroxone process for the abatement of emerging contaminants: Mechanisms, recent advances, and prospects. Chemosphere, 208: 640–654
- Wang Y, Zhou W, Gao J, Ding Y, Kou K (2019). Oxidative modification of graphite felts for efficient H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> electrogeneration: Enhancement mechanism and long-term stability. Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry, 833: 258–268
- Warsinger D M, Swaminathan J, Guillen-Burrieza E, Arafat H A, Lienhard V J H (2015). Scaling and fouling in membrane distillation for desalination applications: A review. Desalination, 356: 294– 313
- WHO (2011). Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality, 4th ed. Geneva: World Health Organization, 324
- Xia G, Wang H, Zhan J, Yin X, Wu X, Yu G, Wang Y, Wu M (2020). Evaluation of the stability of polyacrylonitrile-based carbon fiber electrode for hydrogen peroxide production and phenol mineralization during electro-peroxone process. Chemical Engineering Journal, 396: 125291
- Xia G, Wang Y, Wang B, Huang J, Deng S, Yu G (2017). The competition between cathodic oxygen and ozone reduction and its role in dictating the reaction mechanisms of an electro-peroxone process. Water Research, 118: 26–38
- Yang S, Verdaguer-Casadevall A, Arnarson L, Silvioli L, Čolić V, Frydendal R, Rossmeisl J, Chorkendorff I, Stephens I E L (2018). Toward the decentralized electrochemical production of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: A focus on the catalysis. ACS Catalysis, 8(5): 4064–4081
- Yao W, Ur Rehman S W, Wang H, Yang H, Yu G, Wang Y (2018). Pilotscale evaluation of micropollutant abatements by conventional ozonation, UV/O<sub>3</sub>, and an electro-peroxone process. Water Research, 138: 106–117
- Yu X M, Zhou M H, Ren G B, Ma L (2015). A novel dual gas diffusion electrodes system for efficient hydrogen peroxide generation used in electro-Fenton. Chemical Engineering Journal, 263: 92–100
- Yuan S, Li Z X, Wang Y J (2013). Effective degradation of methylene blue by a novel electrochemically driven process. Electrochemistry Communications, 29: 48–51
- Zhang H, Chen S, Zhang H G, Fan X F, Cao C, Yu H T, Quan X (2019). Carbon nanotubes-incorporated MIL-88B-Fe as highly efficient Fenton-like catalyst for degradation of organic pollutants. Frontiers of Environmental Science & Engineering, 13(2): 18

Zhang X K, Zhou Y, Zhao C, Sun Z H, Zhang Z G, Mirza Z A, Saylor G, Zhai J, Zheng H L (2016). Electric field induced activated carbon fiber (ACF) cathode transition from an initiator/a promoter into an electrocatalyst in ozonation process. Chemical Engineering Journal, 304: 129–133

Zhou W, Meng X, Gao J, Alshawabkeh A N (2019). Hydrogen peroxide generation from O<sub>2</sub> electroreduction for environmental remediation: A state-of-the-art review. Chemosphere, 225: 588–607