ORIGINAL PAPER



On the concept of *B*-statistical uniform integrability of weighted sums of random variables and the law of large numbers with mean convergence in the statistical sense

Manuel Ordóñez Cabrera¹ · Andrew Rosalsky² · Mehmet Ünver³ · Andrei Volodin⁴

Received: 3 August 2019 / Accepted: 5 February 2020 © Sociedad de Estadística e Investigación Operativa 2020, corrected publication 2021

Abstract

In this correspondence, for a nonnegative regular summability matrix *B* and an array $\{a_{nk}\}$ of real numbers, the concept of *B*-statistical uniform integrability of a sequence of random variables $\{X_k\}$ with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$ is introduced. This concept is more general and weaker than the concept of $\{X_k\}$ being uniformly integrable with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$. Two characterizations of *B*-statistical uniform integrability with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$ are established, one of which is a de La Vallée Poussin-type characterization. For a sequence of pairwise independent random variables $\{X_k\}$ which is *B*-statistically uniformly integrable with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$, a law of large numbers with mean convergence in the statistical sense is presented for $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(X_k - \mathbb{E}X_k)$ as $n \to \infty$. A version is obtained without the pairwise independence assumption by strengthening other conditions.

Keywords Sequence of random variables · Uniform integrability · Statistical convergence

Mathematics Subject Classification 40A35 · 60F25

The research of Manuel Ordóñez Cabrera has been partially supported by the Plan Andaluz de Investigación de la Junta de Andalucía FQM-127 Grant P08-FQM-03543 and by MEC Grant MTM2015-65242-C2-1-P. The research of Mehmet Ünver was done while he was visiting University of Regina, Canada, and the research has been supported by The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK) Grant 1059B191800534. The research of Anderi Volodin has been partially supported by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Grant.

Andrew Rosalsky rosalsky@stat.ufl.edu

¹ Department of Mathematical Analysis, University of Sevilla, 41080 Seville, Spain

² Department of Statistics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

³ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ankara University, 06100 Ankara, Turkey

⁴ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Regina, Saskatchewan S4S 0A2, Canada

1 Introduction

The concept of uniform integrability plays an important role, not only in the field of Probability Theory, but also in that of Functional Analysis.

In this last field, uniform integrability of a set of random variables is equivalent, via the Dunford–Pettis theorem, to its relative weak sequential compactness in the L^1 space, which opens the door to the use of this concept in fruitful functional–analytic techniques.

In the field of Probability Theory, particularly in the area of limit theorems, uniform integrability is the right condition for relaxing the condition of identical distribution in the case of weak laws of large numbers. At the same time, it is the condition needed in order that convergence in probability implies mean convergence, and thus, convergence a.s. with the addition of uniform integrability also implies mean convergence (see, e.g., Chow and Teicher 1997, p. 99).

The main motivation of the summability theory is to make a non-convergent sequence or series to converge in a more general sense. Therefore, summability theory has many applications in probability limit theorems, approximation theory with positive linear operators and differential equations, whenever the ordinary limit does not exist (see Ordóñez Cabrera and Volodin 2005; Hu et al. 2001; Giuliano Antonini et al. 2013; Gadjiev and Orhan 2002; Söylemez and Ünver 2017; Braha 2018; Ünver 2014; Atlihan et al. 2017; Tas and Yurdakadim 2017; Balser and Miyake 1999; Balser 2000).

Statistical-type generalizations of the concepts of mathematical analysis play an important role to study in these areas whenever ordinary versions fail. In this paper, we introduce the concept of *B*-statistical uniform integrability with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$, where *B* is a nonnegative regular summability matrix and $\{a_{nk}\}$ is an array of real numbers. We use the concept of *B*-statistical supremum to introduce the concept of *B*-statistical uniform integrability with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$ which is not only more general than the concept of uniform integrability with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$ but also weaker than the concept of uniform integrability with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$.

Let $x = \{x_k : k \ge 1\}$ be a real sequence and let $B = \{b_{nk} : n \ge 1, k \ge 1\}$ be a summability matrix (an array of real numbers). If the sequence $\{(Bx)_n : n \ge 1\}$ is convergent to a real number α , then we say that the sequence x is *B*-summable to the real number α where the series

$$(Bx)_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{nk} x_k$$

is convergent for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and \mathbb{N} is the set of positive integers. A summability matrix B is said to be *regular* if $\lim_{n\to\infty} (Bx)_n = L$ whenever $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k = L$ (see Boos 2000). Throughout this paper, we assume that $B = \{b_{nk}\}$ is a nonnegative regular summability matrix.

Let $K \subset \mathbb{N}$. Then, the number

$$\delta_B(K) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k \in K} b_{nk}$$

is said to be the *B*-density of *K* whenever the limit exists (see Buck 1946, 1953; Fridy 1985; Kolk 1993). Regularity of the summability matrix *B* ensures that $0 \le \delta_B(K) \le 1$ whenever $\delta_B(K)$ exists. If we consider B = C, the Cesàro matrix, then $\delta(K) := \delta_C(K)$ is called the (*natural* or *asymptotic*) density of *K* (see Freedman and Sember 1981) where $C = (c_{nk})$ is the summability matrix defined by

$$c_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n}, & \text{if } k \le n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A real sequence $x = \{x_k\}$ is said to be *B*-statistically convergent (see Connor 1989; Fridy and Miller 1991) to a real number α if for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\delta_B \left(\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - \alpha| \ge \varepsilon \} \right) = 0.$$

In this case, we write $st_B - \lim_{k\to\infty} x_k = \alpha$. If we consider the Cesàro matrix, then *C*-statistical convergence is called *statistical convergence* (Fast 1951; Steinhaus 1951; Šalát 1980). *B*-statistical convergence is regular (i.e., it preserves ordinary limits) and there exist some sequences which are *B*-statistically convergent but not ordinary convergent. Recall that if a sequence $x = \{x_k\}$ is statistically convergent to a real number α , then there exists a subsequence $\{x_{k_j}\}$ such that $\lim_{j\to\infty} x_{k_j} = \alpha$ and $\delta_B(\{k_i : j \in \mathbb{N}\}) = 1$ (see Šalát 1980; Miller 1995).

A real number M is said to be a *B*-statistical upper bound of a sequence $\{x_k\}$ if

$$\delta_B \left(\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : x_k > M \} \right) = 0.$$

In this case, $\{x_k\}$ is said to be *B*-statistically upper bounded. The infimum of the set of all *B*-statistical upper bounds of a *B*-statistically upper bounded sequence is said to be the *B*-statistical supremum of $\{x_k\}$ and is denoted by $\sup_{st_Bk\in\mathbb{N}}x_k$ (Altinok and Küçükaslan 2014). If the sequence $x = \{x_k\}$ is not *B*-statistically upper bounded, then we define $\sup_{st_Bk\in\mathbb{N}}x_k = \infty$. We use the notation $\sup_{st_k\in\mathbb{N}}x_k$, whenever B = C.

A similar definition for the *B*-statistical infimum, $\inf_{st_{B_k \in \mathbb{N}}} x_k$, was given in Altinok and Küçükaslan (2014) as well. It was also known from Altinok and Küçükaslan (2014) that

$$\inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} x_k \le \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} x_k \le \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} x_k \le \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} x_k.$$
(1)

The following remark is used in our proofs:

Remark 1 If $\sup_{st_{B_k \in \mathbb{N}}} x_k = M < \infty$ then, by the definition of *B*-statistical boundedness for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $b < M + \varepsilon$ such that $\delta_B (\{k \in \mathbb{N} : x_k > b\}) = 0$. Thus, we have

$$\delta_B \left(\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : x_k > M + \varepsilon \} \right) = 0.$$

Throughout this paper, all random variables are defined on a fixed but otherwise arbitrary probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . The expected value of a random variable X is denoted by $\mathbb{E}X$, and we use the notation I for the indicator function. We assume that $\{a_{nk}\}$ is an array of real numbers such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| < \infty.$$
⁽²⁾

Let us now review the concept of uniform integrability for a sequence of random variables $\{X_k\}$ and provide several equivalent formulations of this concept.

A sequence of random variables $\{X_k\}$ is said to be *uniformly integrable* (see Chung 2001, p. 100) if

$$\lim_{c\to\infty}\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{E}|X_k| I_{\{|X_k|>c\}}=0.$$

The uniform integrability criterion (see Chow and Teicher 1997, p. 94) asserts that $\{X_k\}$ is uniformly integrable if and only if (i) $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E} |X_k| < \infty$

(ii) for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exits $\delta > 0$ such that for every event A with $P(A) < \delta$,

$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{E}|X_k||I_A<\varepsilon.$$

It is easy to show via examples that (i) and (ii) are independent conditions in the sense that neither implies the other. Hu and Rosalsky (2011) noted that (ii) is indeed equivalent to the apparently stronger condition

(ii') for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exits $\delta > 0$ such that for every sequence of events $\{A_k\}$ with $P(A_k) < \delta, k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{E}|X_k||I_{A_k}<\varepsilon.$$

The following classical result of Charles de La Vallée Poussin (see Meyer 1966, p. 19) provides another characterization of uniform integrability. We refer to it as the de La Vallée Poussin criterion for uniform integrability.

Proposition 1 (de La Vallée Poussin). A sequence of random variables $\{X_k\}$ is uniformly integrable if and only if there exists a convex monotone function G defined on $[0, \infty)$ with G(0) = 0 such that

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{G(x)}{x}=\infty \text{ and } \sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{E}G(|X_k|)<\infty.$$

The proof of the necessity half is far more difficult than the proof of the sufficiency half. On the other hand, the sufficiency half provides a very useful method for establishing uniform integrability of a sequence of random variables. For the sufficiency half, the condition that G is a convex monotone function defined on $[0, \infty)$ with G(0) = 0 is not needed; it can be weakened to the condition that G is a nonnegative Borel measurable function defined on $[0, \infty)$.

An alternative proof of the de La Vallée Poussin criterion for uniform integrability was provided by Chong (1979).

Now, we define a new version of uniform integrability which is called *B*-statistical uniform integrability with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$.

Definition 1 A sequence of random variables $\{X_k\}$ is said to be *B*-statistically uniformly integrable with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$ if

$$\lim_{c\to\infty}\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|a_{nk}|\mathbb{E}|X_k| I_{\{|X_k|>c\}}=0.$$

By considering (1), it is easy to see that if a sequence of random variables $\{X_k\}$ is uniformly integrable with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$, then it is *B*-statistically uniformly integrable with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$. On the other hand, since uniform integrability implies uniform integrability with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$ (see Ordóñez Cabrera 1994), we conclude that uniform integrability implies *B*-statistical uniform integrability with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$. Moreover, if we take the identity matrix as *B*, then *B*-statistical uniform integrability reduces to uniform integrability with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$.

The following example shows that *B*-statistical uniform integrability with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$ does not imply uniform integrability with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$ in general:

Example 1 Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be the array defined by

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = j^2 \text{ and } k = n \\ \frac{1}{2j}, \text{ if } n = j^2 + 1 \text{ and } n \le k \le (j+1)^2 - 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the sequence of random variables $\{X_k\}$ defined by

$$X_k = \begin{cases} \pm k, & \text{with probability } 1/2 \text{ if } k = j^2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As

$$\mathbb{E}|X_k| = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } k = j^2\\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } n = j^2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we obtain $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| = \infty$ which yields that $\{X_k\}$ is not uniformly integrable with respect to *A* (see Theorem 2 of Ordóñez Cabrera 1994). On the other hand, we have for any c > 0 that

$$\mathbb{E} |X_k| I_{\{|X_k|>c\}} \le \mathbb{E} |X_k| I_{\{|X_k|>0\}}$$
$$= \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } k = j^2\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| I_{\{|X_k|>0\}} = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } n = j^2\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, we get $\sup_{st_{Ck \in \mathbb{N}}} \mathbb{E} |X_k| I_{\{|X_k| > c\}} = 0$. Hence, the sequence $\{X_k\}$ is C - statistically uniformly integrable with respect to A.

2 Main results

The following theorem characterizes the concept of *B*-statistical uniform integrability with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$:

Theorem 1 A sequence of random variables $\{X_k\}$ is *B*-statistically uniformly integrable with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$ if and only if the following two conditions hold: (i) $\sup_{\text{st}_{Bn\in\mathbb{N}}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| < \infty$ (ii) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $v(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|a_{nk}|\mathbb{E}|X_k|I_{F_k}\leq\varepsilon$$

for any sequence $\{F_k\}$ of events with

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| P(F_k) \le \nu(\varepsilon).$$
(3)

Proof Let $\{X_k\}$ be a *B*-statistically uniformly integrable sequence of random variables with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, there exists a > 0 such that

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|a_{nk}|\mathbb{E}|X_k|I_{\{|X_k|>a\}}>\varepsilon/2\right\}\right)=0.$$
(4)

Springer

By (2), we can choose M such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| < M < \infty.$$
(*)

Note that with a > 0 as in (4),

Combining (*), (4), and (**), we obtain that

$$0 \le \delta_B \left(\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| E |X_k| > Ma + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right)$$
$$\le \delta_B \left(\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| > M \right\} \right)$$
$$+ \delta_B \left(\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| E |X_k| I_{\{|X_k| > a\}} > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right)$$
$$= 0 + 0 = 0$$

and so

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_{nk}|E|X_k|>Ma+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}\right)=0.$$

Thus, the real number $Ma + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ is a *B*-statistical upper bound of the sequence $\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| E |X_k| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Hence (i) holds.

To verify (ii), if we choose $v(\varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2a}$ then, for any sequence $\{F_k\}$ of events such that (3) holds we have

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:a\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|a_{nk}|\ P(F_k)>\varepsilon/2\right\}\right)=0.$$
(5)

Moreover, we obtain

$$\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| I_{F_k} > \varepsilon \}$$

$$\subset \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| I_{F_k \cap \{|X_k| \le a\}} > \varepsilon/2 \}$$

$$\cup \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| I_{F_k \cap \{|X_k| > a\}} > \varepsilon/2 \}$$

$$\subset \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : a \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| P(F_k) > \varepsilon/2 \}$$

$$\cup \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| I_{\{|X_k| > a\}} > \varepsilon/2 \} .$$

Thus, we obtain by (4) and (5) that

$$0 \leq \delta_B \left(\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| I_{F_k} > \varepsilon \right\} \right)$$

$$\leq \delta_B \left(\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : a \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| P(F_k) > \varepsilon/2 \right\} \right)$$

$$+ \delta_B \left(\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| I_{\{|X_k| > a\}} > \varepsilon/2 \right\} \right)$$

$$= 0,$$

which implies $\delta_B \left(\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| |I_{F_k} > \varepsilon \right\} \right) = 0$. Therefore, (ii) is satisfied.

Conversely, suppose that (i) and (ii) hold. If $\sup_{\mathrm{st}_{Bn\in\mathbb{N}}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| = M < \infty$, then by Remark 1 we get

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|a_{nk}|\mathbb{E}|X_k|>M+\varepsilon\right\}\right)=0.$$
(6)

By using Markov's inequality, we have for any c > 0 that

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| P(|X_k| > c) > \frac{M+\varepsilon}{c}\right\} \subset \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| > M+\varepsilon\right\}.$$

Therefore, by (6), we have

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| P(|X_k| > c) > \frac{M+\varepsilon}{c}\right\}\right) = 0.$$
(7)

Now, let $c > \frac{M+\varepsilon}{\nu}$. Then, we get

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| P(|X_k| > c) > \nu\right\} \subset \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| P(|X_k| > c) > \frac{M+\varepsilon}{c}\right\}.$$
(8)

Thus, we obtain by (7) and (8) that

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| P(|X_k| > c) > \nu\right\}\right) = 0.$$
(9)

On the other hand, if we use (ii) for the sequence of events $\{|X_k| > c\}$, we can write

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| |I_{\{|X_k| > c\}} > \varepsilon\right\} \subset \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| |P(|X_k| > c) > \nu\right\}.$$

Hence, by (9), we have $\delta_B\left(\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| |I_{\{|X_k| > c\}} > \varepsilon\right\}\right) = 0$ which implies that

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|a_{nk}|\mathbb{E}|X_k| I_{\{|X_k|>c\}}\leq\varepsilon.$$

Hence, the proof is completed.

Remark 2 If we take *B* as the identity matrix, then by Theorem 1 we immediately obtain Theorem 2 of Ordóñez Cabrera (1994) and if we take *B* as the identity matrix and if we take $\{a_{nk}\}$ as the Cesàro array, then by Theorem 1 we obtain Theorem 3 of Chandra (1989).

A de La Vallée Poussin-type characterization of uniform integrability with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$ can be found in Ordóñez Cabrera (1994). Now, motivating from these characterizations, we prove the following de La Vallée Poussin-type characterization of *B*-statistical uniform integrability with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$.

Theorem 2 A sequence of random variables $\{X_k\}$ is *B*-statistically uniformly integrable with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$ if and only if there exists a Borel measurable function $\phi: (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} = \infty$ and

D Springer

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|a_{nk}|\mathbb{E}\phi|X_k|<\infty.$$

Proof If $\{X_k\}$ is *B*-statistically uniformly integrable with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$, then we can choose a sequence of positive integers $\{n_i\}$ such that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|a_{nk}|\mathbb{E}|X_k|I_{\{|X_k|>n_j\}}<\frac{1}{2^j}$$

Therefore, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| |I_{\{|X_k| > n_j\}} > \frac{1}{2^j}\right\}\right) = 0.$$
(10)

On the other hand, there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c_{j_0} > c'_{j_o}$ whenever $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c'_j$ where c_j and c'_j are positive real numbers for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Now using this fact, it is easy to see that there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| I_{\{|X_k| > n_j\}} > \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \right\}$$
$$\subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| I_{\{|X_k| > n_{j_0}\}} > \frac{1}{2^{j_0}} \right\}.$$
(11)

If we consider that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} = 1$, then we obtain by (10) and (11) that

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| I_{\{|X_k| > n_j\}} > 1\right\}\right) = 0.$$
(12)

Moreover, there exists a Borel measurable function (see Chandra 1989; Ordóñez Cabrera 1994) $\phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} = \infty$ and for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathbb{E}\phi\left(|X_k|\right) \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n_j}^{\infty} P\left(|X_k| > i\right)$$

which implies (see Ordóñez Cabrera 1994) that

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E}\phi\left(|X_k|\right) > 1\right\}$$

$$C\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(|a_{nk}| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n_{j}}^{\infty} P\left(|X_{k}| > i\right)\right) > 1\right\}$$

$$C\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_{k}| I_{\{|X_{k}| > n_{j}\}} > 1\right\}.$$
(13)

Therefore, by (12) and (13), we obtain

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E}\phi\left(|X_k|\right) > 1\right\}\right) = 0$$

which implies that $\sup_{\text{st}_{Bn\in\mathbb{N}}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E}\phi |X_k| \le 1$. Conversely, if there exists such a function ϕ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then by Remark 1 we get

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E}\phi\left(|X_k|\right) > M + \varepsilon\right\}\right) = 0 \tag{14}$$

where $M := \sup_{\mathrm{st}_{Bn \in \mathbb{N}}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E}\phi |X_k|$ and there exists a > 0 such that $\frac{\phi(t)}{t} > 0$ $\frac{M+\varepsilon+1}{\varepsilon}$ whenever t > a. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |X_k| I_{\{|X_k| > a\}} > \varepsilon \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{\varepsilon}{M + \varepsilon + 1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E}\phi\left(|X_k|\right) I_{\{|X_k| > a\}} > \varepsilon \right\} \\ &= \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{M + \varepsilon + 1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\phi\left(|X_k|\right) I_{\{|X_k| > a\}} > 1 \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{M + \varepsilon + 1} > \frac{1}{M + \varepsilon} \right\} \cup \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\phi\left(|X_k|\right) I_{\{|X_k| > a\}} > M + \varepsilon \right\} \\ &= \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\phi\left(|X_k|\right) I_{\{|X_k| > a\}} > M + \varepsilon \right\}. \end{split}$$

Hence, (14) implies

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\sum_{k=1}^\infty\mathbb{E}|X_k|\,I_{\{|X_k|>a\}}>\varepsilon\right\}\right)=0$$

which means that $\{X_k\}$ is *B*-statistically uniformly integrable with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$.

Remark 3 If we take B as the identity matrix, then by Theorem 2 we immediately obtain Theorem 3 of Ordóñez Cabrera (1994) and if we take B as the identity matrix and $\{a_{nk}\}\$ as the Cesàro array, then by Theorem 2 we obtain Theorem 1 of Chandra (1989).

3 The law of large numbers

The concept of uniform integrability is a useful tool to establish the law of large numbers with mean convergence (Chandra 1989; Ordóñez Cabrera 1994; Chung 2001), as uniform integrability with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$ of a sequence $\{X_k\}$ of pairwise independent random variables implies the following law of large numbers with mean convergence (see Chandra 1989):

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{nk} (X_k - \mathbb{E} X_k) \right| = 0.$$
(15)

After introducing the concept of *B*-statistical uniform integrability, we can consider a result about the law of large numbers with mean convergence in the statistical sense. Let $p \ge 1$. The *B*-statistical version of convergence in the pth mean of a sequence of random variables $\{X_k\}$ to a random variable X is defined by:

$$\operatorname{st}_{\substack{B \ k \to \infty}} \lim \mathbb{E} |X_k - X|^p = 0.$$

Moreover, $\{X_k\}$ is said to be *B*-statistically convergent to X in probability if for any ε , $\nu > 0$

$$\delta_B \left(\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : P((|X_k - X|) \ge \nu) \ge \varepsilon \} \right) = 0.$$

In this case, we write $X_k \xrightarrow{\text{st}_{B,P}} X$. For the statistical version of this definition, see Ghosal (2013). Considering the extended Markov's inequality, we have that *B*-statistical convergence in *p*th mean implies *B*-statistical convergence in probability for p > 0.

Remark 4 Let p > q > 0. Assume that a sequence of random variables $\{X_k\}$ is *B*-statistically convergent to a random variable *X* in *p*th mean. Then, the sequence $\{|X_k - X|^p\}$ is *B*-statistically convergent to zero. Therefore, there exists $\{k_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $\delta_B(\{k_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}) = 1$ and

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left|X_{k_j}-X\right|^p=0.$$

Thus, we have that the subsequence $\{X_{k_j}\}$ is convergent in the *p*th mean to *X* which implies it is convergent in the *q*th mean to *X*. Hence, we get

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left| X_{k_j} - X \right|^q = 0.$$
⁽¹⁶⁾

🖄 Springer

As $\delta_B(\{k_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}) = 1$, (16) implies that

$$\operatorname{st}_{B-\lim_{k\to\infty}} \mathbb{E} |X_k - X|^q = 0.$$

Hence, $\{X_k\}$ is *B*-statistically convergent to *X* in *q*th mean.

Various notions on convergence of sequences of random variables in the statistical sense may be found in Ghosal (2013, 2016).

Lemma 1 Let $\{X_k\}$ be a sequence of uniformly bounded pairwise independent random variables and let $\{a_{nk}\}$ be an array such that (2) holds and

$$\operatorname{st}_{B} - \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{nk}| = 0.$$
(17)

Then,

$$\operatorname{st}_{\underset{n \to \infty}{B - \lim}} \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(X_k - \mathbb{E}X_k)\right)^2 = 0.$$
(18)

Proof Let $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| < M$. Then, we have

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_{nk}|\ge M\right\}\right)=0.$$
(19)

If H > 0 denotes a uniform bound of $\{X_k\}$, then by (17) we get for any $\varepsilon > 0$ that

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}|a_{nk}|\geq\varepsilon/MH^2\right\}\right)=0.$$
(20)

Now, we have from the pairwise independence that

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(X_k - \mathbb{E}X_k)\right)^2 \ge \varepsilon \right\} \\
\subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}^2 \mathbb{E}(X_k - \mathbb{E}X_k)^2 \ge \varepsilon \right\} \\
\subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : H^2 \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{nk}| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \\
\subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{nk}| \ge \varepsilon / M H^2 \right\} \cup \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \ge M \right\}. \quad (21)$$

🖄 Springer

By (19), (20) and (21), we have

$$0 \le \delta_B \left(\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} (X_k - \mathbb{E} X_k) \right)^2 \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right)$$
$$\le \delta_B \left(\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{nk}| \ge \varepsilon / M H^2 \right\} \right)$$
$$+ \delta_B \left(\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \ge M \right\} \right)$$
$$= 0$$

which yields that

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk}(X_k-\mathbb{E}X_k)\right)^2\geq\varepsilon\right\}\right)=0.$$

Therefore, (18) holds.

Theorem 3 Let $\{X_k\}$ be a sequence of pairwise independent random variables and let $\{a_{nk}\}$ be an array such that (2) and (17) hold. If $\{X_k\}$ is *B*-statistically uniformly integrable with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$, then

$$\operatorname{st}_{\substack{B \ n \to \infty}} \lim \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} (X_k - \mathbb{E} X_k) \right| = 0$$

and, a fortiori,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} (X_k - \mathbb{E} X_k) \stackrel{\text{st}_{B,P}}{\to} 0.$$

Proof Since $\{X_k\}$ is *B*-statistically uniformly integrable with respect to $\{a_{nk}\}$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a > 0 such that

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|a_{nk}|\mathbb{E}|X_k| I_{\{|X_k|>a\}} < \varepsilon/4$$

which implies

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|a_{nk}|\mathbb{E}|X_k||I_{\{|X_k|>a\}}>\varepsilon/4\right\}\right)=0.$$
(22)

Now, we define for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$U_k = X_k I_{\{|X_k| \le a\}}$$
$$V_k = X_k I_{\{|X_k| > a\}}.$$

The sequence of random variables $\{U_k - \mathbb{E}U_k\}$ is pairwise independent with uniform bound 2*a*. By Lemma 1 and Remark 4, we have

$$\operatorname{st}_{\underset{n\to\infty}{B-\lim}} \mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(U_k - \mathbb{E}U_k)\right| = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}a_{nk}(U_k-\mathbb{E}U_k)\right|>\varepsilon/2\right\}\right)=0.$$
(23)

On the other hand,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| |V_k - \mathbb{E}V_k| > \varepsilon/2 \right\}$$

$$\subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |V_k| > \varepsilon/2 \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} \left| X_k I_{\{|X_k| > a\}} \right| > \varepsilon/4 \right\}.$$
(24)

Now, by (22) and (24), we obtain

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_{nk}|\,|V_k-\mathbb{E}V_k|>\varepsilon/2\right\}\right)=0.$$

Finally, as

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} (X_k - \mathbb{E} X_k) \right| > \varepsilon \right\}$$
$$\subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} (U_k - \mathbb{E} U_k) \right| > \varepsilon/2 \right\}$$
$$\cup \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| |V_k - \mathbb{E} V_k| > \varepsilon/2 \right\},$$
(25)

by (23) and (25), we have

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk}(X_k-\mathbb{E}X_k)\right|>\varepsilon\right\}\right)=0$$

which finishes the proof.

Remark 5 If we take *B* as the identity matrix, then by Theorem 3 we immediately obtain Theorem 4 of Ordóñez Cabrera (1994) and if we take *B* as the identity matrix and if we take $\{a_{nk}\}$ as the Cesàro array, then by Theorem 3 we obtain Theorem 1 of Chandra (1989).

In the following theorem, we drop the pairwise independence assumption by strengthening the other conditions.

Theorem 4 Let $0 and let <math>\{a_{nk}\}$ be an array such that

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|a_{nk}|^{p}<\infty$$

and

$$\operatorname{st}_{B} - \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{nk}| = 0.$$

If $\{|X_k|^p\}$ is a *B*-statistically uniformly integrable sequence of random variables with respect to $\{|a_{nk}|^p\}$, then

$$\operatorname{st}_{\substack{B \ n \to \infty}} \lim \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_k \right|^p = 0$$

and, a fortiori,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_k \stackrel{\mathrm{st}_{B,P}}{\to} 0.$$

Proof Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, there exists a > 0 such that

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|a_{nk}|^{p}\mathbb{E}|X_{k}|^{p}I_{\{|X_{k}|>a\}}<\varepsilon/2$$

which implies

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_{nk}|^p\,\mathbb{E}\,|X_k|^p\,I_{\{|X_k|>a\}}>\varepsilon/2\right\}\right)=0.$$
(26)

If $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}|^p < M < \infty$, then we obtain

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_{nk}|^p > M\right\}\right) = 0.$$
(27)

Since st_B - $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{nk}| = 0$, we get

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}|a_{nk}|>\left(\varepsilon/aM\right)^{1/1-p}\right\}\right)=0.$$
(28)

Now, if we define sequences of random variables $\{U_k\}$ and $\{V_k\}$ as in Theorem 3, then we have

$$\begin{cases}
n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} U_k \right| > \varepsilon \right\} \\
\subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \mathbb{E} |U_k| > \varepsilon \right\} \\
\subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : a \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{nk}|^{1-p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}|^p > \varepsilon \right\} \\
\subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{nk}|^{1-p} > \varepsilon / aM \right\} \cup \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}|^p > M \right\}. \quad (29)$$

Thus, by (27), (28) and (29), we have

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}a_{nk}U_k\right|>\varepsilon\right\}\right)=0.$$

Thus, the sequence $\left\{ \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} U_k \right| : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ is *B*-statistically convergent to zero. Now, Remark 4 implies that

$$\operatorname{st}_{B} - \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} U_{k} \right|^{p} = 0.$$
(30)

Therefore,

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk}U_k\right|^p>\varepsilon/2\right\}\right)=0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} V_k \right|^p > \varepsilon/2 \right\} \subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}|^p \mathbb{E} |V_k|^p > \varepsilon/2 \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}|^p \mathbb{E} |X_k|^p I_{\{|X_k| > a\}} > \varepsilon/2 \right\}.$$

Thus, by (26), we obtain

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} V_k\right|^p > \varepsilon/2\right\}\right) = 0.$$
(31)

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{cases}
n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_{k} \right|^{p} > \varepsilon \right\} \\
\subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} U_{k} \right|^{p} + \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} V_{k} \right|^{p} > \varepsilon \right\} \\
\subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} U_{k} \right|^{p} > \varepsilon/2 \right\} \cup \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} V_{k} \right|^{p} > \varepsilon/2 \right\}.$$
(32)

Now, by (30), (31) and (32), we conclude

$$\delta_B\left(\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk}X_k\right|^p>\varepsilon\right\}\right)=0.$$

This finished the proof.

Remark 6 If we take B as the identity matrix, then by Theorem 4 we immediately obtain Theorem 5 of Ordóñez Cabrera (1994).

4 Conclusion and an open problem

The main goal of this article is to introduce the concept of B-statistical uniform integrability of a sequence of random variables with respect to an array of weights, where B is a nonnegative regular summability matrix. This notion is then applied to obtain new laws of large numbers that generalize some well-known results from the literature.

One of the main results of the article, Theorem 3, provides the law of large numbers with respect to L_1 -convergence for a sequence of pairwise independent random variables, while the subsequent Theorem 4 provides the law of large numbers for an arbitrary sequence of random variables without any assumptions on their joint distributions. Theorem 4 is proved under the assumption that the sequence $\{|X_k|^p\}$ is a B-statistically uniformly integrable sequence of random variables with respect to the array of weights, where $0 . It would be interesting to obtain a version of Theorem 4 for <math>p \ge 1$.

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to the reviewers for carefully reading the manuscript and for offering substantial comments and suggestions which enabled them to improve the presentation.

References

- Altinok M, Küçükaslan M (2014) A-statistical supremum-infimum and A-statistical convergence. Azerb J Math 4(2):31–42
- Atlihan ÖG, Ünver M, Duman O (2017) Korovkin theorems on weighted spaces: revisited. Period Math Hung 75(2):201–209
- Balser W (2000) Formal power series and linear systems of meromorphic ordinary differential equations. Springer, New York
- Balser W, Miyake M (1999) Summability of formal solutions of certain partial differential equations. Acta Sci Math (Szeged) 65(3–4):543–551
- Boos J (2000) Classical and modern methods in summability. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Braha NL (2018) Some properties of new modified Szász–Mirakyan operators in polynomial weight spaces via power summability methods. Bull Math Anal Appl 10(3):53–65
- Buck RC (1946) The measure theoretic approach to density. Am J Math 68:560-580
- Buck RC (1953) Generalized asymptotic density. Am J Math 75:335-346
- Chandra TK (1989) Uniform integrability in the Cesàro sense and the weak law of large numbers. Sankhyā Ser A 51(3):309–317
- Chong KM (1979) On a theorem concerning uniform integrability. Publ Inst Math (Beograd) (NS) 25(39):8–10
- Chow YS, Teicher H (1997) Probability theory: independence, interchangeability, martingales, 3rd edn. Springer, New York
- Chung KL (2001) A course in probability theory, 3rd edn. Academic Press, San Diego
- Connor J (1989) On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical convergence. Can Math Bull 32(2):194–198
- Fast H (1951) Sur la convergence statistique. Colloq Math 2:241-244
- Freedman AR, Sember JJ (1981) Densities and summability. Pac J Math 95(2):293-305
- Fridy JA (1985) On statistical convergence. Analysis 5(4):301–313
- Fridy JA, Miller HI (1991) A matrix characterization of statistical convergence. Analysis 11(1):59-66
- Gadjiev AD, Orhan C (2002) Some approximation theorems via statistical convergence. Rocky Mt J Math 32(1):129–138
- Ghosal S (2013) Statistical convergence of a sequence of random variables and limit theorems. Appl Math 58(4):423–437
- Ghosal S (2016) Weighted statistical convergence of order α and its applications. J Egypt Math Soc 24(1):60–67
- Giuliano Antonini R, Hu T-C, Kozachenko Y, Volodin A (2013) An application of φ-subgaussian technique to Fourier analysis. J Math Anal Appl 408(1):114–124
- Hu T-C, Ordóñez Cabrera M, Volodin AI (2001) Convergence of randomly weighted sums of Banach space valued random elements and uniform integrability concerning the random weights. Stat Probab Lett 51(2):155–164
- Hu T-C, Rosalsky A (2011) A note on the de La Vallée Poussin criterion for uniform integrability. Stat Probab Lett 81(1):169–174

Kolk E (1993) Matrix summability of statistically convergent sequences. Analysis 13(1–2):77–83 Meyer PA (1966) Probability and potentials. Blaisdell Pub. Co., Ginn and Co., Waltham

- Miller HI (1995) A measure theoretical subsequence characterization of statistical convergence. Trans Am Math Soc 347(5):1811–1819
- Ordóñez Cabrera M (1994) Convergence of weighted sums of random variables and uniform integrability concerning the weights. Collect Math 45(2):121–132
- Ordóñez Cabrera M, Volodin AI (2005) Mean convergence theorems and weak laws of large numbers for weighted sums of random variables under a condition of weighted integrability. J Math Anal Appl 305(2):644–658

Šalát T (1980) On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers. Math Slovaca 30(2):139–150

- Söylemez D, Ünver M (2017) Korovkin type theorems for Cheney–Sharma operators via summability methods. Results Math. 72(3):1601–1612
- Steinhaus H (1951) Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique. Colloq Math 2(1):73–74 Tas E, Yurdakadim T (2017) Approximation by positive linear operators in modular spaces by power series
- method. Positivity 21(4):1293–1306
- Ünver M (2014) Abel transforms of positive linear operators on weighted spaces. Bull Belg Math Soc Simon Stevin 21(5):813–822

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.