

Control Theory and Technology

http://link.springer.com/journal/11768

Pinning synchronization of networked multi-agent systems: spectral analysis

Linying XIANG¹, Fei CHEN¹, Guanrong CHEN²⁺

1*.Department of Automation, Xiamen University, Xiamen Fujian 361005, China;* 2*.Department of Electronic Engineering, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China* Received 10 March 2014; revised 20 September 2014; accepted 28 September 2014

Abstract

Pinning synchronization of a networked multi-agent system with a directed communication topology is investigated from a spectral analysis approach. Some new types of synchronized regions for networked systems with different nonlinear agent dynamics and inner coupling structures are discovered. The eigenvalue distributions of the coupling and control matrices for different types of directed networks are obtained. The effects of the network topology, pinning density and pinning strength on the network synchronizability are examined through extensive numerical simulations. It is shown that the synchronizability of the pinned network can be effectively improved by increasing pinning density and pinning strength for some types of synchronized regions, whereas too large the pinning density and pinning strength will lead to desynchronization for other types. It is found that directed random networks are not always easier to synchronize than directed small-world networks, and a denser eigenvalue distribution may not always imply better synchronizability.

Keywords: Multi-agent system, directed network, pinning control, spectral analysis, synchronizability, synchronized region

DOI 10.1007/s11768-015-4033-6

1 Introduction

Networked multi-agent system refers to dynamical system with multiple agents interconnected by a communication network. The last decade has witnessed a new arrival of interest and research in the study of consensus, synchronization, and control of networked multi-agent systems [1–5]. This is partially due to the

rapid development of communication and computation technologies, with wide applications of multi-agent systems in many areas including cooperative control of mobile robots, unmanned air vehicles, and autonomous formation flight. Therein, an important topic is determining the network synchronizability, i.e., the width of the range of the global coupling strength needed to achieve network synchrony. In particular, the issue

[†]Corresponding author.

E-mail: eegchen@cityu.edu.hk.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 61104151, 61104018, 61473240), the Science Foundation of Fujian Province (No. 2012J01289), and the Hong Kong Research Grants Council under the GRF Grant CityU 1120/14.

^{© 2015} South China University of Technology, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, CAS, and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

of enhancing the synchronizability of a complex networked system with two typical types of synchronized regions, namely unbounded and bounded regions, has stimulated broad interest [6–9]. Notice that asymmetric interactions are ubiquitous in natural and technological networks, such as the WWW, airline networks, autonomous robot networks, etc. Additionally, the determination of synchronized regions (or consensus regions [4]) not only attributes to the above-mentioned network topologies but also is due to the diversities of individual agent dynamics and inner-coupling functions. Although some work has been carried out for different structures of synchronized regions [10, 11], the issue of synchronized regions of more general networks remains an important and interesting problem for study. Exploring generic synchronized regions and then investigating the synchronizability of networked multi-agent systems with various topologies will help develop a better integrated understanding of the interplay between the complexity of the network topology and the collective dynamics of the networked systems.

In the seminal work of Pecora and Carroll [12], an approach based on the master stability function (MSF) was proposed to study the synchronization of dynamical networks on regular or relatively simple network topologies. In addition, the spectral analysis approach was developed to analyze the stability of the synchronous states in coupled chaotic oscillator systems [13]. Both approaches are represented in the complex plane and provide powerful frameworks to study the relationship between the structure and the synchronizability of a networked system. The weakest condition for all the Lyapunov exponents of the error dynamical system to be negative was suggested to guarantee the stability of the synchronous state, and moreover the critical curve of the synchronized region is determined by the largest Lyapunov exponent. Note that such a criterion assesses the stability of the completely synchronous state, which is necessary but not sufficient for synchronization. In particular, the network model considered in [13] is mainly determined by diffusive and gradient coupling coefficients; therefore, the underlying network can only be a regular or rather simple ones, of which the eigenvalues of the outer-coupling matrices can be calculated explicitly. However, for most real networked systems, the network structures are complex and irregular. Stimulated by this observation, there is growing interest in investigating the synchronization problem of more complicated networked systems and characterizing the structure-dynamics relationships.

From the application perspective, most realistic complex networked systems need external forces to function properly. For instance, when synchronization (or consensus) in a network of dynamical agents cannot

be achieved through local interactions of the agents, or when the topology of a network is uncertain, external input to the networked system is necessary. In theory, it is possible to add control input to each agent, but this is usually not implementable due to physical constraints and high cost, particularly when the number of agents is very large. A sensible approach is to use pinning control to guide such a network of coupled dynamical systems onto a desired reference trajectory [14, 15]. It turns out that placing feedback controllers at a small portion of agents can indeed reduce the control cost dramatically and even improve control performances comparing with the strategy of controlling all or most of the agents [16–19].

Motivated by the above discussions, the spectral analysis method is generalized here for pinning synchronization of multi-agent systems linked by directed networks. Different agent dynamics with chaotic behavior and different inner coupling matrices are considered. Accordingly, some new synchronized regions are discovered, and then some new indicators are given to evaluate the network synchronizability. It is found that for the *U*-shaped synchronized region of chaotic systems, the synchronizability of directed small-world networks with fixed in-degrees is better than directed random networks, which is in sharp contrast to some observations reported in the literature. It is also surprising to find that denser eigenvalue distribution does not always imply better synchronizability in networks with *U*, Λ, and *M*-shaped synchronized regions.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. A new simple criterion for synchronization is given under the linear system theoretic framework in Section 2. The sign of the largest real part of the Jacobian eigenvalues, including the coupling and control terms, is used to characterize the shapes of the synchronized regions, and various essentially different structures of synchronized regions for arbitrary inner-couplings are identified in Section 3. In Section 4, the eigenvalue distributions of different outer-coupling and control matrices are investigated. The dependence of synchronizability on the network topology, pinning density, and pinning strength is discussed in detail based on spectral analysis and numerical simulation, with special emphasis on the newly found synchronized regions. Finally, Section 5 gives a few remarks as conclusion.

Throughout the paper, R*^m* denotes the *m*-dimensional Euclidean space and $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ the set of all real $m \times n$ matrices. The $n \times n$ identity matrix is denoted by I_n . The superscript "T" stands for matrix transpose and ⊗ denotes the Kronecker product. Let diag{*a*1,..., *an*} be the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with its diagonal elements being a_1, \ldots, a_n . Let j denote the imaginary unit satisfying $j^2 = -1$ and $|\cdot|$ be the absolute value of a real

number. $Re(\cdot)$ is the real part of a complex number and $Im(\cdot)$ its imaginary part.

2 Network stability from spectral analysis

Consider a multi-agent system consisting of *N* agents described by

$$
\dot{x}_i(t) = f(x_i(t)) - \sigma \sum_{j=1}^{N} L_{ij} \Gamma x_j(t), \ \ i = 1, ..., N. \tag{1}
$$

Here, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the state vector of the *i*th agent, and the nonlinear function $f(\cdot)$ is continuously differentiable, capable of producing various rich dynamical behaviors. The real parameter σ is a positive constant describing the global coupling strength. ^Γ [∈] ^R*^m*×*^m* is a constant matrix representing the inner coupling of the agents. In this paper, it is assumed that each entry of the constant matrix *Γ* is either 0 or 1. The Laplacian matrix $L = (L_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ satisfying zero-row sum denotes the outer-coupling among the agents. The topological information on the directed network is encoded in matrix *L*, whose entries *Lij* are defined as follows: if there is a directed edge from agent *j* to agent *i*, then $L_{ij} < 0$; otherwise, $L_{ij} = 0$ ($j \neq i$).

The task is to completely synchronize system (1) onto a prescribed state \bar{x} , which is an exact solution to the individual system $\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t))$ and satisfies $f(\bar{x}) = 0$. For this purpose, feedback pinning control is applied to system (1). The controlled system can then be described as

$$
\dot{x}_i(t) = f(x_i(t)) - \sigma \sum_{j=1}^N L_{ij} \Gamma x_j(t) - \sigma \delta_i d_i \Gamma(x_i(t) - \bar{x}),
$$
\n
$$
i = 1, \dots, N,
$$
\n(2)

where $\delta_i = 1$ and $d_i = d > 0$ if control is applied on the *i*th agent and $\delta_i = d_i = 0$ otherwise. Let *l* be the number of the pinned agents. Then, one has $\sum \delta_i = l$. Here, *l* is *i* referred to as the "pinning density". The feedback gain *d* is referred to as the "pinning strength".

Define $e_i(t) = x_i(t) - \bar{x}$. Linearizing equation (2) at state \bar{x} leads to

$$
\dot{E}(t) = (I_N \otimes J_f(\bar{x}) - \sigma C \otimes \Gamma)E(t), \tag{3}
$$

where $J_f(\bar{x})$ is the Jacobian matrix of f evaluated at \bar{x} . $E = [e_1^T \cdots e_N^T]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{mN}$ and $C = L + D$ with feedback gain matrix $D = \text{diag}\{d_1, \ldots, d_N\}.$

The matrix *C* can be written as $G = P^{-1}CP$, where *G* is the Jordan canonical form of *C* which is upper triangular. Introducing a transformation $\eta(t) = (P^{-1} \otimes I_m)E(t)$, along with the error system (3), yields

$$
\dot{\eta}(t) = (I_N \otimes J_f(\bar{x}) - \sigma G \otimes \Gamma)\eta(t). \tag{4}
$$

Note [20] that the eigenvalues of the matrix $I_N \otimes I_f(\bar{x})$ – *σC* ⊗ *Γ* in (3) are exactly the eigenvalues of $J_f(\bar{x}) - σμ_i Γ$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, where μ_i are the eigenvalues of *C*.

For convenience, let $\alpha_i = \sigma \mu_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Note that the structures of the blocks $J_f(\bar{x})-\alpha_i\Gamma$ are similar, except that α*ⁱ* might be different. This leads to the following generic form for all the blocks:

$$
\dot{\omega}(t) = (J_f(\bar{x}) - \alpha \Gamma) \omega(t). \tag{5}
$$

Let $\lambda(\alpha)$ represent all the eigenvalues of the matrix $J_f(\bar{x}) - \alpha \Gamma$. The fundamental linear system theory shows that the controlled system (2) is locally exponentially stable about \bar{x} if and only if the real parts of $\lambda(\alpha)$ are all negative, i.e.,

$$
Re(\lambda(\alpha)) < 0. \tag{6}
$$

From the above analysis, the stability of the controlled system (2) can be decomposed into two independent tasks: one is to analyze the synchronized regions of the modified error system (5), which depends solely on the inherent dynamics of the isolated agent $J_f(\bar{x})$ and the inner-coupling structure Γ ; the other one is to analyze the eigenvalue distribution of σ*C*, which depends only on the global coupling strength σ , the topology of the network, and the control mechanism, including *l* and *d*.

The criterion given in (6) characterizes the synchronized region, which depends on both the intrinsic dynamics of the individual agent and the inner coupling matrix. Once $f(x)$ and \bar{x} are given, $J_f(\bar{x})$ is fixed and thus the synchronized region is determined only by Γ.

3 Synchronized regions for some typical chaotic systems

3.1 Nonlinear systems

Here, two representative nonlinear systems with chaotic behaviors are introduced.

3.1.1 Rossler system ¨

A single Rossler system [21] is described by

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -(x_2 + x_3) \\ x_1 + ax_2 \\ b + (x_1 - c)x_3 \end{bmatrix},
$$
 (7)

which has a chaotic attractor when $a = b = 0.2$ and $c = 9$. With this set of system parameters, the system has one unstable equilibrium point $\bar{x} = [\bar{x}_1 \ \bar{x}_2 \ \bar{x}_3]^T =$ $[0.0044 - 0.0222 \ 0.0222]$ ^T, at which the Jacobian matrix

 \mathcal{D} Springer

is given by

$$
J_f(\bar{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & a & 0 \\ \bar{x}_3 & 0 & \bar{x}_1 - c \end{bmatrix}.
$$
 (8)

3.1.2 Chen system

A single Chen system [22] is described by

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a(x_2 - x_1) \\ (c - a - x_3)x_1 + cx_2 \\ x_1x_2 - bx_3 \end{bmatrix},
$$
(9)

which has a chaotic attractor when $a = 35$, $b = 3$, and $c = 28$. With this set of system parameters, the system has one unstable equilibrium point $\bar{x} = [3\sqrt{7}, 3\sqrt{7}, 21]^{T}$, at which the Jacobian matrix is given by

$$
J_f(\bar{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} -a & -a & 0 \\ c - a - \bar{x}_3 & c & -\bar{x}_1 \\ \bar{x}_2 & \bar{x}_1 & -b \end{bmatrix}.
$$
 (10)

3.2 Generic parameter α **is complex**

For general directed networks, the spectrum of the corresponding Laplacian matrix *L* is complex. Therefore, the matrix *C* has complex eigenvalues. Moreover, since all the elements of *L* (also *C*) are real, nonreal eigenvalues appear in pairs of complex conjugates. Denote by $\{\mu_i = \mu_i^r + j\mu_i^i\}$ the set of the eigenvalues of *C* with $\mu_1^r \leq \ldots \leq \mu_N^r$. Let $\alpha = \text{Re}(\lambda) + \text{jIm}(\lambda)$, where λ is an eigenvalue of the matrix σ*C*. In Figs. 1 and 2, the synchronized regions (dark grey shaded areas, denoted by "S") of the synchronous state \bar{x} of the Rössler system and Chen system are plotted in the Re(λ) – Im(λ) parameter plane for various inner-coupling configurations. The curves represent the critical condition where the largest real part of the eigenvalues of the matrix $J_f(\bar{x}) - \alpha \Gamma$ is equal to zero, which separates the synchronized region from the desynchronized one. In the shaded region marked by "S" (synchronized), the largest real part of the eigenvalues of the matrix $J_f(\bar{x})-\alpha\Gamma$ is negative. Note that this stability boundary is independent of the specific choices of the global coupling strength σ and the matrix *C*. Furthermore, the critical curve is an even function of $Im(\lambda)$ and is thus symmetric about the Re(λ) axis.

Fig. 1 Distributions of synchronized regions ("S", dark grey shaded areas) of the synchronous state \bar{x} for the Rossler system. The inset in Fig. 1 (h) shows the synchronized region for $Re(\lambda) \in [0,2]$.

Fig. 2 Distributions of synchronized regions ("S", dark grey shaded areas) of the synchronous state \bar{x} for the Chen system.

(a)
$$
\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$
, (b) $\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, (c) $\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, (d) $\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, (e) $\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$,
(f) $\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, (g) $\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, (h) $\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, (i) $\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

The intricate geometrical structures of synchronized regions in terms of critical boundaries shown in Figs. 1 and 2 can be classified into the following classes.

Class i), shown in Figs. 1 (b), 1 (g), 1 (i), 2 (b), and 2 (h): the synchronized region is encircled by a single closed critical curve, i.e., it is localized in a finite $Re(\lambda) - Im(\lambda)$ region.

Class ii), shown in Figs. 1 (a), 2 (c), and 2 (f): the synchronized region is encircled by two closed critical curves.

Class iii), shown in Fig. 2 (i): the critical curve is *U*shaped. Fig. 1 (d) is a kind of mixture of classes i) and iii).

Class iv), shown in Fig. 1 (e): the critical curve is Λ -

shaped.

Class v), shown in Fig. 2 (d): the critical curve is *M*shaped. Fig. 2 (a) is a kind of mixture of classes iii) and (v) .

Class vi), shown in Fig. 1 (c): the critical curve forms two rough quarter-circles. Figs. 1 (f) and 2 (g) can be seen as a kind of mixture of classes i) and vi).

Fig. 2 (e) is a kind of mixture of class i) and a stripe. Fig. 1 (h) is a kind of mixture of *V*shape and a stripe.

Here, some new types of synchronized regions are discovered. Therefore, some new indicators should be given to measure the network synchronizability. For class i), both $R^r = \frac{\mu_N^r}{\mu_1^r}$ and $\max_i |\mu_j^i|$ can be used for measuring the synchronizability. The smaller the *Rr* and the $\max_{i} |\mu_{j}^{i}|$ are, the more easily the network is synchronizable. For class iii), both μ_1^r and $\max_i |\mu_j^i|$ can be used for determining the synchronizability. Larger μ_1^r and smaller $\max_{i} |\mu_j^i|$ are favorable for stabilizing the synchronous state. While for classes iv) and v), both μ_1^r and $\min_{i} |\mu_j^i|$ can be used to measure the synchronizability. Larger μ_1^r and larger $\min_j |\mu_j^i|$ are favorable for network stabilization to the synchronous state. For class vi) and the structures shown in Figs. 1 (a) and 2 (f), the network with only real eigenvalues can never be synchronized, because the synchronized regions have no crossing with the vertical axis $Im(\lambda) = 0$. While for those disconnected synchronized regions shown in Figs. 1 (d), 2 (c), and 2 (e), their synchronizabilities are more complicated and cannot be determined by simple measures. This will be further discussed in the next section.

4 Eigenvalue distributions

Regarding the above-mentioned synchronized regions, it is natural to ask: i) what are the synchronizabilities for different types of networked multi-agent systems? ii) what factors are key to the synchronizability? These two intrigued questions are to be answered subsequently.

To stabilize the synchronous state, the crucial issue is to move all the unstable eigenvalues (i.e., eigenvalues in the desynchronized regions) of *L* to the synchronized regions by designing a suitable control matrix *D*. In this section, various representative topologies of complex networks are considered. The effects of the structural characteristics of networks, pinning density, and pinning strength on the network synchronizability will be examined via extensive numerical tests.

First, starting with a regular ring network, where each node *i* receives directed edges from its *ki* nearest neighbors. Here, the in-degree $k_i = k$ (k is chosen to be even) is the same for all nodes. Then, each outgoing edge is randomly cut with a probability *p* and is then rewired to a node chosen randomly from the whole network (avoiding multiple edges and self-loops). Note that an edge is allowed to be rewired back to its original position, and the in-degree of each node remains unchanged in the rewiring process. As the rewiring probability *p* is tuned from 0 to 1, the directed networks interpolate between regular ring networks $(p = 0)$, small worlds (low $p \ll 1$), and completely random networks ($p = 1$). This structural change induces changes in the corresponding graph's Laplacian spectrum, and thus has a direct influence on the network synchronizability.

To examine how the rewiring probability *p* and the in-degree *k* affect the synchronizability, the behaviors of *^L* for various network sizes *^N* ∈ {32, ¹²⁸, ⁵¹², ²⁰⁴⁸}, by varying *p* at fixed *k* and by varying *k* at fixed *p*, are depicted in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. Note that the weights of the Laplacian matrices are fixed to 0 or 1 in Figs. 3–5. In Fig. 3, one increases the network size *N* but keeps the in-degree of each node unchanged. It is observed that, the nonzero eigenvalues are uniformly distributed in an ellipse in the complex plane. It is interesting to see from Fig. 3 that the whole ellipse is independent of the network size *N*, because larger *N* only corresponds to denser distribution of eigenvalues inside the given ellipse. Furthermore, increasing *p* will elongate the ellipse along the $Im(\lambda)$ axis and will increase the asymmetry of the total coupling. Note that imaginary eigenvalues arise from asymmetric couplings. The larger the imaginary eigenvalue is, the stronger the asymmetry of the coupling will be. In Fig. 4, when increasing *N*, the connection probability *p* is kept to be constant 1 whereas $k \in \{2, 4, 6, 10\}$. It can be seen that, for a large directed random network, the distribution of the nonzero eigenvalues forms an ellipse, and the radius of the ellipse shrinks as *k* decreases. This implies that the smaller the in-degree per node, the denser the eigenvalue distribution. In addition, the real parts of the nonzero eigenvalues increase as *k* increases.

In Fig. 5, the eigenvalue distributions of σ*L* are presented for those synchronized regions shown in

Figs. 1 (e), 1 (h), 2 (d), and 2 (i), respectively. It is surprising to see that the synchronizability of directed smallworld networks is better than random networks for the *U*-shaped synchronized region (see Fig. 5 (d)), which is different from the cases of classes iv), v) (see Figs. 5 (a) and 5 (c), respectively), and the mixed structure shown in Fig. 5 (b). This suggests that random networks are not always more easily synchronized than small-world networks. It can be predicted from Fig. 4 that, for directed random networks, the synchronizability is improved by increasing the in-degree *k*, for the synchronized regions shown in Fig. 5.

Up to this point, the relevant factors on synchronizability such as *p* and *k* have been discussed. In what follows, the focus is on the effects of both pinning density and pinning strength on the network synchronizability, which is a central issue related to network control. Here, it is assumed that all the nodes have normalized input strengths, i.e., all the diagonal elements $L_{ii} \equiv 1$, $\forall i$. The matrix is usually called the normalized Laplacian of that graph.

Fig. 3 Eigenvalue distribution of *L* at fixed in-degree $k = 4$. Mauve dots: $p = 0$ (purely real due to the initial ring symmetry). Blue dots: $p = 0.1$. Red dots: $p = 0.4$. Green dots: $p = 1$. (a) $N = 32$. (b) $N = 128$. (c) $N = 512$. (d) $N = 2048$.

Fig. 4 Eigenvalue distribution of *L* at fixed $p = 1$. Mauve dots: $k = 2$. Black dots: $k = 4$. Green dots: $k = 6$. Red dots: $k = 10$. (a) $N = 32$. (b) $N = 128$. (c) $N = 512$. (d) $N = 2048$.

Fig. 5 Eigenvalue distribution of σL at fixed in-degree $k = 4$. Black dots: $p = 0.1$. Red dots: $p = 1$. (a) $N = 512$, $\sigma = 0.2$ (the synchronized region in Fig. 1 (e) is considered). (b) *N* = 512, $\sigma = 0.3$ (the synchronized region in Fig. 1 (h) is considered). (c) $N = 32$, $\sigma = 5$ (the synchronized region in Fig. 2 (d) is considered). (d) $N = 32$, $\sigma = 11$ (the synchronized region in Fig. 2 (i) is considered).

In Fig. 6, various eigenvalue distributions of the matrix σ*C* for different *l* and *d* are plotted. The synchronized region in Fig. 1 (f) is considered. Black dots represent the eigenvalues of σ*C* without control, while red dots represent the eigenvalues of σ*C* under control, where a directed small-world network with in-degree $k = 2$ and $p = 0.8$ is considered. These notations are also used for Figs. 7–9. Recall that there are five eigenvalues (black dots) staying in the desynchronized region without control. Setting $l = 16$ and $d = 0.73$, all the eigenvalues (red dots) eventually fall into the synchronized region, as seen in Fig. 6 (a). Keep all the parameters fixed in Fig. 6 (a), except increasing the pinning strength to $d = 0.85$. An interesting phenomenon occurs: the top four eigenvalues first cross the upper critical curve and enter the desynchronized region, thus the network fails to synchronize. Continuously increasing the pinning density

to $l = 20$ in Fig. 6 (a), the same phenomenon in Fig. 6 (b) is also observed. This implies that too strong the pinning density and/or pinning strength will suppress synchronization in networks with bounded stable regions like Fig. 1 (f). Namely, there exist regions (l_{min} , l_{max}) and (d_{\min} , d_{\max}), respectively, among which pinning synchronization can be well realized. It can be deduced that, to achieve synchronization in the structures of class i) and mixture structures in Fig. 2 (a) (see Fig. 7), pinning control is necessary to obtain similar results.

Fig. 6 Eigenvalue distribution of the matrix σ*C* (the synchronized region in Fig. 1 (f) is considered). Black dots denote the eigenvalues of σ*C* without control, while red dots those under control. $N = 32$, $\sigma = 0.72$, $k = 2$, and $p = 0.8$. (a) $l = 16$, $d = 0.73$. (b) $l = 16$, $d = 0.85$. (c) $l = 20$, $d = 0.73$. (d) $l = 21$, $d = 0.8$

Fig. 7 Eigenvalue distribution of the matrix σ*C* (the synchronized region in Fig. 2 (a) is considered). Notations as Fig. 6. $N = 32$, $\sigma = 5$, $k = 2$, and $p = 0.8$. (a) $l = 22$, $d = 1.2$. (b) $l =$ 22, $d = 1.5$. (c) $l = 26$, $d = 1.2$. (d) $l = 25$, $d = 1.3$.

Fig. 8 Eigenvalue distribution of the matrix σ*C* (the synchronized region in Fig. 2 (c) is considered). Notations as Fig. 6. *N* = 32, σ = 1, *k* = 2, and *p* = 0.8. (a) *l* = 32, *d* = 7.5. (b) *l* = 32, *d* = 11. (c) *l* = 32, *d* = 16.5. (d) *l* = 32, *d* = 17.8.

Fig. 9 Eigenvalue distribution of the matrix σ*C* (the synchronized region in Fig. 2 (e) is considered). Notations as Fig. 6. $N = 32$, $\sigma = 2.5$, $k = 2$, and $p = 0.8$. (a) $l = 32$, $d = 2.3$. (b) $l =$ 32, $d = 3.5$. (c) $l = 32$, $d = 10$. (d) $l = 32$, $d = 21$.

In Fig. 8, the case of Fig. 2 (c) is considered. It is evident that the area of the bounded synchronized region is very small, and thus all the nodes should be pinned to achieve synchronization. Setting *l* = 32 and $d = 7.5$, all the eigenvalues fall into the first closed synchronized region, thus pinning synchronization is naturally achieved, as shown in Fig. 8 (a). Further increasing the pinning strength until $d = 11$, as shown in Fig. 8 (b), reveals that all the eigenvalues cross the first bottom critical curve and move into the desynchronized region,

so the pinned network becomes desynchronizable. As can be seen from Fig. 8 (c), successively increasing the pinning strength *d* in Fig. 8 (b) makes all the eigenvalues move up, but they are located inside the second closed synchronized region. It is apparent that the eigenvalues move up to the desynchronized region once again with the increase of *d* (see Fig. 8 (d)). Therefore, one can conclude that there exist two regions, $(d_{1\min}, d_{1\max})$ and $(d_{2\min}, d_{2\max})$, among which pinning synchronization can be well realized in the case of Fig. 2 (c). Furthermore, one can deduce that the reasonable intervals of *d* for guaranteeing the synchronization stability are $(d_{1\min}, d_{1\max})$ and $(d_{2\min}, +\infty)$, as shown in Fig. 9.

5 Conclusions

A generalized spectral analysis approach to studying networked multi-agent systems with directed topologies subjected to pinning control has been presented. Under the new formalism, the stability of the completely synchronous state is converted to two sub-problems. The first sub-problem is to identify the synchronized regions in the complex plane, where the modified error dynamical system admits eigenvalues with a negative largest real part. The second sub-problem is to verify whether the eigenvalues of an associated matrix lie in the synchronized regions. The two sub-problems are independent of each other, because the former depends solely on the individual agent dynamics and the innercoupling while the latter depends only on the interactions among the agents and the control mechanism. It should be emphasized that identifying the shapes of the synchronized regions of the given agent dynamics and the inner-coupling links is the prerequisite to investigate several important issues related to synchronization and control of networked multi-agent systems.

In this paper, although numerical simulations are performed with two chaotic systems on complex networks of typical topologies, the extension to other general systems and to other network structures is possible. The interesting results of the present paper give some clues about the concurrent relationship between the topological and the dynamical features of complex networked systems, for example the formation of social collective behaviors such as opinions, news, fashion, rumors, and political orientations.

Future research along the same line could study the settings with noise, uncertainties, time delays, timevarying topologies, and so on. In addition, some relevant

problems of complex networks with nonidentical agent dynamics are challenging but worthy of future investigation. The concept of "cluster synchronization" [23, 24] may also build up a general framework for future research on similar topics.

References

- [1] W. Ren, R. W. Beard. Consensus seeking in multiagent systems under dynamically changing interaction topologies. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2005, 50(5): 655 – 661.
- [2] F. Chen, Y. Cao, W. Ren. Distributed average tracking of multiple time-varying reference signals with bounded derivatives. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2012, 57(12): 3169 – 3174.
- [3] W. Yu, G. Chen, J. Lü, et al. Synchronization via pinning control on general complex networks. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 2013, 51(2): 1395 – 1416.
- [4] Z. Li, Z. Duan, G. Chen, et al. Consensus of multiagent systems and synchronization of complex networks: a unified viewpoint. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems – I*, 2010, 57(1): 213 – 224.
- [5] Z. Li, X. Liu, W. Ren, et al. Distributed tracking control for linear multi-agent systems with a leader of bounded unknown input. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2013, 58(2): 518 – 523.
- [6] M. Barahona, L. M. Pecora. Synchronization in small-world systems. *Physical Review Letters*, 2002, 89(5): DOI 10.1103/ PhysRevLett.89.054101.
- [7] C. Zhou, A. E. Motter, J. Kurths. Universality in the synchronization of weighted random networks. *Physical Review Letters*, 2006, 96(3): DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.034101.
- [8] G. Chen, Z. Duan. Network synchronizability analysis: a graph-theoretic approach. *Chaos*, 2008, 18(3): DOI 10.1063/ 1.2965530.
- [9] D. Shi, G. Chen, W. W. K. Thong, et al. Searching for optimal network topology with best possible synchronizability. *IEEE Circuits and Systems Magazine*, 2013, 13(1): 66 – 75.
- [10] A. Stefański, P. Perlikowski, T. Kapitaniak. Ragged synchronizability of coupled oscillators. *Physical Review E*, 2007, 75(1): DOI 10.1103/PhysRevE.75.016210.
- [11] Z. Duan, G. Chen, L. Huang. Synchronization of weighted networks and complex synchronized regions. *Physics Letters A*, 2008, 372(21): 3741 – 3751.
- [12] L. M. Pecora, T. L. Carroll. Master stability functions for synchronized coupled systems. *Physical Review Letters*, 1998, $80(10) \cdot 2109 - 2112$
- [13] G. Hu, J. Yang, W. Liu. Instability and controllability of linearly coupled oscillators: eigenvalue analysis. *Physical Review E*, 1998, 58(4): 4440 – 4453.
- [14] X. Wang, G. Chen. Pinning control of scale-free dynamical networks. *Physica A*, 2002, 310(3): 521 – 531.
- [15] X. Li, X. Wang, G. Chen. Pinning a complex dynamical network to its equilibrium. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems – I*, 2004, 51(10): 2074 – 2087.
- [16] J. Xiang, G. Chen. On the *V*-stability of complex dynamical networks. *Automatica*, 2007, 43(6): 1049 – 1057.
- [17] L. Xiang, Z. Liu, Z. Chen, et al. Pinning control of complex dynamical networks with general topology. *Physica A*, 2007, $379(1)$: 298 – 306.
- [18] M. Porfiri, M. di Bernardo. Criteria for global pinningcontrollability of complex networks. *Automatica*, 2008, 44(12): 3100 – 3106.
- [19] F. Chen, Z. Chen, L. Xiang, et al. Reaching a consensus via pinning control. *Automatica*, 2009, 45(5): 1215 – 1220.
- [20] C. W. Wu, L. O. Chua. Application of Kronecker products to the analysis of systems with uniform linear coupling. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems – I*, 1995, 42(10): 775 – 778.
- [21] O. E. Rossler. An equation for continuous chaos. *Physics Letters A*, 1976, 57(5): 397 – 398.
- [22] G. Chen, T. Ueta. Yet another chaotic attractor. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 1999, 9(7): 1465 – 1466.
- [23] K. Wang, X. Fu, K. Li. Cluster synchronization in community networks with nonidentical nodes. *Chaos*, 2009, 19(2): DOI 10.1063/1.3125714.
- [24] W. Qin, G. Chen. Coupling schemes for cluster synchronization in coupled Josephson equations. *Physica D*, 2004, 197(3/4): 375 – 391.

Linying XIANG received her Ph.D. degree in Control Theory and Control Engineering from Nankai University, Tianjin, China, in 2008. From November 2008 to October 2010, she was a Postdoctoral Research Fellow at the City University of Hong Kong. From March 2013 to June 2013, she was a Senior Research Assistant with the Department of Electronic Engineering, City Uni-

versity of Hong Kong, Hong Kong. Since November 2010, she has been with the Department of Automation, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China, where she is currently an Associate Professor. Dr. Xiang was a Program Committee Member of Asian Control Conference and Chinese Conference of Complex Networks. Dr. Xiang's research interests are in the area of synchronization and control of complex networks, coordinated control of multi-agent systems. E-mail: xiangly@xmu.edu.cn.

Fei CHEN received his Ph.D. degree in Control Theory and Control Engineering from Nankai University, Tianjin, China, in 2009. From October 2008 to January 2009, he was a Research Assistant with the Department of Electronic Engineering, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong. From August 2009 to August 2010, he was a Postdoctoral Researcher in the Department of Computer

and Electrical Engineering, Utah State University, Logan, UT. From March 2013 to June 2013, he was a Senior Research Associate with the Department of Mechanical and Biomedical Engineering, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong. Since November 2010, he has been with the Department of Automation, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China, where he is currently an Associate Professor. Dr. Chen was a Program Committee Member of Asian Control Conference and Chinese Conference of Complex Networks. He was a recipient of the Award for New Century Excellent Talents in Fujian Province University and a recipient of the Distinguished Ph.D. Dissertation Award from Nankai University. Dr. Chen's research interests are in the area of systems and control, multi-agent networks, and non-smooth analysis. E-mail: feichen@xmu.edu.cn.

Guanrong CHEN has been a Chair Professor and the Director of the Centre for Chaos and Complex Networks at the City University of Hong Kong since year 2000, prior to which he was a Tenured Full Professor at the University of Houston, Texas, USA. He was elected IEEE Fellow in 1997, awarded the 2011 Euler Gold Medal, Russia, and conferred Honorary Doctorate by the Saint Pe-

tersburg State University, Russia in 2011 and by the University of Le Havre, France in 2014. He is a member of the Academia Europaea. E-mail: eegchen@cityu.edu.hk.