Influence of Specimen Size on Compression Behavior of Cement Paste and Mortar under High Strain Rates

CHEN Xudong, CHEN Chen, QIAN Pingping, XU Lingyu

(College of Civil and Transportation Engineering, Hohai University, Nanjing 210098, China)

Abstract: Static and dynamic compression tests were carried out on mortar and paste specimens of three sizes (ϕ 68 mm×32 mm, ϕ 59 mm×29.5 mm and ϕ 32 mm×16 mm) to study the influence of specimen size on the compression behavior of cement-based materials under high strain rates. The static tests were applied using a universal servo-hydraulic system, and the dynamic tests were applied by a spilt Hopkinson pressure bar (SHPB) system. The experimental results show that for mortar and paste specimens, the dynamic compressive strength is greater than the quasi-static one, and the dynamic compressive strength for specimens of large size is lower than those of small size. However, the dynamic increase factors (DIF) has an opposite trend. Obviously, both strain rate and size effect exist in mortar and paste. The test results were then analyzed using Weibull, Carpinteri and Bažant's size effect laws. A good agreement between these three laws and the test results was reached on the compressive strength. However, for the experimental results of paste and cement mortar, the size effect is not evident for the peak strain and elastic modulus of paste and cement mortar.

Key words: size effect; cement-based materials; dynamic loading; compressive behavior

1 Introduction

Terrorist attack, explosion scenarios in tunnels, and the potential hazards from the storage of highly energetic materials can cause high strain rate in materials. Widely employed in buildings, cement-based materials are rate-dependent materials which indicate that the mechanical response of structures exposed to explosive loading can only be predicted properly with material models that include this rate effect. Thus, knowledge about the response of concrete structures to impact and explosive loading is required for reliable safety assessment and the design of protective structures^[1].

The strain-rate sensitive behavior of cementbased materials has been under investigation for several decades. It is commonly believed that the compressive strength of cement-based materials increases with increasing strain rate. But when it comes to DIF, range of strain rate and dynamic constitutive relation, there exist great debates^[2-5].Tests carried out so far have different shape and dimensions. However, analysis of the data seldom or never takes the size effect into account^[6-9]. The reliability of the conclusions got in this way cannot be guaranteed.

There are many theories about size effect, such as Weibull's statistical law^[10], Bažant's energetic release theory^[11], Multifractal scaling law by Carpinteri^[12], boundary layer theory^[13], singularity of threedimensional stress^[14], time-dependent theory^[15], and so on. The first three ones are widely used. Experimentally, many researchers^[16-19] conducted compressive and flexural-tensile experiments and drew the conclusion that the strength decreases with the increase of specimen size, also parameters of different models were fitted according to the test results. However, the study of the size effect under dynamic load is still limited. Bindiganavile and Banthia^[20] carried out compressive and bending tensile experiments under impact load. The results showed that there exists size effect in cement-based materials under dynamic loading. Jikai Zhou^[21] found that dynamic increase factor (DIF) of flexural-tensile strength also has size

[©]Wuhan University of Technology and SpringerVerlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016 (Received: Feb. 10, 2015; Accepted: Apr. 3, 2015)

CHEN Xudong (陈徐东): Ph D; E-mail: cxdong1985@ hotmail.com

Funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.51509078) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province(No.BK20150820)

effect under dynamic loading. Motaz^[22] studied the size effect of ordinary and high strength concrete under quasi-static and dynamic load, and both the strength and the elastic modulus were analyzed. It can be found from the literature review that there are some problems to be solved: most tests were performed under low or medium strain rate, and few were tested under high strain rate. Thus, whether the proposed theories are suitable for high strain rate situation should be verified. The existing researches are mostly carried out on the size effect on strength, and the deformation properties such as peak strain and elastic modulus are scarcely reported. Irrelevant variables were not completely controlled, so the results might be inaccurate.

In this paper, cement mortar and paste specimens with different diameters were tested using a split Hopkinson pressure bar (SHPB) system, then the size effect on the impact response of cement-based materials was presented. Weibull's statistical law, Bažant's energetic release theory and Multifractal scaling law developed for quasi-static loading were examined in the context of high strain rates. This paper also discussed the size effect on DIF, peak strain and elastic modulus.

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials and specimens



(b)dynamic tests

Ordinary portland cement (OPC) was used in the production of cement mortar and paste specimens. This cement is the most widely used cement in general concrete construction work in China. The fine aggregate was river sand and water was from the tap. All the specimens had the water-cement ratio, w/c=0.4 and the sand-cement ratio, s/c=1, was used for cement mortar.

The standard specimens were cast in steel molds with dimensions of 150 mm \times 150 mm \times 550 mm. Following casting, the specimens were covered with a plastic membrane to prevent moisture from evaporating. After curing for 90 days, specimens were cored from the standard specimens. Mortar and paste cores were cut and ground smooth to produce 68 mm, 59 mm and 32 mm diameter cylindrical specimens. Specimens for static tests had a height-diameter ratio of 2, and that for dynamic tests of 0.5, as shown in Fig.1.

2.2 Split Hopkinson pressure bar test system

Quasi-static tests were preceded by electro hydraulic servo compressive testing machine and dynamic tests by SHPB system. A split Hopkinson pressure bar consists of a striker bar, an incident bar, and a transmitter bar, as shown in Fig.2.



The specimen under investigation was placed between the incident and transmitter bars. The striker bar was launched at a known velocity toward the incident bar. The impact generated a stress pulse in the incident bar that travels toward the specimen. The wave gets partly reflected back and partly transmitted into the specimen upon reaching the incident bar-specimen interface. Performing one-dimensional wave analysis on the strain signal obtained from the incident and transmitted bar, the stress-strain curve in the specimen can be obtained.

The resulting stress $\sigma_s(t)$, strain $\varepsilon_s(t)$, and strain rate $\dot{\varepsilon}$, of the specimen were obtained by the following equations ^[23]:

$$\sigma_{s}(t) = E_{b}\left(\frac{A_{b}}{A_{s}}\right)\varepsilon_{T}(t)$$
⁽¹⁾

$$\varepsilon_{s}(t) = -\frac{2C_{0}}{l} \int_{0}^{t} \varepsilon_{R}(t) dt$$
⁽²⁾

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon_s(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \tag{3}$$

where, $A_{\rm b}$, $E_{\rm b}$, and C_0 are the cross-sectional area, the Young's modulus, and the wave velocity of the bar material, respectively; H and $A_{\rm s}$ are the length and cross-sectional area of the specimen, respectively.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Stress-strain curves

The static compressive tests were conducted according to the ASTM C192 standard^[24]. Four specimens were designed for each diameter, and the representative compressive strength should be the average. Test results of mortar and paste are presented in Table 1.

When loaded on the SHPB, inhomogeneity in the internal structures of the specimens might cause deviation in the incident wave. Therefore, pulse shaper was stuck on the end face of the incident bar to ensure constant strain rate and even stress. There were eight specimens for each diameter of mortar and paste and the representative stress-strain curves are shown in Figs.3 and 4.

 Table 1 Representative compressive strength of mortar and paste/MPa

Dimension/mm	$\phi 68 \times 32$	$\phi 59 \times 29.5$	ϕ 32 × 16
Mortar	28.96	29.85	34.46
Paste	27.79	30.90	33.76

It is obvious from the curves that both the dimension of specimens and the load velocity would influence the compressive properties of mortar and paste. How does size affect some of the properties (*eg*, compressive strength, DIF, peak strain and elastic modulus) would be discussed in detail and presented in the following section.

3.2 Compressive strength

It could be concluded from the stress-strain curves that the compressive strength of mortar and



Fig.3 Stress-strain curves of mortar



paste were both affected by the size of specimens. The compressive strength was fitted by using Weibull's statistical law, Bažant's energetic release theory and Multifractal scaling law.

Fig.5 shows the relationship between compression strength of mortar and dimension under static/dynamic load. The fitted equations are presented in Table 2.

Fig.6 shows the relationship between compressive strength of paste and dimension under static/dynamic

load. The fitted equations are presented in Table 3.

From the fitted results, it is obvious that the Weibull, Carpinteri and Bažant's size effect laws can be used to describe the compressive strength of cementitious materials under high strain rate.

3.3 DIF analysis

According to the controlled variable method, the size of specimens should be the only one variable in order to study the size effect and other variables such as

	Static		Dynamic				
Size effect	Formula for strength	Correlation coefficien, R^2	Formula for strength	Correlation coefficient, R^2			
Bažant's law	$\sigma_{\rm B} = \frac{3.14 \times 10^{-3}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{D}{0.1366}}}$	0.99	$\sigma_{\rm B} = \frac{1.75 \times 10^{-3}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{d}{0.1277}}}$	0.79			
Weibull's law	$\sigma_{\rm W} = 71.52 \times V^{-\frac{1}{12.94}}$	0.99	$\sigma_{\rm w} = 112.17 \times V^{-\frac{1}{14.66}}$	0.78			
Multifractal sealing law	$\sigma_{\rm M} = 23.08 \times \left(1 + \frac{39.39}{D}\right)^{1/2}$	0.99	$\sigma_{\rm M} = 42.45 \times \left(1 + \frac{29.38}{D}\right)^{1/2}$	0.77			

Table 2 Fitting results of mortar

Note: *D* stands for diameter; *V* stands for volume, and $V = \frac{\pi D^3}{8}$



Table 3 Fitting results of paste

Size effect	Static		Dynamic	
	Formula for strength	Correlation coefficien, R^2	Formula for strength	Correlation coefficient, R^2
Bažant's law	$\sigma_{\rm B} = \frac{3.26 \times 10^{-3}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{D}{0.144.6}}}$	0.71	$\sigma_{\rm B} = \frac{1.77 \times 10^{-3}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{D}{0.121.2}}}$	0.87
Weibull's law	$\sigma_{\rm W} = 73.69 \times V^{-\frac{1}{13.16}}$	0.82	$\sigma_{\rm W} = 113.30 \times V^{-\frac{1}{13.69}}$	0.92
Multifractal sealing law	$\sigma_{\rm M} = 23.58 \times \left(1 + \frac{34.24}{D}\right)^{1/2}$	0.63	$\sigma_{\rm M} = 42.45 \times \left(1 + \frac{23.71}{D}\right)^{1/2}$	0.77

quasi-static strength and strain rate should be constant. However, because of the inhomogeneity of cementbased materials, the static strength and strain rate could not be exactly the same. Thus, the parameter dynamic increase factor (DIF) was introduced. The DIF was defined as the ratio of dynamic to quasi-static strength. DIF could eliminate the influence of static strength and strain rate.

Based on theoretical analysis and experimental study, many researchers proposed DIF models which indicated the effect of strain rate on uniaxial strength. In order to compare different models, ϕ 59mm×

29.5 mm and ϕ 32 mm×16 mm mortar specimens and existing DIF models^[8,9,25-31] were put together in one single figure , as shown in Fig.7.

Comparing different models with test data, the DIF model proposed by Hartmann^[28] was adopted in this paper. Taking size effect into account, a modified model was present in equation (4):

For mortar:
$$DIF = (0.07 \frac{D}{D_0} + 0.36) \times \left(\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_0}\right)^{0.2} + 0.97$$
 (4)
For paste: $DIF = (0.1 \frac{D}{D_0} + 0.30) \times \left(\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_0}\right)^{0.2} + 0.97$



Fig.7 Comparison of experimental and calculated results by existing models

where, *D* is the diameter of specimen (mm), $D_0=32$ mm, and $\dot{\epsilon}_0=1$ s⁻¹.



Fig.8 DIF of modified Hartmann model and test results (a) mortar and (b) paste

Theoretical results calculated by the modified Hartmann model and the test data are presented in Fig.8. It is evident that the modified Hartmann model could reflect the influence of size effect, and DIF rises with increasing size. Comparing Figs.8(a) and 8(b), DIF for paste has greater discreteness, which might be due to the higher brittleness of this material.

3.4 Peak strain

Peak strain ε_u is defined as the strain at peak stress σ_u . Assuming that materials have the same strength, the

larger peak strain, the larger energy it can absorb during its failure process. The influence of size and strain rate on the peak strain is studied in Fig.9.



From Fig.9, we could find that the peak strains of mortar and paste were both affected by strain rate, and increased with the increase of strain rate.

However, dimension had little effect on the peak strain. Considering the average values, mortar had a relatively higher peak strain than paste had. That is to say, paste had greater brittleness.

3.5 Elastic modulus

Elastic modulus illustrates the relationship between the stress and strain of materials and is an essential parameter for the design of concrete structures. In this study, the elastic modulus takes the ratio of peak stress and peak strain, $E=\sigma_u/\varepsilon_u$. Fig.10 presents the elastic modulus of mortar and paste.

At high strain rate, elastic modulus of mortar and paste had great discreteness. There seemed to be no discipline about the size effect of elastic modulus. This conclusion needs further study since the range of size was not large enough.

4 Conclusions

Quasi-static and dynamic axial compression tests were carried out on mortar and paste specimens with three different sizes. From the analysis of the test results, the following conclusions can be drawn:

Cementitious materials seem to exhibit a size effect under both quasi-static and dynamic strain rates. Both the quasi-static and dynamic compressive strengths of mortar and paste specimens of larger size are smaller than those of smaller size.

Weibull's statistical law, Bažant's energetic release theory and Multifractal scaling law by Carpinteri all can be applied to the size effect analysis of quasi-static and dynamic compressive strength of mortar and paste. The theoretical results can fit the test data well.

The size effect exists on the DIF of mortar and paste. The DIF value increases with increasing specimen size. Peak strain increases with the increase of strain rate, however, the size effect is not evident. Under impact loading, the size effect on the elastic modulus of mortar and paste is not obvious.

References

- Yon JH, Hawkins NM, Kobayashi, AS. Strain-rate Sensitivity of Concrete Mechanical Properties[J]. ACI Materials Journal, 1992, 89(2): 146-153
- [2] Scott BD, Park R, Priestley MJN. Stress-Strain Behaviour of Concrete Confined by Overlapping Hoops at Low and High Strain Rates[J]. ACI Journal Proceedings, 1982, 79(1):13-27
- [3] Lok TS, Zhao PJ. Impact Response of Steel Fiber-Reinforced Concrete Using a Split Hopkinson Pressure Bar[J]. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 2004, 16(1): 54-59
- [4] Hasan ASMZ, Hamid R, Ariffin AK, Gani R. Stress-Strain Behaviour of Normal Strength Concrete Subjected to High Strain Rate[J]. Asian Journal of Applied Sciences, 2010, 3(2): 145-152
- [5] Rong Z, Sun W, Zhang Y. Dynamic Compression Behavior of Ultrahigh Performance Cement Based Composites[J]. International Journal

of Impact Engineering, 2010, 37(5): 515-520

- [6] Spooner D.C. Stress-strain-time Relationship for Concrete[J]. Magazine of Concrete Research, 1971, 23(75-76): 127-131
- [7] Ross CA, Tedesco JW, Kuennen ST. Effects of Strain Rate on Concrete Strength[J]. ACI Materials Journal, 1995, 92(1): 37-47
- [8] Li QM, Meng H. About the Dynamic Strength Enhancement of Concrete-like Materials in a Split Hopkinson Pressure Bar Test[J]. International Journal Solids and Structures, 2003, 40(2): 343-360
- [9] Tai YS. Uniaxial Compressive Tests at Various Loading Rates for Reactive Powder Concrete[J]. *Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics*, 2009, 52(3): 14-21
- [10] Weibull W. Phenomenon of Rupture in Solids[J]. Proceedings of Royal Swedish Institute of Engineering Research, 1939, 153: 1-55
- [11] Bazant ZP, Chen E-P. Sealing of Structure Failure[J]. American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 1997, 50(10): 593-627
- [12] Carpinteri A. Sealing Laws and Renormalization Groups for Strength and Toughness of Disordered Materials[J]. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 1994(31): 291-302
- [13] Bažant, Z. and Li, Z. Modulus of Rupture: Size Effect due to Fracture Initiation in Boundary Layer[J]. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 1995, 121(4): 739-746
- [14] Carpinteri A. Stress-singularity and Generalized Fracture Toughness at the Vertex of Re-entrant corners[J]. Engineering and Fracture Mechanics, 1987, 26(1): 143-155
- [15] Dor B-A, Drake JM. The Solute Size Effect in Rotational Diffusion Experiments: A Test of Microscopic Friction Theories[J]. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 1988, 89(2): 1 019
- [16] Sabins GM, Mirza SM. Size Effects in Model Concretes[J]. Journal of Structural Division, 1979, 105(6): 67-90
- [17] Kim JK, Eo SH. Size Effect in Concrete Specimens with Dissimilar Initial Cracks[J]. Magazine of Concrete Research, 1990, 64(2): 233-238
- [18] Kim YJK, Tae S. Application of Size Effect to Compressive Strength of Concrete Members[J]. Sadhana: Academy Proceedings in Engineering Science, 2002, 27(4): 467-484
- [19] Zhou H. Experimental Study on Size Effect on Concrete Strength[D]. Dalian: Dalian University of Technology, 2010
- [20] Bindiganavile V, Banthia N. Size Effects and the Dynamic Response of Plain Concrete[J]. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 2006, 18(4): 899-1 561
- [21] Zhou JK. Experimental Study on Dynamic Flexural Tensile Mechanical Properties of Full-graded Concrete in High Arch Dam[D]. Nanjing: Hohai University, 2007
- [22] Motaz M. Elfahal. Size Effect in Normal and High Strength Concrete Cylinders Subjected to Static and Dynamic Axial Compressive Loads
 [D]. USA: The Pennsylvania State University, the Graduate School College of Engineering, 2005.
- [23] Lai J, Sun W. Dynamic Mechanical Behavior of Ultra-high Performance Fiber Reinforced Concretes[J]. Journal of Wuhan University Technology-Materials Science Edition, 2008, 23(6): 938-945
- [24] ASTM C 192/C 192M-06, Standard Practice for Making and Curing Concrete Test Specimens in the Laboratory[S]. 2006. ASTM-International. ASTM Standards. In: ASTM-International, editor
- [25] Tedesco JW, Powell JC, Ross CA., Hughes ML. A Strain Rate Dependent Concrete Material Model for ADINA[J]. Computers and Structures, 1997, 64(1):1 052-1 067
- [26] Grote DL, Park SW, Zhou M. Dynamic Behavior of Concrete at High Strain Rates and Pressures: I. Experimental Characterization[J]. International Journal of Impact Engineering, 2001, 25(1): 869-886
- [27] Beppu M, Miwa K, Itoh M, Katayama M, Ohno T. Damage Evaluation of Concrete Plates by High-velocity Impact[J]. *International Journal* of Impact Engineering, 2008, 35(2): 1 419-1 426
- [28] Hartmann T, Pietzsch A, Gebbeken N. A Hydro-code Material Model for Concrete[J]. *International Journal of Protective Structures*, 2010, 1(4): 443-68
- [29] Zhou XQ, Hao H. Modeling of Compressive Behaviour of Concretelike Materials at High Strain Rates[J]. *International Journal of Solids* and Structures, 2008, 45(2): 4 648-4 661
- [30] Dilger WH, Koch R, Kowalczyk R. Ductility of Plain and Confined Concrete under Different Strain Rates[J]. ACI Journal Proceedings, 1984, 81(11): 73-81
- [31] Soroushian P, Choi KB, Alhamad A. Dynamic Constitutive Behavior of Concrete[J]. ACI Journal Proceedings, 1986, 83(3): 251-259