

# Effects of Specific Conjugated Linoleic Acid Isomers on Growth Characteristics in Obese Zucker Rats

Sara R. Sanders<sup>a</sup>, Mary K. Teachey<sup>b</sup>, Arne Ptock<sup>c</sup>, Klaus Kraemer<sup>c</sup>,  
Oliver Hasselwander<sup>c</sup>, Erik J. Henriksen<sup>b</sup>, and Lance H. Baumgard<sup>a,\*</sup>

Departments of Animal Sciences<sup>a</sup>, and <sup>b</sup>Physiology, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona,  
and <sup>c</sup>BASF AG, Ludwigshafen, Germany

**ABSTRACT:** Growing female obese Zucker (fa/fa) rats were treated (via intragastric gavage) for 21 d with either a (i) vehicle [corn oil; 0.9 g/kg body weight (BW)], (ii) CLA mixture [50:50; *trans*-10,*cis*-12 and *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA], (iii) *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA, or (iv) *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA (CLA treatments at 1.5 g CLA/kg BW). Compared with controls, average daily gain (g/d) was reduced 24 and 44% by the CLA mixture and *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA, respectively. There was no treatment effect on average whole-body (minus heart and liver) composition (dry matter basis): fat (70.2%), protein (21.0%), and ash (4.3%). Compared with animals treated with *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA, obese Zucker rats treated with *trans*-10,*cis*-12 and the CLA mixture had 7.8% more carcass water. Treatment had no effect on heart or liver weights or on heart or liver weights as a percentage of body weight, but compared with the other treatments *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA increased liver lipid content by 33%. Hepatic lipid ratios of 16:1/16:0 and 18:1/18:0 (a proxy for  $\Delta^9$ -desaturase capability) were not affected by treatment (0.1 and 0.6, respectively). Similar to previous reports, CLA increased hepatic lipid content and altered both liver and carcass FA composition (i.e., reduced arachidonic acid content), but the ability of CLA to manipulate body composition in obese Zucker rats remains questionable.

Paper no. L9434 in *Lipids* 39, 537–543 (June 2004).

CLA represent a mixture of geometric and positional isomers of octadecadienoic acid without a methylene group between double bonds. CLA are found naturally in dairy products and ruminant meat as a result of PUFA biohydrogenation by rumen bacteria (1). Several CLA isomers that differ in the position of the double bond pairs have been identified, each having a potentially different and unique biological or biochemical effect. Dietary CLA is associated with a number of potential human health benefits, including a decrease in the incidence and severity of mutagenesis, carcinogenesis, atherosclerosis, cachexia, and obesity (2,3). Identifying the specific CLA isomer responsible for the aforementioned biological effects has been difficult, as most investigations have utilized a supplement containing a variety of isomers. However, anticancer properties are associated with the *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA isomer (4), whereas the *trans*-10,*cis*-12 iso-

mer markedly alters adipocyte and mammary lipid metabolism in a number of species (5–9).

Although dietary CLA has been shown to affect glucose parameters adversely in some animal models (10), CLA supplements actually improve metabolic parameters of type 2 diabetes (11,12) in the Zucker diabetic fatty rat. Based on differences in CLA isomer composition between treatments, it was speculated that the *trans*-10,*cis*-12 isomer was responsible for improving type 2 diabetes (13), and this has now been confirmed, as *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA dramatically improved whole-body and skeletal muscle insulin action, whereas *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA was ineffective at altering these metabolic variables (14). It is unclear whether these improvements are a direct result of CLA mediating a specific aspect of glucose homeostasis or an indirect result of altered body composition. High body fat content or obesity has long been tightly linked with adult-onset type 2 diabetes (15). The mechanism by which obesity either causes or contributes to this disorder is not clear but may include adipocyte-derived cytokines (16) and dyslipidemia (17).

As stated earlier, dietary CLA has been demonstrated to be extremely effective at decreasing the fat content ( $\geq 60\%$ ) in a number of rodent models (3) and pigs (8). However, a CLA supplement was ineffective at decreasing the fat content of lean Sprague-Dawley rats (18) and genetically lean pigs (19), and CLA actually increased fat pad weight in the obese Zucker rat (20). Inconsistent effects on carcass composition studies may be due to differences in CLA isomer composition, CLA dose, feeding duration, physiological age and state of experimental animals, and animal genotype.

The objectives of this study were to compare two specific CLA isomers (*cis*-9,*trans*-11 and *trans*-10,*cis*-12) on growth characteristics, whole body composition, organ weight, and FA profiles in the obese Zucker (fa/fa) rat. Furthermore, we were interested in determining whether the improvements in defective metabolic parameters observed in the obese Zucker rat were associated with decreases in carcass fat content.

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

All protocols and procedures were approved by The University of Arizona Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Female obese Zucker rats (Hsd/Ola: ZUCKER-fa; Harlan, Indianapolis, IN) were used to determine the effects of a CLA supplement and specific CLA isomers (relatively pure *cis*-9,

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed at the University of Arizona, 1200 E. South Campus Dr., P.O. Box 210038 RM 228, Tucson, AZ 85721. E-mail: baumgard@Ag.arizona.edu

Abbreviations: ADG, average daily body weight gain; BW, body weight; N, nitrogen.

*trans*-11 and *trans*-10,*cis*-12) on glucose homeostasis parameters (glucose tolerance tests, skeletal muscle glucose transport activity, muscle glucose transporter-4 (GLUT-4) protein level, muscle citrate synthase activity, muscle carbonyl levels, and muscular TG concentration), and these results have been presented elsewhere (14).

**Animals and experimental design.** The study protocol has been described in detail previously (14). Briefly, growing female obese Zucker (fa/fa) rats [ $n = 19$ ; mean initial body weight (BW) was  $279 \pm 11$  g, mean  $\pm$  SD] were fed a standard common diet (Table 1) and treated, *via* intragastric gavage, for 21 d with either a (i) control/carrier ( $n = 5$ ; corn oil; 0.9 g/kg BW), (ii) CLA mixture ( $n = 4$ ; 45% *trans*-10,*cis*-12; 45% *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA; 8% 18:1; <1% 18:0 + 16:0; 1.5 g/kg BW), (iii) *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA ( $n = 5$ ; 53% *cis*-9,*trans*-11; 27% 18:1; 17% *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA; 3.3% 18:2; <0.5% 16:0 + 18:0; 1.5 g/kg BW), or (iv) *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA ( $n = 5$ ; 72% *trans*-10,*cis*-12; 9% 18:1; 8% *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA; 7% 16:0; 2% 18:0; 1.5 g/kg BW). All CLA were kindly provided by BASF (Ludwigshafen, Germany) and were delivered as ethyl esters.

Following an overnight fast, animals were anesthetized with pentobarbital sodium (50 mg/kg BW ip), and final carcass weights were determined. The heart, kidney, liver, and soleus and plantaris muscles were dissected out and weighed. Kidneys were added back to the carcass for whole-body composition analysis, but livers were kept separate for additional analyses. Hearts and aforementioned muscles (~100–200 mg) were used as previously described (14) and were not included in the body composition analyses. Animals were quartered and freeze-dried (Virtis, Gardiner, NY) for 7 d to ensure complete removal of water. Freeze-dried carcasses were homogenized, along with dry ice, using a commercial food processor (Robot Coupe, Jackson, MS), and the homogenate was used to determine dry matter, ash, protein, and fat percentage.

**TABLE 1**  
**Diet Chemical Composition<sup>a</sup>**

Nutrient <sup>b</sup>	Units	Composition <sup>b</sup>
Water <sup>c</sup>	%	10.00
Protein <sup>c</sup>	%	25.03
Fat <sup>c,d</sup>	%	4.25
Fiber <sup>c</sup>	%	4.67
Ash <sup>c</sup>	%	10.09
Nitrogen-free extract	%	46.16
Gross energy	kcal/g	3.82
Digestible energy	kcal/g	3.23
Metabolizable energy	kcal/g	2.94

<sup>a</sup>Teklad 7001 4% Mouse/Rat Diet; Harlan Teklad (Madison, WI).

<sup>b</sup>Ingredients include: soybean meal, ground corn, meat and bone meal, ground wheat, ground barley, ground oats, dehydrated alfalfa meal, ground limestone, dried skim milk, animal fat (lard), iodized salt, dicalcium phosphate, choline chloride, vitamin A acetate, vitamin D-activated animal sterol, vitamin E supplement, niacin, calcium pantothenate, riboflavin, thiamine mononitrate, pyridoxine hydrochloride, menadione sodium bisulfite complex, folic acid, biotin, vitamin B<sub>12</sub> supplement, calcium carbonate, manganese oxide, ferrous sulfate, copper sulfate, zinc oxide, calcium iodate, and cobalt carbonate.

<sup>c</sup>On an as-fed basis.

<sup>d</sup>FA composition is as follows: 14:0 2%, 16:0 23%, 18:0 9%, 18:1 37%, 18:2 26%, 18:3 3%, 20:4 0.5%.

**Body composition analysis.** For ashing, tissue (2 g) was dried overnight in a 100°C oven, weighed, placed in a muffle furnace for 6 h at 550°C, and then reweighed. Protein analysis was performed using a FP-528 Nitrogen Determinator (LECO Corp., St. Joseph, MI) using 0.2 g of tissue. Total N content was multiplied by a correction factor of 6.25 to obtain protein concentration. Both ash and protein analyses were performed in triplicate. Percent fat was determined using a modified Folch *et al.* method (21). Briefly, a 2:1 chloroform/methanol solution was added to tissue (2 g), vortexed for 5 min, and centrifuged (400  $\times$  g). Supernatant was filtered through a Buchner funnel using #1 Whatman paper. To the filtrate, 0.58% NaCl solution was added; this mixture was mixed, recentrifuged (400  $\times$  g), and the top layer was removed and discarded. The lower layer was dried under N<sub>2</sub> until less than 8 mL remained and then transferred to a preweighed extraction tube and dried completely. Fat extractions were performed in duplicate. A separate extraction was performed for FA analyses.

**FA analysis.** FAME from carcass and liver lipids were prepared by the transmethylation procedure described by Christie (22) with modifications (23). Briefly, hexane (2 mL, HPLC grade) was added to 40 mg of lipid followed by 40  $\mu$ L of methyl acetate. After vortexing, 40  $\mu$ L of methylation reagent (1.75 mL methanol and 0.4 mL of 5.4 mol/L sodium methylate) was added, the mixture was vortexed and then allowed to react for 10 min and then 60  $\mu$ L of termination reagent (1 g oxalic acid in 30 mL diethyl ether) and ~200 mg of calcium chloride were added and allowed to stand for 60 min. Samples were centrifuged at 2,400  $\times$  g at 4°C for 5 min. Following centrifugation, the liquid portion was transferred to a labeled GC vial and stored at -20°C. FAME were quantified using a gas chromatograph (Hewlett-Packard GC system 6890; Wilmington, DE) equipped with an FID and a CP-7420 fused-silica capillary column (100 m  $\times$  0.25 mm i.d. with 0.2- $\mu$ m film thickness; Varian, Walnut Creek, CA). Initial oven temperature (160°C) was held for 28 min then ramped at 5°C/min to 220°C, where it was held for 10 min. Inlet and detector temperatures were maintained at 250°C, and the split ratio was 100:1. Hydrogen carrier gas flow rate through the column was 1 mL/min. Hydrogen flow to the detector was 30 mL/min, air flow was 400 mL/min, and the nitrogen makeup gas flow was 25 mL/min. Peaks in the chromatogram were identified and quantified using pure methyl ester standards (GLC60; Nu-Chek-Prep, Elysian, MN; GLC60, Matreya, Inc., Pleasant Gap, PA; *cis*-9,*trans*-11 and *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA; Nu-Chek-Prep).

**Statistical analyses.** Data were statistically analyzed using the 1992 PROC-MIXED procedure of SAS (Cary, NC). Data are presented as least square means  $\pm$  SEM and considered significant when main effects were less than  $P < 0.05$ .

## RESULTS

Average daily body weight gain (ADG) was reduced ( $P < 0.01$ ) 24 and 44% by the CLA mixture and *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA, respectively (Table 2). Compared to control and *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA treated animals, obese Zucker rats treated with

**TABLE 2**  
**Growth Rates and Body Composition of Obese Zucker Rats Treated with Isomers of CLA**

Variable	Treatment <sup>a</sup>				SEM	P
	Control	CLA mix <sup>b</sup>	c9,t11 CLA	t10,c12 CLA		
ADG <sup>c</sup> (g/d)	2.5 <sup>b,c</sup>	1.9 <sup>a,b</sup>	2.7 <sup>c</sup>	1.4 <sup>a</sup>	0.2	<0.01
Body composition						
Water %	38.0 <sup>a,b</sup>	40.8 <sup>c</sup>	37.0 <sup>a</sup>	39.0 <sup>b,c</sup>	0.7	<0.01
Protein <sup>d</sup> %	20.4	22.2	20.3	20.9	0.7	0.32
Fat <sup>d</sup> %	70.9	68.6	71.4	70.2	1.1	0.36
Ash <sup>d</sup> %	4.1	4.5	4.5	4.1	0.4	0.76

<sup>a</sup>Rows with different roman superscripts indicate difference,  $P < 0.05$ .

<sup>b</sup>Contains 50:50 *trans*-10,*cis*-12 and *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA.

<sup>c</sup>Average daily body weight gain.

<sup>d</sup>Values are on a dry matter basis.

*trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA and the CLA mixture had 7.8% more ( $P < 0.01$ ) carcass water (Table 2). There was no treatment effect on whole-body composition (average dry matter basis): fat (70.2%), protein (21.0%), and ash (4.3%). There was no treatment effect on soleus and plantaris muscle weights (13) or on wet heart, kidney, or liver weights (Table 3). Compared with the other treatments, Zucker rats treated with *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA had increased (33%;  $P < 0.01$ ) liver lipid content (Table 3). There was no treatment effect on hepatic moisture content (29.2%; data not shown).

Analysis of carcass FA indicated *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA content was increased ( $P < 0.01$ ) more than 10-fold in both the *cis*-9,*trans*-11- and CLA mix-treated animals (Table 4). Similarly, *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA content was increased (>ninefold;  $P < 0.01$ ) in both the *trans*-10,*cis*-12- and mixed CLA-treated animals (Table 4). Similar to carcass FA composition, hepatic FA analysis indicated higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) levels of both *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA and *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA due to specific CLA treatments and the CLA mix administration (Table 5). Ratios of 16:1/16:0 and 18:1/18:0 (a proxy for  $\Delta^9$ -desaturase capability) were unaffected in hepatic lipids (0.10 and 0.60, respectively). Both *cis*-9,*trans*-11 and *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA and the CLA mixture decreased ( $P < 0.01$ ) the arachidonic acid (20:4n-6) concentration in liver lipids by ~15% (Table 5).

## DISCUSSION

Although dietary CLA have been demonstrated to have a wide range of beneficial effects in animal models (2), it has been shown to cause mild insulin resistance in nondiabetic rodent

models and human individuals susceptible to type 2 diabetes (10,24). However, dietary CLA improves defective metabolic parameters associated with type 2 diabetes (10) in some species, and it is thought that *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA is an isomer responsible for this phenomenon (13). Henriksen and colleagues (14) recently directly demonstrated that *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA improves glucose disposal and reduces the insulin resistance of skeletal muscle glucose transport in obese Zucker rats. The *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA isomer had little or no effect on the aforementioned glucose homeostatic parameters (14).

Animals receiving both the CLA mixture and the purified *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA had reduced ADG compared with controls and the *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA-treated groups (Table 2), and we assume that a reduction in caloric intake can at least partially explain this (unfortunately, feed intake was not measured). Although reduced weight gain cannot be ruled out as a partial mechanism by which CLA improves type 2 diabetes, the ability of CLA to improve glucose metabolic parameters in the obese Zucker diabetic rat model is not due to improved body composition because we demonstrated that neither *trans*-10,*cis*-12 nor *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA reduced carcass fat percentage or increased body protein content (Table 2). Although these findings are in agreement with previous work using the obese Zucker model (20), the lack of effect on tissue composition in the current investigation is surprising as CLA, specifically, *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA, has been shown to be very effective at reducing body fat (i.e., >50%) in a number of rodent models (25–27). A small sample size ( $n = 4$ –5/treatment) may have limited our ability to detect statistical differences, but there were no numerical trends even hinting

**TABLE 3**  
**Wet Organ Weights of Obese Zucker Rats Treated with Isomers of CLA**

Organ (g)	Treatment <sup>a</sup>				SEM	P
	Control	CLA mix <sup>b</sup>	c9,t11 CLA	t10,c12 CLA		
Heart	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	<0.1	0.37
Kidney	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.7	<0.1	0.85
Liver	10.1	10.2	9.9	9.6	0.5	0.80
Lipid <sup>c</sup> %	23.6 <sup>a</sup>	22.0 <sup>a</sup>	24.4 <sup>a</sup>	31.0 <sup>b</sup>	1.1	<0.01

<sup>a</sup>Rows with different roman superscripts indicate difference,  $P < 0.05$ .

<sup>b</sup>Contains 50:50 *trans*-10,*cis*-12 and *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA.

<sup>c</sup>On a dry matter basis.

**TABLE 4**  
**Carcass FA Profile of Obese Zucker Rats Treated with Isomers of CLA**

FA	Treatment <sup>a</sup>				SEM	P
	Control	CLA mix <sup>b</sup>	c9,11 CLA	10,12 CLA		
	g/100 g FA					
12:0	0.29	0.28	0.23	0.38	0.08	0.58
14:0	1.80 <sup>a</sup>	1.71 <sup>a</sup>	2.08 <sup>b</sup>	2.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.07	<0.01
14:1	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.13	0.01	0.37
16:0	29.95 <sup>a</sup>	25.27 <sup>b</sup>	30.66 <sup>a</sup>	31.19 <sup>a</sup>	1.06	<0.01
16:1	6.68	6.61	7.34	6.53	0.23	0.19
18:0	4.81 <sup>a</sup>	3.96 <sup>b</sup>	4.70 <sup>b</sup>	5.18 <sup>a</sup>	0.18	<0.01
18:1c9	33.39	32.14	34.39	31.95	0.79	0.21
18:1c11	2.29	2.27	2.29	2.30	0.09	0.99
18:2c9,c12	15.56 <sup>a,b</sup>	18.99 <sup>a</sup>	11.66 <sup>b</sup>	12.81 <sup>b</sup>	1.39	0.01
18:2c9,11	0.16 <sup>a</sup>	2.15 <sup>b</sup>	1.96 <sup>b</sup>	0.46 <sup>a</sup>	0.24	<0.01
18:210,c12	0.14 <sup>a</sup>	1.35 <sup>b</sup>	0.49 <sup>a</sup>	1.37 <sup>b</sup>	0.26	0.02
18:3	0.93 <sup>a</sup>	1.52 <sup>b</sup>	1.07 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.97 <sup>a</sup>	0.14	0.03
20:0	0.10	0.13	0.20	0.24	0.09	0.66
20:1n-9	0.34	0.37	0.55	0.36	0.05	0.16
20:2n-6	0.23	0.32	0.29	0.21	0.06	0.49
20:4n-6	0.60 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.82 <sup>a</sup>	0.66 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.42 <sup>b</sup>	0.09	0.04
Unknown	2.73	1.98	1.27	3.62	1.13	0.53
Totals						
Saturated	36.92 <sup>a</sup>	31.35 <sup>b</sup>	37.87 <sup>a</sup>	38.96 <sup>a</sup>	1.30	<0.01
MUFA <sup>c</sup>	42.84	41.52	44.72	41.27	1.03	0.19
PUFA	20.23 <sup>a</sup>	27.13 <sup>b</sup>	17.41 <sup>a</sup>	19.77 <sup>a</sup>	1.79	<0.01
16:1/16:0	0.22 <sup>b</sup>	0.26 <sup>a</sup>	0.24 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.21 <sup>b</sup>	0.01	0.04
18:1/18:0	6.98 <sup>a</sup>	8.12 <sup>b</sup>	7.33 <sup>a,b</sup>	6.22 <sup>a</sup>	0.36	0.01

<sup>a</sup>Rows with different roman superscripts indicate difference,  $P < 0.05$ .

<sup>b</sup>Contains 50:50 *trans*-10,*cis*-12 and *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA.

<sup>c</sup>Monounsaturated FA.

at treatment effects. In addition, others have demonstrated effects with a similar sample size (6). An insufficient CLA dose can likely be ruled out, as the animals utilized in this study were fed relatively purified CLA isomers at ~1.5% of the diet or ~1,100 mg pure CLA/kg BW<sup>0.75</sup> [based on feed intake of obese Zucker rats at a similar stage and weight (28)]. The percentage of CLA both in the diet and on a metabolic BW basis are higher than typically utilized in experiments in which marked improvements in body composition are observed (29, 30), and this is especially pertinent as we utilized semipurified (>70%) CLA isomers (*cis*-9,*trans*-11 and *trans*-10,*cis*-12).

The length of time (3 wk) that rats were treated with purified CLA isomers may not have been long enough to elicit changes in nutrient partitioning. Previous CLA rodent trials generally supplied dietary CLA for 4–5 wk or longer (6,18). Rats used in this study were at the approximate age (9 wk) when obese Zucker rats are typically depositing a large amount of adipose tissue (28) *via* hepatic-derived preformed FA (31). There are many reports that suggest that one mechanism by which CLA, and specifically the *trans*-10,*cis*-12 isomer, reduces adiposity is *via* reducing lipoprotein lipase expression and activity (32,33), thus reducing cellular FA uptake. Therefore, because these obese Zucker rats were depositing large amounts of adipose tissue at the time of CLA treatment, it is likely that 3 wk should have been adequate to detect differences in body fatness.

A more likely explanation for the lack of an effect of CLA on body fat content in this study is species or genotypic variation. For example, CLA appears to be more effective in mice than in rats (6,7,18). Furthermore, CLA has been less effective or ineffective at decreasing the fat content of Sprague-Dawley rats [generally a lean rodent model (18)], fish (34), genetically lean pigs (19,35), and humans (36,37). In fact, even in the same species there are large differences in CLA effect on lipid metabolism as CLA decreased the adiposity of lean Zucker rats but actually increased fat pad weights in the obese Zucker rats (20). Similar inconsistencies have been demonstrated in different strains of mice (38). The mechanism by which these species differences exist is not clear, but the rate of intracellular adipocyte TG turnover (26) and basal metabolic rate (30) have been identified as probable causes.

Neither the CLA mixture nor specific isomers changed the carcass percentage of protein or ash (Table 2). Dietary CLA has been demonstrated to modestly increase carcass protein percentage in a number of rodent models (6,26,39), although there appears to be little or no effect of CLA on yield or amount of carcass protein in these rodent trials.

A more consistent observation made in CLA-treated animals is the increase in carcass moisture, and this was confirmed in the current study (Table 2). Obese Zucker rats treated with the CLA mixture and *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA had enhanced carcass water compared with controls and *cis*-9,*trans*-

**TABLE 5**  
**Liver FA Profile of Obese Zucker Rats Treated with Isomers of CLA**

FA	Treatment <sup>a</sup>				SEM	P
	Control	CLA mix <sup>b</sup>	c9,t11 CLA	t10,c12 CLA		
			g/100 g FA			
14:0	0.32	0.50	0.41	0.41	0.04	0.09
15:0	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.01	0.32
16:0	17.72 <sup>a</sup>	18.54 <sup>a,b</sup>	19.76 <sup>b</sup>	20.16 <sup>b</sup>	0.62	0.04
16:1	1.74	2.12	2.08	1.90	0.18	0.46
17:0	0.28	0.27	0.26	0.24	0.02	0.40
18:0	23.52	21.93	22.62	21.55	1.04	0.56
18:1c9	13.33	12.49	13.47	13.47	0.70	0.75
18:1c11	1.70	1.76	1.69	1.71	0.03	0.56
18:2c9,c12	9.62	10.39	8.49	10.53	0.58	0.08
18:2c9,t11	0.05 <sup>a</sup>	1.06 <sup>b</sup>	1.11 <sup>b</sup>	0.37 <sup>c</sup>	0.09	<0.01
18:2t10,c12	0.05 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.57 <sup>b</sup>	0.16 <sup>a</sup>	1.06 <sup>c</sup>	0.14	<0.01
18:3	0.27	0.39	0.31	0.48	0.06	0.07
20:0	0.26	0.19	0.18	0.17	0.03	0.17
20:1n-9	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.16	0.87
20:2n-6	0.21 <sup>b,c</sup>	0.25 <sup>a</sup>	0.19 <sup>c</sup>	0.22 <sup>b</sup>	0.01	<0.01
20:4n-6	19.61 <sup>a</sup>	17.09 <sup>b</sup>	17.36 <sup>b</sup>	15.87 <sup>b</sup>	0.70	0.01
22:0	0.72 <sup>a</sup>	0.67 <sup>a</sup>	0.66 <sup>a</sup>	0.51 <sup>b</sup>	0.04	0.01
22:5n-3	0.77 <sup>a</sup>	1.21 <sup>b</sup>	1.01 <sup>c</sup>	1.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.05	<0.01
22:6n-3	7.00	7.45	7.22	7.06	0.37	0.84
Unknown	2.70	2.91	2.82	3.08	0.15	0.36
Totals						
Saturated	42.89	42.19	43.98	43.13	0.59	0.26
MUFA <sup>c</sup>	16.90	16.47	17.36	17.20	0.89	0.91
PUFA	37.51	38.42	35.84	36.58	0.72	0.12
16:1/16:0	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.01	0.43
18:1/18:0	0.57	0.57	0.60	0.64	0.06	0.77

<sup>a</sup>Rows with different roman superscripts indicate difference,  $P < 0.05$ .

<sup>b</sup>Contains 50:50 *trans*-10,*cis*-12 and *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA.

<sup>c</sup>Monounsaturated FA.

11 CLA-supplemented animals, respectively (Table 2). The increase in carcass water content is in agreement with other rodent trials (6,40) and finishing pig experiments (8,41). It is unknown why CLA, specifically the *trans*-10,*cis*-12 isomer, increases carcass moisture. A large portion of body fluid is associated with lean tissue, which is composed of water and protein, and CLA has been demonstrated to increase water accretion rates while having very little effect on protein deposition in pigs (8,42). It is possible, because water represents such a large proportion of muscle (>70%), that minor increases in muscle synthesis would be more easily detected in water content changes rather than protein. This may explain the enhanced carcass water content in the CLA mixture and *trans*-10,*cis*-12-treated Zucker rats without an effect on whole-body protein levels (Table 2).

There was no effect of either CLA isomer on heart or kidney weight (Table 3), which is in agreement with other rodent models (7,40,43). Likewise there was no effect of CLA on liver wet weight (g or percentage of BW), which is consistent with previous studies using rat models (18,39,44). However, this is in contrast to several studies using mice and hamster models that indicate CLA, and specifically *trans*-10,*cis*-12, induces marked hepatomegaly (7,30,45). CLA effect on hepatic lipid metabolism is clearly species dependent, as dietary

CLA actually decreased liver weight in the obese and lean Zucker rats (20) and fish (34). Although actual liver weight did not differ between treatments, hepatic lipid content increased (31% compared to controls) in obese Zucker rats supplemented with *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA (Table 3). The increase in hepatic steatosis without an increase in liver weight agrees with another study using a rat model (46), and the increased liver lipid content agrees with other rodent (mainly mice) trials (5,38,43,47). The mechanism by which *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA mediates hepatocyte lipid filling is not clear, but reduced VLDL export *via* decreasing apoprotein B secretion has been demonstrated (48). In addition, the CLA-induced increase in plasma insulin that is sometimes observed (2) is thought to increase hepatic fat synthesis *via* up-regulating FA synthetase and acetyl CoA carboxylase, resulting in liver fat accumulation (38). Our results do not support this hypothesis, as the *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA-treated animals had reduced insulin levels (14) but had enhanced liver lipid content (Table 3), thus suggesting the increase in hepatic fat content is insulin-independent. Furthermore, contrary to previous suggestions (38,49), enhanced liver lipid is not necessarily adverse, as there are some physiological circumstances that will naturally cause fatty liver (i.e., liver regeneration) and not impair hepatocyte function (50). To our knowledge, there is no evidence suggesting

CLA or CLA-induced liver steatosis is cytotoxic to healthy hepatocytes.

As anticipated, the incorporation of specific CLA isomers into whole carcass lipids (Table 4) and total liver lipids (Table 5) reflected the CLA profile of specific treatments. The increase in liver and carcass *cis*-9,*trans*-11 and *trans*-10,*cis*-12 content in the CLA-treated groups corresponds to the proportionate impurities of the CLA supplements. In addition to the increase in respective CLA isomers, both CLA isomers and the CLA mixture decreased the content of hepatic arachidonic acid (Table 5). This is not surprising, as both *cis*-9,*trans*-11 and *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA have been shown to decrease  $\Delta^5$ -desaturase (51) and  $\Delta^6$ -desaturase (the rate-limiting step of arachidonic acid synthesis) systems (52), and CLA is thought to displace arachidonic acid in phospholipids (2). A decrease in arachidonic acid and thus arachidonate-derived eicosanoids (prostaglandins  $E_2$  and  $F_{2\alpha}$  etc.) has been suggested to be a mediator of many, if not most, of CLA's biological effects (2,27).

In addition, although hepatic nonesterified FA (NEFA) uptake is proportionate to plasma NEFA levels (53), *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA enhanced liver lipid content (Table 3), even though plasma NEFA concentrations were actually decreased by this specific CLA isomer (14).

In conclusion, although dietary *trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA improves defective glucose homeostatic parameters in the obese Zucker rat, neither this specific isomer nor *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA altered whole body composition. This suggests that the mechanism by which CLA alleviates insulin resistance is independent of changes in body protein and lipid content.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The technical assistance of Henry Hafliger, Octavio Mendivil, Clay Bailey, Jane Kay, and Chel Moore, and the personal communication with Drs. Mark McGuire, Mike Pariza, and Dale Bauman are greatly appreciated. This work was partially supported by the University of Arizona Experimental Station, #ARZT-136339-H-24-130.

## REFERENCES

- Bauman, D.E., Baumgard, L.H., Corl, B.A., and Griinari, J.M. (2000) Biosynthesis of Conjugated Linoleic Acid in Ruminants, *Proc. Am. Soc. Anim. Sci.* (1999) Available at: <http://www.asas.org/jas/symposia/proceedings/0937.pdf> (accessed December 2000).
- Belury, M.A. (2002) Dietary Conjugated Linoleic Acid in Health: Physiological Effects and Mechanisms of Action, *Annu. Rev. Nutr.* 22, 505–531.
- Pariza, M.W., Park, Y., and Cook, M.E. (2000) Mechanisms of Action of Conjugated Linoleic Acid: Evidence and Speculation, *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* 223, 8–13.
- Ip, C., Banni, S., Angioni, E., Carta, G., McGinley, J., Thompson, H.J., Barbano, D., and Bauman, D.E. (1999) Conjugated Linoleic Acid-Enriched Butter Fat Alters Mammary Gland Morphogenesis and Reduces Cancer Risk in Rats, *J. Nutr.* 129, 2135–2142.
- Delany, J.P., Blohm, F., Truett, A.A., Scimeca, J.A., and West, D.B. (1999) Conjugated Linoleic Acid Rapidly Reduces Body Fat Content in Mice Without Affecting Energy Intake, *Am. J. Physiol.* 276, R1172–R1179.
- Park, Y., Albright, K.J., Liu, W., Storkson, J.M., Cook, M.E., and Pariza, M.W. (1997) Effect of Conjugated Linoleic Acid on Body Composition in Mice, *Lipids* 32, 853–858.
- West, D.B., DeLany, J.P., Camet, P.M., Blohm, F., Truett, A.A., and Scimeca, J. (1998) Effects of Conjugated Linoleic Acid on Body Fat and Energy Metabolism in the Mouse, *Am. J. Physiol.* 275, R667–R672.
- Ostrowska, E., Muralitharan, M., Cross, R.F., Bauman, D.E., and Dunshea, F.R. (1999) Dietary Conjugated Linoleic Acids Increase Lean Tissue and Decrease Fat Deposition in Growing Pigs, *J. Nutr.* 129, 2037–2042.
- Baumgard, L.H., Corl, B.A., Dwyer, D.A., Saebo, A., and Bauman, D.E. (2000) Identification of the Conjugated Linoleic Acid Isomer That Inhibits Milk Fat Synthesis, *Am. J. Physiol.* 278, R179–R184.
- Larsen, T.M., Toubro, S., and Astrup, A. (2003) Efficacy and Safety of Dietary Supplements Containing CLA for the Treatment of Obesity: Evidence from Animal and Human Studies, *J. Lipid Res.* 44, 2234–2241.
- Houseknecht, K.L., Vanden Heuvel, J.P., Moya-Camarena, S.Y., Portocarrero, C.P., Peck, L.W., Nickel, K.P., and Belury, M.A. (1998) Dietary Conjugated Linoleic Acid Normalizes Impaired Glucose Tolerance in the Zucker Diabetic Fatty *fa/fa* Rat, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 244, 678–682.
- Belury, M., and Vanden Heuvel, J.P. (1999) Modulation of Diabetes by Conjugated Linoleic Acid, in *Advances in Conjugated Linoleic Acid Research, Vol. 1* (Yurawecz, M.P., Mossoba, M.M., Kramer, J.K.G., Pariza, M.W., and Nelson, G., eds.), pp. 404–411, AOCS Press, Champaign, IL.
- Ryder, J.W., Portocarrero, C.P., Song, X.M., Cui, L., Yu, M., Combatsiaris, T., Galuska, D., Bauman, D.E., Barbano, D.M., Charron, M.J., et al. (2001) Isomer-Specific Antidiabetic Properties of Conjugated Linoleic Acid: Improved Glucose Tolerance, Skeletal Muscle Insulin Action, and UCP-2 Gene Expression, *Diabetes* 50, 1149–1157.
- Henriksen, E.J., Teachey, M.K., Taylor, Z.C., Jacob, S., Ptock, A., Kramer, K., and Hasselwander, O. (2003) Isomer-Specific Actions of Conjugated Linoleic Acid on Muscle Glucose Transport in the Obese Zucker Rat, *Am. J. Physiol.* 285, E98–E105.
- Vague, J. (1956) The Degree of Masculine Differentiation of Obesities: A Factor Determining Predisposition to Diabetes, Atherosclerosis, Gout and Uric Calculous Disease, *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 4, 20–34.
- Grimble, R.F. (2002) Inflammatory Status and Insulin Resistance, *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care* 5, 551–559.
- Frayn, F.N. (2000) Visceral Fat and Insulin Resistance—Causative or Correlative, *Br. J. Nutr. Suppl.* 1, S71–S77.
- Azain, M.J., Hausman, D.B., Sisk, M.B., Flatt, W.P., and Jewell, D.E. (2000) Dietary Conjugated Linoleic Acid Reduces Rat Adipose Tissue Cell Size Rather Than Cell Number, *J. Nutr.* 130, 1548–1554.
- Eggert, J.M., Belury, M.A., Kempa-Steczko, A., Mills, S.E., and Schinckel, A.P. (2001) Effects of Conjugated Linoleic Acid (CLA) on the Belly Firmness and Fatty Acid Composition of Genetically Lean Pigs, *J. Anim. Sci.* 79, 2866–2872.
- Sisk, M.B., Hausman, D.B., Martin, R.J., and Azain, M.J. (2001) Dietary Conjugated Linoleic Acid Reduces Adiposity in Lean but Not Obese Zucker Rats, *J. Nutr.* 131, 1668–1674.
- Folch, J., Lees, M., and Sloane Stanley, M.H. (1956) A Simple Method for the Isolation and Purification of Total Lipids from Animal Tissues, *J. Biol. Chem.* 226, 497–509.
- Christie, W.W. (1982) A Simple Procedure for Rapid Transmethylation of Glycerolipids and Cholesteryl Esters, *J. Lipid Res.* 23, 1072–1075.
- Chouinard, P.Y., Corneau, L., Barbano, D.M., Metzger, L.E., and Bauman, D.E. (1999) Conjugated Linoleic Acids Alter Milk Fatty Acid Composition and Inhibit Milk Fat Secretion in Dairy Cows, *J. Nutr.* 129, 1579–1584.
- Riserus, U., Arner, P., Brismar, K., and Vessby, B. (2002) Treatment with Dietary *trans*-10,*cis*-12 Conjugated Linoleic Acid

- Causes Isomer-Specific Insulin Resistance in Obese Men with the Metabolic Syndrome, *Diabetes Care* 25, 1516–1521.
25. Jahreis, G., Kraft, J., Tischendorf, F., Schone, F., and von Loeffelholz, C. (2000) Conjugated Linoleic Acids: Physiological Effects in Animal and Man with Special Regard to Body Composition, *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 102, 695–703.
  26. Pariza, M.W., Park, Y., and Cook, M.E. (2001) The Biologically Active Isomers of Conjugated Linoleic Acid, *Progr. Lipid Res.* 40, 283–298.
  27. Whigham, L.D., Cook, M.E., and Atkinson, R.L. (2000) Conjugated Linoleic Acid: Implications for Human Health, *Pharmacol. Res.* 42, 503–510.
  28. Liu, R.H., Mizuta, M., Kurose, T., and Matsukura, S. (2002) Early Events Involved in the Development of Insulin Resistance in the Zucker Fatty Rat, *Int. J. Obes.* 26, 318–326.
  29. Evans, M.E., Brown, J.M., and McIntosh, M.K. (2002) Isomer-Specific Effects of Conjugated Linoleic Acid (CLA) on Adiposity and Lipid Metabolism, *J. Nutr. Biochem.* 13, 508–516.
  30. Terpstra, A.H.M. (2001) Differences Between Humans and Mice in Efficacy of the Body Fat Lowering Effect of Conjugated Linoleic Acid: Role of Metabolic Rate, *J. Nutr.* 131, 2067–2068.
  31. Azain, M.J., Hausman, D.B., Kasser, T.R., and Martin, R.J. (1995) Effect of Somatotropin and Feed Restriction on Body Composition and Adipose Metabolism in Obese Zucker Rats, *Am. J. Physiol.* 269, E137–E144.
  32. Park, Y., Storkson, J.M., Albright, K.J., Liu, W., and Pariza, M.W. (1999) Evidence That the *trans*-10,*cis*-12 Isomer of Conjugated Linoleic Acid Induces Body Composition Changes in Mice, *Lipids* 34, 235–241.
  33. Baumgard, L.H., Matitashvili, E., Corl, B.A., Dwyer, D.A., and Bauman, D.E. (2002) *Trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA Decreases mRNA Expression of Key Mammary Lipogenic Enzymes in Lactating Dairy Cattle, *J. Dairy Sci.* 85, 2155–2163.
  34. Twibell, R.G., Watkins, B.A., Rogers, L., and Brown, P.B. (2000) Effects of Dietary Conjugated Linoleic Acids on Hepatic and Muscle Lipids in Hybrid Striped Bass, *Lipids* 35, 155–161.
  35. Averette Gatlin, L., See, M.T., Hansen, J.A., Sutton, D., and Odle, J. (2002) The Effects of Dietary Fat Sources, Levels, and Feeding Intervals on Pork Fatty Acid Composition, *J. Anim. Sci.* 80, 1606–1615.
  36. Zambell, K.L., Keim, N.L., Van Loan, M.D., Gale, B., Benito, P., Kelley, D.S., and Nelson, G.J. (2000) Conjugated Linoleic Acid Supplementation in Humans: Effects on Body Composition and Energy Expenditure, *Lipids* 35, 777–782.
  37. Berven, G., Bye, A., Hals, O., Blankson, H., Fagertun, H., Thom, E., Wadstein, J., and Gudmundsen, O. (2000) Safety of Conjugated Linoleic Acid (CLA) in Overweight or Obese Human Volunteers, *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 102, 455–462.
  38. Clement, L., Poirier, H., Niot, I., Bocher, V., Guerre-Millo, M., Krief, S., Staels, B., and Besnard, P. (2002) Dietary *trans*-10,*cis*-12 Conjugated Linoleic Acid Induces Hyperinsulinemia and Fatty Liver in the Mouse, *J. Lipid Res.* 43, 1400–1409.
  39. Stangl, G.I. (2000) Conjugated Linoleic Acids Exhibit a Strong Fat-to-Lean Partitioning Effect, Reduce Serum VLDL Lipids and Redistribute Tissue Lipids in Food-Restricted Rats, *J. Nutr.* 130, 1140–1146.
  40. Peters, J.M., Park, Y., Gonzalez, F.J., and Pariza, M.W. (2001) Influence of Conjugated Linoleic Acid on Body Composition and Target Gene Expression in Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor Alpha-Null Mice, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1533, 233–242.
  41. Bassaganya-Riera, J., Hontecillas-Magarzo, R., Bregendahl, K., Wannemuehler, M.J., and Zimmerman, D.R. (2001) Effects of Dietary Conjugated Linoleic Acid in Nursery Pigs of Dirty and Clean Environments on Growth, Empty Body Composition, and Immune Competence, *J. Anim. Sci.* 79, 714–721.
  42. Ostrowska, E., Suster, D., Muralitharan, M., Cross, R.F., Leury, B.J., Bauman, D.E., and Dunshea, F.R. (2003) Conjugated Linoleic Acid Decreases Fat Accretion in Pigs: Evaluation by Dual-Energy X-ray Absorptiometry, *Br. J. Nutr.* 89, 219–229.
  43. Tsuboyama-Kasaoka, N., Takahashi, M., Tanemura, K., Kim, H.J., Tange, T., Okuyama, H., Kasai, M., Ikemoto, S., and Ezaki, O. (2000) Conjugated Linoleic Acid Supplementation Reduces Adipose Tissue by Apoptosis and Develops Lipodystrophy in Mice, *Diabetes* 49, 1534–1542.
  44. Poulos, S.P., Sisk, M., Hausman, D.B., Azain, M.J., and Hausman, G.J. (2001) Pre- and Postnatal Dietary Conjugated Linoleic Acid Alters Adipose Development, Body Weight Gain and Body Composition in Sprague-Dawley Rats, *J. Nutr.* 131, 2722–2731.
  45. de Deckere, E.A., van Amelsvoort, J.M., McNeill, G.P., and Jones, P. (1999) Effects of Conjugated Linoleic Acid (CLA) Isomers on Lipid Levels and Peroxisome Proliferation in the Hamster, *Br. J. Nutr.* 82, 309–317.
  46. Yamasaki, M., Mansho, K., Mishima, H., Kimura, G., Sasaki, M., Kasai, M., Tachibana, H., and Yamada, K. (2000) Effect of Conjugated Linoleic Acid on Lipid Peroxidation and Histological Change in Rat Liver Tissues, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 48, 6367–6371.
  47. Warren, J.M., Simon, V.A., Bartolini, G., Erickson, K.L., Mackey, B.E., and Kelley, D.S. (2003) *Trans*-10,*cis*-12 CLA Increases Liver and Decreases Adipose Tissue Lipids in Mice: Possible Roles of Specific Lipid Metabolism Genes, *Lipids* 38, 497–504.
  48. Yotsumoto, H., Hara, E., Naka, S., Adlof, R.O., Emken, E.A., and Yanagita, T. (1999) *Trans*-10,*cis*-12 Conjugated Linoleic Acid Reduces Apolipoprotein B Secretion in HepG2 Cells, *Food Res. Int.* 31, 403–409.
  49. Kelley, D.S., and Erickson, K.L. (2003) Modulation of Body Composition and Immune Cell Functions by Conjugated Linoleic Acid in Humans and Animal Models: Benefits vs. Risks, *Lipids* 38, 377–386.
  50. Plaa, G.L. (1986) Toxic Responses of the Liver, in *Toxicology, the Basic Science of Poisons* (Klassen, C.D., Amdur, M.O., and Doull, J., eds.), pp. 292–293, Macmillan, New York.
  51. Eder, K., Slomma, N., and Becker, K. (2002) *Trans*-10,*cis*-12 Conjugated Linoleic Acid Suppresses the Desaturation of Linoleic and Alpha-Linolenic Acids in HepG2 Cells, *J. Nutr.* 132, 1115–1121.
  52. Bretillon, L., Chardigny, J.M., Gregoire, S., Berdeaux, O., and Sébédio, J.L. (1999) Effects of Conjugated Linoleic Acid Isomers on the Hepatic Microsomal Desaturation Activities *in Vitro*, *Lipids* 34, 965–969.
  53. Bell, A.W. (1995) Regulation of Organic Nutrient Metabolism During the Transition from Late Pregnancy to Early Lactation, *J. Anim. Sci.* 73, 2804–2819.

[Received January 13, 2004; accepted August 9, 2004]