

In silico and in vitro evaluation of silibinin: a promising anti‑Chikungunya agent

Sudip Kumar Dutta1 · Siddhartha Sengupta1 · Anusri Tripathi[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8720-4342)

Received: 9 November 2021 / Accepted: 16 March 2022 / Published online: 5 April 2022 / Editor: Tetsuji Okamoto © The Society for In Vitro Biology 2022

Abstract

Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) infection and subsequent high patient morbidity is a global threat. The present study aimed to identify the potent antiviral agent against Chikungunya virus, with minimum in vitro cytotoxicity. CHIKV nsP4 3D structure was determined using the I-TASSER server followed by its refinement and pocket determination. Furthermore, high-throughput molecular docking was employed to identify candidate CHIKV nsP4 inhibitors in a library containing 214 compounds. The top ranked compound was evaluated further with various assays, including cytotoxicity, antiviral activity, time of drug addition, viral entry attachment, and microneutralization assays. High-throughput computational screening indicated silibinin to have the best interaction with CHIKV nsP4 protein, immature and mature glycoproteins with highest negative free binding energy, − 5.24 to − 5.86 kcal/ mol, and the lowest inhibitory constant, 50.47 to 143.2 µM. Further in vitro analysis demonstrated silibinin could exhibit statistically significant (*p* < 0.05) dose-dependent anti-CHIKV activity within 12.5–100-µM concentrations with CC_{50} as 50.90 µM. In total, 50 µM silibinin interfered with both CHIKV attachment (75%) and entry (82%) to Vero cells. Time of addition assay revealed silibinin interfered with late phase of the CHIKV replication cycle. Microneutralization assay revealed that silibinin could inhibit clearing of 50% Vero cell monolayer caused by CHIKV-induced CPE at a minimum dose of 25 µM. These data indicated silibinin to be a promising candidate drug against CHIKV infection.

Keywords Chikungunya virus · Silibinin · Antiviral agents · Molecular docking · In vitro

Introduction

Reemergence of Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) infection and its rapid spread across the globe and high patient morbidity demarked it as a global threat (Dutta *et al.* [2018;](#page-11-0) Sengupta *et al*. [2020](#page-11-1)). It is a mosquito-borne virus belonging to the genus alphavirus of *Togaviridae* family. Two-thirds of 11.7-kb CHIKV genome encodes nonstructural proteins

 \boxtimes Anusri Tripathi anusri.stm@gmail.com

> Sudip Kumar Dutta biotecsudip@gmail.com

Siddhartha Sengupta sid.sengupta22@gmail.com (nsP1, nsP2, nsP3, nsP4): 5′ capping and subgenomic RNA synthesis, helicase, phosphatase, and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), respectively. The nsP4 is one of the independent functional modules whose relative abundance determines efficiency of viral RNA replication. Lack of RdRp in humans and other animals makes these polymerases an attractive antiviral target (Lello *et al*. [2021](#page-11-2)). The remaining one-third of viral genome codes for structural proteins (C, E3, E2, 6K, E1) involved in viral particle assembly and budding (Voss *et al*. [2010](#page-12-0)). Primarily, viral envelope complex is made up of E1 and E2 proteins which dissociate due to acidic environment of endosome, resulting in breakdown of heterodimer and release of E1 homotrimer. E2 glycoprotein is responsible for receptor binding, whereas E1 glycoprotein via its fusion peptide interacts with targeted cellular membrane leading to release of nucleocapsid into host cell cytoplasm. E3 plays an important role by protecting E2-E1 heterodimer from premature fusion with cellular membrane and also mediates correct folding of pE2. Overall,

¹ Department of Biochemistry and Medical Biotechnology, Calcutta School of Tropical Medicine, 108, C.R. Avenue, Kolkata 700073, West Bengal, India

glycoproteins play a critical role in both early and late stages of CHIKV infection.

Currently, no FDA-approved specifc drug/vaccine is available for efective cure of CHIKV infection, a highpriority disease declared by National Institute of Health, USA (Akahata *et al*. [2010\)](#page-10-0). CHIKV infection is primarily controlled by reducing viral transmission to the host by using protective measures against mosquito bites (Cunha and Trinta [2017\)](#page-11-3). Primarily, treatment is based on analgesics, antipyretics, and anti-infammatory drugs for treatment of symptoms associated with CHIKV infection, viz., non-steroidal anti-infammatory drugs, which might have side effects. Due to the explosive nature of recent CHIKV epidemics across the globe, development of potent anti-CHIKV drug is urgently needed (Wahid *et al*. [2017](#page-12-1)). Among broad-spectrum antiviral compounds, ribavirin, arbidol, harringtonine, silymarin, and suramin showed potent anti-CHIKV effect in vitro (Parashar and Cherian [2014\)](#page-11-4). Plants are sources of natural compounds with antiviral properties, which can protect the human body against pathogens and have minimal toxicity to host cells (Oliveira *et al*. [2017](#page-11-5)). Most of them are readily absorbed in the bloodstream and reach concentrations that have potential to exert efects in vivo. Previous studies have suggested antiviral activity of andrographolide, arbidol, baicalin, benzofuran, cephalotoxin, chloroquine, coumarin, fluorouracil, harringtonine, kaempferol, luteolin, pyropropyridin, quercetin, and silymarin by inhibiting RdRp of diferent viruses (Ahmed-Belkacem *et al*. [2010](#page-10-1), [2014](#page-10-2); Alam *et al*. [2012;](#page-10-3) Anusuya and Gromiha [2017](#page-11-6); Subudhi *et al*. [2018\)](#page-11-7).

The present study was aimed to identify compound(s) of these groups with potent anti-CHIKV activity and preferably with less cytotoxicity in vitro. High-throughput virtual screening of similar compounds, retrieved from PubChem database, followed by testing of in vitro anti-CHIKV activity of the top-ranked compound was performed for this purpose.

Materials and methods

Retrieval of target sequence of nsP4 and crystal structure of glycoprotein complex The 3D structure CHIKV nsP4 protein was determined based on NCBI Reference Sequence (RefSeq) with GenBank accession ID: NP_690588 (CHIKV reference sequence for nonstructural polyprotein), using the I-TASSER server (Ann Arbor, MI). The crystal structures of immature and mature envelope glycoprotein complexes (E3-E2-E1) of CHIKV were retrieved from Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics Protein Data Bank (RCSB PDB) (pdb fle: 3N40 and 3N42 respectively).

Primary and secondary structure analyses of nsP4 Physiochemical properties of CHIKV nsP4 (NP_690588):

theoretical isoelectric point (pI), molecular weight (MW), molecular formula (MF), total number of positive $(+R)$ and negative (-R) residues, instability index (II), extinction coefficient (EC), aliphatic index (AI), and grand average hydropathy (GRAVY) were analyzed using Expasy's ProtParam server to validate the nature of nsP4 protein (Artimo *et al*. [2012](#page-11-8)). The secondary structural feature of nsP4 protein was studied using the PSIPRED server and its disulfde connectivity was predicted with the help of the DiANNA tool to understand proper protein stability and folding (Ferrè and Clote [2006;](#page-11-9) Buchan *et al*. [2013\)](#page-11-10).

Modeling, structure refinement, and pocket identifica‑ tion Ab initio modeling approach via the I-TASSER Web server was used to generate a reliable 3D model of nsP4 with proper folding conformations and with their confdence scores (Yang and Zhang [2015\)](#page-12-2). Furthermore, stereo-chemical parameters, overall folding, and error over localized regions of predicted models were evaluated by VARIFY 3D and ERRAT of Structural Analytical and Verifcation Server (SAVES) ([https://servicesn.mbi.ucla.](https://servicesn.mbi.ucla.edu/SAVES/) [edu/SAVES/\)](https://servicesn.mbi.ucla.edu/SAVES/). The Ramachandran plot for each predicted model was constructed using the PROCHECK Web server. The best model was then refned using the ModRefner server. Finally, the MetaPocket server was used to locate functionally important amino acid residues of predicted nsP4 model and crystal structure of both mature and immature envelope glycoprotein complexes of CHIKV (3N40; 3N42).

Virtual library construction Previous reports suggested plantderived compounds, viz., andrographolide, arbidol, baicalin, cephalotoxin, chloroquine, coumarin, fuorouracil, harringtonine, kaempferol, luteolin, pyropropyridin, quercetin, silymarin, and ribavirin, to have antiviral activity against HIV, HCV, and infuenza viruses (Ahmed-Belkacem *et al*. [2010,](#page-10-1) [2014](#page-10-2); Alam *et al*. [2012;](#page-10-3) Anusuya and Gromiha [2017](#page-11-6); Subudhi *et al*[.2018](#page-11-7)). Thus, a virtual library of compounds, having structural similarity with the abovementioned chemical groups, was constructed using PubChem similarity search with Tanimoto cutoff of 0.95. Similarity search was further refined on the basis of "Lipinski's rule of fve" and absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) analysis. The sdf fle of the compound was retrieved from PubChem database [\(https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/\)](https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and converted to mol2 format using OPEN BABEL software (O'Boyle *et al*. [2011](#page-11-11)).

Small molecular docking and pharmacophore development Preliminary virtual screening of compounds with nsP4 was done using the iGEMDOCK v.2 program, following the below mentioned specifcations: population size, 200; number of generations, 70; and number of solutions, 3. After analyzing the interaction profles, compounds were ranked on the basis of their binding energy (electrostatic force of attraction, hydrogen bond, and Van der Waals interaction) (Hsu *et al*. [2011\)](#page-11-12).

Top ten compounds were further docked using Autodock tools 1.5.6rc3 for refnement of compounds using a higher population size, 2,500,000; higher number of generations, 100; and higher number of solutions, 100 (Trott and Olson [2010](#page-11-13)). The nsP4 model was energy minimized. The model was placed within a grid box $(48\text{Å} \times 54\text{Å} \times 58\text{Å})$ with grid space of 0.925Å. One hundred runs were generated using Autodock 4.2 Lamarckian genetic algorithm to evaluate the binding energy between compounds and nsP4. Finally, the lowest energy pose of each compound, interacting with nsP4, was further visualized using LigPlot (Laskowski and Swindells [2011\)](#page-11-14).

CHIKV glycoprotein is known to exist in two forms: immature glycoprotein contains furin susceptible peptide, which is absent in its mature form, might affect ligand protein interactions. Therefore, the top-ranked autodocked compound was also docked with X-ray crystal structures of immature (3N40) and mature (3N42) CHIKV glycoproteins using the aforesaid program, with grid boxes, dimensions, and positions of which are mentioned in Table S1. The lowest energy pose of the compound interacting with CHIKV glycoproteins was further visualized using LigPlot (Laskowski and Swindells [2011](#page-11-14)).

Finally, toxicity of the top ranked ligand was predicted using the PROTOX Web server (Drwal *et al*. [2014\)](#page-11-15).

Compound preparation Top-ranked compound of above docking analysis and ribavirin (catalog # R9644), a wellknown CHIKV inhibitor, was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corporation. In total, 50 mM stock solution of the compound was prepared using dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), whereas ribavirin was dissolved in double-distilled Millipore water and stored at −20°C for further use. The working solutions of each compound were prepared by twofold serial dilution in Dulbecco's modifed Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Gibco-BRL, Waltham, MA), followed by syringe filtration (pore size $0.2 \mu m$). DMSO concentration in working solutions was kept less than 0.1%.

Cells and virus C6/36 (*Aedes albopictus* mosquito) and Vero (African green monkey kidney) cell lines, purchased from National Centre for Cell Science, Pune, India, were used in this study. Both cell lines were maintained and propagated in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco-BRL) and penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco-BRL). Cultured C6/36 and Vero cells were incubated at 28°C and 37° C, respectively, in 5% CO₂ humidified incubator. After obtaining approval from the Clinical research ethical board of Calcutta School of Tropical Medicine, written consent was received from the patient, prior to participation in the study. In total, 100 µl of patient serum containing CHIKV, recovered from a patient during 2011 Eastern Indian outbreak [ECSA strain (GenBank ID: KJ679577)], was used as CHIKV stock for infecting C6/36 cell line (Dutta *et al*. [2018](#page-11-0)). At the time of CHIKV propagation, FBS concentration was reduced to 2%. To increase CHIKV copy number, cells were harvested after the seventh day post-infection, when full cytopathic effect (CPE) was visible.

CHIKV RNA was extracted from C6/36 cell supernatant using Trizol reagent according to manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA). CHIKV titer was determined using Chikungunya Non structural protein 2 (nsp2) genesig Standard Kit according to manufacturer's protocol (PrimerDesign, UK). In vitro cytotoxicity of the top-ranked compound, its anti-CHIKV activity, and its inhibition towards CHIKV-mediated cytopathicity, virus entry, and attachment were detected on Vero cell lines.

In vitro cytotoxicity assay In vitro cytotoxicity of top-ranked compound and ribavirin on Vero cells was determined using 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay following manufacturer's instructions (HiMedia, Mumbai, India). Briefly, $10⁴$ Vero cells were seeded in a 96-well cell culture plate and treated with twofold serial dilutions of both the compounds (200–1.5μM) in triplicate along with a negative control (DMEM with 0.1% DMSO). The plate was incubated in a humidifed incubator at 37°C with 5% $CO₂$ for 48 h. After 48 h post-incubation, cells were treated with 10% MTT solution and were incubated in a humidified incubator at 37° C with 5% CO₂ for 4 h. The plate was then observed under a microscope for the presence of formazan crystals, which was dissolved in 100 µl of solubilization solution. Finally, absorbance of each well was detected at 570-nm wavelength with a reference wavelength higher than 650 nm using iMark™ Microplate Absorbance Reader (BioRad, Hercules, CA). Cell viability percentage was determined using:

100 − (Absorbance of treated cells − Absorbance of media

∕ Absorbance of untreated cells − Absorbance of media) × 100

Cytotoxic concentrations $(CC₅₀)$ of the top-ranked compound and ribavirin were calculated using GraphPad Prism 6.0 software.

Antiviral activity assay Antiviral activity of the top-ranked compound and ribavirin was evaluated using the qRT-PCR method. Briefly, $10⁵$ Vero cells were seeded in a 24-well cell culture plate and were cultured in a humidifed incubator at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 24 h (Cruz *et al.* [2013](#page-11-16)). Cells were infected with CHIKV culture supernatant with a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1 and incubated at 37°C with 5% $CO₂$ for 2 h. After viral incubation, supernatant containing unabsorbed virus was removed and cell monolayer was

washed with $1\times$ phosphate buffer saline (PBS) to remove unabsorbed virus partials. Subsequently, top-ranked compound/ribavirin (100–3.12 μM) were twofold serially diluted in complete DMEM and added to respective wells and incubated in a humidified incubator at 37° C with 5% CO₂ for 48 h. Cells were harvested after 48 h post infection (hpi), followed by RNA extraction using Trizol reagent according to manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen). Absolute quantifcation of CHIKV was done using Chikungunya nsp2 genesig Standard Kit according to manufacturer's protocol (PrimerDesign, Chandler's Ford, UK), in Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR (ABI, San Francisco, CA), and each sample was analyzed in triplicate.

Viral attachment assay To understand whether top-ranked compound could inhibit CHIKV attachment to host cell, viral attachment assay was performed (Moghaddam *et al*. [2014\)](#page-11-17). Briefy, Vero cells were seeded at a concentration of 10^5 cells per well in a 24-well cell culture plate for 24 h. Twofold serially diluted top-ranked compound (100–3.12 μM) along with CHIKV-containing cell supernatant (MOI of 1) was added to respective wells and was incubated at 4°C for 2 h. After 2 hpi, virus-containing media were removed and cells were washed with $1 \times PBS$. The wells were replenished with new complete DMEM and incubated under humidified condition at 37° C with 5% CO₂ for 48 h. After 48 hpi, absolute quantifcation of CHIKV RNA within Vero cells was done using Chikungunya nsp2 genesig Standard Kit according to manufacturer's protocol (PrimerDesign). Cellular attachment was determined by percentage viral load reduction between compound treated and untreated groups. Results were obtained from at least three independent experiments.

Viral entry assay To evaluate efficiency of top-ranked compound to prevent subsequent CHIKV infection, viral entry assay was carried out (Moghaddam *et al*. [2014\)](#page-11-17). Briefly, Vero cells were seeded at concentration of $10⁵$ cells per well in a 24-well cell culture plate for 24 h. Twofold serially diluted top-ranked compound $(100-3.12 \mu M)$ along with CHIKV-containing cell supernatant (MOI:1) was added to respective wells and was incubated under humidified condition at 37°C with 5% CO_2 for 2 h. After 2 hpi, virus-containing media were removed and cells were washed with ice-cold $1 \times PBS$. Wells were replenished with new complete DMEM and incubated under humidifed condition at 37 \degree C with 5% CO₂ for 48 h. After 48 hpi, absolute quantifcation of CHIKV RNA within Vero cells was done using Chikungunya nsp2 Genesig Standard Kit according to manufacturer's protocol (PrimerDesign). Cellular entry was determined by percentage viral load reduction between compound treated and untreated groups. Results were obtained from at least three independent experiments.

Time of drug addition assay Optimal time of CHIKV inhibition by top-ranked compound was determined by performing time of drug addition assay (Kaur *et al*. [2013](#page-11-18)). Briefy, Vero cells were seeded at a concentration of $10⁵$ cells per well in a 24-well cell culture plate for 24 h. For pretreatment assay, Vero cells were treated with top-ranked compound for 2 h before infection with CHIKV (MOI: 1). For co-treatment assay, Vero cells were treated with top-ranked compound and CHIKV (MOI: 1) at the same time. For post-treatment assay, Vero cells were infected with CHIKV and treated with top-ranked compound after 2 and 4 h of infection, respectively. For virus control, CHIKV along with complete DMEM with 0.1% DMSO was used. Plate was then incubated under humidified condition at 37° C with 5% CO₂ for 48 h. After 48 hpi, absolute quantifcation of CHIKV RNA within Vero cells was done using Chikungunya nsp2 Genesig Standard Kit according to manufacturer's protocol (PrimerDesign).

Microneutralization assay To evaluate protection conferred by the top-ranked compound against CHIKV induced CPE, microneutralization assay was carried out based on a previously described method (Sui *et al*. [2004](#page-11-19); Cruz *et al*. [2013](#page-11-16)). Briefly, 10^4 Vero cells per well were seeded in a 96-well cell culture plate and were incubated in a humidifed incubator at 37° C with 5% CO₂ for 24 h. After 24 h incubation, twofold serially diluted top-ranked compound (100 μ M, 50 μ M, 25 μM, 12.5 μM, 6.25 μM, 3.12 μM, and 1.5 μM) was added to respective wells along with CHIKV culture supernatant at MOI of 1 and incubated in a humidifed incubator at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 2 h. The supernatant containing unbounded virus was removed and was replaced with new complete DMEM containing same amount of top-ranked compound, followed by incubation under a humidifed condition at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 48 h. After 48 hpi, cells were fixed with 10% formaldehyde and stained using 0.1% crystal violet solution to visualize CHIKV-induced CPE. Optical density at 570 nm was measured using an EPOCH Microplate spectral photometer. Concentration that achieved 50% of the maximal efect (end point of microneutralization: EC50) was calculated using GraphPad Prism Version 6.0. The assay was performed in quadruplicate. Selectivity index $(SI = CC50/$ EC50) value was calculated.

Results

No crystal structure of CHIKV nsP4 protein is available in RCSB PBD. Therefore, in this study, CHIKV nsP4 3D structure was predicted based on CHIKV reference sequence NP_690588, available in GenBank database. To validate the nature of CHIKV nsP4 protein, primary structural information predicted using the Expasy's

Figure 1. Predicted 3D structure, Ramachandran plot, and secondary structural information of nsP4 protein (model 1). (*a*) nsP4 3D secondary structure generated using I-TASSER, (*b*) Ramachandran plot of the 3D model of predicted nsP4, and (*c*) secondary structural information.

ProtParam server that revealed the protein with molecular formula $C_{2212}H_{3523}N_{603}O_{657}S_{24}$ had the following properties: molecular weight (MW), 49,846.39 Dalton; isoelectric point (PI), 6.04; instability index (II), 45.11; average antigenicity (AI), 98.85; and negative grand average of hydro-pathicity (GRAVY), -0.050 (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)). Secondary structure of nsP4, predicted using the PSIPRED Web server, showed that it contained 16 helices, 30 coils, and 12 beta sheets. Since disulfde bonds infuence protein stability and folding, disulfde bonds were predicted using the Diaana server, which showed CHIKV nsp4 to contain five disulfide bonds between cysteines at position 8-174, 57-264, 77-370, 83-356, and 310-333.

Table 1. Five best template used by I-TASSER for modeling

Rank	PDB Hit	Iden1 ^a	$Iden2^b$	Cov ^c	Norm. Z-score ^d
	4nyzA	0.11	0.24	0.94	1.18
2	3qidA	0.13	0.21	0.92	2.54
3	3n6lA	0.15	0.19	0.92	1.13
$\overline{4}$	3uqsA	0.11	0.20	0.91	3.10
5	3hkwA	0.11	0.24	0.94	2.50

Five top templates were selected based on alignments report from diferent threading programs (viz., MUSTER, FFAS-3D, SPARKS-X, HHSEARCH2, HHSEARCH I, Nef-PPAS, HHSEARCH, pGen-THREADER, wdPPAS, cdPPAS)

a Ident1 is the percentage sequence identity of the templates in the threading aligned region with the query sequence

^bIdent2 is the percentage sequence identity of the whole template chains with query sequence

c Cov represents the coverage of the threading alignment and is equal to the number of aligned residues divided by the length of query protein

d Norm. *Z*-score is the normalized *Z*-score of the threading alignments. Alignment with a normalized *Z*-score > 1 mean a good alignment and vice versa

To obtain a good-quality model of nsP4, its three-dimensional structure was predicted based on an ab initio concept. I-TASSER generated three models of NP_690588 along with scoring values (viz., *C*-score) based on the five best matched templates (Table [1](#page-4-1) and [2](#page-4-2)). Furthermore, stereo-chemical properties of three models were verifed using PROCHEK Web server and Ramachandran plots were obtained based on *φ* and *ψ* angles of amino acids. Comparing stereo-chemical parameters and based on Ramachandran plot, Model-1 was proved to be of good quality and with proper folded conformation (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)). MetaPocket 2.0 Web server identifed 214 ligand interacting amino acid residues, among which 25 residues were located in the catalytic domain (215-330 amino acid) of nsP4.

To identify potent anti-CHIKV compound, PubChem similarity search was performed to generate a virtual library of 214 compounds from diferent chemical groups (andrographolide, 46; arbidol, 7; baicalin, 4; cephalotoxin, 17; chloroquine, 39; coumarin, 30; fuorouracil, 7; harringtonine, 3; kaempferol, 8; luteolin, 4; pyropropyridin, 2; quercetin, 13; silymarin, 33; and ribavirin, 1), based on "Lipinski's rule of fve" and ADME analysis (Table S2).

Table 2. Evaluation of structural superiority of three nsP4 models generated by I-TASSER

	Model-1	Model-2	Model-3
Core region	62.8%	68.2%	68.2%
Allowed region	29.1%	23.2%	22.5%
Generous region	5.6%	4.6%	6.4%
Disallowed region	2.4%	3.9%	2.9%
C-Score	-1.15	-1.0	-1.36
Z-Score	-6.73	-6.39	-7.59
VERIFY 3D	73.94%	64.14%	63.92%
Errat	82.40%	88.66%	78.68%

				Autodock analysis		
Rank	Compound	Molecular	Predicted K _i	Binding		Interacting residues
		weight	(μM)	energy	H-Bond	Hydrophobic
1.	н о H CID 16211710	(g/mol) 482.4	117.06	(Kcal/mol) -5.36	Lys141, Gln160, Phe ₂₈₃	Interaction Ile96, Ile162, Ser280, Asp197, Met282, Thr285, Leu286, Phe196, Pro95
$\overline{2}$.	CID 27506341	482.4	178.2	-5.11	Phe283, Asp197, Ala93	Met282, Ser94, Ile96, Pro95, Ile162, Ala164, Gly281, Gln163
3.	CID 24086320	482.4	183.38	-5.10	Leu286 Asp197 Gln163	Gly281, Met282, Ser280, Thr285, Phe196, Ala93, Ser94, Ile96, Phe283, Ala164, Ile162
4.		482.4	193.0	-5.07	Leu286 Met282 Thr285 Thr99	Leu284, Pro95, Phe196, Ile96, Phe283, Pro167, Gln163, Gly281, Ala164, Ser280
	CID 313326					
5.	CID 6320507	482.4	211.18	-5.01	Gln163 Asp197 Ile96	Ile162, Gly281, Met282, Phe196, Ser94, Pro95, Phe283, Ala164
6.						
	CID 51136398	446.4	317.4	-4.77	Asp197	Ser94, Leu286, Pro95, Phe283, Met282, Thr285, Ser280, Ala164, Gln163, Phe195
7.	H_{α} o CID 57691821	302.2	340.33	-4.73	Arg406, Glu201, Ala200, Arg371	Asp402, Ser199, Asp197, Met198, Ile314, Phe355
8.	H _g	473.5	386.15	-4.66	Lys141	Ala164, Phe283, Met282, Thr285, Gln163, Ile162, Ser280

Table 3. List of the top ten compounds having the best interaction profle with nsP4: rank (based on binding energy), 2D structure, molecular mass, inhibition constant, binding energy, and interacting residues

Protein-compound interaction profiles, generated after iGEMDOCK, were ranked based on binding energy, ranging from 0 to −25.3 kcal/mol. None of the compounds showed electrostatic force of attraction. Ribavirin was found to be top ranked with binding energy: −25.3 kcal/mol. Top ten compounds with the highest negative free binding energy,

Table 3. (continued)

ranging from −25.3 to −19.8 kcal/mol, were selected for further analysis. For further refnement of iGEMDOCK data, top ten compounds were again docked with nsP4 using Autodock Vina software and their interaction profles were viewed using LIGPLOT software (Table [3\)](#page-5-0). Ligand with PubChem ID CID 16211710 (silibinin) showed the highest negative binding energy, −5.36 kcal/mol, and the lowest inhibition constant (Ki), 117.06 µM, while ribavirin (CID_37542), a well-known antiviral drug, showed binding energy −3.66 kcal/mol and Ki 2.08 mM (Fig. [2](#page-7-0)). Silibinin was found to form a hydrogen bond with Phe283 and hydrophobic interactions with residues: Ser280, Met282, Thr285, and Leu286, located within nsP4 catalytic domain, whereas none of the nsP4 catalytic domain residues interacted with ribavirin. Predicted toxicity of silibinin, using the ProTox Web server, was 2000mg/kg in a rodent model.

MTT assay was used to determine in vitro cytotoxicity of silibinin and ribavirin (Sigma-Aldrich). The CC_{50} of silibinin and ribavirin on Vero cells were 50.90 µM and 272.1 μ M, respectively (Fig. [3\)](#page-8-0). Hence, antiviral activity of silibinin was performed at concentrations less than CC_{50} . The highest 0.1%DMSO was used as solvent of silibinin with no cytotoxic effect. Silibinin exhibited statistically signifcant (*p*<0.05) dose-dependent anti-CHIKV activity within $6.25-100$ -µM concentrations (30.98 to 82.03% of inhibition); similar statistically significant $(p<0.05)$ dosedependent inhibition was observed in the case of ribavirin $(6.25-100\mu M$ concentrations) with 28.10 to 64.47% inhibition (Fig. 4*[a](#page-8-1)*). Therapeutic index of silibinin was calculated as follows:

$$
The rapid incidence $\frac{CC50}{ED50} = \frac{50.90 \mu \text{M}}{20 \mu \text{M}} = 2.545$
$$

Therapeutic index of silibinin is within the same range of drugs, viz., amphetamine, dextroamphetamine, and digoxin, currently used in clinical practice (Saganuwan [2020](#page-11-20)).

Since virus envelope protein plays an important role in fusion process, therefore docking analysis was conducted using diferent grid co-ordinates to understand whether silibinin could interact with both mature and immature CHIKV glycol-proteins, responsible for receptor binding and membrane fusion during early stage of infection. Silibinin exhibited both hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interactions with diferent amino acids of immature (grid-1: binding energy -5.86 kcal/mol, K_i 50.47 µM; grid-2: binding energy -5.42 kcal/mol, K_i 105.8 µM) and mature (grid-1: binding energy -5.82 kcal/mol, K_i 54.10 µM; grid-2: binding energy -5.24 kcal/mol, K_i 143.2 µM) glycoprotein as described in Fig. [5.](#page-9-0) Furthermore, results obtained from docking study were validated using in vitro binding and attachment assay. Results from the in vitro study clearly depicted silibinin at concentration 50 µM could inhibit maximum 75% CHIKV attachment to Vero cells, whereas the same concentration could prevent 82% viral entry within host cells (Fig. 4*[b,c](#page-8-1)*. Time of addition assay was conducted to fnd out the maximum inhibition activity of silibinin at early or late phase of CHIKV infection. Results from this assay revealed that silibinin exerted its antiviral efect against CHIKV infection within 0–2 hpi, with maximum anti-CHIKV activity at 2 hpi (Fig. [4](#page-8-1)*d*). Endpoint of microneutralization (EC50) assay was

Figure 2. Molecular docking of CHIKV nsP4 protein with silibinin (CID_16211710) and ribavirin (CID_37542). (*a*) Docking solution of CID_16211710 in the catalytic domain of nsP4. (*b*) 2D representation of CID_16211710 and nsP4 interaction as analyzed using LIGPLOT.

(*c*) Docking solution of CID_37542 in the catalytic domain of nsP4. (*d*) 2D representation of CID_37542 and nsP4 interaction as analyzed using LIGPLOT.

defned as the dilution at which minimum 50% of testing cells are not protected from infection (Sui *et al*. [2004\)](#page-11-19). This assay revealed that silibinin could inhibit clearing of 50% cell monolayer caused by CHIKV-induced CPE at minimum dose of 25 µM (Fig 6*[a](#page-10-4)* and *[b](#page-10-4)*). Thus, SI value of silibinin was 50.90 μ M/25 μ M = 2.036.

Figure 3. Cytotoxicity of silibinin and ribavirin against Vero cells (in vitro cytotoxicity assay). $10⁴$ Vero cells were incubated with twofold serial dilutions of both the compounds $(200-1.5 \mu M)$ in triplicate. Cell viability was measured after 48 h.

Discussion

Though explosive CHIKV epidemics have been reported worldwide, treatments of infected patients have been carried out using broad-spectrum antivirals only, due to the lack of efective anti-Chikungunya drugs (Akahata *et al*. [2010\)](#page-10-0). Ribavirin (200 mg twice for 7 d) was known to reduce CHIKV symptoms, though this dose level was considered to be genotoxic and cytotoxic (Ravichandran and Manian [2008](#page-11-21)). Thus, identifcation of compound(s) with potent anti-CHIKV activity is urgently needed. In the present study, 214 bioactive compounds were in silico screened against CHIKV nsP4 protein to identify the lead compound with the least binding energy—which was further validated by in vitro studies.

Since X-ray crystallographic/NMR structure of CHIKV nsP4 protein was unavailable, ab initio modeling was performed to determine 3D structure of CHIKV nsP4 protein, followed by its stereo-chemical quality checking and its

Figure 4. (*a*) Dose-dependent inhibition of CHIKV infectivity using silibinin (Sil) and ribavirin (Riv) (antiviral activity assay). Vero cells were infected with CHIKV for 2 h. Silibinin/ribavirin (100–3.12 μM) were added and incubated for 48 h. Each sample was analyzed in triplicates. Antiviral activity was evaluated using qRT-PCR method. (*b*) Efect of diferent concentrations of silibinin on CHIKV attachment to Vero cells (viral attachment assay). Vero cells were incubated with silibinin (100–3.12 μ M) along with CHIKV and incubated at 4°C for 2 h. After 48 hpi, absolute quantifcation of CHIKV RNA was done. Results were obtained from three independent experiments. (*c*) Efect of diferent concentration of silibinin on CHIKV entry to Vero cells (viral entry assay). Vero cells were incubated with silib-

inin (100–3.12 μM) along with CHIKV and incubated at 37°C for 2 h. After 48 hpi, absolute quantifcation of CHIKV RNA was done. Results were obtained from three independent experiments. (*d*) Inhibition pattern of CHIKV infection by addition of silibinin at diferent time points (time of drug addition assay). Pretreatment assay: Vero cells were treated with silibinin for 2 h before infection with CHIKV. Co-treatment assay: Vero cells were treated with silibinin and CHIKV at the same time. Post-treatment assay: Vero cells were infected with CHIKV and then treated with silibinin after 2 and 4 h of infection, respectively. All were incubated at 37°C for 48 h. After 48 hpi, absolute quantifcation of CHIKV RNA within Vero cells was done.

Figure 5. Molecular docking of immature (3N40) and mature (3N42) CHIKV glycoprotein with silibinin (CID_16211710) using Autodock 4.2. (*a*) Docking solution of CID_16211710 with 3N40 considering grid-1. (*b*) Interaction analyzed between CID_16211710 and 3N40 within grid-1, using LIGPLOT showing hydrogen bond interactions of CID_16211710 with E1 residues: Tyr233, His230, Ser238, Thr53, Tyr51, and hydrophobic interactions with E1 residues: Val231, Gln235, Pro237, Lys52, and E3 His191 with binding energy:−5.86 kcal/mol and Ki : 50.47 µM. (*c*) Docking solution of CID_16211710 with 3N40 considering grid-2. (*d*) Interaction analyzed between CID_16211710 and 3N40 within grid-2, using LIG-PLOT, showing hydrogen bond interaction of CID_16211710 with E1 residues Gly274, Glu366; and hydrophobic interactions with El residues: Val273, Ala272, Thrl0, Phe257; E2 residues: Glu388, Gly365, Glu367 with binding energy−5.42 kcal/mol and Ki:

docking with 214 compounds. High-throughput screening identifed silibinin to have the best nsP4 interaction profle with the highest negative free binding energy and lowest K_i—thus making it an attractive candidate for anti-CHIKV activity. Previous in silico analysis of chikungunya nsP4 protein by Ghildiyal *et al*. identifed two pockets in its catalytic core (Ghildiyal *et al*. [2019](#page-11-22)). The top ten compounds identifed in the current study interacted with one and six amino acids of pocket 1 and pocket 2, respectively. Silibinin formed hydrogen bond with amino acid at position 283 (Pocket 2) and demonstrated hydrophobic interactions with those at 280, 282, 285, and 286 positions within the same pocket. Similarly, silibinin exhibited hydrophobic interaction with Pro95 and Ser94 allosteric sites within the palm domain of RdRp and hydrogen bonded with Lys141 at the core cleft of nsP4 (Kumar *et al*. [2012\)](#page-11-23). Silibinin, a major component of silymarin, has been previously reported to inhibit Orthohepadnavirus (e.g., HBV), Hepacivirus (e.g., HCV), and Lentivirus (e.g., HIV) replication (McClure *et al*. [2012](#page-11-24); Umetsu *et al*. [2018\)](#page-11-25). In vitro analysis of the present study identifed anti-CHIKV activity of silibinin. Silibinin showed the

 $\bigcircled{2}$ Springer

105.8 µM. (*e*) Docking solution of CID_16211710 with 3N42 considering grid-1. (*f*) Interaction analyzed between CID_16211710 and 3N42 within grid-I, using LIGPLOT, showing hydrogen bond interaction of the compound with El residues: Val231, Tyr51; E2 residue: Ile37 and hydrophobic interaction with El residues: His230, Pro232, Tyr233; E2 residue: Arg36, Glu35, Lys52, Pro240, Leu24l with binding energy – 5.82 kcal/mol and K_i: 54.10 μM. (*g*) Docking solution of CID_16211710 with 3N42 considering grid-2. (*h*) Interaction analyzed between CID_16211710 and 3N42 within grid-2, using LIGPLOT, showing hydrogen bond interaction with El residues: Asp292, Ala342, Val28, Gln138; and hydrophobic interaction with El residues: Lys325, Gly326, Glu345, Lys327, Thr288, Ala329, Glu343, Met27 with binding energy – 5.24 kcal/mol and K_i: 143.2 µM. (3N40-El region: colored *yellow*; E2 region colored *gray*; E3 region colored *red*).

lowest cytotoxicity against Vero cells at CC_{50} : 50.90 µM. It significantly $(p<0.05)$ reduced more than 80% of CHIKV replication between concentrations of 12.5 and 100 µM—as determined by the qRT-PCR method. In total, 50 µM silibinin was below the toxicity range of silibinin as predicted by the ProTox Web server for rodents. A docking study revealed more favorable binding of silibinin with diferent amino acid residues of CHIKV glycoprotein responsible for viral-host interactions than CHIKV nsP4 RdRp protein (Fig. [5\)](#page-9-0). Silibinin (50 μ M) showed to inhibit both CHIKV entry and attachment to Vero cells, indicating that silibinin might have an ability to bind with the viral envelope. This has been validated by the docking study, suggesting interaction of silibinin with His230 of CHIKV E1, the amino acid previously known to play an important role in membrane fusion, thereby restricting its interaction with targeted cellular membrane and inhibition in release of nucleocapsid into host cell cytoplasm. The results from time of addition assay suggested that silibinin might interfere with late phase (0–2 hpi) of the CHIKV replication cycle (Fig. [4](#page-8-1)). EC50 of silibinin indicated selectivity index of this compound was

better than that of ribavirin, a common anti-viral drug used to treat viral hemorrhagic fever, as mentioned by previous studies (Kitaoka *et al*. [1986\)](#page-11-26). Previous reports suggested favonoids, viz., baicalein, quercetagetin, and hesperetin to inhibit early stage of CHIKV replication, while fisetin, naringenin, and silymarin to inhibit early post entry stages of CHIKV replication in vitro. Wada Y *et al*. have previously demonstrated inhibition of CHIKV infection by a benzimidazole-related Compound-A that targets functional domain of nsP4 (Wada *et al*. [2017\)](#page-12-3). The anti-infammatory efect of silibinin by reducing production of infammatory cytokines and induced apoptosis in rheumatoid arthritis pathogenesisrelated cells might assist in attenuating CHIKV-associated clinical symptoms (Tong *et al*. [2018\)](#page-11-27).

Conclusion

In conclusion, based on both in silico and in vitro analyses, it can be postulated that silibinin might act as a new candidate molecule against CHIKV infection and also could help reduce CHIKV-associated clinical symptoms. Further in vitro studies should be needed to understand mode of CHIKV inhibition by silibinin. However, in vivo studies should be conducted to understand the efect of silibinin on CHIKV-mediated disease symptoms.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11626-022-00666-x>.

Funding This study was partly funded by Department of Biotechnology, Government of West Bengal, India [No.132-BT (Estt.) /RD-1/10].

Declarations

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Ahmed-Belkacem A, Ahnou N, Barbotte L, Wychowski C, Pallier C, Brillet R, Pohl RT, Pawlotsky JM (2010) Silibinin and related compounds are direct inhibitors of hepatitis C virus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. Gastroenterology 138(3):1112–1122. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2009.11.053>
- Ahmed-Belkacem A, Guichou JF, Brillet R, Ahnou N, Hernandez E, Pallier C, Pawlotsky JM (2014) Inhibition of RNA binding to hepatitis C virus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase: a new mechanism for antiviral intervention. Nucleic Acids Res. 42(14):9399– 409.<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku632>
- Akahata W, Yang ZY, Andersen H, Sun S, Holdaway HA, Kong WP, Lewis MG, Higgs S, Rossmann MG, Rao S, Nabel GJ (2010) A virus-like particle vaccine for epidemic Chikungunya virus protects nonhuman primates against infection. Nat Med. 16(3):334–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2105>
- Alam I, Lee JH, Cho KJ, Han KR, Yang JM, Chung MS, Kim KH (2012) Crystal structures of murine norovirus-1 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase in complex with 2-thiouridine or ribavirin.

Virology 426(2):143–151. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2012.01.016) [01.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2012.01.016)

- Anusuya S, Gromiha MM (2017) Quercetin derivatives as non-nucleoside inhibitors for dengue polymerase: molecular docking, molecular dynamics simulation, and binding free energy calculation. J Biomol Struct Dyn 35(13):2895–2909. [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1234416) [07391102.2016.1234416](https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1234416)
- Artimo P, Jonnalagedda M, Arnold K, Baratin D, Csardi G, de Castro E, Duvaud S, Flegel V, Fortier A, Gasteiger E, Grosdidier A, Hernandez C, Ioannidis V, Kuznetsov D, Liechti R, Moretti S, Mostaguir K, Redaschi N, Rossier G, Xenarios I, Stockinger H (2012) ExPASy: SIB bioinformatics resource portal. Nucleic Acids Res. 40(Web Server issue):W597–W603. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks400) [10.1093/nar/gks400](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks400)
- Buchan DW, Minneci F, Nugent TC, Bryson K, Jones DT (2013) Scalable web services for the PSIPRED Protein Analysis Workbench. Nucleic Acids Res. 41(Web Server issue):W349–W357. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt381) doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt381
- Cruz DJ, Bonotto RM, Gomes RG, da Silva CT, Taniguchi JB, No JH, Lombardot B, Schwartz O, Hansen MA, Freitas-Junior LH (2013) Identifcation of novel compounds inhibiting chikungunya virus-induced cell death by high throughput screening of a kinase inhibitor library. PLoSNegl Trop Dis 7(10):e2471. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002471) [10.1371/journal.pntd.0002471](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002471)
- Cunha RVD, Trinta KS (2017) Chikungunya virus: clinical aspects and treatment - a review. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 112(8):523–531. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0074-02760170044>
- Drwal MN, Banerjee P, Dunkel M, Wettig MR, Preissner R (2014) ProTox: a web server for the in silico prediction of rodent oral toxicity. Nucleic Acids Res. 42(Web Server issue):W53–W58. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku401>
- Dutta SK, Bhattacharya T, Tripathi A (2018) Chikungunya virus: genomic microevolution in Eastern India and its in-silico epitope prediction. 3 Biotech 8(7):318. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-018-1339-3) [s13205-018-1339-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-018-1339-3)
- Ferrè F, Clote P (2006) DiANNA 1.1: an extension of the DiANNA web server for ternary cysteine classifcation. Nucleic Acids Res 34(1):W182–W185.<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl189>
- Ghildiyal R, Gupta S, Gabrani R *et al* (2019) In silico study of chikungunya polymerase, a potential target for inhibitors. Virusdisease 30(3):394–402. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13337-019-00547-0) [s13337-019-00547-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13337-019-00547-0)
- Hsu KC, Chen YF, Lin SR, Yang JM (2011) iGEMDOCK: a graphical environment of enhancing GEMDOCK using pharmacological interactions and post-screening analysis. BMC Bioinformatics 12 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):S33. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-S1-S33) [1471-2105-12-S1-S33](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-S1-S33)
- Kaur P, Thiruchelvan M, Lee RC, Chen H, Chen KC, Ng ML, Chu JJ (2013) Inhibition of chikungunya virus replication by harringtonine, a novel antiviral that suppresses viral protein expression. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 57(1):155–67. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01467-12) [1128/AAC.01467-12](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01467-12)
- Kitaoka S, Konno T, De Clercq E (1986) Comparative efficacy of broad-spectrum antiviral agents as inhibitors of rotavirus replication in vitro. Antiviral Res 6(1):57–65. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-3542(86)90039-2) [0166-3542\(86\)90039-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-3542(86)90039-2)
- Kumar P, Kapopara RG, Patni M, Pandya H, Jasrai Y, Patel S (2012) Exploring the polymerase activity of chikungunya viral non structural protein 4 (nsP4) using molecular modeling, e- pharmacophore and docking studies. Int J Pharm Life Sci 3:1752–1765
- Laskowski RA, Swindells MB (2011) LigPlot+: multiple ligand-protein interaction diagrams for drug discovery. J Chem Inf Model 51(10):2778–2786.<https://doi.org/10.1021/ci200227u>
- Lello LS, Bartholomeeusen K, Wang S *et al* (2021) nsP4 is a major determinant of alphavirus replicase activity and template selectivity. J Virol 95(20):e0035521. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00355-21) [00355-21](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00355-21)
- McClure J, Lovelace ES, Elahi S, Maurice NJ, Wagoner J, Dragavon J, Mittler JE, Kraft Z, Stamatatos L, Horton H, De Rosa SC, Coombs RW, Polyak SJ (2012) Silibinin inhibits HIV-1 infection by reducing cellular activation and proliferation. PLoS One 7(7):e41832. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0041832.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0041832) Epub 2012 Jul 25. Erratum in: PLoS One. 2012;7(10). doi: [https://doi.org/10.1371/annotation/78ba072a-6b7a-430a-8fcd](https://doi.org/10.1371/annotation/78ba072a-6b7a-430a-8fcd-ef020e4fc458)[ef020e4fc458](https://doi.org/10.1371/annotation/78ba072a-6b7a-430a-8fcd-ef020e4fc458). Stamatatos, Leonidis [corrected to Stamatatos, Leonidas].
- Moghaddam E, Teoh BT, Sam SS, Lani R, Hassandarvish P, Chik Z, Yueh A, Abubakar S, Zandi K (2014) Baicalin, a metabolite of baicalein with antiviral activity against dengue virus. Sci Rep 26(4):5452. [https://doi.org/10.1038/srep05452.PMID:24965553;](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep05452.PMID:24965553;PMCID:PMC4071309) [PMCID:PMC4071309](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep05452.PMID:24965553;PMCID:PMC4071309)
- O'Boyle NM, Banck M, James CA, Morley C, Vandermeersch T, Hutchison GR (2011) Open Babel: an open chemical toolbox. J Cheminform 7(3):33. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/1758-2946-3-33) [1758-2946-3-33](https://doi.org/10.1186/1758-2946-3-33)
- Oliveira AF, Teixeira RR, Oliveira AS, Souza AP, Silva ML, Paula SO (2017) Potential antivirals: natural products targeting replication enzymes of dengue and chikungunya viruses. Molecules 22(3):505. [https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules2](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules22030505) [2030505](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules22030505)
- Parashar D, Cherian S (2014) Antiviral perspectives for chikungunya virus. Biomed Res Int. 2014:631642. [https://doi.org/10.1155/](https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/631642) [2014/631642](https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/631642)
- Ravichandran R, Manian M (2008) Ribavirin therapy for Chikungunya arthritis. J Infect Dev Ctries 2(2):140–142
- Saganuwan SA (2020) Comparative therapeutic index, lethal time and safety margin of various toxicants and snake antivenoms using newly derived and old formulas. BMC Res Notes 13(1):292. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-020-05134-x>
- Sengupta S, Mukherjee S, Haldar SK, Bhattacharya N, Tripathi A (2020) Re-emergence of Chikungunya virus infection in Eastern India. Braz J Microbiol. 51(1):177–182. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42770-019-00212-0) [s42770-019-00212-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42770-019-00212-0)
- Subudhi BB, Chattopadhyay S, Mishra P, Kumar A (2018) Current strategies for inhibition of chikungunya infection. Viruses 10(5):235. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v10050235>
- Sui J, Li W, Murakami A, Tamin A, Matthews LJ, Wong SK, Moore MJ, Tallarico AS, Olurinde M, Choe H, Anderson LJ, Bellini WJ, Farzan M, Marasco WA (2004) Potent neutralization of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus by a human mAb to S1 protein that blocks receptor association. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101(8):2536–2541. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0307140101) [10.1073/pnas.0307140101](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0307140101)
- Tong WW, Zhang C, Hong T, Liu DH, Wang C, Li J, He XK, Xu WD (2018) Silibinin alleviates infammation and induces apoptosis in human rheumatoid arthritis fbroblast-like synoviocytes and has a therapeutic effect on arthritis in rats. Sci Rep 8(1):3241. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21674-6>
- Trott O, Olson AJ (2010) AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. J Comput Chem 31(2):455–461. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21334>
- Umetsu T, Inoue J, Kogure T, Kakazu E, Ninomiya M, Iwata T, Takai S, Nakamura T, Sano A, Shimosegawa T (2018) Inhibitory effect of silibinin on hepatitis B virus entry. BiochemBiophys Rep 31(14):20–25. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrep.2018.03.003) [bbrep.2018.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrep.2018.03.003)

- Voss JE, Vaney MC, Duquerroy S, Vonrhein C, Girard-Blanc C, Crublet E, Thompson A, Bricogne G, Rey FA (2010) Glycoprotein organization of Chikungunya virus particles revealed by X-ray crystallography. Nature 468(7324):709–712.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09555>
- Wada Y, Orba Y, Sasaki M *et al* (2017) Discovery of a novel antiviral agent targeting the nonstructural protein 4 (nsP4) of chikungunya virus. Virology 505:102–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2017.02.014>
- Wahid B, Ali A, Rafque S, Idrees M (2017) Global expansion of chikungunya virus: mapping the 64-year history. Int J Infect Dis. 58:69–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2017.03.006>
- Yang J, Zhang Y (2015) I-TASSER server: new development for protein structure and function predictions. Nucleic Acids Res. 43(W1):W174–W181. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv342>

