RESEARCH ARTICLE

Fault-tolerant hamiltonian cycles and paths embedding into locally exchanged twisted cubes

 $\textbf{Weibei FAN}^1$, Jianxi FAN (⊠)², Zhijie HAN³, Peng LI¹, Yujie ZHANG¹, Ruchuan WANG³

1 College of Computer, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Nanjing 210023, China

2 School of Computer Science and Technology, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, China

3 Jiangsu High Technology Research Key Laboratory for Wireless Sensor Networks, Jiangsu Province, Nanjing 210003, China

-c Higher Education Press 2020

Abstract The foundation of information society is computer interconnection network, and the key of information exchange is communication algorithm. Finding interconnection networks with simple routing algorithm and high fault-tolerant performance is the premise of realizing various communication algorithms and protocols. Nowadays, people can build complex interconnection networks by using very large scale integration (VLSI) technology. Locally exchanged twisted cubes, denoted by $(s + t + 1)$ -dimensional *LeTQ_{s,t}*, which combines the merits of the exchanged hypercube and the locally twisted cube. It has been proved that the *LeTQs*,*^t* has many excellent properties for interconnection networks, such as fewer edges, lower overhead and smaller diameter. Embeddability is an important indicator to measure the performance of interconnection networks. We mainly study the fault tolerant Hamiltonian properties of a faulty locally exchanged twisted cube, $LeTQ_{s,t} - (f_v + f_e)$, with faulty vertices f_v and faulty edges f_e . Firstly, we prove that an *LeTQs*,*^t* can tolerate up to *s*−1 faulty vertices and edges when embedding a Hamiltonian cycle, for $s \ge 2$, $t \ge 3$, and $s \leq t$. Furthermore, we also prove another result that there is a Hamiltonian path between any two distinct fault-free vertices in a faulty $LeTQ_{s,t}$ with up to $(s - 2)$ faulty vertices and edges. That is, we show that $LeTQ_{s,t}$ is $(s-1)$ -Hamiltonian and $(s-2)$ -Hamiltonian-connected. The results are proved to be optimal in this paper with at most (*s* − 1)-fault-tolerant Hamiltonicity and (*s* − 2) fault-tolerant Hamiltonian connectivity of *LeTQs*,*^t*.

Keywords interconnection network, fault-tolerant, *LeTQs*,*^t*, hamiltonian cycle, hamiltonian path

1 Introduction

Interconnection network is an important factor, which directly affects the performance of parallel computing system. It consists of a network of switching elements with a certain topology and control mode. It is used to realize the interconnection of multiple processors or multiple functional components within a computer system. With the gradual increase of network scale, its connection mode becomes more complex [1].

Received October 8, 2019; accepted March 23, 2020

Large-scale integrated circuit technology can be used to build complex Internet and predict the next generation of supercomputer systems. While adopting faster processors, it also achieves high speed and rapidity by increasing the number of processors [2, 3]. Therefore, how to design an excellent interconnection network to connect these processors is a technical difficulty in building supercomputer systems. Hypercube is one of the most commonly used interconnection structures. It has many good properties, such as regularity, recursive, low vertex degree and so on. Due to its powerful computing function and high efficiency, it is very important to run parallel algorithms on it. Almost all algorithms on linear arrays, cycles and trees can be effectively simulated on hypercubes with only constant factor delay.

Not all properties of hypercube are optimal, such as large diameter, which will cause large communication delay in communication. Therefore, many important variants are proposed based on hypercubes, such as crossed cubes [4], twisted cubes [5], locally twisted cubes [6, 7], alternating group graphs [8], exchanged hypercubes [9], exchanged crossed cubes [10] etc. Locally twisted cube, denoted by *LTQn* [11,12], which is a regular graph with the same number of vertices as hypercubes, but its diameter is only half of that of hypercubes. Exchanged hypercube [13, 14], denoted by $EH_{s,t}$, with $s + t + 1 = n$. $EH_{s,t}$ is obtained by symmetrically deleting some edges of hypercubes. It has the same diameter as the hypercube, but the link overhead is only half that of the hypercube. Exchanged hypercubes have been used in the construction of P2P networks [15]. Chang et al. [16] proposed a novel interconnection network which is called locally exchanged twisted cube *LeTQs*,*^t*. This new interconnection network combines the advantages of locally twisted cubes and exchanged hypercubes. For example, its diameter is the same as that of a locally twisted cube, which is much smaller than that of an exchanged hypercube. Moreover, its hardware overhead is the same as that of exchanged hypercubes, but much less than that of locally distorted cubes. In addition, it has good scalability, isomorphism and strong connectivity. Therefore, locally exchanged twisted cube becomes an effective logic structure for parallel computing processors.

Since interconnection network has a strong practical applica-

E-mail: jxfan@suda.edu.cn

tion, and the vertices and links of the network may fail, the fault tolerance of the network attracts a lot of researches [17–19]. Network fault tolerance means that when some components and connections fail at the same time, the remaining subnetworks still have some special functions [20–22]. Paths and cycles are two basic network topology for parallel computing. They can be used in the design of parallel algorithms, and they are suitable for designing simple and effective algorithms with low communication costs. The algebraic problems, graph problems and some parallel applications can be solved by using efficient algorithms on cycles and paths. Paths and cycles can also be used as control (or data) flow structures in parallel and distributed computing systems. What's more, if an interconnection network contains paths (or cycles) of different lengths, it can effectively simulate many algorithms designed on linear arrays (or cycles). The number of analog processors can also be adjusted in time to meet flexible requirements. In particular, the use of Hamilton paths in network multicast routing algorithms can effectively reduce or avoid deadlocks and congestion.

The ability of fault-tolerance is a crucial parameter in measuring performance of an interconnection network [23–26]. Thus, it is natural to consider how to tolerate as many faults as possible in the network. Numerous research has been studied on the Hamiltonicity in different special interconnection networks. In [27], Li et al. studied the embedding of many-to-many disjoint paths in hypercube with vertex failure. Zhou et al. [10] studied the embedding of the optimal path in the *ECQs*,*^t* of the switched intersection cube, and obtained the following conclusions: For any integer $s \ge 3$) and $t \ge 4$), there is a path of length *l* between any two different vertices in $ECQ_{s,t}$, where $\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{t+1}{2} \rfloor + 4 \le l \le 2^{s+t+1} - 1$. Lu and Wang [28] studied the embedding of Hamiltonian paths in balanced hypercubes. In [29], Liu et al. proved that if the number of fault vertices or edges does not exceed *n* − 3 in *n*-dimensional twisted hypercube H_n , there is a fault-free Hamiltonian path between any two fault-free vertices. Xu et al. [30] studied the vertex pancyclicity of locally twisted cubes under fault conditions. They proved that in LTQ_n with locally twisted cube, if f_v is the number of fault vertices in LTQ_n , and if $n > 3$ and $|F| \le n - 3$, *LTQn* contains fault-free cycles of arbitrary length *l*, of which $4 \le l \le 2^n - f_v$. Cheng and Hao [31] studied the cycle embedding of *n*-dimensional balanced hypercube BH_n in the case of edge faults. They proved that when the fault edge $|F_e| \le 2n - 3$, *BH_n* − *F_e* contains a cycle of length *l*, of which $6 \le L \le 2^{2n}$. $EH_{1,t}$ and $EH_{2,2}$ are not even pancyclicity, but except $EH_{2,2}$, $EH_{s,t}(2 \le s \le t)$ are even-pancyclicity, and $EH_{s,t}(3 \le s \le t)$ are vertex even-pancyclicity. Cheng and Hsieh [32] studied the pancyclicity and even-pancyclicity of cartesian product graphs in the case of edge faults. Lv et al. [33] studied the embedding of Hamiltonian cycles and Hamiltonian paths in 3-ary *n*-cubes with structure faults $K_{1,3}$.

In this paper, we focus on the robustness capability of *LeTQs*,*^t* in Hamiltonian properties despite the faulty vertices or edges. The results are proved to be optimal in this paper with at most *s*−1-fault-tolerant Hamiltonicity and (*s*−2) fault-tolerant Hamiltonian connectivity of *LeTQs*,*^t*. So far, this is the first result reported about the fault-tolerant Hamiltonian properties of *LeTQs*,*t*. The original results of this paper are obtained as follows.

(i) We prove that an $LeTQ_{s,t}$ can tolerate up to $s - 1$ faulty vertices and edges when embedding a Hamiltonian cycle, for $s \ge 2$, $t \ge 3$, and $s \le t$.

(ii) We prove another result that there is a Hamiltonian path between any two distinct fault-free vertices in a faulty *LeTQs*,*^t* with up to $(s-2)$ faulty vertices and edges, for $s \ge 2$, $t \ge 3$, and $s \leq t$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents some useful related definitions and lemmas. Section 3 discusses the fault-tolerant Hamiltonicity of *LeTQs*,*t*. Eventually, our work is summarized in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

For a simple graph $G = (V, E)$, The path from vertex x to vertex *y* is a vertex sequence $x = v_0v_1 \cdots v_n = y$, where *v_k* ∈ *V*(0 ≤ *k* ≤ *n*), $\langle v_{i,j-1}, v_{i,j} \rangle$ ∈ *E*, (1 ≤ *i*, *j* ≤ *n*). We also denote path *P* by $\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_i \rangle + P_1 + \langle x_j, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_k \rangle$, where *P*₁ is the subpath $\langle x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_j \rangle$ and $0 \le i \le j \le k$. If the vertices on the path do not repeat each other, such a path is called a simple path. If the first vertex on the path coincides with the last vertex, such a path is called a cycle. In a simple connected graph *G*, we call a cycle that has passed every vertex once and only once as a Hamiltonian cycle. If there are Hamiltonian cycles in graph *G*, we call this graph Hamiltonian or Hamiltonicity. Similarly, we call the Hamilton path that passes every vertex once and only once. For any two vertices *u* and *v* in graph *G*, if there are Hamiltonian paths connecting *u* and *v*, then *G* is called Hamiltonian connected graph or Hamiltonianconnectivity. For any faulty elements $F \subset \{V(G) \cup E(G)\}\$ in graph *G*, if and only if $|F| < f$, $G \setminus F$ is Hamiltonian graph, then we call *G* is *f*-fault-tolerant Hamiltonian. For any faulty elements *F* ⊂ {*V*(*G*) ∪ *E*(*G*)} in graph *G*, if and only if $|F| < f$, $G \setminus F$ is Hamiltonian connected graph, then we call *G* is *f*fault-tolerant Hamiltonian connectivity. Graph $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ is a subgraph $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ (written by $G_1 \subseteq G_2$) if $V_1 \subseteq V_2$ and $E_1 \subseteq E_2$. G_1 and G_2 is isomorphic if and only if there is a bijection $\Theta: V_1 \to V_2$ and $\Phi: E_1 \to E_2$.

Definition 1 [12]. For $n \ge 2$, the *n*-dimensional locally twisted cube *LTQn*, which is defined recursively as follows:

(1) *LTQ*² is a graph with four vertices, which labeled as 00, 01, 10, and 11. Four edges (00, 01), (00, 10), (01, 11), and (10, 11), which formed by these vertices.

(2) *LTQn* is constructed by two disjoint copies of *LTQn*−1, for *n* ≥ 3. Let *LTQ*⁰_{*n*−1} to denote the subgraph of *LTQ_n*, where vertex prefix of LTQ_{n-1} is 0, and let LTQ_{n-1}^1 to denote the subgraph of *LTQn*, where vertex prefix of *LTQn*[−]¹ is 1. Connect each vertex $u = 0$ *u*₂*u*₃ ... *u_n* of *LTQ*⁰_{*n*}-1 to the vertex $1(u_2 + u_n)u_3...u_n$ of LTQ_{n-1}^1 with one edge, where '+' denotes the modulo 2 addition.

Figures 1(a) and 1(b) demonstrate *LTQ*3, *LTQ*⁴ and *LTQ*5.

Definition 2 [16]. For $s, t \ge 1$, the locally exchanged twisted cube, denoted by $LeTQ_{s,t}$, where the vertex set $V = \{u =$ u_{t+s} ... $u_{t+1}u_t$... $u_1u_0|u_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for $0 \le i \le t + s\}$, and the edge set *E* consists of three disjoint sets E_1 , E_2 and E_3 :

 E_1 = { (u, v) \in *V* \times *V*| $u \oplus v$ = 2⁰}, where \oplus is the exclusive − OR operator,

Fig. 1 Locally twisted cube (a) *LTQ*3; (b) *LTQ*⁴

 $E_2 = \{(u, v) \in V \times V : u_0 = v_0 = 1, u_1 = v_1 = 0 \text{ and } u \oplus v = 0\}$ 2^{*h*} for *h* ∈ [3, *t*]}∪ {(*u*, *v*) ∈ *V* × *V*|*u*₀ = *v*₀ = *u*₁ = *v*₁ = 1 and $u \oplus v = 2^h + 2^{h-1}$ for $h \in [3, t]$ ∪ { $(u, v) \in V \times V | u_0 = v_0$ = 1 and $u \oplus v \in \{2^1, 2^2\}$,

and

 $E_3 = \{(u, v) \in V \times V | u_0 = v_0 = u_{t+1} = v_{t+1} = 0 \text{ and } u \oplus v = 0\}$ 2^{*h*} for *h* ∈ [*t* + 3, *t* + *s*]}∪ {(*u*, *v*) ∈ *V* × *V*|*u*₀ = *v*₀ = 0, *u*_{*t*+1} = *v*_{t+1} = 1 and $u \oplus v = 2^h + 2^{h-1}$ for $h \in [t+3, t+s]$ ∪ {(*u*, *v*) ∈ $V \times V | u_0 = v_0 = 0$ and $u \oplus v \in \{2^{t+1}, 2^{t+2}\}.$

By the definition of $LeTQ_{s,t}$, the number of vertex is 2^{s+t+1} and the number of edge is $(s + t + 2)2^{s+t-1}$. As illustrated by Fig. 2, the 6-dimensional $LeTQ_{2,3}$, where E_1 edges are denoted by dashed lines, E_2 edges are denoted by bold lines, and E_3 are

denoted by and solid lines.

LeTQs,*^t* is partitioned into two disjoint subgraphs $LeTQ_{s,t}^0$ and $LeTQ_{s,t}^1$, where $V(LeTQ_{s,t}^1)$ = ${a_{s-1} \cdots a_0 \lambda b_{t-2} \cdots b_0 d | a_j, b_k, d} \in \{0, 1\}, j \in [0, s-1], k \in \{0, 1\}$ [0, $t - 2$], for $\omega \in \{0, 1\}$. It is clear that both $LeTQ_{s,t}^0$ and $LeTQ_{s,t}^1$ are isomorphic to $LeTQ_{s,t-1}$. The edges among $LeTQ_{s,t}^0$ and $LeTQ_{s,t}^1$, which are named crossing edges, be geared to E_3 . *LeTQ_{s,t}* can also be partitioned into 2^t disjoint subgraphs isomorphic to *LTQs*, which are denoted by *LTQs*[*L*] and 2*^s* disjoint subcubes isomorphic to LTQ_t , which are denoted by *LTQ_t*[R]. An edge between *LTQ_s* and *LTQ_t*, belongs to E_1 .

3 Hamiltonian cycle and path embedding

Networks with Hamiltonian paths (cycles) can communicate

linearly efficiently. For example, the deadlock free and additional resource free multicast routing algorithm based on Hamilton model is more efficient than the traditional multicast routing algorithm based on multicast tree. The problem of finding Hamiltonian paths or cycles is NP-Completeness [34].

In this section, we will study the fault-tolerant Hamiltonian of the locally exchanged twisted cube, $LeTQ_{s,t}-(f_v + f_e)$, with faulty vertices f_v and faulty edges f_e . Specifically, $LeTQ_{s,t}$ is (*s*−1)-Hamiltonian and (*s*−2)-Hamiltonian connected. To prove the main results, we first give the basic lemmas as follows.

Lemma 1 [12]. *LTQ_n* is Hamiltonian connected, for $n \ge 3$.

Lemma 2 [35]. *LTQ_n* is $(n - 2)$ -Hamiltonian and $(n - 3)$ -Hamiltonian connected, for $n \geq 3$.

Lemma 3 [16]. *LeTQ_{s,t}* is partitioned into 2^t disjoint subcubes Q_s , which $Q_s \cong LTQ_s$ and 2^s disjoint subcubes Q_t , which $Q_t \cong LTQ_t$.

Lemma 4 [36]. For any integer $k \in \{2^{s+t+1} - 2, 2^{s+t+1} - 1\}$, there is an $\langle u, v \rangle$ -path of length k between two arbitrary distinct vertices *u* and *v* in *LeTQ_{s,t}*, for $s \ge 2$ and $t \ge 3$.

The following lemma can be obtained directly from Lemma 1.

Lemma 5 *LeTQ*2,³ is 1-Hamiltonian and Hamiltonian connected.

Lemma 6 *LeTQ*3,³ is 2-Hamiltonian.

Proof By Lemma 3, *LeTQ*_{3,3} can be seen as the disjoint union of 8 copies of *LTQ*3[*L*] and 8 copies of *LTQ*3[*R*]. Hence, we can denote $LTQ_3[L_1], LTQ_3[L_2], \ldots$, and $LTQ_3[L_8]$ as 8 copies of LTQ_3 that contain the edges E_2 , and $LTQ_3[R_1]$, $LTQ_3[R_2], \ldots$, and $LTQ_3[R_8]$ as 8 copies of LTQ_3 that contain the edges E_3 . We denote u_1^1 , u_1^2 , ..., and u_1^8 as 8 vertices of $LTC_3[L_1], u_2^1, u_2^2, \ldots, u_2^8$ as 8 vertices of $LTQ_3[L_2], \ldots$, and u_3^1 , u_8^2, \ldots , and $\overline{u_8^8}$ as 8 vertices of *LTQ*₃[*L*₈]. And we denote v_1^1, v_1^2 , ..., and v_1^8 as 8 vertices of *LTQ*₃[*R*₁], v_2^1 , v_2^2 , ..., and v_2^8 as 8 vertices of $LTQ_3[R_2], \ldots$, and v_8^1, v_8^2, \ldots , and v_8^8 as 8 vertices of $LTQ_3[R_8]$. What's more, each vertex of $LTQ_3[L_i]$ has only one neighbour in $LTQ_s[R_i]$ and each vertex of $LTQ_s[R_i]$ has only one neighbour in $LTQ_3[L_i]$ ($1 \le i \le 8, 1 \le j \le 8$). Let F_0 and F_1 be the two faults in *LeTQ*_{3,3}. By the location of F_0 and F_1 .

Case 1 Both F_0 and F_1 are in the same copy of LTQ_3 . Suppose that $F_0, F_1 \in V(LTQ_3[L_1])$. Imaging that F_0 is fault-free, there is a fault-free Hamiltonian cycle $HC[L_1]$ in $LTQ_3[L_1]$ by Lemma 2. In fact, F_0 is faulty. Thus, there is a Hamiltonian path $HP(u_1^1, u_1^2)$ in $HC[L_1]$. Suppose that v_1^1 is the neighbour of *u*¹ and *v*²₈ is the neighbour of *u*²₁. Select *v*¹₂ ∈ *V*(*LTQ*₃[*R*₁]) − {*v*¹₁}

 (b)

and *u*₂^{*u*} ∈ *V*(*LTQ*₃[*L*₂]) − {*u*₂²} such that *u*₂² and *v*₂¹ are the neighbours of v_1^2 and u_2^1 , respectively. Using the similar method, select u_3^2 , v_8^1 , u_4^2 , v_4^1 , ..., $v_{8_2}^2$, v_8^1 such that v_2^2 , u_3^1 , v_3^2 , u_4^1 , ..., v_7^2 , v_8^1 are the neighbours of v_3^2 , v_8^1 , u_4^2 , v_4^1 , ..., u_8^2 , v_8^1 , respectively. By Lemma 1, there is a Hamiltonian path in each copy *LTQ*³ except for $LTQ_3[L_1]$. Thus, a required fault-free Hamiltonian cycle $HP(u_2^1, u_1^1) + (u_1^1, v_1^1) + Q_1 + (v_1^2, u_2^2) + P_2 + \ldots + Q_8$ $+(v_8^2, u_1^2)$ can be constructed by linking the Hamiltonian paths with the edges E_1 in Fig. 3(a).

Case 2 F_0 and F_1 are in different copies of LTQ_3 .

Case 2.1 F_0 and F_1 are in different copies of $LTQ_3[L]$. Suppose that $F_0 \in V(LTQ_3[L_1])$ and $F_1 \in V(LTQ_3[L_2])$. By Lemma 2, there exist fault-free Hamiltonian cycle *HC*[*L*1] in $LTQ_3[L_1]$ and $HC[L_2]$ in $LTQ_3[L_2]$, respectively. Select the edges (u_1^1, u_1^2) in $HC[L_1]$ and (u_2^1, u_2^2) in $HC[L_2]$ such that the neighbours of u_1^1 and u_2^2 (u_2^1 and u_2^1 , respectively) are in the same copy of *LTQ*₃[*R*]. Suppose that the neighbours of u_1^1 , u_2^2 , u_2^1 and u_1^2 are v_1^1 , v_1^2 , v_2^1 and v_8^2 . By Lemma 1, There exists a Hamiltonian path Q_1 between v_1^1 and v_1^2 in $LTQ_3[R_1]$. Using the similar method, it can be constructed Hamiltonian path Q_j in $LTQ_3[R_j]$ ($2 \le j \le 8$) and Hamiltonian path P_i in *LTQ*₃[*L_i*] (3 $\le i \le 8$). Then, *HC*[*L*₁] – (*u*₁¹, *u*₁²) + (*u*₁¹, *v*₁¹) + $Q_1 + (v_1^2, u_2^2) + HC[L_2] - (u_2^1, u_2^2) + (u_2^1, v_2^1) + Q_2 + (v_2^2, u_3^2) + P_3 +$ \dots , $+Q_8+\overline{(v_8^2, u_1^2)}$ is a required fault-free Hamiltonian cycle in

 $LeTQ_{3,3}$ (refer to Fig. 3(b)).

Case 2.2 F_0 and F_1 are in different copies of $LTQ_3[R]$. The proof is the same as Case 2.1.

Case 2.3 F_0 is in $LTQ_3[L]$ and F_1 is in $LTQ_3[R]$. Suppose that $F_0 \in V(LTQ_3[L_1])$ and $F_1 \in V(LTQ_3[R_2])$. By Lemma 2, there is a fault-free Hamiltonian cycle $HC[L_1]$ in $LTQ_3[L_1]$ and $HC[R_2]$ in $LTQ_3[R_2]$, respectively. Select the edges (u_1^1, u_1^2) in $HC[L_1]$ and (v_2^1, v_2^2) in $HC[R_2]$ such that the neighbours of u_1^1 or u_1^2 are not in $LTQ_3[R_2]$ and the neighbours of v_2^1 or v_2^2 are not in *LTQ*₃[*L*₁]. Suppose that the neighbours of u_1^1 , u_1^2 , v_2^1 and v_2^2 are v_1^1 in *LTQ*₃[*R*₁], v_8^2 in *LTQ*₃[*R*₈], u_3^1 in *LTQ*₃[*L*₃] and u_4^2 in *LTQ*3[*L*4], respectively. The desired fault-free Hamiltonian cycle $HC[L_1] - (u_1^1, u_1^2 + (u_1^1, v_1^1) + Q_1 + (v_1^2, u_2^2) + P_2 + \ldots, +Q_8$ $+(v_8^2, u_1^2)$ can be obtained by Case 2.1 in Fig. 4(a).

Case 3 Both F_0 and F_1 are in E_1 . Since the number of edges E_1 is 64 > 2, we can select 16 fault-free edges E_1 between *LTQ*₃[L_i] and *LTQ*₃[R_j] (1 $\le i \le 8$, 1 $\le j \le 8$). By Lemma 1, there is a Hamiltonian path in each copy *LTQ*3. Thus, a desired fault-free Hamiltonian cycle $P_1 + (u_1^1, v_1^1) + Q_1 + (v_1^2, u_2^2) + P_2$ + (u_2^1, v_2^1) + Q_2 + ..., + Q_8 + (v_8^2, u_1^2) can be constructed by linking the Hamiltonian paths with the edges *E*1.

Case 4 F_0 is in $LTQ_3[L]$ and F_1 is in E_1 . Suppose that $F_0 \in V(LTQ_3[L_1])$. By Lemma 2, there is a fault-free Hamiltonian cycle $HC[L_1]$ in $LTQ_3[L_1]$. Select an edge (u_1^1, u_1^2) in

 (a)

 (b)

 $HC[L_1]$ such that the edges who are composed by u_1^1 , u_1^2 and their neighbours in $LTQ_3[R_j]$ (1 $\leq j \leq 8$) are all fault-free. Suppose that the neighbours of u_1^1 and u_1^2 , v_2^1 are v_1^1 and v_8^2 , respectively. Using the similar method, it can be found other 14 fault-free edges E_1 between $LTQ_3[L_i]$ and $LTQ_3[R_j]$ ($1 \le i \le 8$, $1 \leq j \leq 8$). By Lemma 1, There exists a fault-free Hamiltonian path in every copies of *LTQ*³ except for *LTQ*3[*L*1]. A desired fault-free Hamiltonian cycle $HC[L_1] - (u_1^1, u_1^2) + (u_1^1, v_1^1) + Q_1$ + (v_1^2, u_2^2) + *P*₂ +, ..., +*Q*₈ + (v_8^2, u_1^2) can be obtained by Case 2.1 in Fig. 4(b).

Lemma 7 For $s \ge 4$, *LeTQ*_{*s*,*s*} is $(s - 1)$ -Hamiltonian

Proof By Lemma 3, *LeTQs*,*^s* can see as the disjoint union of 2^{*s*} copies of $LTQ_s[L]$ and 2^{*s*} copies of $LTQ_s[R]$. Hence, we can denote $LTQ_s[L_1]$, $LTQ_s[L_2]$, ..., and $LTQ_s[L_2s]$ as 2^s copies of *LTQ_s* that contain the edges E_2 , and *LTQ_s*[R_1], *LTQ_s*[R_2], \ldots , and *LTQ_s*[R_{2^s}] as 2^{*s*} copies of *LTQ_s* that contain the edges *E*₃. Let *F* be a faulty set of *LeTQ_{s,s}* with $F_l = F \cap LTQ_s[L]$, $F_r = F \cap LTQ_s[R]$, and $F_1 = F \cap E_1$. Among them, let $f_l = |F_l|$, $f_r = |F_r|$, and $f_1 = |F_1|$. By the location of faults, we have the following cases.

Case 1 All faults are located in the the same copy of *LTQs*. Suppose that all of the faults are located in $LTQ_s[L₁]$, Then, $f_l = s - 1$. Since *LTQ_s* is $(s - 2)$ -Hamiltonian by Lemma 2, there exist two vertices u_1^1 and u_1^2 such that there is a Hamiltonian path P_1 between u_1^1 and u_1^2 in $LTQ_s[L_1]$.

Suppose that v_1^1 is the neighbour of u_1^1 in $LTQ_s[R_1]$ and v_2^2 is the neighbour of u_1^2 in *LTQs*[R_2 *s*]. Select $v_1^2 \in V(LTQ_s[R_1])$ – $\{v_1^1\}$ such that u_2^2 is the neighbour of v_1^2 in *LTQ*₃[*L*₂]. Select $u_2^1 \in V(LTQ_s[L_2]) - u_2^2, v_2^2 \in V(LTQ_s[R_2]) - v_2^1, u_3^1, v_3^2, \ldots,$ $v_{2^{s-1}}^2$, $u_{2^s}^1 \in V(LTQ_s[L_{2^s}]) - \{u_{2^s}^2\}$ such that v_2^1 , u_3^2 , v_3^1 , u_4^2 , ..., u_2^2 , v_2^1 are the neighbours of $u_2^1 \in V(LTQ_s[L_2]) - u_2^2$, $v_2^2 \in$ $V(LTQ_s[R_2]) - \{v_2^1\}, u_3^1, v_3^2, \ldots, v_{2^{s-1}}^2, u_{2^s}^1 \in V(LTQ_s[L_{2^s}]) - u_{2^s}^2,$ respectively. Since *LTQs* is Hamiltonian connected by Lemma 2, there is a Hamiltonian path in each copy fault-free *LTQs*. Thus, a required fault-free Hamiltonian cycle $P_1 + (u_1^1, v_1^1)$ + $Q_1 + (v_1^2, u_2^2) + P_2 + (u_2^1, v_2^1) + Q_2 + \ldots + Q_{2^s} + (v_2^2, u_1^2)$ can be constructed by linking the Hamiltonian paths with the edges E_1 (refer to Fig. 3(a)).

Case 2 Faults are dispersed in $LTQ_s[L]$, $LTQ_s[R]$, and E_1 . Suppose that f_l is the greatest of f_l , f_r and f_1 . Since at least two of *f_l*, *f_r* and *f*₁ are greater than zero, then $f_l \leq s - 2$, $f_r \leq s - 3$ and $f_r + f_1$ ≤ $s - 2(s \ge 4)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that F_l is in $LTQ_s[L_1]$. Because LTQ_s is $(s-2)$ -Hamiltonian, there is a Hamiltonian cycle $HC[L_1]$ with at least $2^s - (s - 2)$ edges. Also because $2^s - (s - 2) > 2(s - 2)$, we can select a fault-free edge (u_1^1, u_1^2) in $HC[L_1]$ such that the edges E_1 who are composed by u_1^1 , u_1^2 and their neighbours are all fault-free. Without loss of generality, we assume that v_1^1 is the neighbour of u_1^1 in $LTQ_s[R_1]$ and v_2^2 is the neighbour of u_1^2 in $LTQ_s[R_2s]$. Since LTQ_s is $(s-3)$ -Hamiltonian connected, there is a Hamiltonian path in each copy LTQ_s except for $LTQ_s[L₁]$. Then, a desired fault-free Hamiltonian cycle $HC[L_1]$ -(u_1^1, u_1^2) + (u_1^1, v_1^1) + Q_1 + (v_1^2 , u_2^2) + P_2 +, ..., + Q_{2^s} + (v_2^2 , u_1^2) can be constructed by linking the Hamiltonian paths and the path $HC[L_1] - (u_1^1, u_1^2)$ with the fault-free edges E_1 (refer to Fig. 3(b)).

Case 3 All of the faults are in the *E*1. The discussion for the situation is the same as Case 3 of Lemma 6.

Lemma 8 *LeTQ*3,³ is 1-Hamiltonian connected.

Proof By Lemma 3, *LeTQ*3,³ can be divided into 8 copies of *LTQ*3[*L*] and 8 copies of *LTQ*3[*R*]. Hence, we can denote $LTQ_3[L_1]$, $LTQ_3[L_2]$, ..., and $LTQ_3[L_8]$ as 8 copies of LTQ_3 that contain the edges E_2 , and $LTQ_3[R_1]$, $LTQ_3[R_2]$, ..., and $LTQ_3[R_8]$ as 8 copies of LTQ_3 that contain the edges E_3 . We denote u_1^1, u_1^2, \ldots , and u_1^8 as 8 vertices of *LTQ*₃[*L*₁], u_2^1, u_2^2 \ldots , u_2^8 as 8 vertices of *LTQ*₃[*L*₂], ..., and u_8^1 , u_8^2 , ..., and u_8^8 as 8 vertices of *LTQ*₃[*L*₈]. And we denote v_1^1, v_1^2, \ldots , and v_1^8 as 8 vertices of $LTQ_3[R_1], v_2^1, v_2^2, \ldots$, and v_2^8 as 8 vertices of *LTQ*₃[*R*₂], ..., and *v*₈, *v*₈₃, ..., and *v*₈⁸ as 8 vertices of *LTQ*₃[*R*₈]. What's more, each vertex of *LTQ*3[*Li*] has only one neighbour in $LTQ_s[R_i]$ and each vertex of $LTQ_s[R_i]$ has only one neighbour in $LTQ_3[L_i]$ ($1 \le i \le 8, 1 \le j \le 8$). According to the location of the faulty vertex *z*, we have the following three cases:

Case 1 $z \in LTO₃[L]$. There are four subcases:

Case 1.1 *x*, *y*, and *z* are in the same copy of *LTQ*3[*L*]. Suppose that $x = u_1^1$, $y = u_8^1$, and *z* are in *LTQ*₃[*L*₁]. Imaging that *z* is fault-free, there is a fault-free Hamiltonian path *HP*[*L*1] between *x* and *y* by Lemma 1. Find the neighbours u_1^i and u_1^{i+2} (1 $\le i \le 6$) of *z* in *HP*[*L*₁]. Suppose that the neighbour of u_1^i is v_2^1 in *LTQ*₃[*R*₂] and the neighbour of u_1^{i+2} is v_1^1 in *LTQ*₃[*R*₁]. Select v_1^2 ∈ *V*(*LTQ*₃[*R*₁]) − { v_1^1 }, v_2^2 ∈ *V*(*LTQ*₃[*R*₂]) − { v_2^1 }, $u_3^1 \in V(LTQ_3[L_3]) - u_3^2, \ldots, v_8^2 \in V(LTQ_3[R_8]) - \{v_8^1\}$ such that $u_2^2, u_3^2, v_3^1, \ldots, u_2^1$ are the neighbours of $v_1^2, v_2^2, u_3^1, \ldots, v_8^2$, respectively. By Lemma 1, there is a Hamiltonian path in each copy *LTQ*3. Thus, a required fault-free Hamiltonian path between *x* and *y* can be constructed by linking the Hamiltonian paths with the edges E_1 in Fig. 5(a). That is, $\langle x, u_1^i \rangle + \langle u_1^i, v_2^1 \rangle + \langle u_2^i, v_1^i \rangle$ $Q_2 + \langle v_2^2, u_3^2 \rangle + P_3 + \ldots + Q_8 + \langle v_8^2, u_2^1 \rangle + P_2 + \langle u_2^2, v_1^2 \rangle + Q_1$ + $\langle v_1^1, u_1^{i+2} \rangle$ + $\langle u_1^{i+2}, y \rangle$.

Case 1.2 *x* (or *y*), *z* are in the same copy of $LTQ_3[L]$. Suppose that $x = u_1^1$, $z \in V(LTQ_3[L_1])$ and $y = u_2^1 \in V(LTQ_3[L_2])$. By Lemma 2, there is a fault-free Hamiltonian cycle $HC[L_1] =$ $\langle x, u_1^2, \ldots, u_1^7, x \rangle$ in *LTQ*₃[*L*₁]. Find $u_2^8 \in V(LTQ_3[L_2]) - \{u_2^1\}$, then, there is a fault-free Hamiltonian path $HP(u_2^8, y)$ between u_2^8 and *y*. Select an edge (u_2^2, u_2^{i+1}) ($2 \le i \le 6$) in $\widehat{HP}(u_2^8, y)$ such that the neighbours of u_1^2 (u_1^7) and u_2^{i+1} are in the same copy of *LTQ*₃[*R*]. Suppose that the neighbours of u_1^2 , u_2^{i+1} , u_2^i , and u_2^8 are v_1^2 , v_1^1 , v_2^1 and v_3^1 , respectively. Select $v_2^2 \in V(\bar{L}TQ_3[R_2]) - \bar{v}_2^1$, v_3^2 ∈ $V(LTQ_3[R_3]) - \{v_3^1\}$, u_8^1 ∈ $V(LTQ_3[L_8]) - \{u_8^2\}$, ..., u_3^1 ∈ $V(LTQ_3[L_3]) - \{u_3^2\}$ such that $u_3^2, u_4^2, v_8^1, \ldots, v_8^2$ are the neighbours of v_2^2 , v_3^2 , u_8^1 ,..., u_3^1 , respectively. By Lemma 1, there exists a Hamiltonian path in each copy $LTQ_3[L_i](2 \leq j \leq 8)$ and $LTQ_3[R_k](1 \le k \le 8)$. Thus, a desired fault-free Hamiltonian path between *x* and *y* can be constructed by linking the Hamiltonian paths, $\langle x, u_1^2 \rangle$ -path, $\langle u_2^{i+1}, u_2^8 \rangle$ -path, and $\langle u_2^i, y \rangle$ -path with the edges E_1 in Fig. 5(b). That is, $HC[L_1] - \langle x, u_2^{i+1} + \langle u_1^2, v_1^2 \rangle$ $+ Q_1 + \langle v_1^1, u_2^{i+1} \rangle + P(u_2^{i+1}, u_2^8) + \ldots + Q_8 + \langle v_8^2, u_3^1 \rangle + P_3 +$ $\langle u_3^2, v_2^2 \rangle + \dot{Q}_2 + P(v_2^1, y).$

Case 1.3 *x* and *y* are in the same copy of $LTQ_3[L]$, *x* and *z* are in different copy of *LTQ*₃[*L*]. Suppose that $x = u_1^1$, $y = u_1^8 \in V(LTQ_3[L_1])$ and $z \in V(LTQ_3[L_2])$. By Lemma 1, there is a Hamiltonian path *HP*[*L*1] between *x* and *y* in *LTQ*3[*L*1]. By Lemma 2, there exists a fault-free Hamiltonian cycle $HC[L_2]$ in $LTQ_3[L_2]$. Select an edge (u_1^i, u_1^{i+1}) $(1 \le i \le 6)$ in $HP[L_1]$ and an edge (b, c) in $HC[L_2]$ such that the neighbours of u_1^i and (b, c) are in the same copy $LTQ_3[R]$. Suppose that the

Fig. 5 Illustrations for the proof of Cases 1.1 (a) and 1.2 (b) of Lemma 8

neighbours of u_1^i , *c*, u_1^{i+1} , and *b* are v_2^1 , v_2^2 , v_3^1 and v_1^2 , respectively. Select $v_1^1, v_3^2, u_4^1, \ldots, u_8^1, u_3^2$ such that $u_3^1, u_4^2, v_4^1, \ldots, v_8^1$, v_8^2 are the neighbours of $v_1^1, v_3^2, u_4^1, \ldots, u_8^1, u_3^2$, respectively. By Lemma 1, there is a Hamiltonian path in each copy *LTQ*³ except for *LTQ*3[*L*2]. Thus, a desired fault-free Hamiltonian path between *x* and *y* can be constructed by linking the Hamiltonian paths, $\langle x, u_1^i \rangle$ -path, and $\langle u_1^{i+1}, y \rangle$ -path with the edges E_1 in Fig. 6(a). That is, $P(x, u_1^i) + \langle u_1^i, v_2^i \rangle + Q_2 + \langle v_2^2, c \rangle + HC[L_2]$ $-P_3 + P(u_3^2, v_8^2) + Q_1 + \langle v_1^1, u_3^1 \rangle + P_3 + P(u_3^2, v_8^1) + Q_8 + \langle v_8^1, u_8^1 \rangle$ $+ P_8 + \langle u_8^2, v_7^2 \rangle + Q_7 +, \cdots, +P(v_3^1, y).$

Case 1.4 \hat{x} , \hat{y} , and \hat{z} are in different copy of *LTQ*₃[*L*]. Suppose that $x = u_1^1 \in V(LTQ_3[L_1]), y = u_2^1 \in V(LTQ_3[L_2]),$ and $z \in V(LTQ_3[L_3])$. Imaging that *z* is fault-free. Since LTQ_3 is Hamiltonian connected, it can be selected a Hamiltonian path $\langle u_3^1, u_3^2, \dots, u_3^8 \rangle$ in *LTQ*₃[*L*₃] such that $z = u_3^i$ (3 $\le i \le 6$).

Suppose that the neighbours of u_3^1 , u_3^i – 1, u_3^{i+1} and u_3^8 are v_3^1 , v_1^1 , v_2^1 , and v_8^2 , respectively. Select $u_1^2 \in V(LTQ_3[L_1]) - \{x\}$ in *LTQ*₃[*L*₁], $u_2^2 \in V(LTQ_3[L_2]) - \{y\}$ in *LTQ*₃[*L*₂], u_4^2 , v_4^1 , \ldots , v_8^1 such that v_1^2 , v_2^2 , v_3^2 , u_3^1 , \ldots , u_8^1 are the neighbours of $u_1^2, u_2^2, u_4^2, v_3^1, \ldots, v_8^1$, respectively. (if the neighbours of *x* or *y* are in $LTQ_3[R_1]$ or $LTQ_3[R_8]$, we can choose other Hamiltonian path which meet the condition $z = u_3^i$ (3 $\le i \le 6$)).

By Lemma 1, there is a Hamiltonian path in each copy *LTQ*³

except for *LTQ*₃[*L*₃]. Thus, a desired fault-free Hamiltonian path between *u* and *v* can be constructed by linking the Hamiltonian paths, $\langle u_3^1, u_3^{i-1} \rangle$ -path, and $\langle u_3^{i+1}, u_3^8 \rangle$ -path with the edges E_1 in Fig. 6(b). That is, $P_1 + \langle u_1^2, v_1^2 \rangle + Q_1 + \langle v_1^1, u_3^{i-1} \rangle + P(u_3^{i-1}, u_3^1)$ + $\langle u_3^1, v_3^1 \rangle$ + Q_3 +, ··· , + Q_8 + $\langle v_8^2, u_3^8 \rangle$ + $P(u_3^8, u_3^{i+1})$ + $\langle u_3^{i+1}, v_2^1 \rangle$ + $Q_2 + P(v_2^2, y)$.

Case 1.5 *x* and *z* are in different copy of $LTQ_3[L]$, $y \in$ *V*(*LTQ*₃[*R*]). Without loss of generality, suppose that $x = u_1^1 \in$ *V*(*LTQ*₃[*L*₁]), *y* = v_1^8 ∈ *V*(*LTQ*₃[*R*₁]), and *z* ∈ *V*(*LTQ*₃[*L*₂]). By Lemma 2, there exists a fault-free Hamiltonian cycle $HC[L_2] =$ $\langle u_2^1, u_2^2, \ldots, u_2^7, u_2^1 \rangle$ in *LTQ*₃[*L*₂]. Select a vertex u_2^1 in *HC*[*L*₂] and a vertex u_1^2 in $V(LTQ_3[L_2]) - \{x\}$ such that the neighbours of u_2^1 and u_1^2 are in the same copy of $LTQ_3[R_i]$ ($2 \le i \le 8$). Suppose that the neighbours of u_2^1 , u_1^2 , and u_2^2 are v_2^2 , v_2^1 , and v_3^1 , respectively. Select $v_1^1, u_3^2, u_4^2, v_4^1, \ldots, u_8^1$ such that $u_3^1, v_8^2, v_3^2, u_4^1, \ldots, v_8^1$
are the neighbours of $v_1^1, u_3^2, u_4^2, v_4^1, \ldots, u_8^1$, respectively. By Lemma 1, there is a Hamiltonian path in each copy *LTQ*³ except for *LTQ*3[*L*2]. Thus, a desired fault-free Hamiltonian path between *x* and *y* can be constructed by linking the Hamiltonian paths and u_2^1 , $u_2^{2^i}$ -path with the edges E_1 in Fig. 7(a). That is, $P_1 + \langle u_1^2, v_2^2 \rangle + Q_2^2 + \langle v_2^1, u_2^1 \rangle + HC[L_2] - \langle u_2^1, u_2^2 \rangle + hu_2^2, v_3^1 \rangle + \dots$ $+Q_8 + \langle v_8^2, u_3^2 \rangle + P_3 + P(u_3^1, y).$

Case 2 $z \in LTQ_3[R]$. There are four subcases:

Fig. 6 Illustrations for the proof of Cases 1.3 (a) and 1.4 (b) of Lemma 8

Case 2.1 *x*, *y* are in the same copy of $LTQ_3[L]$. Suppose that *x* = u_1^1 , *y* = u_1^8 ∈ *V*(*LTQ*₃[*L*₁]), *z* ∈ *V*(*LTQ*₃[*R*₃]). By Lemma 2, there is a fault-free Hamiltonian cycle *HC*[*R*3] in *LTQ*3[*R*3] and a fault-free Hamiltonian path *HP*[*L*1] in *LTQ*3[*L*1]. There exists a vertex v in $HC[R_3]$ such that the neighbour of v is not in *LTQ*₃[*L*₁]. Select an edge (v, v_3^1) (or (v, v_3^2)) in *HC*[*R*₃] such that the neighbour of v_3^1 (v_3^2) is not in *LTQ*₃[*L*₁]. Select an edge (u_1^3, u_1^4) in $HP[L_1]$ such that the neighbours of u_1^3 and u_1^4 are not in *LTQ*₃[*R*₃]. Suppose that the neighbours of u_1^3 , u_1^3 , v , and v_3^1 are v_1^1, v_2^1, u_3^1 , and u_4^1 , respectively. Select $u_2^2, u_3^2, v_4^1, \ldots$, and v_8^2 such that $v_1^2, v_2^2, u_2^1, \ldots$, and u_4^2 are the neighbours of u_2^2, u_3^2, v_4^1 , \ldots , and v_8^2 , respectively. By Lemma 1, there is a Hamiltonian path in each copy *LTQ*³ except for *LTQ*3[*R*3]. Thus, a desired fault-free Hamiltonian path between *u* and *v* can be constructed by linking the Hamiltonian paths, $\langle x, u_1^3 \rangle$ -path, $\langle v_3^1, v \rangle$ -path, and $\langle u_1^4, y \rangle$ -path with the edges E_1 in Fig. 7(b). That is, $P(x, u_1^3)$ + $\langle u_1^3, v_1^1 \rangle$ + $Q_1 + \langle v_1^2, u_2^2 \rangle$ + $P_2 + \ldots$, + $Q_8 + \langle v_8^2, u_4^2 \rangle$ + $P_4 + \langle u_4^1, v_3^1 \rangle$ $+ \overline{HC}[R_3] - \langle v, v_3^1 \rangle + \overline{P}(v, y).$

Case 2.2 *x*, *y* are in different copy of *LTQ*3[*L*]. Suppose that $x = u_1^1 \in V(LTQ_3[L_1]), y = u_2^1 \in V(LTQ_3[L_2]),$ $z \in V(LTQ_3[R_2])$, and u_1^2 is the neighbour of v_1^2 . By Lemma 2, there is a fault-free Hamiltonian cycle $\langle v_2^1, v_2^2, \ldots, v_2^7, v_2^1 \rangle$ in $LTQ_3[R_2]$. Since LTQ_3 is Hamiltonian connected, we can choose a Hamiltonian path $\langle y, u_2^2, \ldots, u_2^8 \rangle$ in $LTQ_3[L_2]$ such that

the neighbours of u_2^3 and u_2^4 are not in *LTQ*₃[*R*₂]. Suppose that the neighbours of u_2^3 , u_2^4 and u_2^8 are v_3^2 , v_4^2 and v_5^2 , respectively. Find an edge (v_2^3, v_2^4) in $HC[R_2]$ such that the neighbours of v_2^3 and v_2^4 are not in *LTQ*₃[*L*₁] and *LTQ*₃[*L*₂]. Suppose that the neighbours of v_2^3 and v_2^4 are u_3^2 and u_4^2 , respectively. Select v_1^1 , $v_3^1, v_4^1, \ldots, v_8^1$, and u_5^2 such that $u_3^1, u_5^1, u_4^1, \ldots, u_8^1$, and v_8^2 are the neighbours of v_1^1 , v_2^1 , v_4^1 , ..., v_8^1 , and u_5^2 , respectively. By Lemma 1, there is a Hamiltonian path in each copy *LTQ*³ except for *LTQ*3[*R*2]. Thus, a desired fault-free Hamiltonian path between *u* and *v* can be constructed by linking the Hamiltonian paths, $\langle u_2^4, u_2^8 \rangle$ -path, $\langle v_2^3, v_2^4 \rangle$ -path, and $P(u_2^3, y)$ with the edges *E*₁ in Fig. 8(a). That is, $P(x, u_1^2) + \langle u_1^2, v_1^2 \rangle + Q_1 + \langle v_1^1, u_3^1 \rangle + P_3$ + $P(u_3^2, v_2^4)$ + $P(v_2^4, u_2^8)$ + $\langle u_2^8, u_5^2 \rangle$ +, ..., + Q_8 + $P(v_8^2, y)$.

Case 2.3 *x* is in *LTQ*₃[*L*], *y* and *z* are in different copy of *LTQ*₃[*R*]. Suppose that $x = u_1^1 \in V(LTQ_3[L_1])$, $y \in$ *V*($LTQ_3[R_1]$), and $z \in V(LTQ_3[R_2])$. By Lemma 2, there exists a fault-free Hamiltonian cycle $\langle v_2^1, v_2^2, \ldots, v_2^7, v_2^1 \rangle$ in $LTQ_3[R_2]$. Find an edge (v_2^1, v_2^2) $((v_2^2, v_2^2))$ in $HP[R_2]$ such that the neighbour of v_2^1 and $v_2^2(v_2^7)$ are not in *LTQ*₃[*L*₁]. Without loss of generality, suppose that the neighbour of v_2^1 and v_2^2 are u_2^1 and *u*¹₃, respectively. Select *v*¹₁ ∈ {*V*(*LTQ*₃[*R*₁]) − *y*₁³ and \overline{u}_1^2 ∈ $\{V(LTQ_3[L_1]) - x\}$ such that the neighbours of v_1^1 are not in $LTQ_3[L_1]$, $LTQ_3[L_2]$, and $LTQ_3[L_3]$ and the neighbours of u_1^2 are not in *LTQ*3[*R*1] and *LTQ*3[*R*2]. Suppose that the neigh-

Fig. 7 Illustrations for the proof of Cases 1.5 (a) and 2.1 (b) of Lemma 8

bours of v_1^1 and u_1^2 are u_4^1 and v_3^1 in *LTQ*₃[*R*₃], respectively. Select v_3^2 , u_4^2 , ..., v_8^2 such that u_3^2 , u_2^2 , ..., u_4^2 are the neighbours of $u_3^2, v_4^2, \ldots, v_8^2$, respectively. Since *LTQ*₃ is Hamiltonian connected, a desired fault-free Hamiltonian path between *x* and *y* can be constructed by linking the Hamiltonian paths and $\langle v_2^1, v_2^2 \rangle$ -path with the edges E_1 in Fig. 8(b). That is, $P(x, u_1^2)$ + $\langle u_1^2, v_3^1 \rangle + Q_3 + \langle v_3^2, u_3^2 \rangle + P_3 + P(u_3^1, u_2^1) + P_2 + \langle u_2^2, v_4^2 \rangle + \ldots,$ $+\dot{Q}_8 + P(v_8^2, y).$

Case 2.4 *x* is in *LTQ*₃[*L*], *y* is in *LTQ*₃[*R*]. And *y*, *z* are in the same copy of *LTQ*₃[*R*]. Suppose that $x = u_1^1 \in V(LTQ_3[L_1]),$ *y* = *v*₁, *z* ∈ *V*(*LTQ*₃[*R*₁]). Select a vertex *u*₁² in *V*(*LTQ*₃[*L*₁])−{*x*} such that the neighbours of u_1^2 are not in $LTQ_3[R_1]$. By Lemma 2, there exists a fault-free Hamiltonian cycle $\langle y, v_1^2, \ldots, v_1^7, y \rangle$ in *LTQ*₃[R_1]. Then, the neighbours of $v_1^2(v_1^7)$ are not in *LTQ*₃[L_1]. Suppose that the neighbours of v_1^2 and u_1^2 are u_2^2 and v_2^2 , respectively. Select $u_2^1v_2^1, \ldots, u_8^1$, and v_8^2 such that $v_8^1, u_3^1, \ldots, v_7^1$, and u_8^2 are the neighbours of $u_2^1 v_2^1, \ldots, u_8^1$, and v_8^2 , respectively. Since *LTQ*³ is Hamiltonian connected, a desired fault-free Hamiltonian path between *x* and *y* can be constructed by linking the Hamiltonian paths and $\langle v_1^2, y \rangle$ -path with the edges E_1 in Fig. 9(a). That is, $P(x, u_1^2) + \langle u_1^2, v_2^2 \rangle + Q_2 + \ldots + Q_8 + P(v_8^1, y)$.

Case 2.5 *x*, *y*, and *f* are in the same copy of $LTQ_3[R]$. The case is the same as Case 1.1.

Case 3 $z \in E_1$. There exists 63 fault-free E_1 edges between $LTQ_3[L]$ and $LTQ_3[R]$. By the location of *x* and *y*, we have the following cases.

Case 3.1 *x* and *y* are in the same copy of *LTQ*3. Suppose that $x = u_1^1$, $y = u_1^8 \in V(LTQ_3[L_1])$. There is a Hamiltonian path $HP[L_1]$ between *x* and *y* in $LTQ_3[L_1]$ by Lemma 1. Select an edge (u_1^i, u_1^{i+1}) $(1 \le i \le 6)$ in $HP[L_1]$ such that the edges who are composed by u_1^i and its neighbour which is in $LTQ_3[R]$ and u_1^{i+1} and its neighbour which is in $LTQ_3[R]$ are fault-free. Suppose that the neighbours of u_1^i and u_1^{i+1} are v_1^1 and v_2^1 . Using the similar method to Case 1.3, it can be constructed a desired fault-free Hamiltonian path between *x* and *y*. That is, $P(x, u_1^i)$ + $\langle u_1^i, v_1^1 \rangle + Q_1 + \ldots + Q_8 + P(v_8^2, y)$ (refer to Fig. 9(b)).

Case 3.2 *x* and *y* are in different copy of *LTQ*3. Without loss of generality, suppose that $x = u_1^1$, $y = v_1^8 \in V(LTQ3[R_8])$. We can select 16 fault-free edges E_1 between $LTQ_3[L_i]$ and *LTQ*₃[R _{*i*}] (1 $\le i \le 8, 1 \le j \le 8$). By Lemma 1, there exists a Hamiltonian path in each copy *LTQ*3. A desired fault-free Hamiltonian path between *x* and *y* can be constructed by linking the Hamiltonian paths. The method of constructing is similar to Case 2.3. Thus, we omit it.

Lemma 9 For $s \ge 4$, *LeTQ_{s,s}* is $(s - 2)$ -Hamiltonian connected.

Fig. 8 Illustrations for the proof of Cases 2.2 (a) and 2.3 (b) of Lemma 8

Proof By Lemma 3, *LeTQs*,*^s* can be seen as the disjoint union of 2*^s* copies of *LTQs*[*L*] and 2*^s* copies of *LTQs*[*R*]. Hence, we can denote $LTQ_s[L_1]$, $LTQ_s[L_2]$, ..., and $LTQ_s[L_2s]$ as 2^s copies of *LTQ_s* that contain the edges E_2 , and *LTQ_s*[1], $LTQ_s[2]$, ..., and $LTQ_s[R_{2^s}]$ as 2^{*s*} copies of LTQ_s that contain the edges E_3 . Let *F* be a faulty set of $LeTQ_{s,s}$ with $F_l =$ *F* ∩ *LTQ_s*[*L*], $F_r = F$ ∩ *LTQ_s*[*R*], and $F_1 = F$ ∩ E_1 . Among them, let $f_l = |F_l|$, $f_r = |F_r|$, and $f_1 = |E_1|$. By the location of faults, we have the following cases.

Case 1 All the faults are located in E_1 or the same copy of *LTQs*. The proof is the same as *LeTQ*³,³ in Lemma 13.

Case 2 The faults are scattered in *LTQs*[*L*], *LTQs*[*R*], and E_1 . Suppose that f_l is the greatest of f_l , f_r and f_l . Since at least two of f_l , f_r and f_l are greater than zero, then $f_l \leq s-3$, $f_r \leq s-3$ and $f_r + f_1 \leq s - 3(s \geq 4)$. By the location of *x* and *y*, we have the following cases.

Case 2.1 *x* and *y* are in the same copy of *LTQs*. Suppose that $x = u_1^1$ and $y = u_1^{2^s}$ are in $LTQ_s[L_1]$. By Lemma 2, there is a fault-free Hamiltonian path $HP(x, y)$ in $LTQ_s[L_1]$. Since $2^{s} - 1 - 2 - (s - 3) > 2(s - 3)$, there is an edge $(u_1^k, u_1^k + 1)$ $(1 ≤ k ≤ 2^s − 1)$ such that the neighbours of u_1^k and $u_1^k + 1$ are in $LTQ_s[R_i]$ and $LTQ_s[R_j]$ ($1 \le i \le 2^s$, $1 \le j \le 2^s$, and *i*, *j*), respectively. And both $LTQ_s[R_i]$ and $LTQ_s[R_i]$ are fault-free. Since LTQ_s is $(s - 3)$ - Hamiltonian connected, by the Case 1.3

of Lemma 13, a desired fault-free Hamiltonian path between *x* and *y* is constructed by linking the Hamiltonian paths, $\langle x, u_1^k \rangle$ path, and $\langle u_1^{k+1}, y \rangle$ -path with the edges E_1 (refer to Fig. 6(a)).

Case 2.2 *x* and *y* are in different copy of *LTQs*. We have the following cases.

Case 2.2.1 *x* and *y* are in different copy of *LTQs*[*L*]. Suppose that $x = u_1^1 \in V(LTQ_s[L_1])$ and $y = u_2^1 \in V(LTQ_s[L_2])$. Since $2^s - 2 > s - 3$, we can select a fault-free $LTQ_s[L_s]$ $(s \neq 1, 2)$. Suppose that $LTQ_s[L_3]$ is fault-free. By Lemma 6, there is a Hamiltonian path $HP(u_3^1, u_3^{2^s})$ in $LTQ_s[L_3]$. Since 2^{*s*} − 3 > 2(*s* − 3), there is an edge (u_3^k , u_3^{k+1}) (2 ≤ k ≤ 2^{*s*} − 1) such that the neighbours of u_3^k and u_3^{k+2} are in $LTQ_s[R_i]$ and *LTQ_s*[R_j] (1 $\le i \le 2^s$, 1 $\le j \le 2^s$, and *i*, *j*), respectively. And both $LTQ_s[R_i]$ and $LTQ_s[R_i]$ are fault-free. Select a vertex u_1^2 in $V(LTQ_s[L_1]) - F_l - \{x\}$ such that the fault-free neighbours of u_1^2 and u_3^k are in the same copy of $LTQ_s[R_i]$. Select a vertex u_2^2 in $V(LTQ_s[L_2]) - F_l - \{y\}$ such that the fault-free neighbours of u_2^2 and u_3^{k+1} are in the same copy of $LTQ_s[R_j]$. Without loss of generality, suppose that the neighbours of $i = 1$ and $j = 2$. Since LTQ_s is $(s - 3)$ -Hamiltonian connected, by the construction method of Case 1.4 of Lemma 13, a desired fault-free Hamiltonian path between x and y can be constructed by linking the Hamiltonian paths, $\langle u_3^1, u_3^k \rangle$ -path, and $\langle u_3^{k+1}, u_3^{2^s} \rangle$ path with the edges E_1 (refer to Fig. 6(b)).

Fig. 9 Illustrations for the proof of Cases 2.4 (a) and 3.1 (b) of Lemma 8

Case 2.2.2 *x* and *y* are in different copy of $LTQ_s[R]$. The case is the same as Case 2.2.1.

Case 2.2.3 *x* is in *LTQ_s*[*L*] and *y* is in *LTQ_s*[*R*]. Suppose that *x* = *u*¹ ∈ *V*(*LTQ_s*[*L*₁]) and *y* = *v*¹₁</sup> ∈ *V*(*LTQ_s*[*R*₁]). Since $2^{s-2} - (s-3) > s - 3$, it can be selected a fault-free vertex u_1^2 in $V(LTQ_s[L₁]) - \{x\}$ such that the neighbour of $u₁²$ is in faultfree $LTQ_s[R_i]$ (1 $\le i \le 2^s$). Since $2^{s-2} - (s-3) > s-3$, it can be selected a fault-free vertex v_1^2 in $V(LTQ_s[R_1]) - \{y\}$ such that the neighbours of v_1^2 is in fault-free *LTQ_s*[*L_j*] ($1 \le j \le 2^s$). Suppose that the neighbours of u_1^2 and v_1^2 are v_2^2 and u_2^2 . Select $v_2^1, v_3^2, u_4^1, \ldots, v_{2^s}^1$ such that $u_3^1, u_3^2, v_3^1, \ldots, u_2^1$ are the neighbours of $v_2^1, v_3^2, u_4^1, \ldots, v_{2^s}^1$, respectively. By Lemma 2, there is a faultfree Hamiltonian paths in each copy *LTQs*. Thus, a required fault-free Hamiltonian path between *x* and *y* can be constructed by linking the Hamiltonian paths with the edges E_1 (refer to the construction method of Case 1.5 of Lemma 13 in Fig. 7(a)). \Box

Theorem 1 If $LeTQ_{s,k}$ is $(s - 1)$ -Hamiltonian and $(s - 2)$ -Hamiltonian connected for $s \ge 2$, $k \ge 3$ and $s \le k$, then *LeTQ_{s,k+1}* is $(s - 1)$ -Hamiltonian.

Proof Let E_c be the set of crossing edges and E_c = $\{(u_0, u_1)| (u_0, u_1) \in E_3, u_0 \in \text{L}eTQ_{s,k+1}^0 \text{ and } u_1 \in \text{L}eTQ_{s,k+1}^1\}.$ Let *F* be a faulty set of *LeTQ_{s,k+1}* with $F_l = F \cap LerQ_{s,k+1}^0$, $F_r = F \cap LeTQ_{s,k+1}^1$, and $F_1 = F \cap E_1$. And let $f_l = |F_l|$, $f_r = |F_r|$, and $f_c = |E_c|$. By the location of faults, we have the following cases.

Case 1 All the faults are located in the same copy of $LeTQ$ ^{*i*}_{*s*,*k*+1}(*i* ∈ 0, 1). Suppose that all of the faults are in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^0$ and $|F_l| = s - 1$. Since $LeTQ_{s,k}$ is $(s-1)$ -Hamiltonian and *LeTQ_{s,k+1}* at least have $2^{s+k} - (s-1) \ge 2$ fault-free E_c edges, there exist a fault-free edge $(u_0, v_0) \in E_3$ in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^0$ such that there is a Hamiltonian path $HP(x_0, y_0)$ between x_0 and *y*₀. Let *x*₁ and *y*₁ be the neighbours of *x*₀ and *y*₀ in *LeTQ*¹_{*s*,*k*+1}. Since $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^1$ is ($s - 2$)-Hamiltonian connected, there is a Hamiltonian path $HP(u_1, v_1)$ between u_1 and v_1 . Thus, $\langle u_0, v_1 \rangle$ *HP*(u_0 , v_0), v_0 , v_1 , *HP*(u_1 , v_1), u_1 , u_0) is a fault-free Hamiltonian cycle.

Case 2 All of the faults are located in E_c . Since $LeTQ_{s,k+1}$ has at least $2^{s+k} - (s - 1) \ge 2$ fault-free crossing edges where $s \geq 2$ and $k \geq 3$. We always can choose two fault-free crossing edges (u_0, u_1) and (v_0, v_1) . Because both $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^0$ and $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^1$ are Hamiltonian connected, there exists a Hamiltonian path $HP(u_0, v_0)$ in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^0$ and Hamiltonian path $HP(u_1, v_1)$ in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^1$. Thus, $\langle x_0, HP(x_0, y_0),\rangle$ $y_0, y_1, HP(x_1, y_1), x_1, x_0$ is a fault-free Hamiltonian cycle in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}$.

Case 3 The faults are scattered in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^0$, E_c and

Algorithm 1 Fault-tolerant hamiltonian cycle (*a*, *b*) **Input:** *LeTQ_{s,t}* and an edge $e = (a, b)$; Faulty elements *F*.

Output: A Hamiltonian cycle in *LeTQs*,*t*-*F*. 1: **if** $(s, t) \in \{(2, 2)\}\)$ then 2: **return** A Hamiltonian cycle in *LeTQ*2,2-*F*; 3: **end if** 4: **if** ($s \ge 2$ and $t \ge 2$ **then** 5: **return** $LeTQHP_{s,t,a,b}+(b, a);$ 6: **end if** 7: **if** $(s = 1 \text{ and } t \geq 1 \text{ then}$ 8: **return** *HC*(1, *t*, *e*); 9: **end if** 10: **if** (1, *t*) ∈ (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3) **then** 11: **return** A Hamiltonian cycle in *LeTQ*1,*t*-*F*; 12: **else** 13: $C^* = HC(1, t-1, e);$ 14: $C_0 = C^*i;$ 15: $C_1 = C^*i(1 - i);$ 16: Select $(x_0, y_0) \in E_3$ on C_0 and $(x_1, y_1) \in E_3$ on C_1 , such that (x_0, y_0) and (x_1, y_1) are all belong to the crossing edges of E_3 ; 17: **return** $(C_0 - (x_0, y_0)) + (C_1 - (x_1, y_1));$ 18: **end if**

*LeTQ*¹_{*s*,*k*+1}. Then 3 \leq *s* \leq *k*. Suppose that *f_l* is the greatest of f_l , f_r and f_c . Since at least two of f_l , f_r and f_c greater than zero, then $f_r \leq f_l \leq s - 2$ and $f_r + f_c \leq s - 2$. Because 2^{s+k} − (s − 2) \ge 2, it can be found two fault-free crossing edges (u_0, u_1) and (v_0, v_1) . Since both $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^0$ and $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^1$ are (*s* − 2)-Hamiltonian connected, there is a Hamiltonian path *HP*(*u*₀, *v*₀) in *LeT*Q⁰_{*s*,*k*+1} and a Hamiltonian path *HP*(*u*₁, *v*₁) in *LeTQ*¹_{*s*,*k*+1}</sub>. Thus, $\langle u_0, HP(u_0, v_0), v_0, v_1, HP(u_1, v_1), u_1, u_0 \rangle$ is a faultfree Hamiltonian cycle in *LeTQs*,*k*+1.

The theorem is thus proved. \square

Theorem 2 For $s \ge 2$, $t \ge 3$, and $s \le t$, *LeTQ_{s,t}* is $(s - 1)$ -Hamiltonian.

Proof We prove this by induction on *t*. It is clearly holds for $LeTQ_{s,s}$ ($s \ge 3$) by Lemma 6 and Lemma 7. Suppose that *LeTQ_{s,k}* (3 ≤ *t* = *k*) is (*s* − 1)-Hamiltonian and (*s* − 2)-Hamiltonian connected. By Theorem 1, the conclusion holds for $t = k + 1$. Since $LeTQ_{2,3}$ is 1-Hamiltonian and Hamiltonian connected by Lemma 5, we can easily obtain that *LeTQ*²,*^t* is 1-Hamiltonian by Theorem 1. Therefore, $LeTQ_{s,t}$ is $(s - 1)$ -Hamiltonian.

Lemma 10 If $LeTQ_{s,k}$ is $(s - 1)$ -Hamiltonian and $(s - 2)$ -Hamiltonian connected for $3 \le s \le k$, then $LeTQ_{s,k+1}$ is $(s-2)$ -Hamiltonian connected.

Proof Let E_c be a class of crossing edges and E_c = $\{(u_0, u_1)| (u_0, u_1) \in E_3, u_0 \in \text{L}eTQ_{s,k+1}^0 \text{ and } u_1 \in \text{L}eTQ_{s,k+1}^1\}.$ Let *F* be a faulty set of *LeTQ_{s,k+1}* with $F_l = F \cap LeTQ_{s,k+1}^0$, $F_r = F \cap LeTQ_{s,k+1}^1$, and $F_1 = F \cap E_1$. And let $f_l = |F_l|$, $f_r = |F_r|$, and $f_c = |E_c|$. By the location of faults, we have the following cases.

Case 1 All faults are located in the same copy of $LeTQ$ ^{*i*}_{*s*,*k*+1}(*i* ∈ {0, 1}). Suppose that all of the faults are in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^0$ and $f_l = s - 2$. There are three subcases.

Case 1.1 *x* and *y* are in different $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^i$ (*i* ∈ {0, 1}).

Suppose that $x \in V(LerQ_{s,k+1}^0)$ and $y \in V(LerQ_{s,k+1}^1)$. Select a fault-free vertex $u \in V(LTQ_t)$ in $V(LeTQ_{s,k+1}^0) - \{x\}$ such that its neighbour *u'* in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^1$ is different from *y*. Since *LeTQs*,*^k* is (*s*−2)-Hamiltonian connected, there is a Hamiltonian path $HP(x, u)$ in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^0$ and a Hamiltonian path $HP(u', y)$ in *LeTQ*¹_{*s*,*k*+1}</sub>. Thus, $\langle x, HP(x, u), u, u', HP(u', y), y \rangle$ is a fault-free Hamiltonian path between *x* and *y* in $LeTQ_{s,k}$.

Case 1.2 Both *x* and *y* are in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^0$. Since $LeTQ_{s,k}$ is (*s* − 2)-Hamiltonian connected, there is a fault-free Hamiltonian path *HP*(*x*, *y*) in *LeTQ*⁰_{*s*,*k*+1}. Since $2^{s+k-1} - 3 - (s-2) > 1$, there exists an edge $(u_0, v_0) \in E_3$ in $HP(x, y)$ such that their neighbours u_1 and v_1 are in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^1$. By the condition of the lemma, there is a Hamiltonian path $HP(u_1, v_1)$ between u_1 and *v*₁ in *LeTQ*¹_{*s*,*k*+1}. Thus, $\langle x, u_0 \rangle + \langle u_0, u_1 \rangle + HP(u_1, v_1) + \langle v_1, v_0 \rangle$ $+ \langle v_0, y \rangle$ is a fault-free Hamiltonian path between *x* and *y* in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}$.

Case 1.3 Both *x* and *y* are in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^1$. By the condition of the lemma, there is a Hamiltonian path $HP(x, y)$ between *x* and *y* in *LeTQ*¹_{*s,k+1*}. Since $2^{s+k-1} - 3 > 2(s - 2)$, there is an edge $(u_1, v_1) \in E_3$ in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^1$ such that the neighbours *u*₀ and *v*₀ are fault-free in *LeTQ*⁰_{*s*,*k*+1}. Since *LeTQ_{<i>s*},*k* is (*s* − 2)-Hamiltonian connected, there is a fault-free Hamiltonian path *HP*(*u*₀, *v*₀) in *LeT*Q⁰_{*s*,*k*+1}. Thus, $\langle x, u_1 \rangle + \langle u_1, u_0 \rangle + HP(u_0, v_0) +$ $\langle v_0, v_1 \rangle + \langle v_1, y \rangle$ is a fault-free Hamiltonian path between *x* and *y* in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}$.

Case 2 All of the faults are located in E_c . There are two subcases.

Case 2.1 *x* and *y* are in different $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^i(i \in \{0,1\}).$ Suppose that $x \in V(\text{Ler}Q_{s,k+1}^0)$ and $y \in V(\text{Ler}Q_{s,k+1}^1)$. Since $2^{s+k} - (s-2) \ge 3$, we can select a fault-free vertex $u \in V(LTQ_t)$ in *V*(*LeTQ*⁰_{*s*,*k*+1}) − {*x*} such that its neighbour *u'* in *LeTQ*¹_{*s*,*k*+1} is different from *y*. Since *LeTQs*,*^k* is Hamiltonian connected, there is a Hamiltonian path $HP(x, u)$ in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^0$ and a Hamiltonian path $HP(u', y)$ in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^1$. Thus, $\langle x, HP(x, u), u, u',\rangle$ $HP(u', y), y$ is a fault-free Hamiltonian path between *x* and *y* in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}$.

Case 2.2 Both *x* and *y* are in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^i(i \in 0, 1)$. Suppose that $x, y \in V(LeTQ_{s,k+1}^0)$. There exists a Hamiltonian path *HP*(*x*, *y*) between *x* and *y* in *LeTQ*⁰_{*s*,*k*+1}</sub>. Since $2^{s+k-1} - 2(s-2) \ge 2$, we always can choose an edge (u_0, v_0) in $HP(x, y)$ such that the two crossing edges (u_0, u_1) and (v_0, v_1) are fault-free. Since $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^1$ is Hamiltonian connected, there is a fault-free Hamiltonian path $HP(u_1, v_1)$ between u_1 and v_1 in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^1$. Thus, $\langle x, u_0 \rangle$, $\langle u_0, u_1 \rangle$, $HP(u_1, v_1)$, $\langle v_1, v_0 \rangle$, $\langle v_0, y \rangle$ is a fault-free Hamiltonian path between *x* and *y* in $LeTQ(s, k + 1)$.

Case 3 The faults are scattered in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^0$, E_c and $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^1$. Without loss of generality, suppose that f_l is the greatest of *f_l*, *f_r* and *f_c*. Then *f_l* \leq *s* − 3 and *f_r* + *f_c* \leq *s* − 3. We have the following cases.

Case 3.1 *x* and *y* are in different $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^i$ (*i* ∈ {0, 1}). Suppose that $x \in V(\text{Le}TQ_{s,k+1}^0)$ and $y \in V(\text{Le}TQ_{s,k+1}^1)$. Select a fault-free vertex $u \in V(LTQ_t)$ in $V(LeTQ_{s,k+1}^0) - \{x\}$ such that the neighbour $u'(u' \neq y)$ in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^1$ and the edge (u, u') are both fault-free. Since $LeTQ_{s,k}$ is $(s - 2)$ -Hamiltonian connected, there is a Hamiltonian path *HP*(*x*, *u*) in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^0$ and a Hamiltonian path $HP(u', y)$ in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^1$. Thus,

 $\langle x, HP(x, u), u, u', HP(u', y), y \rangle$ is a fault-free Hamiltonian path between *x* and *y* in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}$.

Case 3.2 Both *x* and *y* are in *LeTQ*^{*i*}_{*s,k+1*} (*i* ∈ {0, 1}). Suppose that $x, y \in V(\text{LETQ}_{s,k+1}^0)$. Since $\text{LerQ}_{s,k}$ is $(s-2)$ -Hamiltonian connected, there is a fault-free Hamiltonian path *HP*(*x*, *y*) in *LeT*Q⁰_{*s*,*k*+1}. Because $2^{s+k-1} - 3 - (s - 3) > 2(s - 1)$ 3), there is an edge (u_0, v_0) in $HP(x, y)$ such that u'_0 s and v'_0 *s* neighbours *u*₁ and *v*₁ in *LeTQ*¹_{*s*,*k*+1}, (*u*₀, *u*₁), and (*v*₀, *v*₁) are all fault-free. By the condition of the lemma, there is a Hamiltonian path $HP(u_1, v_1)$ between u_1 and v_1 in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}^1$. Thus, $\langle x, u_0 \rangle + \langle u_0, u_1 \rangle + HP(u_1, v_1) + \langle v_1, v_0 \rangle + \langle v_0, y \rangle$ is a faultfree Hamiltonian path between *x* and *y* in $LeTQ_{s,k+1}$.

Theorem 3 For $s \ge 2$, $t \ge 3$, and $s \le t$, LeTQ_{s,t} is $(s - 2)$ -Hamiltonian connected.

Proof We prove this by induction on *t*. It is clearly holds for $LeTQ_{s,s}$ ($s \ge 3$) by Lemmas 8 and 9. Suppose that $LeTQ_{s,k}$ (3 ≤ $t = k$) is ($s - 1$)-Hamiltonian and ($s - 2$)- Hamiltonian connected. By Lemma 10, the conclusion holds for $t = k + 1$. We easily obtain that *LeTQ*²,*^t* is Hamiltonian connected by Lemma 4. Thus *LeTQ_{s,t}* is (s − 2)-Hamiltonian connected. \Box

Theorem 4 For $s \ge 2$, $t \ge 3$, and $s \le t$, let *a* and *b* be two different vertices in *LeTQs*,*^t*. There exists an (*N*log*N*) time algorithm which can construct a Hamiltonian path and Hamiltonian cycle between *a* and *b* in $LeTQ_{s,t}$, where *N* is the number of vertices of *LeTQs*,*^t*.

Proof In a graph *LeTQ_{s,t}* with fault elements, given a source vertex $a = (a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, \ldots, a_0)$ and a target vertex $b =$ $(b_{n-1}, b_{n-2}, \ldots, b_0)$. Our algorithm needs to output a fault-free Hamilton path from *a* to *b*. We first select a as the starting vertex and record the vertices in the path with the linear table *P*. Add vertices *a* and *b* to *P*, and then find any vertex a_1 that is adjacent to a but does not join path P . Next, vertex a_1 is added to *P* to further find any vertex a_2 adjacent to a_1 but not added to path *P*. Until a vertex *v* is reached, all its fault-free adjacent vertices have been added to *P*. In this case, if *P* contains all fault-free vertices and vertex *b* is adjacent to vertex *b*, then the construction is successful. *P* is a Hamiltonian path from *a* to *b*. Otherwise, other fault-free adjacent vertices of vertex a are selected to perform the above process. Until the construction is successful or all the fault-free adjacent vertices of vertex *b* are searched, the return fails.

We use $T(n)$ to represent the time complexity of Algorithm 1 (Algorithm 2), for $n = s+t+1$. In the algorithm description, iP^*0 (or *jP*[∗]1) means adding 1 bit *i* (or *j*) to each vertex on the path *P*[∗]0 (or *P*[∗]1), where *P*[∗]0 and *P*[∗]1 represent the Hamiltonian path on *LeTQs*[−]1,*^t*. Therefore, statement 14 of Algorithms takes *O*(*N*). It takes $2T(n_1)$ to find the Hamiltonian Path P^*0 (Hamiltonian cycle) and Hamiltonian Path *P*[∗]1 (Hamiltonian cycle). It is easy to verify that when $s = 2$ and $t = 3$, $T(6) = O(1)$.

From the above discussion, the following recursive equation can be obtained:

$$
T(n) = 2(T(n-1)) + O(2^n), (n \ge 6).
$$

Therefore, $T(n) = O(N \log N)$.

4 Simulations and experiments

In this section, we will verify the effectiveness of the algorithm

Input: Starting node *a*, ending node *b*; Available node set *A*; Node set *P*. **Output:** A Hamiltonian path *P* in $LeTQ_{s,t}$ -*F* or return failure; 1: **if** $A = \emptyset$ **then** 2: **if** *a* is the neighbour of *b* **then**; 3: **return** (true, *P*); 4: **else** 5: **return** (false, *P*); 6: **end if** 7: **else** 8: **while** there exists a neighbor a' of a such that $a' \in A$ **do**; 9: $A = A - \{a'\};$ 10: $P = P \cup \{a'\};$ 11: (*v*, *P*∗)=conHC(*a* , *b*, *A*, *P*) 12: **if** $A = \emptyset$ **then** 13: **if** *w* is a neighbor of *t* **then** 14: return (true**-***P*); 15: **else** 16: return (false**-***P*); 17: **end if** 18: **else** 19: **while** there exists a neighbor *w'* of *w* such that $w' \in A$ **do**

Algorithm 2 Fault-tolerant hamiltonian path (*a*, *b*, *A*, *P*)

20: $A = A - \{w'\};$ 21: $P = P \cup \{w'\};$ 22: $(b, P') = \text{conPath}(w', t, A, P)$ 23: **if** b==true **then** 24: return (true**-***P*); 25: **else** 26: $A = A \cup \{w'\};$ 27: $P = P - \{w'\};$ 28: **end if** 29: **end while** 30: **end if** 31: **if** $v =$ true **then** 32: **return** (true,*P*∗); 33: **else** 34: $A = A \cup \{a'\};$ 35: $P = P - \{a'\};$ 36: **end if** 37: **end while** 38: **end if** 39: **return** (false,*P*);

Hamiltonian Cycle through simulation experiments. Our experimental platform consists of three CPUs with Intel (R) Xeon (R) E5420/8 core/2.50GHz and 32GB memory. The operating system is Ubuntu 16.04 Linux. Based on the algorithm Hamiltonian Cycle, we also write the corresponding C language program, and generate an executable program through the GCC compiler. The simulation experiment shows how to constructs Hamiltonian cycles on *LeTQs*,*^t* network.

In a faulty *LeTQs*,*^t*, we first select a fault free vertex *s* as the starting vertex, and record the vertices in the cycle with vertex set *C*. Add a vertex *s* to *C*, and then find any vertex $s¹$ adjacent to *s* but not joined in cycle *C*. Next, add vertex $s¹$ to *C*, and further find any vertex s^2 that is adjacent to s^1 but does not join cycle *C*. Until a vertex *t* is reached, all its fault free adjacency vertices have been added to *C*. In this case, if *C* contains all the fault free vertices and vertex *t* is the adjacency vertex of vertex *s*, then the construction is successful, and *C* is the Hamiltonian cycle. Otherwise, select other fault free adjacent contacts of vertex *s* to perform the above process. Until the construction is successful or all the fault free adjacency vertices of vertex *s* are found, return failure.

In the experiment, we first simulate *LeTQ*1,1, *LeTQ*1,2, *LeTQ*1,3, *LeTQ*1,4, *LeTQ*1,5, *LeTQ*2,2, *LeTQ*2,³ networks according to the definition of locally exchanged twisted cube networks. Then we run the corresponding programs of the algorithm Hamiltonian Cycle to construct corresponding Hamiltonian cycles on *LeTQ*1,1, *LeTQ*1,2, *LeTQ*1,3, *LeTQ*1,4, *LeTQ*1,5, *LeTQ*2,2, *LeTQ*2,³ networks (See Fig. 10). The experimental results further verify the validity of the algorithm Hamiltonian cycle.

We compare the time consumption of *N* from 1-Dimension to 10-Dimension by using Algorithms 1 and 2, for $N = s + t + 1$. The results are illustrated in Fig. 11. It shows that the time consumption for constructing a Hamiltonian path is approximately equal when $N = 3$, 5 or $N = 6$, 8. The trend of time consumption of constructing a Hamiltonian path is similar to that of constructing a Hamiltonian cycle. It can be explained by the proof of Theorems 2 and 3. For $s \ge 2$ and $t \ge 3$, a Hamiltonian path is constructed by calling the function *HC* in Algorithm 2. Compared with the construction of Hamiltonian cycles, the time consumption of constructing Hamiltonian paths is slightly higher in the same dimension. The experimental results show that the algorithms have good performance and simulation results indicate that both the time complexity of Algorithms 1 and 2 meet *O*(*N*log*N*).

5 Conclusions

We studied the tolerant Hamiltonian properties of a faulty locally exchanged twisted cube, $LeTQ_{s,t} - (f_v + f_e)$, with f_v faulty vertices and f_e faulty edges. We showed that an $LeTQ_{s,t}$ can

tolerate a set F of up to $s - 1$ faulty vertices and edges when embedding a Hamiltonian cycle provided that $s \geq 2$, $t \geq 3$, and $s \leq t$. We have also showed another result that there is a Hamiltonian path between any two distinct fault-free vertices in a faulty $LeTQ_{s,t}$ with up to $s - 2$ faulty vertices and edges provided that $s \ge 2$, $t \ge 3$, and $s \le t$. The results are optimal that the fault-tolerant Hamiltonicity of $LeTQ_{s,t}$ is at most $s - 1$, and the fault-tolerant Hamiltonian connectivity is at most *s* − 2. This paper reveals the fact that faulty $LeTQ_{s,t}$ nearly remains the fault-tolerant Hamiltonicity although it has about one half edges of *LTQn*. Although the architecture of locally exchanged twisted cube has not been really applied in practice, it brings opportunities for future parallel systems.

Acknowledgements We would like to express our sincerest appreciation to Prof. Guoliang Chen for his constructive suggestions. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. U1905211, 61872196, 61902195 and 61972272), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20200753), Natural Science Fund for Colleges and Universities in Jiangsu Province (General Program, 19KJB520045), NUPTSF (NY219151, NY219131).

Fig. 10 Hamiltonian cycles in *LeTQs*,*^t*

References

- 1. Lin L M, Hsieh S Y, Xu L, Zhou S M, Chen R Q. The relationship between extra connectivity and conditional diagnosability of regular graphs under the PMC model. Journal of Computer System and Sciences, 2018, 95: 1–18
- 2. Guo L. Reliability analysis of twisted cubes. Theoretical Computer Science, 2018, 707: 96–101
- 3. Lin L M, Hsieh S Y, Chen R Q, Xu L, Lee C W. The relationship between *g*-restricted connectivity and *g*-good-neighbor fault diagnosability of general regular networks. IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 2018, 67(1): 285–296
- 4. Wang D. Hamiltonian embedding in crossed cubes with failed links. IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, 2012, 23(11): 2117– 2124
- 5. Fan J, Jia X, Lin X. Embedding of cycles in twisted cubes with edgepancyclic. Algorithmica, 2008, 51(3): 264–282
- 6. Liu Z, Fan J, Zhou J, Cheng B, Jia X. Fault-tolerant embedding of complete binary trees in locally twisted cubes. Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing, 2017, 101: 69–78
- 7. Wei C C, Hsieh S Y. *h*-Restricted connectivity of locally twisted cubes. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 2017, 217: 330–339
- 8. Huang Y, Lin L, Wang D, Xu L. Minimum neighborhood of alternating group graphs. IEEE Access, 2019, 7: 17299–17311
- 9. Zhai Y, Lin L, Xu L, Zhang X, Huang Y. The conditional diagnosability with *g*-good-neighbor of exchanged hypercubes. The Computer Journal, 2019, 62(5): 747–756
- 10. Zhou D F, Fan J X, Lin C K, Cheng B L, Zhou J Y, Liu Z. Optimal path embedding in the exchanged crossed cube. Journal of Computer Science and Technology, 2017, 32 (3): 618–629
- 11. Ren Y, Wang S. The *g*-good-neighbour diagnosability of locally twisted cubes. Theoretical Computer Science, 2017, 697: 91–97
- 12. Yang X, Evans D J, Megson G M. The locally twisted cubes. International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 2005, 82(4): 401–413
- 13. Fan W B, Fan J X, Lin C K, Wang G J, Cheng B L, Wang R C. An efficient algorithm for embedding exchanged hypercubes into grids. The Journal of Supercomputing, 2019, 75(2): 783–807
- 14. Loh P K K, Hsu W J, Pan Y. The exchanged hypercube. IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, 2005, 16(9): 866–874
- 15. Zhang Z, Deng Y, Min G, Xie J, Huang S. ExCCC-DCN: a highly scalable, cost-effective and energy-efficient data center structure. IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, 2017, 28(4): 1046–1060
- 16. Chang J M, Chen X R, Yang J S, Wu R Y. Locally exchanged twisted cubes: connectivity and super connectivity. Information Processing Letters, 2016, 116(7): 460–466
- 17. Fan W B, Fan J X, Lin C K, Wang Y, Han Y J, Wang R C. Optimally embedding 3-ary *n*-cubes into grids. Journal of Computer Science and Technology, 2019, 34(2): 372–387
- 18. Lin L M, Xu L, Zhou S M, Hsieh S Y. The *t*/*k*-Diagnosability for regular networks. IEEE Transactions on Computers, 2016, 65(10): 3157–3170
- 19. Lin L M, Xu L, Zhou S M, Hsieh S Y. The extra, restricted connectivity and conditional diagnosability of split-star networks. IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, 2016, 27(2): 533–545
- 20. Cheng E, Qiu K, Shen S. Diagnosability problems of the exchanged hypercube and its generalization. International Journal of Computer Mathematics: Computer Systems Theory, 2017, 2(2): 39–52
- 21. Cheng E, Qiu K, Shen Z. A strong connectivity property of the generalized exchanged hypercube. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 2017, 216: 529–536
- 22. Guo L, Su G, Lin W, Chen J. Fault tolerance of locally twisted cubes. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2018, 334: 401–406
- 23. Guo L, Guo X. Fault tolerance of hypercubes and folded hypercubes. The Journal of Supercomputing, 2014, 68(3): 1235–1240
- 24. Wei C C, Chen C A, Hsieh S Y. Conditional (*t*, *k*)-diagnosis in regular and irregular graphs under the comparison diagnosis model. IEEE Transactions on Dependable Security and Computation, 2018, 15(2): 351–356
- 25. Lin L M, Xu L, Zhou S M, Xiang Y, Trustworthiness-hypercube-based reliable communication in mobile social networks. Information Sciences, 2016, 369: 34–50
- 26. Huang Y, Lin L, Wang D. On the reliability of alternating group graphbased networks. Theoretical Computer Science, 2018, 728: 9–28
- 27. Li X, Liu B, Ma M, Xu J. Many-to-many disjoint paths in hypercubes with faulty vertices. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 2017, 217: 229–242
- 28. Lu H, Wang F. Hamiltonian paths passing through prescribed edges in balanced hypercubes. Theoretical Computer Science, 2019, 761: 23–33
- 29. Liu H, Hu X, Gao S. Hamiltonian cycles and paths in faulty twisted hypercubes. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 2019, 257: 243–249
- 30. Xu X, Huang Y, Zhang P, Zhang S. Fault-tolerant vertex-pancyclicity of locally twisted cubes LTQ_n . Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing, 2016, 88: 57–62
- 31. Cheng D, Hao R. Various cycles embedding in faulty balanced hypercubes. Information Sciences, 2015, 297: 140–153
- 32. Cheng C W, Hsieh S Y. Fault-tolerant cycle embedding in cartesian product graphs: edge-pancyclicity and edge-bipancyclicity with faulty edges. IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, 2015, 26(11): 2997–3011
- 33. Lv Y L, Lin C K, Fan J X, Jia X H. Hamiltonian cycle and path embeddings in 3-ary *n*-cubes based on *K*1,3-structure faults. Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing, 2018, 120: 148–158
- 34. Garey M R, Johnson D S. Computers and Intractability: a Guide to The Theory of NP-Completeness. United States of America: W. H. Freeman and Company, 1979
- 35. Hsieh S Y, Wu C Y. Edge-fault-tolerant hamiltonicity of locally twisted cubes under conditional edge faults. Journal of Combinatorial Optimization, 2010, 19(1): 16–30
- 36. Xu X, Zhai W, Xu J, Deng A, Yang Y. Fault-tolerant edge-pancyclicity of locally twisted cubes. Information Sciences, 2011, 181(11): 2268–2277

Weibei Fan received the BS and MS degrees from Henan University of Urban Construction and Henan University, China in 2011 and 2014, respectively, and received the PhD degree in Computer Science from Soochow University, China from 2019. He is currently an associate professor in computer science at Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, China. His research in-

terests include parallel and distributed systems, cloud computing, and interconnection networks.

Jianxi Fan received the BS, MS, and PhD degrees in Computer Science from the Shandong Normal University, Shandong University, and the City University of Hong Kong, China in 1988, 1991, and 2006, respectively. He is currently a professor in the School of Computer Science and Technology at the Soochow University, China. He was a visiting scholar in the Department of Computer

Science at Montclair State University (May 2017-August 2017) and a senior research fellow in the Department of Computer Science at the City University of Hong Kong (May 2012-August 2012). His research interests include parallel and distributed systems, interconnection architectures, data center networks, algorithms, and graph theory.

Zhijie Han received the MS and PhD degrees computer science from Henan University and Soochow University, China in 2006 and 2009, respectively. He is currently a vice professor in the school of computer and information engineering, Henan University, China. His research interests include parallel and distributed computing, cloud computing, and big data.

Peng Li received the PhD degree from Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications (NUPT), China in 2013. Currently, he is an associate professor and Master Supervisor in NUPT. He has managed a number of research projects supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and research projects supported by the Ministry of Jiangsu Province. His main re-

search interests include parallel and distributed computing, cloud computing computer communication networks (especially in Wireless Sensor Networks, Ad Hoc Network) and information security.

Yujie Zhang received the BS and MS degrees from Henan Polytechnic University and Henan University, China in 2012 and 2016, respectively. He is currently working toward the PhD degree in information security at Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, China. His research interests include cloud computing, cooperative communications, and information security.

Ruchuan Wang researched on graphic processing at the University of Bremen and program design theory Ludwig Maximilian Muenchen Unitversitaet, German from 1984 to 1992. He is currently a professor and the PhD supervisor in the School of Computer, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, China. His major research interests include parallel and distributed systems,

wireless sensor networks and information security.