#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# The complete mitochondrial genome of an endangered minnow *Aphyocypris lini* (Cypriniformes: Xenocyprididae): genome characterization and phylogenetic consideration

Zhi Zhang<sup>1</sup> • Shuying Li<sup>2</sup> • Jiling Zhang<sup>3</sup> • Wulin Song<sup>2</sup> • Jin Yang<sup>3</sup> • Jingli Mu<sup>1</sup>

Received: 6 January 2021 / Accepted: 7 June 2021 / Published online: 19 June 2021 © Institute of Zoology, Slovak Academy of Sciences 2021

#### Abstract

The mitochondrial genome can provide useful information for analyzing phylogeny and molecular evolution. In this study, the mitochondrial genome of *Aphyocypris lini*, an endangered and endemic minnow from southeast China, was sequenced and compared with other closely related species. The mitogenome of *A. lini* is 16,613 base pairs in length and consists of 13 proteincoding genes (PCGs), 22 transfer RNA (tRNA) genes, two ribosomal RNA (rRNA) genes and one displacement loop region. All of PCGs initiate with the standard start codon ATG except cox1 with GTG and most PCGs terminate with TAA or TAG. The genome composition is highly A + T biased (57.3%), and exhibits a positive AT-skew (0.0614) and a negative GC-skew (-0.2205). Based on PCGs, phylogenetic analysis showed that former Ex-Danioninae subfamily was reclassified as Xenocypridiae and divided into two main clades: Opsariichthyinae and Xenocypridinae. Within Xenocypridinae, genus *Aphyocypris* is not monophyletic but closely related to *Yaoshanicus, Nicholsicypris*, and *Pararasbora* genera, suggesting taxonomy should be reconsidered. The present study contributes to understanding the comparative evolution and taxonomy of genus *Aphyocypris*.

Keywords Mitogenome · Aphyocypris lini · Garnet minnow · Phylogenetic relationship · Xenocypridinae

## Introduction

Mitochondrial genome is a single extrachromosomal circular DNA molecular with 15–18 kb in length and it consistently contains 13 protein coding genes (PCGs), two ribosomal RNA (rRNA), 22 transfer RNA (tRNA) genes, and a noncoding adenine(A) + thymine(T)-rich region (displacement loop region, D-loop) for most vertebrates (Satoh et al. 2016). Compared with nuclear genome, mitogenome is characterized by its small size, simple genomic organization, maternal inheritance, high rate of evolution, and almost unambiguous orthology. Hence, mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is typically considered to be an informative molecular marker widely applied in species identification, evolutionary biology, population genetics, and conservation biology (Duchene et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2016; Ko et al. 2018).

The Danioninae is one of the most species-rich subfamilies of the Cyprinidae, comprising approximate 300 species belonging to 50 genera (Tang et al. 2010; Liao et al. 2011a). However, the subfamily Danioninae and its members have had a long and somewhat convoluted taxonomic history (Tang et al. 2010), which had been described as a large assemblage containing most taxa not accommodated by the other subfamilies of the Cyprinidae. In previous phylogenetic studies, the Danioninae is not monophyletic, with putative members scattered throughout Cyprinidae (Tang et al. 2010). Within the Danioninae sensu stricto, it has been distinguished into three major lineages, including tribes Rasborini, Danionini, and Chedrini (Tang et al. 2010; Liao et al. 2011b). Besides, the remaining danionines, corresponding to Aphyocypris, Opsariichthys, Zacco and others, are still nonmonophyletic (Wang et al. 2007; He et al. 2008; Mayden et al. 2008, 2009; Tao et al. 2013) and chaotic within the subfamily. Hence, many studies described these "displaced" danionines as Ex-Danioninae subfamily (Fang 2003; Liao et al. 2011a,

Shuying Li 2460551489@qq.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Institute of Oceanography, Minjiang University, Fuzhou 350108, People's Republic of China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Fujian Ocean Vocational and Technical School, Fuzhou 350012, People's Republic of China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Fujian Birdwatching Society, Fuzhou 350011, People's Republic of China

2011b; Liao and Kullander 2013; Huang et al. 2017), which has also been confirmed in the Fishbase (Froese and Pauly 2019). With the increasing data of molecular markers and genome sequences, recent studies have reclassified these "displaced" danionines into family Xenocyprididae (Tan and Armbruster 2018), which has been confirmed by phylogenetic analyses by mtDNA and nuclear DNA genes (Stout et al. 2016; Schönhuth et al. 2018). In these phylogenetic trees, family Xenocyprididae, including *Aphyocypris*, *Opsariichthys*, *Zacco* and other genera, is sister to family Danionidae. However, phylogenetic studies based on the mitogenomes are still few.

The cyprinid genus *Aphyocypris* was established in 1868, diagnosed by having a subsuperior mouth, no barbel, no knob on the anterior medium of the low jaw fitting into a notch in the upper jaw, a keel between the base of the pelvic fin and the anus, and an incomplete lateral line or its absence (Chen 1998). Most of the species of genus *Aphyocypris* were narrowly distributed in Southern China, expect for *A. chinensis*, a species widely distributed in East Asia. Therefore, most of the species of genus *Aphyocypris* were reported at the risk of extinction, to date, resulted from low population diversity, narrow distribution and habitat destruction (Hu et al. 2009; Liao et al. 2011c; Zhu et al. 2015; Jiang et al. 2016).

The Garnet minnow Aphyocypris lini (Weitzman and Chan, 1966) is an endangered cyprinid endemic to southern China. Aphyocypris lini, a subtropical freshwater benthopelagic fish, lives in clear shallow water with thick growth of weeds in river ditches and rivulets (Yue and Chen 1998; Zhu et al. 2013). The distribution of A. lini was only recorded in Hong Kong and it has been declared extinct in the wild (Hu et al. 2009). But beyond that, there were no references of this endangered fish. In this study, we firstly collected A. lini specimens in Fujian Province, China. It would be the first field report of this endangered species in nearly four decades, as well as a record of new distribution area to be found. Meanwhile, we amplified the first complete mitogenome sequence of A. lini and comparatively analyzed the mitogenome among related species endemically distributed in East Asia, regarding genome organization, composition, and its codon usage. Finally, we reconstructed the phylogenetic trees of genus Aphyocypris based on the PCGs. Our aim is to understand the features of A. lini mitochondrial genome and provide insight into the evolutionary relationships within genus Aphyocypris.

#### Materials and methods

#### Sampling and DNA extraction

Four specimens were collected from the Minjiang River, Fujian Province, China. For protection of this endangered species, the coordinates are not shown, but can be obtained by contacting the authors if needed. Specimens (Fig. 1) were identified following the diagnostic characteristics described by Chen (1998). Muscle tissues were preserved in 95% ethanol and deposited in the Institute of Oceanology, Minjiang University. Total genomic DNA was extracted by the saltextraction method (Aljanabi and Martinez 1997).

#### Primer design, PCR amplification and sequencing

The complete mitogenome of A. lini was amplified based on six universal primers and six specific primers described in Table 1. Firstly, six partial genetic fragments were amplified and sequenced using the universal primers. Subsequently, specific primers were designed to amplify long fragments based on the sequenced segments using online tool primer-BLAST (Ye et al. 2012). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification was carried out in a 20 µL reaction containing 50 ng template DNA, 10 µL SanTaq Plus PCR Mix (Sangon, Shanghai), 0.5 µL each primer (10 µmol/L), and supplemented with sterilized ddH2O. The PCR conditions were: 94 °C for 3 min, then 35 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 45 s at annealing temperature (Table 1) and 1 min at 72 °C, with a final elongation at 72 °C for 5 min. PCR products were examined by electrophoresis using 1.0% TAE agarose gel, and sequenced by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China).

#### Sequences assembly, annotation and analysis

The overlapped fragments were assembled into a linear mitochondrial DNA sequence using SeqMan (DNASTAR), then assembled sequences were manually checked. The mitogenome was annotated using MitoAnnotator web server (http://mitofish.aori.u-tokyo.ac.jp/annotation/input.html) (Iwasaki et al. 2013). Transfer RNA genes and their secondary structures were verified using tRNA-Scan SE web server (http://lowelab.ucsc.edu/tRNAscan-SE/) (Lowe and Chan



Fig. 1 Aphyocypris lini, in an aquarium (photographed by J.Z)

#### Table 1 Information of primers in this study

| Amplification                       | Primer name              | Primer sequences $(5' \rightarrow 3')$                        | Annealing temperature (°C) | References            |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Partial PCRs<br>(Universal primers) | FishF1-COI<br>FishR1-COI | TCAACCAACCACAAAGACATTGGCAC<br>TAGACTTCTGGGTGGCCAAAGAATCA      | 55                         | Ward et al. (2005)    |
|                                     | L14504-ND6<br>H15149-CYB | GCCAAWGCTGCWGAATAMGCAAA<br>GGTGGCKCCTCAGAAGGACAT<br>TTGKCCTCA | 52                         | Inoue et al. (2001)   |
|                                     | L709-12S<br>H1552-12S    | TACACATGCAAGTCTCCGCA<br>ACTTACCGTGTTACGACTTGCCTC              | 57                         | Inoue et al. (2001)   |
|                                     | 16sar<br>16sbr           | CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT<br>CCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACGT                | 53                         | Ivanova et al. (2007) |
|                                     | L4633-ND2<br>H5334-ND2   | CACCACCCWCGAGCAGTTGA<br>CGKAGRTAGAAGTAHAGGCT                  | 52                         | Inoue et al. (2001)   |
|                                     | L9514-CO3<br>H10019-Gly  | TTCTGAGCCTTCTAYCA<br>CAAGACKGKGTGATTGGAAG                     | 52                         | Miya et al. (1999)    |
| Long PCRs<br>(specific primers)     | Gap1F<br>Gap1R           | CTAGTACCATCAAGCAAAGAGACCT<br>GGAAGGGGGGAGACAGTTAAGC           | 56                         | In this study         |
|                                     | Gap2F<br>Gap2R           | ATATCGACGAGGGGGTTTACG<br>ATAGTGCGGGGTCTACTGTGTG               | 52                         | In this study         |
|                                     | Gap3F<br>Gap3R           | ACCACCCCTGACAGGATTTATG<br>AGGCGCCCCAATTATAAGAG                | 57                         | In this study         |
|                                     | Gap4F<br>Gap4R           | ACAGCCGTACTTCTTCTACTTTCC<br>GTTTTCGTTCCCCCCTCTATAATG          | 49                         | In this study         |
|                                     | Gap5F<br>Gap5R           | ACCATTTTACATCCGAACACCAC<br>ACAACGGTGGTTCTTCAAGTCAT            | 52                         | In this study         |
|                                     | Gap6F<br>Gap6R           | GCAGATAAAATTTCCTTTCACCC<br>GGCATCTGATTAATGATATGAGTAC          | 55                         | In this study         |

2016). A circular display of the mitogenome was drawn using CGView online server (http://stothard.afns.ualberta.ca/cgview\_server/) (Grant and Stothard 2008). The composition of amino acids, nucleotide base and relative synonymous co-don usage (RSCU) were calculated using MEGA X software (Kumar et al. 2018). The RSCU value for each codon was the observed frequency of this codon divided by its expected frequency under equal usage among the amino acid. Nucleotide composition skewness was carried out using the following formulas: AT-skew = (A - T) / (A + T) and GC-skew = (G - C) / (G + C) (Perna and Kocher 1995).

#### **Phylogenetic analysis**

A total of 22 sets of 13 PCG sequences were used to perform phylogenetic analysis. Those from other taxa were downloaded from GenBank (information of species and accession numbers were shown in Table 4), with *Misgurnus anguillicaudatus* (Accession number: MK093946) and *Leptobotia elongate* (Accession number: NC018764) sequences used as outgroups. Phylogenetic analyses were reconstructed using Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) methods. The best-fit partition model of nucleotide evolution of PCGs was identified by PartitionFinder v2 (Lanfear et al. 2012) in PhyloSuite platform (Zhang et al. 2020) and was GTR + I + G according to the Akaike Information Criterion (Bozdogan 1987). ML and BI analysis were performed with MrBayes v3.2.7 and RaxML v8.2.12 programs following the manuals, separately (Ronquist et al. 2012; Stamatakis 2014). The bootstrap ML analysis was implemented under the GTRGAMMAI model and 1000 replications were used to evaluate the bootstrap support values and search for the best ML tree. BI analysis ran as two simultaneous Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains for 10 million generations, sampled every 1000 generations, and using a burn-in rate of 25%. Phylogenetic trees were visualized through online tool interactive tree of life (iTOL, https:// itol.embl.de/) (Letunic and Bork 2019).

#### **Results and discussion**

#### Genome organization and composition

The complete mitogenome sequence of *A. lini* is 16,613 bp in length and contains 13 PCGs, 22 tRNA genes, two rRNA genes, and one CR. The complete closed-circular mitogenome of *A. lini* has been deposited in GenBank under accession number MW338757. All PCGs were encoded in the heavy strand (H) except NADH dehydrogenase subunit (*ND6*) in

the Light strand (L). Eight tRNA (tRNA<sup>*Gln*</sup>, <sup>*Ala*</sup>, <sup>*Asn*</sup>, <sup>*Cys*</sup>, <sup>*Tyr*</sup>, <sup>*Ser*</sup>, <sup>*Glu*</sup>, <sup>*Pro*</sup>) were located in the L-strand and the remaining 14 tRNAs were in H-strand (Fig. 2). This coding pattern in two strands was consistent with most vertebrates including fishes (Billington and Hebert 1991). There are 14 gene overlaps (from -1 to -31 bp in size) and 7 intergenic spacers (from 1 to 7 bp in length) in the mitogenome. The most overlapped fragment took place between tRNA<sup>*Asn*</sup> and tRNA<sup>*Cys*</sup> and the two longest spacer presents between ATPase8 and ATPase6, and between ND4 and ND4L (Table 2).

The nucleotide composition of the complete mitogenome of *A. lini* is as follows: A = 5055 (30.4%), T = 4471 (26.9%), G = 2762 (16.6%), C = 4325 (26.0%) (Table 3). It showed a slight A + T bias (57.3%) which was same as most Xenocyprididae species (53.92 ~ 60.07) (Table 4) (Sitoh et al. 2006; Tang et al. 2010; Liaw et al. 2013; Luo et al. 2019). The AT-skew and GC-skew of the complete mitogenomes were also calculated that the composition is skewed away from A in favour of T (AT-skew is 0.0614) but is exceeded of C over G (the GC-skew is -0.2205) (Table 3).

#### Protein-coding genes and codon usage

The total length of PCGs in A. lini was 11,417 bp. The composition of A + T content, AT-skew and GC-skew was significantly biased at different codon positions with the highest A + T content and the lowest value of AT- and GC-skew observed in the first codon position (58.8%, -0.0850 and -0.3155, respectively). It suggests that a relaxed negative selection at neutral sites might affect the base composition of the complete mitogenome (Bachtrog 2007). Additionally, ATskew in PCGs of A. lini is negative which is unusual to most species in Xenocyprididae, except for Zacco sieboldii (Temminck & Schlegel, 1846) (Table 4). The result indicated that PCGs of A. lini displayed an excess of T over A, whereas PCGs of most teleost fishes were biased towards using A not T (Yu et al. 2019). The reason of the unusual AT-skew of A. lini might be attributed to the unique selective pressures or processes which has resulted in the decreasing A in PCGs. The same results were also found in bitterling Sinorhodeus microlepis (Li, Liao, Arai & Zhao, 2017) and goby Rhinogobius leavelli (Herre, 1935) (Yu et al. 2019; Zhang and Shen 2019).

Of the 13 PCGs, twelve use canonical initiation codon ATG, and cox1 utilizes GTG which codes valine. All PCGs terminate with canonical stop codon TAA or TAG except for four genes (*ND2, cox2, ND3*, and Cytb) which had incomplete stop codons of T++. Moreover, gene overlapping regions were detected in *ATP8-ATP6* (shared 7 nucleotides), *ND4L-ND4* (shared 7 nucleotides) and *ND5-ND6* (shared 4 nucleotides).

The amino acid codon usages of *A. lini* mitogenome are assessed by relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) values (Fig. 3). Threonine (*Thr*), proline (*Pro*) and Leucine 1 (*Leu1*) are the most frequently translated amino acids, while Cysteine (*Cys*) is the least used amino acid. The AAA (*Lys*), CCC (*Pro*), AUU (*Ile*), UUA (*Leu*), and ACA (*Thr*), which are commonly used codons in *A. lini* mitogenome, are mostly composed of over-usage of A and T at the third codon position. That indicates the possibility of genome bias, optimal selection of tRNA, or the DNA repair efficiency, referred to other teleost fishes (Fischer et al. 2013).

# Transfer and ribosomal RNA genes, non-coding region

The sizes of 22 tRNA genes of A. lini range from 68 bp to 76 bp which comprise 9.43% (1556 bp) of the whole mitogenome. Except for tRNA<sup>Ser</sup>, the remaining 21 tRNA could be folded into a typical cloverleaf secondary structure (Online resource 1: Fig. S1), which has been commonly witnessed in many other teleost mitogenomes (Garey and Wolstenholme 1989). However, tRNA<sup>Ser</sup> showed a D-armlacking structure, which was consist with many metazoan mitochondrial tRNAs (Frazer-Abel and Hagerman 2008; Watanabe et al. 2014). The two rRNA genes separated by tRNA<sup>Val</sup> had a length of 962 bp and 1687 bp, which is close to most danionines (Tang et al. 2010). Similarly, the strong AT-bias was also found in rRNAs. However, the AT content in rRNAs is lower than it in total mtDNA, tRNAs, PCGs, and control region. The strongly positive AT-skew was found in rRNA as well (Table 3), leading to a positive AT-skew in complete mitogenomes.

Finally, we annotated two common non-coding regions (light strand replication origin,  $O_L$  and D-loop).  $O_L$ , whose length is 31 bp, is located among five continual tRNA. D-loop is 936 bp in length, which is longer than most species within Xenocyprididae (the former Ex-Danioninae). But the short tandem repeats that might resulting to difference of length in D-loop were not found in *A. lini*. Even so, the difference of the length in D-loop suggests to be an important marker in phylogenetic studies (Tang et al. 2017).

#### Phylogenetic relationships

For better understanding the relationship within the *Aphyocypris* and other related species, the phylogenetic trees were established using ML and BI methods, based on concatenated nucleotide sequences of 13 PCGs from 22 minnows belonging to Danionidae or Xenocyprididae families and 2 outgroup taxa. The results of the phylogenetic trees exhibited a congruent topology by both methods. As Fig. 4 showed, on the overall scale, Danioninae sensu stricto and Ex-Danioninae (now mainly belonging to Xenocyprididae) were

 
 Table 2
 Features of the
 mitochondrial genome of A. lini

| Feature | position n | umber  | Size | Codon |      | Intergenic nucleotide | Strand |
|---------|------------|--------|------|-------|------|-----------------------|--------|
|         | start      | stop   |      | start | stop |                       |        |
| tRNAPhe | 1          | 69     | 69   |       |      |                       | Н      |
| 12SrRNA | 70         | 1031   | 962  |       |      |                       | Н      |
| tRNAVal | 1032       | 1103   | 72   |       |      |                       | Н      |
| 16SrRNA | 1104       | 2790   | 1687 |       |      |                       | Н      |
| tRNALeu | 2791       | 2866   | 76   |       |      |                       | Н      |
| ND1     | 2868       | 3842   | 975  | ATG   | TAA  | -1                    | Н      |
| tRNAIle | 3847       | 3918   | 72   |       |      | -4                    | Н      |
| tRNAGln | 3917       | 3987   | 71   |       |      | 2                     | L      |
| tRNAMet | 3989       | 4057   | 69   |       |      | -1                    | Н      |
| ND2     | 4058       | 5102   | 1045 | ATG   | T++  |                       | Н      |
| tRNATrp | 5103       | 5173   | 71   |       |      |                       | Н      |
| tRNAAla | 5175       | 5243   | 69   |       |      | -1                    | L      |
| tRNAAsn | 5245       | 5317   | 73   |       |      | -1                    | L      |
| tRNACys | 5349       | 5416   | 68   |       |      | -31                   | L      |
| tRNATyr | 5418       | 5488   | 71   |       |      | -1                    | L      |
| CO1     | 5490       | 7040   | 1551 | GTG   | TAA  | -1                    | Н      |
| tRNASer | 7041       | 7111   | 71   |       |      |                       | L      |
| tRNAAsp | 7115       | 7188   | 74   |       |      | -3                    | Н      |
| CO2     | 7202       | 7892   | 691  | ATG   | T++  | -13                   | Н      |
| tRNALys | 7893       | 7968   | 76   |       |      |                       | Н      |
| ATPase8 | 7970       | 8134   | 165  | ATG   | TAG  | -1                    | Н      |
| ATPase6 | 8128       | 8811   | 684  | ATG   | TAA  | 7                     | Н      |
| CO3     | 8811       | 9596   | 786  | ATG   | TAA  | 1                     | Н      |
| tRNAGly | 9596       | 9667   | 72   |       |      | 1                     | Н      |
| ND3     | 9668       | 10,016 | 349  | ATG   | T++  |                       | Н      |
| tRNAArg | 10,017     | 10,086 | 70   |       |      |                       | Н      |
| ND4L    | 10,087     | 10,383 | 297  | ATG   | TAA  |                       | Н      |
| ND4     | 10,377     | 11,759 | 1383 | ATG   | TAG  | 7                     | Н      |
| tRNAHis | 11,759     | 11,827 | 69   |       |      | 1                     | Н      |
| tRNASer | 11,828     | 11,896 | 69   |       |      |                       | Н      |
| tRNALeu | 11,898     | 11,970 | 73   |       |      | -1                    | Н      |
| ND5     | 11,971     | 13,806 | 1836 | ATG   | TAA  |                       | Н      |
| ND6     | 13,803     | 14,324 | 522  | TTA   | CAT  | 4                     | L      |
| tRNAGlu | 14,325     | 14,393 | 69   |       |      |                       | L      |
| Cytb    | 14,396     | 15,536 | 1141 | ATG   | T++  | -2                    | Н      |
| tRNAThr | 15,537     | 15,608 | 72   | -     |      |                       | Н      |
| tRNAPro | 15,608     | 15,677 | 70   |       |      | 1                     | L      |
| D-loop  | 15,678     | 16,613 | 936  |       |      | -1                    | Н      |

independently separate which is consistent with the phylogenies resolved from both morphological and molecular analysis (Tang et al. 2010; Liao et al. 2011b, 2011c). However, Danio albolineatus (Blyth, 1860) was included in Xenocyprididae clade with a relatively high bootstrap support value, showing an opposite result against the conclusion of a large assemblage of danionines. Although D. albolineatus was found at the base of the clade Xenocyprididae, the relevance between Danionidae and Xenocyprididae need to be discussed through more molecular and morphological evidences.

Furthermore, within Xenocyprididae, there are two major tribes. The tribe Xenocypridinae (including genus Aphyocypris, Yaoshanicus, Nicholsicypris, and Pararasbora) is sister to the tribe Opsariichthyinae (Opsariichthys, Zacco, and other genera like Parazacco, not mentioned in this study). In addition, some species, such as



**Fig. 2** The schematic illustration for mitochondrial genome of *A. lini* Note: Gene encoded on H- and L- strands with inverse arrow directions are shown inside and outside the circle. The purple-green ring indicates

the GC-skew that the purple is positive, while the green is negative. The black ring shows the GC content that outward and inward peaks demonstrate above and below average GC content, respectively.

*Tanichthys albonubes* and *Gobiocypris rarus*, were found to be basal of clade Xenocyprididae of the phylogenetic tree. The results are also consistent with Tang et al. (2010), providing strong evidence of taxonomic validity on Xenocyprididae (or Ex-Danioninae in Fishbase) (Tang et al. 2010; Liao et al. 2011b, 2011c; Huang et al. 2017).

More complicatedly, the phylogenetic relationship clearly illustrated that genus *Aphyocypris* is not monophyletic. *Aphyocypris kikuchii* and *A. chinensis* were found to be closely related to *Yaoshanicus arcus*, *Nicholsicypris normalis*, and *Pararasbora moltrechti*. The taxonomy within phylogenetic tribe Aphyocypris is ambiguous, as well as the constant changes of names and classification (Du et al. 2003). Here,

|                 | Total  | A% | А    | Т% | Т    | G% | G    | C% | С    | A+T% | G+C% | AT-<br>skew | GC-<br>skew |
|-----------------|--------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|------|------|-------------|-------------|
| mtDNA           | 16,613 | 30 | 5055 | 27 | 4471 | 17 | 2762 | 26 | 4325 | 57.3 | 42.7 | 0.0614      | -0.2205     |
| tRNAs           | 1584   | 31 | 487  | 26 | 410  | 20 | 315  | 24 | 372  | 56.6 | 43.4 | 0.0858      | -0.083      |
| rRNAs           | 2649   | 34 | 912  | 21 | 550  | 21 | 557  | 24 | 630  | 55.2 | 44.8 | 0.2476      | -0.0615     |
| PCGs            | 11,417 | 29 | 3337 | 28 | 3203 | 15 | 1759 | 27 | 3118 | 57.3 | 42.7 | 0.0205      | -0.2787     |
| 1st codon sites | 3809   | 28 | 1081 | 22 | 846  | 24 | 895  | 26 | 987  | 50.6 | 49.4 | 0.122       | -0.0489     |
| 2nd codon sites | 3805   | 22 | 820  | 38 | 1451 | 13 | 483  | 28 | 1051 | 59.7 | 40.3 | -0.2779     | -0.3703     |
| 3rd codon sites | 3803   | 38 | 1436 | 24 | 906  | 10 | 381  | 28 | 1080 | 61.6 | 38.4 | 0.2263      | -0.4784     |
| D-loop          | 936    | 34 | 314  | 32 | 301  | 14 | 127  | 21 | 194  | 56.6 | 43.4 | 0.0245      | -0.1649     |

 Table 3
 The nucleotide composition and AT/GC-skew of the mitochondrial genome of A. lini

Note: Numbers correspond to the nucleotides separating different genes. Negative numbers indicate overlapping nucleotides between adjacent genes. H and L denote heavy and light strands, respectively

| Table 4         Comparison of characteristics within the mito | chondrial | genom   | e of mei | mbers c | of the A | phyocypri   | genus and r | elated spe | cies |      |      |      |             |             |                  |
|---|-----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|------|------|------|------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| species   | complete  | e mitog | enome    |         |          |             |             | PCGs       |      |      |      |      |             |             | Accession number |
|   | size      | A       | H        | U       | U        | AT-<br>skew | GC-<br>skew | size       | A    | F    | IJ   | U    | AT-<br>skew | GC-<br>skew |                  |
| Aphyocypris lini Weitzman and Chan, 1966                      | 16,612    | 30.4    | 26.9     | 16.6    | 26.0     | 0.0611      | -0.2207     | 11,417     | 29.2 | 28.1 | 15.4 | 27.3 | 0.0192      | -0.2787     | in this study    |
| Aphyocypris chinensis Günther, 1868                           | 16,606    | 30.7    | 27.7     | 16.5    | 25.1     | 0.0514      | -0.2067     | 11,419     | 28.6 | 30.4 | 15.9 | 25.1 | -0.0301     | -0.2242     | NC008650         |
| Aphyocypris kikuchii Oshima, 1919                             | 16,601    | 30.8    | 27.7     | 16.5    | 25.0     | 0.0530      | -0.2048     | 11,423     | 28.8 | 30.5 | 15.7 | 25.0 | -0.0295     | -0.2272     | NC019620         |
| Aphyocypris pulchrilineata Zhu et al., 2013                   | 16,610    | 30.5    | 26.6     | 16.6    | 26.2     | 0.0683      | -0.2243     | 11,422     | 28.2 | 28.8 | 16.3 | 26.6 | -0.0101     | -0.2405     | MK387702         |
| Gobiocypris rarus Ye & Fu, 1983                               | 16,601    | 29.5    | 27.6     | 17.2    | 25.7     | 0.0333      | -0.1981     | 11,423     | 27.3 | 29.9 | 16.7 | 26.1 | -0.0444     | -0.2199     | NC018099         |
| Nicholsicypris normalis Nichols and Pope, 1927                | 16,619    | 31.2    | 27.0     | 15.9    | 25.8     | 0.0722      | -0.2374     | 11,422     | 29.3 | 29.6 | 15.3 | 25.8 | -0.0046     | -0.2559     | NC015538         |
| Nipponocypris sieboldii Temminck & Schlegel, 1846             | 16,616    | 30.1    | 25.8     | 16.9    | 27.2     | 0.0769      | -0.2336     | 11,429     | 27.8 | 27.6 | 16.6 | 28.0 | 0.0036      | -0.2541     | NC008653         |
| Opsariichthys acutipinnis Bleeker, 1871                       | 16,615    | 28.2    | 26.6     | 18.0    | 27.2     | 0.0292      | -0.2035     | 11,425     | 25.5 | 28.8 | 17.9 | 27.8 | -0.0603     | -0.2167     | NC028595         |
| Opsariichthys bidens Günther, 1873                            | 16,611    | 27.2    | 26.7     | 19.1    | 27.1     | 0.0093      | -0.1732     | 11,424     | 23.9 | 29.0 | 19.3 | 27.7 | -0.0958     | -0.1783     | NC008744         |
| Opsariichthys evolans Jordan & Evermann, 1902                 | 16,656    | 28.3    | 26.5     | 18.1    | 27.2     | 0.0328      | -0.2009     | 11,428     | 25.3 | 28.8 | 18.1 | 27.9 | -0.0637     | -0.2135     | MG650170         |
| Opsariichthys pachycephalus Günther, 1868                     | 16,612    | 27.8    | 26.6     | 18.3    | 27.3     | 0.0221      | -0.1974     | 11,425     | 25.0 | 28.6 | 18.3 | 28.1 | -0.0670     | -0.2116     | MG650171         |
| Opsariichthys uncirostris Temminck & Schlegel, 1846           | 16,613    | 27.2    | 26.7     | 18.9    | 27.2     | 0.0093      | -0.1800     | 11,424     | 24.2 | 28.8 | 19.0 | 28.0 | -0.0881     | -0.1905     | NC008652         |
| Pararashora moltrechti Regan, 1908                            | 16,617    | 31.2    | 27.4     | 16.0    | 25.5     | 0.0648      | -0.2289     | 11,423     | 29.3 | 29.9 | 15.4 | 25.4 | -0.0114     | -0.2465     | NC019621         |
| Tanichthys albonubes Lin, 1932                                | 16,547    | 31.1    | 29.2     | 15.8    | 23.9     | 0.0315      | -0.2040     | 11,420     | 29.0 | 32.0 | 15.1 | 23.9 | -0.0485     | -0.2277     | NC015539         |
| Yaoshanicus arcus Lin, 1931                                   | 16,617    | 31.4    | 27.1     | 15.7    | 25.7     | 0.0735      | -0.2415     | 11,422     | 29.5 | 29.7 | 15.1 | 25.7 | -0.0022     | -0.2610     | AP011398         |
| Zacco acanthogenys Bleeker, 1871                              | 16,611    | 29.1    | 27.2     | 17.6    | 26.0     | 0.0337      | -0.1927     | 11,424     | 26.6 | 29.6 | 17.3 | 26.4 | -0.0532     | -0.2077     | NC028546         |
| Zacco platypus Temminck & Schlegel, 1846                      | 16,611    | 29.0    | 27.2     | 17.8    | 26.1     | 0.0320      | -0.1891     | 11,425     | 26.6 | 29.5 | 17.4 | 26.5 | -0.0505     | -0.2073     | NC023105         |
|   |           |         |          |         |          |             |             |            |      |      |      |      |             |             |                  |

Biologia (2021) 76:3311–3321

**Fig. 3** Codon usage and relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) in all protein coding genes of *A. lini* mitochondrial genome. Note: The codons are on the X-axis and RSCU values are shown on the Y-axis



we suggest to reconsider the taxonomic status of genus *Aphyocypris* and other close genera. Taxonomists have found differences of key morphological traits between the genera in the past hundred years (Nichols and Pope 1927; Nichols 1943). With the increase in the number of specimens examined, however, intra-species diversity has been also increasing so that the taxonomic status remains to be further discussed. In the present study, the evidence of phylogenetic analysis based on mitogenome supported to integrate genus *Aphyocypris*, *Yaoshanicus*, *Nicholsicypris* and *Pararasbora* into one genus,

which was proposed in reclassification by Tan and Armbruster (2018).

#### **Current status and conservation**

As mentioned above, *A. lini* was listed as extinct in the wild in Chinese Red List in the 1990s (Yue and Chen 1998). And there have been no studies or reports on this threatened species since then. With the deepening of field sampling and supporting of molecular methods, this endangered minnow



Fig. 4 Phylogenetic relationships of *Aphyocypris* genus and related species inferred from RaxML and Bayesian inference methods. Note: Numbers at the nodes show bootstrap value of 100/100 in ML tree and posterior probability of 1.00/1.00 in BI tree

Tree scale: 0.1

and its new distribution record was confirmed in more than 30 years. However, it is not optimistic that the detected population in the wild is still small and their habitat requirement is extremely high, which issues high challenges to the protection. In addition to the usual anthropogenic influences such as dam construction, sand mining, and commercial fishing (Maitland 1995; Cooke et al. 2012), we should continue to pay attention to their native habitat (George et al. 2009). Furthermore, from the perspective of population genetics and conservation biology, we need to integrate multiple data to make more comprehensive protection recommendations for these minnows natively habited in the stream or rivulet (Vrijenhoek 1998; Alves et al. 2001).

**Conclusion** In the present study, we first collected an endangered minnow *A. lini* in the field from a new distribution area. Then we determined the complete mitochondrial genome of *A. lini*, which contains 37 genes and one CR, as is typical of teleost mitogenomes. Comparative analysis of mitogenome structure, base composition, codon usage, and gene order revealed an unusual AT-skew of PCGs of *A. lini*. Further reconstructed phylogeny of Xenocyprididae and other related species suggested non-monophyly within genus *Aphyocypris*, and indicated to reconsider the taxonomy of genus *Aphyocypris* and its phylogenetically closest genera *Yaoshanicus*, *Nicholsicypris* and *Pararasbora* into one integrated genus. Finally, our study provided the genetic basis for the conservation of this endangered minnow.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s11756-021-00811-z.

Acknowledgments We appreciate the journal editors and two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions on the manuscript. This research was supported by Fujian Middle-aged and Young Teacher Education Research Project (Grant No. JAT200445), the Science and Technology Program of Fuzhou, China (Grant No. 2019-S-64), and Fujian Fishery Structural Adjustment Special Fund subsidy Project (Grant No. 2020yyjg34).

#### **Declarations**

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interests.

**Ethical approval** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

### References

Aljanabi SM, Martinez I (1997) Universal and rapid salt-extraction of high quality genomic DNA for PCR-based techniques. Nucleic Acids Res 25:4692–4693. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.22.4692

- Alves MJ, Coelho H, Collares-Pereira MJ, Coelho MM (2001) Mitochondrial DNA variation in the highly endangered cyprinid fish *Anaecypris hispanica*: importance for conservation. Heredity 87: 463–473. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2540.2001.00929.x
- Bachtrog D (2007) Reduced selection for codon usage Bias in *Drosophila* miranda. J Mol Evol 64:586–590. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-006-0257-x
- Billington N, Hebert PD (1991) Mitochondrial DNA diversity in fishes and its implications for introductions. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 48:80– 94. https://doi.org/10.1139/f91-306
- Bozdogan H (1987) Model selection and Akaike's information criterion (AIC): the general theory and its analytical extensions. Psychometrika 52:345–370. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02294361
- Chen Y (1998) China Fauna (Osteichthyes: Cpriniformes II). Science Press, Beijing, pp 171–175
- Cooke SJ, Paukert C, Hogan Z (2012) Endangered river fish: factors hindering conservation and restoration. Endanger Species Res 17: 179–191. https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00426
- Du H, Chen X, Chen B (2003) Comparison and clarification between Nicholsicypris normalis and Yaoshanicus arcus. J South China Norm U 2:96–100 http://en.cnki.com.cn/Article\_en/CJFDTOTAL-HNSF200302020.htm
- Duchene S, Frey A, Alfaro-Núñez A, Dutton PH, Gilbert MTP, Morin PA (2012) Marine turtle mitogenome phylogenetics and evolution. Mol Phylogenet Evol 65:241–250. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev. 2012.06.010
- Fang F (2003) Phylogenetic analysis of the Asian cyprinid genus Danio (Teleostei, Cyprinidae). Copeia 4:714–728. https://doi.org/10.2307/ 1448427
- Fischer C, Koblmüller S, Gülly C, Schlötterer C, Sturmbauer C, Thallinger GG (2013) Complete mitochondrial DNA sequences of the threadfin cichlid (*Petrochromis trewavasae*) and the blunthead cichlid (*Tropheus moorii*) and patterns of mitochondrial genome evolution in cichlid fishes. PLoS One 8:e67048. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0067048
- Frazer-Abel AA, Hagerman PJ (2008) Core flexibility of a truncated metazoan mitochondrial tRNA. Nucleic Acids Res 36:5472–5481. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn529
- Froese R, Pauly D (2019) FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. www.fishbase.org
- Garey JR, Wolstenholme DR (1989) Platyhelminth mitochondrial DNA: evidence for early evolutionary origin of a tRNA ser AGN that contains a dihydrouridine arm replacement loop, and of serinespecifying AGA and AGG codons. J Mol Evol 28:374–387. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02603072
- George AL, Kuhajda BR, Williams JD, Cantrell MA, Rakes PL, Shute JR (2009) Guidelines for propagation and translocation for freshwater fish conservation. Fisheries 34:529–545. https://doi.org/10.1577/ 1548-8446-34.11.529
- Grant JR, Stothard P (2008) The CGView Server: a comparative genomics tool for circular genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 36:W181–W184. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn179
- He S, Mayden RL, Wang X, Wang W, Tang KL, Chen WJ, Chen Y (2008) Molecular phylogenetics of the family Cyprinidae (Actinopterygii: Cypriniformes) as evidenced by sequence variation in the first intron of S7 ribosomal protein-coding gene: further evidence from a nuclear gene of the systematic chaos in the family. Mol Phylogen Evol 46:818–829. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2007. 06.001
- Hu M, Wang Y, Cheung SG, Shin PKS, Xie Y (2009) Threatened fishes of the world: *Aphyocypris lini* Weitzman and Chan, 1966 (Cyprinidae). Environ Biol Fish 86:525–526. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10641-009-9560-x
- Huang SP, Wang FY, Wang TY (2017) Molecular phylogeny of the Opsariichthys group (Teleostei: Cypriniformes) based on complete

mitochondrial genomes. Zool Stud 56:e40. https://doi.org/10.6620/ ZS.2017.56-40

- Iwasaki W, Fukunaga T, Isagozawa R, Yamada K, Maeda Y et al (2013) MitoFish and MitoAnnotator: a mitochondrial genome database of fish with an accurate and automatic annotation pipeline. Mol Biol Evol 30:2531–2540. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst141
- Jiang Z, Jiang J, Wang Y, Zhang E, Zhang Y et al (2016) Red list of China's vertebrates. Biodivers Sci 24:500–551. https://doi.org/10. 17520/biods.2016076
- Ko AM, Zhang Y, Yang MA, Hu Y, Cao P, Feng X, Zhang L, Wei F, Fu Q (2018) Mitochondrial genome of a 22,000-year-old giant panda from southern China reveals a new panda lineage. Curr Biol 28: R693–R694. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.05.008
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K (2018) MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Mol Biol Evol 35:1547–1549. https://doi.org/10.1093/ molbev/msy096
- Lanfear R, Calcott B, Ho SY, Guindon S (2012) Partitionfinder: combined selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. Mol Biol Evol 29(6):1695–1701. https://doi. org/10.1093/molbev/mss020
- Letunic I, Bork P (2019) Interactive tree of life (itol) v4: recent updates and new developments. Nucleic Acids Res 47:W256–W259. https:// doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz239
- Liao TY, Ünlü E, Kullander SO (2011a) Western boundary of the subfamily Danioninae in Asia (Teleostei, Cyprinidae): derived from the systematic position of *Barilius mesopotamicus* based on molecular and morphological data. Zootaxa 2880:31–40. https://doi.org/10. 1186/1742-9994-8-12
- Liao TY, Ünlü E, Kullander SO, Fang F (2011b) Phylogenetic position of rasborin cyprinids and monophyly of major lineages amongthe Danioninae, based on morphological characters (Cypriniformes: Cyprinidae). J Zool Syst Evol Res 49:224–232. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1439-0469.2011.00621.x
- Liao TY, Kullander SO, Lin HD (2011c) Synonymization of *Pararasbora, Yaoshanicus*, and *Nicholsicypris* with *Aphyocypris*, and description of a new species of *Aphyocypris* from Taiwan (Teleostei: Cyprinidae). Zool Stud 50:657–664 http://zoolstud. sinica.edu.tw/Journals/50.5/657.html
- Liao TY, Kullander SO (2013) Phylogenetic significance of the kinethmoid-associated Y-shaped ligament and long intercostal ligaments in the Cypriniformes (Actinopterygii: Ostariophysi). Zool Scr 42:71–87. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.2012.00565.x
- Liaw NHJ, Tsai CL, Watanabe K (2013) Complete mitochondrial genome of the Kikuchi's minnow *Aphyocypris kikuchii* (Teleostei, Cyprinidae). Mitochondrial DNA 24:11–13. https://doi.org/10. 3109/19401736.2012.710227
- Lowe TM, Chan PP (2016) tRNAscan-SE on-line: integrating search and context for analysis of transfer RNA genes. Nucl Acids Res 44: W54–W57. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw413
- Luo F, Luo T, Huang J, Liu X, Ling S, Wen Y (2019) Characterization of complete mitochondrial genome of *Aphyocypris pulchrilineata* (Teleostei, Cypriniformes, Cyprinidae). Mitochondrial DNA B 4: 1267–1268. https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2019.1591221
- Maitland PS (1995) The conservation of freshwater fish: past and present experience. Biol Conserv 72:259–270. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0006-3207(94)00088-8
- Mayden RL, Tang KT, Wood RM, Chen WJ, Agnew MK et al (2008) Inferring the tree of life of the order Cypriniformes, the earth's most diverse clade of freshwater fishes: implications of varied taxon and character sampling. J Syst Evol 46:424–438 https://www.jse.ac.cn/ EN/10.3724/SP.J.1002.2008.08062
- Mayden RL, Chen WJ, Bart HL, Doosey MH, Simons AM et al (2009) Reconstructing the phylogenetic relationships of the earth's most diverse clade of freshwater fishes-order Cypriniformes (Actinopterygii: Ostariophysi): a case study using multiple nuclear

loci and the mitochondrial genome. Mol Phylogen Evol 51:500– 514. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2008.12.015

- Nichols JT, Pope CH (1927) The fish of Hainan. Bull Amer Mus Hist 54: 376 (http://biostor.org/reference/20650)
- Nichols JT (1943) The fresh-water fishes of China. Nat Hist Central Asia 9:85–130. https://doi.org/10.5962/BHL.TITLE.12103
- Perna NT, Kocher TD (1995) Patterns of nucleotide composition at fourfold degenerate sites of animal mitochondrial genomes. J Mol Evol 41:353–358. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00186547
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, Mark PVD, Ayres DL, Darling A et al (2012) MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst Biol 61:539–542. https://doi. org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029
- Satoh TP, Miya M, Mabuchi K, Nishida N (2016) Structure and variation of the mitochondrial genome of fishes. BMC Genomics 17:719. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-016-3054-y
- Schönhuth S, Vukić J, Šanda R, Yang L, Mayden RL (2018) Phylogenetic relationships and classification of the Holarctic family Leuciscidae (Cypriniformes: Cyprinoidei). Mol Phylogen Evol 127: 781–799. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2018.06.026
- Stout CC, Tan M, Lemmon AR, Lemmon EM, Armbruster JW (2016) Resolving Cypriniformes relationships using an anchored enrichment approach. BMC Evol Biol 16:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12862-016-0819-5
- Sitoh K, Sado T, Mayden RL, Hanzawa N, Nakamura K et al (2006) Mitogenomic evolution and interrelationships of the Cypriniformes (Actinopterygii: Ostariophysi): the first evidence toward resolution of higher-level relationships of the world's largest freshwater fish clade based on 59 whole mitogenome sequences. J Mol Evol 63: 826–841. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-005-0293-y
- Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogeneis. Bioinformatics 30:1312– 1313. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033
- Tan M, Armbruster JW (2018) Phylogenetic classification of extant genera of fishes of the order Cypriniformes (Teleostei: Ostariophysi). Zootaxa 4476:6–39. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4476.1.4
- Tang KL, Agnew MK, Hirt MV, Sado T, Schneider LM et al (2010) Systematics of the subfamily Danioninae (Teleostei: Cypriniformes: Cyprinidae). Mol Phylogen Evol 57:189–214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2010.05.021
- Tang B, Liu Y, Xin Z, Zhang D, Wang Z et al (2017) Characterisation of the complete mitochondrial genome of *Helice wuana* (Grapsoidea: Varunidae) and comparison with other brachyuran crabs. Genomics 110:221–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2017.10.001
- Tao W, Mayden RL, He S (2013) Remarkable phylogenetic resolution of the most complex clade of Cyprinidae (Teleostei: Cypriniformes): a proof of concept of homology assessment and partitioning sequence data integrated with mixed model Bayesian analyses. Mol Phylogen Evol 66:603–616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2012.09.024
- Vrijenhoek RC (1998) Conservation genetics of freshwater fish. J Fish Biol 53:394–412. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1998. tb01039.x
- Wang X, Li J, He S (2007) Molecular evidence for the monophyly of east Asian groups of Cyprinidae (Teleostei: Cypriniformes) derived from the nuclear recombination activating gene 2 sequences. Mol Phylogen Evol 42:157–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2006. 06.014
- Wang Y, Shen Y, Feng C, Zhao K, Song Z, Zhang Y, Yang L, He S (2016) Mitogenomic perspectives on the origin of Tibetan loaches and their adaptation to high altitude. Sci Rep 6:29690. https://doi. org/10.1038/srep29690
- Watanabe YL, Suematsu T, Ohtsuki T (2014) Losing the stem-loop structure from metazoan mitochondrial tRNAs and co-evolution of interacting factors. Front Genet 5:109. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fgene.2014.00109

- Weitzman SH, Chan LL (1966) Identification and relationships of *Tanichthys albonubes* and *Aphyocypris pooni*, two cyprinid fishes from South China and Hong Kong. Copeia 2:285–296. https://doi. org/10.2307/1441136
- Ye J, Coulouris G, Zaretskaya I, Cutcutache I, Rozen S, Madden T (2012) Primer-BLAST: a tool to design target-specific primers for polymerase chain reaction. BMC Bioinformatics 13:134. https://doi.org/10. 1186/1471-2105-13-134
- Yu P, Zhou L, Zhou XY, Yang WT, Zhang J (2019) Unusual AT-skew of Sinorhodeus microlepis mitogenome provides new insights into mitogenome features and phylogenetic implications of bitterling fishes. Int J Biol Macromol 129:339–350. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ijbiomac.2019.01.200
- Yue P, Chen Y (1998) Pisces. In: Wang S (ed) China red data book of endangered animals. Science Press, Beijing, pp 71–73
- Zhang D, Gao F, Jakovlić I, Zou H, Zhang J, Li WX, Wang GT (2020) PhyloSuite: an integrated and scalable desktop platform for streamlined molecular sequence data management and evolutionary

phylogenetics studies. Mol Ecol Resour 20(1):348-355. https:// doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13096

- Zhang F, Shen Y (2019) Characterization of the complete mitochondrial genome of *Rhinogobius leavelli* (Perciformes: Gobiidae: Gobionellinae) and its phylogenetic analysis for Gobionellinae. Biologia 74:493–499. https://doi.org/10.2478/s11756-018-00189-5
- Zhu X, Guo Y, Ma G, Long J (2015) A review of research progress on the genus *Aphyocypris*. J Kaili U 33:84–87. https://doi.org/10.3969/j. issn.1673-9329.2015.03.25
- Zhu Y, Zhao Y, Huang K (2013) Aphyocypris pulchrilineata, a new miniature cyprinid species (Teleostei: Cypriniformes: Cyprinidae) from Guangxi, China. Ichthyol Res 60:232–236. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10228-013-0338-y

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.