INVITED REVIEW





The status of *in vitro* regeneration and genetic transformation in the recalcitrant oil seed crop *Sesamum indicum* L

Priyanka Rajput^{1,2} · Parinita Agarwal¹ · Pradeep K. Agarwal^{1,2}

Received: 27 March 2023 / Accepted: 30 July 2023 / Published online: 2 September 2023 / Editor: Thomas Clemente © The Society for In Vitro Biology 2023

Abstract

Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) is the oldest important edible oilseed crop found throughout many tropical and subtropical regions of the world. India ranks second in its domestication with a total production of 0.67 million tons. The growth index of sesame in Asia, Africa, and South and Central America is 54.9%, 40.8%, and 4.3%, respectively. The crop has high economic potential but stress factors like temperature sensitivity, early senescence, pest attack, water logging, and disease infestations limit its productivity worldwide. Its recalcitrant nature, sexual incompatibility, and post fertilization barriers greatly restrict the generation of new varieties, *via* tissue culture and traditional breeding strategies. Thus, genetic engineering appears to be the best alternative to improve its yield by developing stress-tolerant plants. The callus induction and regeneration frequency in sesame is highly genotype dependent. Regeneration is observed in different cultivars *via* callus phase or directly from different explants mainly on Murashige and Skoog basal medium (MS) with high cytokinin and low auxin concentrations. The attempts towards developing genetic transformation protocols has resulted in very limited success. The present review highlights the history and discusses the detailed progress of sesame tissue culture and genetic transformation research with respect to genotype dependency, different medium compositions, plant hormones, and explant age.

Keywords Sesamum indicum · Recalcitrant · De-embryonated cotyledon · Shoots regeneration · Plant growth regulator

Introduction

The sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.; 2n = 26) belongs to the family Pedaliaceae. According to Kobayashi *et al.* (1990), genus sesame comprises 36 species found mainly in Africa and Asia. Depending on the chromosome number, the thirty-six sesame species fall in three groups including 2n = 26, 32, and 64; however, the cytology of 12 species still needs to be studied (Nimmakayala *et al.* 2011). The archaeological findings revealed that cultivated sesame was derived from the wild species *S. malabaricum*. Sesame cultivation was established in South Asia at the time of the Harappan civilization and later spread west to Mesopotamia before

2000 B.C. (Fuller 2003). Sesame is cultivated worldwide, and the top ten sesame countries in terms of production are Sudan, India, China, Myanmar, Sudan (former), Nigeria, the United Republic of Tanzania, South Sudan, Ethiopia, and Uganda (FAO 2020). Globally, sesame is cultivated in 13.96 million hectares (mha) with a total production of 6.8 million tons. The sesame seed production index in Asia, Africa, and America is 53.1%, 42.5%, and 4.3%, respectively. Sudan ranks first with a production of 0.79 million tons, whereas India ranks second with 0.67 million tons (FAO 2021). India is the largest exporter of sesame seeds (Kumaraswamy *et al.* 2015), and the maximum sesame production was found in West Bengal followed by Gujarat state.

Sesame is an annual herb, completing its life cycle in 90 to 150 d, and reaches 60 to 120 cm in height with moderate branching or unbranched, ovate to lanceolate leaves with or without hairs and campanulate flowers varying from purple to white in color. The capsule size varies and possesses > 100 seeds, with seed color varying from black to white (Andrade *et al.* 2014). Sesame seeds contain 50 to 60% oil, 20% protein, and 13 to 14% carbohydrate (Morris 2002). Sesame, referred to as the



Pradeep K. Agarwal pagarwal@csmcri.res.in

¹ Division of Plant Omics, CSIR-Central Salt and Marine Chemicals Research Institute (CSIR-CSMCRI), Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Gijubhai Badheka Marg, Bhavnagar 364 002, Gujarat, India

² Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Ghaziabad 201002, India

"Oueen of Oilseeds" (Lakhanpaul et al. 2012), is rich in oil and essential minerals and, thus, is largely (70%) employed for oil production and forms an important ingredient in cuisines, cosmetics, and medicines. The presence of antioxidants, lignins (sesamin and sesamolin), and tocopherols in sesame impart health-promoting attributes. The availability of antioxidants increases its demand as a food, enhances the shelf life, and reduces rancidity of the sesame oil (Dossa et al. 2017). The oil contains 35% monounsaturated fatty acids and 44% polyunsaturated fatty acids (Islam et al. 2016); it also contains other fatty acids, including oleic and linoleic acids, which constitute almost 40 to 45% each (Zhang et al. 2013), and palmitic and stearic acids (Kamal-Eldin et al. 1992). The roasting of sesame converts the sesamolin to sesamol, enhancing its antioxidant anticancer activities (Islam et al. 2016). The traditional methods of medicines in ayurveda, Chinese, and Tibetan forms consider sesame to be a vital source of antiinflammatory, anti-proliferative, anti-hypertensive, antineurodegenerative, and anticancer constituents. Sesame has also attained interest in a wide commercial sector, as its leaves and roots are used in the production of hair dyes, in emollient plaster in Sri Lanka (Wesis 1971), and as a cattle feed from the oil cake that is produced.

Sesame production suffers heavy yield losses due to biotic factors (Tiwari et al. 2011), abiotic factors (Rao and Ravishankar 2002), indeterminate growth, uneven capsule ripening, and seed shattering. The pathogenic diseases like root or stem rot and phytophthora blight (Gangopadhyay et al. 1998) and charcoal rot by Macrophomina phaseolina fungus (Silme and Cagirgan 2010; Enikuomehin et al. 2011; Chowdhury et al. 2014) are serious threats to sesame production. It has been reported that by 2030 the sesame consumption would reach 100 million metric tons (Troncoso-Ponce *et al.* 2011). Therefore, it is important to develop sesame varieties for increasing seed yield, oil or fatty acid quality and quantity, functional bioactive compounds, and biotic and abiotic stress tolerance (Rao and Ravishankar 2002). Breeding efforts in sesame have focused on developing improved cultivars with traits, such as higher yield, disease resistance, drought tolerance, improved oil quality, and desirable agronomic characteristics (Teklu et al. 2022). The important aspect of breeding is genetic diversity; however, sesame is known for its narrow genetic base (Bhat et al. 1999), which poses challenges for breeders. To overcome this limitation, various approaches have been used to introduce genetic diversity into breeding populations, including the use of wild relatives, landraces, and diverse germplasm collections (Yermanos et al. 1972). These diverse genetic resources provide a valuable pool of traits that can be incorporated into cultivated sesame.

Traditional breeding methods, such as mass selection and pedigree breeding, have been employed to improve sesame cultivars (Tripathy *et al.* 2019). More recently, molecular breeding techniques have been applied to sesame breeding, allowing for more precise selection and faster progress. Molecular markers, such as simple sequence repeats (SSRs, Dixit *et al.* 2005; Spandana *et al.* 2012; Badri *et al.* 2014; Yan-Xin *et al.* 2014; Uncu *et al.* 2015; Wang *et al.* 2017) and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs, Wei *et al.* 2014; Du *et al.* 2019), have been used for genetic analysis and marker-assisted selection (MAS; Wei *et al.* 2009; Ali *et al.* 2007) in sesame breeding programs. These techniques enable breeders to select plants with desired traits at the molecular level, facilitating more efficient and targeted breeding efforts.

The advancements in genomic technologies, including nextgeneration sequencing and genotyping-by-sequencing, have provided valuable genomic resources for sesame breeding. With the availability of assembled whole genome sequence of the *S. indicum* and detailed information of the oil biosynthetic pathways and stress-responsive genes (Wang *et al.* 2014, 2015, 2021; Dossa *et al.* 2017; Wei *et al.* 2017), it is possible to understand the different regulatory mechanisms and employ different genetic engineering techniques to enhance the economic potential of the sesame crop. The advantage of this highly important genome data can be very useful for the improvement of sesame crop either by overexpression for gain of function or editing advantageous genes by CRISPR-Cas9 or other molecular tools.

The recalcitrant nature of sesame significantly reduces the regeneration and genetic transformation efficiency (Baskaran and Jayabalan 2006; Zimik and Arumugam 2017). To date, the regeneration protocols with different cultivars of sesame are reported; however, only few reports with limited success on genetic transformation are available. In this review, we have highlighted the regeneration protocols developed with different varieties of sesame. The effect of major factors on regeneration efficiency in the sesame, like type of explants, age of explants, medium compositions, basal medium, and different hormone compositions, is discussed (Fig. 1). The review also emphasizes the efforts on sesame transformation, which are very miniscule and have limited success. The problems in sesame regeneration and genetic transformation are also discussed.

Plant regeneration

Plant tissue culture is the *in vitro* technique used to regenerate plants from different tissues and organs in sterile condition for better traits or a large number of genetically similar plants. Since the last couple of decades from the advent of the totipotency phenomenon, the tissue culture process has shown successful results in a large number of plants. Different methods, like micropropagation, somatic embryogenesis (SE), anther culture, meristem culture, and somaclonal and gametoclonal variations, have provided opportunities to develop the new varieties of plants. The

Callus formation (75-98%)

Genotype: Giza 32, Shandweel 3, Sohag 1, TMV-7, G-1, Rama

Basal media: MS

Explant: Hypocotyl, embryo and cotyledon

PGRs: 2,4-D, NAA, BAP, TDZ

Adjuvants: B5 vit

Callus formation (12-100%)

Genotype: Ansan, Danbeak, DS-1, E-8, GLW, TL, W-II, AHT 123, CO 1, VRI 1, VRII, DS -1, DSS-9, KNL, RT-273, TNL, WII

Basal media: MS

Explant: Hypocotyl, embryo, shoot tip and cotyledon

PGRs: 2,4- D, NAA, BAP, TDZ

Adjuvants: Casein hydrolysate, <u>Co</u>conut milk



Shoot regeneration

Somatic embryogenesis (42-95%)

Genotype: TMV 6, Nigrum, E8, Gulbarga local, RT-273, Darab1

Basal media: MS

Explant: Hypocotyl and cotyledon

PGRs: 2,4-D, NAA, BAP, KIN

Adjuvants: Casein hydrolysate

Shoot regeneration (14-100%)

Genotype: 54[#] cultivars studied Basal media: MS, N6, B5 Explant: De-embryonated cotyledon,

node, shoot tip and plumule **PGRs:** NAA, BAP, TDZ, IAA, ABA

Adjuvants: Sucrose, AgNO₃

Genetic transformation (1-43%)

Basal media: MS, B5 Genotype: Özberk, HT -1, Sohag 1, VRI-1, Rama, TMV-7, G98 Explant: De-embryo cotyledon, hairy roots and plumule PGRs: NAA, BAP, TDZ, IAA, ABA Adjuvants: Sucrose, AgNO₃

Abiotic-Biotic stress tolerant / Enhanced quality and quantity of seed and oil yielding sesame

Figure 1. Schematic representation factors affecting callus formation, somatic embryogenesis, shoot regeneration and genetic transformation in *Sesamum indicum* L. # denotes following cultivars: Busia, Ex-El, Indian, Koyonzo, Mbale, McWhite, Mtwara-2, Siaya, Dasak, HT -1, TKG-55, Pb No. 1, GT-2 Himalayan, Sohag 1, SVPR – 1, DS-1, E-8, GLW, TLB, WII, DS-1, DSS-9, KNL, RT-273, TNL, WII, VRI-1, HT-1, DS-1, DSS-9, KNL, RT-273, TNL, WII, SVPR-1, TMV-7, AKT 64, Rajeshwari, RT127, TC 25, TMV 3, TMV 4, TMV 5, TMV 6, UMA, VRI1, TMV-3, JK-1, JT-7, PADMA, PHULE-TIL1, RT-103, TAPI-A, Rama, GT-3, GT-4.



regeneration of whole plant from single cell was reported over 50 yr ago by Steward et al. (1970). The success for regeneration of whole plant varies from species to species where the medium compositions, plant growth hormones, and other adjuvants play a major role. The high-frequency regeneration is a prerequisite for the improvement of different crops by genetic engineering. The regeneration protocols in different oleiferous species are developed for enhanced agriculture traits. In sesame, the regeneration protocols are highly dependent on different cultivars. The proper regeneration system is still a major drawback, and, therefore, genetic engineering of this crop has limited success (Were et al. 2006; Seo et al. 2007; Yadav et al. 2010; Chowdhury et al. 2014; Zimic and Arumugam 2017). Lee et al. (1985) published the first report on shoot regeneration from the shoot tip explant. Furthermore, George et al. (1987) showed regeneration in sesame via SE and multiple shoot production from shoot tips. Since then, sesame tissue culture has been reported using different explants to enhance shoot formation capacity (leaf, cotyledon, shoot tips, mature embryo, anther, hypocotyl, de-embryonated cotyledon, and plumule explant). The details of the regeneration protocols are discussed in the following sections.

Plant regeneration via somatic embryogenesis

In vitro SE is a developmental process in which a somatic cell can differentiate into non-zygotic embryos that can develop into a new plant under appropriate conditions. SE follows two main stages called induction and expression (Jimenez 2005). During the induction phase, cells pass through the physiological changes and altered gene expression for acquiring the embryogenic characteristics (Feher et al. 2002). Furthermore, in appropriate culture medium and plant growth regulators (PGRs), the induced cell develops into full embryos (Jimenez 2005). The somatic embryos (SEs) can be formed indirectly via callusing phase or directly without callusing phase. In sesame, different cultivars, like TMV 6, Nigrum, Darab 1, and E8, showed SEs. Different explants, like cotyledons, shoot tips, hypocotyls, and PGRs alone or in combinations, were used for the formation of SEs. In sesame until now, only five reports were published on SEs formation, where four reports show the SE via callus phase (Mary and Jayabalan 1997; Xu et al. 1997; Shashidhara et al. 2011; Chamandoosti 2016). Until now, the highest SE frequency was observed by Honnale and Rao (2013) directly from cotyledonary and hypocotyl explants from 5-d-old seedlings. The cotyledon explants showed a higher number of SEs compared to hypocotyls (Honnale and Rao 2013). The 95% cotyledon explants showed SE with a large number of SEs (59.16 \pm 4.30) on 3.0 mg L⁻¹ 2,4-D + 1.0 mg L⁻¹ BAP (Honnale and Rao 2013; Table 1). The 2,4-D was found to be very efficient for induction of SEs compared to other auxins, and further addition of low concentrations of BAP



enhanced the frequency of SE (Honnale and Rao 2013). It was observed that 2,4-D was the key PGR used in all the studies for embryo formation. In addition to 2,4-D, BAP, Kinetin, and NAA also helped in SEs formation in sesame. The addition of both 2,4-D and BAP is suggested in several reports as an important factor for inducing and developing SEs in different crops (Jimenez 2005).

Plant regeneration via callus induction

In sesame, different cultivars, like E-8, G-1, Giza 32, Rama, Sohag 1, Shandweel 3, and TMV-7, showed only callus induction, which eventually did not regenerate in shoot buds (Al-Shafeay et al. 2011; Pusadkar et al. 2015; Gayatri and Basu 2020; Table 2). Al-Shafeay et al. (2011) reported high-frequency callus induction from embryo, cotyledon, and hypocotyl explants in Giza 32, Sohag 1, and Shandweel 3 varieties. The callus cultures, when transferred on MS (Murashige and Skoog 1962) medium with BAP, Kinetin, and IAA, turned brown and did not support shoot induction. However, the de-embryonated cotyledon explants cultured on MS medium with BA and IAA directly regenerated shoots without callus phase (Al-Shafeay et al. 2011). Pusadkar et al. (2016) obtained callus in TMV 7 and G-1 varieties in both hypocotyl and cotyledon on MS medium with different combinations of PGRs. NAA (0.5 mg L^{-1}) and TDZ (1.0 mg L^{-1}) showed the highest callus percentage in both TMV 7 (93.3%) and G 1 (90%). Similarly, Gayatri and Basu (2020) reported the callus production using different concentrations of 2,4-D, Kinetin, and BAP in the variety Rama. The highest frequency of callus formation (approximately 89%) was found on MS medium supplemented with 2.0 mg L^{-1} 2,4-D and 1.5 mg L^{-1} BAP. In this case, also the cotyledon explants showed the highest callus formation as reported by Al-Shafeay et al. (2011). According to the findings presented in Table 2, it can be observed that the varieties listed do not yield shoots through callus. However, exceptions were found in the cases of Sohag 1 (Al-Shafeay et al. 2011) and Rama (Gayatri and Basu 2020) varieties, where direct regeneration was successfully accomplished (Table 4). It appears that PGRs are not solely responsible for further shoot regeneration in these varieties as callus obtained on combination of 2,4-D and BAP has shown shoot regeneration in other sesame varieties as discussed in the next section (Kwon et al. 1993; Saravanan and Nadarajan 2005). Therefore, it is apparent that the differential callus response is highly genotype dependent.

In sesame, different cultivars, like Ansan, AHT 123, CO-1, Danbeak, DS-1, DSS-9, E-8, GLW, KNL, RT-273, SVPR-1, TMV 3, TL, TNL, VRI 1, VRII, and W-II, showed callus induction, and, subsequently, shoot regeneration (Table 3). The callus induction was reported from 0- to 10-d-old seedlings, cotyledons, embryos, leaf, shoot tips, and hypocotyl explants (Table 3). In all the reports, the

| S. No | Cultivars | Explants | Explant conditions | Plant growth regula- tors | Other adjuvants | Embryogenic frequency/No. of embryos | References |
|-------|----------------|-----------|--------------------|---|--|--|----------------------------|
| 1 | TMV 6 | Hypocotyl | 7-d-old seedlings | 2,4-D (18.1 μM)+NAA (2.2 μM) | - | 62.3%/9.4* | Mary and Jayabalan 1997 |
| 2 | Nigrum | Cotyledon | 6-d-old seedlings | 2,4-D (0.5 mg L^{-1}) + BAP (0.5 mg L^{-1}) | $500.0 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ CH}$ | 42% | Xu et al. 1997 |
| | | Hypocotyl | 6-d-old seedlings | 2,4-D (0.5 mg L^{-1})+BAP (0.5 mg L^{-1}) | - | 51% | |
| 3 | E8 | Hypocotyl | 7-d-old seedlings | 2,4-D (2.0 mg L ⁻¹), KIN (0.5 mg L ⁻¹) — callus | - | 66.6% | Shashidhara et al. 2011 |
| 4 | Gulbarga local | | | 2,4-D (2.0 mg L ⁻¹), KIN (0.5 mg L ⁻¹) — callus | - | 50% | |
| 5 | RT-273 | | | 2,4-D (2.0 mg L ⁻¹), KIN (0.5 mg L ⁻¹) — callus | - | 40% | |
| 6 | E8 | Cotyledon | 5-d-old seedlings | 2,4-D (3.0 mg L^{-1}) + BAP (1.0 mg L^{-1}) | - | 95%/59* | Honnale and Rao 2013 |
| | | Hypocotyl | 5-d-old seedlings | 2,4-D (4.0 mg L^{-1}) | - | 80%/17* | |
| 7 | Darab1 | Hypocotyl | 7-d-old seedlings | 2,4-D (3.0 mg L^{-1}) + KIN (0.5 mg L^{-1}) | - | 57% | Chamandoosti 2016 |

Table 1. Effect of explant, hormones, and media adjuvants on somatic embryogenesis in different cultivars of Sesamum indicum L

The basal medium used was MS. CH casein hydrolysate, KIN kinetin. *Denotes number of somatic embryos per explant

Table 2. Callus formation from different cultivars of Sesamum indicum L

| S. No | Cultivars | Explants | Explant conditions | Plant growth regulators | Other adjuvants | Frequency | References |
|-------|-------------|-----------|--------------------|---|-----------------|-----------|------------------------|
| 1 | Giza 32 | Cotyledon | 0-d-old seedlings | $2,4-D (0.4 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$ | B5 vit | 74.8% | Al-Shafeay et al. 2011 |
| | | Embryo | 0-d-old seedlings | 2,4-D (0.5 mg L^{-1}) | B5 vit | 90.7% | |
| | | Hypocotyl | 0-d-old seedlings | $2,4-D (0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ | B5 vit | 64.8% | |
| 2 | Shandweel 3 | Cotyledon | 0-d-old seedlings | 2,4-D (0.5 mg L^{-1}) | B5 vit | 97.2% | |
| | | Embryo | 0-d-old seedlings | 2,4-D (0.5 mg L^{-1}) | B5 vit | 89.2% | |
| | | Hypocotyl | 0-d-old seedlings | $2,4-D (0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ | B5 vit | 95.7% | |
| 3 | Sohag 1 | Cotyledon | 0-d-old seedlings | $2,4-D (0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ | B5 vit | 92.5% | |
| | | Embryo | 0-d-old seedlings | $2,4-D (0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ | B5 vit | 97.7% | |
| | | Hypocotyl | 0-d-old seedlings | 2,4-D (0.5 mg L^{-1}) | B5 vit | 96.3% | |
| 4 | TMV-7 | Hypocotyl | 14-d-old seedlings | TDZ (1.0 mg L^{-1}) + NAA (0.5 mg L^{-1}) — callus | - | 93.3% | Pusadkar et al. 2015 |
| 5 | G-1 | Hypocotyl | 14-d-old seedlings | TDZ (1.0 mg L^{-1}) + NAA (0.5 mg L^{-1}) — callus | - | 90% | |
| 6 | Rama | Cotyledon | 2-d-old seedlings | 2,4-D (2.0 mg L^{-1}), BAP (1.5 mg L^{-1}) — callus | - | 88.9% | Gayatri and Basu 2020 |

B5 Gamborg medium (Gamborg et al. 1968)

calluses were obtained on different auxins (2,4-D, IAA, and NAA) at variable concentrations. When these calluses were transferred on low concentration of auxin along with high concentration of BAP, the multiple shoots were observed.

Kwon *et al.* (1993) reported the highest number of shoots per explant (2 to 13) from the callus explant on casein hydrolysate (CH)-supplemented medium. In contrast, other studies have reported significantly low rates of regeneration (1 to



| S. No | Cultivars | Explants | Explant conditions | Plant growth regulators | Other adjuvants | Callus formation %/number of shoots | Reference |
|-------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1 | Ansan | Cotyledon | 5–7-d-old seedlings | NAA (2.0 mg L^{-1})+BAP (0.2 mg L^{-1}) — callus | - | 96% | Kwon et al. 1993 |
| | | | 1-m-old callus | BAP $(2.0 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ + NAA $(0.1 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ — shoots | CH (2.0 g L ⁻¹) | 16%/2* | |
| | | Hypocotyl | 5–7-d-old seedlings | NAA (2.0 mg L^{-1}) + BAP (0.6 mg L^{-1}) — callus | - | 96% | |
| | | | 1-m-old callus | BAP $(3.0 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ + NAA $(0.1 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ — shoots | CH (2.0 g L^{-1}) | 36%/10* | |
| 2 | Danbeak | Cotyledon | 5–7-d-old seedlings | 2,4-D (2.0 mg L^{-1}) + BAP (0.4 mg L^{-1}) — callus | - | 98% | |
| | | | 1-m-old callus | BAP $(4.0 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ + NAA $(0.1 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ — shoots | CH (2.0 g L^{-1}) | 12%/4* | |
| | | Hypocotyl | 5–7-d-old seedlings | NAA (2.0 mg L^{-1}) + BAP (0.2–0.6 mg L^{-1}) — callus | - | 100% | |
| | | | 1-m-old callus | $\begin{array}{c} \text{BAP} (2.0 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}) + \text{NAA} \\ (0.1 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}) - \text{shoots} \end{array}$ | CH (2.0 g L ⁻¹) | 44%/13* | |
| 3 | DS-1 | Hypocotyl | 0-d-old seedlings | MS — callus | - | - | Wadeyar et al. 2013 |
| | | | 30-d-old callus | TDZ (25.0 μ M) + IAA (3.0 μ M) — shoots | - | 83.3%/2* | |
| 4 | E-8 | Hypocotyl | 0-d-old seedlings | MS — callus | - | - | |
| | | | 30-d-old callus | TDZ (25.0 μ M) + IAA (3.0 μ M) — shoots | - | 58%/1.5* | |
| 5 | GLW | Hypocotyl | 0-d-old seedlings | MS — callus | - | - | |
| | | | 30-d-old callus | TDZ (25.0 μ M) + IAA (3.0 μ M) — shoots | - | 41.6%/1.6* | |
| 6 | TL | Hypocotyl | 0-d-old seedlings | MS — callus | - | - | |
| | | | 30-d-old callus | TDZ (25.0 μ M) + IAA (3.0 μ M) — shoots | - | 58%/1.8* | |
| 7 | W-II | Hypocotyl | 0-d-old seed | MS — callus | - | - | |
| | | | 30-d-old callus | TDZ (25.0 μ M) + IAA (3.0 μ M) — shoots | - | 41.6%/1.6* | |
| 8 | AHT 123 | Embryo | - | 2,4-D (3.5 mg L^{-1}) — callus | CH (0.1 g L ⁻¹) | 57.5% | Saravanan and Nadarajan 2005 |
| | | | - | IAA (0.5 mg L^{-1}) +BAP $(1.0-1.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$ +KIN (1.25 mg L^{-1}) — shoots | - | -/6.00* | |
| 9 | CO 1 | Embryo | - | 2,4-D (3.0 mg L ⁻¹) — callus | CM (0.1 g L ⁻¹) | 62.8% | |
| | | | - | IAA (0.5 mg L^{-1}) + BAP (1.0 mg L^{-1}) + KIN (1.25 mg L^{-1}) — shoots | - | -/6.2* | |
| 10 | TMV 3 | Embryo | - | 2,4-D (3.5 mg L ⁻¹) — callus | CM (0.1 g L ⁻¹) | 62.1% | |
| | | | - | $ \begin{array}{c} {\rm IAA} \; (0.5 \; {\rm mg} \; {\rm L}^{-1}) + {\rm BAP} \\ (1.0 - 1.5 \; {\rm mg} \; {\rm L}^{-1}) + {\rm KIN} \\ (1.25 \; {\rm mg} \; {\rm L}^{-1}) - {\rm shoots} \end{array} $ | - | -/6.1* | |
| 11 | VRI 1 | Embryo | - | 2,4-D (3.0 mg L ⁻¹) — callus | CM (0.1 g L ⁻¹) | 64.9% | |



| Table 3. | (continued) |
|----------|-------------|
|----------|-------------|

| S. No | Cultivars | Explants | Explant conditions | Plant growth regulators | Other adjuvants | Callus formation %/number of shoots | Reference |
|-------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|--|-----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | | - | $ \begin{array}{c} {\rm IAA}~(0.5~{\rm mg}~{\rm L}^{-1}) + {\rm BAP}\\ (1.0 - 1.5~{\rm mg}~{\rm L}^{-1}) + {\rm KIN}\\ (1.25~{\rm mg}~{\rm L}^{-1}) - {\rm shoots} \end{array} $ | - | -/5.16* | |
| 12 | VRII | Shoot tip | 10-d-old seedlings | KIN $(4.6 \ \mu M)$ + BAP (35.5 $\ \mu M$) — callus and shoots | - | 100/11.5* | Baskaran and Jayaba- lan 2006 |
| 13 | DS-1 | Hypocotyl | 7-d-old seedlings | KIN $(1.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ +NAA $(0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ +BAP $(1.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ — callus | - | 81.7% | Savitha et al. 2016 |
| | | | 2-m-old callus | $\frac{\text{KIN} (1.0 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})}{\text{shoots}} - \frac{1}{2}$ | - | 91.7%/1.9* | |
| 14 | DSS-9 | Hypocotyl | 7-d-old seedlings | KIN $(1.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ +NAA $(0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ +BAP $(1.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ — callus | - | 73.3% | |
| | | | 2-m-old callus | KIN $(1.0 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ — shoots | - | 83.3%/1.8* | |
| 15 | KNL | Hypocotyl | 7-d-old seedlings | KIN $(1.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ +NAA $(0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ +BAP $(1.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ — callus | - | 68.3% | |
| | | | 2-m-old callus | KIN (1.0 mg L ⁻¹) — shoots | - | 75%/1.7* | |
| 16 | RT-273 | Hypocotyl | 7-d-old seedlings | KIN $(1.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ + NAA $(0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ + BAP $(1.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ — callus | - | 70.8% | |
| | | | 2-m-old callus | KIN (1.0 mg L^{-1}) — shoots | - | 100%/2.5* | |
| 17 | TNL | Hypocotyl | 7-d-old seedlings | KIN $(1.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ + NAA $(0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ + BAP $(1.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ — callus | - | 65% | |
| | | | 2-m-old callus | KIN (1.0 mg L ⁻¹) — shoots | - | 58.3%/1.6* | |
| 18 | WII | Hypocotyl | 7-d-old seedlings | KIN $(1.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ + NAA $(0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ + BAP $(1.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ — callus | - | 75.8% | |
| | | | 2-m-old callus | KIN $(1.0 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ — shoots | - | 66.7%/1.7* | |

*Denotes number of shoots per explant. CH casein hydrolysate, CM coconut milk, KIN kinetin

2 shoots per callus explant) were observed, indicating the highly challenging and recalcitrant nature of sesame towards plant regeneration. Casein hydrolysate is considered rich in minerals, microelements, and vitamins and eliminates the problem of phosphate deficiency in the regenerating explants. The variable response towards shoot regeneration could be due to varietal differences and the endogenous auxin concentrations between genotypes (Khemkladngoen *et al.* 2011; Zimik and Arumugam 2017). The regeneration of shoots from the callus tissue after transferring on BAP medium is in agreement with other previously published reports from different crops species, like *Malus domestica*

(Caboni *et al.* 2000), *Holostemma ada-kodien* (Martin 2002), and *S. indicum* cultivar SVPR-1 (Raja and Jayabalan 2010).

Plant regeneration *via* direct shoot induction/shoot morphogenesis

The regeneration *via* callus formation can lead to somaclonal variation, and moreover, the transformation is difficult *via* callus phase; therefore, direct regeneration was attempted using different cultivars in sesame (SVPR-1, WII, DS-1, E-8, GLW, TLB, and RT-273; Table 4). Explants, like cotyledons,



| S. No | Cultivars | Explants | Basal media | Explant condi- tions | Plant growth Other adjuvants regulators | Frequency (%) No. of shoots | References |
|-------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | Busia | Cotyledon | MS and N6 macronutrients | 3-4-d-old seedlings | TDZ (20.0μ M) + IAA (2.5μ M) - | 45%/1.9* | Were et al. 2006 |
| 5 | Ex-El | | | 1 | | 63%/4.4* | |
| ŝ | Indian | | | | | 45.6%/1.9* | |
| 4 | Koyonzo | | | | | 53%/1.9* | |
| 5 | Mbale | | | | | 43%/2* | |
| 9 | McWhite | | | | | $51\%/1.8^{*}$ | |
| 7 | Mtwara-2 | | | | | 50%/2.7* | |
| 8 | Siaya | | | | | 39%/2.2* | |
| 6 | Dasak | De-emb cot | MS | 40-d-old immature seeds after flowering | BAP (22.2 μM) + IAA (5.7 μM) - | 17% | Seo et al. 2007 |
| | | | | | BAP (22.2 μM) + IAA AgNO (5.7 μM) + ABA (3.8 μM) (29.2 | , 50% 50% | |
| 10 | HT-1 | Cotyledon | WS | 2-d-old seed | BAP (25.0 μM) - | 88%/9.3* | Yadav <i>et al.</i> 2010 |
| | | Cot node | | | | 70%/3.6* | |
| 11 | TKG-55 | Cotyledon | | | | 80%/3.6* | |
| 12 | Pb No. 1 | | | | | 80%/2.5* | |
| 13 | GT-2 Himala- yan | | | | | 80%/1.5* | |
| 14 | Sohag 1 | Cotyledon | MS and B5 Vit | Mature seed | BAP (2.0 mg L^{-1}) + IAA (1.0 mg AgNO L^{-1}) L^{-1}) | s (5 mg 13.8%/20.4* | Al-Shafeay <i>et al.</i> 2011 |
| 15 | SVPR-1 | Shoot tip | WS | 7-d-old seed- lings | BAP $(2.0 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ + NAA $(0.3 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ | 91.8%/25.9* | Raja and Jayaba- lan 2011 |
| | | Node explant | | | BAP (2.5 mg L^{-1}) + NAA (0.4 mg L^{-1}) | 60.3%/20.4* | |
| 16 | DS-1 | De-emb cot | ½ MS | 0-d-old seed- lings | TDZ (20.0 μ M) + IAA (2.5 μ M) - | 80%/8.5* | Lokesha <i>et al.</i> 2012 |
| 17 | E-8 | | | | | 77.8%/6.4* | |
| 18 | GLW | | | | | 57.8%/5.5* | |
| 19 | TLB | | | | | 66.7%/6* | |
| 20 | ШM | | | | | 84.4%/8.9* | |
| 21 | DS-1 | De-emb cot | 1⁄2 MS | 0-hr-old seed | TDZ (20.0 μ M) + IAA (2.5 μ M) - | 100%/3.9* | Malaghan <i>et al.</i> 2013 |
| 22 | DSS-9 | | | | TDZ (20.0 μM) + IAA (2.5 μM) + BAP (25.0 μM) | 73.3%/2.6* | |
| | | | | | | | |

D D Springer

| Table 4. (cor | ntinued) | | | | | | | |
|---------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| S. No | Cultivars | Explants | Basal media | Explant condi- tions | Plant growth Other adjuvants regulators | ц | requency (%)/ Vo. of shoots | References |
| 23 | KNL | | | | | 8 | 8.9%/7.6* | |
| 24 | RT-273 | | | | | 1 | 00%/8.2* | |
| 25 | TNL | | | | | × | 8.9%/5.7* | |
| 26 | МП | | | | | 4 | .2%/1.4* | |
| 27 | VRI-1 | De-emb cot | MS | 0-d-old seed | BAP (30.0 μM) + IAA Suci (5.7 μM) + ABA (4.0 μM) (3- Ag (30) | 5 - 25%), β-9%), εgNO ₃ 60 μM) | 7.3% | Chowdhury <i>et al</i> . 2014 |
| 28 | HT-1 | Cotyledon | MS | 2-d-old Seed- lings | BA (25 μM) - | 2 | 5% | Kapoor <i>et al.</i> 2015 |
| 29 | DS-1 | De-emb cot | ½ WS | 0-hr-old seed- lings | TDZ (20.0 μM)+IAA (2.5 μM) - | 1 | 00%/6.0* | Malaghan 2016 |
| 30 | DSS-9 | | | | TDZ (20.0 μM)+IAA (2.5 μM)+BAP (25.0 μM) | L | 3.3%/6.4* | |
| 31 | KNL | | | | | 8 | 8.9%/4.8* | |
| 32 | RT-273 | | | | | 1 | 90%/J.6* | |
| 33 | TNL | | | | | 8 | 8.9%/6.0* | |
| 34 | МII | | | | | 4 | .2%/3.4* | |
| 35 | SVPR-1 | De-emb cot | SM | 0-hr-old seed- lings | $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | rose 6%, 6 gNO ₃).25 mg L ⁻¹) | 5%/13.3* | Pusadkar <i>et al.</i> 2016 |
| 36 | TMV-7 | | | | | 9 | 7.3%/15.6* | |
| 37 | AKT 64 | De-emb cot | MS | 4-hr-old seed | BAP (6.5 mg L ⁻¹) + IAA (1.0 mg AgN L^{-1}) + AgNO ₃ (5.0 mg L ⁻¹) L ⁻¹ | NO ₃ (5 mg 1 | %0 | Zimik and Aru- mugam 2017 |
| 38 | Rajeshwari | | | | | 1 | 5.5% | |
| 39 | RT127 | | | | | 1 | 1.6% | |
| 40 | TC 25 | | | | | × | .3% | |
| 41 | TMV 3 | | | | | 2 | 0.5% | |
| 42 | TMV 4 | | | | | 1 | 4.4% | |
| 43 | TMV 5 | | | | | 1 | 5.5% | |
| 44 | TMV 6 | | | | | 1 | 8.3% | |
| 45 | UMA | | | | | ŝ | 4.7% | |
| 46 | VRII | | | | | 1 | 2.2% | |
| 47 | TMV-3 | Cotyledon | MS | 7–8-d-old seedlings | BAP (2.0 mg L^{-1}) - | L | 4%/4.5* | Anandan <i>et al.</i> 2018 |
| | | | | 1 | | | | |

661

| Explant condi-Plant growth C tions regulators IS 2-d-old seed-BAP (33.33 µM) + lings (2.85 µM) + AgN µM) + ABA (3.77 µM) + ABA (3.77 µM) + ABA (3.78 µM) + ABA (3.77 µM) + ABA (3.77) µM + ABA | her adjuvants Frequencies No. 6 AA 94.1' 3, (29.43 83%/ pM) 83%/ 57.8' 68.9' | uency (%)/ R of shoots %/5.3* D /4.2* | eferences |
|---|--|--|--|
| IS 2-d-old seed- BAP (3.3 μM) + AgN lings (2.85 μM) + AgN (3.77 μM) + AgN (3.77 μM) + ABA (3.77 | AA 94.1 ⁵ 3 ₅ (29.43 83%/ μM) 83%/ 57.8 ⁶ 68.9 ⁶ | %/5.3* D | |
| IS, B5, N6, 2-d-old seed- ½ MS macro +½ E | 83%/ 57.8(68.9) | /4.2* | bebnath <i>et al</i> . 2018 |
| IS, B5, N6, 2-d-old seed- ½ MS macro +½ E micros and vit + B | 57.8 68.9 | | |
| IS, B5, N6, 2-d-old seed- ½ MS macro + ½ E micros and vit + B | 68.90 | %/3.2* | |
| IS, B5, N6, 2-d-old seed- ½ MS macro +½ E and SH linns micros and vit + B | | %/3.7* | |
| IS, B5, N6, 2-d-old seed- $\frac{1}{2}$ MS macro + $\frac{1}{2}$ E and CH linns micro and vit + B | 77.19 | %/4.6* | |
| IS, B5, N6, 2-d-old seed- y_2 MS macro $+y_2$ E and SH lines micro and vit \pm B | 60.8 | %/3.9* | |
| L^{-1} | і macro + MS - 94.5° АР (0.25 mg | °, | ayatri and Basu 2020 |
| BAP $(0.1 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ (1.0 mg L^{-1}) -sh | TDZ -/16* ots | * | |
| IS 0-d-old seed- BAP (25.0 μM) + I lings (2.0 μM) + AgN(μM) + (ABA (4.0 | A AgNO ₃ (30.0 83.3' ; (30.0 μM) μM) | %/5.9* R | .ajput <i>et al</i> . 2022 |
| IS 0-d-old seed- BAP (25.0 μM) + I lings (2.0 μM) + AgN(μM) + (ABA (4.0 | A AgNO ₃ (30.0 70%/ (30.0 μM) μM) | /5.5* | |
| MS, B5, N6 (Chu et al. 1975), and SH (Schenk and | ildebrandt 1972) as basal medium | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 5 macro+MS - 94.5 AP (0.25 mg 94.5 +TDZ/16 ots -/16 ots 33.3 3 (30.0 μM) μM) AA AgNO ₃ (30.0 83.3 AA AgNO ₃ (30.0 70% 4A AgNO ₃ (30.0 70% μM) ildebrandt 1972) as basal medium | 60.8%/3.9* 5 macro+MS - 94.5% C 4P (0.25 mg 94.5% - 74.5 mg 94.5% - 6.3 +TDZ -116* ots -116* ots -116* ots -116* ots -116* a (30.0 83.3%/5.9* R μM) A A AgNO ₃ (30.0 83.3%/5.9* R μM) A A AgNO ₃ (30.0 70%/5.5* μM) ildebrandt 1972) as basal medium |

662

🖗 🖉 Springer

de-embryonated cotyledons, cotyledonary nodes, nodes, shoot tips, plumule, hypocotyls, and PGRs, alone or in combinations were used for direct shoot induction (Fig. 1). For the majority, regeneration was achieved from cotyledons and de-embryonated cotyledons (Table 4). From the plumule explants, high-frequency direct shoot regeneration (94.44%) and the maximum number of shoots per explant (15.96) were achieved in the Rama cultivar (Gayatri and Basu 2020). Malaghan et al. (2013) achieved the highest shoot regeneration, for example, 100% (1/2 MS with 20.0 µM TDZ, 2.5 µM IAA, and 25.0 µM BAP) with 8.15 shoots per cotyledon in RT-273 cultivar. Despite reports of regeneration in various media supplemented with TDZ and IAA (Were et al. 2006; Seo et al. 2007; Al-Shafeay et al. 2011; Wadeyar et al. 2013) as well as BAP and IAA (Were et al. 2006), the overall frequency of regeneration remains low. As a result, researchers have also explored the use of adjuvants to enhance the efficiency of regeneration.

Effect of AgNO₃ on regeneration

In this context, fortification of MS medium with various concentrations of $AgNO_3$ along with BAP and IAA improved the frequency of regeneration (Table 4). $AgNO_3$ is used as an ethylene action blocker in *in vitro* cultures (Mohiuddin *et al.* 1997). Many plants, including sesame (Seo *et al.* 2007; Abdellatef *et al.* 2010; Al-Shafeay *et al.* 2011), have shown the ability of $AgNO_3$ to enhance *in vitro* regeneration (Williams *et al.* 1990; Ashwani *et al.* 2017; Panigrahi *et al.* 2017). Low concentrations of silver ions inhibited the impact of ethylene on plant cells by likely substituting the copper co-factor of the cell's ethylene receptor (ETR1) and rendering it resistant to ethylene, as previously demonstrated (Moshkov *et al.* 2008; Kumar *et al.* 2009).

The application of AgNO₃ dramatically accelerated regeneration in sesame (Seo *et al.* 2007; Chowdhury *et al.* 2014; Zimik and Arumugam 2017; Debnath *et al.* 2018; Rajput *et al.* 2022; Table 4). In addition, several other crops, including *Coffea camephora* (Kumar *et al.* 2007), *Zea mays* (Songstad *et al.* 1988), *Cucumis melo* (Roustan *et al.* 1992), *Brassica campestris* (Zhang *et al.* 1998), *Brassica juncea* (Pua and Chi 1993), and *Capsicum annuum* (Hyde and Phillips 1996), showed improved organogenesis from the addition of AgNO₃.

Effect of sucrose on regeneration

In the development of shoots, carbohydrates have been shown to serve as both an osmoticum and an energy source (Brown *et al.* 1979). Different investigations have shown the specific physiological role of sucrose in the induction of shoots (Brown *et al.* 1979; Strickland *et al.* 1987; Ramage and Leung 1996). In *Capsicum annuum* hypocotyl explants, an external source of sucrose is required for at least the first 4 d of cultivation (Ramage and Leung 1996). In Aloe saponaria, shoot organogenesis required a 4% sugar content (Kim et al. 2016). In sesame, the regeneration frequency improved in the presence of high sucrose concentrations in the medium (6 to 9%; Seo et al. 2007; Chowdhury et al. 2014; Pusadkar et al. 2016; Debnath et al. 2018; Table 4). High sucrose pre-treatment in these studies has enhanced adventitious shoot production by resulting in high sucrose uptake in explants. Generally, the explants are pre-cultured on high sucrose (6 to 9%) medium for 7 to 15 d and then transferred on 3% sucrose. According to Seo et al. (2007), pre-culturing cotyledon explants for 2 wk on 9% sucrose and then sub-culturing to medium containing 3% sucrose significantly improved adventitious shoots production in sesame; however, prolonged incubation on 9% was not useful and explants turned brown. According to Chowdhury et al. (2014) and Debnath et al. (2018), the addition of sucrose along with ABA in the culture medium promoted regeneration. It was discussed that ABA might be facilitating the uptake of sucrose, and interestingly, both ABA and sucrose synergistically improved adventitious shoot formation (Seo et al. 2007). However, the detailed experiments are required to prove and justify the role of ABA in sucrose uptake in sesame explants.

Effect of ABA on regenerations

Abscisic acid (ABA) is an important plant hormone, which plays a pivotal role in embryo and seed maturation (Zeevaart and Creelman 1988). It prevents the precocious germination of embryos in developing seeds. According to Choi and Jeong (2002) and García-Martín et al. (2005), ABA pre-treatment prevents premature germination of somatic embryos and decreases the production of secondary embryos. ABA helps the plants by protecting cells from desiccation during water limitation by regulating different genes and stomatal regulation and provides drought tolerance (Wasilewska et al. 2008). The role of ABA in promoting regeneration frequency in sesame has been reported by different researchers. In sesame, a brief pre-treatment of different durations with ABA increased the development of adventitious shoots (Seo et al. 2007; Chowdhury et al. 2014; Pusadkar et al. 2016; Debnath et al. 2018; Rajput et al. 2022; Table 4). The addition of ABA further to AgNO₃-supplemented medium showed enhanced regeneration efficiency in some reports (Debnath et al. 2018; Rajput et al. 2022). In most of the cases, the ABA pre-treatment for 1 wk promoted the regeneration efficiency (Table 4). The presence of ABA attributed to high sucrose uptake in explants and increased cellular sucrose content (Debnath et al. 2018). This is in agreement to the report of Seo et al. (2007) where the initial incubation of



cultures for 2 wk at high sucrose concentration increased adventitious shoot formation in sesame. The presence of ABA synchronizing the sucrose uptake is also reported in strawberry (Archbold 1988), pea (Estruch et al. 1989), and tobacco and beet (Saftner and Wyse 1984). It is well known that ABA promotes the uptake of sucrose by Beta vulgaris in root tissue (Saftner and Wyse 1984).

Root induction

The *in vitro* raised adventitious shoots of different cultivars of sesame that were transferred on a rooting medium supplemented with different auxins, like IBA, IAA, and NAA, at different concentrations formed roots (Table 5). Different cultivars showed different root frequency; in some, the rooting percentage was < 50% whereas in other cultivars, like Dasak, Uma, JK-1, TMV3, GT-3, and GT-4, the root frequency was > 50%. In cultivar Dasak, high-frequency root formation (98%) was observed on MS media supplemented with 2.69 µM NAA (Seo et al. 2007), but the highest number of roots per explant (13.81) was obtained by Saravanan and Nadarajan (2005). Gayatri and Basu (2020) observed that the addition of NAA or IAA to basal medium did not help in root formation, but it did support callus formation, which affected shoot growth negatively followed by shoot fatality. Similarly, in the present study, no root formation was observed in transgenic plants of cultivars GT3 and GT4, and the basal end of the plants turned brown leading to plant death during subsequent culturing. Gayatri and Basu (2020) explained that half concentration of MS macronutrients in combination with SH (Schenk and Hildebrandt 1972)

Table 5. Root induction response on different PGRs of Sesamum indicum L

| S. No | Cultivars | Basal media | Plant growth regulators | Root frequency/ No. of roots | References |
|-------|-----------|----------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | CO 1 | MS | IBA (1.0 g L^{-1}) + IAA (1.25 mg L^{-1}) | -/13.81* | Saravanan and Nadarajan 2005 |
| 2 | Mtwara-2 | N ₆ | BAP (20.0 μ M) + NAA (1. μ M) | 36.7%/12.8* | Were <i>et al.</i> 2006 |
| 3 | - | MS | NAA (8.0 μM) | - | Baskaran and Jayabalan 2006 |
| 4 | Dasak | MS | NAA (2.7 μM) | 98% | Seo et al. 2007 |
| 5 | HT-1 | MS | IBA (2.0 μM) | 41.6% | Yadav <i>et al.</i> 2010 |
| 6 | Sohag-1 | $MS + B_5$ vit | IAA (1.0 mg L^{-1}) | - | Al-Shafeay et al. 2011 |
| 7 | SVPR-1 | $MS + B_5$ vit | NAA $(1.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ + BAP $(0.03 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ | 68.1%/4.8* | Raja and Jayabalan 2011 |
| 8 | - | MS | NAA (2.7 μM) | - | Lokesha et al. 2012 |
| 9 | E-8 | ½ MS | ¹ / ₂ MS medium | 40-50% | Honnale and Rao 2013 |
| 10 | VRI-1 | MS | IAA (4.57 μM) | - | Chowdhury et al. 2014 |
| 11 | HT-1 | MS | IBA (2.0 μM) | 40% | Kapoor <i>et al</i> . 2015 |
| 12 | DS-1 | ½ MS | NAA (2.7 μM) | 27.8% | Malaghan 2016 |
| 13 | DSS-9 | | | 24% | |
| 14 | KNL | | | 33.3% | |
| 15 | RT-273 | | | 53.3% | |
| 16 | TNL | | | 27.5% | |
| 17 | W II | | | 32% | |
| 18 | Darab1 | MS | NAA $(1.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ + BAP $(0.03 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ | - | Chamandoosti 2016 |
| 19 | DS-1 | MS | NAA (2.7 μM) | 40%/1* | Savitha et al. 2016 |
| 20 | DSS-9 | | | 33.3% | |
| 21 | KNL | | | 12.5% | |
| 22 | RT-273 | | | 50%/1* | |
| 23 | TNL | | | 14.3% | |
| 24 | W II | | | 28.6% | |
| 25 | Uma | MS | IBA (1.0 mg L^{-1}) | 76.3%/7* | Zimik and Arumugam 2017 |
| 26 | JK-1 | MS | NAA (2.69 µM) | 66.7%/5.9 | Debnath et al. 2018 |
| 27 | TMV3 | MS | IBA (0.5 mg L^{-1}) | 70%/4.2* | Anandan et al. 2018 |
| 28 | Rama | MS+SH | 1/2 MS macro + SH (micro and vit.) | 97.3%/6.2* | Gayatri and Basu 2020 |
| 29 | GT-3 | MS | $MS + NAA (2.0 \ \mu M)$ | 70%/5.4* | Rajput et al. 2022 |
| 30 | GT-4 | | | 66.7%/5.2* | |

*Denotes number of roots per explant. The reports wherein the data of rooting frequency is not given are marked with -



micronutrient and vitamins supported better root frequency compared to full-strength MS major. This suggests that reduced salt concentration is necessary for high frequency of root formation in the cultivar Rama.

Agrobacterium-mediated transformation in sesame

As mentioned above, sesame is a highly important oil seed crop, and it faces a major problem of abiotic and biotic stresses. Therefore, a need for genetic improvement lies in this crop towards the development of disease-resistant and nutritionally enriched varieties. The sexual incompatibility between the cultivated and wild species in sesame crop limits its improvement *via* conventional breeding method (Tiwari *et al.* 2011; Kulkarni *et al.* 2017). Genetic engineering of crops *via* overexpression, CRISPR-Cas9, and RNAi, has emerged as a potential tool for targeted changes for crops improvement.

The limited success of regeneration was discussed in the previous section. Because of unavailability of suitable and reproducible regeneration and transformation protocols, the success of genetic engineering in this crop remains a bottleneck (Zimik and Arumugam 2017). Until now, only a few research publications have reported on the genetic transformation of the sesame crop using Agrobacteriummediated transformation (Table 6). Taskin et al. (1999) attempted the first Agrobacterium-mediated transformation in cultivar Ozberk using pBI121 vector; however, no success was achieved. Later, Yadav et al. (2010) succeeded in achieving the fertile transgenic plants (1.01%) using pCAMBIA2301 in cultivar HT-1 through the use of cotyledon explants. Yadav et al. (2010) observed that a total of 60% of the explants showed GUS activity; furthermore, by the addition of thiol compounds, like L-Cystine and dithiothreitol, the higher number of explants showed an increase in GUS activity. The thiol compounds are known to act as an inhibitor of antioxidants and have a wounding effect in plants (Svabova and Griga 2008). Al-Shafeay et al. (2011) achieved fertile transgenic plants via Agrobacteriummediated transformation using pBI121 vector in cultivar Sohag1 with 1.67% frequency. Out of the various parameters tested (bacterial concentration, co-cultivation time, and explants), the co-cultivation for 1 or 2d was found better compared to longer incubation. Thereafter, Chowdhury et al. (2014) reported transformation efficiency of 42.66% in sesame cultivar VRI 1 using de-embryonated cotyledon explants. Chowdhury *et al.* (2014) also observed that co-cultivation of explants with Agrobacterium for 1 d has higher transformation efficiency compared to longer incubation with bacterial density of 1.6 OD₆₀₀ as reported earlier by Al-Shafeay et al. (2011).

Along with this high concentration of BAP (30.0 µM), sucrose (9.0%) and acetosyringone helped in higher frequency of transformation in this cultivar. Gayatri and Basu (2020) reported an improved transformation protocol using Agrobacterium harboring pCAMBIA vector in cultivar Rama. Southern analysis revealed 1.33% transformation efficiency with low bacterial concentration ($OD_{600} < 0.6$) and for a 72-hr co-cultivation duration. The low Agrobacterium culture density and extended co-cultivation duration were more effective for transformation in Rama as well as other sesame cultivars (Yadav et al. 2010; Al-Shafeay et al. 2011). Overall, the transformation efficiency using A. tumefaciencsmediated transformation is highly dependent on different cultivars. Therefore, as minor variations in protocols lead to variation in transformation efficiency, therefore, high scientific precision and careful handling should be followed to get the success in other sesame varieties. Chowdhury et al. (2017) for the first time developed the sesame transgenic lines using osmotin-like proteins and achieved both abiotic stress-tolerant and biotic stress-tolerant transgenic plants. The transgenic plants showed regulation of different biochemical parameters responsible for the regulation of combined stress (Chowdhury et al. 2017).

In sesame, hairy root transformation was also attempted, and some success was made by Agrobacterium rhizogenesmediated system using CRISPR/Cas9. Two sesame cytochrome P450 genes responsible for sesamin and sesamolin were targeted using A. rhizogenes-mediated transformation, showing the feasibility of CRISPR-based genome editing in sesame (You et al. 2022). The particle bombardment in cultivar Rama, the genetic transformation used in apical meristematic tissue, showed approximately 16% transformation efficiency (Bhattacharyya et al. 2015), which is almost double the Agrobacterium-mediated transformation efficiency in the same cultivar (Gayatri and Basu 2020). The enhanced transformation efficiency could be due to the reduced toxicity or browning of the tissue caused by Agrobacterium; however, the particle bombardment method needs to be evaluated for successful transformation on other sesame varieties.

Future prospects and conclusions

In the last few decades, many efforts have been made in the area of tissue culture of the oil seed crop, sesame. The major challenges of the cultivation are yield loss due to biotic and abiotic stresses. Therefore, new research approaches are required for genetic and nutritional improvement of oil quality as well as higher yield in sesame. Although numerous regeneration protocols are reported by various researchers using different parameters and factors, but still the challenge remains because of recalcitrant nature of sesame for regeneration. It has been demonstrated that regeneration is highly genotype



| ומחוב | 0. IIdlibgo | and prains of Desamam marca | un L. usung une opt | | IOIIIIauOII | | | |
|--------|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| S. No | Cultivars | Explants | Vector | basal media | Co-cultivation medium | Selection medium | Transformation frequency (%) | References |
| | Özberk | Cotyledon | pB1121 | MS | Semi-solid MS medium without antibiotic | BAP (8.0 mg L^{-1}) + NAA (0.1 mg L^{-1}) + kan (50.0 mg L^{-1}) + Aug- mentin (400.0 mg L^{-1}) | No result found | Taskin <i>et al.</i> 1999 |
| 5 | HT -1 | Cotyledon | pCAMBIA2301 | WS | BAP $(25 \mu M)$ + L-cysteine (400 mg L ⁻¹) + dithi- othreitol (1 mM) | BAP (25.0 μ M) + kan (25.0 mg L ⁻¹) + cefo (400.0 mg L ⁻¹) | 1.01% | Yadav <i>et al.</i> 2010 |
| ŝ | Sohag 1 | Cotyledon | pBI121 | MS basal salt and B5 vit | BAP (2 mg L ⁻¹) + IAA (1.0 mg L ⁻¹) + AgNO ₃ (5 mg L ⁻¹) | $\begin{array}{l} BAP \ (2.0 \ mg \ L^{-1}) + IAA \\ (1.0 \ mg \ L^{-1}) + kan \\ (25.0 \ mg \ L^{-1}) + cefo \\ (200.0 \ mg \ L^{-1}) + AgNO_3 \\ (5.0 \ mg \ L^{-1}) \end{array}$ | 1.67% | Al-Shafeay <i>et al.</i> 2011 |
| 4 | VRI-1 | De-embryonated Cotyle- dons | pCAMBIA2301 | MS | BAP (30 μM) + IAA (5.7 μM) + AgNO ₃ (30 μM) + acetosyrin- gone (100 μM) + 9% sucrose | BAP (30.0μ M) + IAA (5.7μ M) + ABA (4.0μ M) + kan ($50.0 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}$) + cefo ($500.0 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}$) + 3.0% sucrose + AgNO ₃ (30.0μ M) | 42.7% | Chowdhury <i>et al.</i> 2014 |
| S | Rama | Plumule | pCAMBIA/ <i>gfp</i> | MS+B5+N6+SH | y ₂ MS macro + y ₂ B5 macro + MS micro and vit + BAP (0.25 mg L ⁻¹) + acetosyringone (20 μM) | y_2 MS macro + y_2 B5 macro + MS micro and vit + BAP (0.25 mg L ⁻¹) + hygromycin (40.0 mg L ⁻¹) + cefo (250.0 mg L ⁻¹) | 9.76% | Gayatri and Basu 2020 |
| 9 | 7-VMT | Cotyledon | pB1121 | MS | BAP (29 μM) + IAA (8 μM) + AgNO ₃ (29 μM) + acetosyrin- gone (20 μM) | $\begin{array}{l} BAP\ (29.0\ \mu M) + IAA\ (8.0\ \mu M) + kan\ (8.0\ \mu M) + kan\ (50.0\ mg\ L^{-1}) + cefo\ (500.0\ mg\ L^{-1}) + sucrose\ (.0\% + AgNO_3\ (29.0\ \mu M)\ (29.0\ \mu M) \end{array}$ | 23.1% | Muthulakshmi <i>et al.</i> 2021 |
| Г | G98 | Cotyledon/hairy roots | pKSE401 | SM | 1/10 MS + acetosyringone (20 mg L ⁻¹) | kan (100.0 mg L^{-1}) + timentin (200.0 mg L^{-1}) | ı | You <i>et al.</i> 2022 |
| kan ka | anamycin, <i>c</i> | <i>tefo</i> cefotaxime | | | | | | |

neccanic alonte of Socamum indiana 1 meine the antimized conditions for

dependent. The regeneration protocols have been reported both directly and through the callus phase. It has been observed that a low salt concentration in the basal medium and a high concentration of BAP favor regeneration in this plant. The addition of AgNO₃ improved the regeneration frequency in some cultivars. Furthermore, it has been observed that the addition of ABA to AgNO₃-supplemented medium enhanced the regeneration. High sucrose pre-treatment and then sub-culturing to medium containing 3% sucrose were also found to be beneficial for shoot production. Sesame exhibits significant challenges on genetic transformation, as following co-cultivation with Agrobacterium, sesame often experiences a prevalent occurrence of browning and necrosis, further emphasizing its highly recalcitrant nature. The "altruistic transformation" approach was successfully used in promoting genetic transformation efficiency in different monocots and dicots using the Wus2 and Bbm transcription factor in maize and sorghum (Hoerster et al. 2020; Nelson-Vasilchik et al. 2022), and AtGRF5 and its orthologs in soybean and sunflower (Kong et al. 2020) can be applied for the future success of sesame transformation. Different methods, like particle gun or electroporation, should be also attempted at large in the future for the genetic transformation in this crop.

Acknowledgements CSIR-CSMCRI Communication No. 152/2022. PR is thankful for UGC-JRF/SRF and AcSIR for enrolment in Ph.D. P.A. acknowledges the DST WOS-A scheme for financial assistance. All the authors are thankful to the Department of Science and Technology DST (SERB-CRG/2018/000145) and the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, India, for financial assistance.

Author contribution PKA conceived and planned the review; PR, PA, and PKA wrote the review.

Funding This work is supported by the Department of Science and Technology DST (SERB-CRG/2018/000145) and the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, India.

Data availability This is a review article and does not have any data.

Declarations

Compliance with ethical standards The present research does not involve human or animal participation.

Consent All the authors have read and approved the manuscript and provided their consent for publication.

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Abdellatef E, Ahmed MMM, Daffalla HM, Khalafalla MM (2010) Enhancement of adventitious shoot regeneration in sesame (*Sesa-mum indicum* L.) cultivar Promo ky using ethylene inhibitors. J Phytol 2:61–67

- Al-Shafeay AF, Ibrahim AS, Nesiem MR, Tawfik MS (2011) Establishment of regeneration and transformation system in Egyptian sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) cv Sohag 1. GM Crops 2:182–192
- Ali GM, Yasumoto S, Seki-Katsuka M (2007) Assessment of genetic diversity in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) detected by amplified fragment length polymorphism markers. Electron J Biotechnol 10:12–23
- Anandan R, Prakash M, Deenadhayalan T, Nivetha R, Kumar NS (2018) Efficient *in vitro* plant regeneration from cotyledonderived callus cultures of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) and genetic analysis of True-to-Type regenerants using RAPD and SSR markers. S Afr J Bot 119:244–251
- Andrade PBD, Freitas BM, Rocha EEDM, Lima JAD, Rufino LL (2014) Floral biology and pollination requirements of sesame (Sesamum indicum L.). Acta Sci Anim Sci 36:93–99
- Archbold DD (1988) Abscisic acid facilitates sucrose import by strawberry fruit explants and cortex disks *in vitro*. HortScience 23:880–881
- Ashwani S, Ravishankar GA, Giridhar P (2017) Silver nitrate and 2-(N-morpholine) ethane sulphonic acid in culture medium promotes rapid shoot regeneration from the proximal zone of the leaf of *Capsicum frutescens* Mill. Plant Cell Tiss Org Cult 129:175–180
- Badri J, Yepuri V, Ghanta A, Siva S, Siddiq EA (2014) Development of microsatellite markers in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Turk J Agric for 38:603–614
- Baskaran P, Jayabalan N (2006) In vitro mass propagation and diverse callus orientation on Sesamum indicum L.-an important oil plant. J Agric Technol 2:259–269
- Bhat KV, Babrekar PP, Lakhanpaul S (1999) Study of genetic diversity in Indian and exotic sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) germplasm using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers. Euphytica 110:21–34
- Bhattacharyya J, Chakraborty A, Mitra J, Chakraborty S, Pradhan S, Manna A, Sikdar N, Sen SK (2015) Genetic transformation of cultivated sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L. cv Rama) through particle bombardment using 5-day-old apical, meristematic tissues of germinating seedlings. Plant Cell Tiss Org Cult 123:455–466
- Brown DCW, Leung DWM, Thorpe TA (1979) Osmotic requirement for shoot formation in tobacco callus. Physiol Plant 46:36–41
- Caboni E, Lauri P, Angell SD (2000) In vitro plant regeneration from callus of shoot apices in apple shoot culture. Plant Cell Rep 19:755–760
- Chamandoosti F (2016) Influence of plant growth regulators and explant type on multiple shoot induction and somatic embryogenesis in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Int J Environ Agric 2:68–72
- Choi Y, Jeong J (2002) Dormancy induction of somatic embryos of Siberian ginseng by high sucrose concentrations enhances the conservation of hydrated artificial seeds and dehydration resistance. Plant Cell Rep 20:1112–1116
- Chowdhury S, Basu A, Kundu S (2014) A new high-frequency Agrobacterium-mediated transformation technique for Sesamum indicum L. using de-embryonated cotyledon as explant. Protoplasma 25:1175–1190
- Chowdhury S, Basu A, Kundu S (2017) Overexpression of a new osmotin-like protein gene (*SindOLP*) confers tolerance against biotic and abiotic stresses in Sesame. Front Plant Sci 8:410
- Chu CC, Wang CC, Sun CS, Hsu C, Yin KC, Chu CY, Bi FY (1975) Establishment of an efficient medium for anther culture of rice through comparative experiments on the nitrogen sources. Sci Sin 18:659–668
- Debnath AJ, Gangopadhyay G, Basu D, Sikdar SR (2018) An efficient protocol for *in vitro* direct shoot organogenesis of *Sesamum indicum* L. using cotyledon as explant. 3 Biotech 8:1–13



- Dixit A, Jin MH, Chung JW, Yu JW, Chung HK, Ma KH, Park YJ, Cho EG (2005) Development of polymorphic microsatellite markers in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Mol Ecol Notes 5:736–738
- Dossa K, Li D, Wang L, Zheng X, Liu A, Yu J, Wei X, Zhou R, Fonceka D, Diouf D, Liao B, Cissé N, Zhang X (2017) Transcriptomic, biochemical and physio-anatomical investigations shed more light on responses to drought stress in two contrasting sesame genotypes. Sci Rep 7:8755
- Du H, Zhang H, Wei L, Li C, Duan Y, Wang H (2019) A high-density genetic map constructed using specific length amplified fragment (SLAF) sequencing and QTL mapping of seed-related traits in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). BMC Plant Biol 19:588
- Enikuomehin OA, Jimoh M, Olowe VIO, Ayo-John EI, Akintokun PO (2011) Effect of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) population density in a sesame/maize (*Zea mays* L.) intercrop on the incidence and severity of foliar diseases of sesame. Arch Phytopath Plant Prot 44:168–178
- Estruch JJ, Peretó JG, Vercher Y, Beltrán JP (1989) Sucrose loading in isolated veins of *Pisum sativum*: regulation by abscisic acid, gibberellic acid, and cell turgor. Plant Physiol 91:259–265
- FAO (2020) Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, FAOSTAT: FAO Statistical Databases. https://www.fao.org/faost at/en/#data/QCL/visualize
- FAO (2021) Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, FAOSTAT: FAO Statistical Databases. https://www.fao.org/faost at/en/#data/QCL/visualize
- Feher A, Pasternak T, Otvos K, Miskolczi P, Dudits D (2002) Induction of embryogenic competence in somatic plant cells: a review. Biologia 57:5–12
- Fuller DQ (2003) Further evidence on the prehistory of sesame. Asian Agri-History 7:127–137
- Gamborg OL, Miller RA, Ojima K (1968) Nutrient requirements of suspension cultures of soybean root cells. Exp Cell Res 50:151–158
- Gangopadhyay G, Poddar R, Gupta S (1998) Micropropagation of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) by *in vitro* multiple shoot production from nodal explants. Phytomorphology 48:83–89
- García-Martín G, Manzanera JA, González-Benito ME (2005) Effect of exogenous ABA on embryo maturation and quantification of endogenous levels of ABA and IAA in *Quercus suber* somatic embryos. Plant Cell Tiss Org Cult 80:171–177
- Gayatri T, Basu A (2020) Development of reproducible regeneration and transformation system for *Sesamum indicum*. Plant Cell Tiss Org Cult 143:441–456
- George L, Bapat VA, Rao PS (1987) *In vitro* multiplication of sesame (*Sesamum indicum*) through tissue culture. Ann Bot 60:17–21
- Honnale H, Rao S (2013) Direct somatic embryogenesis in *Sesamum indicum* (L.) cv-e8 from cotyledon and hypocotyl explants. Int J Appl Biol Pharm Technol 4:120–127
- Hoerster G, Wang N, Ryan L, Wu E, Anand A, McBride K, Lowe K, Jones T, Gordon-Kamm B (2020) Use of non-integrating Zm-Wus2 vectors to enhance maize transformation. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol - Plant 56:265–279
- Hyde CL, Phillips GC (1996) Silver nitrate promotes shoot development and plant regeneration of chile pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) via organogenesis. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant 32:72–80
- Islam F, Gill RA, Ali B, Farooq MA, Xu L, Najeeb U, Zhou W (2016) Sesame. In: Gupta SR (ed) Breeding oilseed crops for sustainable production: opportunities and constraints. Academic Press, pp 135–147
- Jimenez VM (2005) Involvement of plant hormones and plant growth regulators on *in vitro* somatic embryogenesis. Plant Growth Regul 47:91–110
- Kamal-Eldin A, Yousif G, Iskander GM, Appelqvist LA (1992) Seed lipids of *Sesamum indicum* L. and related wild species in Sudan

I: fatty acids and triacylglycerols. Eur J Lipid Sci Technol 94:254–259

- Kapoor S, Parmer SS, Yadav M, Chaudhary D, Sainger M, Jaiwal R, Jaiwal PK (2015) Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). In: Wang K (ed) Agrobacterium Protocols. Methods in Molecular Biology. Humana Press, Totowa, 37–45
- Khemkladngoen N, Cartagena J, Shibagaki N, Fukui K (2011) Adventitious shoot regeneration from juvenile cotyledons of a biodiesel producing Plant, *Jatropha curcas* L. J Biosci Bioeng 111:67–70
- Kim JK, Baskar TB, Park SU (2016) Effect of carbon sources and sucrose concentrations on shoot organogenesis of *Aloe saponaria*. Biosci Biotechnol Res Asia 13:925–930
- Kobayashi T, Kinoshita M, Hattori S, Ogawa T, Tsuboi Y, Ishida M, Saito H (1990) Development of the sesame metallic fuel performance code. Nuc Technol 89:183–193
- Kong J, Martin-Ortigosa S, Finer J, Orchard N, Gunadi A, Batts LA, Thakare D, Rush B, Schmitz O, Stuiver M, Olhoft P, Pacheco-Villalobos D (2020) Overexpression of the transcription factor growth-regulating factor 5 improves transformation of dicot and monocot species. Front Plant Sci 11:1389
- Kulkarni VV, Ranganatha CN, Shankergoud I (2017) Interspecific crossing barriers in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci 6:4894–4900
- Kumar V, Ramakrishna A, Ravishankar GA (2007) Influence of different ethylene inhibitors on somatic embryogenesis and secondary embryogenesis from *Coffea canephora* P ex Fr. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol - Plant 43:602–607
- Kumar V, Parvatam G, Ravishankar GA (2009) AgNO₃ a potential regulator of ethylene activity and plant growth modulator. Electron J Biotechnol 12:1–15
- Kumaraswamy HH, Jawaharlal J, Ranganatha ARG, Rao SC (2015) Safe sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) production: perspectives, practices, and challenges. J Oilseed Res 32:1–24
- Kwon TH, Abe T, Sasahara T (1993) Efficient callus induction and plant regeneration in *Sesamum* species. Plant Tiss Cult Let 10:260–266
- Lakhanpaul S, Singh V, Kumar S, Bhardwaj D, Bhat KV (2012) Sesame: overcoming the abiotic stresses in the queen of oilseed crops. In: Tuteja N, Gill SS, Antonio FT, Tuteja R (eds) Improving Crop Resistance to Abiotic Stress, 1st edn. Wiley, Germany, pp 1251–1283
- Lokesha R, Rahaminsab J, Ranganatha AR, Dharmaraj PS (2012) Whole plant regeneration via adventitious shoot formation from de-embryonated cotyledon explants of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). World J Sci Technol 2:47–51
- Lee JI, Park YH, Park YS, Im BG (1985) Propagation of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) through shoot tip culture. Korean J Breed 17:367–372
- Malaghan S (2016) Whole plant regeneration through direct organogenesis in sesame (Sesamum indicum L.). Green Farming Int J 7:1034–1039
- Malaghan SV, Lokesha R, Savitha R, Ranganatha AR (2013) Adventitious shoot regeneration in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) (Pedaliaceae) via deembryonated cotyledonary explants. Res J Biol 1:31–35
- Martin K (2002) Rapid propagation of *Holostemma ada-kodien* Schult. a rare medicinal plant, through axillary bud multiplication and indirect organogenesis. Plant Cell Rep 21:112–117
- Mary RJ, Jayabalan N (1997) Influence of growth regulators on somatic embryogenesis in *Sesamum indicum* L. Plant Cell Tiss Org Cult 46:67–70
- Mohiuddin AKM, Chowdhury MKU, Abdullah ZC, Napia S (1997) Influence of silver nitrate (ethylene inhibitor) on cucumber in vitro shoot regeneration. Plant Cell Tiss Org Cult 51:75–78
- Moshkov IE, Novikova GV, Hall MA, George EF (2008) Plant growth regulators iii: gibberellins, ethylene, abscisic acid, their



analogues and inhibitors; miscellaneous compounds. In: George EF, Hall MA, De Klerk G-J (eds) Plant Propagation by Tissue Culture: The Background, 3rd edn. Springer, The Netherlands, pp 227–282

- Morris JB (2002) Food, industrial, nutraceutical, and pharmaceutical uses of sesame genetic resources. In: Janick J, Whipkey A (eds) Trends in New Crops and New Uses. ASHS Press, Alexandria, pp 153–156
- Muthulakshmi C, Sivaranjani R, Selvi S (2021) Modification of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) for triacylglycerol accumulation in plant biomass for biofuel applications. Biotechnol Rep 32:e00668
- Murashige T, Skoog F (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue culture. Physiol Plant 15:473–497
- Nelson-Vasilchik K, Hague JP, Tilelli M, Kausch AP (2022) Rapid transformation and plant regeneration of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) mediated by altruistic *Baby boom* and *Wuschel2*. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol - Plant 58:331–342
- Nimmakayala P, Perumal R, Mulpuri S, Reddy UK (2011) Sesamum. In: Kole C (ed) Wild Crop Relatives: Genomic and Breeding Resources, Oilseeds. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 261–273
- Panigrahi J, Dholu P, Tanvi J, Shah TJ, Gantait S (2017) Silver nitrate induced *in vitro* shoot multiplication and precocious flowering in *Catharanthus roseus* (L.) G. Don, a rich source of terpenoid indole alkaloids. Plant Cell Tiss Org Cult 132:579–584
- Pua EC, Chi GL (1993) De novo shoot morphogenesis and plant growth of mustard (*Brassica juncea*) in vitro in relation to ethylene. Physiol Plant 88:467–474
- Pusadkar PP, Kokiladevi E, Aishwarya V, Gnanam R, Sudhakar D, Balasubramanian P (2015) Efficacy of growth hormone for callus induction in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). J Cell Tiss Res 15:5067–5071
- Pusadkar P, Kokiladevi E, Shilpa B (2016) Efficacy of plant growth hormones for shoot induction and regeneration in Sesame (Sesamum indicum L.). Res J Biotechnol 11:27–30
- Raja A, Jayabalan N (2010) Callus induction and plantlet regeneration from leaf explant of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L. Cv SVPR - 1). J Swamy Bot - Club 27:93–98
- Raja A, Jayabalan N (2011) In vitro shoot regeneration and flowering of sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) cv SVPR-1. J Agric Technol 7:1089–1096
- Rajput P, Agarwal P, Gangapur DR, Agarwal PK (2022) Development of a high-frequency adventitious shoot regeneration using cotyledon explants of an important oilseed crop *Sesamum indicum* L. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol - Plant 58:470–478
- Ramage CM, Leung DWM (1996) Influence of BA and sucrose on the competence and determination of pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L. var. sweet banana) hypocotyl cultures during shoot formation. Plant Cell Rep 15:974–979
- Rao SR, Ravishankar GA (2002) Plant cell cultures: chemical factories of secondary metabolites. Biotechnol Adv 20:101–153
- Roustan JP, Latche A, Fallot J (1992) Enhancement of shoot regeneration from cotyledons of *Cucumis melo* L. by AgNO₃, an inhibitor of ethylene action. J Plant Physiol 140:485–488
- Saftner RA, Wyse RE (1984) Effect of plant hormones on sucrose uptake by sugar beet root tissue Discs. Plant Physiol 74:951–955
- Saravanan S, Nadarajan N (2005) Effect of media supplements on *in vitro* response of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) genotypes. Res J Agric Biol Sci 1:98–100
- Savitha R, Lokesha R, Malaghan SV (2016) Whole plant regeneration through calli of hypocotyls origin in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Int J Agric Sci 7:64–73
- Schenk RU, Hildebrandt AC (1972) Medium and techniques for induction and growth of monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plant cell cultures. Can J Bot 50:199–204
- Seo HY, Kim YJ, Park TI, Kim HS, Yun SJ, Park KH, Oh MK, Choi MY, Paik CH, Lee YS, Choi YE (2007) High-frequency plant regeneration via adventitious shoot formation from

deembryonated cotyledon explants of *Sesamum indicum* L. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol - Plant 43:209–214

- Shashidhara N, Ravikumar H, Ashoka N, Santosh DT, Pawar P, Lokesha R, Janagoudar BS (2011) Callus induction and subculturing in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.): a basic strategy. Int J Agric Environ Biotechnol 4:153–156
- Silme RS, Cagirgan MI (2010) Screening for resistance to Fusarium wilt in induced mutants and world collection of sesame under intensive management. Turk J Field Crops 15:89–93
- Songstad DD, Duncan DR, Widholm JM (1988) Effect of 1-amino cyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid, silver nitrate, and norbornadiene on plant regeneration from maize callus cultures. Plant Cell Rep 7:262–265
- Spandana B, Reddy VP, Prasanna GJ, Anuradha G, Sivaramakrishnan S (2012) Development and characterization of microsatellite markers (SSR) in Sesamum (*Sesamum indicum* L.) species. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 168:1594–1607
- Steward FC, Ammirato PV, Mapes MO (1970) Growth and development of totipotent cells: some problems, procedures, and perspectives. Ann Bot 34:761–787
- Strickland SG, Nichol JW, McCall CM, Stuart DA (1987) Effect of carbohydrate source on alfalfa somatic embryogenesis. Plant Sci 48:113–121
- Svabova L, Griga M (2008) The effect of cocultivation treatment on transformation efficiency in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Plant Cell Tiss Org Cult 95:293–304
- Taskin KM, Ercan AG, Turgut K (1999) Agrobacterium tumefaciensmediated transformation of sesame (Sesamum indicum L.). Turk J Bot 23:291–295
- Teklu DH, Shimelis H, Abady S (2022) Genetic improvement in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) Progress Outlook: A Review. Agronomy 12:2144
- Tiwari S, Kumar S, Gontia I (2011) Biotechnological approaches for sesame (*Sesamun indicum* L.) and Niger (*Guizotia abyssinica* L.f. Cass.). As Pac J Mol Biol Biotechnol 19:2–9
- Tripathy SK, Kar J, Sahu D (2019) Advances in Sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) Breeding. In: Al-Khayr J, Jain S, Johnson D (eds) Advances in Plant Breeding Strategies: Industrial and Food Crops. Springer, Cham, pp 577–635
- Troncoso-Ponce MA, Kilaru A, Cao X, Durrett TP, Fan J, Jensen JK, Thrower NA, Pauly M, Wilkerson C, Ohlrogge JB (2011) Comparative deep transcriptional profiling of four developing oilseeds. Plant J 68:1014–1027
- Uncu AÖ, Gultekin V, Allmer J, Frary A, Doganlar S (2015) Genomic simple sequence repeat markers reveal patterns of genetic relatedness and diversity in sesame. Plant Genome 8:1–12
- Wadeyar BS, Lokesha R, Gayatree GS, Sharanamma S (2013) Sesame shoot regeneration-using different combinations of growth regulators. Mol Plant Breed 4:267–269
- Wang L, Yu J, Li D, Zhang X (2015) Sinbase: an integrated database to study genomics, genetics and comparative genomics in *Sesamum indicum*. Plant Cell Physiol 56:e2
- Wang L, Yu S, Tong C, Zhao Y, Liu Y, Song C, Zhang Y, Zhang X, Wang Y, Hua W, Li D, Li D, Li F, Yu J, Xu C, Han X, Huang S, Tai S, Wang J, Xu X, Li Y, Liu S, Varshney RK, Wang J, Zhang X (2014) Genome sequencing of the high oil crop sesame provides insight into oil biosynthesis. Genome Biol 15:R39
- Wang L, Yu J, Zhang Y, You J, Zhang X, Wang L (2021) Sinbase 2.0: an updated database to study multi-omics in Sesamum indicum. Plants 10:272
- Wang L, Zhang Y, Zhu X, Zhu X, Li D, Zhang X, Gao Y, Xiao G, Wei X, Zhang X (2017) Development of an SSR-based genetic map in sesame and identification of quantitative trait loci associated with charcoal rot resistance. Sci Rep 7:8349
- Wasilewska A, Vlad F, Sirichandra C, Redko Y, Jammes F, Valon C, Frey NFD, Leung J (2008) An update on abscisic acid signalling in plants and more. Mol Plant 1:198–217



- Wei L, Miao H, Li C, Duan Y, Niu J, Zhang T, Zhao Q, Zhang H (2014) Development of SNP and InDel markers via de novo transcriptome assembly in *Sesamum indicum* L. Mol Breed 34:2205–2217
- Wei LB, Zhang HY, Zheng YZ, Miao HM, Zhang TZ, Guo WZ (2009) A genetic linkage map construction for sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Genes Genom 31:199–208
- Wei X, Gong H, Yu J, Liu P, Wang L, Zhang Y, Zhang X (2017) Sesame FG: an integrated database for the functional genomics of sesame. Sci Rep 7:2342
- Were B, Gudu S, Onkware AO, Carlsson AS, Welander M (2006) In vitro regeneration of sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) from seedling cotyledon and hypocotyl explants. Plant Cell Tiss Org Cult 85:235–239
- Wesis EA (1971) Castor. Leonard Hill Books, London, Sesame and Safflower
- Williams J, Pink DAC, Biddington NL (1990) Effect of silver nitrate on long term culture and regeneration of callus from *Brassica* oleracea var. gemmifera. Plant Cell Tiss Org Cult 21:61–66
- Xu ZQ, Jia JF, Hu ZD (1997) Somatic embryogenesis in Sesamum indicum L. cv. Nigrum J Plant Physiol 150:755–758
- Yadav M, Chaudhary D, Sainger M, Jaiwal PK (2010) Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated genetic transformation of sesame (Sesamum indicum L.). Plant Cell Tiss Org Cult 103:377–386
- Yan-Xin Z, Lin-Hai W, Dong-Hua LI, Yuan GAO, Hai-xia LÜ, Xiurong ZL (2014) Mapping of sesame waterlogging tolerance QTL and identification of excellent waterlogging tolerant germplasm. Sci Agric Sin 47:422–430

- Yermanos DM, Hemstreet S, Saleeb W, Huszr CK (1972) Oil content and composition of the seed in the world collection of sesame introductions. J Am Oil Chem Soc 49:20–23
- You J, Li D, Yang L, Dossou SSK, Zhou R, Zhang Y, Wang L (2022) CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Efficient Targeted Mutagenesis in Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Front Plant Sci 13:935825
- Zimik M, Arumugam N (2017) Induction of shoot regeneration in cotyledon explants of the oilseed crop Sesamum indicum L. J Genet Eng Biotechnol 15:303–308
- Zeevaart JAD, Creelman RA (1988) Metabolism and physiology of abscisic acid. Ann Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 39:439–473
- Zhang FL, Takahata Y, Xu JB (1998) Medium and genotype factors influencing shoot regeneration from cotyledonary explants of Chinese cabbage (*Brassica campestris* L. ssp. Pekinensis). Plant Cell Rep 17:780–786
- Zhang H, Miao H, Wang L, Qu L, Liu H, Wang Q, Yue M (2013) Genome sequencing of the important oilseed crop *Sesamum indicum* L. Genome Biol 14:401

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

