ORIGINAL PAPER



Separation of cerium(IV) and yttrium(III) from citrate medium by solvent extraction using D2EHPA in kerosene

E. M. Abu Elgoud¹ · Z. H. Ismail¹ · Y. A. El-Nadi¹ · H. F. Aly¹

Received: 19 October 2019 / Accepted: 28 January 2020 / Published online: 11 February 2020 © Institute of Chemistry, Slovak Academy of Sciences 2020

Abstract

The extraction and separation of cerium(IV) and yttrium(III) from citric acid solution using Di-2-ethylhexyl phosphoric acid, D2EHPA, in kerosene was investigated. The effects of the different parameters on the extraction process, including the shaking time, extractant concentration, phase ratio, acid concentration as well as, temperature, were separately investigated to achieve the maximum possible separation between Ce(IV) and Y(III). The extraction constant was modeled based on the most predominant citrate cationic species at pH 4.0. The stoichiometry of the extracted species was found to be $[Ce(H_2Cit)_2 \cdot 2A(HA)]$ and $[Y(H_2Cit) \cdot 2A(HA)]$, (where HA denotes D2EHPA), based on the slope analysis method applied on the obtained results. The mean conditional extraction constants values $K_{C.ex}$ were found to be $4.15 \times 10^2 \pm 0.05$ and $5.36 \pm 0.28 \times 10^3$ for Ce(IV) and Y(III), respectively. The thermodynamic functions associated with the extraction reaction were evaluated and discussed. It was found that the extraction process is spontaneous and exothermic for both metal ions. Possible separation of Y(III) from Ce(IV) was given in terms of the separation factors at different extraction conditions.

Keywords Extraction · REEs · Citric acid · D2EHPA · Separation

Introduction

Cerium and yttrium are rare earth elements (REEs) that find many applications and uses in new technology. Cerium oxide is considered as one of the most effective agents for polishing of optical components. Cerium metal is typically added to aluminum to enhance aluminum corrosion resistance (Emsley 2011). Yttrium oxide is used in the composition of phosphors emitting wavelengths of red, blue and green (Smets 1987). It is additionally utilized in the production of alloys, electrodes, electrolytes, electronic filters, lasers and superconductors. Among organophosphorus extractants, 2-ethylhexylphosphonic acid mono-2-ethylhexyl ester (EHEHPA) and D2EHPA are the most effective extractants for the separation of REEs. Solvent extraction processes for separation and purification of rare earths have been reviewed (Li 2017, 2019; Yang et al. 2017; Jha et al. 2016; Binnemans et al. 2015; Xie et al. 2014; Binnemans et al. 2013). The separation between Nd(III), Dy(III), and Y(III)

E. M. Abu Elgoud elsayedmustafa36@yahoo.com from hydrochloric acid solution by D2EHPA and EHEHPA separately and in mixtures has been investigated by Mohammadi et al. (2015). They reported that a mixture of D2EHPA and EHEHPA provides a better separation of Y(III) from Dy(III). Khaironiel et al. (2014) investigated the extraction of certain lanthanides using D2EHPA from nitric acid and sulphuric acid solutions. They found that the extraction ability of the investigated lanthanides by D2EHPA decreased in the sequence of Ce(IV) > Nd(III) > La(III). Yin et al. studied the separation of certain lanthanides using lactic acid and citric acid with D2EHPA. They showed that it could not only improve the extraction efficiency, but also increases the separation factor between certain lanthanides (Yin et al. 2013, 2014). The extraction of lanthanides La, Pr and Er from citric acid solutions using D2EHPA in kerosene has been studied by Abu Elgoud et al. (2019). They concluded that the extraction depends on the acid and extractant concentration. The order of the conditional extraction constants follows the sequence, $Er(III) \gg La(III) \ge Pr(III)$. The separation factor of Er(III) from La(III) and Pr(III) increases by the increase of extractant concentration from 1.0 mol/L citric acid solution at pH 4.0 and decreases by the increase of citric acid concentration. The recovery of Y(III) by pseudoemulsion-hollow fiber strip dispersion (PEHFSD) system

¹ Hot Laboratories Center, Atomic Energy Authority, Cairo 13759, Egypt

using D2EHPA as a carrier has been investigated by Pirom et al. (2018). Results indicated that, the PEHFSD system was found to be suitable for the simultaneous extraction and recovery of Y(III) from acidic nitrate medium in a single contactor using D2EHPA in kerosene as the carrier. The PEHFSD system exhibited 99% extraction and 98% stripping. Davarkhah et al. (2018), investigated the selective separation of yttrium(III) through a liquid membrane system using 2-thenoyltrifuoroacetone (HTTA) as an extractant carrier. They reported that yttrium(III) ions are liable to be separated effectively from strontium ions in aqueous solutions by the chloroform liquid membrane containing HTTA as a carrier. The optimum conditions for the transport of vttrium include: the donor phase of pH 5.4 with 1% (v/v) n-propylamine PA, the liquid membrane containing 0.02 M HTTA in dichloromethane, and 1.0 M nitric acid solution as a receiving phase. Extraction kinetics of Ho(III) and Y (III) with D2EHPA using a Hollow Fiber were reported by Yoshizuka et al. (1990). Results showed that the diffusional effects were reasonably explained by the diffusion model accompanied by an interfacial reaction, taking into account of the velocity distributions of the aqueous and organic phases through the inner and outer sides of a hollow fiber. Lim et al. (1996), described kinetic studies of solvent extraction of rare earths into DEHPA using radiotracers (¹⁴¹Ce, ¹⁵²Eu, ¹⁵³Gd, ¹⁶⁰Tb and ⁸⁸Y) in a modified Lewis cell. The initial rate extraction was first order with respect to individual RE concentration. At low acidities, the extraction rates of REs were equal and independent of pH. TOPS 99, an equivalent to di-2-ethylhexyl phosphoric acid has been employed for the extraction and separation of a mixture of rare earths (four LREs La, Ce, Pr, Nd, and seven HREs like Tb, Dy, Y, Ho, Er, Yb and Lu) into some fractions from phosphoric acid solutions. From the acid and extractant effects, 0.1 and 1 mol/L TOPS 99 were suitable for the separation of a mixture of REs into three concentrates at 3 mol/L acid, which is similar to wet phosphoric acid solutions (Radhika et al. 2011). Wang and Sun et al. studied the selective extraction and separation of yttrium from heavy lanthanide by secnonylphenoxy acetic acid (CA-100) in the presence of the complexing agent such as ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA). They concluded that the separation factors of yttrium with respect to heavy lanthanide increased in the presence of the complexing agent (Sun 2005; Wang et al. 2004; Sun et al. 2006).

In a previous work, the leaching efficiency for different lanthanides present in phosphogypsum fertilizer (PGF) by 1.0 mL/L citric acid solution, was found to be in the order Ce (88.3%) > Y (40.7%) (Gasser et al. 2019). In this system, the different parameters affecting the extraction process of Ce(IV) and Y(III) was investigated such as; shaking time, extractant concentration, phase ratio, acid concentration as well as, temperature were investigated. Based on the data obtained, the data was successfully modeled by empirical equation for conditional extraction constant, which verify the experimental results. Furthermore, the separation feasibility of the studied rare earth elements based on the difference in their extraction behaviors was also assessed

Experimental

Materials

Cerium(IV) (1.0 g/L) stock solution was prepared by dissolving a certain amount of cerium ammonium nitrate $(Ce(NH_4)_2(NO_3)_6)$ obtained from Aldrich in double distilled water, whereas stock solution of Y(III) (1.0 g/L) was prepared by dissolving a known amount of the metal oxide produced by Aldrich in a minimum amount of concentrated nitric acid. The obtained yttrium solution was evaporated to near dryness, washed with double distilled water until it became almost free from nitrate ions, then completed to 1 L. Required concentrations of the investigated metal ions were prepared from the stock solutions by dilution. The extractant D2EHPA was purchased from Aldrich. The odorless kerosene was used as a diluent for the extractant and obtained from Misr Petroleum Company, Egypt. The total lanthanide concentrations in the studied samples were determined spectrophotometrically by the Arsenazo III method (Marczenko 1976). All other reagents were used as supplied without further purification.

Extraction procedure

Solvent extraction was performed by shaking equal volumes (5.0 mL) of aqueous and organic phases until equilibrium using a water-thermostated shaker of the type G.F.L 1083, Germany at 298 ± 1 K. The concentration of rare earth ions in the aqueous phase was determined spectrophotometrically using a UV-visible spectrophotometer model Shimadzu, UV-160A, Japan. The distribution coefficient (*D*), extraction efficiency (*E*) and separation factor (SF) were obtained from the following equations (Rydberg et al. 1992):

$$D = \frac{[M]_{\rm t} - [M]_{\rm a}}{[M]_{\rm a}},\tag{1}$$

$$\%E = \frac{100D}{D + (V_{\rm aq}/V_{\rm org})},$$
(2)

$$SF = \frac{D_1}{D_2},\tag{3}$$

where $[M]_t$ is the original metal concentration in the aqueous phase before extraction, $[M]_a$ is the metal concentration after

extraction, V_{aq} is the volume of the aqueous solution and V_{org} is the volume of the organic solution.

Results and discussion

Extraction of Ce(IV) and Y(III) with D2EHPA extractant in kerosene

Effect of shaking time

The effect of shaking time on the extraction of Ce(IV) and Y(III) (500 mg/L each) from 1.0 mol/L citric acid using 0.02 mol/L D2EHPA in kerosene was studied in shaking time range of 5.0-60.0 min, Fig. 1. The results illustrated that as the time increases from 5.0 to 10.0 min, the % E increased from about 13.2-15.84% and from 57.22 to 68.34 for Ce(IV) and Y(III), respectively. After that, the % *E* slightly decreases as time increases for both metal ions. Therefore, the required time for extraction of the studied metal ions was adjusted at 10.0 min in the following experiments.

Effect of D2EHPA concentration

The effect of extractant concentration in kerosene in the range 0.01–0.08 mol/L on the extraction of 0.5 g/L Y(III) from 1.0 mol/L citric acid medium was studied. In case of Ce(IV) the change of D2EHPA concentration was within the range 0.02–0.08 mol/L. The obtained results are given in Fig. 2. It is clear that the extraction efficiency increased with the increase in concentration of D2EHPA. However,



Fig. 1 Effect of shaking time on the extraction of Ce(IV) and Y(III) (500 mg/L each) from 1.0 mol/L citric acid solution using 0.02 mol/L D2EHPA in kerosene at pH 4.0, A/O = 1.0 and 25 °C



2463



Fig. 2 Effect of D2EHPA concentration on the extraction of Ce(IV) and Y(III) from 1.0 mol/L citric acid solution at pH 4, A/O=1.0 and 25 °C

0.02 mol/L D2EHPA was used as it enables separation between Ce(IV) and Y(III).

Effect of phase ratio

The effect of changing aqueous to organic phase ratio (A/O)on the extraction of Ce(IV) and Y(III) from 1.0 mol/L citric acid with 0.02 mol/L D2EHPA in kerosene was investigated. The obtained results are given in Fig. 3. For Y(III), as the phase ratio increased from 1:1 to 1:6, the extraction efficiency (% E) decreased from 68.1 to 11.0%. In case of Ce(IV), as the phase ratio increased from 1:1 to 1:4, the % E decreased from about 14.97–3.08%. Therefore, the phase



Fig.3 Effect of phase ratio on the extraction of Ce(IV) and Y(III)from 1.0 mol/L citric acid solution using D2EHPA in kerosene at pH 4.0 and 25 °C

ratio of 1.0 was selected as a suitable ratio for the extraction process.

Effect of citric acid concentration

The extraction behavior of Ce(IV) and Y(III) by D2EHPA (0.02 mol/L) from citric acid solution of different concentrations ranging from 0 to 1.5 mol/L was investigated. While for Ce(IV), the investigated concentration range was from 0.5 to 1.5 mol/L due to its precipitation at pH equals to 2.50 (in the absence of citric acid). The obtained results indicated that, as the citric acid concentration increases the extraction of metals decreases, Fig. 4. This decrease is related to the formation of less extracted metal ions citrate complexes in the aqueous phase.

Modeling of the extraction equilibrium

The aforementioned results indicated that the extraction of the investigated Ce(IV) and Y(III) from 1.0 mol/L at pH 4.0 citric acid solution increased by the increase of D2EHPA molar concentration and decreased by the increase of citric acid molar concentration. Furthermore, due to the buffering effect of citric acid, the pH of citric acid solution has limited effect on the extraction for metal ions investigated. This behavior can be modeled based on the reaction between the main metal cationic ion species at pH 4.0 and the main species of citric acid at the same pH.

Citric acid (H₃Cit) is considered as a tribasic acid with $pK_{a1} = 3.13$, $pK_{a2} = 4.76$ and $pK_{a3} = 6.40$ at 25 °C (Lide 2004). According to MEDUSA software (www.kemi.kth. se/medusa), the speciation diagram for 1.0 mol/L citric acid as function of pH is given in Fig. 5. From this figure,



Fig. 4 Effect of citric acid concentration on the extraction of Ce(IV) and Y(III) using 0.02 mol/L D2EHPA in kerosene at pH 4, O:A = 1.0 and T = 25 °C



Fig. 5 Equilibrium diagram of 1.0 mol/L citric acid in pH range (1.0–5.0)

more than 77.0% of the citric acid solution is present as $[H_2Cit]^-$ at pH 4.0. Therefore, it is expected that this species represents the main ligand responsible for complex formation with the different lanthanides ions.

In aqueous solution of pH 4.0, Ce(IV) is present mainly in the free cationic form (Charles and Rabert 1976). In case of yttrium, under the same conditions, a main hydrolyzed species is in the form $[Y(OH)]^{2+}$. Therefore, we can assume that in 1.0 mol/L citric acid solution at pH 4.0, interaction of $(H_2Cit)^-$ with tetravalent cerium and yttrium ions results in formation of $[Ce(H_2Cit)_4]$ and $[Y(H_2Cit)_2 \cdot OH]$, respectively.

Providing that D2EHPA is a dimer in kerosene, and extracts cationic species in the organic phase, we can assume that the extraction of the former species will follow the general extraction equilibrium for the investigated metal ions:

$$[\operatorname{Ln}(\operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{Cit})_{m-x} \cdot (\operatorname{OH})_{x}]_{\operatorname{aq}} + n(\operatorname{HA})_{2\operatorname{org}} \rightleftharpoons [\operatorname{Ln} (\operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{Cit})_{m-n} \cdot nA(\operatorname{HA})_{2}]_{\operatorname{org}} + (n-x)\operatorname{H}_{3}\operatorname{Cit} + x\operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{O},$$

$$(4)$$

where n = 2.0 or 3.0, x = 0 or 1.0 and m = 3.0 or 4.0. (HA)₂ denotes D2EHPA in dimer form.

In case of Ce(IV), the extraction from 1.0 mol/L citric acid solution and pH 4.0 by 0.02 mol/L D2EHPA in kerosene could be represented at equilibrium by

$$\operatorname{Ce}(\operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{Cit})_{4 \operatorname{aq}} + 2(\operatorname{HA})_{2\operatorname{org}} \rightleftharpoons \left[\operatorname{Ce}(\operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{Cit})_{2} \cdot 2\operatorname{A}(\operatorname{HA})\right]_{\operatorname{org}} + 2\operatorname{H}_{3}\operatorname{Cit}_{\operatorname{aq}}.$$
(5)

The conditional extraction constant $K_{C.ex}$ can be expressed as

$$K_{\text{C.ex}} = \frac{\left[\text{Ce}\left(\text{H}_{2}\text{Cit}\right)_{2} \cdot 2\text{A}(\text{HA})\right]_{\text{org}}\left[\text{H}_{3}\text{Cit}\right]_{\text{aq}}^{2}}{\left[\text{Ce}\left(\text{H}_{2}\text{Cit}\right)_{4}\right]_{\text{aq}}\left[(\text{HA})_{2}\right]_{\text{org}}^{2}},$$
(6)

and the distribution ratio is considered as

Table 1 Conditional extraction constants $K_{C,ex}$ for Ce(IV) and Y (III) extraction by different concentrations of D2EHPA in kerosene

D2EHPA, mol/L	K _{C.ex}		
	$\overline{\text{Ce(IV)} \times 10^2}$	$Y(III) \times 10^3$	
0.01	-	5.8	
0.02	4.25	5.50	
0.04	4.19	5.6	
0.06	4.17	5.34	
0.08	4.14		
$K_{\rm ex}$ (average)	4.19 ± 0.05	5.56 ± 0.19	

1.0 mol/L citric acid at pH 4.0

Table 2 Conditional extraction constants $K_{C.ex}$ for Ce(IV) and Y(III) extraction by D2EHPA in kerosene at different citric acid concentrations

Citric acid, mol/L	K _{C.ex}		
	$\overline{\text{Ce(IV)} \times 10^2}$	$Y(III) \times 10^3$	
0.5	4.06	3.88	
0.7	4.17	4.64	
1	4.20	5.38	
1.5	3.94	6.75	
$K_{\rm ex}$ (average)	4.10 ± 0.12	5.16 ± 1.22	

0.02 mol/L D2EHPA at pH 4.0

$$D = \frac{\left[\operatorname{Ce}(\operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{Cit})_{2} \cdot 2\operatorname{A}(\operatorname{HA})\right]_{\operatorname{org}}}{\left[\operatorname{Ce}(\operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{Cit})_{4}\right]_{\operatorname{aq}}}.$$
(7)

Therefore, the conditional extraction constant, $K_{C.ex}$, is given as

$$K_{\text{C.ex}} = \frac{D[\text{H}_{3}\text{Cit}]_{\text{aq}}^{2}}{[(\text{HA})_{2}]_{\text{org}}^{2}}.$$
(8)

This model can be linearized to give

$$\log D = \log K_{\text{C.ex}} + 2\log \left[(\text{HA})_2 \right]_{\text{org}} - 2\log \left[\text{H}_3 \text{Cit} \right]_{\text{aq}}.$$
 (9)

Different values of the conditional extraction constant were calculated from Eq. (8) at different D2EHPA and citric acid concentrations and given in Tables 1 and 2. The mean value of $K_{\text{C.ex}}$ for Ce(IV) extraction by D2EHPA was found to be $(4.15 \pm 0.05) \times 10^2$.

Using this average conditional extraction constant obtained for Ce(IV), $K_{C.ex}$, the distribution coefficient, D, was calculated based on Eq. (8) and compared with that obtained from experimental procedures and presented in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. The calculated values were

D2EHPA, mol/L	Ce(IV)		Y(III)	
	D _{experimented}	D _{calculated}	$\overline{D_{\text{experimented}}}$	D _{calculated}
0.005	_	_	_	_
0.01	_	-	0.58	0.54
0.015	-	-	_	_
0.02	0.17	0.17	2.20	2.14
0.04	0.67	0.66	8.90	8.58
0.06	1.5	1.49	19.21	19.30
0.08	2.65	2.66	-	-

Table 4 Calculated and experimental values of the distribution ratio for Ce(IV) and Y(III) at different citric acid concentrations

Citric acid, mol/L	Ce(IV)		Y(III)	
	Dexperimented	D _{calculated}	Dexperimented	D _{calculated}
0.5	0.65	0.66	3.1	4.29
0.7	0.34	0.34	2.65	3.01
1	0.168	0.166	2.15	2.14
1.5	0.07	0.07	1.8	1.43

found to be within the values experimentally determined. This supports the proposed extraction equilibrium.

On the other hand, At pH 4.0, Y(III) suffers from hydrolysis and may be presented as $[Y(OH)]^{+2}$. Therefore, the extraction equilibrium of Y(III) from 1.0 mol/L citric acid solution and pH 4.0 by 0.02 mol/L D2EHPA in kerosene is represented by

$$Y(H_2Cit)_2 \cdot OH + 2(HA)_{2org}$$

$$\Rightarrow [Y(H_2Cit) \cdot 2A(HA)]_{org} + H_3Cit + H_2O.$$
(10)

The conditional extraction constant $K_{C.ex}$ can be expressed as

$$K_{\rm C.ex} = \frac{D[\rm H_3Cit]}{\left[(\rm HA)_2\right]^2},\tag{11}$$

and linearized to give

$$\log D = \log K_{\text{C.ex}} + 2\log \left[(\text{HA})_2 \right] - \log \left[\text{H}_3 \text{Cit} \right].$$
(12)

As shown in Tables 1 and 2, the mean value of $K_{\text{C.ex}}$ for Y(III) extraction by D2EHPA was found to be $(5.36 \pm 0.28) \times 0^3$

The distribution ratios for Y(III) are calculated from Eq. (11) at different D2EHPA and citric acid concentrations. The calculated and corresponding experimental values at different D2EHPA and citric acid concentrations are presented in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. There is a great agreement between the experimental and calculated values which supports the assumed extraction mechanism.

The log–log plot between the D2EHPA concentrations and the corresponding distribution ratios, Fig. 6, gave linear relations with positive slopes of 2.0 for both Ce(IV) and Y(III). This indicates that two dimers are involved in the extracted species for the two metal ions. On the other hand, a plot between log *D* against the citric acid concentrations gives linear relations, Fig. 7. The slope of these lines were found to be -2.0 and -1.0 for Ce(IV) and Y(III), respectively. This indicates that two moles of citric acid are released in the aqueous medium during the extraction of Ce(IV) and one mole is released in case of Y(III). Therefore, the data obtained from the slopes of Figs. 6 and 7 confirm the proposed extraction mechanism.

Effect of temperature

The influence of temperature on the extraction of Ce(IV) and Y(III) (500 mg/L each) from 1.0 mol/L citric acid solution by D2EHPA was investigated through the temperature range of 15–65 °C. The obtained results show that with increasing temperature, the extraction percent of metal ions decreases, Fig. 8. The conditional extraction constant values, $K_{\text{C.ex}}$, of the extracted species were evaluated at the respective temperature degrees according to Eqs. (8 and 11).

Using the following Van't Hoff equation (Van't Hoff 1995), the standard enthalpy change (ΔH°) associated with the extraction can be calculated by

$$\ln K_{\rm C.ex} = \frac{-\Delta H^{\rm o}}{RT} + C,$$
(13)





Fig. 7 Log–log relationship between the citric acid concentrations and the corresponding distribution ratios in the extraction of Ce(IV) and Y(III) using 0.02 mol/L D2EHPA in kerosene at pH 4, O:A = 1 and 25 °C

where *R* is the universal gas constant (8.314 J/mol K), *T* is the absolute temperature (in Kelvin) and *C* is a constant. As shown in Fig. 9, ln $K_{C.ex}$ is linearly related to the reciprocal of the temperature $(1/T) \text{ K}^{-1}$; the slopes obtained from this figure were used to calculate (ΔH°). The standard free energy change (ΔG°) and the standard entropy change (ΔS°) were calculated from the following equations:

$$\Delta G^{0} = -RT \ln K_{\rm ex},\tag{14}$$



Fig.6 Log-log linear relationships between the extractant concentrations with the corresponding distribution ratios in the extraction of Ce(IV) and Y(III) from 1.0 mol/L citric acid solution at pH 4.0, O:A = 1 and 25 °C

Fig. 8 Effect of temperature on the extraction of Ce(IV) and Y(III) with 0.02 mol/L D2EHPA in kerosene from 1.0 mol/L citric acid solution at pH 4, O:A = 1



Fig.9 Relation between (ln $K_{C,ex}$) and (1/T) for the extraction of Ce(IV) and Y(III) with D2EHPA in kerosene from 1.0 mol/L citric acid solution

$$\Delta S^{\rm o} = \frac{\Delta H^{\rm o} - \Delta G^{\rm o}}{T}.\tag{15}$$

The data in Table 5 represent the calculated thermodynamic functions for Ce(IV) and Y(III).

As given from the table, the extraction of Ce(IV) and Y(III) is exothermic as indicated by the negative values of ΔH^0 . The reaction of Ce(IV) and Y(III) with D2EHPA is spontaneous in nature as referred by the negative sign of ΔG^0 values, while the positive values of (ΔS^0) show an increase in the randomness of the system during the formation of the extracted species.

Separation feasibility

The separation between Y(III) and Ce(IV) is represented by the separation factor (SF) which is given by the ratio of their distribution ratios at equilibrium as follows:

$$SF_{(Y/Ce)} = \frac{D_Y}{D_{Ce}},$$
(16)

where $D_{\rm Y}$ and $D_{\rm Ce}$ are the distribution ratios of Y (III) and Ce(IV), respectively.

Table 5 Calculated thermodynamic parameters of the extraction of Ce(IV) and Y(III) from 1.0 mol/L citric acid solution by D2EHPA in kerosene at O:A = 1

Thermodynamic parameter	Y(III)	Ce(IV)
Enthalpy change (ΔH°), kJ mol ⁻¹	-3.50	-6.21
Free energy change (ΔG°), kJ mol ⁻¹	-9.19	-6.49
Entropy change (ΔS°), J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	19.09	0.93

From Eqs. (8 and 11) the D values of Y (III) and Ce(IV) are given as

$$(D)_{\rm Ce} = \frac{K_{\rm C.Ce}[(\rm HA)_2]^2}{[\rm H_3 Cit]^2},$$
(17)

$$D_{\rm Y} = \frac{K_{\rm C,Y}[(\rm HA)_2]^2}{[\rm H_3Cit]}.$$
(18)

Substituting from Eqs. (17 and 18) in Eq. (16) gives

$$SF_{(Y/Ce)} = \frac{K_{C.Y}[H_3Cit]}{K_{C.Ce}}$$
(19)

Substituting with the mean $K_{C.ex}$ values:

9

$$SF_{(Y/Ce)} = \frac{5.36 \times 10^3 [H_3 Cit]}{4.15 \times 10^2},$$
(20)

$$SF_{(Y/Ce)} = 12.92[H_3Cit].$$
 (21)

It is clear that the $SF_{(Y/Ce)}$ calculated from Eq. (21) depends on the acid concentration. The plot of the relation between the SF and different citric acid concentrations at 0.02 mol/L D2EHPA, Fig. 10, shows that as the citric acid concentration increases, the separation between Y (III) and Ce(IV) increases.

The SF values given by the ratio of their extraction constants and listed in Table 6 show that the separation of Y(III) from Ce(IV) is 12.92.

On the other hand, the values of SF at different D2EHPA concentrations for Y(III) and Ce(IV) listed in Table 7 indicate that the highest SF between Y(III) and



Fig. 10 Effect of citric acid concentration on the separation factor $(SF_{(Y/Ce)})$ with 0.02 mol/L D2EHPA

Table 6 Separation of Ce(IV) and Y(III) extracted from	$K_{\rm C.ex}$ (Ce)	$K_{\text{C.ex}}(\mathbf{Y})$		
1.0 mol/L citric acid solution	4.15×10^{2}	5.36×10^{3}		
using 0.02 mol/L D2EHPA in kerosene	S _{Y/Ce} 12.92			
Table 7 Effect of D2EHPA	D2EUDA mol/I	Sonoro		
concentration on the separation between Ce(IV) and Y(III)	D2EIIFA, mol/L	tion factors		
		Y/Ce		
	0.02	12.94		
	0.04	13.28		
	0.06	12.81		
Table 8 Effect of phase ratio onthe separation between Ce(IV)	A:O	Separa-		
and Y(III)		factors		
		Y/Ce		
	1:1	12.94		
	2:1	4.13		
	3:1	3.78		
	4:1	5.59		

Ce(IV) is 13.28 at D2EHPA concentration 0.04 mol/L. Also, the SF obtained from the values of distribution coefficient at various phase ratios are given in Table 8. Thus, the separation between Ce(IV) and Y(III) by varying the phase ratio could also be obtained under certain conditions. The separation for metal ions obtained during this work are compared with those of other reported extractants given in Table 9.

Conclusion

The extraction of Ce(IV) and Y(III) from citrate medium using D2EHPA mixed with kerosene has been performed. It was found that:

- 1. The extraction efficiency of Ce(IV) and Y(III) were found to increase by increasing D2EHPA concentration and decrease by the increase of citric acid concentration at pH 4.0.
- 2. The mean species in the organic phase are the following, $[Ce(H_2Cit)_2 \cdot 2A(HA)]$ and $[Y(H_2Cit) \cdot 2A(HA)]$.
- 3. The mean conditional extraction constants values $K_{\text{C.ex}}$ for Y(III) and Ce(IV) extraction by D2EHPA from 1.0 citric acid at pH 4.0 solution were calculated and found to be $(5.36 \pm 0.28) \times 10^3$ and $(4.15 \pm 0.05) \times 10^2$, respectively.
- 4. The experimental results showed that the extraction efficiency decreased with the increase in temperature, which indicates that the extraction of Ce(IV) and Y(III) ions is exothermic and the positive values of the calculated entropy change show an increase in the randomness of the system during the formation of the extracted species.
- 5. Separation feasibility in terms of the conditional extraction constant indicated that the separation factor of Ce(IV) and Y(III) increases by the increase of citric acid concentration.
- 6. Increasing the extractant concentration had almost no effect on the separation, while the highest separation between Y(III) and Ce(IV) can be performed at D2EHPA concentration of 0.04 mol/L. However, 0.02 mol/L was used and investigated through this work due to economic considerations.

Table 9	Extraction and separation for metal	ions obtained during this work are	compared with	those of other reported extractants
---------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------	-------------------------------------

Author	Extractant	Medium	Metal ion	Separation factor (β)
In this work	0.02 mol/L D2EHPA	Citrate medium	Ce, Y	12.94 for βY/Ce
Wu et al. (2007)	Mixtures of Cyanex 302 and sec-nonylphenoxy acetic acid (CA-100)		Ho, Y, Er	3.24 for βY/Er, 3.72 for βHo/Y, 12.0 for βHo/Er
Sun et al. (2005)	Sec-octylphenoxy acetic acid (CA-12) and Cyanex 272	Chloride medium	Ho, Y, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu	1.58 for βEr/Y, 4.72 for βTm/Y, 5.81 for β Yb/Y, 9.21 for βLu/Y
Michelsen and Smutz (1971)	D2EHPA	Hydrochloric acid medium	Ho, Y, Er	1.39 for βEr/Y, 2.02 for βY/ Ho, 2.81 for βEr/Ho
Thakur (2000)	D2EHPA	Hydrochloric acid medium	Tb, Dy, Ho, Y	2.11 for βTb/Dy, 1.94 for βDy/Ho, 1.91 for βY/Ho, 1.18 for βEr/Y

Acknowledgements This research is funded by the Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority and did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- Abu Elgoud EM, Ismail ZH, El-Nadi YA, Abdelwahab SM, Aly HF (2019) Extraction of some rare earth elements (La, Pr and Er) from citrate medium using D2EHPA in kerosene. Arab J Nucl Sci Appl 52:74–85
- Binnemans K, Jones PT, Blanpain B, Gerven TV, Yang Y, Walton A, Buchert M (2013) Recycling of rare earths: a critical review. J Clean Prod 51:1–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.12.037
- Binnemans K, Jones PT, Blanpain B, Gerven TV, Pontikes Y (2015) Towards zero-waste valorisation of rare-earth-containing industrial process residues: a critical review. J Clean Prod 99:17–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.02.089
- Charles FB, Rabert EM (1976) The hydrolysis of cations. Wiley-Interscience Publication, Wiley, New York. https://doi.org/10.1002/ bbpc.19770810252
- Davarkhah R, Farahmand Asl E, Samadfam M, Tavasoli M, Zaheri P, Shamsipur M (2018) Selective separation of yttrium(III) through a liquid membrane system using 2-thenoyltrifuoroacetone as an extractant carrier. Chem Pap 72:1487. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11696-018-0407-9
- Emsley J (2011) Nature's building blocks: an A-Z guide to the elements. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp 120–125
- Gasser MS, Ismail ZH, Abu Elgoud EM, Abdel Hai F, Ali OI, Aly HF (2019) Process for lanthanides-Y(III) leaching from phosphogypsum fertilizers using weak acids. J Hazard Mater. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.120762
- Jha MK, Kumari A, Panda R, Kumar JR, Yoo K, Lee JY (2016) Review on hydrometallurgical recovery of rare earth metals. Hydrometallurgy 165:2–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2016.01.003
- Khaironiel MT, Markom M, Meor Yusoff MS, Nazaratul Ashifa S (2014) Solvent extraction of light rare earth ions using D2EHPA from nitric acid and sulphuric acid solutions. Adv Mater Res 970:209–213. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/ AMR.970.209
- Li DQ (2017) A review on yttrium solvent extraction chemistry and separation process. J Rare Earths 35:107–119. https://doi. org/10.1016/S1002-0721(17)60888-3
- Li DQ (2019) Development course of separating rare earths with acid phosphorus extractants: a critical review. J Rare Earths 37:468– 486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jre.2018.07.016
- Lide DR (2004) Handbook of chemistry and physics, 84th edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton
- Lim TM, Levins DM, Wiblin WA, Tran T (1996) Kinetic studies of solvent extraction of rare earths into DEHPA. In: The 14th international solvent extraction conference (ISEC'96), Melbourne, Australia, March 19–23, vol 1, p 445
- Marczenko Z (1976) Spectrophotometric determination of elements. Ellis Harwood Ltd, Wrocław
- Michelsen OD, Smutz M (1971) Separation of yttrium, holmium and herbium with di-2-ethylhexyl-phosphoric acid in chloride and nitrate systems. J Inorg Nucl Chem. 33(1):265. https://doi. org/10.1016/0022-1902(71)80028-3
- Mohammadi M, Forsberg K, Kloo L, De La Cruz JM, Rasmuson A (2015) Separation of Nd(III), DY(III) and Y(III) by solvent extraction using D2EHPA and EHEHPA. Hydrometallurgy 156:215– 224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2015.05.004

- Pirom T, Arponwichanop A, Ura Pancharoen U, Yonezawa T, Kheawhom S (2018) Yttrium (III) recovery with D2EHPA in pseudo-emulsion hollow fiber strip dispersion system. SCI Rep 8:7627. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25771-4
- Radhika S, Kumar BN, Kantam ML, Reddy BR (2011) Solvent extraction and separation of rare-earths from phosphoric acid solutions with TOPS 99. Hydrometallurgy 110(1–4):50. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2011.08.004
- Rydberg J, Musikas C, Choppin GR (1992) Principles and practices of solvent extraction. Marcel Dekker Inc, New York
- Smets BMJ (1987) Phosphors based on rare-earths, a new era in fluorescent lighting. Mater Chem Phys 16:283–299. https://doi. org/10.1016/0254-0584(87)90103-9
- Sun XB (2005) The thermodynamic and kinetic study on the synergistic extraction of rare earth. Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun
- Sun XB, Zhao JM, Meng SL, Li DQ (2005) Synergistic extraction and separation of yttrium from heavy rare earths using mixture of sec-octylphenoxy acetic acid and bis(2,4,4-trimethylpentyl)phosphinic acid. Anal Chim Acta 533:83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. aca.2004.11.005
- Sun XB, Wang YG, Li DQ (2006) Selective separation of yttrium by CA-100 in the presence of a complexing agent. J Alloys Compd 408(3):999. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2004.12.137
- Thakur NV (2000) Separation of dysprosium and yttrium from yttrium concentrate using alkylphosphoric acid (DEHPA) alkylphosphonic acid (EHEHPA–PC 88A) as extractants. Solvent Extr Ion Exch 18(5):853. https://doi.org/10.1080/07366290008934711
- Van't Hoff JH (1995) The role of osmotic pressure in the analogy between solutions and gases. J Membr Sci 100:39–44
- Wang YG, Xiong Y, Meng SL, Li DQ (2004) Separation of yttrium from heavy lanthanide by CA-100 using the complexing agent. Talanta 63(2):239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2003.09.034
- Wu DB, Li W, Li DQ, Xiong Y (2007) The extraction and separation of Ho, Y, and Er(III) with the mixtures of Cyanex 302 and another organic extractant. Sep Sci Technol 42(4):847. https://doi. org/10.1080/01496390601120698
- Xie F, Zhang TA, Dreisinger D, Doyle F (2014) A critical review on solvent extraction of rare earths from aqueous solutions. J Miner Eng 56:10–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2013.10.021
- Yang Y, Walton A, Sheridan R, Güth K, Gauß R, Gutfleisch O, Buchert M, Steenari BM, Gerven TV, Jones PT, Binnemans K (2017) REE recovery from end-of-life NdFeB permanent magnet scrap: a critical review. J Sustain Metall 3(1):122–149
- Yin S, Wu W, Bian X, Luo Y, Zhang F (2013) Solvent extraction of La(III) from chloride medium in the presence of two water soluble complexing agents with di-(2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid. Ind Eng Chem Res 52(25):8558–8564. https://doi.org/10.1021/ ie400403w
- Yin S, Li S, Wu W, Bian X, Peng J, Zhang L (2014) Extraction and separation of Ce(III) and Pr(III) in the system containing two complexing agents with di-(2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid. RSC Adv 4:59997–60001. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RA10143J
- Yoshizuka K, Sakamoto Y, Babay, Inoue K (1990) Extraction Kinetics of Holmium(III) and yttrium(III) with D2EHPA in membrane extractor using a hollow fiber. In: 12th international solvent extraction conference (ISEC'90), p 805

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.