SHORT COMMUNICATION **SHORT COMMUNICATION**



# **A note on unique solvability of the absolute value equation**

**Shi-Liang Wu<sup>1</sup> · Cui-Xia Li<sup>1</sup>**

Received: 9 February 2019 / Accepted: 6 September 2019 / Published online: 12 September 2019 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

## **Abstract**

In this note, we show that the singular value condition  $\sigma_{\text{max}}(B) < \sigma_{\text{min}}(A)$  leads to the unique solvability of the absolute value equation  $Ax + B|x| = b$  for any *b*. This result is superior to those appeared in previously published works by Rohn (Optim Lett 3:603–606, 2009).

**Keywords** Absolute value equation · Unique solution · Singular values

# **1 Introduction**

In this note, we consider the following absolute value equation (AVE)

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
Ax - B|x| = b,\t\t(1)
$$

where  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We show that if matrices A and B satisfy

$$
\sigma_{\max}(B) < \sigma_{\min}(A),
$$

then the AVE [\(1\)](#page-0-0) for any *b* has a unique solvability, where  $\sigma_{\text{max}}$  and  $\sigma_{\text{min}}$ , respectively, denote the maximal and minimal singular values. This result is weaker than the following condition

$$
\sigma_{\max}(|B|) < \sigma_{\min}(A),
$$

which was provided in  $[1]$  by Rohn, one can see  $[1]$  $[1]$  for more details.

 $\boxtimes$  Shi-Liang Wu wushiliang1999@126.com Cui-Xia Li lixiatk@126.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> School of Mathematics, Yunnan Normal University, Kunming 650500, Yunnan, People's Republic of China

At present, the AVE [\(1\)](#page-0-0) has attracted considerable attention because the AVE [\(1\)](#page-0-0) is used as a useful tool in optimization, such as the linear complementarity problem, linear programming and convex quadratic programming, and so on.

Recently, it has been studied from two aspects: one is theoretical analysis, the other is to develop many efficient methods for solving the AVE [\(1\)](#page-0-0). The former focuses on the theorem of alternatives, various equivalent reformulations, and the existence and nonexistence of solutions, see  $[1-7]$  $[1-7]$ . Especially, in  $[6]$  $[6]$ , the authors presented some necessary and sufficient conditions for the unique solution of the AVE [\(1\)](#page-0-0) with  $B = I$ , where  $I$  denotes the identity matrix. The later focuses on exploring some numerical methods for solving the AVE [\(1\)](#page-0-0), such as the smoothing Newton method [\[8](#page-3-3)], the generalized Newton method [\[9](#page-3-4)], the sign accord method [\[10\]](#page-3-5), the Picard-HSS method [\[11](#page-3-6)], the relaxed nonlinear PHSS-like method [\[12\]](#page-3-7), Levenberg–Marquardt method [\[13](#page-3-8)], the finite succession of linear programs [\[14](#page-3-9)], the modified generalized Newton method [\[15](#page-3-10)[,16\]](#page-3-11), the preconditioned AOR method [\[17\]](#page-3-12) and the modified Newton-type method  $[18]$  $[18]$ .

#### **2 The main result**

In this section, we will give our main result.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>To give our main result, the following lemma is required.

**Lemma 2.1** *If matrices A and B satisfy*

$$
\sigma_{\max}(B) < \sigma_{\min}(A),
$$

*then the matrix*  $(A - B)^{-1}(A + B)$  *is positive definite.* 

*Proof* Since  $\sigma_{\text{max}}(B) < \sigma_{\text{min}}(A)$ , for all nonzero  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$
x^T A A^T x \ge \lambda_{\min}(A A^T) > \lambda_{\max}(B B^T) \ge x^T B B^T x.
$$

Clearly,

$$
x^T (AA^T - BB^T)x > 0.
$$

Noting that  $x^T B A^T x = x^T A B^T x$ . Further, we have

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
0 < x^T (AA^T - BB^T + BA^T - AB^T)x = x^T (A + B)(A^T - B^T)x. \tag{2}
$$

Let  $(A^T - B^T)x = y$ . By the simple computations, we have

$$
x^{T}(A + B)(A^{T} - B^{T})x = y^{T}(A - B)^{-1}(A + B)y.
$$

<span id="page-1-2"></span>It follows that *y<sup>T</sup>* (*A* − *B*)<sup>−1</sup>(*A* + *B*)*y* > 0 from Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0), which implies that the matrix (*A* − *B*)<sup>−1</sup>(*A* + *B*) is positive definite.  $(A - B)^{-1}(A + B)$  is positive definite.

**Theorem 2.1** *If matrices A and B satisfy*

$$
\sigma_{\max}(B) < \sigma_{\min}(A),
$$

*then the AVE* [\(1\)](#page-0-0) *for any b has a unique solution.*

*Proof* Let  $x_+ = \frac{|x| + x}{2}$  and  $x_- = \frac{|x| - x}{2}$ . Then

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
x = x_{+} - x_{-}, |x| = x_{+} + x_{-}.
$$
 (3)

Substituting  $(3)$  into  $(1)$ , we obtain

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
x_{+} = (A - B)^{-1} (A + B)x_{-} + (A - B)^{-1} b.
$$
 (4)

Based on the results in Lemma [2.1,](#page-1-1)  $(A - B)^{-1}(A + B)$  is a *P*-matrix. Therefore, the linear complementarity problem [\(4\)](#page-2-1) is uniquely solvable for any *b* in [\[19\]](#page-3-14) and so is the AVE [\(1\)](#page-0-0) for any *b*.

On the unique solvability of the AVE  $(1)$ , the following result was provided in [\[1](#page-3-0)].

<span id="page-2-2"></span>**Theorem 2.2** [\[1](#page-3-0)] *Let A, B*  $\in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  *satisfy* 

$$
\sigma_{\max}(|B|) < \sigma_{\min}(A),
$$

*then the AVE* [\(1\)](#page-0-0) *for any b has a unique solution.*

*Remark 2.1* It is noted that the condition in Theorem [2.1](#page-1-2) may be weaker than the condition in Theorem [2.2.](#page-2-2) In fact, for  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we have

$$
B^T B \leq |B^T B| \leq |B^T| \cdot |B|.
$$

Based on Theorem 8.1.18 in [\[20](#page-3-15)], we have

$$
\rho(B^T B) \le \rho(|B^T B|) \le \rho(|B^T| \cdot |B|),
$$

where  $\rho(\cdot)$  denotes the spectral radius of the matrix. It follows that  $\sigma_{\text{max}}(B) \leq$  $\sigma_{\text{max}}(|B|)$ .

*Remark 2.2* When  $B = I$ , Theorem [2.1](#page-1-2) reduces to Proposition 3 (i) in [\[2\]](#page-3-16). That is to say, Theorem [2.1](#page-1-2) is a generalization of Proposition 3 (i) in [\[2\]](#page-3-16).

The following example shows that Theorem 2.2 in [\[1\]](#page-3-0) may be invalid to judge the unique solution of the certain AVE, whereas, Theorem [2.1](#page-1-2) can judge the unique solution of the certain AVE.

*Example 2.1* Consider the following AVE

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.5 \end{bmatrix}}_{A} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} - \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{B} \begin{bmatrix} |x_1| \\ |x_2| \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}.
$$
 (5)

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

By the simple computations,  $\sigma_{min}(A) = \sigma_{max}(A) = 2.5$ ,  $\sigma_{max}(B) = 2.3028$  and  $\sigma_{\text{max}}(|B|) = 2.618$ . When we use Theorem 2.2 in [\[1](#page-3-0)], we find that  $\sigma_{\text{min}}(A)$  <  $\sigma_{\text{max}}(|B|)$ . Clearly, Theorem [2.2](#page-2-2) is invalid to judge the unique solution of the AVE [\(5\)](#page-2-3). Whereas, when using Theorem [2.1,](#page-1-2) i.e.,  $\sigma_{min}(A) > \sigma_{max}(B)$ , we find that the AVE [\(5\)](#page-2-3) is unique solution. In fact, the unique solution of the AVE (5) is  $x_1 = x_2 = 1$ . This further shows that Theorem [2.1](#page-1-2) is indeed superior to Theorem 2.2 in [\[1\]](#page-3-0) under certain conditions.

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for providing helpful suggestions, which greatly improved the paper. Funding was provided by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11961082) and 17HASTIT012.

### **References**

- <span id="page-3-0"></span>1. Rohn, J.: On unique solvability of the absolute value equation. Optim. Lett. **3**, 603–606 (2009)
- <span id="page-3-16"></span>2. Mangasarian, O.L., Meyer, R.R.: Absolute value equations. Linear Algebra Appl. **419**, 359–367 (2006)
- 3. Rohn, J., Hooshyarbakhsh, V., Farhadsefat, R.: An iterative method for solving absolute value equations and sufficient conditions for unique solvability. Optim. Lett. **8**, 35–44 (2014)
- 4. Zhang, C., Wei, Q.-J.: Global and finite convergence of a generalized newton method for absolute value equations. J. Optim. Theory Appl. **143**, 391–403 (2009)
- 5. Wu, S.-L., Guo, P.: On the unique solvability of the absolute value equation. J. Optim. Theory Appl. **169**, 705–712 (2016)
- <span id="page-3-2"></span>6. Wu, S.-L., Li, C.-X.: The unique solution of the absolute value equations. Appl. Math. Lett. **76**, 195–200 (2018)
- <span id="page-3-1"></span>7. Hladík, M.: Bounds for the solutions of absolute value equations. Comput. Optim. Appl. **69**(1), 243–266 (2018)
- <span id="page-3-3"></span>8. Caccetta, L., Qu, B., Zhou, G.-L.: A globally and quadratically convergent method for absolute value equations. Comput. Optim. Appl. **48**, 45–58 (2011)
- <span id="page-3-4"></span>9. Mangasarian, O.L.: A generalized Newton method for absolute value equations. Optim. Lett. **3**, 101– 108 (2009)
- <span id="page-3-5"></span>10. Rohn, J.: An algorithm for solving the absolute value equations. Electron. J. Linear Algebra. **18**, 589–599 (2009)
- <span id="page-3-6"></span>11. Salkuyeh, D.K.: The Picard-HSS iteration method for absolute value equations. Optim. Lett. **8**, 2191– 2202 (2014)
- <span id="page-3-7"></span>12. Zhang, J.-J.: The relaxed nonlinear PHSS-like iteration method for absolute value equations. Appl. Math. Comput. **265**, 266–274 (2015)
- <span id="page-3-8"></span>13. Iqbal, J., Iqbal, A., Arif, M.: Levenberg–Marquardt method for solving systems of absolute value equations. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **282**, 134–138 (2015)
- <span id="page-3-9"></span>14. Mangasarian, O.L.: Absolute value equation solution via concave minimization. Optim. Lett. **1**, 3–8 (2007)
- <span id="page-3-10"></span>15. Li, C.-X.: A modified generalized Newton method for absolute value equations. J. Optim. Theory Appl. **170**, 1055–1059 (2016)
- <span id="page-3-11"></span>16. Lian, Y.-Y., Li, C.-X., Wu, S.-L.: Weaker convergent results of the generalized Newton method for the generalized absolute value equations. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **338**, 221–226 (2018)
- <span id="page-3-12"></span>17. Li, C.-X.: A preconditioned AOR iterative method for the absolute value equations. Int. J. Comput. Methods **14**, 1750016 (2017)
- <span id="page-3-13"></span>18. Wang, A., Cao, Y., Chen, J.-X.: Modified Newton-type iteration methods for generalized absolute value equations. J. Optim. Theory Appl. **181**, 216–230 (2019)
- <span id="page-3-14"></span>19. Rohn, J.: A theorem of the alternatives for the equation  $Ax + B|x| = b$ . Linear Multilinear A. **52**, 421–426 (2004)
- <span id="page-3-15"></span>20. Horn, R.A., Johnson, C.R.: Matrix Analysis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1985)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.