

Cumulative Tsallis entropy under maximum (minimum) ranked set sampling with unequal samples using the quantile function

David Chris Raju[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2748-7528) · S. M. Sunoj¹ · G. Rajesh1

Received: 1 April 2022 / Revised: 12 August 2022 / Accepted: 25 September 2022 © Università degli Studi di Napoli "Federico II" 2022

Abstract

In this paper, we study the information content and properties of maximum (minimum) ranked set sampling with unequal samples (MaxRSSU (MinRSSU)) using quantilebased Tsallis entropy and its cumulative version. We compare the uncertainty and information content of MaxRSSU (MinRSSU) with simple random sampling (SRS) and ranked set sampling (RSS) schemes. We obtain useful characterization results in terms of quantile-based-cumulative Tsallis entropy under MaxRSSU and MinRSSU schemes. We also discuss an application of quantile-based cumulative Tsallis entropy under MaxRSSU in obtaining the optimum sample size.

Keywords Cumulative Tsallis entropy · Quantile function · Ranked set sampling · Reliability measures

Mathematics Subject Classification 94A17 · 62N05

1 Introduction

The concept of ranked set sampling (RSS) was first introduced by McIntyre [\[13](#page-21-0)] to estimate the mean pasture yields and found that RSS is a more efficient sampling method than simple random sampling (SRS) in terms of the population mean esti-

S. M. Sunoj smsunoj@gmail.com

G. Rajesh rajeshgstat@gmail.com

 \boxtimes David Chris Raju davidchris077@gmail.com

¹ Department of Statistics, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Cochin, Kerala 682 022, India

mation. The RSS and some of its variants have been successfully applied in different areas such as industrial statistics, environmental and ecological studies, bio-statistics, statistical genetics etc. We assume that $X_{SRS} = \{X_i, i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ be a SRS of size *n* from a continuous distribution with probability density function (pdf) $f(\cdot)$ and cumulative distribution function (cdf) $F(\cdot)$. The one-cycle ranked set sampling involves an initial ranking of *n* samples of size *n* as follows:

$$
1: X_{(1:n)1} X_{(2:n)1} \cdots X_{(n:n)1} \rightarrow X_{(1)1} = X_{(1:n)1}
$$

\n
$$
2: X_{(1:n)2} X_{(2:n)2} \cdots X_{(n:n)2} \rightarrow X_{(2)2} = X_{(2:n)2}
$$

\n
$$
\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots
$$

\n
$$
n: X_{(1:n)n} X_{(2:n)n} \cdots X_{(n:n)n} \rightarrow X_{(n)n} = X_{(n:n)n}
$$

where $X_{(i:n)}$ denotes the *i*th order statistics from the *j*th SRS of size *n*. The resulting sample is called a RSS of size *n* and denoted by $X_{RSS} = \{X_{(i)i}, i = 1, ..., n\}$, where $X_{(i)i}$ is the *i*th order statistic in a set of size *n* obtained from the *i*th sample having pdf,

$$
f_{(i:n)}(x) = \frac{1}{B(i, n-i+1)} f(x) [F(x)]^{i-1} [1 - F(x)]^{n-i},
$$

with $B(i, n - i + 1)$ is the beta function with the parameter *i* and $n - i + 1$.

Biradar et al. [\[2](#page-21-1)] proposed maximum ranked set sampling procedure with unequal samples (MaxRSSU) to estimate the mean of the exponential distribution and showed that MaxRSSU is better than that of the estimator based on SRS. In the MaxRSSU, we draw *n* simple random samples, where the size of the *i*th sample is *i* for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The one-cycle MaxRSSU involves an initial ranking of *n* samples of size *n* as follows:

$$
1: X_{(1:1)1} \rightarrow Y_1 = X_{(1:1)1}
$$

\n
$$
2: X_{(1:2)2} \times X_{(2:2)2} \rightarrow Y_2 = X_{(2:2)2}
$$

\n
$$
\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots
$$

\n
$$
n: X_{(1:n)n} X_{(2:n)n} \cdots X_{(n:n)n} \rightarrow Y_n = X_{(n:n)n}
$$

where $X_{(i:j)j}$ denotes the *i*th order statistic from the *j*th SRS of size *j*. The resulting sample is called one-cycle MaxRSSU of size *n* and denoted by $Y_{M R S S U}$ = ${Y_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n}$. Under the assumption of perfect judgment ranking (see Chen et al. [\[3\]](#page-21-2)), Y_i has the same distribution as $X_{(i)i}$ which is the *i*th order statistic (the maximum) in a set of size *i* obtained from the *i*th sample with probability density function (pdf),

$$
f_{(i)i}(x) = if(x)[F(x)]^{i-1}
$$
\n(1.1)

and distribution function,

$$
F_{(i)i}(x) = [F(x)]^i.
$$
 (1.2)

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = n(n + 1)/2$ ranked units and it allows for an increase in set size with-In MaxRSSU, we measure accurately only *ⁿ* maximum order statistics out of *ⁿ* out introducing too many ranking errors. In a similar manner one can also define procedure for minimum ranked set sampling with unequal samples (MinRSSU) as useful modification of RSS procedure. The one cycle MinRSSU involves an initial ranking of *m* samples of size *n* as follows,

$$
1: X_{(1:1)1} \longrightarrow Y_1 = X_{(1:1)1}
$$

\n
$$
2: \frac{X_{(1:2)1}}{X_{(1:2)1}} X_{(2:2)2} \longrightarrow Y_2 = X_{(1:2)2}
$$

\n
$$
\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots
$$

\n
$$
n: \frac{X_{(1:n)1}}{X_{(2:n)n}} X_{(2:n)n} \cdots X_{(n:n)n} \longrightarrow Y_n = X_{(1:n)n}
$$

Eskandarzadeh et al. [\[4](#page-21-3)] considered information measures of MaxRSSU in terms of Shannon entropy, Rényi entropy and Kullback-Leibler information. Jozani and Ahmadi [\[8\]](#page-21-4) explored the notions of uncertainty and information content of RSS data and compared them with their counterparts in SRS data. Tahmasebi et al. [\[22\]](#page-22-0) obtained some results on residual entropy for ranked set samples. Raqab and Qiu [\[18\]](#page-21-5) considered the problem of the information content of RSS data based on entropy measure and the related monotonic properties and stochastic comparisons. More recently, Qiu and Eftekharian [\[17\]](#page-21-6) obtained the information content of MinRSSU and MaxRSSU associated with extropy. However, little works have been found in literature on entropy properties of MaxRSSU (MinRSSU) design using quantile function, which calls for the present study.

Let *X* denote the lifetime of a system with pdf $f(\cdot)$ and cdf $F(\cdot)$. Shannon [\[20\]](#page-21-7) introduced a measure of uncertainty associated with *X* as

$$
H(X) = -E(\log f(X)) = -\int_0^{+\infty} f(x) \log(f(x))dx,
$$
 (1.3)

and has been used in various branches of statistics and related fields. The measure [\(1.3\)](#page-2-0) is additive in nature, that is, for two independent random variables *X* and *Y* , the two dimensional version of [\(1.3\)](#page-2-0),

$$
H(X, Y) = -E (\log f(X, Y)) = H(X) + H(Y).
$$
 (1.4)

Tsallis [\[25\]](#page-22-1) introduced a non-additive generalization of the Shannon entropy which is given by,

$$
S_{\alpha}(X) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} f^{\alpha}(x) dx - 1 \right]
$$

= $\frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \left[\int_0^1 f^{\alpha - 1}(F^{-1}(u)) du - 1 \right], \alpha > 0, \alpha \neq 1,$ (1.5)

where α is the entropic index. Clearly $\lim_{\alpha \to 1} S_{\alpha}(X) = H(X)$. Unlike [\(1.4\)](#page-2-1), Tsallis entropy in [\(1.5\)](#page-2-2) is non-additive, as for any two independent random variables *X* and *Y* ,

$$
S_{\alpha}(X, Y) = S_{\alpha}(X) + S_{\alpha}(Y) + (1 - \alpha)S_{\alpha}(X)S_{\alpha}(Y). \tag{1.6}
$$

 \bigcirc Springer

Many applications of Tsallis entropy such as fluxes of cosmic rays, turbulence, folded proteins and many other applications are given in Tsallis and Brigatti [\[26](#page-22-2)]. Wilk and Wodarczyk [\[28\]](#page-22-3) stated that, there are situations in which uncertainties can only be calculated by Tsallis entropy and the Shannon entropy fails to provide them. Tsallis entropy has also been extensively used in image processing and signal processing, (see Tong et al. $[24]$ $[24]$ and Weili et al. $[27]$).

It is well known that a probability distribution can be specified either by distribution function, or by quantile function defined as

$$
Q(u) = F^{-1}(u) = \inf\{t : F(t) \ge u\}, 0 < u < 1. \tag{1.7}
$$

Note that it is an efficient alternative to distribution function in modeling and data analysis (see Nair et al. $[15]$ $[15]$). While dealing with real-life data, we often get probability models having no closed form distribution functions but they have closed form quantile functions (see Freimer et al. [\[5\]](#page-21-9) and Hankin and Lee [\[7\]](#page-21-10)). Hence, to study the properties of Tsallis entropy for such models via [\(1.5\)](#page-2-2) is difficult. Thus, an alternative representation or approach to the Tsallis- α divergence is required. Accordingly, in the present paper, we introduce quantile-based Tsallis entropy for MaxRSSU and MinRSSU and study some of its properties.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sect. [2,](#page-3-0) we obtain the quantile-based Tsallis entropy of MaxRSSU and MinRSSU and their comparison with SRS and RSS. We also provide monotonic properties, stochastic orders and bounds for the proposed measures. In Sect. [3,](#page-9-0) we consider the cumulative Tsallis entropy associated with MaxRSSU and MinRSSU sampling and study their various properties including some characterization results. In Sect. [4,](#page-17-0) we discuss an application of cumulative Tsallis entropy under MaxRSSU as well as its non-parametric empirical estimation.

2 Quantile-based Tsallis entropy based on RSS, MaxRSSU and MinRSSU

In this section we study the quantile-based Tsallis entropy for SRS and RSS data sets. Let $X_{SRS} = \{X_i, i = 1, ..., n\}$ denotes a SRS of size *n* from a absolutely continuous distribution with a common pdf $f(.)$, cdf $F(.)$ quantile function $Q(.)$. For strictly increasing *F*, we have $F(Q(u)) = u, 0 < u < 1$, which further implies $f(Q(u))q(u) = 1$, where $f(Q(u))$ and $q(u) = \frac{d}{du}Q(u)$ are respectively known as the density quantile function and quantile density function. Khammar and Jahanshahi [\[10](#page-21-11)] defined the quantile-based Tsallis entropy, given by,

$$
\mathcal{Q}S_{\alpha}\left(X\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \int_0^1 f^{\alpha}\left(\mathcal{Q}(p)\right) d\mathcal{Q}(p)\right]
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \int_0^1 q^{1-\alpha}\left(p\right) dp\right].
$$

 $\textcircled{2}$ Springer

The corresponding quantile-based Tsallis entropy of X_{SRS} of size *n* is given by,

$$
\mathcal{Q}S_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{SRS}}\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 q^{\alpha - 1}\left(p_1\right) \dots q^{\alpha - 1}\left(p_n\right) dp_1 \dots dp_n\right]
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \int_0^1 q^{1 - \alpha}(p) dp - 1\right]
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \left[\left(\int_0^1 q^{1 - \alpha}(p) dp\right)^n - 1\right]
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \left[\left((1 - \alpha) \mathcal{Q}S_{\alpha}\left(X\right) + 1\right]^n - 1\right]. \tag{2.1}
$$

Kumar et al. [\[12](#page-21-12)] introduced the Tsallis entropy in terms of quantile function for the *i*th order statistics as,

$$
\mathcal{Q}S_{\alpha}\left(X_{(i:n)}\right) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left[\int_0^1 \left[f_{(i:n)}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}(p)) \right] d\mathcal{Q}(p) - 1 \right]
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left[\int_0^1 \left[g_i^{\alpha}(p) q^{1-\alpha}(p) \right] dp - 1 \right], \alpha > 0, \alpha \neq 1,
$$

where $g_i(p)$ follows the pdf of the beta distribution with the parameters *i* and $(n-i+1)$. Under the perfect ranking assumption, the quantile version of the Tsallis entropy of X_{RSS} of size *n* then becomes,

$$
\mathcal{Q}S_{\alpha}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\text{RSS}}\right) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[\int_{0}^{1} f_{(i:n)}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}(p)) d\mathcal{Q}(p) \right] - 1 \right)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[(1-\alpha) \mathcal{Q} S_{\alpha}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{(i:n)}\right) + 1 \right] - 1 \right), \tag{2.2}
$$

where $X(i:n)$ is the *i* th order statistic of size *n* with pdf $f(i:n)(x)$. Using (1.1) , the corresponding quantile-based Tsallis entropy of X_{MaxRSSU} of size *n* is obtained as

$$
\mathcal{Q}S_{\alpha} \left(X_{\text{MaxRSSU}} \right) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[\int_{0}^{1} f_{(i:i)}^{\alpha} (Q(p)) dQ(p) \right] - 1 \right)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[(1 - \alpha) \mathcal{Q} S_{\alpha} \left(X_{(i:i)} \right) + 1 \right] - 1 \right)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[\int_{0}^{1} i^{\alpha} p^{\alpha(i-1)} q^{1 - \alpha}(p) dp \right] - 1 \right) \tag{2.3}
$$

² Springer

where,

$$
QS_{\alpha}\left(X_{(i:i)}\right) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{p^{i-1}q^{1-\alpha}(p)}{B(i, 1)} dp \right] - 1, \alpha > 0, \alpha \neq 1. \tag{2.4}
$$

The corresponding quantile-based Tsallis entropy for X_{MinRSSU} is obtained as,

$$
QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{MinRSSU}}) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[\int_{0}^{1} f_{(1:i)}^{\alpha} (Q(p)) dQ(p) \right] - 1 \right)
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[\int_{0}^{1} i^{\alpha} (1-p)^{\alpha(i-1)} q^{1-\alpha}(p) dp \right] - 1 \right).
$$

Example 2.1 Suppoe that *X* follows Govindarajulu distribution [\[6\]](#page-21-13) that do not have any closed form distribution function. Then its quantile function and quantile density function are, respectively

$$
Q(u) = a \left\{ (b+1)u^b - bu^{b+1} \right\}
$$
 (2.5)

and

$$
q(u) = ab(b+1)(1-u)u^{b-1} \quad ; 0 \le u \le 1 \quad a, b > 0. \tag{2.6}
$$

The corresponding quantile-based Tsallis entropy of X_{MaxRSSU} and X_{MinRSSU} of size *n* is given by,

$$
\mathcal{Q}S_{\alpha} (X_{\text{MaxRSSU}})
$$

= $\frac{1}{(1-\alpha)} \left[(n!)^{\alpha} (ab(b+1))^{n(1-\alpha)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (B(\alpha i + b(1-\alpha)), 2-\alpha) - 1 \right].$

and

$$
\mathcal{Q}S_{\alpha} \left(X_{\text{MinRSSU}} \right) = \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)} \left[(n!)^{\alpha} \left(ab(b+1) \right)^{n(1-\alpha)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[B(b+\alpha-b\alpha, \alpha i + 2(1-\alpha)) \right] - 1 \right].
$$

Theorem 2.1 *Let X***MinRSSU** *and X***MaxRSSU** *be the MinRSSU and MaxRSSU from the population with pdf f* (·)*and cdf F*(·)*. Then*

- *1.* $[QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{MinRSSU}})(1-\alpha)+1] \leq (n!)^{\alpha} [QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{SRS}})(1-\alpha)+1]$ *for* $\alpha >$ $0, \alpha \neq 1.$
- *2.* $[QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{MaxRSSU}})(1 \alpha) + 1] \leq (n!)^{\alpha} [QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{SRS}})(1 \alpha) + 1]$ *for* $\alpha >$ $0, \alpha \neq 1.$

Proof The proof is straightforward from Theorem 2.1 of Tahmasebi et al. $[23]$ $[23]$. \square

We now prove some properties of Q_{α} (X_{MaxRSSU}) and Q_{α} (X_{MinRSSU}) using the following definitions of stochastic orders.

Definition 2.1 We say the random variable *X* is said to be smaller than *Y* in the:

- 1. Usual stochastic order $(X \leq_{st} Y)$ if $P(X \geq x) \leq P(Y \geq x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,
- 2. Hazard rate order $(X \leq_{hr} Y)$, if $\lambda_X(x) \geq \lambda_Y(x)$ for all *x*, where $\lambda_X(x) \leq \lambda_Y(x)$ denote respectively the failure rates *X* and *Y* with $\lambda(x) = \frac{f(x)}{\overline{F}(x)}$,
- 3. Hazard quantile order $(X \leq_{HQ} Y)$, if $H_X(u) \geq H_Y(u)$; $\forall u \in (0, 1)$ where $H_X(u) = [(1 - u)q_X(u)]^{-1}$ is the hazard quantile function,
- 4. Mean residual quantile function order $(X \leq_{MO} Y)$, if $M_X(u) \leq M_Y(u)$; $\forall u \in$ (0, 1), where $M_X(u) = (1 - u)^{-1} \int_u^1 (Q(p) - Q(u)) dp$, and
- 5. Dispersive order $(X \leq_{disp} Y)$, if $f(F^{-1}(u)) \geq g(G^{-1}(u))$ for all $u \in (0, 1)$, where F^{-1} and G^{-1} are right continuous inverses of *F* and *G*, respectively.

Definition 2.2 A non-negative random variable *X* is said to have increasing (decreasing) failure rate [IFR (DFR)] if $\lambda(x)$ is increasing (decreasing) in *x*.

Theorem 2.2 *Let X and Y be two non-negative random variables with pdf's* $f(\cdot)$ *and g*(·) *respectively. Then*

- *1.* If $X \leq_{disp} Y$, then QS_{α} ($X_{MinRSSU}$) $\geq (S)QS_{\alpha}$ ($Y_{MinRSSU}$) for $\alpha > 1(0 < \alpha <$ 1), $\alpha \neq 1$.
- *2. If* $X \leq_{disp} Y$, *then* QS_{α} (X_{MaxRSSU}) \geq (\leq) QS_{α} (Y_{MaxRSSU}) *for* $\alpha > 1(0 < \alpha <$ 1), $\alpha \neq 1$.

Proof From *X* \leq_{disp} *Y*, we have $q_X(u) \leq q_Y(u)$, $\forall u$. Then we have,

$$
\int_0^1 i^{\alpha} (1-u)^{\alpha(i-1)} q_X^{1-\alpha}(u) du \le \int_0^1 i^{\alpha} (1-u)^{\alpha(i-1)} q_Y^{1-\alpha}(u) du,
$$

which further yield case 1. The proof for X_{MaxRSSU} is similar hence it is omitted. \Box

Theorem 2.3 *For the continuous non-negative random variables X and Y*

- *1. if X* or *Y* is DFR, and $X \leq_{hr} Y$, or
- *2. if X* and *Y* have the same lower end support and if $\frac{Q_X(u)}{Q_Y(u)}$ is increasing in $u \in (0, 1)$ *and* $X \leq_{st} Y$ *, or*
- *3. if* $\frac{M_X(u)}{M_Y(u)}$ *is increasing in u and* $X \leq_{MQ} Y$,

then

- *1.* If $X \leq_{disp} Y$, then $QS_{\alpha}(X_{\text{MinRSSU}}) \geq (S)QS_{\alpha}(Y_{\text{MinRSSU}})$ for $\alpha > 10 < \alpha <$ 1), $\alpha \neq 1$.
- *2. If* $X \leq_{disp} Y$ *, then* QS_α ($X_{MaxRSSU}$) \geq (\leq) QS_α ($Y_{MaxRSSU}$) *for* $\alpha > 1(0 < \alpha <$ 1), $\alpha \neq 1$.

Proof The condition 1, 2 and 3 implies $X \leq_{HQ} Y$. Now using Lemma 3.3(a) of Khammar and Jahanshahi [10] and Theorem 2.2, the proof is complete. Khammar and Jahanshahi [\[10](#page-21-11)] and Theorem [2.2,](#page-6-0) the proof is complete.

Example 2.2 Let *X* be random variable that follows Govindarajulu distribution with quantile function (2.5) and quantile density function (2.6) . Then from (2.1) , (2.2) and [\(2.3\)](#page-4-2), we have,

$$
QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{SRS}}) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \{ ab(b+1) \}^{2(1-\alpha)} I_1^2 \right],
$$
 (2.7)

$$
QS_{\alpha} (X_{RSS}) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \{ ab(b+1) \}^{2(1-\alpha)} \frac{I_1 I_2}{B(1, 2)} \right],
$$
 (2.8)

$$
QS_{\alpha} \left(X_{\text{MinRSSU}} \right) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - 2^{\alpha} \left\{ ab(b+1) \right\}^{2(1-\alpha)} I_1 I_3 \right],\tag{2.9}
$$

$$
QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{MaxRSSU}}) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - 2^{\alpha} \left\{ ab(b+1) \right\}^{2(1-\alpha)} I_1 I_2 \right], \tag{2.10}
$$

where $I_1 = B\{(b-1)(1-\alpha) + 1, 2-\alpha\}, I_2 = B\{(b-1)(1-\alpha) + 2, 2-\alpha\}$ and $I_3 = B\{(b-1)(1-\alpha) + 1, 2\}$. Since, the parameters of beta function is always greater than 0, the Tsallis index parameter α can take values between $0 < \alpha <$ 2, $\alpha \neq 1$. The differences between QS_{α} (X_{SRS}), QS_{α} (X_{RSS}), QS_{α} ($X_{MinRSSU}$) and QS_{α} (X_{MaxRSSU}) for $n = 2$ are given by,

$$
\delta_1(\alpha) = QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{SRS}}) - QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{RSS}}) = \frac{\left[(ab(b+1))^{2(1-\alpha)} \right] I_1}{(\alpha - 1)} \left[\frac{I_2}{B\{1, 2\}} - I_1 \right],
$$

\n
$$
\delta_2(\alpha) = QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{SRS}}) - QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{MinkSSU}}) = \frac{\left[(ab(b+1))^{2(1-\alpha)} \right] I_1}{(\alpha - 1)} \left[2^{\alpha} I_3 - I_1 \right],
$$

\n
$$
\delta_3(\alpha) = QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{SRS}}) - QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{MaxRSSU}}) = \frac{\left[(ab(b+1))^{2(1-\alpha)} \right] I_1}{(\alpha - 1)} \left[2^{\alpha} I_2 - I_1 \right],
$$

\n
$$
\delta_4(\alpha) = QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{RSS}}) - QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{MinkSSU}}) = \frac{\left[(ab(b+1))^{2(1-\alpha)} \right] I_1}{(\alpha - 1)} \left[2^{\alpha} I_3 - \frac{I_2}{B\{1, 2\}} \right],
$$

\n
$$
\delta_5(\alpha) = QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{RSS}}) - QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{MaxRSSU}}) = \frac{\left[(ab(b+1))^{2(1-\alpha)} \right] I_1 I_2}{(\alpha - 1)} \left[2^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{B\{1, 2\}} \right],
$$

\n
$$
\delta_6(\alpha) = QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{SRS}}) - QS_{\alpha} (X_{\text{MaxRSSU}}) = \frac{\left[(ab(b+1))^{2(1-\alpha)} \right] 2^{\alpha} I_1}{(\alpha - 1)} [I_3 - I_2].
$$

From Figs. [1](#page-8-0) and [2,](#page-8-1) it can be seen that, $\delta_2(\alpha)$, $\delta_4(\alpha)$ and $\delta_6(\alpha)$ are all greater than $0, \forall$ 0 < α < 2, while $\delta_1(\alpha), \delta_3(\alpha)$ and $\delta_5(\alpha)$ are less than 0, \forall 0 < α < 2. Combining all these equations, we can conclude that, $X_{\text{MaxRSSU}} \geq X_{\text{RSS}} \geq X_{\text{SRS}} \geq$ X_{MinRSSU} irrespective of α .

In the following theorem, we obtain some sharp bounds for QS_{α} (X_{MinRSSU}) and $\mathcal{Q}S_{\alpha}$ (X_{MaxRSSU}). The proof of the theorem can be obtained from Theorem 2.9 from Tahmasebi et al. [\[23](#page-22-6)].

Fig. 1 The graph of $\delta_i(\alpha)$ of Govindarajulu distribution having quantile density function of the form [\(2.6\)](#page-5-1) with parameters $a = 2$ and $b = 0.5$ for $1 < \alpha < 2$

Fig. 2 The graph of $\delta_i(\alpha)$ of Govindarajulu distribution having quantile density function of the form [\(2.6\)](#page-5-1) with parameters $a = 2$ and $b = 0.5$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$

Theorem 2.4 Let
$$
m_1 = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \left[\int_{\frac{\alpha(i-1)}{\alpha(i-1)+1}}^{1} i^{\alpha} (1-u)^{\alpha(i-1)} q^{1-\alpha}(p) dp \right] - 1 \right)
$$
 and
\n
$$
M_1 = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{\alpha(i-1)+1}} i^{\alpha} (1-u)^{\alpha(i-1)} q^{1-\alpha}(p) dp \right] - 1 \right).
$$
 Also let,
\n $m_2 = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \left[\int_{\frac{\alpha(i-1)}{\alpha(i-1)+1}}^{1} i^{\alpha} u^{\alpha(i-1)} q^{1-\alpha}(p) dp \right] - 1 \right)$ and
\n $M_2 = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{\alpha(i-1)+1}} i^{\alpha} u^{\alpha(i-1)} q^{1-\alpha}(p) dp \right] - 1 \right).$ Then,

- *1. For* $0 \le \alpha \le 1$, we have $m_1 \le \mathcal{Q}S_{\alpha}(X_{\text{MinRSSU}}) \le M_1$ and $m_2 \le$ QS_{α} (X_{MaxRSSU}) < M_2 .
- 2. For $\alpha > 1$, we have $M_1 < QS_\alpha$ (X_{MinRSSU}) < m_1 and $M_2 < QS_\alpha$ (X_{MaxRSSU}) < $m₂$.
- *3. If q*(*p*) *never increases, then all inequalities in parts (i) and (ii) are reversed.*

3 Quantile-based cumulative Tsallis entropy for MaxRSSU and MinRSSU

Sankaran and Sunoj [\[19](#page-21-14)] introduced the quantile-based cumulative entropy and its dynamic version, given by

$$
\zeta = \zeta(X) = -\int_0^1 (\ln p) p dQ(p) = -\int_0^1 (\ln p) p q(p) dp \tag{3.1}
$$

and

$$
\zeta(u) = \zeta(X; Q(u)) = \frac{\ln u}{u} \int_0^u pq(p)dp - \frac{1}{u} \int_0^u (\ln p)pq(p)dp \qquad (3.2)
$$

respectively. However, Krishnan et al. [\[11](#page-21-15)] proposed a quantile version of cumulative Tsallis entropy in past lifetime and its dynamic version as,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}(X) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left(1 - \int_0^1 p^{\alpha} q(p) dp \right), \alpha > 0, \alpha \neq 1 \tag{3.3}
$$

and

$$
\tau_{\alpha}(u) = \tau_{\alpha}(X; Q(u)) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left(1 - \int_0^1 \frac{p^{\alpha}}{u} q(p) dp \right), \alpha > 0, \alpha \neq 1, 0 < u < 1.
$$
\n(3.4)

Using the quantile based-Tsallis entropy of SRS given in (2.1) , we have the quantile based-cumulative Tsallis entropy of SRS for past lifetime as,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}(X_{SRS}) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left(1 - \left[\int_0^1 p^{\alpha} q(p) dp \right]^n \right), \alpha > 0, \alpha \neq 1. \tag{3.5}
$$

Krishnan et al. [\[11\]](#page-21-15) obtained the quantile based-cumulative Tsallis entropy for 1*st* order and nth order statistics in the past lifetime as,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}(X_{1;n}) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left(1 - \int_0^1 (-1)^{\alpha} (1 - p)^{n\alpha} q(p) dp \right)
$$
(3.6)

and

$$
\tau_{\alpha}(X_{n;n}) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left(1 - \int_0^1 (-1)^{\alpha} p^{n\alpha} q(p) dp \right). \tag{3.7}
$$

 $\hat{2}$ Springer

The corresponding quantile-based cumulative Tsallis entropy for MinRSSU and MaxRSSU will be,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MinRSSU}}\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\int_{0}^{1} (-1)^{\alpha} (1 - p)^{i\alpha} q(p) dp\right)\right].\tag{3.8}
$$

and

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MaxRSSU}}\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\int_{0}^{1} (-1)^{\alpha} p^{i\alpha} q(p) dp\right)\right].\tag{3.9}
$$

Theorem 3.1 Let $X_{MaxRSSU}$ be the MaxRSSU from the population with pdf $f(\cdot)$ and *cdf* $F(\cdot)$ *. Then we have,* $\tau_{\alpha}(X_{\text{MaxRSSU}}) \geq \tau_{\alpha}(X_{\text{SRS}})$ for all not an even integer *greater than 1. .*

Proof Since $0 < p < 1$, for any $\alpha, p^{\alpha} \geq p^{i\alpha}, i = 1, 2, ..., n$. Multiplying with $q(p)$ and integrating in the range of 0 to 1, we get

$$
\int_0^1 p^{\alpha}q(p)dp \ge \int_0^1 p^{i\alpha}q(p)dp, \ \forall \ i=1,2,\ldots,n,
$$

which in turn gives,

$$
1 - \left[\int_0^1 p^{\alpha} q(p) dp\right]^n \le 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\int_0^1 p^{i\alpha} q(p) dp\right].
$$

Multiplying the above equation with $\frac{1}{\alpha-1}$, we obtain the inequality.

Theorem 3.2 *If* $X \leq_{disp} Y$ *or* $X \leq_{HQ} Y$ *, then* $\forall \alpha > 1$ *, we have,* τ_{α} ($X_{MinRSSU}$) \geq (\leq) τ_α (*Y_{MinRSSU}*) and τ_α (*X_{MaxRSSU*}) \geq (\leq)τ_α (*Y_{MaxRSSU*) *provided nα is an*} *even (odd) integer.*

Proof Using dispersion ordering we have $f(F^{(-1)}(u) \ge g(G^{(-1)}(u))$ implies that $q_Y(u) \ge q_X(u)$. The rest of the proof is straightforward and hence omitted.

Example 3.1 Suppose that *X* follows a power distribution with quantile function $Q(u) = \gamma u^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$. Then the quantile-based cumulative Tsallis entropy, is given by $\tau_\alpha(X) = \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)}$ $\left[1-\frac{\gamma}{\beta\alpha+1}\right]$. Then using [\(3.5\)](#page-9-1) the quantile-based cumulative Tsallis entropy for SRS of size $n = 2$ will be,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}(X_{SRS}) = \frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)} \left[1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{(\beta \alpha + 1)^2} \right].
$$
\n(3.10)

 \mathcal{D} Springer

For the MinRSSU data, the quantile-based cumulative Tsallis entropy for size $n = 2$ is given by,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MinRSSU}}\right) = \frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)} \left[1 - \frac{\gamma^2 B(\alpha + 1, \frac{1}{\beta})B(2\alpha + 1, \frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^2}\right] \forall \alpha = 2, 3, 4 \dots \tag{3.11}
$$

The difference between *XSRS* and *X MinRSSU* is

$$
\tau_{\alpha}(X_{SRS}) - \tau_{\alpha}(X_{MinRSSU})
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)} \left[\frac{\gamma^2 B(\alpha + 1, \frac{1}{\beta}) B(2\alpha + 1, \frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^2} - \frac{\gamma^2}{(\beta \alpha + 1)^2} \right].
$$
\n(3.12)

Similarly, for MaxRSSU data, the quantile-based cumulative Tsallis entropy for size $n = 2$ will be obtained by using (3.9) ,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MaxRSSU}}\right) = \frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)} \left[\frac{2\beta^2 \alpha^2 + 3\alpha \beta - \gamma^2 + 1}{(\beta \alpha + 1)(2\beta \alpha + 1)} \right] \forall \alpha = 2, 3, 4 \dots \tag{3.13}
$$

The difference between (3.13) and (3.10) , gives,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MaxRSSU}}\right) - \tau_{\alpha}(X_{\text{SRS}}) = \frac{\gamma^2 \alpha \beta}{(\alpha - 1)(\alpha \beta + 1)^2 (2\beta \alpha + 1)}.\tag{3.14}
$$

From the equation [\(3.14\)](#page-11-1) we can easily conclude that, τ_{α} ($X_{MaxRSSU}$) > τ_{α} (X_{SRS}), since we assumed $\alpha > 1$. We cannot say any relationship between τ_{α} (*X_{MinRSSU*)} and τ_{α} (X_{SRS}) as equation [\(3.12\)](#page-11-2) > 0 depends upon the parameters chosen.

The quantile-based cumulative Tsallis entropy of SRS, MinRSSU and MaxRSSU for $n = 2$ can also be obtained by using,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}(X_{SRS}) = 2\tau_{\alpha}(X) + (1 - \alpha)\tau_{\alpha}^{2}(X), \qquad (3.15)
$$

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MinRSSU}}\right) = \tau_{\alpha}(X_{1;2}) + \tau_{\alpha}(X) \n+ (1 - \alpha)\tau_{\alpha}(X)\tau_{\alpha}(X_{1;2}) \,\forall \,\alpha = 2, 3, 4 \dots , \quad (3.16)
$$

and

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MaxRSSU}}\right) = \tau_{\alpha}(X_{2;2}) + \tau_{\alpha}(X) \n+ (1 - \alpha)\tau_{\alpha}(X)\tau_{\alpha}(X_{2;2}) \,\forall \,\alpha = 2, 3, 4 \dots \,.
$$
\n(3.17)

 $\hat{2}$ Springer

3.1 Past lifetime and MaxRSSU

Let $t_X = (t - X|X < t)$ be a random variable describes the past lifetime at age *t*. Then the dynamic version of the cumulative Tsallis entropy for the past lifetime using quantile function has been proposed by Krishnan et al. [\[11](#page-21-15)]. The corresponding quantile-based dynamic cumulative past Tsallis entropy of SRS and MRSSU are obtained respectively as,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{SRS_n};u\right) = \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\int_0^u p^{\alpha}q(p)dp}{u^{\alpha}}\right)^n\right],\tag{3.18}
$$

and

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MaxRSSU}_n}; u\right) = \frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\int_0^u p^{i\alpha} q(p) dp}{u^{i\alpha}}\right)\right].\tag{3.19}
$$

The relationship between the cumulative past Tsallis entropy with respect to SRS and MRSSU is given by,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{SRS_{n}}; u\right) = \frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)}\left[1 - \left\{1 - (\alpha - 1)\tau_{\alpha}(u)\right\}^{n}\right] \tag{3.20}
$$

and

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MaxRSSU}_{n}}; u\right) = \frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\{1 - (i\alpha - 1)\tau_{i\alpha}(u)\right\}\right]. \tag{3.21}
$$

where $\tau_{\alpha}(u) = \frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)}$ $\left[1 - \left(\frac{\int_0^u p^\alpha q(p) dp}{u^\alpha}\right)\right]$ is the quantile-based cumulative past Tsallis entropy due to Krishnan et al. [\[11\]](#page-21-15). Differentiating [\(3.19\)](#page-12-0) with respect to *u*, we have,

$$
(\alpha - 1) \frac{d}{du} \tau_{\alpha} \left(X_{\text{MaxRSSU}_n}; u \right) =
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \prod_{j=1; j \neq i}^n \int_0^u \frac{p^{j\alpha} q(p) dp}{u^{j\alpha}} \left\{ \frac{i\alpha}{u} \int_0^u \frac{p^{i\alpha} q(p) dp}{u^{i\alpha}} - q(u) \right\} \right\}. \quad (3.22)
$$

Theorem 3.3 *If* $X_{(i:n)} \leq_{disp} Y_{(i;n)} \forall i = 1, 2, ..., n$, then $\tau_{\alpha}(X_{MaxRSSU_n}; u) \leq (\geq$ σ τ_{α} $(Y_{MaxRSSU_n}; u)$ for all $\alpha > 1(0 < \alpha < 1)$.

Proof Assume that, $X_{(i:n)} \leq_{disp} Y_{(i;n)}$ then $\tau_\alpha(X_{n;n}) \geq \tau_\alpha(Y_{n;n})$ for $\alpha > 1$ (see Theorem 3.3 in Krishnan et al. [\[11\]](#page-21-15)). Then we have,

$$
\prod_{i=1}^n (\alpha-1)\tau_\alpha\left(X_{i;i}\right) \geq \prod_{i=1}^n (\alpha-1)\tau_\alpha\left(Y_{i;i}\right).
$$

² Springer

The remaining part is straightforward and hence omitted. For $0 < \alpha < 1$, the inequality gets reversed. \Box

Apart from comparing random variables based on τ_{α} ($X_{MaxRSSU}$), it also provide some characterizations to well known probability distributions. The following theorems prove to this effect.

Theorem 3.4 *The quantile-based dynamic cumulative Tsallis entropy of MaxRSSU in past lifetime takes the form*

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{MaxRSSU_n}; u\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1}\left\{1 - \prod_{i=1}^n C_i R_{i;i}(u)\right\}
$$
(3.23)

if and only if X has a power distribution with quantile function $Q(u) = \gamma u^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$, *where* $R_{i;i}(u)$ *denotes the quantile-based ith order mean inactivity time,* $R_{i;i}(u) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^u \frac{u^i}{a(u)} du$ and $C = \frac{i\beta + 1}{\pi}$ $\frac{1}{u^i} \int_0^u p^i q(p) dp$ and $C_i = \frac{i\beta+1}{i\beta\alpha+1}$.

Proof We use the induction technique for the proof of this theorem. When $n = 1$, we have $\tau_{\alpha}(X) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1}$ $\left\{1 - \frac{\beta + 1}{\beta \alpha + 1} R_{1,1}(u)\right\}$. That is,

$$
\int_0^u \frac{p^{\alpha} q(p)}{u^{\alpha}} = \frac{\beta + 1}{\beta \alpha + 1} R_{1,1}(u).
$$
 (3.24)

The proof of this theorem is available in Krishnan et al. [\[11\]](#page-21-15). Now for $n = 2$, the equation [\(3.23\)](#page-13-0) becomes,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MaxRSSU}_n}; u\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1}\left\{1 - \prod_{i=1}^{2} C_i R_{i;i}(u)\right\}.
$$

Simplifying the above equation and using [\(3.24\)](#page-13-1) we have,

$$
\int_0^u \frac{p^{2\alpha} q(p)}{u^{2\alpha}} = C_2 * R_{2;2}(u). \tag{3.25}
$$

The 'if' part of the [\(3.25\)](#page-13-2) can be easily obtained by simple mathematical calculation. To prove the 'only if' part, we first take the derivative of (3.25) with respect to *u*, gives

$$
u^{2\alpha}q(u) = C_2 * u^{2\alpha - 1}(uR_{2,2}(u) + 2\alpha R'_{2,2}(u)).
$$
\n(3.26)

Now using the result $u R'_{2,2}(u) = \frac{1}{\Lambda(u)} - 2 * R_{2,2}(u)$, substituting $(uq(u))^{-1} = \Lambda(u)$ and simplifying we have,

$$
R_{2;2}(u)\Lambda(u) = K^*.
$$
 (3.27)

 $\textcircled{2}$ Springer

Differentiating [\(3.27\)](#page-13-3) with respect to *u*,

$$
R_{2;2}(u)\Lambda(u) = K^*
$$
\n(3.28)

where $K^* = \frac{1 - C_2}{C_2(\alpha - 1) * 2}$. Differentiating [\(3.28\)](#page-14-0) with respect to *u* we get,

$$
R'_{2;2}(u)\Lambda(u) = -R_{2;2}(u)\Lambda'(u). \tag{3.29}
$$

Substituting the relationship $u R'_{2;2}(u) = \frac{1}{\Lambda(u)} - 2 * R_{2;2}(u)$ and [\(3.27\)](#page-13-3) in [\(3.29\)](#page-14-1),

$$
R_{2,2}(u)\Lambda^{'}(u) = \frac{2K^*-1}{u}.
$$
\n(3.30)

Differentiating $uq(u)\Lambda(u) = 1$, we get

$$
\Lambda^{'}(u) = -\Lambda(u) \left[\frac{1}{u} + \frac{q^{'}(u)}{q(u)} \right].
$$
\n(3.31)

Substituting this in (3.29) and simplifying we have,

$$
K^* \left[\frac{1}{u} + \frac{q'(u)}{q(u)} \right] = \frac{2K^* - 1}{u}.
$$
 (3.32)

Solving [\(3.32\)](#page-14-2) we have, $q(p) = k_1 u^{2K^* - 1}$ which is the quantile function of power distribution. Now for the last part of the induction method, one can undergo the same procedure to complete the proof.

Corollary 3.1 *The quantile-based dynamic cumulative Tsallis entropy of MaxRSSU in* p ast lifetime τ_α $(X_{MaxRSSU_n}; u) = C$, a constant for all n, if and only if X has an *exponential distribution with the support* $(-\infty, 0)$ *.*

Similar to τ_{α} $(X_{MaxRSSU_n}; u)$, one can also characterize certain distributions using τ_α (**X** SRS_n; *u*).

Theorem 3.5 *If X is a continuous random variable such that,*

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{SRS_n};u\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1}\left\{1 - \left[C(u)R(u)\right]^n\right\},\tag{3.33}
$$

where R(.) *is the reversed mean residual quantile function. Then, for every n, R*(*u*) *takes the form,*

$$
R(u) = \frac{1}{C(u)} exp\left\{\frac{\int_0^u (\alpha(C(p) - 1)dp}{p(1 - C(p))}\right\}.
$$

 \mathcal{D} Springer

Proof Solving [\(3.33\)](#page-14-3) we have the equation,

$$
\int_0^u p^{\alpha} q(p) dp = C(u)R(u)u^{\alpha}.
$$

Using theorem 2.7 of Krishnan et al. $[11]$ $[11]$, the proof is complete.

Corollary 3.2 *Let X be a non-negative random variable, with quantile function Q*(.)*, Then for* $\alpha > 1$ *,*

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{SRS_{n}}; u\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1}\left\{1 - C\left(R(u)\right)^{n}\right\} \tag{3.34}
$$

where $C > \frac{1}{\alpha^n}$, if and only if X has a power distribution for every n.

Corollary 3.3 *The* τ_{α} $(X_{SRS_n}; u) = C$ is a constant for every n if and only if X has a *exponential distribution with negative support.*

Theorem 3.6 *If* $\tau_{\alpha}(u)$ *is increasing(decreasing), with respect to u, then we have*

- *1.* τ_{α} $(X_{SRS_n}; u)$ is also increasing (decreasing) with respect to u.
- 2. τ_α $(X_{MRSSU_n}; u)$ is also increasing(decreasing) with respect for all possible $\alpha >$ 1*.*

Proof If $\tau_{\alpha}(u)$ is increasing(decreasing), with respect to *u*, then we have for $u_2 > u_1$, $\tau_{\alpha}(u_2) \geq (\leq) \tau_{\alpha}(u_1)$. Now for $\alpha > 1$, multiplying the above equation by $-(\alpha - 1)$ and adding 1 we have,

$$
1-(\alpha-1)\tau_{\alpha}(u_2)\leq (\geq)1-(\alpha-1)\tau_{\alpha}(u_1).
$$

Taking the whole power with respect to *n*, adding 1 on both sides and multiplying by $\frac{1}{\alpha-1}$ we have the result. The proof for $\alpha < 1$ and the second theorem is similar. Hence \Box \Box

3.2 Residual lifetime and MinRSSU

Let $t_X^* = (X - t | X > t)$ be a random variable describing the residual lifetime at age *t*[∗]. Then the quantile-based cumulative residual Tsallis entropy is defined as,

$$
\tau_{2\alpha}(u) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left\{ 1 - \int_u^1 \frac{(1 - p)^{\alpha} q_X(p) dp}{(1 - u)^{\alpha}} \right\}.
$$
 (3.35)

Using equation [\(3.35\)](#page-15-0), the corresponding dynamic version of quantile basedcumulative residual Tsallis entropy for MinRSSU becomes,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MinRSSU}_{n}}; u\right) = \frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\int_{u}^{1} (1 - p)^{i\alpha} q(p) dp}{(1 - u)^{i\alpha}}\right)\right].
$$
 (3.36)

Example 3.2 For the proportional hazards model given by $h_Y(x) = \theta h_X(x) \theta > 0$, where $h_Y(x)$ and $h_X(x)$ respectively represent the hazard functions of *Y* and *X*, the quantile-based cumulative residual Tsallis entropy for MinRSSU will be,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(Y_{MinRSSU_n}; u\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\int_u^1 (1 - p)^{i\alpha} q_Y(p) dp}{(1 - u)^{i\alpha}}\right)\right]
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \left[1 - (\alpha - 1) \tau_{\alpha}(Y_{1:i})\right]\right] \tag{3.37}
$$

Clearly $\forall i = 1, 2, 3 \ldots, n$,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}(Y_{1:i}) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\int_u^1 (1 - p)^{i\alpha} q_Y(p) dp}{(1 - u)^{i\alpha}} \right) \right]
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\int_u^1 (1 - p)^{i\alpha} (1 - p)^{\frac{1}{\theta} - 1} q_X(1 - (1 - p)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}) dp}{\theta (1 - u)^{i\alpha}} \right) \right]
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\int_{1 - (1 - u)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} (1 - z)^{i\alpha\theta} q_X(z) dz}{\theta (1 - u)^{i\alpha}} \right) \right]
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\int_u^1 (1 - z)^{i\alpha} q_X(z) dz}{(1 - u)^{i\alpha}} \right) \right]
$$

\n
$$
\leq \tau_{\alpha}(X_{1:i}) \tag{3.38}
$$

Combining equations (3.37) and (3.38) , we have the inequality

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(Y_{MinRSSU_n}; u\right) \leq \tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{MinRSSU_n}; u\right).
$$

In the next theorem we characterize exponential distribution using τ_{α} $(X_{MinRSSU_n}; u)$.

Theorem 3.7 *X is exponentially distributed if and only if* τ_{α} ($X_{MinRSSU_n}$; *u*) *is a constant.*

Proof For the exponential distribution with parameter $\lambda > 0$,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MinRSSU}_{n}}; u\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{i\alpha\lambda}\right)\right],\tag{3.39}
$$

a constant. To prove the 'only if' part we can write τ_{α} ($X_{MinRSSU_n}$; *u*) as,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MinRSSU}_{n}}; u\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[1 - (\alpha - 1) \tau_{\alpha}(X_{1:i})\right]\right],\tag{3.40}
$$

² Springer

using [\(3.37\)](#page-16-0). Now τ_{α} ($X_{MinRSSU_n}$; *u*) is a constant further implies that \forall *i* = 1, 2, 3, ..., *n*, $\tau_{\alpha}(X_{1:i})$ is constant and hence *X* is exponential (see Theorem 3.2 of Sunoi et al. [21]) of Sunoj et al. $[21]$ $[21]$).

If τ_{α} (*X_{MinRSSU_n*; *u*) is increasing in *X* and $\phi(\cdot)$ is non-negative, increasing and} convex function. Then τ_{α} (ϕ (*X*) $_{MinRSSU_n}$; *u*) is also increasing (decreasing) if α > $1(0 < \alpha < 1)$. For example if X is an exponential random variable with mean λ and let $\phi(X) = X^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$, then $\phi(X)$ satisfies all the desirable properties such as nonnegativity, increasing and convexity $(0 < \gamma < 1)$. Hence we can conclude that τ_{α} (ϕ (*X*)*MinRSSU_n*; *u*) is also increasing when $\alpha > 1$. In the next theorem we find bounds for τ_{α} ($X_{MinRSSU_n}$; *u*) using hazard quantile function $H(u)$.

Theorem 3.8 *Let X be a non-negative continuos random variable with quantile func*tion $Q(u)$ and hazard quantile function $H(u)$. If τ_α $\big(\boldsymbol{\phi}(X)_{\boldsymbol{MinRSSU_n}};u\big)$ is increasing *in u, then for* $\alpha > 1$ *we have*

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MinRSSU}_{n}}; u\right) \geq \frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{i\alpha H(u)}\right)\right],
$$

and for α < 1*,*

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MinRSSU}_{n}}; u\right) \leq \frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)}\left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{i\alpha H(u)}\right)\right].
$$

Proof Using [\(3.37\)](#page-16-0) and for $\alpha > 1$, we have,

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(X_{MinRSSU_{n}}; u\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1}\left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[1 - (\alpha - 1) \tau_{\alpha}(X_{1:i})\right]\right]
$$

$$
\geq \frac{1}{\alpha - 1}\left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[1 - (\alpha - 1)\left(\frac{1}{\alpha - 1}\left\{1 - \frac{1}{i\alpha H(u)}\right\}\right)\right]\right]
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)}\left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{i\alpha H(u)}\right)\right].
$$
(3.41)

The proof for $\alpha < 1$ is similar hence omitted.

4 Applications of τ_{α} ($X_{MaxRSSU}$)

4.1 Fixing the sample size associated with MaxRSSU

Many often, it is imperative to decide the size of the sample to be selected from a given population. From [\(3.9\)](#page-10-0) it can be seen that τ_{α} (X_{MaxRSSU}) converges to a quantity $\frac{1}{(\alpha-1)}$ when sample size *n* reaches a certain value. This is because when

n increases, $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\int_0^1 p^{i\alpha} q(p) dp \right) \rightarrow 0$. This is the stage, at which maximum information, τ_{α} (*X* $MaxRSSU$) $\rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha-1}$ can be obtained from the sample. Hence the convergence property of τ_{α} ($\overline{X_{\text{Max}}$ *RSSU*) can be taken as a methodology to fix the sample size n . Equivalently, we choose the optimum sample size n for which $\tau_{\alpha}(X_{MaxRSSU}) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha-1}.$

However, in the case of τ_{α} (X_{SRS}), from [\(3.5\)](#page-9-1), it can be seen that, $\left[\int_0^1 p^{\alpha} q(p) dp\right]$ is always positive. As *n* increases and if the value of $\left| \int_0^1 p^{\alpha} q(p) dp \right|$ lies between 0 and 1, then τ_{α} (*X_{SRS}*) $\rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha-1}$ or if the value of $\left[\int_0^1 p^{\alpha} q(p) dp\right]$ is greater than 1, then τ_{α} (X_{SRS}) diverges to $-\infty$. The convergence or divergence of τ_{α} (X_{SRS}), depends on the parameters of $q(p)$, while for any chosen value of the parameters in $q(p)$ of MaxRSSU, τ_{α} ($X_{MaxRSSU}$) $\rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha-1}$.

In order to elucidate the concept further, we consider the probability models, viz., the Govindarajulu, power and skew-lambda distributions with quantile functions $q(u)$ = $ab(b+1)(1-u)u^{b-1}, q(u) = abu^{\frac{1}{b-1}}$ and $q(u) = b(au^{b-1} + (1-u)^{b-1})$ respectively, wherein the Govindarajulu and skew-lambda distributions do not have closed form distribution functions. For each of the three probability models, we determine the sample size *n* for which τ_{α} (χ_{MaxRSSU}) attains its maximum value, $\frac{1}{\alpha-1}$. This is carried out for different parametric values by keeping the value of $\alpha = 2$ and are given in Table [1.](#page-18-0) It can be observed that when the value of parameter increases the optimum sample size *n* also increases.

4.2 Empirical plug-in estimator for τ_{α} $(X_{MaxRSSU}).$

Parzen [\[16](#page-21-17)] defined an empirical estimator for the quantile function which is given by,

$$
\bar{Q}_i(u) = X_{\{r;n\}i}, \text{ for } \frac{r-1}{n} < u < \frac{r}{n}, \ r = 1, 2, \dots, n,\tag{4.1}
$$

Table 1 Optimum sample size *n* for which τ_{α} $(X_{M R S S U})$ attains $\frac{1}{\alpha-1}$

and a smoothed version of [\(4.1\)](#page-18-1) with the form,

$$
\hat{Q}_{i;n}(u) = n\left(\frac{r}{n} - u\right)X_{\{r-1;n\}i} + n\left(u - \frac{r-1}{n}\right). \tag{4.2}
$$

Differentiating [\(4.2\)](#page-19-0), we can easily obtain the empirical estimator for quantile density function,

$$
\bar{q}_i(u_r) = n\left(X_{\{r;n\}i} - X_{\{r-1;n\}i}\right) \text{ for } \frac{r-1}{n} < u < \frac{r}{n}.\tag{4.3}
$$

Substituting [\(4.3\)](#page-19-1) in [\(3.9\)](#page-10-0) we have the empirical estimator for τ_{α} ($X_{MaxRSSU}$), given by

$$
\bar{\tau}_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MaxRSSU}}\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\int_{0}^{1} (-1)^{\alpha}(p)^{i\alpha} \bar{q}_{i}(p) dp\right)\right].\tag{4.4}
$$

Changing the integral into summation and applying the transformation of u_i into $(\frac{i}{n})^{\alpha}$, the empirical estimator in [\(4.4\)](#page-19-2) modifies to

$$
\bar{\tau}_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MaxRSSU}}\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{j}{m}\right)^{i\alpha} \bar{q}_i(p) dp\right)\right], \quad (4.5)
$$

where $\bar{q}_i(p)$ is the quantile density estimator for each $X_{\{i:i\}}(i)$. Our initial simulations shows some deficiencies to $\hat{\tau}_{\alpha}$ ($X_{MaxRSSU}$) in terms of unbiasedness, we propose a further modified (4.5) in line with Kazemi et al. $[9]$, given by

$$
\hat{\tau}_{\alpha}\left(X_{\text{MaxRSSU}}\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{j}{m+n+w}\right)^{i\alpha} \bar{q_i}(p) dp\right)\right],\tag{4.6}
$$

where n is the size of sample m is the number of cycles and w is a number that estimator has optimally low bias and MSE. To investigate the performance of [\(4.6\)](#page-19-4), we use Govindarajulu model with quantile function $q(u) = ab(b+1)(1-u)u^{b-1}$. Like in Sect. [4.1,](#page-17-1) we consider the Tsallis index $\alpha = 2$. We have also fixed the number of cycles *m* as 10. Table [2](#page-20-0) now provides the selected value of w, estimate of $\hat{\tau}_{\alpha}$ ($X_{\text{Max}RSSU}$), the bias and MSE for different parameter combinations of Govindarajulu distribution. It is evident that the proposed estimator is efficient with respect to bias and MSE.

Further, we extend the proposed estimation procedure for a real data set. We have chosen the data set given in Aarset [\[1\]](#page-21-19) which represents the failure time of 50 devices. Nair et al. [\[14\]](#page-21-20) fitted the Govindarajulu model with parameters $a = 93.463$ and $b = 2.0915$. Using these parameter estimates and (4.6) , Table [3](#page-20-1) now provides the value of w , the estimated value and bias corresponding to each sample size n , which

30 −10.2376 1 0.000623

XM RSSU BIAS MSE

2 (0.25,0.5,0) 0.996415 −0.003423 0.000012
3 (0.25,0.5,0) 0.999992 0.000007 0

 $2 (0.5,0.5,0)$ 0.985658 -0.013693 0.000187
3 $(0.5,0.5,0)$ 0.999934 0.000061 0 $(0.5, 0.5, 0)$ 0.999934 0.000061 0

 n (*a*, *b*, *w*)

 $(0.25, 0.5, 0)$

Table 2 The estimated value, the bias and MSE of τ_{α} (*X_{MRSSU*}) using Govindarajulu distribution

further validates the usefulness of $\hat{\tau}_{\alpha}$ ($X_{MaxRSSU}$) in the computation of uncertainty of a random phenomenon.

Acknowledgements The first author wishes to thank Cochin University of Science and Technology, India, for the financial support. The second and third authors wish to thank the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), Government of India (FILE NO. MTR/2020/000051 vide Diary No. SERB/F/5424/2020- 2021 dated 10-12-2020 and FILE NO. MTR/2019/000203 vide Diary No. SERB/F/9880/2019-2020 dated 06-02-2020) for the financial support.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors also declares that there is no competing interest.

References

- 1. Aarset, M.V.: How to identify a bathtub hazard rate. IEEE Trans. Reliab. **36**(1), 106–108 (1987)
- 2. Biradar, B.S., Santosha, C.D., et al.: Estimation of the mean of the exponential distribution using maximum ranked set sampling with unequal samples. Open J. Stat. **4**(08), 641 (2014)
- 3. Chen, Z., Bai, Z., Sinha, B.: Ranked Set Sampling: Theory and Applications, volume 176. Springer, Berlin (2003)
- 4. Eskandarzadeh, M., Crescenzo, A.D., Tahmasebi, S.: Measures of information for maximum ranked set sampling with unequal samples. Commun. Stat. Theory Methods **47**(19), 4692–4709 (2018)
- 5. Freimer, M., Kollia, G., Mudholkar, G.S., Lin, C.T.: A study of the generalized Tukey lambda family. Commun. Stat. Theory Methods **17**(10), 3547–3567 (1988)
- 6. Govindarajulu, Z.: A class of distributions useful in life testing and reliability with applications to nonparametric testing. In: The Theory and Applications of Reliability with Emphasis on Bayesian and Nonparametric Methods, pp. 109–129. Elsevier (1977)
- 7. Hankin, R.K.S., Lee, A.: A new family of non-negative distributions. Austr. N. Z. J. Stat. **48**(1), 67–78 (2006)
- 8. Jozani, M.J., Ahmadi, J.: On uncertainty and information properties of ranked set samples. Inf. Sci. **264**, 291–301 (2014)
- 9. Kazemi, M., Tahmasebi, S., Calì, C., Longobardi, M.: Cumulative residual extropy of minimum ranked set sampling with unequal samples. Results Appl. Math. **10**, 100156 (2021)
- 10. Khammar, A.H., Jahanshahi, S.M.A.: Quantile based Tsallis entropy in residual lifetime. Physica A **492**, 994–1006 (2018)
- 11. Krishnan, A.S., Sunoj, S.M., Sankaran, P.G.: Quantile-based reliability aspects of cumulative Tsallis entropy in past lifetime. Metrika **82**(1), 17–38 (2019)
- 12. Kumar, V., et al.: A quantile approach of Tsallis entropy for order statistics. Physica A **503**, 916–928 (2018)
- 13. McIntyre, G.A.: A method for unbiased selective sampling, using ranked sets. Aust. J. Agric. Res. **3**(4), 385–390 (1952)
- 14. Nair, N.U., Sankaran, P., Vineshkumar, B.: The Govindarajulu distribution: some properties and applications. Commun. Stat. Theory Methods **41**(24), 4391–4406 (2012)
- 15. Nair, N.U., Sankaran, P.G., Balakrishnan, N.: Quantile-Based Reliability Analysis. Springer (2013)
- 16. Parzen, E.: Nonparametric statistical data modeling. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. **74**(365), 105–121 (1979)
- 17. Qiu, G., Eftekharian, A.: Extropy information of maximum and minimum ranked set sampling with unequal samples. Commun. Stat. Theory Methods **50**(13), 2979–2995 (2021)
- 18. Raqab, M.Z., Qiu, G.: On extropy properties of ranked set sampling. Statistics **53**(1), 210–226 (2019)
- 19. Sankaran, P.G., Sunoj, S.M.: Quantile-based cumulative entropies. Commun. Stat. Theory Methods **46**(2), 805–814 (2017)
- 20. Shannon, C.E.: A mathematical theory of communication. Bell Syst. Tech. J. **27**(3), 379–423 (1948)
- 21. Sunoj, S.M., Krishnan, A.S., Sankaran, P.G.: A quantile-based study of cumulative residual Tsallis entropy measures. Physica A **494**, 410–421 (2018)
- 22. Tahmasebi, S., Jafari, A.A., Eskandarzadeh, M.: Some results on residual entropy of ranked set samples. Stat. Probab. Lett. **112**, 137–145 (2016)
- 23. Tahmasebi, S., Longobardi, M., Kazemi, M., Alizadeh, M.: Cumulative Tsallis entropy for maximum ranked set sampling with unequal samples. Physica A **556**, 124763 (2020)
- 24. Tong, S., Bezerianos, A., Paul, J., Zhu, Y., Thakor, N.: Nonextensive entropy measure of EEQ following brain injury from cardiac arrest. Physica A **305**(3–4), 619–628 (2002)
- 25. Tsallis, C.: Possible generalization of Boltzmann–Gibbs statistics. J. Stat. Phys. **52**(1), 479–487 (1988)
- 26. Tsallis, C., Brigatti, E.: Nonextensive statistical mechanics: a brief introduction. Continuum Mech. Thermodyn. **16**(3), 223–235 (2004)
- 27. Weili, S., Yu, M., Zhanfang, C., Hongbiao, Z.: Research of automatic medical image segmentation algorithm based on Tsallis entropy and improved pcnn. In: 2009 International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation, pp. 1004–1008. IEEE (2009)
- 28. Wilk, G., Wodarczyk, Z.: Example of a possible interpretation of Tsallis entropy. Physica A **387**(19– 20), 4809–4813 (2008)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.