# REVIEW

# Cancer-Related Fatigue: The Approach and Treatment

Carmen P. Escalante, MD, FACP and Ellen F. Manzullo, MD, FACP

Department of General Internal Medicine, Ambulatory Treatment and Emergency Center, The University of Texas M.D., Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA.

As the volume of cancer survivors continues to increase, clinicians are being faced with a growing number of patients with cancer-related fatigue (CRF). Survivors with a variety of malignancies may experience fatigue. Many potential barriers to the identification of this symptom in a cancer survivor may exist, due in part to both the patient and the clinician. Assessment of patients for fatigue is important because it can profoundly effect their daily lives. Many factors contribute to CRF. Hence, the clinician may face a daunting challenge in attempting to alleviate CRF. Treatment modalities for CRF include nonpharmacologic interventions, such as psychosocial interventions, exercise, sleep therapy, and acupuncture. Pharmacologic interventions include stimulants, namely modafinil and methylphenidate. In some patients antidepressants may be beneficial. Clinicians should assess cancer survivors for the presence of fatigue and focus on its treatment in an attempt to ensure that these patients have the best possible symptom control.

*KEY WORDS:* cancer-related fatigue; cancer survivors; exercise; stimulants.

J Gen Intern Med 24(Suppl 2):412–6 DOI: 10.1007/s11606-009-1056-z © Society of General Internal Medicine 2009

# INTRODUCTION

Advances in the diagnosis and treatment of malignancies have resulted in the growth of the number of cancer survivors, estimated now in the United States to be more than 10 million<sup>1</sup>. Today, almost two-thirds of patients diagnosed with cancer are expected to survive 5 or more years. Due to these successes, cancer is now being considered a chronic illness similar to diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease. Today, physicians and other healthcare workers are facing the challenges associated with the long-term sequela of cancer and its treatment. Cancer survivors can have a myriad of comorbid conditions as well as symptoms that can affect not only their overall medical condition but also the quality of their daily lives.

Fatigue is a common symptom experienced by cancer patients and cancer survivors. Fatigue may be defined as a distressing, persistent, subjective sense of tiredness or exhaustion related to cancer or cancer treatment that is not proportional to recent activity and interferes with usual functioning<sup>2</sup>. Fatigue is also a multidimensional symptom because it encompasses physical, mental, and emotional aspects. Patients can experience fatigue anywhere along the spectrum of cancer care. Fatigue may be the presenting symptom of their malignancy or a long-term side effect of their treatment. Up to 30% of cancer survivors report a loss of energy for years after they complete treatment  $^{3\text{--}5}$  . Fatigue can have a profound effect on the patient's life. Some are unable to return to work or engage in their usual activities of daily living. Fatigue results in significant stress and anxiety for both the patient and family members. There are several barriers that contribute to inadequate assessment and treatment of this symptom. In a busy outpatient practice, clinicians are faced with time constraints that limit their ability to inquire about this symptom. In addition, clinicians might feel uncomfortable discussing this symptom because of lack of knowledge in this area and the concern of limited treatment options. On the other hand, cancer survivors are at times reluctant to mention they have fatigue because of the fear that it could represent disease recurrence or that they might be considered a complainer. In addition, some patients think that it is an expected outcome of their cancer and its treatment. However, due to the increasing incidence of this symptom and the potential profound effect that it can have on a patient's daily life, healthcare providers should be encouraged to inquire about the presence of this symptom as well as focus on its treatment.

A variety of studies have been performed that illustrate the importance of fatigue. Lutgendorf et al. conducted a longitudinal study of gynecologic patients in the year after diagnosis and found an increase in fatigue in survivors of both earlystage and advanced disease compared to healthy individuals<sup>6</sup>. In another study, performed by Ersek et al., involving ovarian cancer survivors, fatigue was found to be the most severe physical symptom<sup>7</sup>. Fossa found that patients treated for Hodgkin's disease had more chronic fatigue than testicular survivors and both groups had more problems with fatigue compared to the general population<sup>8</sup>. Breast cancer survivors, 20 months after autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation, had higher levels of fatigue than in a matched noncancer cohort of women<sup>9</sup>. Based on ICD-10 diagnoses, fatigue in cancer survivors ranges from 17% to  $21\%^{10}$ . If other criteria are used, such as a fatigue scale scores, the range is higher, 33% to  $53\%^{11}$ . Because of the wide variation in study designs to date, current data is limited and further research is needed in this area. The cause of fatigue in long-term cancer survivors that are diseasefree may be because of persistent activation of the immune system or to late treatment effects on major organ systems<sup>12,13</sup>. The exact biologic mechanisms for fatigue with respect to cancer treatment, such as chemotherapy and radiation therapy, have not been fully elucidated. Other potential factors to consider are anemia; comorbid conditions, such as coronary artery disease; metabolic abnormalities; endocrine disorders such as hypothyroidism; sleep disorders; emotional distress including anxiety and depression; and medications.

# **EVALUATION OF PATIENTS WITH CRF**

For patients who are long-term cancer survivors, a fatigue screen should occur as they transition from active treatment and close monitoring by their oncologist to long-term management by their primary care physician. After transition, these patients should be screened at appropriate intervals and as clinically indicated. For example, more frequent screening may be necessary if CRF is identified as an issue in a patient. There are several survey tools that may be used in screening. A simple visual analog scale (VAS) with anchors of 0 and 10 (with 0 as no fatigue and 10 as the worst imaginable fatigue) may be very easy and practical to incorporate into a busy clinical setting. A recommended screening question is "How would you rate your fatigue on a scale of 0 to 10 over the past week?" The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) has developed a practice guideline for CRF that may be helpful to practitioners<sup>14</sup>.

# APPROACH TO MILD FATIGUE (0-3)

Patients with mild fatigue who exhibit no interference with daily activities should receive education and general CRF management strategies. General strategies include energy conservation and distraction<sup>15,16</sup>. Energy conservation consists of prioritizing and pacing activities with delegating less critical activities to others. A diary may be helpful for the patient to identify times of high and low energy during a time period, allowing for improved planning of necessary activities at times of peak energy and postponing nonessential activities. Other energy conservation strategies include use of labor-saving devices, limiting naps to 20 to 30 minutes or less, performing 1 activity at a time, and structuring the daily schedule. Activities that may distract the patient may also be beneficial. These may include games, music, reading, or socializing.

# APPROACH TO MODERATE FATIGUE (4–6) AND SEVERE FATIGUE (7–10)

Usually fatigue gradually improves over time, although there is a subset of patients that may experience severe and prolonged fatigue after active treatment. Patients with moderate to severe fatigue, especially those experiencing interference with daily activities, require education and general CRF management strategies along with a detailed medical evaluation encompassing a comprehensive history including fatigue onset, duration, intensity, changes over time, other related symptoms and factors linked to fatigue improvement or decline. Important aspects for the long-term survivor include the type and length of cancer treatment (Table 1). Because medications may interact or cause untoward side effects such as sedation or anxiety, thus increasing fatigue, a careful review of all medications (prescribed and over the counter medications as well as herbal, vitamin, and mineral supplements) used should be performed.

# TREATMENT INTERVENTIONS

Treatment options may be categorized as nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic (Table 2).

#### Table 1. Contributing Issues in Cancer-Related Fatigue

Symptom burden
Pain
Anxiety
Depression
Sleep dysfunction
Obstructive sleep apnea
Restless leg syndrome
Narcolepsy
Insomnia
Nutritional imbalances
Weight changes
Changes in caloric intake
Fluid and electrolyte imbalances
Motility disorders
Physical function changes
Decreased physical activity
Decreased physical conditioning
Medical issues
Anemia (from various etiologies)
Other comorbidities
Infection
Cardiac dysfunction
Connective tissue diseases
Pulmonary dysfunction
Renal dysfunction
Hepatic dysfunction
Neurologic dysfunction
Endocrine dysfunction
Hypothyroidism
Hypogonadism
Diabetes mellitus
Adrenal insufficiency
Medications
Sedating agents (hypnotics, narcotics, neuropathic agents, etc.)
Beta-blockers
Supplements (homeopathic agents)
Other (drug interactions and other medication side effects)
Cancer treatment effects
Chemotherapy
Radiation therapy
Surgery
Bone marrow transplantation
Biologic response modifiers
Hormonal treatment

# NONPHARMACOLOGIC INTERVENTIONS

Nonpharmacologic interventions include psychosocial interventions, exercise, attention-restoring therapy, dietary management, and sleep therapy.

#### **Psychosocial Interventions**

Patients should receive guidance regarding management of stress, anxiety, and depression. Both anxiety and depression may be linked with subsequent fatigue, but these diagnoses may be complicated because some frequent symptoms are due to the underlying fatigue. Interventions to reduce stress and increase psychosocial support include education<sup>17</sup>, support groups<sup>18</sup>, individual counseling<sup>19</sup>, comprehensive coping strategies<sup>20</sup>, stress management training<sup>21</sup>, and individualized behavioral intervention<sup>22</sup>. Other interventions include listening to a guided-imagery tape daily, prioritizing daily activities, and encouraging discussion of how fatigue has affected lifestyle and emotional well-being. These interventions have been shown to reduce fatigue levels and have the strongest

#### Table 2. Cancer-Related Fatigue Treatment Interventions

Nonpharmacologic interventions	Pharmacologic interventions
Psychosocial (Category 1)	Stimulants
Education	<ul> <li>Methylphenidate</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Support groups</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Modafanil</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Individual counseling</li> </ul>	Antidepressants
Coping strategies	<ul> <li>Selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Stress management training</li> </ul>	Paroxetine
<ul> <li>Individualized behavioral intervention</li> </ul>	Sertraline
	<ul> <li>Other antidepressant</li> </ul>
Exercise (Category 1)	Bupropion
Sleep Therapy	Steroids
Behavioral Therapy	
Stimulus Control	
Sleep Restriction	
Sleep hygiene	
Acupuncture	

evidence support (category 1), although fatigue was a secondary endpoint in many of these studies. A recent meta-analysis of psychological and activity-based interventions for CRF included 30 randomized controlled trials<sup>23</sup>. Fifty percent of psychological trials rated as fair or better in quality yielded significant findings favoring the intervention.

#### Exercise

Physical exercise training programs may increase functional capacity, leading to decreased effort in performing usual activities and less fatigue<sup>24-25</sup>. Exercise has the strongest evidence supporting its effectiveness among nonpharmacologic interventions for managing  $CRF^{26-29}$ . Exercise in patients with CRF consists of a variety of studies including patients that were on active treatment as well as those who had completed treatments. Limitations in these studies included small sample sizes, diverse experimental designs, and many limited to women with breast cancer as well as variations in length of the exercise intervention from 6 weeks to 6 months. The exercise types are diverse and have included bed-cycle ergometer, home-based walking programs, and stationary cycling; some studies allowed participants to choose their preferred form of aerobic exercise. Also, strength and resistance training, flexibility training, routine stretching, yoga, and seated exercise have also been studied.

A 2008 Cochrane analysis on the effects of exercise for the management of CRF reported on 28 randomized controlled trials<sup>30</sup>. The majority of trials included breast cancer patients, and 16 studies used supervised, institutional programs. Programs ranged in duration from 3 to 32 weeks with an average of 12 weeks. Exercise was statistically more effective than the control, both during and after treatment. It is reasonable to encourage all patients to participate in moderate levels of physical activity after treatment.

An exercise program should be customized to meet the requirements of the individual patient, with consideration of the patient's overall medical status, the level of physical conditioning, and the specifics of the cancer treatment as factors in development of the exercise program. Age and sex may play a part in the decision-making but their significance may vary. Some patients with substantial deconditioning may benefit from referral to a physical medicine and rehabilitation program or physical therapy program. Others with major comorbidities should have a thorough medical evaluation before beginning an exercise program.

# **Sleep Therapy**

Sleep disturbances are frequently challenging in cancer patients. They often involve aspects of sleep quality rather than sleep quantity. A variety of factors may affect sleep and include daytime napping, anxiety, and depression as well as side effects of medications, dietary aspects, and nocturnal waking (bathroom usage, hot flashes). Behavioral therapies for sleep improvement include stimulus control, sleep restriction, and sleep hygiene. With stimulus control, patients are advised to go to bed when sleepy, have a routine bedtime and rising time, and get out of bed after 20 minutes of wakefulness when first going to bed and with night time awakening. Sleep restriction involves avoidance of long or late day naps and limiting total time in bed. Sleep hygiene recommendations include caffeine and exercise avoidance near bedtime, comfortable sleep surroundings (dark, cool, peaceful, and relaxing), and soothing activities at bedtime (reading, tranquil music). Several studies have demonstrated the benefit of behavioral therapies for sleep improvement and fatigue in long-term cancer survivors<sup>31-33</sup>. In addition, behavioral therapies may often be combined with complimentary therapies to address sleep issues. Techniques such as breathing control, progressive muscle relaxation, and guided-imagery control have been combined with massage therapy, yoga, muscle relaxation, and mindfulness-based stress reduction. Most are preliminary studies but are suggestive of fatigue reductions<sup>34–35</sup>.

## Acupuncture

Acupuncture to treat CRF has not been well studied. There have been 2 small trials that suggest a possible benefit from acupuncture<sup>36–37</sup>. Molassiotis et al. studied 47 cancer patients after chemotherapy in a randomized controlled trial with a 3-group design—acupuncture (n=15), acupressure (n=, 16) and sham acupressure (n=16). Improvements in fatigue were 36%, 19%, and 0.6%, respectively. Further study with larger cohorts is necessary to conclude whether there is a benefit with this intervention.

# PHARMACOLOGIC INTERVENTIONS

The classes of pharmacologics that have been studied most in managing CRF include stimulants, antidepressants, steroids, and the cholinesterase inhibitor, donepezil.

#### Stimulants

The most frequent stimulants used for management of CRF are methylphenidate and modafanil. Methylphenidate is a central nervous system stimulant similar to amphetamine with a short plasma half-life of 2 hours, a rapid onset of action, and a duration of action of 3 to 6 hours<sup>38</sup>. The baseline dose is usually 5 mg in the morning and at noon with titration

as needed with a maximum dosing of 1 mg/kg/d. The most frequent side effects are tachycardia, nervousness, insomnia, and anorexia, especially at higher doses. Open-label studies suggest an improvement in fatigue<sup>39–40</sup>. In a randomized controlled trial, 112 patients were assigned to 5 mg of methylphenidate or placebo and able to repeat dosing every 2 hours as needed to a maximum of 20 mg daily. At 7 days, significant improvement in fatigue compared to baseline was noted in both groups<sup>41</sup>. The authors suggested that the observed benefits might be due to daily contact with the study nurse or the placebo effect. A large randomized controlled trial is needed to confirm these findings.

Modafinil is a nonamphetamine central nervous system stimulant approved for the treatment of narcolepsy. There has been limited experience in treatment of CRF, but it has been well tolerated. The usual dose of modafinil is 100 to 200 mg in the morning, with a second dose at noon or shortly thereafter, with a maximum dose of 400 mg per day. One pilot study using modafinil in patients with brain tumor noted improvement across cognitive, mood, and fatigue outcome measures, with a maximum benefit at 8 weeks after starting treatment<sup>42</sup>. In a preliminary report, a randomized controlled trial of 642 patients receiving chemotherapy suggested a benefit with modafinil versus placebo; however, this benefit was limited to those with severe fatigue<sup>43</sup>.

#### Antidepressants

Fatigue and depression are commonly associated. There have been a few randomized placebo-controlled trials in cancer patients with selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (paroxetine, sertraline) that have not shown any improvement in fatigue although depression improved<sup>44–46</sup>. In those with insomnia and depression, antidepressants such as nortriptyline and amitriptyline may be beneficial due to the sedative aspects. There is one small open-label study that suggests bupropion may aid patients with CRF<sup>47</sup>.

## OTHER PHARMACOLOGIC TREATMENTS

#### **Steroids**

Steroids have been the most helpful in patients with end-stage cancer and CRF<sup>48</sup>. The mechanism of action is unknown. The side effects of these drugs limit their routine use. In trials using steroids for CRF, other outcome measures, such as strength, weakness, or activity level, were substituted for fatigue. There is no consensus that these measures accurately reflect measurement of fatigue.

# Donepezil

Donepezil is a selective acetylcholinesterase inhibitor. Pilot studies using this medication for CRF have not shown any benefit compared to placebo<sup>49</sup>.

#### Summary

Fatigue is a frequent and difficult to manage symptom in many cancer survivors. Its assessment should begin at cancer diagnosis and should continue through the spectrum of disease management and transition to long-term survivorship. Initially, conditions that may cause fatigue should be identified and addressed. Frequently, multiple factors may contribute to fatigue. Both nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic interventions are available. The nonpharmacologic therapies of psychosocial interventions and exercise have the strongest evidence supporting use (Category 1). Other nonpharmacologic interventions have lesser supporting evidence but still may be effective for some patients. An evidence-based clinical guideline is available for practitioners through the NCCN<sup>14</sup>.

#### **Key Points**

- CRF commonly occurs in long-term cancer survivors and is frequently associated with other symptoms (pain, depression, anxiety, and sleep dysfunction).
- Patients should be screened for CRF at transition to longterm survivorship and then screened routinely depending upon the presence and intensity of the symptom.
- Patients with mild fatigue should received education and general CRF management strategies.
- Patients with moderate to severe fatigue require a detailed medical evaluation including a comprehensive history querying fatigue-specific aspects and a thorough physical examination. They should also receive similar educational and management strategies as those with mild fatigue.
- Nonpharmacologic treatment interventions include psychosocial interventions, exercise, sleep therapy, and acupuncture.
- Psychosocial interventions and exercise have the strongest evidence support (Category 1).
- Pharmacologic treatment interventions include stimulants, antidepressants, and steroids.
- Antidepressants have not been effective for patients with CRF alone but has been in those patients with both CRF and depression.
- Steroids are most often used for patients with CRF at the end of life.
- Continued research to identify pathophysiologic mechanisms and more effective treatment strategies for CRF is necessary.

**Acknowledgments:** No funding was received for the preparation of this manuscript.

**Conflict of Interest:** Dr. Manzullo has no potential conflict of interest. Dr. Escalante has a research grant agreement with Ortho-*McNeil*.

**Corresponding Author:** Ellen F. Manzullo, MD, FACP; Department of General Internal Medicine, Ambulatory Treatment and Emergency Center, The University of Texas M.D., Anderson Cancer Center, Unit 1465, P. O. Box 301402, Houston, TX 77230-1402, USA (e-mail: emanzull@mdanderson.org).

#### REFERENCES

- Cancer Survivorship United States, 1971–2001. MMWR. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2004; 53: 526–9.
- Mock V, Atkinson A, Barsevick A, et al. NCCN. Practice guidelines for cancer related fatigue.Oncology (Huntington). 2000;14:151–61.

- Smets EMA, Garssen B, Schuster-Uitterhoeve ALJ, et al. Fatigue in cancer patients. Br J Cancer. 1993;68(2):220–4.
- 4. **Berglund G, Bolund C, Fornander T, et al.** Late effects of adjuvant chemotherapy and postoperative radiotherapy on quality of life among breast cancer patients. Eur J Cancer Care. 1991;27(9):1075–81.
- Devlen J, Maguire P, Phillips P, et al. Psychological problems associated with diagnosis and treatment of lymphoma. BMJ. 1987;295:955–7.
- Lutgendorf SK, Anderson B, Ullrich P, et al. Quality of life and mood in women gynecologic cancer: a one year prospective study. Cancer (Phil). 2002;94:131–40.
- Ersek M, Ferrell BR, Dow KH, Melancon CH. Quality of life in women with ovarian cancer. West J Nurs Res. 1997;19:334–50.
- Fossa SD, Dahl AA, Loge JH. Fatigue, anxiety, and depression in long-term survivors of testicular cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21(7):1249–54.
- Hann DM, Jacobsen PB, Martin SC, et al. Fatigue in women treated with bone marrow transplantation for breast cancer: a comparison with women with no history of cancer. Support Care Cancer. 1997;5(1):44–52.
- Cella D, Davis K, Breitbart W, Curt G.Cancer-related fatigue: prevalence pf proposed diagnostic criteria in a United States sample of cancer survivors. J Clin Oncol. 2001;19:3385–91.
- 11. Knobel H, Havard Loge J, Brit Lund M, Forfang K, Nome O, Kaasa S. Late medical complications and fatigue in Hodgkins'
- Bower JE, Ganz PA, Aziz N, et al. T-cell homeostasis in breast cancer survivors with persistent fatigue. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2003;95:1165–8.
- Bower JE, Ganz PA, Aziz N, et al. Fatigue and proinflammatory cytokine activity in breast cancer survivors. Psychosom Med. 2002;64:604–11.
- Mock V, Atkinson A, Barsevick AM, et al. Cancer-related fatigue. Clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2007;5:1054–78.
- Barsevick AM, Dudley W, Beck S, et al. A randomized clinical trial of energy conservation for patients with cancer-related fatigue. Cancer. 2004;100:1302–10.
- Richardson A, Ream EK. Self-care behaviours initiated by chemotherapy patients in response to fatigue. Int J Nurs Stud. 1997;34:35–43.
- Yates P, Aranda S, Hargraves M, et al. Randomized controlled trial of an educational intervention for managing fatigue in women receiving adjuvant chemotherapy for early-stage breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2— 5;23:6027–36.
- Fawzy FI, Cousins N, Fawzy NW, et al. A structured psychiatric intervention for cancer patients. I. Changes over time in methods of coping and affective disturbance. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1990;47:720–5.
- Fawzy NW. A psychoeducational nursing intervention to enhance coping and affective state in newly diagnosed malignant melanoma patients. Cancer Nurs. 1995;18:427–38.
- Gaston-Johansson F, Fall-Dickson JM, Nanda J, et al. The effectiveness of the comprehensive coping strategy program on clinical outcomes in breast cancer autologous bone marrow transplantation. Cancer Nurs. 2000;23:277–85.
- Jacobsen PB, Meade CD, Stein KD et al. Efficacy and costs of two forms of stress management training for cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol. 2002;20:2851–62.
- Given B, Given CW, McCorkle R, et al. Pain and fatigue management: results of a nursing randomized clinical trial. Oncol Nurs Forum. 2002;29:949–56.
- Jacobsen PB, Donovan KA, Vadaparampil ST, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of psychological and activity-based interventions for cancer-related fatigue. Health Psychol. 2007;26:660–7.
- Segal R, Evans W, Johnson D, et al. Structured exercise improves physical functioning in women with stages I and II breast cancer: results of a randomized controlled trial. J Clin Oncol. 2001;19:657–65.
- Windsor PM, Nicol KF, Potter J. A randomized, controlled trial of aerobic exercise for treatment-related fatigue in men receiving radical external beam radiotherapy for localized prostate carcinoma. Cancer. 2004;101:550–7.
- Galvao DA, Newton RU. Review of exercise intervention studies in cancer patients. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23:899–909.
- McNeely ML, Campbell KL, Rowe BH, et al. Effects of exercise on breast cancer patients and survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. CMAJ. 2006;175:34–41.

- Kangas M, Bovbjerg DH, Montgomery GH. Cancer-related fatigue: a systematic and meta-analytic review of non-pharmacological therapies for cancer patients. Psychol Bull. 2008;134:700–41.
- Dimeo F, Schwartz S, Wesel N, et al. Effects of an endurance and resistance exercise program on persistent cancer-related fatigue after treatment. Ann Oncol. 2008;19:1495–9.
- Cramp F, Daniel J. Exercise for the management of cancer-related fatigue in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008:CD006145.
- Dirksen SR, Epstein Dr. Efficacy of an insomnia intervention on fatigue, mood and quality of life in breast cancer survivors. J Adv Nurs. 2008;61:664–75.
- Epstein DR, Dirksen SR. Randomized trial of a cognitive-behavioral intervention for insomnia in breast cancer survivors. Oncol Nurs Forum. 2007;34:E51–9.
- 33. Espie CA, Fleming L, Cassidy J, et al. Randomized controlled clinical effectiveness trial of cognitive behavior therapy compared with treatment as usual for persistent insomnia in patients with cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26:4651–8.
- Cohen L, Warneke C, Fouladi RT, et al. Psychological adjustment and sleep quality in a randomized trial of the effects of a Tibetan yoga intervention in patients with lymphoma. Cancer. 2004;100:2253–60.
- Carlson LE, Garland SN. Impact of mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) on sleep, mood, stress and fatigue symptoms in cancer outpatients. Int J Behav Med. 2005;12:278–85.
- Vickers AJ, Straus DJ, Fearon B, et al. Acupuncture of post chemotherapy fatigue: a phase II study. J Clin Oncol. 2004;22:1731–5.
- Molassiotis A, Sylt P, Diggins H. The management of cancer-related fatigue after chemotherapy with acupuncture and acupressure: a randomized controlled trial. Complement Ther Med. 2007;15:228–37.
- Wargin W, Patrick K, Kilts C, et al. Pharmacokinetics of methylphenidate in man, rat and monkey. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 1983;226:382–6.
- Bruera E, Driver L, Barnes EA, et al. Patient-controlled methylphenidate for the management of fatigue in patients with advanced cancer: a preliminary report. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21:4439–43.
- Sarhill N, Walsh D, Nelson KA, et al. Methylphenidate for fatigue in advanced cancer: a prospective open-label pilot study. Am J Hosp Palliat Care. 2001;18:187–92.
- Bruera E, Valero V, Driver L, et al. Patient controlled methylphenidate for cancer fatigue: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24:2073–8.
- 42. Kaleita TA, Wellisch DK, Graham CA, et al. Pilot study of modafinil for treatment of neurobehavioral dysfunction and fatigue in adult patients with brain tumors (abstract). J Clin Oncol. 2006;24:58s.
- 43. Morrow GR, Jean-Pierre P, Roscoe JA, et al. A phase III randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial of a eugeroic agent in 642 cancer patients reporting fatigue during chemotherapy: a URCC CCOP study (abstract). J Clin Oncol. 2008;26:504s.
- 44. Morrow GR, Hickok JT, Roscoe JA, et al. Differential effects of paroxetine on fatigue and depression: a randomized, double-blind trial from the University of Rochester Cancer Center Community Clinical Oncology Program. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21:4635–41.
- 45. Stockler MR, O'Connell R, Nowak AK, et al. Effect of sertraline on symptoms and survival in patients with advanced cancer, but without major depression: a placebo-controlled double blind randomized trial. Lancet Oncol. 2007;8:603–12.
- Palesh O, Mustian KM, Roscoe JA, et al. Effect of paroxetine on depression and insomnia in 547 fatigued cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy (abstract). J Clin Oncol. 2008;26:502s.
- Moss EL, Simpson JS, Pelletier G, et al. An open-label study of the effects of bupropion SR on fatigue, depression and quality of life of mixed-site cancer patients and their partners. Psychooncology. 2006;15:259–67.
- Bruera E, Roca E, Cedaro L, et al. Action of oral methylprednisolone in terminal cancer patients: a prospective randomized double-blind study. Cancer Treat Rep. 1985;69:751–4.
- Bruera E, El Osta B, Valero V, et al. Donepezil for cancer fatigue: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25:3475–81.