### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# **Fate and toxicity of nanoparticles in aquatic systems**

**Shikha Singh1 · Sheo Mohan Prasad1 · Gausiya Bashri[2](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1721-0181)**

Received: 7 April 2022 / Revised: 12 September 2022 / Accepted: 16 September 2022 / Published online: 4 November 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Science Press and Institute of Geochemistry, CAS and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

### **Abstract**

Nanotechnology is a ground-breaking multidisciplinary field across a broad spectrum of basic and applied sciences for producing and applying nano-sized materials for innovative solutions. The use of nanomaterials in industrial applications, medical products, and consumers has increased repeatedly over the last few years, and these applications will likely continue to grow. In an aquatic ecosystem, nanoparticles take entry through a direct route that includes industrial discharge, disposal of wastewater treatment effluents, and indirect runoff from the soil. After reaching the aquatic environment, the nanomaterials are highly affected by their backdrops and subsequently go through various conversions like agglomeration, aggregation, dissolution, sulfidation, etc. The fate and the behavior of nanomaterials in the aquatic system not only depend on their physical-chemical properties but also on the pH, temperature, salinity, water hardness, and concentration of natural organic matter present in receiving water. In this review, emphasis has been given to the toxicological properties and potential risks of nanomaterials in terms of factors contributing to their toxicology, bioavailability, and accumulation in aquatic organisms as well as the environment. Furthermore, we summarize the published data on engineered nanoparticles' effect on aquatic organisms. The issues related to the accumulation and penetration of nanoparticles in the aquatic organism, their toxic effect, and biotransformation along with the food web are also discussed. Since nanomaterials are being increasingly

 Gausiya Bashri gausiya.bashri@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Department of Botany, Aligarh Muslim University, 202001 Aligarh, India

released into aquatic bodies, it is important to pay greater attention to their toxicity and how it affects the aquatic ecosystem. The nanomaterials are bioavailable to plants, resulting in trophic transfer, and they impact other organisms through biomagnification, as discussed in this review. To close the enormous information gap, extensive research on the interactions and impacts of NPs on different species belonging to different trophic levels of the aquatic environment and the destiny of NPs along the food chain of the ecosystem is urgently needed.

### **Highlights**

- The use of nanomaterial is in industrial applications, medical products, and consumers has increased repeatedly.
- The accidental release of NPs in the environment ultimately reaches the aquatic environment.
- A high concentration of these NPs negatively affects the organism at every trophic level.
- The NPs induced toxicity is mainly due to the generation of reactive oxygen species.

# **1 Introduction**

Nanotechnology is a field expanding very fast and has developed many products in every human-oriented area, whether it is electronics, cosmetics, or food industry. Nanoparticles (NPs) are a wide class of materials that include particulate substances, which have one dimension less than 100 nm at least (Laurent et al. [2010](#page-11-0)). The properties of nanoparticles such as reactivity and toughness are dependent on their distinct size, shape, and structure (Khan et al. [2019\)](#page-11-1). Because of their large surface area and nano-scale size, NPs also have specific physical (low melting point) and chemical (high reactivity) properties. Due to their unique size-physiochemical property, nanoparticles are widely used in a variety of products (Maurer-Jones et al. [2013\)](#page-11-2). Furthermore, many other parameters, such as morphology and coating agents,



 $\boxtimes$  Sheo Mohan Prasad profsmprasad@gmail.com

Ranjan Plant Physiology and Biochemistry Laboratory, Department of Botany, University of Allahabad, 211002 Allahabad, India

affect the properties of NPs (Jurasin et al. [2016;](#page-11-3) Khan et al. [2019](#page-11-1)).

"The Nanotechnology Consumer Products Inventory" has described the list of most used nano-materials (NMs) among the products, which includes carbon (29 products), i.e., fullerenes and nanotubes (Maynard [2006\)](#page-11-4). Silver was the second most referenced (25 products), followed by silica (14), titanium dioxide (8), zinc oxide (8), and cerium oxide (Kahru and Dubourguier [2010\)](#page-11-5). Nearly 60% of nano-based products (30% medical or pharmaceuticals; 29% chemicals and advanced materials) are directly intertwined in our dayto-day life (Kurwadkar et al. [2015](#page-11-6)). The widespread use of nanomaterials has inevitably resulted in their release into the environment, either as the original (as-manufactured) nanomaterial or, more likely, as degradants of societal nano-enabled goods. Our particular interest is the aquatic environment, including sediments, which tend to be the ultimate sink for particulate contaminants like NMs (Selck et al. [2016](#page-12-0)). Nanoparticles can be added to the aquatic system directly through industrial discharges or from the clearance of wastewater treatment effluents and indirectly through surface runoff. The occurrence of NPs in the aquatic system associated with excessive usage has led researchers to investigate their different properties, sources, behaviors, and toxicological impact (Bundschuh et al. [2018\)](#page-10-0). The release of nanoparticles into aquatic environments may result in various transformational processes which subsequently control their impact on the ecological system (Petosa et al. [2010](#page-12-1)). Currently, many kinds of research are ongoing on the effect of NPs on the environmental and ecotoxicological issues of nanomaterials (Handy and Shaw [2007](#page-10-1)). However, the toxicological impact of nanoparticles depends on various factors related to the nanoparticle themselves, their surrounding environment, and the tested model organism (Gatoo et al. [2014](#page-10-2); Turan et al. [2019](#page-12-2)).

With the increasing use of NMs since early 2000, the question of whether they pose a risk to the environment has loomed large. Because the toxicity level for every organism is different, some organisms can survive at the same level while others may be negatively affected. This study is motivated by these questions of environmental risk because of the predicted rapid increases in environmental concentrations, the known bioavailability and deleterious biological effects, and the consequent complexities of risk assessment (Taylor et al. [2016](#page-12-3); Laux et al. [2018](#page-11-7)). However, the absence of information, the lack of defined guidelines for storage, transport, and disposal, and an evolving regulatory perspective have made it difficult to comprehend, manage, and mitigate the environmental risks due to the occurrence of nanoparticles in the environment. When we talk about the whole ecosystem, the problem is more complicated and needs more concern. Understanding the sources, routes, and exposure pathways and the inherent toxicity of nanoparticles can help safeguard the environment against the release of nanoparticles in the environment. Thus, we attempted to highlight the enormous increase of NMs in the global market with accompanying risks to the aquatic environment. Furthermore, this review summarizes the major sources of NPs including their fate and transport. Moreover, we intend to present a systematic overview of NPs in aquatic systems on different species belonging to different trophic levels of the aquatic ecosystem, including phytoplankton, microorganisms, invertebrates, and fish, and their toxicological responses. Additionally, the mechanism of nano-toxicity in aquatic organisms is also discussed.

### **2 Sources, fate, and uptake of nanoparticles**

### **2.1 Sources of nanoparticles in aquatic ecosystem**

The sources of nanoparticles have a history and are not new, with the understanding that the life of Earth itself. Nanoparticles can be generated from natural (biogenic, geogenic, atmospheric, pyrogenic) and anthropogenic sources as engineered or byproducts. The nanoparticles could be categorized into two categories based on their production: (i) naturally formed nanoparticles which include humic & fulvic acid, organic acids, carbon nanotubes, nanospheres, and metals like silver, gold & Fe-oxides, and (ii) manufactured nanoparticles comprises carbon black, functionalized fullerenes, polyethylene glycol, platinum, metal phosphates, zeolites, and ceramics, etc. (Luther and Rickard [2005;](#page-11-8) Nowack and Bucheli [2007;](#page-11-9) Renzi and Guerranti [2015\)](#page-12-4).

The intentional release of nanoparticles is mostly related to the use of engineered nanoparticles for drug production, groundwater remediation, biomedical imaging, and other applications whereas the unintentional one is related to activities such as burning fossil fuels, vehicle exhaust, mining, and demolition (Turan et al. [2019\)](#page-12-2). The accumulation of nanoparticles in the water matrices begins once they are discharged into the environment (Iavicoli et al. [2014\)](#page-10-3). Furthermore, in the aquatic environment, NPs can enter either through nonpoint sources such as atmospheric release and water infiltration or the deliberate discharge of NPs (Weinberg et al. [2011](#page-13-0)). The presence of NPs in sewage or effluents from treatment plants has an environmental risk once mixed with the marine ecosystems. Furthermore, the NPs that are present on land contaminate soil, solid wastes, and wastewater effluent discharges directly or indirectly into the marine system by wind or rainwater runoff (Stephen et al. [2008](#page-12-5)). Numerous nanoparticles are present in industrial waste and products dumped into landfills, are regularly washed off into water bodies, and thus are another entry point into

Types of NPs	Sources	Concentration	Reference
Silver NPs	Clothing, cosmetics, medical	$<$ 1 ng L <sup>-1</sup>	Azimzada et al. (2021)
	devices, paints, humidifiers and refrigerators	0.088 to 2.16 ng $L^{-1}$ (PEC)*	Gottschalk et al. (2009)
Titanium dioxide NPs	Skincare products	$< 10 \mu g L^{-1}$	Azimzada et al. (2021)
		$0.03 \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ to 1.6 µg $\mathrm{L}^{-1}$ (PEC)	Gottschalk et al. (2013)
		8.8 $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup> (Thames region seaside, U.K.)	Johnson et al. $(2011)$
Zinc oxide NPs	Skincare products	0.008 to 0.055 $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup> in Europe and 0.001 to 0.003 $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup> in US (PEC)	Gottschalk et al. (2009, 2013)
CeO, NPs	Skincare products	$100 \text{ ng } L^{-1}$	Azimzada et al. 2021
		5.1 to 54.2 $\mu$ g m <sup>-3</sup> (PEC)	O'Brien and Cummins (2010)
$n-C60$	Pharmaceutical industry	5 to 20 ng $L^{-1}$ (Waste water of Spain)	Farre et al. $(2010)$
		4 to 20 ng $L^{-1}$ (PEC)	Gottschalk et al. (2009, 2013)
<b>CNT</b>	Electronics products	0.0005 to 0.0008 $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup> (PEC)	Mueller and Nowack 2008

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1** Types, sources, and concentration of nanoparticles (NPs) in the aquatic system

\*Predicted environmental concentrations (PEC)

the aquatic environment (Moore et al. 2006). Subsequently, nano-based industries begin to come online with mass production, making the entry of nano-products and byproducts into the aquatic environment unavoidable (Howard [2004](#page-10-4); Moore et al. 2006; Royal Society and Royal Academy of Engineering [2004](#page-12-6)). Approximately 60% of nanomaterials are used in medical/pharmaceutical and industrial applications; thus, they are likely released into wastewater (Kurwadkar et al. [2015](#page-11-6)). The variety of sources through which nanoparticles can enter wastewater include commercially available consumer products containing metallic silver and titanium dioxide, industrial processes, and waste streams resulting from the cleaning of production chambers viz. textile, photography, and electronics industries (Turan et al. [2019;](#page-12-2) Azimzada et al. [2021](#page-9-0)). In addition to this, a large fraction of sewage sludge is being used as fertilizers in the agricultural field in countries like the United Kingdom and the United States of America (Nicholson et al. [2003\)](#page-11-10), while in other nations, such sewage wastes are burned (Gottschalk et al. [2009\)](#page-10-5). A detailed description of the main sources of nanoparticles in the environment is summarized in Table [1.](#page-2-0) The worldwide production of silver nanoparticles (Ag NP) is estimated at 500 tons per annum (Mueller and Nowack [2008](#page-11-11)). However, the environmental conventions will ultimately limit the entered amount of NPs waste in the aquatic system via accidental release. The release of such NPs into the main water stream creates a new ecological problem that needs to be studied on a large scale shortly.

#### **2.2 Fate of nanoparticles in aquatic ecosystem**

The NPs present in the aquatic system are aquatic colloids; hence they are never in thermodynamics equilibrium like chemicals (Elimelech et al. [1995](#page-10-6)) and are subject to several transformational processes (Lowry et al. [2012;](#page-11-12) Nowack et al. [2012](#page-11-13); Stone et al. [2010\)](#page-12-7). In an aquatic ecosystem,

the crucial processes which influence the fate and behavior of nanomaterials are categorised into three categories (i) Physical processes which cover homo/hetero aggregation, agglomeration, sedimentation, and deposition, (ii) the chemical processes encompass photochemical reaction, dissolution and oxidation and sulfidation (Lowry et al. [2012](#page-11-12); Nowack et al. [2012;](#page-11-13) Stone et al. [2010](#page-12-7)), and (iii) Microbial mediated biodegradation and bio-modification processes are the main examples of the biological processes (Lead et al. [2018](#page-11-14)). These properties are important for the understanding of the transformation behaviors as well as the risk assessment and management plans (Lead et al. [2018](#page-11-14)). Details related to these critical and universally agreed properties that characterized NPs are discussed in the section below and presented in Fig. [1](#page-3-0) (Klaine et al. [2008;](#page-11-15) Bhatt and Tripathi [2011;](#page-9-1) Lowry et al. [2012](#page-11-12)).

Agglomeration: It is how nanoparticles get aggregated into large particles that could be removed from the water bodies and then transported to the sediments. The term "aggregate" is used for particles containing multiple strong bonding with smaller surface areas than individual ones, while "agglomerate" is defined as the collection of loosely bound aggregate. Surface charge controls the stability of nanoparticles, which in turn controls the agglomeration and toxicity of nanoparticles (Gatoo et al. [2014](#page-10-2)). Moreover, the agglomerate formation or aggregation is affected by the surface charge as a response to the exposure of organisms to nanoparticles (Hoshino et al. [2004](#page-10-7)). Particle aggregation is influenced not only by the surface charge but also by the particle size and composition. Due to aggregation, the toxicity of nanoparticles decreases with the increase in the concentration of nanoparticles at higher concentrations (Gatoo et al. [2014](#page-10-2); Pietroiusti et al. [2011\)](#page-12-8). Various factors like the composition of medium, ion strength, pH, and concentration of naturally present organic matter are known to affect the agglomeration process.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>



Nanoparticle coating and aging: The surface modification and functioning play a controlled role in the electrostatic stabilization of nanomaterials. The surface coating may eliminate or induce toxicity according to the nature of the coating used (Gatoo et al. [2014](#page-10-2)). For instance, nanoparticles having a surface coating of silica induce toxicity associated with the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) which in turn has cytotoxic effects (Risom et al. [2005;](#page-12-10) Sayes et al.  $2004$ ). Further, the product containing TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles should have a coating of silicon and aluminum oxide and be emitted to the environment by coating the nanoparticles (Arvidsson et al. [2012](#page-9-3)). In addition, Label et al. (2010) investigated the age of nanoparticles and concluded that TiO<sub>2</sub>-containing nano-composites (often used in sunscreen) altered the dispersive capacity of particles in water and consequently the fate of the environment.

Collision capacity: Aggregation of particles is dependent on attachment efficiency and collision frequency. The attachment efficiency depicts the chance that upon collision of two particles they will stick together and form an aggregate, while the collision frequency depicts the number of collisions between particles that could potentially result in the formation of an aggregate (Phenrat et al. [2010\)](#page-12-12). The cluster of particles is affected by the collision efficiency. No collisions will cause attachment if the collision efficiency is

equal to zero, and if the collision efficiency is one, there will be attachments by all the collisions.

Natural organic matter and colloids: In a natural aquatic system, there is abundant organic matter (ranging from small molecules to larger macromolecules), inorganic clay minerals, and natural colloids of varying sizes (Gallego Urrea et al. [2010](#page-10-10)). It is well recognized that in natural water bodies, the sorption of such nanomaterials is generally mentioned as natural organic matter (Arvidsson et al. [2011\)](#page-9-2). Being a universal component of aquatic ecosystems, natural organic matter may affect the aggregation and/or deposition properties of NPs by influencing the surface speciation and charges of those particles. Buffle et al. ([1998\)](#page-10-11) distinguished the natural organic matter into three groups based on their biophysical properties: (1) rigid biopolymers, which include the polysaccharide and peptidoglycan produced by phytoplankton or bacteria (Myklestad [1995](#page-11-17)), (2) fulvic compounds that contain breakdown products of plants, and (3) flexible biopolymers which covers the degradation product of microbial community. The interaction of released nanoparticles with natural organic matter will change their surface properties by forming a different natural coating that will affect their fate and behavior in water (Biswas and Sarkar 2019).

Sedimentation: The ultimate consequence of aggregation is the sedimentation of these nanoparticle aggregates to the sediment. In addition to aggregation, nanoparticles will deposit on other surfaces, like natural colloids (Petosa et al. [2010](#page-12-1); Arvidsson et al. [2011](#page-9-2)). Sedimentation is well described for agglomerates with spherically dense morphology, while non-agglomerated NPs have an almost negligible sedimentation rate due to their smaller size. All particles with a higher density than water must have a net downward force vector, which leads to a fixed sedimentation velocity for that particle (Elimelech et al. [1995](#page-10-6)).

Dissolution: Dissolution is an important chemical process that controls the mobility and availability of trace metals in the soil. The amount of dissolution is expected to depend on various factors like pH, presence, and absence of oxygen, oxidants like hydrogen peroxide, and ligand properties (Galloway et al. [2010\)](#page-10-19). As toxic metal ions may release from nanoparticles, the dissolution reaction of those nanoparticles might be expected to play a significant role in enhancing their toxicity (Campbell et al. [2002](#page-10-20); Hiriart- Baer et al. [2006](#page-10-21)). In wastewater treatment plants, the dissolution /transformation of silver or nano-silver into silver sulfide nano form has also been shown (Kim et al. [2010\)](#page-11-21).

Sulfidation and redox behavior: Sulfidation is a major chemical transformation for many metal NPs, particularly in the presence of enhanced sulfide concentrations such as those found in parts of wastewater treatment plants (Kim et al. [2010;](#page-11-21) Kaegi et al. [2011](#page-11-22)). The reactions can result in changes in particle size, surface charge, and solubility. Ultimately these changes will influence the fate, bioavailability, and effects of the NPs. As consequence, the sulfidized form is more toxic to aquatic biota (Li et al. [2015](#page-11-23)). More generally, oxidation is not a major transformation pathway for most of the NPs, although it is an essential step in the dissolution of metals such as Ag, whereas redox transformations of metal oxides such as FeO and ceria are important in determining the behavior of NPs in the aquatic system (Lead et al. [2018\)](#page-11-14).

# **2.3 Uptake and bioavailability of nanoparticles in aquatic systems**

The uptake of nanoparticles is a major concern in aquatic biota. Studies on bioavailability and uptake are critically important to link the environmental chemistry of NPs to biological effects. The hypothesis is that the presence of nanoparticles in an organism will lead to a biological response, and this can be understood by how the NPs initially interact with the external surfaces of the organism. Further, the properties and behaviors of NPs are important factors in bioaccumulation. For instance, particle size may not be revealing the exposure of aggregate, though it has been shown to influence bioaccumulation. In addition to this, particle size and composition, the shape of the NPs, and their synthesis method can affect bioaccumulation (Dai et al. [2015](#page-10-12); Ramskov et al. [2015\)](#page-12-13). Many studies have shown that bulk or micron-size particles are less bioavailable to invertebrates than their nano-sized counterparts (Pang et al. [2013](#page-12-14); Cozzari et al. [2015\)](#page-10-13). The prokaryotes are protected against nanoparticle uptake since they don't have any mechanism for the bulk transport of colloidal particles. However, in eukaryotes (i.e. protists and metazoans), well-developed cellular processes like endocytosis and phagocytosis are present for the internalization of nanoscale particles; hence the situation is very different (Na et al. [2003](#page-11-18); Panyam and Labhasetwar [2003\)](#page-12-15). The possible routes for nanoparticle regeneration include epithelial boundaries such as direct penetration or penetration through body walls, gills, or olfactory organs (Brigger et al. [2002;](#page-9-4) Farkas et al. [2011\)](#page-10-14). In fish, the liver is likely to be targeted by endocytotic transport to the intestinal epithelium in the liver portal blood system (Smedsrud et al. [1984\)](#page-12-16). In addition, nanoparticles are potential targets in the case of invertebrates for internalization of the immune system, intestinal epithelium, and digestive or midgut gland (Moore [1990](#page-11-19)).

# **3 Impact of nanoparticles on aquatic ecosystems**

On the grounds of the extensive use and clearance of engineered nanomaterials in our everyday life, ecosystems, especially aquatic ecosystem, becomes a major victim of environmental pollution. The toxic impact of nanomaterials on aquatic organisms is important to study because most contaminants released in the environment are consumed by aquatic species. Griffitt et al. ([2008\)](#page-10-15) conducted a study to assess the toxicity of metallic nanoparticles in aquatic organisms. Additionally, irregularities in behavior patterns and the mortality rate of these organisms have also been observed (Lovern and Klaper [2006;](#page-11-20) Templeton et al. [2006](#page-12-17); Roberts et al. [2007\)](#page-12-18). The nano-toxicological studies, as well as various risk assessments, have been carried out on algae and bacteria (Wang et al. [2008](#page-12-19); Jiang et al. [2009\)](#page-10-16), nematodes and crustaceans (Wang et al. [2009](#page-12-20); Heinlaan et al. [2008](#page-10-17)), fish and rats (Griffitt et al. [2008;](#page-10-15) Elgrabli et al. [2007](#page-10-18)). Exploration of biological effects involved in (i) in-vitro and (ii) in-vivo studies. For the uptake of engineered nanoparticles released from the environment, surface sediment and filter-feeding Molluscs are thought to be major candidates; meanwhile, Molluscs are already identified to accumulate the sediments and suspended particles.

#### **3.1 Impact on phytoplankton / primary producer**

The phytoplanktons are the dominant primary producer in the aquatic ecosystem, having a size of 40–80 µm (Arturo et al. [2012](#page-9-7)). Nanomaterials released in the aquatic environment can potentially interact with photoautotrophic organisms, thus hampering key ecological processes, particularly photosynthesis, which decreases primary productivity. The small particles showed a concentration-dependent effect, while large particles showed less toxicity (Hund-Rinke and Simon [2006](#page-10-24)). Algal exposure to dissolved NPs results in reactive oxygen species (ROS) production leading in turn to an important reduction in the chlorophyll content, algal cell growth, and viability (Oukarroum et al. [2014](#page-12-26); Sirelkhatim et al. [2015\)](#page-12-27). It was also reported that the ionic form accumulation of some NPs in algal cells underlies the mechanism of toxicity. The unfavorable impact of fabricated NiO-NPs on the microalgae *Chlorella vulgaris* has been reported by Gong et al. [\(2011\)](#page-10-25), and according to them, cells of *C. vulgaris* inhibited the overall growth as a result of plasmolysis, and membrane leakage at 72 h and showed EC50 values of 32.28 mg NiO  $L^{-1}$ . In the same way, the effect of pH on Ag-NPs induced cellular toxicity in *Chlamydomonas acidophila* has been determined by Oukarroum et al. [\(2014](#page-12-26)). They stated that the size distribution of Ag-NPs was pH-dependent, and a higher solubility was observed at pH-4 compared to pH-7. In addition, the results indicated that 24-hour exposure to Ag-NPs causes decreased cell viability and reduction in chlorophyll content attributable to the pH-dependent dissolution and production of reactive oxygen species. Also, in another study on *Spirodella polyrrhiz*a, Movafeghi et al. [\(2016](#page-11-28)) broadened the toxic effect of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>-NPs$  and observed a significant reduction in the activity of particular oxidative stress controlling enzymes and in growth parameters and photosynthetic pigment contents. The nanoparticles are bioavailable to plants, causing trophic transfer, and have an impact on other organisms via biomagnification.

#### **3.2 Impact on microorganisms**

Apart from aquatic plankton, NPs also caused major toxicity to aquatic microorganisms, which are very small organisms with a size of 0.1 micron. Microbes are the root source of the ocean food web and play an important role in nutrient cycling by decomposing organic matter (Fasham [1984](#page-10-26)). They are also known to regulate the metabolism of the aquatic ecosystem through disruptive activities, alkalinity, pH, and redox circumstances (Fasham [1984](#page-10-26); Trombetta et al. [2020](#page-12-28)). The rapid use of nanotechnology has increased the potential risks for microorganisms. Among different microorganisms, bacteria which are the ubiquitous members of ecosystems, serve as the basis for the food web and support environmental functions. Bacteria are generally less affected by the NPs toxicity in comparison with other living organisms in the aquatic environment due to their ability to overcome stress conditions and develop their defense systems (Freixa et al. [2018\)](#page-10-22). The interaction between nanomaterials and biomolecules, directly or indirectly, may give rise to strong antimicrobial activity, as it is proved by current reports exposing the side effects of nanomaterials on microorganisms (Niazi and Gu [2009\)](#page-11-24). The excess production of ROS can cause bacterial cell membrane dislocation and/or damage, changes in membrane permeability, and subsequent cell death (Choi and Hu [2008;](#page-10-23) Nair et al. [2009\)](#page-11-25) (Table [2](#page-6-0)).

#### **3.3 Impact on plants**

In all ecosystems, plants are vital components and play a crucial role in the fate and behavior of nanomaterials despite their sessile nature. Plants have been taken into consideration by scientists due to their interface with soil, water, and air which may include manufactured nanoparticles, consequently generating nanotoxicity. However, the toxic effects on aquatic plants from NMs have not been well documented, and a very few number of reports are accessible in the literature. In the ecosystem, there is a broad range of plant species. Most of the nanotoxicity work so far has been focused on plants used for human consumption, such as maize (Birbaum et al. [2010\)](#page-9-5), wheat (Ma et al. [2010\)](#page-11-26), soybean (Priester et al. [2012](#page-12-21)), tobacco (Sabo-Attwood et al. [2012](#page-12-22)) and many fruits and/or vegetables such as pumpkin (Zhu et al.  $2008$ ), cucumber (Lin and Xing  $2007$ ; Ma et al. [2010](#page-11-26); Wang et al. [2012](#page-12-23)) and radish (Lin and Xing [2007;](#page-11-27) Ma et al. [2010](#page-11-26); Atha et al. [2012](#page-9-6)). Further, some other studies concentrated on the effect of Ag-NPs on *Lemna minor* and demonstrated the inhibition in plant growth and chlorophyll synthesis after exposure to Ag-NPs (Pereira et al. [2018](#page-12-24)). In addition to this, many studies have been performed on hydroponic plants, where NPs are presented in an aqueous phase compared to more realistic nanoparticles through irrigated soil or sand. Sabo-Attwood et al. [\(2012](#page-12-22)), while experimenting on tobacco seedlings treated with Au-NP under hydroponic conditions, reported that the smaller-sized NPs are capable of translocating into leaves while the NPs with larger sizes are restricted to the root periphery only.

#### **3.4 Impact on animals**

In aquatic organisms, invertebrates are normally in the habit of measuring the potential hazard effects of chemicals in ecosystems, as they act as representatives of different food webs in aquatic systems (Ruppert et al. [2004](#page-12-25)). The largest

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 2** Effect of different types of nanoparticles (NPs) on microbial community and invertebrate species

<b>Studied Organism</b>	Type of Nanoparticle	Concentration	Impact on Organism	References
Nitrifying bacterial community	Ag	$0.10 \; mg \; L^{-1}$	No change in the membrane fouling rate but increased concentra- tion of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS).	Zhang et al. $(2014)$
N-related microbial community	Ag	50 to 200 $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup>	Disturbed enzymatic activities were observed after Ag NPs application.	Huang et al. $(2019)$
River microbial community	Ag	$200 \mu g L^{-1}$	Change in structure of bacterial community was observed after NPs exposure.	Londono et al. $(2019)$
Juvenile Epinephelus coioides	CuO	20 to 100 $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup>	Significantly lower growth parameters were observed after CuO NPs exposure. No mortality was observed.	Wang et al. (2014)
Marine invertebrates Worms: Hediste diversicolor and Scrobicularia plana	CuO	$10 \mu g L^{-1}$	No significant effects were shown for the markers of neurotoxicity or oxidative damage. Enzymatic biomarkers: GST, CAT and SOD are activated by CuO NPs in Scrobicularia plana	Buffet et al. $(2011)$
N-related microbial community	CuO	$1 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$	Bacterial genera depleted by CuO NPs were related to carbohydrate and glycan biosynthesis and metabolism, and biosynthesis of other secondary metabolites.	Miao et al. (2019)
N-related microbial community	CuO	1 mg $L^{-1}$	CuO NPs decreased the nitrogen removal efficiency, anammox rate, and relative abundance of anaerobic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AAOB).	Zhang et al. (2018)
Mesocosms (phytoplankton, zooplankton, macroinvertes, macrophytes, and fish)	TiO <sub>2</sub>	25 and 250 µg ${\rm L}^{-1}$	The biomass of Rotifera was significantly reduced after exposure to TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs while biomass of Cladocera, Copepoda, phytoplankton, macrophytes, chironomids, and fish was unaffected.	Jovanovic et al. (2016)
Microbial communities	TiO <sub>2</sub>	700 $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup>	No significant effect on distribution and the structure of the micro- bial communities was observed at this concentration of NPs.	Londono et al. $(2017)$
N-related microbial community	TiO <sub>2</sub>	$1 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$	Decrease in nitrogen removal efficiency was observed, and the relative abundance of anaerobic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria was found to decrease under application of $TiO2$ .	Zhang et al. (2018)
Periphyton	TiO <sub>2</sub>	$0.05$ mg L <sup>-1</sup>	No detectable effects on algal cell density, chlorophyll-a, or periphyton mass was observed.	Wright et al. $(2018)$
Tegillarca granosa, mollusc	TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.1 to 10 mg $L^{-1}$	$TiO2$ NPs were neurotoxic to the blood clam as indicted by increased neurotransmitter concentrations, as well as the down- regulated expression of Neurotransmitter related genes.	Guan et al. (2018)
Caenorhabditis elegans TiO <sub>2</sub>		$1 \mu g L^{-1}$	A decrease in the locomotion behavior of wild nematodes was observed which could be due to the significant increase in intestinal <b>ROS</b> Production	Dong et al. (2018)

invertebrate phylum, Arthropoda consists of the two largest groups of insects and crustaceans. Crustaceans are the most important group of invertebrates; are mostly used as model organisms to assess ecotoxicological tests of hazardous materials in water ecosystems (Ruppert et al. [2004](#page-12-25)). Crustaceans also can sequester toxic metals in their tissues, i.e., granules of the hepatopancreas and other tissues. Oberdorster et al. ([2006\)](#page-12-29) analyzed the impact of NM, i.e., fullerenes on *Daphnia magna*; which results in altered moulting and decreased reproductive output, and increased mortality rates (Oberdorster et al. [2006\)](#page-12-29). NPs can enhance the toxicity of particular chemicals by interacting with them and also work as a carrier of co-existing contaminants toward *Daphnia magn*a. Baun et al. ([2008\)](#page-9-8) suggested using crustaceans as representatives to study the impact of NPs or any other chemicals in the aquatic ecosystem for further knowledge in nano-ecotoxicology using in-vitro and in-vivo analysis. With these tests, we can analyze the behavior and bioavailability of NPs and also their bioaccumulation in the food chain of the aquatic ecosystem. Heinlaan et al. ([2008\)](#page-10-17) said that *Daphnia magna* could be a model organism to study the toxicity of nanoparticles in aquatic ecosystems. From the above study, we can say that NPs at high concentrations can negatively affect the crustacean i.e. *Daphnia magna*, in particular, is the representative fauna of the aquatic environment.

Molluscs, also known as bivalves, can filter plenty of water; due to this reason, any contaminant present in the water tends to accumulate in the different tissues of molluscs. Laura et al. (2012) have reported the stimulation of lysozyme enzyme release and reactive oxygen and nitrogen species which can ultimately lead to oxidative in response

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table 3** Effect of different types of nanoparticles (NPs) on fish species

Fish species	Type of Nano Particles	Concentration	Main effects	Reference
Oreochromis niloticus and Tilapia zillii	$Ag-NPs$	2 and 4 mg $L^{-1}$	Ag-NPs at higher concentration i.e. 4 mg/L have deleterious effects on brain antioxidant system.	Afifi et al. (2016)
Oreochromis mossambicus	$Al_2O^3$ <sup>-</sup> NPs	120 to 180 mg $L^{-1}$	NPs were accumulated in the fish liver and caused major histological anomalies such as structural alterations in the portal vein, necrotic hepatocytes, vacuolation, aggregation of blood cells and melanomacrophages	Murali et al. (2017)
Apistogramma agas- sizii and Parachei- rodon axelrodi	$Cu-NPs$		An increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels.	Braz-Mota et al. $(2018)$
Oncorhynchus mykiss, Cu-NPs Pimephales promelas, and Danio rerio			Caused damage to gill filaments and gill pavement cells, with differ- ences in sensitivity for these effects between the fish species studied	Song et al. (2015)
Rutilusrutilus caspicus	$Cu-NPs$	$0.1$ to $0.5$ mg $L^{-1}$	Histological changes occur in liver and kidney. The result of the study showed that copper nanoparticles could cause severe damages in the vital tissues of Caspian roach; Rutillus rutillus caspicus and have lethal effects for fish.	Aghamirkarimi et al. $(2017)$
Prochilodus lineatus	TiO-NP	1 to 50 mg $L^{-1}$	Ti accumulated in the liver, muscle, and brain and decreased muscu- lar AChE activity	Carmo et al. (2019)
Cyprinus carpio L.	$ZnO-NPs$		ZnO NPs might affect kidney and liver function.	Chupani et al. (2018)
Oreochromis niloticus ZnO-NPs		1 and 2 mg $L^{-1}$	mRNA expression of antioxidant enzymes were significantly decreased Abdelazim et al.	(2018)

to the uptake of nanoparticle agglomerates. A nanoparticle enters the cell by the process of endocytosis in the digestive gland cells of blue mussels and cockles. C60 fullerene induces cytotoxicity in *Mytilus edulis* hemocytes (Laura et al. 2012). Marine bivalves also take nanoparticles by endocytosis, such as *Mytilus edulis* (Moore [2006\)](#page-11-35). Mussels and oysters more efficiently capture and ingest nanoparticles incorporated into agglomerates than freely suspended (100 nm) nanoparticles (Ward and Kach [2009\)](#page-13-5). Exposure of C60 fullerene in oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) can alter the development of larvae and digestive gland lysosomal negatively (Laura et al. 2012). Similarly, the accumulation of CuO nanoparticles in marine bivalve *Scrobicularia plana* increased the activities of SOD, CAT, and GST (Buffet et al. [2012](#page-9-11)). The impact of NPs on microorganisms and invertebrates has been summarized in Table [2.](#page-6-0)

Fishes are also very sensitive to nanoparticles due to gills. An early study suggested that C60 fullerenes (tetrahydrofuran as C60 solution) at very low aquatic exposure levels could induce fish brain changes (Oberdorster [2004](#page-12-32)). This significantly enhances lipid peroxidation in the brain of largemouth bass after 48 h of exposure to 0.5 mg / l of uncoated C60 fullerene. Fishes exposed to fullerenes have shown lipid peroxidation in the brain (Stephen et al. [2008](#page-12-5)). The toxicity of Ag ion has been studied in some freshwater fish species at a concentration of 0.8 µg  $L^{-1}$  (LC10) (Birge and Zuiderveen [1995;](#page-9-12) Janes and Playle [1995;](#page-10-32) Wood et al. [1996](#page-13-6)). Ag ions in solution can reach the bronchial epithelial cells via the Na<sup>+</sup> channel coupled to the proton ATPase in

the apical membrane of the gills, travel to the basolateral membrane of the gill, and block the  $Na<sup>+</sup> K<sup>+</sup> ATP$ ase affecting ion regulation of Na<sup>+</sup>Cl<sup>−</sup> ions across the gills (Bury et al. [1999](#page-10-30)). An adverse effect of nanoparticles on the fish has been presented in Table [3.](#page-7-0)

Amphibians are sensitive toward nanomaterials because of their biphasic life cycle and the high permeability of eggs, skin, and gills. Many works have been done to analyze the toxicity of ENMs on amphibians. Amphibian larvae may form micronuclei by genome mutations which can be used as biomarkers to analyze the impacts at the biochemical, physiological, and genetic/molecular levels in response to ENMs (Mouchet et al. [2007](#page-11-33), [2008](#page-11-34)).

## **4 Mechanism of nano-toxicity**

In general, toxicity in a living organism is produced by the excess generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS); in normal conditions, these ROS are effectively scavenged by the antioxidant defense system (Bashri et al. [2018](#page-9-10)). In a similar way to other toxicants, NPs also generate ROS at high concentrations and produce oxidative stress in the affected organism (Fig. [2](#page-8-0)). Many studies reported a large generation of ROS even under small amounts of CuO or ZnO NPs in the incorporated cells (Chang et al. [2012](#page-10-31); Toduka et al. [2012\)](#page-12-31). NPs can induce ROS directly when they come in contact with the organelles of exposed cells and the major sites of ROS production in mitochondria where any

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

**Fig. 2** Schematic representation of NPs induces generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that lead to oxidative stress in cells that may cause cell death

disturbance in the electron transport chain leads to the generation of superoxide radical (Nohl and Gille [2005;](#page-11-37) Zhang and Gutterman [2007](#page-13-11)). NPs have a specific property, i.e., large surface area; due to this reason, they can easily cooperate with the biomolecules that enrich CuO or ZnO NPs with high electronic density (Pisanic et al. 2009). According to published data on metallic and metal oxide NPs, oxygen is frequently required for the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in AgNPs and nano-zero valent iron, whereas illumination is required for ROS generation in  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and ZnO NPs (Yang et al. [2013\)](#page-13-12). Ag-NPs are probably toxic to microbes due to both the release of silver ions (ion-free) and the production of reactive oxygen species (Zhang et al. [2016](#page-13-13)). Consequently, the formation of excess superoxide

oxide  $(O_2^{\text{-}})$  induces ROS accumulation and causes oxidative stress (de Berardis et al. [2010](#page-10-35)). Superoxide radical (O2 •<sup>−</sup>) is converted into hydrogen peroxide by the action of the enzyme superoxide dismutase. In the middle of this, chemical reactions occur, known as Fenton's reaction. This hydrogen peroxide can convert into the most toxic hydroxyl radicals in the presence of transition metals by the reaction of Heiber Veis and Fenton's (Yamakoshi et al. [2003](#page-13-7)). These generated ROS can react with biomolecules and cause the oxidation of lipids and proteins, causing an imbalance in biological systems (Xia et al. [2008](#page-13-8); Yang et al. [2009](#page-13-9); Xiong et al. [2011](#page-13-10)) studied the oxidative stress induced by metal oxide in zebrafish, which causes damage to biomolecules in the absence of light. It has also been proved that oxidative

stress represents a common mechanism for NP-induced cell damage (Pulskamp et al. [2007\)](#page-12-34), and the mechanism has been authenticated in many NPs' toxicity studies (Yang et al. [2009](#page-13-9)). But above any toxicant, the generation of ROS exceeds its scavenging process which results in the oxidative burst, and it also results in intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  release, which leads to mitochondrial perturbation and cell death (Xia et al. [2008\)](#page-13-8). Meanwhile, with increased ROS production, NPs can also alter and damage the DNA and disturb protein synthesis (Yang et al. [2009\)](#page-13-9). Similarly, Singh et al. [\(2009](#page-12-35)) documented that the increased level of ROS induced by NPs in lysosomes could be one of the reasons for DNA point mutations.

# **5 Conclusion and future perspective**

Nanotechnology is the fastest growing industry, which gives us many products of daily use and many benefits in terms of medicine and its technology. But the accidental release of NPs in the environment ultimately reaches the aquatic environment, which may harm living organisms and sensitive ecosystems. The NPs reached the aquatic system through wind, rivers, etc. A high concentration of these NPs can negatively affect the organism of every trophic level in the aquatic ecosystem, such as phytoplankton, microorganism, plants, and animals. This negative impact of NPs on living organisms is due to the excess generation of reactive oxygen species due to altered balance with an antioxidant defense system that can lead to cell death. Thus, although development is an essential feature of a growing society, it should be done with the proper management not to alter the environment. For this, there should be sustainable methods to overcome the harmful impact of these NPs on the environment. On the way to sustainable nanotechnology, it is evident that the expertise from different disciplines must contribute to a greater understanding of nano bio-interaction. However, there is much need for more studies and research to better understand the impact of NPs on particular organisms. A complete study of the interactions and impacts of NPs on various species belonging to various trophic levels of the aquatic ecosystem, along the food chain and food web of the ecosystem, is urgently needed to close the enormous knowledge gap. This study could help researchers to make regulations that forbid companies to spread nanoparticles into aquatic environments.

**Funding** Any funding agency did not fund this work.

### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### **References**

- <span id="page-9-16"></span>Abdelazim AM, Saadeldin IM, Swelum AAA, Afifi MM, Alkaladi A (2018) Oxidative stress in the muscles of the fish Nile tilapia caused by zinc oxide nanoparticles and its modulation by vitamins C and E. *Oxidative medicine and cellular longevity*, *2018*
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>Afifi M, Saddick S, Zinada OAA (2016) Toxicity of silver nanoparticles on the brain of Oreochromis niloticus and Tilapia zillii. Saudi J Biol Sci 23(6):754–760
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>Aghamirkarimi S, Mashinchian Moradi A, Sharifpour I, Jamili S, Mostafavi G, P (2017) Sublethal effects of copper nanoparticles on the histology of gill, liver, and kidney of the Caspian roach. Rutilus rutilus caspicus
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>Arturo GS, Moreno-Garcia E, Carlos-Castro J, Zamudio-Abdala J, Garduno-Trejo J (2012) Cognitive, affective and behavioral components that explain attitude toward statistics. J Math Res Archives 4(5). [https://doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v4n5p8](http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v4n5p8)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>Arvidsson R, Molander S, Sandén BA (2011) Impacts of a silvercoated future: particle flow analysis of silver nanoparticles. J Ind Ecol 15(6):844–854
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>Arvidsson R, Molander S, Sandén BA (2012) Particle flow analysis - Exploring potential use phase emissions of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles from sunscreen, paint and cement. J Ind Ecol 16(3):343–351
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>Atha DH, Wang H, Petersen EJ, Cleveland D, Holbrook RD, Jaruga P, Dizdaroglu M, Xing B, Nelson BC (2012) Copper oxide nanoparticle mediated DNA damage in terrestrial plant models. Environ Sci Technol 46:1819–1827
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>Azimzada A, Jreije I, Hadioui M, Shaw P, Farner JM, Wilkinson KJ (2021) Quantification and Characterization of Ti-, Ce-, and Ag-Nanoparticles in Global Surface Waters and Precipitation. Environ Sci Tech 55(14):9836–9844. [https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c00488) [est.1c00488](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c00488)
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>Bashri G, Parihar P, Singh R, Patel A, Prasad SM (2018) Plant and Nanoparticle Interface at the Molecular Level: An Integrated Overview. Nanomaterials in Plants, Algae, and Microorganisms, 325–344
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>Baun A, Hartmann NB, Grieger K, Kusk KO (2008) Ecotoxicity of Engineered Nanoparticles to Aquatic Inverte? brates: A Brief Review and Recommendations for Future Toxicity Testing, Ecotoxicology, 17, 387–395
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>Bhatt I, Tripathi BN (2011) Interaction of engineered nanoparticles with various components of the environment and possible strategies for their risk assessment. Chemosphere 82:308–317
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>Birbaum K, Brogioli R, Schellenberg M, Martinoia E, Stark WJ, Günther D, Limbach LK (2010) No evidence for cerium dioxide nanoparticle translocation in maize plants. Environ Sci Technol 44:8718–8723
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>Birge W, Zuiderveen J (1995) The comparative toxicity of silver to aquatic biota. Proceedings, 3rd Argentum International Conference on the Transport, Fate, and Effects of Silver in the Environment, Washington, DC
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>Braz-Mota S, Campos DF, MacCormack TJ, Duarte RM, Val AL, Almeida-Val VM (2018) Mechanisms of toxic action of copper and copper nanoparticles in two Amazon fish species: Dwarf cichlid (*Apistogramma agassizii*) and cardinal tetra (*Paracheirodon axelrodi*). Sci Total Environ 630:1168–1180
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>Brigger I, Dubemet C, Courveur P (2002) Nanoparticles in cancer therapy and diagnosis. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 54:631–651
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>Buffet PE, Tankoua OF, Pan JF, Berhanu D, Herrenknecht C, Poirier L, Mouneyrac C (2011) Behavioural and biochemical responses of two marine invertebrates *Scrobicularia plana* and *Hediste diversicolor* to copper oxide nanoparticles. Chemosphere 84(1):166–174
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>Buffet PE, Amiard-Triquet C, Dybowska A, Risso-de Faverney C, Guibbolini M, Valsami-Jones E, Mouneyrac C (2012) Fate of

isotopically labeled zinc oxide nanoparticles in sediment and effects on two endobenthic species, the clam *Scrobicularia plana* and the ragworm Hediste diversicolor. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 84:191–198

- <span id="page-10-11"></span>Buffle J, Wilkinson KJ, Stoll S, Filella M, Zhang J (1998) A generalized description of aquatic colloidal interactions: the three-colloidal component approach. Environ Sci Technol 32:2887–2899
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>Bundschuh M, Filser J, Lüderwald S, McKee MS, Metreveli G, Schaumann GE, Schulz R, Wagner S (2018) Nanoparticles in the environment: where do we come from, where do we go to? Environ. Sci. Eur. (2018), [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-018-0132-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12302-018-0132-6)
- <span id="page-10-30"></span>Bury NR, McGeer JC, Wood CM (1999) Effects of altering freshwater chemistry on physiological responses of rainbow trout to silver exposure. Environ Toxicol Chem 18(1):49–55
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>Campbell PG, Errécalde O, Fortin C, Hiriart-Baer VP, Vigneault B (2002) Metal bioavailability to phytoplankton—applicability of the biotic ligand model. Comp Biochem Physiol C—Toxicology Pharmacol 133:189–206
- <span id="page-10-33"></span>Carmo TL, Siqueira PR, Azevedo VC, Tavares D, Pesenti EC, Cestari MM, Fernandes MN (2019) Overview of the toxic effects of titanium dioxide nanoparticles in blood, liver, muscles, and brain of a Neotropical detritivorous fish. Environ Toxicol 34(4):457–468
- <span id="page-10-31"></span>Chang Y, Zhang M, Xia L, Zhang J, Xing G (2012) The toxic effects and mechanisms ofcuo and zno nanoparticles. Materials 2012(5), 2850–2871; doi:[https://doi.org/10.3390/ma5122850](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ma5122850)
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>Choi O, Hu ZQ (2008) Size dependent and reactive oxygen species related nanosilver toxicity to nitrifying bacteria. Environ Sci Technol 42(12):4583–4588
- <span id="page-10-34"></span>Chupani L, Niksirat H, Velíšek J, Stará A, Hradilová Å, Kolařík J, Zusková E (2018) Chronic dietary toxicity of zinc oxide nanoparticles in common carp (Cyprinus carpio L.): Tissue accumulation and physiological responses. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 147:110–116
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>Cozzari M, Elia AC, Pacini N, Smith BD, Boyle D, Rainbow PS, Khan FR (2015) Bioaccumulation and oxidative stress responses measured in the estuarine ragworm (*Nereis diversicolor*) exposed to dissolved, nanoand bulk-sized silver. Environ Pollut 198:32–40
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>Dai L, Banta GT, Selck H, Forbes VE (2015) Influence of copper oxide nanoparticle form and shape on toxicity and bioaccumulation in thedeposit feeder, *Capitella teleta*. Mar Environ Res 111:99–106
- <span id="page-10-35"></span>De Berardis B, Civitelli G, Condello M, Lista P, Pozzi R, Arancia G, Meschini S (2010) Exposure to ZnO nanoparticles induces oxidative stress and cytotoxicity in human colon carcinoma cells. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 246:116–127
- <span id="page-10-29"></span>Dong S, Qu M, Rui Q, Wang D (2018) Combinational effect of titanium dioxide nanoparticles and nanopolystyrene particles at environmentally relevant concentrations on nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 161:444–450
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>Elgrabli D, Abella-Gallart S, Aguerre-Chariol O (2007) Effect of BSA on carbon nanotube dispersion for in vivo and in vitro studies. Nanotoxicology 41:266–278
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>Elimelech M, Gregor J, Jia X, Williams RI (1995) Particle deposition and aggregation: measurement, modeling, and simulation. Butterworth- Heinemann, Woburn
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>Farkas J et al (2011) Uptake and effects of manufactured silver nanoparticles in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) gill cells. Aquat Toxicol 101(1):117–125
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>Farré M, Pérez S, Gajda-Schrantz K, Osorio V, Kantiani L, Ginebreda A, Barceló D (2010) First determination of C60 and C70 fullerenes and N-methylfulleropyrrolidine C60 on the suspended material of wastewater effluents by liquid chromatography hybrid quadrupole linear ion trap tandem mass spectrometry. J Hydrol 383(1–2):44–51
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>Fasham MJ (1984) R. flows of energy and materials in marine ecosystems: Theory and practice. Berlin:Springer
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>Freixa A, Acuña V, Sanchís J, Farré M, Barceló D, Sabater S (2018) Ecotoxicological effects of carbon based nanomaterials in aquatic organisms. Sci Total Environ 619:328–337
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>Gallego Urrea JA, Tuoriniemi J, Pallander T, Hassellöv M (2010) Measurements of nanoparticle number concentrations and size distributions in contrasting aquatic environments using nanoparticle tracking analysis. Environ Chem 7(1):67–81
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>Galloway T et al (2010) Sublethal toxicity of nano-titanium dioxide and carbon nanotubes in a sediment dwelling marine polychaete. Environ Pollut 158(5):1748–1755
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>Gatoo MA, Naseem S, Arfat MY, Mahmood Dar A, Qasim K, Zubair S (2014) Physicochemical properties of nanomaterials: implication in associated toxic manifestations. BioMed research international 2014
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>Gong N, Shao KS, Feng W, Lin ZZ, Liang CH, Sun YQ (2011) Biotoxicity of nickel oxide nanoparticles and bio-remediation by microalgae *Chlorella vulgaris*. Chemosphere 83:510
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>Gottschalk F, Sun T, Nowack B (2013) Environmental concentrations of engineered nanomaterials: review of modeling and analytical studies. Environ Pollut 181:287–300
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>Gottschalk F, Sonderer T, Scholz RW, Nowack B (2009) Modeled environmental concentrations of engineered nanomaterials  $(TiO<sub>2</sub>ZnO Ag CNT fullerenes)$  for different regions. Environ Sci Technol 43:9216–9222
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>Griffitt RJ, Luo J, Gao J, Bonzongo JC, Barber DS (2008) Effects of particle composition and species on toxicity of metallic nanomate- rials in aquatic organisms. Environ Toxicol Chem 27(9):1972–1978
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>Guan X, Shi W, Zha S, Rong J, Su W, Liu G (2018) Neurotoxic impact of acute  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticle exposure on a benthic marine bivalve mollusk, Tegillarca granosa. Aquat Toxicol 200:241–246
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>Handy RD, Shaw BJ (2007) Toxic effects of nanoparticles and nanomaterials: implications for public health, risk assessment and the public perception of nanotechnology. Health Risk Soc 9:125–144
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>Heinlaan M, Ivask A, Blinova I, Dubourguier HC, Kahru A (2008) Toxicity of nano-sized and bulk ZnO, CuO and TiO2 to bacteria *Vibrio fischeri* and crustaceans *Daphnia magna* and *Thamnocephalus platyurus*. Chemosphere 71(7):1308–1316
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>Hiriart-Baer VP, Fortin C, Lee DY, Campbell PG (2006) Toxicityof silver to two freshwater algae, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*, grown under continuous culture conditions: influence of thiosulphate. Aquat Toxicol 78(2):136–148
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>Hoshino A, Fujioka K, Oku T, Suga M, Sasaki YF, Ohta T, Yasuhara M, Suzuki K, Yamamoto K (2004) Physicochemical properties and cellular toxicity of nanocrystal quantum dots depend on their surface modification. Nano Lett 4:2163–2169
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Howard CV (2004) Small particles-big problems. Int Lab News 34:28–29
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>Huang J, Cao C, Liu J, Yan C, Xiao J (2019) The response of nitrogen removal and related bacteria within constructed wetlands after long-term treating wastewater containing environmental concentrations of silver nanoparticles. Sci Total Environ 667:522–531
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>Hund-Rinke K, Simon M (2006) Ecotoxic effect of photocatalytic active nanoparticles  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  on Algae and Daphnids (8 pp)Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 13:225–232
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>Iavicoli I, Leso V, Ricciardi W, Hodson LL, Hoover MD (2014) Opportunities and challenges of nano technology in the green economy. Environ Health 13:78
- <span id="page-10-32"></span>Janes N, Playle RC (1995) Modeling silver-binding to gills of rainbow trout (*Onchorrynchus mykiss*). Environ Toxicol Chem 14:1847–1858
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>Jiang J, Oberdörster G, Biswas P (2009) Characterization of size, surface charge, and agglomeration state of nanoparticle dispersions for toxicological studies. J Nanopart Res 11(1):77–89
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>Johnson AC et al (2011) An assessment of the fate, behaviour and environmental risk associated with sunscreen TiO2 nanoparticles in U.K. field scenarios. Sci Total Environ 409(13):2503–2510
- <span id="page-11-31"></span>Jovanović B, Bezirci G, Çağan AS, Coppens J, Levi EE, Oluz Z, Beklioğlu M (2016) Food web effects of titanium dioxide nanoparticles in an outdoor freshwater mesocosm experiment. Nanotoxicology 10(7):902–912
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Jurašin DD, Ćurlin M, Capjak I, Crnković T, Lovrić M, Babič M, Horák D, Vrček IV, Gajović S (2016) Surface coating affects behavior of metallic nanoparticles in a biological environment. Beilstein J Nanotechnol 7(1):246–262
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>Kaegi R, Voegelin A, Sinnet B, Zuleeg S, Hagendorfer H, Burkhardt M, Siegrist H (2011) Behavior of metallic silver nanoparticles in a pilot wastewater treatment plant. Environ Sci Technol 45(9):3902–3908
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Kahru A, Dubourguier HC (2010) From ecotoxicology to nano ecotoxicology. Toxicology 269(2–3):105–119
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Khan I, Saeed K, Khan I (2019) Nanoparticles: Properties, applications and toxicities. Arab J Chem 12(7):908–931
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>Kim YH, Fazlollahi F, Kennedy IM, Yacobi NR, Hamm-Alvarez SF, Borok Z, Kim KJ, Crandall ED (2010) Alveolar epithelial cell injury due to zinc oxide nanoparticle exposure. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 182(11):1398–1409
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>Klaine SJ, Alvarez PJJ, Batley GE, Fernandes TF, Handy RD, Lyon DY, Mahendra S, McLaughlin MJ, Lead JR (2008) Nanomaterials in the environment: Behaviour, fate, bioavailability, and effects. Environ Toxicol Chem 27(9):1825–1851
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Kurwadkar S, Pugh K, Gupta A, Ingole S (2015) Nanoparticles in the environment: Occurrence, distribution, and risks. J Hazard Toxic Radioactive Waste 19(3):04014039
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Laurent S, Forge D, Port M, Roch A, Robic C, Elst V, Muller L, R.N (2010) Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: synthesis, stabilization, vectorization, physicochemical characteri-zations, and biological applications. Chem Rev 110:2574–2574
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Laux P, Riebeling C, Booth AM, Brain JD, Brunner J, Cerrillo C, Creutzenberg O, Estrela-Lopis I, Gebel T, Johanson G, Jungnickel H, Kock H, Tentschert J, Tlili A, Schaffer A, Sips AJAM, Yokel RA, Luch A (2018) Challenges in characterizing the environmental fate and effects of carbon nanotubes and inorganic nanomaterials in aquatic systems. Environ Sci Nano 5:48–63
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>Lead JR, Batley GE, Alvarez PJ, Croteau MN, Handy RD, McLaughlin MJ, Judy JD, Schirmer K (2018) Nanomaterials in the environment: behavior, fate, bioavailability, and effects—an updated review. Environ Toxicol Chem 37:2029–2063
- <span id="page-11-23"></span>Li L, Hu L, Zhou Q, Huang C, Wang Y, Sun C, Jiang G (2015) Sulfidation as a natural antidote to metallic nanoparticles is overestimated: CuO sulfidation yields CuS nanoparticles with increased toxicity in medaka (Oryzias latipes) embryos. Environ Sci Technol 49(4):2486–2495
- <span id="page-11-27"></span>Lin D, Xing B (2007) Phytotoxicity of nanoparticles: inhibition of seed germination and root growth Environ Pollut. 150:243–250
- <span id="page-11-32"></span>Londono N, Donovan AR, Shi H, Geisler M, Liang Y (2017) Impact of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and ZnO nanoparticles on an aquatic microbial community: effect at environmentally relevant concentrations. Nanotoxicology 11(9–10):1140–1156
- <span id="page-11-29"></span>Londono N, Donovan AR, Shi H, Geisler M, Liang Y (2019) Effects of environmentally relevant concentrations of mixtures of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , ZnO and Ag ENPs on a river bacterial community. Chemosphere 230:567–577
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>Lovern SB, Klaper R (2006) *Daphnia magna* mortality when exposed to titanium dioxide and fullerene (c60) nanoparticles. Env Toxicol Chem vol 25(4):1132–1137
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>Lowry GV, Gregory KB, Apte SC, Lead JR (2012) Transformations of nanomaterials in the environment. Environ Sci Technol 46:6893–6899
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>Luther GW, Rickard DT (2005) Metal sulfide cluster complexes and their biogeochemical importance in the environment. J Nanopart Res 7(4–5):389–407
- <span id="page-11-26"></span>Ma Y, Kuang L, He X, Bai W, Ding Y, Zhang Z, Zhao Y, Chai Z (2010) Effects of rare earth oxide nanoparticles on root elongation of plants. Chemosphere 78:273–279
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Maurer-Jones M, Gunsolus I, Murphy C, Haynes C (2013) Toxicity of Engineered Nanoparticles in the Environment. Anal Chem 85(6). DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1021/ac303636s](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ac303636s)
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Maynard AD (2006) Nanotechnology: A research strategy for addressing risk. Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, Washington, DC
- <span id="page-11-30"></span>Miao L, Wang P, Hou J, Yao Y, Liu Z, Liu S (2019) Low concentrations of copper oxide nanoparticles alter microbial community structure and function of sediment biofilms. Sci Total Environ 653:705–713
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>Moore MN (1990) Lysosomal cytochemistry in marine environmental monitoring. Histochem J 22:187–191
- <span id="page-11-35"></span>Moore MN (2006) Do nanoparticles present ecotoxicological risks for the health of the aquatic environment? Environ Int 32(8):967–976
- <span id="page-11-33"></span>Mouchet F, Landois P, Flahaut E et al (2007) Assessment of the potential in vivo ecotoxicity of double-walled carbon nanotubes (DWNTs) in water, using the amphibian ambystoma mexicanum, Nanotoxicology, 1(2):149–156
- <span id="page-11-34"></span>Mouchet F, Landois P, Sarremejeana E et al (2008) Characterizations and in vivo ecotoxicity evaluation of double? wall carbon nanotubes in larvae of the amphibian *Xenopus laevis*. Aquat Toxicol 87(2):127–137
- <span id="page-11-28"></span>Movafeghi A, Khataee AR, Moradi Z, Vafaei F (2016) Biodegradation of direct blue 129 diazo dye by *Spirodela polyrrhiza*: An artificial neural networks modeling. Int J Phytorem Volume 18(4). [https://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2015.1109588) [doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2015.1109588](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2015.1109588)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>Mueller NC, Nowack B (2008) Exposure modeling of engineered nanoparticles in the environment. Environ Sci Technol 42:4447–4453
- <span id="page-11-36"></span>Murali M, Suganthi P, Athif P, Bukhari AS, Mohamed HS, Basu H, Singhal RK (2017) Histological alterations in the hepatic tissues of  $Al_2O_3$  nanoparticles exposed freshwater fish Oreochromis mossambicus. J Trace Elem Med Biol 44:125–131
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>Myklestad SM (1995) Release of extracellular products by phytoplankton with special emphasis on polysaccharides. Sci Total Environ 165(1–3):155–164
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>Na K, Lee TB, Park KH, Shin EK, Lee YB, Choi HK (2003) Selfassembled nanoparticles of hydrophobically modified polysaccharide bearing vitamin H as a targeted anti- cancer drug delivery system. Eur J Pharmacol Sci 18:165–173
- <span id="page-11-25"></span>Nair S, Sasidharan A, Rani VVD, Menon D, Nair S, Manzoor K, Raina S (2009) Role of size scale of ZnO nanoparticles and microparticles on toxicity toward bacteria and osteoblast cancer cells. J Mater Sci-Mater Med 20:235–241
- <span id="page-11-24"></span>Niazi JH, Gu MB (2009) Toxicity of metallic nanoparticles in microorganismsda review. In: Kim YJ, Platt U, Gu MB et al (eds) Atmospheric and biological environmental monitoring. Springer, New York, pp 193–206
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Nicholson FA, Smith SR, Alloway BJ, Carlton-Smith C, Chambers BJ (2003) An inventory of heavy metals inputs to agricultural soils in England and Wales. Sci Total Environ 311(1–3):205–219
- <span id="page-11-37"></span>Nohl H, Gille L (2005) Lysosomal ROS formation. Redox Rep 10:199–205
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>Nowack B, Bucheli TD (2007) Occurrence, behavior and effects of nanoparticles in the environment. Environ Pollut 150(1):5–22
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>Nowack B, Ranville JF, Diamond S, Gallego-Urrea JA, Metcalfe C, Rose J, Horne N, Koelmans AA, Klaine SJ (2012) Potential scenarios for nanomaterial release and subsequent alteration in the environment. Environ Toxicol Chem 31:50–59
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>O'Brien N, Cummins E (2010) Nano-scale pollutants: Fate in Irish surface and drinking water regulatory systems. Hum Ecol Risk Assess 16(4):847–872
- <span id="page-12-32"></span>Oberdorster E (2004) Manufactured nanomaterials (fullerenes, c60) induce oxidative stress in the brain of juvenile largemouth bass. Environ Health Perspect 112:1058–1062
- <span id="page-12-29"></span>Oberdorster E, Zhu S, Blickley TM et al (2006) Ecotoxicology of carbon based engineered nanoparticles: Effects of fullerene (C60) on aquatic organisms. Carbon 44:1112–1120
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>Oukarroum A, Samadani M, Dewez D (2014) Influence of pH on the toxicity of silver nanoparticles in the green alga *Chlamydomonas acidophila.* Water, Air, & Soil Pollution 225
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>Pang C, Selck H, Banta GT, Misra SK, Berhanu D, Dybowska A, Valsami-Jones E, Forbes VE (2013) Bioaccumulation, toxicokinetics, and effects of copper from sediment spiked with aqueous Cu, nano-CuO, or micro-CuO in the deposit-feeding snail, Potamopyrgus antipodarum. Environ Toxicol Chem 32:1561–1573
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>Panyam J, Labhasetwar V (2003) Biodegratable nanoparticles for drug and gene delivery to cell and tissues. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 55:329–347
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Petosa AR, Jaisi DP, Quevedo IR, Elimelech M, Tufenkji N (2010) Aggregation and Deposition of Engineered Nanomaterials in Aquatic Environments: Role of Physicochemical Interactions. Environ Sci Technol 44(17):6532–6549
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Phenrat T, Song JE, Cisneros CM, Schoenfelder DP, Tilton RD, Lowry GV (2010) Estimating attachment of nano- and submicrometer‐ particles coated with organic macromolecules in porous media: Development of an empirical model. Environ. Sci. Technol. 44(12):4531‐4538
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Pietroiusti A, Massimiani M, Fenoglio I, Colonna M, Valentini F, Palleschi G, Camaioni A, Magrini A, Siracusa G, Bergamaschi A (2011) Low doses of pristine and oxidized singlewall carbon nanotubes affect mammalian embryonic development. ACS Nano 5:4624–4633
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>Priester JH, Ge Y, Mielke RE, Horst AM, Moritz SC, Espinosa K, Gelb J, Walker SL, Nisbet RM (2012) Soybean susceptibility to manufactured nanomaterials with evidence for food quality and soil fertility interruption. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109:E2451–E2456
- <span id="page-12-34"></span>Pulskamp K, Diabaté S, Krug HF (2007) Carbon nanotubes show no sign of acute toxicity but induce intracellular reactive oxygen species in dependence on contaminants. Toxicol Lett 168:58–74
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>Ramskov T, Croteau MN, Forbes VE, Selck H (2015) Biokinetics of differentshaped copper oxide nanoparticles in the freshwater gastropod, Potamopyrgus antipodarum. Aquat Toxicol 163:71–80
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Renzi M, Guerranti C (2015) Ecotoxicity of nanoparticles in aquatic environments: A review based on multivariate statistics of metadata. J Environ Anal Chem 2:149
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>Risom L, Møller P, Loft S (2005) Oxidative stress-induced DNA damage by particulate air pollution. Mutat Research/Fundamental Mol Mech Mutagen 592:119–137
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>Roberts AP, Mount AS, Seda B et al (2007) In vivo biomodification of lipid-coated carbon nanotubes by Daphnia magna. Environ Sci Technol 41(8):3025–3029
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Royal Society and Royal Academy of Engineering (2004) Nanoscience and nanotechnologies: Opportunities and uncertainties. Report by the RS & RAE, London. [http://www.nanotec.org.uk/](http://www.nanotec.org.uk/finalReport.htm) [finalReport.htm](http://www.nanotec.org.uk/finalReport.htm)
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>Ruppert EE, Barnes RD, Fox RS (2004) Invertebrate zoology: a functional evolutionaryapproach
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>Sabo-Attwood T, Unrine JM, Stone JW, Murphy CJ, Ghoshroy S, Blom D, Bertsch PM, Newman LA (2012) Nanotoxicology 6:353–360
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>Sayes CM, Fortner JD, Guo W, Lyon D, Boyd AM, Ausman KD, Tao YJ, Sitharaman B, Wilson LJ, Hughes JB (2004) The differential cytotoxicity of water-soluble fullerenes. Nano Lett 4:1881–1887
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Selck H, Handy RD, Fernandes TF, Klaine SJ, Petersen EJ (2016) Nanomaterials in the aquatic environment: An EU-USA

perspective on the status of ecotoxicity testing, research priorities and challenges ahead. Environ Toxicol Chem 35(5):1055–1067

- <span id="page-12-35"></span>Singh N, Manshian B, Jenkins GJS, Griffiths SM, Williams PM, Maffeis TGG, Wright CJ, Doak SH (2009) Nano Genotoxicology: The DNA damaging potential of engineered nanomaterials. Biomaterials 30:3891–3914
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>Sirelkhatim A, Mahmud S, Seeni A, Kaus NHM, Ann LC, Bakhori SKM, Hasan H, Mohamad D (2015) Review on zinc oxide nanoparticles: antibacterial activity and toxicity mechanism. Nano-Micro Lett 7:219–242
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>Smedsrud T, Dannevig BH, Tolleshaug BH, Berg H (1984) Endocytosis of a mannose-terminated glycoprotein and formaladehydetreated human serum albumin in liver and kidney cells from fish (L.). Dev Comp Immunol 8(3):579–588
- <span id="page-12-33"></span>Song L, Vijver MG, Peijnenburg WJ, Galloway TS, Tyler CR (2015) A comparative analysis on the in vivo toxicity of copper nanoparticles in three species of freshwater fish. Chemosphere 139:181–189
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Stephens-Altus JS, West JL (2008) Nanotechnology for tissue engineering. In Advances In Tissue Engineering (pp. 333–347)
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>Stone V, Nowack B, Baun A, van den Brink N, von der Kammer F, Dusinska M, Handy R, Hankin S, Hassellöv M, Joner E (2010) Nanomaterials for environmental studies: classification, reference material issues, and strategies for physico-chemical characterisation. Sci Total Environ 408:1745–1754
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Taylor NS, Merrifield R, Williams TD, Chipman JK, Lead JR, Viant MR (2016) Molecular toxicity of cerium oxide nanoparticles to the freshwater alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* is associated with supra-environmental exposure concentrations. Nanotoxicology 10:32–41
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>Templeton RC, Ferguson PL, Washburn KM et al (2006) Life?Cycle Effects of Single?Walled Carbon Nanotubes (SWNTs) on an Estuarine Meiobenthic Copepod. Environ Sci Technol 40(23):7387–7393
- <span id="page-12-31"></span>Toduka Y, Toyooka T, Ibuki Y (2012) Flow cytometric evaluation of nanoparticles using side-scattered light and reactive oxygen species—Mediated fluorescence—Correlation with genotoxicity. Environ Sci Technol 46:7629–7636
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>Trombetta T, Vidussi F, Roques C, Scotti M, Mostajir B (2020) Marine microbial food web networks during phytoplankton bloom and non-bloom periods: Warming favors smaller organism interactions and intensifies trophic cascade. Front Microbiol. [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.502336) [org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.502336](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.502336)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Turan B, Erkan N, Onkal HS, Engin G, Bilgili MS (2019) Nanoparticles in the aquatic environment: usage, properties, transformation and toxicity-A review, Process Safety and Environmental Protection.doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2019.08.014](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2019.08.014)
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>Pereira SP, Jesus F, Aguiar S, de Oliveira R, Fernandes M, Ranville J, Nogueira AJ (2018) Phytotoxicity of silver nanoparticles to *Lemna minor*: Surface coating and exposure period-related effects. Sci Total Environ 618:1389–99.
- <span id="page-12-30"></span>Wang T, Long X, Cheng Y, Liu Z, Yan S (2014) The potential toxicity of copper nanoparticles and copper sulphate on juvenile Epinephelus coioides. Aquat Toxicol 152:96–104
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>Wang H, Wick RL, Xing B (2009) Toxicity of nanoparticulate and bulk  $ZnO$ , Al. and  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  to the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans Environ Pollut 157(4):1171–1177
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>Wang M, Chen L, Chen S, Ma Y (2012) Alleviation of cadmiuminduced root growth inhibition in crop seedlings by nanoparticles. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 79:48–54
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>Wang YG, Li YS, Pennell KD (2008) Influence of electrolyte species and concentration on the aggregation and transport of fullerene nanoparticles in quartz sands. Environ Toxicol Chem 27:1860–1867
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Ward JE, Kach DJ (2009) Marine aggregates facilitate ingestion of nanoparticles by suspension-feeding bivalves. Mar Environ Res 68(3):137–142
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Weinberg H, Galyean A, Leopold M (2011) Evaluating engineered nanoparticles in natural waters. Trends Anal Chem 30:72–83
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Wood CM, Hogstrand C, Galvez F, Munger RS (1996) The physiology of waterborne silver toxicity in freshwater rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) 1. The effects of ionic Ag+. Aquat Toxicol 35(2):93–109
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Wright MV, Matson CW, Baker LF, Castellon BT, Watkins PS, King RS (2018) Titanium dioxide nanoparticle exposure reduces algal biomass and alters algal assemblage composition in wastewater effluent-dominated stream mesocosms. Sci Total Environ 626:357–365
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Xia T, Kovochich M, Liong M, Mädler L, Gilbert B, Shi H, Yeh JI, Zink JI, Nel AE (2008) Comparison of the mechanism of toxicity of zinc oxide and cerium oxide nanoparticles based on dissolution and oxidative stress properties. ACS Nano 2:2121–2134
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Xiong D, Fang T, Yu L, Sima X, Zhu W (2011) Effects of nano-scale  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , ZnO and their bulk counterparts on zebrafish: acute toxicity, oxidative stress and oxidative damage. Sci Total Environ 409(8):1444–1452
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Yamakoshi Y, Umezawa N, Ryu A, Arakane K, Miyata N, Goda Y, Masumizu T, Nagano T (2003) Active oxygen species generated from photoexcited fullerene (C60) as potential medicines:  $O_2$  versus 1O<sub>2</sub>. J Am Chem Soc 125:12803-12809
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>Yang H, Liu C, Yang DF, Zhang HS, Xi Z (2009) Comparative study of cytotoxicity, oxidative stress and genotoxicity induced by four typical nanomaterials: The role of particle size, shape and composition. J Appl Toxicol 29:69–78
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>Yang Y, Zhang C, Hu Z (2013) Impact of metallic and metal oxide nanoparticles on wastewater treatment and anaerobic digestion. Environ Sci Process Impacts 15:39–48
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Zhang C, Liang Z, Hu Z (2014) Bacterial response to a continuous long-term exposure of silver nanoparticles at sub-ppm silver concentrations in a membrane bioreactor activated sludge system. Water Res 50:350–358
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Zhang X, Zhou Y, Ma Y, Zhang H, Li Y, Yang J, Pang Q (2018) Shortterm effects of CuO, ZnO, and TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on anammox. Environ Engin Sci 35(12):1294–1301
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>Zhang C, Hu Z, Deng B (2016) Silver nanoparticles in aquatic environments: Physiochemical behavior and antimicrobial mechanisms. Water Res 88:403–427
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>Zhang DX, Gutterman DD (2007) Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species-mediated signaling in endothelial cells. Am J P Hear 292:2023–2031
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Zhu X, Zhu L, Lang Y, Chen Y (2008) Oxidative stress and growth inhibition in the freshwater fish *Carassius auratus* induced by chronic exposure to sublethal fullerene aggregates. Environ Toxicol Chem 27:1979–1985

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.