ORIGINAL PAPER

Stand basal area modelling for Chinese fir plantations using an artificial neural network model

Shaohui Che^{1,2} • Xiaohong Tan¹ • Congwei Xiang¹ • Jianjun Sun³ • Xiaoyan Hu¹ • Xiongqing Zhang¹ • Aiguo Duan¹ • Jianguo Zhang¹

Received: 5 December 2017 / Accepted: 26 February 2018 / Published online: 26 May 2018 - Northeast Forestry University and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract Artificial neural network models are a popular estimation tool for fitting nonlinear relationships because they require no assumptions about the form of the fitting function, non-Gaussian distributions, multicollinearity, outliers and noise in the data. The problems of backpropagation models using artificial neural networks include determination of the structure of the network and overlearning courses. According to data from 1981 to 2008 from 15 permanent sample plots on Dagangshan Mountain in Jiangxi Province, a back-propagation artificial neural network model (BPANN) and a support vector machine

Project funding: The work was supported by the National Scientific and Technological Task in China (Nos. 2015BAD09B0101, 2016YFD0600302), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31570619), and the Special Science and Technology Innovation in Jiangxi Province (No. 201702).

The online version is available at <http://www.springerlink.com>

Corresponding editor: Tao Xu.

Shaohui Che and Xiaohong Tan are co-first author.

 \boxtimes Aiguo Duan duanag@163.com

> Jianguo Zhang zhangjg@caf.ac.cn

- Key Laboratory of Tree Breeding and Cultivation of the State Forestry Administration, Research Institute of Forestry, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Beijing 100091, People's Republic of China
- ² Beijing Institute of Economics and Management, Beijing 100091, People's Republic of China
- Subtropical Forestry Experimental Central, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Fenyi 336600, People's Republic of China

model (SVM) for basal area of Chinese fir (Cunninghamia lanceolata) plantations were constructed using four kinds of prediction factors, including stand age, site index, surviving stem numbers and quadratic mean diameters. Artificial intelligence methods, especially SVM, could be effective in describing stand basal area growth of Chinese fir under different growth conditions with higher simulation precision than traditional regression models. SVM and the Chapman–Richards nonlinear mixed-effects model had less systematic bias than the BPANN.

Keywords Chinese fir - Basal area - Artificial neural network - Support vector machine - Mixed-effect model

Introduction

Chinese fir (Cunninghamia lanceolata (Lamb.) Hook) is a characteristic species of the subtropical zone in southern China, and an important reforestation and commercial tree species. According to the eighth Chinese National Forest Inventory, Chinese fir plantations occupy approximately 8.95 million ha, and have a standing timber volume of 625 million $m³$ (SFA [2013](#page-8-0)).

Basal area (BA) is an important stand variable in forest surveys and directly related to other important economic variables such as stand volume and quadratic mean diameter. Many management and silvicultural considerations, for example, thinning intensities, are based on measurements of basal area. In addition, curves of mean basal area are useful tools for effective management of stands as they help to estimate the timing of intermediate and final cuts (Assmann [1970;](#page-7-0) Sun et al. [2007](#page-8-0)).Therefore, basal area growth models have traditionally been one of the primary models in forest growth and yield prediction systems. Over

the past several decades, a number of individual-tree or stand-level basal area growth models have been developed for a variety of tree species in pure or mixed forests (Li et al. [1988](#page-8-0); Monserud and Sterba [1996](#page-8-0); Schroder et al. [2002;](#page-8-0) Mohammadi et al. [2017](#page-8-0); Lamb et al. [2018\)](#page-8-0). These often used ordinary least squares (OLS) regression analysis to establish an empirical relationship between basal areas and stand age under different conditions. But many of the assumptions necessary for traditional OLS regression are violated by using time series data that characteristically exhibit non-normality, and thus tend to suffer multicollinearity and autocorrelation (Clutter et al. [1983](#page-7-0); Li et al. [1988;](#page-8-0) Liu and Zhang [2005\)](#page-8-0).

Artificial neural networks (ANNs) are loosely modeled on brain function: a series of nodes representing inputs, outputs, and internal variables are connected by synapses of varying strength and connectivity (Jensen et al. [1999](#page-8-0)). ANNs require no assumptions for OLS regression about the normality and independence of study data. Instead, the network is trained to find underlying relationships between input and output. During the last two decades, ANNs have received considerable attention as a valid alternative to traditional statistical methods to predict behavior of nonlinear systems (Gianfranco et al. [2007](#page-7-0); Dande and Samant [2018\)](#page-7-0), and have been used to predict forest biomass (Foody et al. [2003;](#page-7-0) Henry et al. [2013\)](#page-7-0), basal area and stem density (Corne et al. [2004](#page-7-0)), bark volume (Diamantopoulou [2005](#page-7-0); Diamantopoulou and Milios [2010;](#page-7-0) Ashraf et al. [2013;](#page-7-0) Wu and Ji [2015\)](#page-8-0).

The back-propagation artificial neural network (BPANN) is a popular model for the application of artificial intelligence (Wu and Ji [2015\)](#page-8-0). Vapnik et al. ([1997\)](#page-8-0) proposed a support vector machine (SVM) which also belongs to a feedforward neural network. In recent years, a number of nonlinear classification and regression SVMs have been developed and these have been benchmarked against an ANN. SVMs have been successful in some areas such as: time series prediction (Sapankevych and Sankar [2009\)](#page-8-0); intrusion detection (Hong [2012](#page-8-0)); and, surface ozone (Alkasassbeh [2013\)](#page-7-0).

The focus of this study was to determine accurate estimates of stand basal areas of Chinese fir plantations. ANN models were used as an alternative to the traditional generalized nonlinear regression approach. Data for the planting density trial were from permanent plots nearly 30-years-old. The objective was to analyze the abilities of a back-propagation artificial neural network (BPANN) and SVM to describe the stand basal area dynamics of Chinese fir plantations under different growing conditions.

Materials and methods

Study site and experimental design

Permanent plots of Chinese fir plantations, located in Dagangshan Mountain (27°34'N,114°33'E), Jiangxi Province, southern China (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0), were established in the spring of 1981 with bare-root seedlings. The soil is a red and yellow loam. Elevations are from 250 to 300 m with slopes $\lt 45\%$. The average frost-free growing season is 265 days and average annual precipitation is estimated to be 1591 mm.

Plots were installed in a random block arrangement with spacings of 2 m \times 3 m (A), 2 m \times 1.5 m (B), 2 m \times 1 m (C), 1 m \times 1.5 m (D), and 1 m \times 1 m (E). Each spacing was replicated three times for a total of fifteen plots (Table [1\)](#page-2-0). Each plot was 20 m \times 30 m (0.06 ha), with a buffer zone of two rows of the same species density around each plot, and a fixed boundary of concrete piles. Seedling mortality surveys were carried out annually during the first 2 years; seedlings that died were replaced to ensure spacing was maintained.

Tree measurements

All trees in each plot were numbered. For the first 10 years, the plots were measured once every year, and then every other year. Height is often viewed to be independent of stand density, and can thus be used as an indicator of site productivity. For calculating site index, we selected two dominant trees from the upper, middle and lower areas of the plot from 6-year-old trees. The arithmetic mean height of six dominant trees at the reference age of 20 years for every plot was calculated and viewed as its site index. Diameters outside bark at breast height (DBH) were measured for all numbered trees which reached 1.3 m. Total heights were measured on a systematic sample of 50 trees per plot. The under-branch height (crown base height) was also measured for these trees.

All data from repeated censuses in undisturbed stands were used for simulations. In 2008, the plots had been measured 19 times (Table [2](#page-2-0)). Plot data included age (A), dominant height (H), number of living trees ha⁻¹ (N), basal area ha⁻¹ (G) and quadratic mean diameter (D_g) . The latter was derived from the basal area of the average tree in the corresponding plot.

Neural networks modelling

An ANN consists of connected nodes usually arranged in a multilayer structure. Each connection between nodes in different layers has a weight, and each node is a processing

study

| Table 1 Descriptive statistics of plots | Plot | Planting density (trees ha^{-1}) | Stand density in 2008 (trees ha^{-1}) | Site index (m) |
|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------|
| | A1 | 1667 | 1500 | 15.87 |
| | A2 | 1667 | 1650 | 13.68 |
| | A ₃ | 1667 | 1567 | 16.38 |
| | B1 | 3333 | 2883 | 15.05 |
| | B ₂ | 3333 | 3200 | 14.18 |
| | B ₃ | 3333 | 1933 | 16.87 |
| | C1 | 5000 | 4267 | 14.47 |
| | C ₂ | 5000 | 3917 | 14.52 |
| | C ₃ | 5000 | 2967 | 14.08 |
| | D1 | 6667 | 5033 | 12.56 |
| | D ₂ | 6667 | 4517 | 13.22 |
| | D ₃ | 6667 | 3283 | 13.32 |
| | E1 | 10,000 | 4383 | 14.23 |
| | E2 | 10,000 | 3650 | 14.58 |
| | E ₃ | 10,000 | 1567 | 14.53 |
| | | | | |

Table 2 Situation of surveyed

unit that operates on the weighted sum of inputs. The number of nodes in the input corresponds to the number of input variables. In our case, there were four input nodes for the growth factors in the input layer. The output layer contains one node representing basal area (BA). The number of hidden nodes is usually determined by a number of trial-and-error runs. Some studies have shown that there is rarely an advantage to using more than one hidden layer (Rumelhart et al. [1986;](#page-8-0) Lippmann [1987\)](#page-8-0). For this reason, several supervised feed forward neural networks in this study were trained with one hidden layer containing several hidden nodes (Fig. 2).

The principle of ANN has been described in previous studies (Rumelhart et al. [1995](#page-8-0); Basheer and Hajmeer [2000\)](#page-7-0). Several computer software packages are available for analyzing artificial neural networks, and we chose Matlab 7.11.0.584 (R2010b) (Demuth and Beale [2009](#page-7-0)). The software integrates 14 neural network algorithms for the MLP network. Three-layered feed-forward networks with a back-propagation training function (BP) were chosen as a nonlinear regression model(Demuth and Beale [2009\)](#page-7-0). The SVM experiments were conducted using the LibSVM package(Chang and Lin [2011\)](#page-7-0). K-fold cross-validation (K-CV) indicates that the original data is divided into K groups (at average). Each subset is a validation set, and the remaining subset (K-1 group) is used as the training set. The average performance of k models indicates model performance. K-CV can effectively avoid the occurrence of learning and insufficient learning, so the results obtained are more convincing. Performance of the BP and SVM models were also improved by a sixfold cross-validation. A total of 200 samples were selected as a training set at random, and 40 samples were used as a test set to evaluate the performance of the model. All other training parameters were left at their default values.

According to the model selection strategy in artificial neural networks (Egrioglu et al. [2008\)](#page-7-0), the BP model LM451 with five hidden neurons was the most reliable based on WIC criterion. The model indicates that Levenberg–Marquardt (LM) algorithms is composed of one input

Fig. 2 The ANN dependency graph for modelling basal area growth of Chinese fir

layer with four input variables, one hidden layer with five nodes and one output layer with one output variable.

Data preparation

Similar to ANN, scaling before applying SVM is important since input data have very different orders of magnitude between attributes. Normalization of data within a uniform range is essential to prevent larger numbers from overriding smaller ones, and to avoid numerical difficulties during the calculation. The training and testing sets were separately scaled to the range $[-1,1]$ by a linearly scaling formula (Basheer and Hajmeer [2000\)](#page-7-0) as following:

$$
X_i = \frac{2 \times (Z_i - Z_i^{\min})}{Z_i^{\max} - Z_i^{\min}} - 1
$$
\n(1)

where X_i is the normalized value of Z_i , Z_i^{\min} , and Z_i^{\max} are the minimum and maximum values of Z_i in the database. Linearly scaling each attribute to the range $[-1, 1]$ is recommended. To standardize the scales of input and output variables, they were converted over the interval $[-1... - 1]$ to adapt to the transfer function (Swingler [1996](#page-8-0)).

To further understand the influence of the input variables on the BP model, a sensitivity analysis was carried out holding three variables constant while letting the fourth

Table 3 The input s of sensitivity analysis under the LM451 model

| Classes | Input format/[A;N;Dg;SI] |
|-----------------|---|
| A ₁ | $[-1:0.2:1; 11; 11; 11]$ |
| A ₂ | $[-1:0.2:1; 0.50.5; 0.50.5; 0.50.5]$ |
| A3 | $[-1:0.2:1; 00; 00; 00]$ |
| A ₄ | $[-1:0.2:1; -0.5 -0.5; -0.5 -0.5; -0.5 -0.5]$ |
| A5 | $[-1:0.2:1; -1-1; -1-1; -1-1]$ |
| N1 | $[11; -1:0.2:1; 11; 11]$ |
| N2 | $[0.50.5; -1:0.2:1; 0.50.5; 0.50.5]$ |
| N3 | $[00; -1:0.2:1;00; 00]$ |
| N4 | $[-0.5 -0.5; -1:0.2:1; -0.5 -0.5; -0.5 -0.5]$ |
| N5 | $[-1-1; -1:0.2:1; -1-1; -1-1]$ |
| Dg1 | $[-1:0.2:1; 11; 11; 11]$ |
| Dg2 | $[-1:0.2:1; 0.50.5; 0.50.5; 0.50.5]$ |
| Dg3 | $[-1:0.2:1; 00; 00; 00]$ |
| Dg4 | $[-1:0.2:1; -0.5 -0.5; -0.5 -0.5; -0.5 -0.5]$ |
| Dg5 | $[-1:0.2:1; -1-1; -1-1; -1-1]$ |
| SH1 | $[11; -1:0.2:1; 11; 11]$ |
| SI 2 | $[0.50.5; -1:0.2:1; 0.50.5; 0.50.5]$ |
| SI 3 | $[00; -1:0.2:1;00; 00]$ |
| SI 4 | $[-0.5 -0.5; -1:0.2:1; -0.5 -0.5; -0.5 -0.5]$ |
| SI ₅ | $[-1-1; -1:0.2:1; -1-1; -1-1]$ |
| | |

vary (Table [3\)](#page-3-0). The sensitivity analysis is based on Olden and Jackson [\(2002\)](#page-8-0).

Multiple nonlinear regressions with mixed effects

To compare the BP model with conventional methods to determine basal area (BA), we built a multiple nonlinear mixed-effects model with plot level effects. The frequently used Chapman–Richards equation was adopted, and its mathematical expression is (Li et al. [1988\)](#page-8-0):

$$
BA = b_1(SI)^{b_2}(1 - \exp(-b_4A))^{b_3}
$$
 (2)

where b_1-b_4 are parameters, and the others as defined above. S-plus software was used for the regression analysis (Harrell [2001](#page-7-0)).

Model evaluation

The quantitative evaluation of models is an important part of growth modelling and based on the calculated adjusted coefficient of determinations (R_{adj}^2) , mean square error (MSE), residual sum of squares (RSS), standard deviation (SD), and model efficiency (ME). Corresponding forms are as follows:

$$
R_{adj.}^{2} = 1 - \frac{\frac{1}{n-i-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y}_i)^2}
$$
(3)

$$
MSE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{n}
$$
 (4)

$$
RSS = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \widehat{y})^2
$$
\n(5)

$$
SD = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}{n - 1}}
$$
(6)

$$
ME = 1 - \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 / \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y}_i)^2 \right]
$$
 (7)

where y_i , \bar{y} and \hat{y}_i are the observed value, the mean value, the corresponding predicted value of sample i from ANN and nonlinear mixed-effects model, respectively. n is the number of samples.

The normality of residual distribution and heteroscedasticity were checked using the Shapiro–Wilk test (Shapiro and Wilk [1965\)](#page-8-0) and the graphs of the residuals against the measured values. To detect dependencies or patterns, observed values may be plotted over predicted values or residuals over measured values (Gadow and Hui [1999\)](#page-7-0). The selected model should have the largest value for the coefficient of determination (R^2) after adjusting for degrees of freedom.

Results

Sensitivity analysis of the BP model

LM451, a three-layer network of four input neurons, five hidden neurons and one output neuron, was used for the sensitivity analysis. The predicted basal area values increased slowly with stand age increasing at different density levels, and finally stabilizing (Fig. 3). The stable values presented after 16a, close to the stand harvest age.

When the density was \lt 6000 stems ha⁻¹, basal area increased rapidly under different site indices (Fig. [4\)](#page-5-0). With > 6000 stems ha⁻¹, three variation trends were found. A decreasing trend occurred under the condition of two higher site indices, and basal area stabilized or increased under relatively low site conditions.

The changing trajectory of basal area with the stand Dg (quadratic mean diameter) resembled an S-shaped curve (Fig. [5\)](#page-5-0). Basal area increased with Dg across its entire range, but the magnitude was different. It increased noticeably near the intermediate value of Dg while with lower or higher Dg it often slowly increased.

A flat response curve indicated that the basal area of the stand remained constant and was not affected by site index value (Fig. [6\)](#page-5-0).

Fig. 3 Simulated variation curves of basal area with stand age under five density levels

Fig. 4 Simulated variation curves of basal area with stem density under five site indices

Fig. 5 Simulated variation curves of basal area with quadratic mean diameter under five density levels

Precision comparison of SVM, BP model and the Chapman–Richards model

Table 4 lists the simulation statistics of SVM, LM451 model (BP) and Chapman–Richards nonlinear mixed-effects model. The SVM model had the highest R_{adj}^2 and ME, and the lowest MSE and RSS. Simultaneously, the LM451 model had higher R_{adj}^2 and ME, and lower MSE and RSS than the Chapman–Richards model. The SVM had the optimal simulation performance among the three models, and all simulated values were similar to measured values

Fig. 6 Simulated variation curves of basal area with site index under five density levels

Table 4 Performance comparison among SVM, LM451 model (BP) and Chapman–Richards model

| Model | $R_{adi.}^2$ | MSE | RSS | ME. |
|------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------|
| $LM451$ (BP) | 0.9912 | 4.7144 | 1131.5 | 0.9839 |
| SVM | 0.9930 | 4.6338 | 1112.1 | 0.9842 |
| Chapman–Richards | 0.9837 | 4.8707 | 1134.9 | 0.9837 |

(Table [5\)](#page-6-0). Although the Chapman–Richards equation considered the plot-level mixed effect, its simulation property was inferior to the SVM and LM451 models.

The residuals of the BP (LM451) model show an increasing trend with basal area increasing. The residuals tended towards negative values when basal areas were smaller, and the residuals tended towards positive values when basal areas were larger (Fig. [7\)](#page-6-0). There was no obvious trend for the relationship between residuals of the Chapman–Richards model and the basal area, but when the basal area was large, the residuals had an increasing trend (Fig. [8\)](#page-6-0). The residuals of SVM were randomly distributed and there was no systematic trend (Fig. [9\)](#page-6-0). It was clear that the SVM model had less systematic bias with increasing stand age than the LM451 and Chapman–Richards models.

As Table [1](#page-2-0) shows, the PE increased with increasing density; however, when the cell density was too high, the distance between cell clusters was very small, which increased the difficulty of isolating single-cell clones. Therefore, according to the appropriate PE and the growth state of cells, the initial cell density for inoculation was set

Table

Fig. 7 Residual distribution of BP model (LM451) with basal area

Fig. 8 Residual distribution of Chapman–Richards nonlinear mixedeffects model with the basal area

Fig. 9 Residual distribution of the SVM model with basal area

to 3 \times 10³ cells mL⁻¹. Moreover, the use of filter paper in the nursing culture increased the chance of contamination. So conditioned culturing was used for single-cell cloning.

Discussion

A quantitative relationship between independent and dependent variables is required when using nonlinear regressions to estimate model parameters. The selection of mathematical functions and parameter-estimation methods becomes more difficult when modelling non-linear biological growth (Johnston et al. [2010](#page-8-0)). ANNs have the ability to identify hidden patterns in data (Scrinzi et al. [2007](#page-8-0)), and may provide a more flexible and relatively simpler approach to modelling complex biological systems compared with deterministic models (Gianfranco et al. [2007](#page-7-0)). The BPANN model, with the error back-propagation procedure, was affected slightly by data quality problems and bias, and is efficient for quantifying nonlinear relationships (Rumelhart et al. [1986](#page-8-0)). Studies on total volume and stem profiles have found that the BPANN model performs as well as or better than traditional regression approaches (Diamantopoulou and Milios [2010](#page-7-0); Bráulio et al. [2017](#page-7-0)). Our results show that the BPANN model had higher performance precision than the Chapman–Richards model, which is in agreement with Diamantopoulou and Milios [\(2010](#page-7-0)). However, the residual distribution of the BPANN model in this study was not satisfying, and the variation trend was not the same as with a correlated study by Ashraf et al. [\(2013](#page-7-0)). This might be related to data structure or to the interior design of BPANN. The residuals of the Chapman–Richards method were uniformly distributed on both sides of the X axis, which means that the mixed-effect model with plot level random effect could help eliminate systematic trends.

The foundations of the support vector machines (SVMs) were developed by Vapnik ([1995\)](#page-8-0), and are gaining popularity due to their attractive features and empirical performance. SVM was developed to solve classification problems, but it has been extended to regression problems. The formulation embodies the structural risk minimization principle, which is superior to the traditional empirical risk minimization principle used by conventional neural networks (Gunn et al. [1997\)](#page-7-0). In this study, SVM had greater precision than BPANN and the Chapman–Richards model. Similar results have been reported by Godarzi et al. ([2012\)](#page-7-0) in which the SVM method had the highest precision compared to the artificial neural network method and the maximum Likelihood method. SVM is similar to an BPANN since both receive input data and provide output data; the input and output data of SVM are identical to

BPANN for regression equations (Alkasassbeh 2013). However, the SVM is primarily better because it is not affected from over fitting like BPANN. Site index (SI), stand density and age were sufficient to predict basal area (Merriam et al. [1995;](#page-8-0) Malinen et al. [2003\)](#page-8-0). The multiple form of OLS (ordinary least squares) regression, with many independent variables regressed against the dependent variable BA, was generally preferable to the linear form of OLS regression. However, it still could not eliminate multicollinearity and autocorrelation. In our study, BPANN, SVM and the nonlinear mixed-effects models all had the independent variables, including site index (SI), stand density, and age. These models not only presented the complex conditions of basal area growth, but also solved problems brought by study data. These might be the reasons why the three modelling methods proved to have good simulation performances.

Ecological modelling problems frequently combine small datasets, noisy data, and weak domain theories. These problems will present severe challenges to machine learning techniques. Due to the comparative advantages with conventional regression-based approaches, SVM and BPANN have considerable potential in forest growth and yield modelling. However, traditional regression models can be based on few data, and can be more advantageous for stand basal area estimation from a few plots. ANNs modelling often requires large data sets to access the reliable certainty for basal area growth estimations. When selecting a model, it is important to choose one that best represents the observations and that is reasonably easy to solve.

Conclusions

The results showed that SVM had higher precision and less systematic bias than BPANN and the Chapman–Richards nonlinear mixed-effects model. SVM was able to successfully simulate stand basal area of Chinese fir on Dagangshan Mountain using simple input data. The method introduced in this article is sufficient for many forest ecological modelling applications because of its efficiency and accuracy.

Sensitivity analysis of the BP model demonstrated that stand basal area increased with stand age, and stands with different site indices eventually led to different stable values. Site index had a weak effect on the emulational basal area growth. The response curve was almost parallel to the X-coordinate. Ignoring the weak differences in modelling precision, the BPANN, SVM and the nonlinear mixed-effects model all had a potential application for stand basal area growth modelling.

References

- Alkasassbeh M (2013) Predicting of surface ozone using artificial neural networks and support vector machines. Int J Adv Sci Technol 55:1–12
- Ashraf MI, Zhao ZY, Bourque CPA, MacLean DA, Meng FR (2013) Integrating biophysical controls in forest growth and yield predictions with artificial intelligence technology. Can J For Res 43:1162–1171
- Assmann E (1970) The principles of forest yield study: studies in the organic production, structure, increment and yield of forest stands. Pergamon Press, Oxford
- Basheer IA, Hajmeer M (2000) Artificial neural networks: fundamentals, computing, design, and application. J Microbiol Methods 43(1):3–31
- Bráulio PFC, Gilson FS, Daniel HBB, Adriano RM, Helio GL (2017) Descrição do perfil do tronco de árvores em plantios de diferentes espécies por meio de redes neurais artificiais. Braz J For Res 37(90):99–107
- Chang CC, Lin CJ (2011) LIBSVM: a library for support vector machines. ACM Trans Intell Syst Technol (TIST) 2(3):389–396
- Clutter J, Fortson J, Pienaar L, Brister G, Bailey R (1983) Timber management—a quantitative approach. Wiley, New York
- Corne S, Carver S, Kunin W, Lennon J, Van Hees WWS (2004) Predicting forest attributes in southeast Alaska using artificial neural networks. For Sci 50(2):259–276
- Dande P, Samant P (2018) Acquaintance to artificial neural networks and use of artificial intelligence as a diagnostic tool for tuberculosis: a review. Tuberculosis 108:1–9
- Demuth H, Beale M (2009) User's guide: neural network toolbox for use with Matlab. The Mathworks Inc, Natick, MA
- Diamantopoulou MJ (2005) Artificial neural networks as an alternative tool in pine bark volume estimation. Comput Electron Agric 48(3):235–244
- Diamantopoulou MJ, Milios E (2010) Modelling total volume of dominant pine trees in reforestations via multivariate analysis
and artificial neural network models. Biosyst Eng neural network models. Biosyst Eng 105(3):306–315
- Egrioglu EC, Aladag AH, Günay S (2008) A new model selection strategy in artificial neural networks. Appl Math Comput 195(2):591–597
- Foody GM, Boyd DS, Cutler MEJ (2003) Predictive relations of tropical forest biomass from Landsat TM data and their transferability between regions. Remote Sens Environ 85:463–474
- Gadow VK, Hui GY (1999) Modelling forest development. Springer, Amsterdam
- Gianfranco S, Laura M, David G (2007) Development of a neural network model to update forest distribution data for managed alpine stands. Ecol Model 206:331–346
- Godarzi MS, Abbaspour RA, Ahadnezhad V, Khakbaz B (2012) Comparison of support vector machine, neural network, and maximum likehood methods for the separation of lithological units. Iran J Geol Summer 6(22):75–92
- Gunn SR, Brown M, Bossley KM (1997) Network performance assessment for neurofuzzy data modelling. In: Liu X, Cohen P, Berthold M (eds) Intelligent data analysis, volume 1208 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, Berlin
- Harrell FE (2001) S-Plus software. In: Bickel P, Diggle P, Gather U, Zeger S (eds) Regression modelling strategies. Springer series in statistics. Springer, New York, NY
- Henry M, Bombelli A, Trotta C, Alessandrini A, Birigazzi L, Sola G, Vieilledent G, Santenoise P, Longuetaud F, Valentini R, Picard N, Saint-André L (2013) GlobAllomeTree: international

platform for tree allometric equations to support volume, biomass and carbon assessment. iFor Biogeosci For 6(1):1–5

- Hong D (2012) Application of support vector machine in intrusion detection. Comput Eng 4:47
- Jensen JR, Qiu F, Ji MH (1999) Predictive modelling of coniferous forest age using statistical and artificial neural network approaches applied to remote sensor data. Int J Remote Sens 20:2805–2822
- Johnston M, Williamson T, Munson A, Ogden A, Moroni M, Parsons R, Price D, Stadt J (2010) Climate change and forest management in Canada: impacts, adaptive capacity and adaptation options. A State of Knowledge report. Sustainable Forest Management Network, Edmonton
- Lamb SM, MacLean DA, Hennigar CR, Douglas G (2018) Pitt forecasting forest inventory using imputed tree lists for LiDAR grid cells and a tree-list growth model. Forests 9(4):167
- Li XF, Tang SZ, Wang SL (1988) The establishment of variable density yield table for chinese fir plantation in dagangshan experiment bureau. For Res 1(4):382–389
- Lippmann R (1987) An introduction to computing with neural nets. IEEE 4(2):4–22
- Liu CM, Zhang SY (2005) Models for predicting product recovery using selected tree characteristics of black spruce. Can J For Res 35(4):930–937
- Malinen J, Maltamo M, Verkasalo E (2003) Predicting the internal quality and value of Norway spruce trees by using two nonparametric nearest neighbor methods. For Prod J 53(4):85–94
- Merriam RA, Phillips VD, Liu W (1995) Early diameter growth of trees in planted forest stands. For Ecol Manag 75(1–3):155–174
- Mohammadi Z, Mohammadi LS, Lohmander P, Olsson L (2017) Estimation of a basal area growth model for individual trees in uneven-aged Caspian mixed species forests. J For Res. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11676-017-0556-7) doi.org/10.1007/s11676-017-0556-7
- Monserud RA, Sterba H (1996) A basal area increment model for individual trees growing in even- and uneven-aged forest stands in Austria. For Ecol Manag 80:57–80
- Olden JD, Jackson DA (2002) Illuminating the ''black box'': a randomization approach for understanding variable contributions in artificial neural networks. Ecol Model 1:135–150
- Rumelhart D, Hinton G, Williams R (1986) Learning internal representations by error propagation: parallel distributed processing, vol 1: foundations. MIT Press, Cambridge
- Rumelhart D, Durbin R, Golden R, Chauvin Y (1995) Backpropagation: the basic theory. Backpropagation: theory, architectures, and applications. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale
- Sapankevych N, Sankar R (2009) Time series prediction using support vector machines: a survey. Comput Intell Mag IEEE $2.24 - 38$
- Schroder J, Soalleiro RR, Alonsa GV (2002) An age independent basal area increment model for maritime pine trees in northwestern Spain. For Ecol Manag 157:55–64
- Scrinzi G, Marzullo L, Galvagni D (2007) Development of a neural network model to update forest distribution data for managed alpine stands. Ecol Model 206(3–4):331–346
- SFA (2013) Reports of Chinese forestry resource: the 8th national forest resource inventory. Chinese Forestry Publishing House, Beijing
- Shapiro SS, Wilk MB (1965) An analysis of variance test for normality (complete samples). Biometrika 52:591–611
- Sun HG, Zhang JG, Duan AG, Tong SZ (2007) Application of five types of logistic models imitating the stand basal area distribution of Chinese fir plantation. For Res 20(5):622–629
- Swingler K (1996) Applying neural networks: a practical guide. Academic Press, London
- Vapnik V (1995) The nature of statistical learning theory. Springer, NY
- Vapnik V, Golowich SE, Smola A (1997) Support vector method for function approximation, regression estimation, and signal processing. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA
- Wu DS, Ji YQ (2015) Dynamic estimation of forest volume based on multi-source data and neural network mode. J Agric Sci 7(3):18–31