ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

Physical Properties, Complex Impedance, and Electrical Conductivity of Double Perovskite LaBa_{0.5}Ag_{0.5}FeMnO₆

K. Iben Nassar1 · N. Rammeh1 · Sílvia Soreto Teixeira² · M. P. F. Graça2

Received: 5 April 2021 / Accepted: 19 October 2021 / Published online: 5 November 2021 © The Minerals, Metals & Materials Society 2021

Abstract

Double perovskite oxide with general formula LaBa_{0.5}Ag_{0.5}FeMnO₆ (LBAFMO) has been prepared by the sol–gel-based Pechini method. To study and compare its electrical properties, impedance spectroscopy was carried out in the temperature ranging from 200 K to 340 K and frequency range from 100 Hz to 1 MHz. At room temperature, x-ray difraction analysis revealed the compound to be single phase and to crystallize in the cubic system in space group *Pm-3m*. The imaginary part of the impedance (*Z*″) as a function of frequency indicated non-Debye model relaxation. Impedance data in a Nyquist plot (*Z*″ versus *Z*′) was used to determine an equivalent circuit. The complex impedance of LBAFMO revealed the presence of grain and grain-boundary contributions. The alternating-current (AC) conductivity as a function of frequency was interpreted by applying Jonscher's law to determine the activation energy. Modulus analysis revealed the occurrence of a relaxation process supplemented by a conduction phenomenon.

Keywords Double perovskite oxide · AC conductivity · complex impedance · dielectric relaxation · conduction mechanism

Introduction

Double perovskite compounds have general formula $A_2B'B''O_6$ or A'A"B'B" O_6 , where the cations occupying the A site are essentially ions of alkaline-earth metals or rare earths, while the B′ and B″ sites are occupied by two transition metals that are likely to be ordered at octahedral sites, thus forming $B'O_6$ and $B''O_6$ octahedra. In recent years, these materials have attracted interest from many researchers because of their various physical properties. The variation of the choice of the cations B′ and B″ in such compounds can result in a wide range of electrical and magnetic properties, including metallicity, half-metallicity, insulation, as well as ferromagnetism (FM), ferrimagnetism (FIM), and antifer-romagnetism (AFM).^{[1](#page-7-0),[2](#page-7-1)} Multiferroic materials exhibit more than one ferroic order.

Such coexistence of ferromagnetic and ferroelectric materials has attracted great attention in fundamental physics and

chemistry. $3-5$ $3-5$ $3-5$ In the case of ferroelectrics, "ferro" indicates that a material exhibits a permanent electrical polarization even in the absence of an electric feld, while in the case of ferromagnetic, the residual magnetization intensity can be reversed by applying a magnetic feld.

In addition, early studies were devoted to oxides of the type $\text{Ln}_{1-x}A_xMnO_3$, $x \in [0, 1]$ (Ln = rare earth, A = Ca, Ba, Sr, etc.).^{[6](#page-7-4)[,7](#page-7-5)} Manganese exhibits very important magnetic properties (ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic). Indeed, with the development of new concepts in solid-state physics, these oxides have been the subject of active studies, which formed the basis for the development of the theory of double exchange $(DE)^8$ $(DE)^8$ and superexchange $(SE)^9$ $(SE)^9$

Over recent decades, numerous doped perovskites have drawn broad attention from researchers thanks to their substantial electrical properties, $10-13$ $10-13$ $10-13$ such as the compound $LaMnO₃$. Nonetheless, the observation of uncommon electrical features in perovskite oxide $LaAMnO₃$ with rare-earth element A (Ba, Ca, Sr, etc.) has revived interest in this type of materials. Doping $LaMnO₃$ material with aliovalent ions leads to the conversion of a fraction of Mn^{3+} to Mn^{4+} . This results in an interaction between the Mn^{3+} and Mn^{4+} ions, which is responsible for the properties of these manganese oxides.¹⁴ Moreover, other studies have been carried out on the electrical properties of LaBaFe_{0.5}Zn_{0.5}MnO_{6−δ}

 \boxtimes K. Iben Nassar kaisibnnassar12@gmail.com

¹ Laboratoire de Physique des Matériaux, Faculté des Sciences de Sfax, Université de Sfax, B.P. 1171, 3000 Sfax, Tunisia

² I3N-Aveiro, Department of Physics, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

compound.¹⁵ Indeed, the latter has been explored using x-ray difraction and electrical impedance spectroscopy and found to crystallize at room temperature in cubic systems.[15](#page-7-11)

Impedance spectroscopy (IS) is a further technique that can be used to investigate the electrical characteristics of samples. It allows the determination of the relaxation frequency as well as grain, grain-boundary, and grain-electrode efects. The IS technique enables the measurement of the real and imaginary parts of the impedance over a wide range of frequency.

In the work presented herein, $LaBa_{0.5}Ag_{0.5}FeMnO₆$ compound was prepared by the sol–gel-based Pechini method. The aim of this work is to synthesize the proposed compound (LBAFMO) ceramic and study its physical properties to understand the characteristics of the crystal structure and its dielectric properties at diferent frequencies and temperatures.

Experimental Procedures

 $LaBa_{0.5}Ag_{0.5}FeMnO₆ compound was synthesized by$ means of the sol–gel-based Pechini method. Stoichiometric amounts of analytical-grade La_2O_3 , BaCO₃, Ag, Fe₂O₃, and $MnO₂$ were solvated in citric acid solution to which ethylene glycol and diluted nitric acid were added.

After calculating the proportions of the precursors, they were weighed using a balance then placed in an Erlenmeyer fask ft with a magnetic bar; 40 ml nitric acid and 20 ml distilled water were added. This mixture was dissolved using a hot plate equipped with a magnetic stirrer at 80°C for 4 h. Citric acid and ethylene glycol were then added to homogenize the reaction medium. The obtained mixture was brought to a temperature of 170°C. Afterwards, heat treatment at 500°C for 6 h was carried out, and the obtained powder was crushed then pressed in a mold with the application of 4 tons/cm² to form circular pellets with thickness of 1.68 mm and diameter of 11 mm. The thus-obtained pellets were subject to annealing cycles from 600°C to 900°C to obtain dense material, each lasting 12 h.

Several samples were prepared using the same method and steps but different chemical precursors. Then, several techniques, such as electron difraction and scanning transmission electron microscopy, were applied to confrm the purity of the samples and their oxygen stoichiometry. The results of these diferent techniques showed that this preparation method can achieve pure compounds with low oxygen deficiency.¹⁶ The structure, phase purity, and homogeneity of the prepared sample were determined by x-ray powder difraction (XRD) analysis (PHILIPS difractometer, X'PertPRO MPD) at room temperature in the Bragg angle range from $2\theta = 20^{\circ}$ to 80° using anticathode copper radiation ($\lambda_{\text{CuKa}} = 1.54060$ Å) with step size of $\Delta\theta = 0.02^{\circ}$. The analysis of the x-ray pattern was carried out using the Full-Prof program.[17](#page-7-13) Dielectric measurements were conducted using a precision impedance analyzer (Agilent 4294A) in C_p – R_p configuration in the frequency range from 100 Hz to 1 MHz and temperature range from 200 K to 340 K using a bath cryostat. The sample was placed under helium atmosphere to improve the heat transfer and avoid moisture. Besides, the compounds were used in disk form (diameter 11 mm, thickness 1.68 mm), with opposite surfaces painted with conductive silver paste for connection to the electrodes.

Results and Discussion

Structural Analysis

Figure [1](#page-1-0) shows the x-ray difraction pattern of LBAFMO at room temperature. The intensities of the measured diffraction lines are in good accordance with the calculated ones, indicating good crystallization of the material. Furthermore, all peaks could be indexed to the cubic system in space group *Pm-3m*.

 $LaBa_{0.5}Ag_{0.5}FeMnO₆ compound is monophasic accord$ ing to Rietveld refnement. To achieve charge balance, the material contains Mn^{4+} , Fe³⁺, and some Fe⁴⁺ to compensate the incorporation of Ag^+ . No structural transition is seen in Fig. [1](#page-1-0), indicating not only that these peaks are very low but also that the sample is monophasic and pure. Therefore, the x-ray powder diffraction pattern of BaLaFe₂ $O_{6-\delta}$ compound corresponds to the simple cubic structure in space group *Pm-3m*. [16](#page-7-12) Rietveld refnement was performed on the XRD difractograms to estimate the lattice parameters, yielding results of $a = b = c = 3.8955$ Å with volume *V* of 59.1139 \AA^3 . The reliability factors were

Fig. 1 X-ray powder diffraction of $LaBa_{0.5}Ag_{0.5}FeMnO₆ recorded$ with Cu K_{α} radiation.

found, giving goodness factors of $\chi^2 = 1.56$, $R_F = 1.76$, and Bragg factor $R_B = 1.89$. The exact processing temperature used for the sample for structural study was 900°C. To confrm the perovskite-like structure and the degree of distortion in the compound, the Goldschmidt tolerance factor *t* was calculated^{[18](#page-7-14)} as

$$
t = \frac{r_{A} + r_{O}}{\sqrt{2}(r_{B} + r_{O})},
$$
\n(1)

where $r_A = r$ (La, Ba, Ag), $r_B = r$ (Fe, Mn), and r_O are the ionic radii for the A and B site cations, and oxygen, respectively. The evolution of the crystal structure as a function of the value of the parameter is explained below: $0.75 < t <$ 0.96: Orthorhombic distortion, $0.96 < t < 0.99$: rhombohedral distortion, and $0.99 < t < 1.06$: cubic.

According to Shannon, the ionic radii are $r(Ba^{2+})$ $= 1.61 \text{ Å}, r(\text{Ag}^+) = 1.28 \text{ Å}, r(\text{La}^{3+}) = 1.36 \text{ Å}, r(\text{Mn}^{4+}) =$ 0.53 Å, $r(\text{Fe}^{3+}) = 0.49$ Å, $r(\text{Fe}^{4+}) = 0.585$ Å, and $r(\text{O}^{2-})$ =1.4 Å.^{[19,](#page-7-15)[20](#page-7-16)} For this material, the *t* value larger than 1 confrms the cubic structure of the material. Figure [1](#page-1-0) also shows the (111) and (200) refection peaks, confrming the accuracy of the Rietveld refnement and the formation of cubic phase. For morphological study of grains, the Debye–Scherrer method 21 21 21 can be applied to determine the crystallite size based on the x-ray difractogram as

$$
D = \frac{K \cdot \lambda}{\beta \cdot \cos \theta},\tag{2}
$$

where *D* is the average crystallite size, $K = 0.89$, λ is the wavelength (1.54060 Å), β is the width at mid-height of the peak, and θ is the diffraction angle of the peak considered. A grain size of 36 nm was obtained, and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed to study the sample morphology, observe the crystallinity of the sintered powder, and detect the growth of grains (Fig. $2a$ $2a$). This figure also shows FESEM images of the sample obtained using ImageJ software to calculate the average size of the nanoparticles. The method consists in measuring the sizes of the maximum possible clear particles from the SEM image, which are of diferent sizes. A frequency plot for the particle size is then presented. The average size of the nanoparticles can then be estimated by applying a Lorentzian ft to this diagram (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)b). The morphology was found to be dense and uniform, with grains of 467 nm, each consisting of 13 crystallites.

Impedance Analysis

Complex impedance spectroscopy is an efficient, simple, and adaptable method for analysis of the frequency-dependent response of various electrical parameters and efects (grain, grain boundary, electrode efect, etc.) of samples through

Fig. 2 FESEM images of LaBa_{0.5}Ag_{0.5}FeMnO₆ nanoparticles.

a simple impedance formulation. In literature, the electrical conduction of oxide materials results essentially from hopping between ions such as Mn^{3+} , Mn^{4+} , and Fe³⁺, or overlapping of d orbital of cations.²² Under the application of an electric feld, electrons will migrate from one site to another, giving an electrical response.

The dependence of the complex impedance of the $LaBa_{0.5}Ag_{0.5}FeMnO₆ sample on temperatures is shown in$ Fig. [3.](#page-3-0) The obtained spectra are made up of a single semicircular arc. It can be concluded that the compound exhibits not only grain but also grain-boundary efects in its electrical properties. In addition, the radius of the semicircles corresponding to the resistance decreases with increase in the temperature. Besides, the Nyquist diagram (Fig. [3\)](#page-3-0) shows a non-Debye model^{[23](#page-7-19)} of relaxation behavior. A distribution of relaxation times (instead of a single relaxation time) in the sample is suggested by the depressed semicircular arc (with the plot center line lie below the real axis), resulting from flaws in the majority of solids.^{[24](#page-7-20)[,25](#page-7-21)}

To study the properties of LBAFMO, the complex impedance spectra were measured using ZView software. The fit was obtained using an equivalent circuit that can be described as a parallel $(R_1.C_1)$ circuit in series with an $(R_2$ –CPE₁) circuit, where R_1 is the grain resistance, C_1 is the grain capacitance, R_2 is the grain-boundary resistance, and CPE_1 is the constant-phase element (Fig. [3\)](#page-3-0). The

Fig. 3 Complex impedance spectrum at diferent temperatures with equivalent electrical circuit.

Table I The parameters for the equivalent circuit of LaBa $_0$ 5Ag_{0.5}FeMnO₆.

parameters of the equivalent circuit are obtained from the ftting at selected temperatures and are summarized in Table [I.](#page-3-1) Indeed, transport in grains, which are purely resistive, occurs mainly at high frequencies, while conduction at grain boundaries generally takes place at low frequencies. The constantphase element (Z_{CPE}) is determined by the equation^{[26](#page-7-22)}

$$
Z_{\rm CPE} = \frac{1}{Q(jw)^{\alpha}}.\tag{3}
$$

The resistance values R , Q and α are determined by minimizing the diference between the calculated and experimental data. While *Q* denotes the value of the pseudocapacity of the CPE element, α represents the material's capacitive nature. If $\alpha = 0$, it behaves as an Ohmic resistance, whereas if $\alpha = 1$, it becomes an ideal capacitor.

The real (*Z*′) and imaginary (−*Z*″) parts of the complex impedance were calculated according to the following formulas:

$$
Z' = \frac{R^{-1} + Q\omega^{\alpha}\cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)}{\left(R^{-1} + Q\omega^{\alpha}\cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)^{2}\right) + \left(C\omega + Q\omega^{\alpha}\sin\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right)},
$$
\n
$$
-Z'' = \frac{R^{-1} + Q\omega^{\alpha}\sin\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)}{\left(R^{-1} + Q\omega^{\alpha}\cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)^{2}\right) + \left(C\omega + Q\omega^{\alpha}\sin\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)^{2}\right)},
$$
\n(5)

respectively. Figure [4](#page-4-0) presents the diference of the real part of the impedance *Z*′ as a function of frequency at diferent temperatures. In the region of low frequencies and temperatures, the impedance value is higher but then it gradually decreases with increase in frequency. For certain frequencies $(> 10⁵ Hz)$, Z' is almost constant, but then decreases. Moreover, the values of *Z*′ converge at high frequencies towards a minimal value. This result can be attributed to the release of space-charge polarization, decreasing the potential barrier in the material. 27 Figure [5](#page-4-1) plots the imaginary part of the complex impedance *Z*″ as a function of frequency at different temperatures. From Fig. [5,](#page-4-1) we can clearly see peaks where the corresponding frequency of the *Z*″ maximum is shifted to higher frequencies with increase in temperature.

Fig. 4 Evolution of real part of impedance as function of frequency at various temperatures.

Fig. 5 Variation of imaginary part of impedance as function of frequency at various temperatures.

The displacement of this maximum confrms the occurrence of a dielectric process that is characteristic of the material. This relaxation can be explained by the accumulation of charges at grain boundaries, which polarize under the efect of an electric field.²⁸ This relaxation can be interpreted based on charge accumulation at grain boundaries that are polarized under the action of an electric field. 28 28 28

Dielectric Studies

The complex permittivity of a dielectric material following a non-Debye model can be obtained from the relationship^{[29](#page-7-25)}

$$
\varepsilon^*(w) = \varepsilon_\infty + \frac{(\varepsilon_S - \varepsilon_\infty)}{(1 + j\omega\tau)^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{\sigma_{DC}}{j\omega\varepsilon_0},\tag{6}
$$

Fig. 6 Variation of (a) real and (b) imaginary part of the permittivity as functions of frequency at diferent temperatures.

where ϵ_{∞} is the high-frequency permittivity, $\epsilon_{\rm S}$ is the static permittivity, ε_0 is the permittivity of free space, τ is the relaxation time, ω is the angular frequency, α is a parameter between 0 and 1, with the former value giving the characteristic result of Debye relaxation, and σ_{DC} represents the Ohmic conductivity.^{[30–](#page-7-26)[32](#page-7-27)} As clearly seen from Fig. [6a](#page-4-2), the discrepancy of the real part *ε′* of the complex permittivity versus frequency increases with temperature, but it decreases with an increase in frequency. This is likely explained by the material's dielectric polarization mechanism. Actually, the values of ε' are very high at low frequencies, which can be attributed to the accumulation of charge carriers between the sample and electrodes, e.g., interfacial polarization.^{33[,34](#page-7-29)} Figure [6](#page-4-2)b shows the variation of the imaginary part *ε*″ of the complex permittivity as a function of frequency at different temperatures. Indeed, a decrease of *ε*″ with increase in frequency is visible, which can be ascribed not only to the presence of a relaxation time distribution but also to the contribution of charge carrier density.^{[35](#page-7-30)[,36](#page-7-31)}

Electrical Modulus Studies

The electrical modulus can be used to explore the conduc-tion mechanism and relaxation behavior of a compound.^{[37](#page-7-32)} It can be determined from the following mathematical formalism:[38](#page-7-33)

$$
M^* = M' + jM'' = j\omega C_0 \quad Z^* = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^*}.
$$
 (7)

The real (*M*′) and imaginary (*M*″) parts of the complex modulus can be obtained from the complex impedance data $Z^*(\omega) = Z' - jZ''$) using the expressions

$$
M' = \omega C_0 Z'
$$
 (8)

$$
M'' = \omega C_0 Z,\tag{9}
$$

where C_0 is the vacuum capacitance of the cell.

Figure [7](#page-5-0)a shows the diference of the real part of the electrical modulus at diferent temperatures as a function of

Fig. 7 Variation of (a) the real part (*M*′) and (b) the imaginary part (*M*″) of the modulus as functions of frequency at various tempera-**Fig. 8** Variation of F_p frequency relaxation with temperature.

frequency. Although the values of *M*′ are very low at low frequency, they attain a maximum at elevated frequency for all temperatures. In addition, if *ε*′ decreases, *M*′ increases, while it was noted above that the permittivity values are large. This can be explained by charge accumulation between the electrode and material. This result confrms the dielectric characterization. The dependence of the imaginary part of the modulus *M*″ on frequency (Fig. [7](#page-5-0)b) reveals a relaxation process. *M*″ is initially low but increases with frequency at some temperature until reaching a maximum that represents a peak of relaxation. If the temperature increases, the modulus values shift to the maximum at higher frequency. The relaxation peaks show that the sample is an ionic conductor. The imaginary part of the modulus is maximal at $\omega \tau = 1$.

The activation energy of the relaxation processes can be determined through the expression 39

$$
F_{\rm P} = f_0 \exp\left(-E_a / K_{\rm B}T\right),\tag{10}
$$

where f_0 is the frequency at high temperature, K_B is the Boltzmann constant, E_a is the activation energy, and *T* is temperature. By plotting the relaxation frequency F_p as a function of inverse temperature (1000/*T*) (Fig. [8\)](#page-5-1), a linear dependence is obtained, obeying Arrhenius behavior.^{[40](#page-7-35)} The resulting activation energy value is 0.386 eV.

Electrical Conductivity Studies

Conductivity measurements are signifcant for all dielectric materials as they can provide a great deal of information about the conduction mechanism. Besides, the electrical conduction mechanism relies on the movement of electrically charged particles through a transmission medium. Such movement, whose basic mechanism depends on the material, can result in the fow of an electric current in response to an

electric feld. Two kinds of conduction mechanism exist in a dielectric, namely the electrode-limited conduction mechanism and the bulk-limited conduction mechanism.

The electrode-limited conduction mechanism depends on the electrical characteristics at the electrode–dielectric interface. Considering this type, one can extract the physical features of the barrier height at the electrode–dielectric interface and the efective mass of the conduction carriers in the dielectric material. Meanwhile, the bulk-limited conduction mechanism depends on the electrical properties of the dielectric itself. Through its analysis, one can obtain several important physical parameters of the dielectric, including the trap level, trap spacing, trap density, carrier drift mobility, dielectric relaxation time, and density of states in the conduction band. Figure [9](#page-6-0) shows the frequency dependence of the AC conductivity at diferent temperatures. In addition, the variation of the conductivity reveals two zones, at low and elevated frequency. While the conductivity shows a large plateau corresponding to the direct current (Dc) conductivity at low frequencies, it gradually increases with increase in the frequency at elevated frequency.

Moreover, the conductivity curves can be expressed using the Jonscher relation, 41

$$
\sigma(\omega) = \sigma_{\rm DC} + A\omega^s,\tag{11}
$$

where σ_{DC} is the DC conductivity, ω is the frequency, *A* is a constant that depends on temperature, and s ($0 \le s \le 1$) is a dimension lessparameter that characterizes the dispersion in the material.[20](#page-7-16) As illustrated in Fig. [10](#page-6-1), the dependence of the conductivity on inverse temperature follows the Arrhenius $law: ⁴²$ $law: ⁴²$ $law: ⁴²$

$$
\sigma_{\rm DC} = \sigma_0 \exp\left(\frac{-E_{\rm a}}{K_{\rm B} T}\right),\tag{12}
$$

Fig. 9 Frequency dependence of AC conductivity at various temperatures.

where E_a is the activation energy, σ_0 is the preexponential term, and K_B is Boltzmann's constant. The activation energy value obtained for $LaBa_{0.5}Ag_{0.5}FeMnO₆$ is 0.392 eV, which may difer from that obtained from the modulus analysis, indicating that the charge transport properties result from the AC conduction mechanism. The temperature dependence of the exponent *s* was explored to identify the conduction model in the material. The obtained values of *s* vary from 0.993 to 0.664, depending on temperature. Numerous models can be applied to illustrate the conduction mechanism in samples. Examples including quantum-mechanical tunneling (QMT), correlated barrier hopping (CBH), nonoverlapping small-polaron tunneling (NSPT), and overlapping large-polaron tunneling (OLPT) can be cited. In the case of CBH, *s* decreases linearly with increase in temperature.^{[43](#page-7-38)}

Fig. 10 Variation of $\ln(\sigma_{DC} \times T)$ with 1000/*T*.

Fig. 11 Variation of universal exponent *s* with temperature.

In overlapping large-polaron tunneling (OLPT), *s* decreases monotonically with increase in temperature until reaching a minimum. For high temperatures, beyond this minimum, *s* increases again.^{[44](#page-7-39)} Figure [11](#page-6-2) shows the variation of the parameter *s* as a function of temperature for LBAFMO. The variation of the parameter *s* thus follows the OLPT conduc-tion mechanism.^{[44](#page-7-39)}

Conclusions

 $LaBa_{0.5}Ag_{0.5}FeMnO₆ compound was synthesized by the$ sol–gel-based Pechini technique. x-Ray difraction analysis indicated the cubic structure of the material, while complex impedance spectroscopy confrmed the occurrence of both grain and grain-boundary contributions to the relaxation process of LBAFMO. The electrical properties of LBAFMO can be described by an *RC* equivalent circuit. Furthermore, the Z' and Z'' curves merging above 10^5 Hz for different temperatures demonstrate the occurrence of a relaxation phenomenon. The variation of the AC conductivity is shown to obey Jonscher's law. Moreover, the activation energies resulting from the conductivity and modulus analyses are very similar, confrming that the charge transport between ions occurs via a jump mechanism correspond to the OLPT conduction model.

Conflict of Interest On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there are no conficts of interest.

References

- 1. M.T. Anderson, K.B. Greenwood, G.A. Taylor, and K.R. Poeppelmeier, *Prog. Solid State Chem.* 22, 197 (1993).
- 2. J.B. Philip et al., *Phys. Rev. B* 68, 144431 (2003).
- 3. R. Ramesh, and N.A. Spaldin, *Nat. Mater.* 6, 21 (2007).
- 4. M. Fiebig, and N.A. Spaldin, *Eur. Phys. J. B.* 71, 293 (2009).
- 5. N.A. Spaldin, S.-W. Cheong, and R. Ramesh, *Phys. Today* 63, 38 (2010).
- 6. M.B. Salomon, and M. Jaime, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 73, 583 (2001).
- 7. E.L. Nagaev, *Phys. Rep.* 346, 387 (2001).
- 8. S. Jin, T.H. Tiefel, M. McCormack, R. Ramesh, and L.H. Chen, *Science* 264, 413 (1994).
- 9. C. Zener, *Phys. Rev.* 82, 403 (1951).
- 10. G. Jung, V. Markovich, Y. Yuzhelevski, M. Indenbom, C.J. van der Beek, D. Mogilyansky, and Ya.M. Mukovskii, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 272–276, 1800 (2004).
- 11. J. Gao, and F.X. Hu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 86, 092504 (2005).
- 12. H. Rahmouni, B. Cherif, M. Baazaoui, and K. Khirouni, *J. Alloys Compd.* 575, 5 (2013).
- 13. M.S. Sahasrabudhe, S.I. Patil, S.K. Date, D.P. Adhi, S.D. Kulkarni, P.A. Joy, and R.N. Bathe, *Solid State Commun.* 137, 595 (2006).
- 14. P.G. De Gennes, *Phys. Rev.* 118, 141 (1960).
- 15. A. BenHafsia, N. Rammeh, M. Farid, and M. Khitouni, *Ceram. Int.* 42, 3673 (2016).
- 16. A. BenHafsia, M. Hendrickx, M. Batuk, M. Khitouni, J. Hadermann, J.-M. Greneche, and N. Rammeh, *J. Solid State Chem.* 251, 186 (2017).
- 17. J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, A Program for Rietveld Refnement and Pattern Matching Analysis, Meeting on Powder Difraction, Toulouse, France (1990).
- 18. V.M. Goldschmidt, Geochemische Verteilungsgesetetze der Element VII, VIII (1927/1928).
- 19. R.D. Shannon, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A.* 32, 751 (1976).
- 20. M.D. Ingram, *Phys. Chem. Glasses* 28, 215 (1987).
- 21. F. Ramezanipour, B. Cowie, S. Derakhshan, J.E. Greedan, and L.M.D. Cranswick, *J. Solid State Chem.* 182, 153 (2009).
- 22. E. Elbadraoui, J.L. Baudour, F. Bouree, B. Gillot, S. Fritsch, and A. Rousset, *Cation Solid State Ionics* 93, 219–225 (1997).
- 23. H. Nefzi, F. Sediri, H. Hamzaoui, and N. Gharbi, *Mater. Res. Bull.* 48, 1978 (2013).
- 24. Y. Liu, J. Wei, Y. Liu, X. Bai, P. Shi, S. Mao, X. Zhang, C. Li, and B. Dkhil, *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron* 27, 3095–3102 (2016).
- 25. V. Provenzano, L.P. Boesch, V. Volterra, C.T. Moynihan, and P.B. Macedo, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 55, 492–496 (1972).
- 26. Y. Olofsson, J. Groot, T. Katrašnik, G. Tavcar, in Electric Vehicle Conference (IEVC), 2014 IEEE International, pp. 1–6 (2014).
- 27. M. Ganguli, M. Harish Bhat, and K.J. Rao, *Phys. Chem. Glasses* 40, 297 (1999).
- 28. F. Alvarez, and A. Alegría, *J. Phys. Rev. B* 47, 125 (1993).
- 29. K.S. Cole, and R.H. Cole, *J. Chem. Phys.* 10, 98 (1942).
- 30. K.S. Cole, and R.H. Cole, *J. Chem. Phys.* 9, 341 (1941).
- 31. M.P.F. Graça, M.G.F. da Silva, A.S.B. Sombra, and M.A. Valente, *J. Non-crystalline Solids* 353, 4390 (2007).
- 32. M.G.F. da Silva, A.S.B. Sombra, and M.A. Valente, *J. Non-crystalline Solids* 352, 5199–5204 (2006).
- 33. K.S. Cole, P.M. Krishna, D.M. Prasad, J.H. Lee, and J.S. Kim, *J. Alloys Compd.* 464, 497 (2008).
- 34. M.P.F. Graça, P.R. Prezas, M.M. Costa, and M.A. Valente, *J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol.* 64, 78 (2012).
- 35. H. Kolodziej, and L. Sobczyk, *Acta Phys. Pol. A* 39, 59 (1971).
- 36. X. Qian, N. Gu, Z. Cheng, X. Yang, E. Wang, and S. Dong, *Electrochim. Acta* 46, 1829 (2001).
- 37. F.S. Howell, R.A. Bose, P.B. Macedo, and C.T. Moynihan, *Phys. Chem. Glassses* 13, 171 (1972).
- 38. S. Ghosh, and A. Ghosh, *Solid State Ion.* 149, 67 (2002).
- 39. M.D. Migahed, N.A. Bakr, M.I. Abdel-Hamid, O. El-Hannafy, and M. El-Nimr, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* 59, 655 (1996).
- 40. P. Atkins, and J. de Paua, *Physical Chemistry for the Life Sciences* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2006), pp. 256–259.
- 41. A.K. Jonscher, *Universal Relaxation Law* (London: Chelsea Dielectrics Press, 1996).
- 42. S. R, Philos. Mag. B 36 (1977) 1291.
- 43. S.R. Elliott, *Philos. Mag.* 36, 1291 (1977).
- 44. M. Megdiche, C. Perrin-Pellegrino, and M. Gargouri, *J. Alloys Compd.* 584, 209 (2014).

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.