SIVB SYMPOSIUM PROCEEDINGS

# A macronutrient optimization platform for micropropagation and acclimatization: using turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) as a model plant

Sean Michael Halloran · Jeffrey Adelberg

Received: 11 November 2010 / Accepted: 21 March 2011 / Published online: 7 May 2011 / Editor: N. J. Taylor © The Society for In Vitro Biology 2011

Abstract Tissue culture medium is often overlooked as a factor in plant biotechnology. Most work uses Murashige and Skoog (MS; Physiol Plant in 15:473-497, 1962) inorganic medium formulation, which is not likely optimal for many of the plant systems where it is used. This current study of macronutrient factors simultaneously altered media volume and amount of tissue (plants per vessel), sucrose, nitrogen (as  $NO_3^-$  and  $NH_4^+$  ions), and  $K^+$  in a d-optimal design space with only 55 experimental units (including five true replicates). Meso- and micro-nutrient concentrations were lowered (5% of MS) to determine which elements were most critical to plantlet quality. Plantlet quality was quantified by multiplication in the laboratory and survival and growth in the greenhouse. Plantlets grown at the lowest plant density, the lowest macronutrient concentration (20 mM), and equimolar proportions of  $NH_4^+/K^+$  resulted in the best multiplication ratio and 100% greenhouse survival. Multiplication ratio in vitro and survival in the greenhouse were well correlated with one another. Laboratory dry mass, media use, sucrose use, and the uptake of the macronutrients  $NO_3^{-}$ ,  $NH_4^+$ , and  $K^+$  were not well correlated with plantlet quality. Plantlets with the greatest uptake of P, Ca, Mg, and Mn had the best multiplication in the laboratory and on subsequent transfer, acclimatized and grew fastest in the greenhouse. Phosphorus was shown to be most depleted in media. This work demonstrates a platform to simultaneously optimize several nutritive components of tissue culture media to produce plantlets that perform well in both laboratory and

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s11627-011-9364-5) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

S. M. Halloran · J. Adelberg (🖂) Department of Environmental Horticulture, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634, USA e-mail: jadlbrg@clemson.edu greenhouse environments. Plant quality was related with factors outside the macronutrient design, and this platform indicated where to expand the experimental space. Fixed, flat-screen presentations revealed less of the response surface than interactive profiles driven by the reader.

**Keywords** Media · Nutrient · Phosphorus · Responsesurface method · d-optimal

### Introduction

The most commonly used medium in both commercial and experimental tissue culture (Murashige and Skoog 1962) was formulated nearly 50 yr ago using a one-factor-at-a-time (OFAT) methodology. Murashige and Skoog's work optimized tobacco callus growth on agar-solidified medium by varying salts one at a time until the amount of callus growth was maximized. A primary problem with OFAT experiments is that OFATs cannot identify interactions. OFAT medium formulation does not account for mineral nutrient proportions, such as the N/P/K ratio common in fertilizer formulation. Furthermore, critical nutrient ratios should be extended to clusters relating macro-, meso-, and micronutrients (Scagel et al. 2008). Many widely used plant tissue culture formulations were also developed via the OFAT approach, including media specified for tobacco callus (Murashige and Skoog 1962), isolated cells (Gamborg et al. 1968), woody shrubs (Anderson 1980; Lloyd and McCown 1980), nut and hardwood trees (Driver and Kuniyuku 1984), epiphytic orchids (Knudson 1946; Vacin and Went 1949), protoplasts (Kao and Michayluk 1975), anthers, and microspores (Nitsch and Nitsch 1969; Chu et al. 1975). Most in vitro research utilizes a medium from the literature closest to the application.

Another problem in media formulation, recognized by Murashige and Skoog (1962), is that water is likely ratelimiting to growth when a gelling agent like agar is used. In agar, gradients are established, and there is preferential binding of nutritive elements and impurities, thus nutrient uptake cannot be optimal in a water-limited system. Numerous researchers have concluded that medium components can become rate-limiting when bound in agar-based medium (Debergh 1983; Klimaszewska *et al.* 2000; Ramage and Williams 2002; Adelberg and Toler 2004). Liquid medium is preferable for the study of nutrient uptake due to increased water availability, more rapid plant growth, easier processing during media analysis, and the homogeneity of solution available to the plant (Adelberg *et al.* 2010). In liquid medium, solutes, not water, now become rate-limiting.

A frequent approach to improve media composition is to modify established media or components by supplementing varying concentrations of a salt, by diluting an existing medium or by adding hormones, carbohydrate sources, or other nutritive elements in a trial-and-error fashion until sufficient plant responses are demonstrated (Basile *et al.* 1993; Kretzschmar *et al.* 2007; Jain *et al.* 2009). Identification of the specific combinations of ingredients that resulted in optimal growth was unlikely due to the possibility of interactive effects being confounded with main effects.

With over a dozen elements to choose from, few researchers have decided to take a more comprehensive view of formulation. Fortunately, we are in an era where the availability of specialty software is enabling better design, calculation, and visual outputs from more expansive experiments. Our approach to experimental design for media formulation is (1) selection of the media components potentially important in affecting the selected response(s), and (2) the identification of the growth response(s) to be improved. We hypothesize that some commonly experienced difficulties in greenhouse survival and acclimatization are the result of poor mineral nutrition in tissue from the lab. This has not been approached as an objective of media formulation, even though the greenhouse is where much product value is conferred or product losses are suffered.

Selection of growth responses (Y's). Our objective was to determine the "best" conditions for *in vitro* growth. A more important objective was to observe *in vitro* conditions that influenced the acclimatization to greenhouse growth. The idea here was that the "best" conditions for *in vitro* production of plantlets may not be necessarily best for greenhouse acclimatization. To our knowledge, the literature on nutrient medium formulation is lacking responses that are measured after transfer to the greenhouse. To achieve these objectives, we measured *in vitro* responses including multiplication ratio, relative dry mass (dry/fresh),

media used, and sucrose used; and greenhouse responses including survival in the greenhouse, plantlet fresh mass, and dry mass growth during acclimatization. Also, the amount of specifics nutrients from *in vitro* medium in plantlet tissues during greenhouse acclimatization was correlated with greenhouse performance. That posed the problem of which nutrients to choose in a design, since 15 factors are too many to vary in one experiment.

Selection of media components to vary (X's). We chose to simultaneously alter the largest and most massive factors in the vessel: media volume (25-45 ml), plants (three to nine explants), sucrose concentration (1.5–6% m/v), macronutrient concentration (20–100 mM with  $[NO_3^-]=[NH_4^+]+[K^+]$ ), and cation proportion of  $NH_4^+/K^+$  ratio (0–0.5). The  $NH_4^+/K^+$ ratio and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> were co-varied, as suggested by Niedz and Evens (2008) since varying the ions in this manner (1) eliminated ion confounding and (2) maintained electrical neutrality (i.e., all treatments had the same pH without the ion confounding that can occur when pH adjustments are made). The vessel is a closed system with regard to nutrient mass, and the apportionment of nutrients among many growing plants may limit growth. In theory, the amount of tissue chosen effects the amount of a solute needed (sucrose, nitrate, ammonium, and potassium), and, therefore, this design would test if an optimal nutrient concentration would be related to initial density of plant tissue.

These x-factors were arranged as a five-factor response surface experimental design. Treatment point selection was via d-optimality. The design included 55 treatment combinations: 20 treatments sufficient for fitting a quadratic polynomial model, 30 treatment points for testing lack-offit, and five replicate treatments to estimate pure error. Geometrically, this five-dimensional hyper-volume would have a response surface (y) in a sixth dimension that could be described by coordinates of the 5x-factors in areas of interest. This allows simultaneous maximization of the main and interactive effects of the x-factors on the measured responses.

We also recognized that, when growth is optimized with macro-nutrients, other nutrients not selected would be the limitations to growth. To explore the effects of meso- and micro-nutrients not chosen as x-factors, the medium was modified as follows: the amount of P supplied was 100% of MS, while the other meso-nutrients (Ca, Mg) and micro-nutrients (S, Cl, Fe, B, Zn, Cu, Mn, Mo, Ni, and Na) were supplied in very low amounts (5% of MS). This forced deficiencies and enabled a secondary objective: identification of other nutrients that were most limiting to growth. Observation of how the amount of non-x-factor nutrients in the plantlet tissues was correlated with greenhouse performance allowed selection of critical elements for the next experimental design space.

### **Materials and Methods**

Plant material. Stage I plantlets of Curcuma longa L. accession 35-1 were initiated according to methods described by Cousins and Adelberg 2008. Accession L35-1 was obtained from University of Arizona Southwest Center for Natural Products Research and Commercialization (UA Herbarium #375,742; Tucson, AZ) and prepared via dissection of quiescent shoot tips from rhizomes. Full-strength commercial bleach (Clorox<sup>™</sup>, 5.25% sodium-hypochlorite) was used to disinfect shoot tips for 30 s, after which they were placed on the hood surface to dry and transferred to MS medium modified with 170 mg NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 100 mg myo-inositol, 0.25 mg nicotinic acid, 0.25 mg pyridoxine hydrochloride, 0.05 mg thiamine hydrochloride, and 30 g sucrose per liter. Medium pH was adjusted to 5.7, and stage I was carried out in 2 ml of liquid medium in flat-bottomed vials. Stage II plantlets obtained from these cultures were maintained for approximately 5 yr by subculture prior to experiments described hereafter.

Immediately prior to experimental conditions, stage II plantlets were multiplied on similar MS media containing MS vitamin stock, 3  $\mu$ M benzyladenine, and 3% sucrose. Once adequate stock material was prepared, plants were transferred into 55 different treatment combinations (Table 1). Macronutrients were considered as ions, and not salts, to eliminate ion-confounding and to isolate the specific effects that nutrients have on plant growth *in vitro* (Niedz and Evens 2006; Evens and Niedz 2008). Balancing the major anion with major cations in media (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, and K<sup>+</sup>) and then altering the cation ratios minimized pH problems. Nutrient ions were evaluated independently (Niedz and Evens 2004). This design constrained [NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>]= [NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>]+[K<sup>+</sup>].

*In vitro experiment.* Four 35-d cycles were run where plantlets were subcultured and returned to same experimental treatment. During subculture, plants were counted, massed, the mass of liquid adsorbed to plant was determined, and sucrose remaining in media was measured as percent BRIX (Atago Model N10, Atago Instruments Ltd.; Tokyo, Japan). Cut buds were transferred into fresh experimental media, and a representative tissue sample was taken for dry mass. Plant material for dry mass was dried for 72 h at 75°C. Spent medium was frozen for analysis at the conclusion of cycle 4.

*Spent medium analysis.* Medium residual from cycle 4 *in vitro* was analyzed by Clemson University's Agricultural Chemical Service using a Thermo Jarrell Ash Model 61E inductively coupled plasma (ICP). Nutrient residual was

**Table 1.** Experimental unit assignment with three macronutrient ions  $(NH4^+, K^+ NO_3^-)$ , media volume, numbers of explants per vessel, and initial sucrose concentration (BRIX%)

NH4 <sup>+</sup> , mM	K <sup>+</sup> , mM	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , mM	Media volume, ml	Plants/ vessel	Sucrose (%)
0	10	10	25	3	3.75
0	10	10	25	6	6
0	10	10	25	9	1.5
0	10	10	35	3	6
0	10	10	45	3	1.5
0	10	10	45	9	6
0	30	30	25	3	1.5
0	30	30	25	9	6
0	30	30	35	6	3.75
0	30	30	45	3	6
0	30	30	45	9	1.5
0	50	50	25	3	6
0	50	50	25	9	1.5
0	50	50	45	3	1.5
0	50	50	45	9	6
1.25	8.75	10	25	3	1.5
1.25	8.75	10	45	3	6
1.25	8.75	10	45	9	1.5
2.5	7.5	10	25	3	3.75
2.5	7.5	10	25	6	6
2.5	7.5	10	25	9	1.5
2.5	7.5	10	35	3	1.5
2.5	7.5	10	45	6	1.5
2.5	7.5	10	45	9	6
5	5	10	25	3	3.75
5	5	10	25	3	3.75
5	5	10	25	6	6
5	5	10	25	6	6
5	5	10	25	9	1.5
5	5	10	35	3	6
5	5	10	35	3	6
5	5	10	45	3	1.5
5	5	10	45	9	6
6.25	43.75	50	25	3	1.5
6.25	43.75	50	25	3	6
6.25	43.75	50	45	3	1.5
7.5	22.5	30	25	3	1.5
7.5	22.5	30	35	6	3.75
7.5	22.5	30	45	9	1.5
12.5	37.5	50	25	6	1.5
12.5	37.5	50	25	9	6
12.5	37.5	50	35	9	1.5
12.5	37.5	50	45	3	6
12.5	37.5	50	45	9	6
15	15	30	25	3	1.5
15	15	30	25	9	6

Table 1. (continued)

K <sup>+</sup> , mM	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , mM	Media volume, ml	Plants/ vessel	Sucrose (%)	
15	30	35	6	3.75	
15	30	35	6	3.75	
15	30	45	3	6	
15	30	45	9	1.5	
25	50	25	3	6	
25	50	25	9	1.5	
25	50	45	3	1.5	
25	50	45	3	1.5	
25	50	45	9	6	
	K <sup>+</sup> , mM 15 15 15 15 25 25 25 25 25	K <sup>+</sup> , mM NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , mM   15 30   15 30   15 30   15 30   25 50   25 50   25 50   25 50   25 50   25 50   25 50   25 50   25 50   25 50   25 50	K <sup>+</sup> , mM     NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , mM     Media volume, ml       15     30     35       15     30     35       15     30     45       15     30     45       15     50     25       25     50     25       25     50     45       25     50     45       25     50     45       25     50     45       25     50     45	K+, mMNO3-, mMMedia volume, mlPlants/ vessel1530356153035615304531530459255025325502592550453255045325504532550459	

MS standard condition=33 ml, six plants/vessel, 3% sucrose, 40 mM  $\mathrm{NO_3}^-$  , 20 mM  $\mathrm{NH_4^+}$  ,  $\mathrm{K^+}$ 

calculated by ICP concentration multiplied by volume of spent medium.

*Greenhouse experiment.* The fourth cycle was the terminal cycle *in vitro*, and the majority of the tissue went into the greenhouse for acclimatization (and a portion of the plant material went for dry mass analysis). Plantlets were taken from vessels, massed, and rinsed before being placed in individual cells on greenhouse mist bed in Fafard 3-B soilless mix (which contains: Canadian sphagnum peat moss, processed pine bark, perlite, vermiculite, starter nutrients, wetting agent, dolomitic limestone, pH 5.5–6.6; Fafard Co., Anderson, SC). After 10 d in greenhouse conditions (latitude=34.67350, longitude=-82.83261; 60% shade cloth; 6 s on, every 16 min mist cycle during daylight hr; March 14–23), plants were harvested, roots were washed clean of soil, massed again, and then dried.

*Calculated responses.* Direct observations from the laboratory and greenhouse allowed calculated responses as follows:

Multiplication ratio =  $\left(\frac{\text{plantlets out per vessel}}{\text{plantlets in per vessel}}\right)$  is a standard measurement to determine the relative level of multiplication in a fixed time period (35-d cycle).

Percentage dry mass (in the laboratory) =  $\left(\frac{dry \text{ mass of sample}}{\text{fresh mass of sample}}\right)$  is a common indicator of lab plantlet quality for subsequent acclimatization and greenhouse survival.

Media use = Original mass of vessel with medium without tissue - (mass of vessel out of cycle + mass of media adsorbed to plants)

Media use is a summation of water and solutes used.

Survival in the greenhouse =  $\frac{\# \text{ of plantlets day 10}}{\# \text{ of plantlets day 0}}$ ,

Fresh mass change in the greenhouse = (fresh mass day 10)-(fresh mass day 0)

Dry mass change in the greenhouse = (dry mass day 10) - (dry mass day 0)

Fresh and dry mass changes in the greenhouse provide a measure of plantlet quality specific to this stage of development.

The amount of x-factor nutrient ions used by plant:  $NH_4^+$ ,  $K^+$ , and  $NO_3^-$ , was expressed as:

$$NH_{4}^{+}, NO_{3}^{-}, and K^{+} in grams used = (initial grams NH_{4}^{+}, NO_{3}^{-} or K^{+}) \times \left[1 - \frac{NH_{4}^{+}, NO_{3}^{-} or K^{-} in spent medium \times Media Out (ml)}{NH_{4}^{+}, NO_{3}^{-} or K^{-} initial \times Media Initial(ml)}\right]$$

The amount of non-x nutrient ions used by plant: P, Ca, Mg, Mn was expressed as a percentage of total supplied:

$$P, Mg, Mn, or Ca\% used = \left[1 - \frac{P, Mg, Mn, Ca ppm in spent medium \times Media Out (ml)}{P, Mg, Mn, Ca ppm initial \times Media Initial(ml)}\right]$$

We chose to present 14 of the 23 Y's we measured or calculated due to model fit (data not shown) and relevance to the community.

Statistical design and analysis. This experiment was a response surface of four factors at three levels per factor, and one mixture response factor at four levels. The factors altered were: media volume (25, 35, 45 ml per vessel), plantlet density (3, 6, 9 plantlets per vessel), sucrose concentration (1.5%, 3.75%, 6% sucrose m/v), NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ion concentration (10, 30, 50 mM), and  $NH_4^+/K^+$  ratio (0:1, 0.5:0.5, 0.25:0.75, 0.125:0.875) with total millimolars of cations equivalent to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. Factor combinations were assigned to 55 experimental units (in vitro vessels) according to a d-optimality criterion, including five true replicates (Table 1). This allowed resolution of quadratic terms, evaluation of second-order interactive effects between factors (X's) but confounded higher-order interactive effects. The model proposed to relate factors to responses was a response surface model. A significance level of 0.05 was chosen for all tests. Multivariate correlations among responses were considered "well correlated" where Pearson's r > 0.60. Design, analysis, and graphical visualizations were created using JMP 9.0 (SAS Inst., Cary, NC).

#### **Results and Discussion**

Four subculture cycles *in vitro* were carried out in tandem so plantlets approached a steady state with treatment conditions. Cycle 1 was an inductive phase when the nutrient status in each plantlet became more reflective of treatment conditions and less like tissues from Murashige and Skoog 1962 medium (due to nutrient carryover in sub-cultured bud and microrhizome). Cycles 2, 3, and 4 better approached stable growth and development in treatment conditions. Cycle 4 was the final cycle where medium was analyzed and plants were transferred to greenhouse. The first objective focused on multiplication ratio and greenhouse survival since these were considered to be important responses in micropropagation. Different physiological conditions that may confer plantlet quality become apparent when correlating measured responses in laboratory to subsequent events in greenhouse.

*Multiplication ratio.* The analysis of multiplication ratio over the four culture cycles showed a decrease following the first cycle, when the plantlets adjusted to the 5% meso-/micronutrient limitation (Fig. 1; Table 2). The response with the five independent variables analyzed over cycle produced a model with highly significant terms ( $0.001 \ge \text{``Prob>}|t|$ ''), moderately significant terms ( $0.001 \ge \text{``Prob>}|t|$ ''>0.05), and insignificant terms (``Prob>|t|''≥0.05) as shown in Table 2 (insignificant



Figure 1. Turmeric (*C. longa* L.) *in vitro* multiplication ratio over 4, 35-d culture cycles in treatment conditions (with *error bar* representing 1 standard error deviation from the mean). Student's *t*-test presented as *a*, *b*, *c* being significantly different means.

terms will not be presented in any of the models shown later in this paper). The sorted term estimates quantify the predicted effect of each independent variable, or term (estimate column); evaluate the error associated with that prediction (Std error): evaluate magnitude (direction+quantity) of independent variable effect on the response  $(t \operatorname{Ratio} = \left(\frac{\operatorname{estimate}}{\operatorname{Std} \operatorname{error}}\right));$  and evaluate the probability that the independent variable (x) has no effect on the response  $(Prob \ge |t|)$ . In the lower portion of Table 2, the *Model Fit* Analysis outlines several ways to evaluate the model fit: whole model hypothesis test if a model fits the data better than the default model (the treatment mean response line) using an F ratio and p value (Prob>F), lack of fit hypothesis test if the form of the model is adequate for the data, the error standard deviation shows the mean of the squared errors produced by the model (this number should be low), and the RSquare indicates how much of the variation in the response is explained by the model fit. For example, 0.5778 = 57.78% of variation in response is explained by the model shown in Table 2.

Table 2 shows the effect of x-factors on multiplication. Increased macronutrients (millimolars) negatively affects multiplication given the negative estimate, small error, negative *t* Ratio, and extremely low *p* value, which means the effect of macronutrients on multiplication is not zero. Also,  $NH_4^+/K^+$  ratio (second most influential model term) positively affects multiplication, so with added  $NH_4^+$  with equi-molar reduction in  $K^+$ , multiplication increased since the estimate is positive, the error around that estimate is relatively small, the *t* ratio is positive, and the extremely low *p* value tells us that the effect of  $NH_4^+/K^+$  ratio is not zero. The plants/vessel term also had a negative effect on

**Table 2.** Sorted term estimatesfor multiplication ratio across allfour laboratory cycles

Term	Estimate	Std error	t Ratio	Prob> t
Components of best-fit model				
Macronutrients (mM)	-0.011922	0.001491	-8.00	< 0.0001
NH4 <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> Ratio	1.2791976	0.217668	5.88	< 0.0001
Cycle <sup>2</sup>	0.2509728	0.04392	5.71	< 0.0001
Cycle	-0.17706	0.039786	-4.45	< 0.0001
Plants/vessel	-0.07812	0.018404	-4.24	< 0.0001
NH4 <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> Ratio×plants/vessel	-0.234439	0.079902	-2.93	0.0038
Cycle×macronutrients (mM)	-0.003374	0.001201	-2.81	0.0055
Macronutrients (mM)×plants/vessel	0.0011587	0.000508	2.28	0.0238
Macronutrients (mM)×sucrose initial (%)	-0.001439	0.000641	-2.25	0.0259
$NH_4^+/K^+$ ratio × macronutrients (mM)	-0.013207	0.006733	-1.96	0.0513
Cycle×plants/vessel	0.0276441	0.015027	1.84	0.0674
Cycle×media volume (ml)	-0.007722	0.004398	-1.76	0.0808
Media volume $(ml)^2$	-0.002491	0.001457	-1.71	0.0889
Macronutrients (mM) <sup>2</sup>	0.0001091	0.000069	1.58	0.1157
Media volume (ml)	0.0069758	0.005241	1.33	0.1848
Media volume (ml)×sucrose initial (%)	0.0030394	0.002366	1.28	0.2006
Sucrose initial (%)	0.0287635	0.022513	1.28	0.2030
Cycle×sucrose initial (%)	-0.021856	0.01929	-1.13	0.2587
$NH_4^+/K^+$ Ratio <sup>2</sup>	-1.765019	1.706892	-1.03	0.3025
$Cycle \times NH_4^+/K^+$ ratio	0.1902697	0.192983	0.99	0.3255
$NH_4^+/K^+$ ratio×sucrose initial (%)	-0.090913	0.107089	-0.85	0.3970
Plants/vessel×sucrose initial (%)	0.005607	0.007912	0.71	0.4794
Plants/vessel <sup>2</sup>	0.0098186	0.015417	0.64	0.5250
Macronutrients (mM)×media volume (ml)	-0.000063	0.000144	-0.44	0.6627
Sucrose initial $(\%)^2$	0.0031525	0.031142	0.10	0.9195
Media volume (ml)×plants/vessel	0.0001725	0.001855	0.09	0.9260
$NH_4^+/K^+$ Ratio×media volume (ml)	0.0006949	0.024028	0.03	0.9770
Model fit analysis				
Whole model hypothesis test	F Ratio=	9.3797	Prob>F=	< 0.0001
Lack-of-fit hypothesis test	F Ratio=	1.099	Prob>F=	0.4286
Error standard deviation	0.40352			
Rsquare	0.5778			

All term estimates, associated errors, *t* ratios, and *p* values are included, and model fit analysis follows

multiplication and more plants per vessel caused a limitation to multiplication. The cycle<sup>2</sup> term indicated that multiplication ratio from cycles 1-4 was a quadratic function and plantlets had not adjusted to treatment conditions during cycle 1.

Cycle interacted with macronutrient concentration. We were able to disregard interactive effects of nutrients and cycle because the interactive effects are only significant with cycle 1 in model. When cycles 2, 3, and 4 were analyzed, the interaction of macronutrient concentrations with cycle time was not significant (data not shown). Therefore, cycle 1 was correctly considered and inductive period, and conclusions made for cycle 4 will be more representative of other cycles at stasis with treatment conditions.

🖄 Springer

To simplify the view of how cycle affects the response, multiplication was presented (Fig. 1). Mean response from cycle 1 (initiation) was significantly higher than the mean responses generated from cycles 2–4. The differences between cycles 2–4 were much smaller than their differences with cycle 1. Multiplication was greatest in those vessels with lowest macronutrient concentration, fewest plants per vessel, and equi-molar  $\mathrm{NH_4^+/K^+}$  ratio (the highest ratio tested), but this cannot be shown in a simple figure.

*Multiplication ratio cycle 4.* We chose to model the multiplication ratio in cycle 4 since plantlets were moved to greenhouse and used for correlational analysis of

Term	Estimate	Std Error	t Ratio	Prob> t
Components of best-fit model				
Macronutrients (mM)	-0.015464	0.003388	-4.56	< 0.0001
NH4 <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> Ratio <sup>2</sup>	-8.87641	3.194196	-2.78	0.0098
Plants/vessel	-0.082297	0.033672	-2.44	0.0213
NH4 <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> ratio×plants/vessel	-0.347847	0.143676	-2.42	0.0225
NH4 <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> Ratio	0.8714825	0.399971	2.18	0.0382
NH4 <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> Ratio×media volume (ml)	0.0894081	0.043548	2.05	0.0499
Model fit analysis				
Whole model hypothesis test	F Ratio=	4.3572	Prob>F=	0.0002
Lack-of-fit hypothesis test	F Ratio=	4.1089	Prob>F=	0.0893
Error standard deviation	0.30402			
Rsquare	0.7634			

Term estimates (only showing those with p value<0.05), associated errors, t ratios, and p values are included, and model fit analysis follows

laboratory and greenhouse growth. Multiplication in cycle 4 was well described by the x-factors in this experiment (Table 3, RSquare=0.7634). Table 3 showed increased macronutrient concentration (millimolars) had a negative effect on multiplication (as we saw in model fit of all four cycles); there was a significant quadratic  $NH_4^+/K^+$  ratio<sup>2</sup>, increased plants per vessel negatively effects multiplication, and the  $NH_4^+/K^+$  ratio interactions with plants per vessel and media volume were significant, as well as, the significant main effect of  $NH_4^+/K^+$  ratio. Visualizing complex responses to multifactor experiments requires some explanation (Fig. 2A). When interaction was insignificant, the line traces that defined the boundaries of the response surface were nearly equi-distant or collinear, and the response surface that bounds the hyper-volume was smooth in those dimensions. The paired main effects can be visually interpolated at a point of interest by the unaided eve.

However, the colored blocks in Fig. 2A showed many pairs of surface traces that had boundary traces of varied distances, indicating the response surface twisted in those dimensions. For example, the blue box labeled "i" in Fig. 2A shows the surfaces created by  $NH_4^+/K^+$  ratio are quadratic terms, visualized by curved line traces. When  $NH_4^+/K^+$  was lowest, the plantlets multiplied slowly regardless of plantlet density. The interaction of  $NH_4^+/K^+$ ratio with plants/vessel showed maximized multiplication with three plant vessels and the greatest  $NH_4^+/K^+$  ratio. When there were nine plants in the vessel, the response of  $NH_4^+/K^+$  was flatter, showing a lesser effect on multiplication, and with the entire surface pulled downward (reduced multiplication) much more sharply at 0.5  $NH_4^+/0.5 K^+$  ratio than if  $NH_4^+$  was absent. The blue box labeled "ii" shows how plants per vessel bounded the same surface. The quadratic response to  $NH_4^+/K^+$  ratio also suggested that there is little advantage to going higher than 0.5  $\rm NH_4^+/0.5$  K<sup>+</sup> ratio. Each interactive effect can be visualized using this format. However, visualizing pairs of interactive x-factors misses the power of optimizing responses with multiple variables in a single design (five factors in our design). Other factor pairs in this matrix also drive this response. This response surface exists in six-dimensions and the human eye (even when aided by the creative mind) will find it difficult to identify a maximal effect by integrating and interpolating the curves, slopes, and twists of these unseen surfaces.

A simpler way to work with response surfaces is to compare responses at fixed points using a response surface prediction profiler. The profiler allows (1) the user to set xcoordinates and predicts response or (2) solves for xcoordinates at a selected response, using a model that simultaneously adjusts for the main and interactive terms. To demonstrate this capability, we choose x-coordinates to be "typical" of standard MS culture conditions and predict the response (y). Then, we maximize for the response (y) and show the x-coordinates. Comparing these two sets of xcoordinates will show how far the standard medium was from optimal conditions for each response. MS medium macronutrients were not included in this design, but there was an interpolated multiplication ratio of 1.8× at MS macronutrient levels (and standard culture conditions for this vessel size;  $NH_4^+/K^+$  ratio=0.5:0.5, Macros=80 mM, %Sucrose=3%, media volume=33 ml, plants per vessel=6; Fig. 2B). Multiplication ratio increased to approximately  $3.7 \times$  by reducing the macronutrient concentration to 20 mM and reducing the number of plants per vessel to 3 (Fig. 2C). Macronutrient concentrations were inter-related to explant density and to achieve high-performance multiplication medium for lower plant densities required nutrient balance that was not as effective in higher density cultures. In



Figure 2. Turmeric (*C. longa L.*) *in vitro* multiplication ratio model constructed only for fourth cycle: (*A*) Multiplication ratio interaction profiler showing the important interactions in traditional, twodimensional format where *box a (orange)* represents the quadratic term of  $NH_4^+/K^+$  ratio, and *box b (blue)* represents the interaction term of  $NH_4^+/K^+$  ratio by plants per vessel; (*B*) Multiplication ratio in cycle 4 at standard MS levels ( $NH_4^+/K^+$  ratio=0.5:0.5, Macros=80 mM, %Sucrose=3%, media volume=33 ml, plants per vessel=6); (*C*) Multiplication ratio in cycle 4 is maximized by reducing macronutrient concentration in half and reducing plants per vessel to three, which effectively doubled the multiplication ratio. *Blue lines* are used to construct 95% confidence intervals.

commercial practice, larger numbers of plants per vessel are used to increase the total yield (multiplication x plants per vessel) and there may be less demand for an optimal formulation. Using a dynamic profiler (Appendix I), each reader can explore other regions of the response surface and better understand the relationship between multiplication, yield, and the x-factors used in this design.

Reducing the amount of  $NO_3^-$ ,  $NH_4^+$ , and  $K^+$  while changing the ratio of these ions to roughly 4:3:1, respectively, appears to maximize multiplication at low explant densities. This illustrates that adjustments to macronutrient components of tissue culture media greatly affect multiplication ratio and that if dilutions were made to MS, the critical ion proportions would not be affected. Reduction of meso- and micronutrients to 5% of MS (with only P at 100% MS) created a proportion shift where the three macronutrient ions in this experiment were optimal at lowered concentrations. Sucrose and media volume, the largest mass terms, had no significant effect on multiplication ratio (Table 3).

*Greenhouse survival and growth.* Survival of plantlets during greenhouse transition was largely dependent upon the concentration of macronutrients in the laboratory, and the interaction of macronutrient ratio and density of plants per vessel (Table 4). With factor coordinates set to MS macronutrients (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio=0.5:0.5, Macros=80 mM, %Sucrose=3%) and other typical conditions for this vessel size (media volume=33 ml, plants per vessel=6); 77% of the plantlets survived transfer to the greenhouse (Fig. 3*A*). By altering the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio from MS 0.5 NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/0.5 K<sup>+</sup> ratio to 0.25 NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> <sup>+</sup>/0.75 K<sup>+</sup> and reducing the amount of macronutrients by 50% (Fig. 3*B*), plantlet loss was nearly eliminated and greenhouse survival approached 100%. Plantlet density interacted with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio, such that with three plants in the vessel, the balance of macronutrients is more important to survival than with nine plants in the vessel.

Fresh mass gain during acclimatization in the greenhouse (Table 5) showed that reduced macronutrients increased subsequent greenhouse fresh mass gain. When sucrose was increased in the laboratory medium, the fresh mass gain increased in the greenhouse. It is possible that sucrose created more negative osmotic potentials, or other energy-related terms, that drove growth in the greenhouse. Fresh mass gain in the greenhouse was greatest at three plants/vessel, 20 mM macronutrients, 6% sucrose, and 0.5  $NH_4^+/0.5K^+$  ratio.

For both survival and fresh mass gain, MS macronutrients were far too concentrated with the reduced meso- and micronutrients used in this experiment. Ratios of nutrients in media are more important than amount and cannot be achieved by simple dilutions of MS or other standard medium. The sensitivity to macronutrient proportion and concentration is more pronounced at low plant density for both multiplication and greenhouse growth.

Spent medium analysis. Phosphorus, supplied at 100% MS level (1.25 mM), was the most depleted element, with 66% of the vessels having less than 10% of initial P remaining in the vessel at the conclusion of the fourth cycle. Phosphorus use was largely dependent upon the ratio of  $NH_4^+/K^+$ , suggesting the ratio of N/P/K is not only important in

Model: survival in the greenhouse									
Term	Estimate	Std Error	t Ratio	Prob> t					
Components of best-fit model									
NH4 <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> Ratio×plants/vessel	-0.210474	0.062494	-3.37	0.0022					
Macronutrients (mM)	-0.004015	0.001289	-3.11	0.0042					
NH4 <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> Ratio	0.505327	0.171421	2.95	0.0064					
Macronutrients (mM) <sup>2</sup>	-0.000106	0.000051	-2.1	0.0453					
Model fit analysis									
Whole model hypothesis test	F Ratio=	3.471500	Prob>F=	0.0013					
Lack-of-fit hypothesis test	F Ratio=	N/A	Prob>F=	N/A					
Error standard deviation	0.0522								
Rsquare	0.7126								

**Table 4.** Sorted term estimatesfor survival in the greenhouse

Term estimates (only showing those with p value<0.05), associated errors, t ratios, and p values are included, and model fit analysis follows



Figure 3. Turmeric (*C. longa* L.) *in vitro* plantlet survival in greenhouse acclimatization experiment: (*A*) Greenhouse survival at standard MS levels ( $NH_4^+/K^+$  ratio=0.5:0.5, Macros=80 mM, % Sucrose=3%, media volume=33 ml, plants per vessel=6); (*B*)

agronomy but also in plant tissue culture (Table 6). Less P is taken up by the plant when there is more  $K^+$  than  $NH_4^+$ , and less P is taken up in the presence of high concentrations of the macronutrients. Increased plants per vessel increased

the percentage P used. Greater media volume increased the amount of P supplied (along with N,  $K^+$ ) and decreased the percentage P used. This alerts us to the importance of N/P/K in plant tissue culture.

Greenhouse survival optimized by reducing macronutrient concentra-

tion to 40 mM, reducing plants per vessel to three. Blue lines are used

to construct 95% confidence intervals.

Table 5. Sorted term estimates	
for fresh mass change in the	
greenhouse	

Term	Estimate	Std Error	t Ratio	Prob> t
Components of best-fit model				
Macronutrients (mM)	-0.003066	0.000771	-3.97	0.0006
Sucrose initial (%)	0.0303828	0.008971	3.39	0.0027
Plants/vessel	-0.01964	0.00673	-2.92	0.008
NH4 <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> Ratio	0.1945822	0.083926	2.32	0.0301
NH4 <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> Ratio <sup>2</sup>	-1.40465	0.611844	-2.3	0.0316
NH4 <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> Ratio×plants/vessel	-0.079258	0.036622	-2.16	0.0416
Model fit analysis				
Whole model hypothesis test	F Ratio=	4.4385	Prob>F=	0.0005
Lack-of-fit hypothesis test	F Ratio=	8.1868	Prob>F=	0.0145
Error standard deviation	0.0087			
Rsquare	0.8014			

Term estimates (only showing those with p value<0.05), associated errors, t ratios, and p values are included, and model fit analysis follows

The use of P is related to multiplication ratio and survival in the greenhouse. At standard MS conditions  $(NH_4^+/K^+ \text{ ratio}=0.5:0.5, Macros=80 \text{ mM}, \%Sucrose=3\%, media volume=33 ml, plants per vessel=6), when survival was approximately 80%, multiplication is approximately 1.8×, and about 80% of the P was used (Fig. 4$ *A*). Maximal multiplication and greenhouse survival (Fig. 4*B* $) occur where <math>NH_4^+/K^+$  ratio=0.35:0.65, macronutrients=20 mM, and three plants/vessel; however, this prediction requires more than 100% of the P supplied. Phosphorus is likely a limitation to plant performance, and it is possible that nutrient uptake becomes more difficult as the element becomes more diffuse in media.

Similarly, the simultaneous prediction profiler of P, Ca, and Mg use during laboratory cycle 4 (expressed as percent use of total in vessel; Fig. 5) was shown along with multiplication ratio and survival in the greenhouse. Phosphorus was provided at 100% of MS concentration (1.25 mM), while Ca and Mg were provided at 5% of MS concentration (0.15 and 0.075 mM, respectively), and we expected to find rapid depletion of Ca and Mg. However, at MS standard levels  $(NH_4^+/K^+ \text{ ratio}=0.5:0.5, \text{ Macros}=$ 80 mM, %Sucrose=3%, media volume=33 ml, plants per vessel=6), approximately half of Ca remained, 34% of Mg remained, and only 17% of P remained, where survival was 80% and multiplication ratio was  $1.8 \times$  (Fig. 5A). With macronutrients "out of balance" meso-nutrient uptake was low. Figure 5B shows that reducing the  $NH_4^+/K^+$  ratio to 0.25:0.75 and total macronutrients to 20 mM; P, Ca, and Mg are completely depleted in medium, multiplication ratio is  $3\times$ , and there was 100% survival in greenhouse. With macronutrient elemental ratios "corrected", these meso-nutrients were depleted from the medium and plantlet quality improved (Fig. 6).

When we maximize multiplication ratio or survival in the greenhouse, P, Ca, and Mg quickly become limited in

Term	Estimate	Std Error	t Ratio	Prob> t
Components of best-fit model				
NH4 <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> Ratio	0.7636805	0.201151	3.8	0.0007
NH4 <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> Ratio <sup>2</sup>	-5.975907	1.602538	-3.73	0.0008
Macronutrients (mM)	-0.005505	0.001543	-3.57	0.0013
Plants/vessel	0.0399254	0.016825	2.37	0.0245
Media volume (ml)	-0.010824	0.005076	-2.13	0.0416
Model fit analysis				
Whole model hypothesis test	F Ratio=	4.5596	Prob>F=	0.0001
Lack-of-fit hypothesis test	F Ratio=	1.6131	Prob>F=	0.3141
Error standard deviation	0.0778			
Rsquare	0.7587			

**Table 6.** Sorted term estimatesfor phosphorus use (%) in thelaboratory

Term estimates (only showing those with p value<0.05), associated errors, t ratios, and p values are included, and model fit analysis follows



◄ Figure 4. Turmeric (*C. longa* L.) *in vitro* multiplication ratio, plantlet survival in greenhouse acclimatization experiment, and phosphorus use (expressed as a percentage) in the laboratory: (*A*) Multiplication ratio, survival in the greenhouse, and phosphorus use at standard MS levels (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio=0.5:0.5, Macros=80 mM, %Sucrose=3%, media volume=33 ml, plants per vessel=6); (*B*) Phosphorus depletion from media while attempting to optimize multiplication, survival in the greenhouse. *Blue lines* are used to construct 95% confidence intervals.

the media. The reader can explore the loss of mesonutrients during the simultaneous maximization of multiplication and survival (interactive prediction profile, Appendix II). The fact that P uptake is limiting on both multiplication ratio and survival in the greenhouse, even at MS levels, suggests that this may be the most limiting element in MS formulation. Considering that P was supplied at much higher concentrations than Ca or Mg during this experiment, it is significant that it is depleted along with these other meso-nutrients (as an aside, P is supplied in lower concentrations than Ca and Mg in MS formulation). Depletion does not prove that growth was limited by the meso-nutrients that were not included in the design, since luxuriant uptake of nutrients often occur in vitro (Adelberg et al. 2010) and these elements were not altered by design. These models, illustrate that P, Ca, and Mg could all be limiting factors to plantlet quality and warrant further investigation.

Correlational analyses. The ability to measure and analyze so many responses creates the need to sort the responses as more or less important. Multivariate correlations showed how both x-factor nutrients (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>), and non-xfactor nutrients (Ca, Mg, P), along with sugar and media volume, affected growth (dry mass greenhouse, dry mass laboratory) and plantlet quality (multiplication ratio, survival in the greenhouse). We omitted other measured responses that include: micronutrient ion use (Zn, Cu, Fe, S, Na, B, Cl use), fresh mass gain in laboratory, average fresh mass per plant, sucrose concentration, and osmolarity of residual medium due to relatively lesser correlations to multiplication ratio and plant survival in greenhouse. Manganese (Mn) was unique among micro-nutrients in its correlation with plant quality terms and was included due to these high correlations.

Figure 5 shows a multivariate correlational analysis of 15 (of the 34 responses tested). Each square (labeled by responses) contains a Pearson's coefficient (r), which measures the strength of linear dependence of two variables. The relationships of Y1 vs. Y2, Y2 vs. Y3, etc. is shown as a number ranging from -1 to +1 to show the direction and strength of relationship between the response pairs. Each colored region shows responses with stronger correlations 0.60 < r < 1. Creating this large multifactor design and arranging the responses based solely upon the

strength of correlation informs us of significant relationships in our system.

A strong inverse relationship of relative dry mass *in vitro* and the dry mass gain in the greenhouse is present (red box, labeled *A*). This suggests that plantlets from the laboratory brought enough stored carbohydrate to the greenhouse, so growth in the greenhouse during the first 10 d consisted of: water uptake, root and leaf expansion, and respiration of carbon from stored carbohydrates in the plantlet. Turmeric is a geophyte and utilizes carbohydrate reserves stored in rhizome tissues from prior growth to be utilized in subsequent flushes.

There is a strong positive correlation between fresh mass gain in the greenhouse and multiplication in the laboratory (orange box, labeled B). Plants that multiply quickly in the laboratory grow quickly in the greenhouse (vigor). There was a smaller, positive relationship between multiplication and dry mass gain in the greenhouse (vigor was not necessarily photosynthetic carbon fixation). Multiplication in the lab had a strong positive correlation with greenhouse survival (green box, labeled C). Survival in the greenhouse was not well correlated to the amount of mass gain in the greenhouse, suggesting that these two measures of plant quality do not have similar physiologic causes (the negative osmotic potential increased fresh mass gain, but not plantlet survival). Survival in the greenhouse poorly correlated with relative dry mass from the lab. Anecdotal information circulated among plant propagators has suggested for many years that the greater dry mass/fresh mass was critical for plantlets to properly acclimatize. This data does not support those assertions. Collectively, A, B, C, and D illustrate that the lab plantlets' fresh or dry mass, or relative dry mass does not predispose the plantlet to survive or fail in the greenhouse.

Multiplication and greenhouse survival was highly correlated with non-x-factor elements P, Mg, Mn, and Ca uptake (expressed in a percentage of total use), shown in light blue box (labeled D). The dry and fresh mass terms had much less relationship to plant quality than the amount of meso-nutrients contained in that mass. Increased uptake of the meso-nutrient elements clearly indicated high-quality plants. These four nutrients can be discussed as a group since they cluster tightly as a smaller subset of highly correlated (0.7 < r < 0.91) ions where uptake was related to plantlet quality. Other meso- and micro-nutrients (Zn, Cu, Fe, S, Na, B, and Cl- data not shown) did not correlate well with any of the responses or indicate other nutrient use patterns.

The uptake of x-factor nutrient ions did not correlate with multiplication or survival. In the box labeled F, there is a high correlation between the two inorganic nitrogen sources used, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, but little correla-



◄ Figure 5. Turmeric (*C. longa* L.) *in vitro* multiplication ratio, plantlet survival in greenhouse acclimatization experiment, and phosphorus, calcium, and magnesium use (expressed as a percentage) in the laboratory: (*A*) Multiplication ratio, survival in the greenhouse, P, Ca, and Mg use at standard MS levels (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio=0.5:0.5, Macros=80 mM, %Sucrose=3%, media volume=33 ml, plants per vessel=6); (*B*) P, Ca, and Mg depleted from media while optimizing multiplication and survival in the greenhouse. *Blue lines* are used to construct 95% confidence intervals.

tion between N use and plant quality. Also,  $K^+$  use was not as closely correlated with plant quality as the meso-nutrients.

The volume of media used was correlated with the use of Ca and P (gray box, labeled *H*). The volume of media used, the amount of  $K^+$  used, and the amount of sugar used were

Scatt	atterplot Matrix													
0.2		r= 0.6899	=0.0734	r= 0.2541	r=-0.1274	r=-0.1037	r=-0.1899	r=-0.1946	r=-0.3132	r=0.0655	= 0.0270	r= 0.1831	r=-0:4503	p=-0.3928
0.15	%DW LAB							(			1: \			
0.1					1								$\langle \rangle$	$\langle \rangle$
0.05		1	/			$\mathbf{\lambda}$	$\langle \rangle$			/	1 /	/		$\langle \rangle$
0.015	n= 0.6899	•	=0.2345	r=0.4122	r=0.2079 :	r=0.2807	r=0.4023	r=0.3395	r=0.4139	r=-0.3566	r= 0.2453	r=0.0030	r=0.5070	r=0.3387
0.005		Divou												$\left( \right)$
-0.005	$\langle \rangle$	Grown GH	1.	/ /	(		1	1	(				/ /	/ /
-0.025	1		/	/			1.4	(	/		. /	/		. /
0.4	r=0.0734	r=0.2345		r=0.6529	r=0.2664	r=0.3532	r=0.3892	r=0.3837	r=0.5399	-0.5043	r=-0.4719	P-9:1459	r=0.1467	r=0.2510
0.2 -			CITE FW CH		1			· · · ·					$\langle \rangle$	$\left( \right)$
0 -		3. /	Growin GH	1/		(·		1	1. 17. 45	\		35.	(	/
-0.2 -	/	/									1			× /
3.5	r= 0.2541	r=0.4122	r=0.651		r=0.6193	=0.6318	r=0.7093	r=0.6478	r=0.7357	r= 0.3015	r=-0.4236	r=0.1516	r=0.4493	r=0.3496
2.5		/	/	Multiplication		/		/	/	1	11. 1		(	/ /
0.5	< · · · /			rabo	( /	(	( x.i)	1	. 4:		· · · · · )	/		
-0.5	$\sim$	-		6				-	-	$\sim$	~	$\sim$	<u> </u>	~
1 -	r≡:0.1274	r=0.2079	r=0_2664	r=0.6193		=0.6986	r=0.7.347	r=0.6436.	r=0.697.4	ra-0.0338	r= 0.2257.	r=£1.251,3	r=0.4868	r=0.3342
0.6			· /	1 /	SURV% GH			/	/ /	. )	)			/
0.2 -				(./						. /	/</td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
-0.2						he	har a second						10/00/00 00 00	
0.5	r=-0:1037\	r=0.2807	r=0.9532	r=0.6318	r=0.6986.		r=0.8584	r=0.70¢1•.••	r=0.8187	r≓-0.7893 ·	rť0.3591	r=6.4228	r=0.637.8	r=0.4869
0.	· · · /					P USED	1 /	1 1	/ /	· · · /	(			
0.5	$\checkmark$		$\cdot$	U.				0	( in the second		$\sim$		-	
-0.5	Alexande	markers 171	( a code to)	·			*			******** \			and and a state	Sh cohert o
	r=01888	r=B.4023	r=0.9892	r=0.7092	r=0.7347	=0.8584		r=0.9111	r=0.8674	r=-0.3323	r=44.5445	r=8.286/	r=0.5839	120.4292
0.5 -			/		/ /	1 / 1	Mg USED		/ /					
0 -	$\sim$		· /	$\sim$		(			$\mathcal{C}$					
-0.5		a the	death i			· · · ·		-	-		14	In hour has been	Atenatica * 1	famout a
	P-0,1946	1=0.3495	r=0.3837	r=0.6478	r=0.6436	=0.7042	r=0.9111		r=0.8288	r=-0.3914	1=-0.5331	r=0.2212	1=0.4595	p=0.3960
0.0		/	· · · /	/ /		1:19	1/	Mn USED	/ /					
0.2 -						1.			(//	~		. /		
-0.2 -	m 0.2122	0.4120	m0 6200	m0.7267	m0.6074	0.0107	-0.0E74	~0.0220		m 0.2622	- 0.4903	60.2647	m0.6644	-0.6022
0.8		-0-1-0	/			-0.0101							1-0.000	
0.4		2 200	40.	2.1			1.1	1	Ca used	:		: ·	1:000	/
0 -	$\setminus$ /			1 > 1	1 /		1/	1/						
-0.4 -	r=0.0655		r=-0.5043	r=-0.3015	r=-0.0338	r=-0.1893	r=-0.3373	r=-0.3914	1=-0-3523		r=0.7364	r=0.3083	r=0.0989	-8 2376
0.008							(		$\langle \rangle$		/ )	$\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$		$\langle \rangle$
0.004	·. · · · · / ·					( 22	1 1 1			NH4 g used	/ /		( )	
0.002	/									_				
0 -	r=-0.0270	r=:0.2453	r=-0.4719	r=-0.4235	r=-0.2257	r=-0.2591	r=-0.5445	r=-0.5331	r=-0.4891	r=0.7364-1		r=0.3853	r=-9,1141	r=-0.2235
0.08 -				$\left( \cdot \right)$				(	$(\cdot, \cdot)$	(.)		$\langle \cdot \rangle$ .	$\langle \ \rangle$	
0.04							1			/ /	NO3 g used		$\left( \right)$	
	2	e.CA tur.								ante /		1.1.1	\	( )
0 •	r=-0.1631	r=0.0830	r=-0.1459	r=0.1516	r=0.2513 .	r=0.4228	r=0.2867	r=0.2212*	r=0.2547	r=0.3063	r=0.3853.		r=0.5288	r=0.2594
0.02 -		-	$\frown$	0	-	-		-	-	-	-	Managed		$\frown$
0.01 ·					1		1		1			K g used	/ /	$\left( \right)$
0.005 ·					( :::"i	(	(	(	(					/
0 - 5 -	r=-0,4603	r=0.5070	r=0,1487	r=0.4493	r=0.4868	r=0.6378	r=0.5837	r=0.4595	r=0.6641	r=8.0889	r=-0.1141	r=0.5288		r=0.5369
3 -		$\langle \rangle$		/					1.			/	Modia	$\left( \right)$
		/	)	/ /	/	1	1. 19				G		Used (mi)	/ /
1 ·									( ····		~ /		Concerned in the second	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
- 1 -	r=-0.3928	r=0.3387	r=0.2516	r=0.3496	r=0.3342	r=0.4869	r=0.4292	r=0.3360	r=0.5922	r=-0-2376	r=-0.2235	r=0.2594	r=0.5369	
0.4			$\langle \rangle$										$\left( \right)$	Queen
0.2			/	( /	1	1	(	(	/	)			/ /	used (g)
		· · ·											/	
-03								1			< /			



multiplication ratio in laboratory and survival in the greenhouse; *D* Correlation of multiplication and greenhouse survival to the mesonutrients P, Mg, Mn, Ca; *E* P, Mg, Mn, Ca region of very high correlation; *F* Nitrogen ions ( $NO_3^-$  and  $NH_4^+$ ) correlation; *G* Media used (milliliters) correlated with Ca, P. The *red ellipses* bound 95% of data points.

all positively correlated with multiplication and greenhouse survival and growth, but the correlations were weak compared with the meso-nutrients in block D.

Multiplication and greenhouse survival were well correlated with one another, and these two important measures of plantlet quality were preceded by uptake of P, Mg, Mn, and Ca *in vitro*. Those plants that multiplied quickly in the laboratory also survived well in the greenhouse. Optimizing medium for these nutrients would likely be important since uptake is associated with desirable plant qualities. Macronutrient use (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, and K<sup>+</sup>) did not correlate well with any of these quality terms.

#### Conclusions

Prior work on nutrient medium formulation often lacks responses that are measured after transfer to the greenhouse. In this experiment, we observed similar conditions which allowed rapid plant multiplication and the production of plantlets with high rates of survival and growth in the greenhouse. It was apparent that these nutrient ratios (20 mM macronutrients=10 mM  $NO_3^-$ , 5 mM  $NH_4^+$ , and 5 mM  $K^+$ ) were most effective with the lowest numbers of plants in a vessel (three), and much less significant with more plants per vessel. Resolving this type of information required a factorial experiment for evaluation of main effects and interactive effects, including amount and proportion. A full-factorial experiment can result in an impractically large number of experimental units (e.g., 324 experimental units for four factors at three levels each, one mixture response factor at four levels, prior to replication). Fractional factorial designs pick subsets of the full-factorial to eliminate experimental units but maintain a similar level of precision. The fractional factorial criterion we chose for point selection, called d-optimality, shrunk this full factorial to approximately 17% of the experimental units of the full factorial (55 experimental units including five true replicates) while still allowing a model with linear, quadratic, and second-order interactive terms (a response surface model that can model a quadratic). The difficulties in visualizing these solutions are an obstacle to their adaptation. Moving across the response surface using x-coordinate in interactive fashion is one way to overcome this obstacle.

The platform we have used to optimize the macronutrients demonstrates that further exploration of the nutritive space is practical and will improve plant performance. It is also evident that survival of plantlets in the greenhouse was well correlated with multiplication and uptake of nutrients in the laboratory, specifically Mg, Mn, Ca, and P. The use of low meso- and micronutrient formulation forced depletion scenarios and showed which non-x elements to include in future designs. The small size of the described experiment, enabled by advanced designs and computational aids, allows us to rapidly improve tissue culture medium and protocols. A practitioner with modest resources can customize medium and protocols to more closely fit the needs of their biological system.

## Appendix I

Shockwave file showing multiplication ratio prediction profiler, as shown in static Fig. 2A, B, and C. The reader may explore the response surface model by sliding the x-coordinates and viewing the dynamic adjustment of response values.

#### Appendix II

Shockwave file showing uptake of P, Ca, and Mg compared with optimization of multiplication ratio and greenhouse survival as shown in static Fig. 5A and B. The reader may simultaneously explore several response surface models by sliding the x-coordinates and viewing the dynamic adjustment of response values. The ranges of response values may be adjusted by sliding the y-axis coordinates along the vertical axes.

#### References

- Adelberg J.; Delgado M.; Tomkins J. Spent medium analysis for liquid culture micropropagation of *Hemerocallis* on Murashige and Skoog medium. *In vitro Cell. Devel. Biol. Plant* 46: 95–107; 2010.
- Adelberg J.; Toler J. Comparison of agar, and an agitated thin-film liquid system for micropropagation of ornamental elephant ears. *HortScience* 39: 1008–1092; 2004.
- Anderson W. C. Tissue culture propagation of red and black raspberries, *Rubus idaeus* and *R. occidentalis. Acta Hort.* 112: 13–20; 1980.
- Basile D. V.; Akhtari N.; Durand Y.; Nair M. S. R. Toward the production of artemisinin through tissue culture: determining nutrient-hormone combinations suitable for cell suspension cultures. *In Vitro Cell. Dev. Biol.* 29P: 143–147; 1993.
- Chu C. C.; Wang C. C.; Sun C. S.; Yin K. C.; Chu C. Y.; Bi F. Y. Establishment of an efficient medium for anther culture of rice through comparative experiments on nitrogen sources. *Sci. Sin.* 18: 659–668; 1975.
- Cousins M.; Adelberg J. Short-term and long-term time course studies of turmeric (*Curcuma longa L.*) microrhizome development *in vitro. Plant Cell Tiss. Organ Cult* 93: 283–293; 2008.
- Debergh P. C. Effects of agar brand and concentration on the tissue culture medium. *Physiol. Plant.* 55: 270–276; 1983.
- Driver J. A.; Kuniyuku A. H. *In vitro* propagation of paradox walnut rootstock. *HortSci.* 19: 507–509; 1984.

- Evens T. J.; Niedz R. Are Hofmeister series relevant to modern ionspecific effects research? *Scholarly Research Exchange*. Volume 2008, Article ID 818461 doi:10.3814/2008/818461.
- Gamborg O. L.; Miller R. A.; Ojima K. Nutrient requirements of cell suspension cultures of soybean root cells. *Exp. Cell Res.* 50: 151– 158; 1968.
- Jain P.; Kachhwaha S.; Kothari S. L. Improved micropropagation protocol and enhancement in biomass and chlorophyll content in *Stevia rebaudiana* (Bert.) Bertoni by using high copper levels in the culture medium. *Sci. Hortic.* 119: 315–319; 2009.
- Kao K. N.; Michayluk M. R. Nutritional requirements for growth of *Vicia hajastana* cells and protoplasts at a very low population density in liquid media. *Planta* 126: 105–110; 1975.
- Klimaszewska K.; Bernier-Cardou M.; Cyr D. R.; Sutton B. C. S. Influence of gelling agents on culture medium gel strength, water availability, tissue water potential, and maturation response in embryogenic cultures of *Pinus strobus* L. *In vitro Cell. Dev. Biol. Plant* 36: 279–286; 2000.
- Knudson L. A new nutrient medium for germination of orchid seed. Amer. Orchid Soc. Bull. 15: 214–217; 1946.
- Kretzschmar F. S.; Oliveira C. J. F.; Braga M. R. Differential sugar uptake by cell suspension cultures of *Rudgea jasminoides*, a tropical woody Rubiaceae. *In vitro Cell. Dev. Biol. Plant* 43(1): 71–78; 2007.

- Lloyd G.; McCown B. Commercially-feasible micropropagation of mountain laurel, *Kalmia latifolia*, by use of shoot tip culture. *Intern. Plant Prop. Soc. Proc.* 30: 421–427; 1980.
- Murashige T.; Skoog F. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiol. Plant.* 15: 473–497; 1962.
- Niedz R. P.; Evens T. J. A solution for the cationic+/anionic-balance problem in mineral nutrition studies. *Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc.* 117: 109–111; 2004.
- Niedz R. P.; Evens T. J. A solution to the problem of ion confounding in experimental biology. *Nat. Meth.* 3: 417; 2006.
- Niedz R. P.; Evens T. J. The effects of nitrogen and potassium nutrition on the growth of nonembryogenic and embryogenic tissue of sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck). *BMC Plant Biol.* 8: 126; 2008.
- Nitsch J. P.; Nitsch C. Haploid plants from pollen grains. *Science* 163: 85–87; 1969.
- Ramage C. M.; Williams R. R. Mineral nutrition and plant morphogenesis. In vitro Cell. Dev. Biol. Plant 38: 116–124; 2002.
- Scagel C. F.; Bi G.; Fuchigami L. H.; Regan P. Nitrogen availability alters mineral nutrient uptake and demand in container—grown deciduous and evergreen rhododendron. J. Environ. Hort. 26: 177–187; 2008.
- Vacin E. F.; Went F. W. Some pH changes in nutrient solutions. *Bot. Gaz.* 110: 605–613; 1949.