#### RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Copper recovery from waste printed circuit boards concentrated metal scraps by electrolysis

Xiaonan Liu<sup>1</sup>, Qiuxia Tan<sup>2</sup>, Yungui Li<sup>2</sup>, Zhonghui Xu<sup>2</sup>, Mengjun Chen (⊠)<sup>2</sup>

1 State Key Laboratory Cultivation Base for Nonmetal Composites and Functional Materials, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang 621010, China 2 Key Laboratory of Solid Waste Treatment and Resource Recycle, Ministry of Education, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang 621010, China\*

#### HIGHLIGHTS

- WPCBs concentrated metal scraps were directly and successfully recycled by electrolysis.
- Factors that affect the electrolysis were discussed in detail.
- Copper recovery rate and copper purity are up to 97.32% and 99.86% respectively.

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10 March 2017 Revised 12 August 2017 Accepted 6 September 2017 Available online 13 October 2017

Keywords: Waste printed circuit boards (WPCBs) Copper Recovery rate Purity Electrolysis

## 1 Introduction

Metal recovery from waste printed circuit boards (WPCBs) is a global issue that attracts lots attention from researchers and governors [[1](#page-3-0)–[3\]](#page-3-0). WPCBs of desk computers contain nearly 40% metals [\[4\]](#page-3-0), such as Cu, Zn, Sn, Ag and Au. Therefore, the main purpose of WPCBs recycling is to recover valuable metals [\[5\]](#page-3-0). Copper recovery is the core of WPCBs recycling [[6](#page-3-0)–[8](#page-3-0)], since copper is the most abundant metal in WPCBs, approximately 20%, much higher than

## GRAPHIC ABSTRACT



## ABSTRACT

Copper recovery is the core of waste printed circuit boards (WPCBs) treatment. In this study, we proposed a feasible and efficient way to recover copper from WPCBs concentrated metal scraps by direct electrolysis and factors that affect copper recovery rate and purity, mainly  $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ concentration, NaCl concentration, H2SO4 concentration and current density, were discussed in detail. The results indicated that copper recovery rate increased first with the increase of  $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ , NaCl, H2SO4 and current density and then decreased with further increasing these conditions. NaCl, H2SO4 and current density also showed a similar impact on copper purity, which also increased first and then decreased. Copper purity increased with the increase of  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$ . When the concentration of CuSO<sub>4</sub>:  $5H_2O$ , NaCl and  $H_2SO_4$  was respectively 90, 40 and 118 g/L and current density was 80 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, copper recovery rate and purity was up to 97.32% and 99.86%, respectively. Thus, electrolysis proposes a feasible and prospective approach for waste printed circuit boards recycle, even for e-waste, though more researches are needed for industrial application.

© Higher Education Press and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany 2017

any other metals in the WPCBs. In addition, this number is also much higher than copper in its ore, about 0.6% [\[9\]](#page-3-0).

There are many technologies for recovering copper from WPCBs, including pyrometallurgy [[10](#page-3-0)–[12\]](#page-4-0), hydrometallurgy [\[13,14\]](#page-4-0), mechanical separation [\[15\]](#page-4-0), biohydrometallurgy [[16](#page-4-0)], supercritical fluids [[17\]](#page-4-0). Among these technologies, electrochemical process seems to be an effective way to recovery copper from WPCBs. For example, Kim et al. [\[5\]](#page-3-0) reported that 97% copper was recovered from waste mobile phones using in situ electrogenerated chlorine. Xiu et al. [\[18\]](#page-4-0) reported that 94% of copper was recovered from WPCBs by supercritical water oxidation combined with electrokinetic process.

In China, e-waste recycling is authorized to 109 e-waste recycling companies. For these companies, WPCBs are crushed and separated mechanically. Then WPCBs metal

<sup>✉</sup> Corresponding author

E-mail: kyling@swust.edu.cn

<sup>\*</sup> Special Issue—Recycling Materials from WEEE (Responsible Editors: Jinhui Li & Eric David Williams)

concentrated scraps are obtained [[7,9](#page-3-0)[,15,19](#page-4-0)–[23\]](#page-4-0). However, researches on copper recovery from WPCBs concentrated metal scraps by direct electrolysis are limited. Thus, we collected WPCBs concentrated metal scraps from an authorized e-waste recycling company, conducted a series of electrolysis experiments and deeply discussed the factors that influence copper recovery rate and copper purity. The result may provide a further process for WPCBs concentrated metal scraps for these 109 authorized e-waste companies.

## 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Sample preparation

The concentrated WPCBs metal powders digested by  $HNO<sub>3</sub>-HF-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  system [[19](#page-4-0)] and examined by an Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometer (ICP-OES, Thermo Scientific, iCAP 6500, Massachusetts, America). The results are presented in Table 1. It shows that the content of copper, tin and lead are 83.42%, 2.72% and 2.36% respectively.

#### 2.2 Electrolytic process

First, some conductive adhesive was blended with the concentrated metal scraps powders. After that, these mixtures was pressed by a hydraulic press (796YP-30T, KEQI, Tianjin, China) at 20 MPa to form plates (6 cm  $\times$  7 cm), which were further cut to small ones about 7 cm  $\times$  2 cm. These small pieces were used directly as the anode. The cathode was a copper sheet (7 cm  $\times$  2 cm) with a purity of 99.9%. A rectangular vessel (Fig. 1), 10 cm  $\times$  7  $cm \times 7$  cm and divided into two compartments by a porous glass frits (thickness = 1 mm, pore size  $<$  50  $\mu$ m), was used as the electrochemical reactor. For each run, the electrodes were parallel to each other with a constant distance of 10 cm.

For a typical experiment, 100 mL electrolyte was used. The electrolyte was a mixture of copper sulfate pentahydrate (CuSO<sub>4</sub> $\cdot$ 5H<sub>2</sub>O), sodium chloride (NaCl) and sulfuric acid  $(H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>)$ . All the chemical reagents were of analytical grade unless otherwise mentioned. All the electrolytic experiments were conducted at room temperature. A constant current was supplied using a DC power supply (JPB-500, JIANGBO, Shenzhen, China). Four factors,  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$ , NaCl,  $H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and current density, were examined in detail. For quality control, the relative standard deviations of the triplicates were within the limits

specified by a certified commercial laboratory and mean data values are presented in the Tables and Figures.

#### 2.3 Characterization

After each experiment, the obtained copper powders were first filtrated, and then rinsed with distilled water and absolute ethyl alcohol (v/v 30%) for several times. After that, copper powders were dried at 50 °C for 24 h, weighed, digested and examined by ICP-OES. Copper recovery rate was calculated according the following equation:

Copper recovery rate

$$
=\frac{M_1 + M_2 - M_3}{Mass\ of\ Cu\ in\ anode} \times 100\% \tag{1}
$$

where  $M_1$  is the mass of Cu obtained at the cathode, g;  $M_2$ is the mass of copper contained in electrolyte,  $g$ ;  $M_3$  is the mass of Cu in  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$ , g.

# 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Effect of  $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$

The effect of  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$  on copper recovery rate and copper purity is presented in Fig. 2. It is obvious that copper recovery rate first increases as the increase of  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$  concentration and then it decreases with further increasing  $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$  concentration, e. g., copper recovery rate increase from 68.74% to 97.32% as  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$  concentration increases from zero to 50 g/L, and then it decreases to  $84.21\%$  when  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$ concentration further increases to 90 g/L. While for copper purity, as shown in Fig. 2, it increases with  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$ concentration in the whole investigated range, e.g., it increases from 92.56% to 99.86% when  $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ concentration increases from zero to 90 g/L. At the beginning, the addition of  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$  provides a resource of  $Cu^{2+}$  ions for electrodepositing, thus favoring copper leaching from WPCBs concentrated scraps. Then copper recovery rate increases. However, when  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$  increases to a certain value,  $Cu<sup>2+</sup>$  ions supplied by  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$  would be enough or even exceeds the demand for electrodeposition. This means the electrodeposited copper is from  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$  but not from the copper ions leached from WPCBs concentrated metal scarps. Moreover, the exceeded copper ions could also hinder its leaching. Therefore, a higher  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$ 

Table 1 Metals contained in WPCBs metal concentrated scraps

Element	∪u	Sn $\sim$ $-$	Dh. ∡U	Al	- $\angle$ n		Ва the control of the con-	- - B <sub>1</sub>	$\sim$ $+$ $\mathbb{N}1$ the control of the con-	Other: .
(% $\tilde{\phantom{a}}$ $\mathsf{Content}$ .	$\overline{a}$ $\sim$ $\sim$ 83.42	$\sim$ $\overline{a}$ . $\overline{b}$	$\sim$ $\sim$ 2.30	1.96	0.96	$\sim$ ∪.∠. $\sim$ $\sim$	$\overline{1}$ v.4č .	0.11	030 U.UJ. .	$  -$ $\cdot$ $\sim$ $\cdot$



Fig. 1 Schematic of experimental set-up



Fig. 2 Effect of  $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$  on copper recovery rate and purity

would lead to a decrease for copper recovery rate. Obviously, copper purity would increase with  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$  concentration since as the increase of  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$ , this process turns to be copper powders electrodeposition from  $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$  and other metal ions leached from WPCBs can be ignored.

## 3.2 Effect of NaCl

Figure 3 shows the effect of NaCl concentration on copper purity and copper recovery rate. It can be seen that the purity varies slightly, which first increases from 97.41% to 98.06% and then decreases from 98.06% to 95.76% when NaCl concentration increases from 0 to 40 g/L and then to 80 g/L. Copper recovery rate presents a similar trend as copper purity, which increases from 90.48% to 97.32% and then decreases to 89.33% when NaCl concentration increases from zero to 40 g/L and then to 80 g/L. Previous studies reported that Cl<sup>-</sup> introduced by NaCl could increase the current efficiency [\[24](#page-4-0)], thus increasing the recovery rate and purity. However, too much Cl- would lead to hydrogen evolution and other impurities may appear [[25](#page-4-0)],



Fig. 3 Effect of NaCl on copper recovery rate and purity

leading to the decrease of copper recovery rate and purity.

#### 3.3 Effect of  $H_2SO_4$

Figure 4 shows the effect of  $H_2SO_4$  on copper recovery rate and copper purity. As shown in Fig. 4, copper recovery rate first increases from 75.95% to 97.32% when  $H_2SO_4$ concentration increases from 39 g/L to 118 g/L, and then it decreases to 82.79% with further increasing  $H_2SO_4$ concentration to 196 g/L. Meanwhile, copper purity also shows a similar trend though its variation is not as significant as copper recovery rate. The increase of  $H_2SO_4$ concentration could facilitate the anodic oxidation and of course increase the conductivity, thus increasing copper recovery rate and purity. While at a relatively higher concentration, hydrogen evolution would be dominant and therefore reduces the recovery rate and purity [\[26\]](#page-4-0).

3.4 Effect of current density

Current density is a key factor to recover copper from WPCBs metal concentrated scraps when there are plenty of



Fig. 4 Effect of  $H_2SO_4$  on copper recovery rate and purity

<span id="page-3-0"></span>copper ions in the electrolyte. Figure 5 shows the effect of current density on copper recovery rate and copper purity. Almost the same as Figs. 3 and 4, copper recovery rate and copper purity also increases first from 78.83% and 93.86% to 97.31% and 98.07% when current density increases from 40 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> to 80 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, and then they decrease to 84.69% and 92.91% with further increasing current density to 120 mA/cm2 . At certain lower current density, its increase means more electrons, and then more ions that are copper could be reduced to metallic copper. Thus copper recovery rate and copper purity would increase. However, a much higher current density also means a much higher cell voltage, which would intensify hydrogen evolution and other side reactions. Therefore, copper recovery rate and copper purity would decrease.

The increase of current density is beneficial to anodic oxidation. While under a higher current density, the side reactions increase. With the increase of current density, voltage loss caused by the resistance of each contact and conductor of electrolytic cell increases. At the same time, the overvoltage of cathode increase, which is in favor to separate  $H^+$  out on cathode and lead to waste electric energy.

# 4 Conclusions



Fig. 5 Effect of current density on copper recovery rate and purity

In this study, electrolysis was directly and successfully applied in WPCBs metal concentrated scraps to recovery copper powders. Copper purity increases with the increase of  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$ , while copper recovery rate first increases and then decreases with the increase of  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$ . NaCl,  $H_2SO_4$  and current density show a similar effect on both copper recovery rate and copper purity, which increase first and then decrease. Copper recovery rate

and copper purity reaches to 97.32% and 99.86% when the concentration of  $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ , NaCl and  $H_2SO_4$  were 90, 40 and 118 g/L and the current density was 80 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. This process proposes a prospective approach for WPCBs recycling, or even e-waste reutilization. However, more researches are needed for industrial application.

Acknowledgements This work supported by funds from the Open Project of State Key Laboratory Cultivation Base for Nonmetal Composites and Functional Materials (Nos. 11zxfk26 and 13zxfk11), Scientific Research Fund of Mianyang City (No. 14S-01-2), and Fundamental Science on Nuclear Wastes and Environmental Safety Laboratory (No. 15kffk05).

# References

- 1. Zeng X L, Yang C R, Chiang J F, Li J H. Innovating e-waste management: From macroscopic to microscopic scales. Science of the Total Environment, 2017, 575: 1–5
- 2. Tan Q Y, Dong Q Y, Liu L L, Song Q B, Liang Y Y, Li J H. Potential recycling availability and capacity assessment on typical metals in waste mobile phones: A current research study in China. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2017, 148: 509–517
- 3. Jadhav U, Su C, Hocheng H. Leaching of metals from large pieces of printed circuit boards using citric acid and hydrogen peroxide. Environmental Science and Pollution Research International, 2016, 23(23): 24384–24392
- 4. Yang C R, Li J H, Tan Q Y, Liu L L, Dong Q Y. Green process of metal recycling: Coprocessing waste printed circuit boards and spent tin stripping solution. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2017, 5(4): 3524–3534
- 5. Kim E Y, Kim M S, Lee J C, Jeong J, Pandey B D. Leaching kinetics of copper from waste printed circuit boards by electro-generated chlorine in HCl solution. Hydrometallurgy, 2011, 107(3–4): 124– 132
- 6. Xia M C, Wang Y P, Peng T J, Shen L, Yu R L, Liu Y D, Chen M, Li J K, Wu X L, Zeng W M. Recycling of metals from pretreated waste printed circuit boards effectively in stirred tank reactor by a moderately thermophilic culture. Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering, 2017, 123(6): 714–721
- 7. Zhang S, Li Y G, Wang R, Xu Z H, Wang B, Chen S, Chen M J. Superfine copper powders recycled from concentrated metal scraps of waste printed circuit boards by slurry electrolysis. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2017, 152: 1–6
- 8. Shokri A, Pahlevani F, Cole I, Sahajwalla V. Selective thermal transformation of old computer printed circuit boards to Cu-Sn based alloy. Journal of Environmental Management, 2017, 199: 7– 12
- 9. Xiu F R, Weng H W, Qi Y Y, Yu G D, Zhang Z G, Zhang F S, Chen M. A novel recovery method of copper from waste printed circuit boards by supercritical methanol process: Preparation of ultrafine copper materials. Waste Management (New York, N.Y.), 2016 , 60: 643–651
- 10. Cui J, Zhang L. Metallurgical recovery of metals from electronic waste: A review. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2008, 158(2–3): 228–256
- <span id="page-4-0"></span>11. Li J H, Duan H B, Yu K L, Liu L L, Wang S T. Characteristic of lowtemperature pyrolysis of printed circuit boards subjected to various atmosphere. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 2010, 54(11): 810–815
- 12. Duan H B, Li J H, Liu Y C, Yamazaki N, Jiang W. Characterizing the emission of chlorinated/brominated dibenzo-p-dioxins and furans from low-temperature thermal processing of waste printed circuit board. Environmental Pollution, 2012, 161(1): 185–191
- 13. Park Y J, Fray D J. Recovery of high purity precious metals from printed circuit boards. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2009, 164(2- 3): 1152–1158
- 14. Zhu P, Fan Z Y, Lin J, Liu Q, Qian G R, Zhou M. Enhancement of leaching copper by electro-oxidation from metal powders of waste printed circuit board. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2009, 166(2– 3): 746–750
- 15. Havlik T, Orac D, Berwanger M, Maul A. The effect of mechanical– physical pretreatment on hydrometallurgical extraction of copper and tin in residue from printed circuit boards from used consumer equipment. Minerals Engineering, 2014, 65(2): 163–171
- 16. Rozas E E, Mendes M A, Nascimento C A O, Espinosa D C R, Oliveira R, Oliveira G, Custodio M R. Bioleaching of electronic waste using bacteria isolated from the marine sponge *Hymeniacidon* heliophila (Porifera). Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2017, 329: 120–130
- 17. Calgaro C O, Schlemmer D F, da Silva M D C R, Maziero E V, Tanabe E H, Bertuol D A. Fast copper extraction from printed circuit boards using supercritical carbon dioxide. Waste Management (New York, N.Y.), 2015, 45: 289–297
- 18. Xiu F R, Zhang F S. Recovery of copper and lead from waste printed circuit boards by supercritical water oxidation combined with

electrokinetic process. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2009, 165  $(1-3)$ : 1002-1007

- 19. Verma H R, Singh K K, Mankhand T R. Delamination mechanism study of large size waste printed circuit boards by using dimethylacetamide. Waste Management (New York, N.Y.), 2017, 65: 139–146
- 20. Awasthi A K, Zlamparet G I, Zeng X L, Li J H. Evaluating waste printed circuit boards recycling: Opportunities and challenges, a mini review. Waste Management & Research, 2017, 35(4): 346–356
- 21. Ning C, Lin C S K, Hui D C W, McKay G. Waste Printed Circuit Board (PCB) Recycling Techniques. Topics in Current Chemistry, 2017, 375(2): 43
- 22. Madavali B, Lee J H, Jin K L, Cho K Y, Challapalli S, Hong S J. Effects of atmosphere and milling time on the coarsening of copper powders during mechanical milling. Powder Technology, 2014, 256 (2): 251–256
- 23. Chu Y Y, Chen M J, Chen S, Wang B, Fu K B, Chen H Y. Microcopper powders recovered from waste printed circuit boards by electrolysis. Hydrometallurgy, 2015, 156: 152–157
- 24. Wilson M A, Burt R, Lynn W C, Klameth L C. Total elemental analysis digestion method evaluation on soils and clays. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 1997, 28(6–8): 407– 426
- 25. Somasundaram M, Saravanathamizhan R, Basha C A, Nandakumar V, Begum S N, Kannadasan T. Recovery of copper from scrap printed circuit board: modelling and optimization using response surface methodology. Powder Technology, 2014, 266(6): 1–6
- 26. Matsushima H, Bund A, Plieth W, Kikuchi S, Fukunaka Y. Copper electrodeposition in a magnetic field. Electrochimica Acta, 2007, 53 (1): 161–166