

Computation of certain topological indices for 2D nanotubes

A. Divya¹ · A. Manimaran¹

Received: 1 June 2021 / Revised: 14 September 2021 / Accepted: 23 September 2021 / Published online: 18 November 2021 © Università degli Studi di Napoli "Federico II" 2021

Abstract

Graph theory has various applications in chemistry and molecular structure research, and its importance has been growing continuously. In molecular graphs, points represent the atoms, and lines represent the chemical bonds between atoms. This article is about to show the precise evaluation for topological descriptors based on the degree sum of the neighbors of end vertices for some molecular structures. We derive for Sanskruti index, Neighborhood inverse sum index, Neighborhood Harmonic index, Fifth ND_e index, and Neighborhood second modified Zagreb index for two-dimensional lattice structure of certain Nanotube and Nanotorus.

Keywords Sanskruti index \cdot Neighborhood inverse sum index \cdot Neighborhood harmonic index \cdot Fifth ND_e index \cdot Neighborhood second modified Zagreb index \cdot Nanotube and nanotorus

Mathematics Subject Classification 05C90

1 Introduction

Mathematical chemistry is the study of physical chemistry in that we use mathematical methods to analyze and estimate the molecular structure of a chemical compound. Chemical graph theory is a branch of chemistry that deals with graphs in which graph theory methods have been using to convert chemical phenomena into mathematical models. A topological index is a numerical value for chemical composition, and it

 A. Manimaran marans2011@gmail.com
 A. Divya divyaarunachalam26@gmail.com

¹ Department of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore 632014, India

correlates chemical structure with biological reaction or chemical reactivity. It is one of some chemical and physical characteristics. Molecular descriptors have been developed from a molecular graph for determining numbers to molecular graphs and then by using those numbers to describe the molecule [12].

A topological descriptor is a form of a molecular descriptor. Quantitative structureproperty (QSPR) and structure-activity (QSAR) studies include topological descriptors. A nanostructure is a structure that lies halfway among molecular structures and microscopic in terms of dimension. It is a substance made possible by molecular engineering. It refers to something less than 100 nanometers in physical sizes, such as atom clusters to dimensional layers. Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) [19,22] are cylindrical carbon allotropes.

Recently Sanskruti index S(G) is proposed by S.M. Hosamani [7,15,20] and it is defined by

$$S(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\delta_G(u) \delta_G(v)}{\delta_G(u) + \delta_G(v) - 2} \right)^2$$

where $\delta_G(u) = \sum_{u' \in N(u)} d(u')$, $N(u) = \{u' : uu' \in E(G)\}$ and the same goes for $\delta_G(v)$.

The Neighborhood inverse sum index NI(G), Neighborhood Harmonic index NH(G) and Neighborhood second modified Zagreb index M_2^{nm} [15,16,23] is referred to as follows

$$NI(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{\delta_G(u)\delta_G(v)}{\delta_G(u) + \delta_G(v)}$$
$$NH(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{2}{\delta_G(u) + \delta_G(v)}$$
$$M_2^{nm}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{\delta_G(u)\delta_G(v)}$$

where $\delta_G(u) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} d_G(v)$ and $\delta_G(v) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} d_G(u)$. The Fifth ND_e index ND_5 [15,17] is defined as

$$ND_5(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{\delta_G(u)^2 + \delta_G(v)^2}{\delta_G(u)\delta_G(v)}$$

where $\delta_G(u) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} d_G(v)$ and $\delta_G(v) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} d_G(u)$.

2 Applications of nanostructure

Graph theory has been commonly used to investigate the chemical and physical properties of materials over the last few decades. Chemical graph theory was proposed



as a result of the growing interest in this area and following that, numerous topological indices were investigated and described. Chemical graph theory was also used to study nanotechnology, which is a mixture of nanoscience, mathematics, and chemistry. Quantitative structure-property relationship (QSPR) and Quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) are two of these relationships that are studied to estimate nanostructure properties and biological activities. Sanskruti, Neighborhood inverse sum, Neighborhood Harmonic, Fifth ND_e , and Neighborhood second modified Zagreb index are used to estimate nanostructure bioactivity in the study of QSAR and QSPR [2,5,8,11].

3 The $HAC_5C_7[p, q]$ nanotube

A trivalent decoration has been made by combining C_5 and C_7 and is known as a C_5C_7 net. It has been using to mask both a torus and a tube. As a C_5C_7 net, the $HAC_5C_7[p,q]$ nanotube can be studied, and Fig. 1a shows an illustration. In 2007, Iranmanesh and Khormali calculated the vertex-Szeged index of HAC_5C_7 nanotube [10]. The two-dimension lattice of HAC_5C_7 has been explained consistently in [14]. In the entire lattice, the number of heptagons and periods has been represented by p and q in a row see [13]. In HAC_5C_7 , there are 8pq + p vertices and 12pq - p edges, respectively [21]. The three rows of HAC_5C_7 is said to be m^{th} period, and as shown in Fig. 1b.

4 Some topological indices of $HAC_5C_7[p, q]$ nanotube, (p, q > 1)

The general result is determined for $HAC_5C_7[p, q]$ nanotube in this segment by above mentioned topological indices. In addition to these indices, we present a visual analogy as well as their utilization (Fig. 2).

Theorem 1 Let G be the graph of $HAC_5C_7[p, q]$ nanotube. Then,

1. S(G) = 1556.956pq - 520.549p

- 2. NI(G) = 54pq 10.773p
- 3. NH(G) = 1.333pq + 0.079p
- 4. $ND_5(G) = 24pq 1.694p$
- 5. $M_2^{nm}(G) = 0.148pq + 0.037p$

Proof Consider the graph of HAC_5C_7 is represented by *G*. The cardinality of vertex set is 8pq + p and the edge set is 12pq - p for the graph *G*. According to the degrees of the vertices, the vertices are divide into two groups. The collection of vertices of degree *i* is designated by the symbol V_i . For *G*, we have $|V_2| = 2p + 2$, $|V_3| = 8pq - p - 2$. The edge set is divide into the following sections corresponding to their sum of the degrees of the neighborhood, which are

$$E_{1} = \{st \in E(SR_{n}) \mid d_{u} = 9 \text{ and } d_{v} = 9\}$$

$$E_{2} = \{st \in E(SR_{n}) \mid d_{u} = 9 \text{ and } d_{v} = 8\}$$

$$E_{3} = \{st \in E(SR_{n}) \mid d_{u} = 9 \text{ and } d_{v} = 7\}$$

$$E_{4} = \{st \in E(SR_{n}) \mid d_{u} = 8 \text{ and } d_{v} = 8\}$$

$$E_{5} = \{st \in E(SR_{n}) \mid d_{u} = 8 \text{ and } d_{v} = 6\}$$

$$E_{6} = \{st \in E(SR_{n}) \mid d_{u} = 7 \text{ and } d_{v} = 6\}$$

From the graph *G*, we can see that $|E_1| = 12pq - 9p$, $|E_2| = 2p$, $|E_3| = p$, $|E_4| = p$, $|E_5| = 2p$ and $|E_6| = 2p$. Then, by definition *S*(*G*) index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition.

$$\begin{split} S(G) &= \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\delta_G(u) \delta_G(v)}{\delta_G(u) + \delta_G(v) - 2} \right)^3 \\ &= (12pq - 9p) \left(\frac{9 \times 9}{9 + 9 - 2} \right)^3 + (2p) \left(\frac{9 \times 8}{9 + 8 - 2} \right)^3 \\ &+ (p) \left(\frac{9 \times 7}{9 + 7 - 2} \right)^3 + (p) \left(\frac{8 \times 8}{8 + 8 - 2} \right)^3 \\ &+ (2p) \left(\frac{8 \times 6}{8 + 6 - 2} \right)^3 + (2p) \left(\frac{7 \times 6}{7 + 6 - 2} \right)^3 \end{split}$$

We obtain the necessary result by reducing the above calculation,

$$S(G) = 1556.956pq - 520.549p.$$

By definition, NI(G) index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$NI(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{\delta_G(u)\delta_G(v)}{\delta_G(u) + \delta_G(v)}$$

🖉 Springer

$$= (12pq - 9p)\left(\frac{9 \times 9}{9 + 9}\right) + (2p)\left(\frac{9 \times 8}{9 + 8}\right) + (p)\left(\frac{9 \times 7}{9 + 7}\right) + (p)\left(\frac{8 \times 8}{8 + 8}\right) + (2p)\left(\frac{8 \times 6}{8 + 6}\right) + (2p)\left(\frac{7 \times 6}{7 + 6}\right)$$

We obtain our desired outcome after simplifying the above form,

$$NI(G) = 54pq - 10.773p.$$

By definition, NH(G) index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$NH(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{2}{\delta_G(u) + \delta_G(v)}$$

= $(12pq - 9p)\left(\frac{2}{9+9}\right) + (2p)\left(\frac{2}{9+8}\right) + (p)\left(\frac{2}{9+7}\right)$
+ $(p)\left(\frac{2}{8+8}\right) + (2p)\left(\frac{2}{8+6}\right) + (2p)\left(\frac{2}{7+6}\right)$

We obtain the necessary result by reducing the above calculation,

$$NH(G) = 1.333pq + 0.079p.$$

By definition, $ND_5(G)$ index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$ND_{5}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{\delta_{G}(u)^{2} + \delta_{G}(v)^{2}}{\delta_{G}(u)\delta_{G}(v)}$$

= $(12pq - 9p)\left(\frac{9^{2} + 9^{2}}{9 \times 9}\right) + (2p)\left(\frac{9^{2} + 8^{2}}{9 \times 8}\right) + (p)\left(\frac{9^{2} + 7^{2}}{9 \times 7}\right)$
+ $(p)\left(\frac{8^{2} + 8^{2}}{8 \times 8}\right) + (2p)\left(\frac{8^{2} + 6^{2}}{8 \times 6}\right) + (2p)\left(\frac{7^{2} + 6^{2}}{7 \times 6}\right)$

We obtain our desired outcome after simplifying the above form,

$$ND_5(G) = 24pq - 1.694p.$$

By definition, $M_2^{nm}(G)$ index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$\begin{split} M_2^{nm}(G) &= \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{\delta_G(u)\delta_G(v)} \\ &= (12pq - 9p) \left(\frac{1}{9 \times 9}\right) + (2p) \left(\frac{1}{9 \times 8}\right) + (p) \left(\frac{1}{9 \times 7}\right) \\ &+ (p) \left(\frac{1}{8 \times 8}\right) + (2p) \left(\frac{1}{8 \times 6}\right) + (2p) \left(\frac{1}{7 \times 6}\right) \end{split}$$



Fig. 2 Graphical representation for $HAC_5C_7[p, q]$ nanotube

We obtain the necessary result by reducing the above calculation,

$$M_2^{nm}(G) = 0.148pq + 0.037p.$$

 \Box

5 The $HAC_5C_6C_7[p, q]$ nanotube

A trivalent decoration has been made by combining C_5 , C_6 and C_7 is known as a $C_5C_6C_7$ net see [18]. It has been using to mask a torus or a tube. As a $C_5C_6C_7$ net, the $HAC_5C_6C_7[p, q]$ nanotube can be studied, and Fig. 3a shows an illustration. The two-dimension lattice of $HAC_5C_6C_7$ has been studied consistently. In the entire lattice, the number of heptagons and periods has been represented by p and q in a row. In $HAC_5C_6C_7$, there are 8pq + p vertices and 12pq - p edges see [19]. The development of $HAC_5C_6C_7$ is discussed in [24]. The three rows of $HAC_5C_6C_7$ is said to be m^{th} period, and as shown in Fig. 3b.



(a) The $HAC_5C_6C_7$ nanotube with p = 4 and q = 2



(b) The $HAC_5C_6C_7$ nanotube $-m^{th}period$

Fig. 3 $HAC_5C_6C_7[p,q]$ nanotube

6 Some topological indices of $HAC_5C_6C_7[p, q]$ nanotube, (p, q > 1)

The general result is determined for $HAC_5C_6C_7[p, q]$ nanotube in this segment by above mentioned topological indices. In addition to these indices, we present a visual analogy as well as their utilization (Figs. 4, 5, and 6).

Theorem 2 Let G be the graph of $HAC_5C_6C_7[p, q]$ nanotube. Then,

- *1.* S(G) = 3113.9121pq 1063.4764p
- 2. NI(G) = 108pq 21.955p
- 3. NH(G) = 2.667 pq + 0.174 p
- 4. $ND_5(G) = 48pq 3.480p$
- 5. $M_2^{nm}(G) = 0.296pq + 0.148p$

Proof Consider the graph of $HAC_5C_6C_7$ is represented by G. The cardinality of vertex set is 8pq + p and the edge set is 12pq - p for the graph G. The edge set is divide into the following sections corresponding to their sum of the degrees of the neighborhood, which are

$E_1 = \{st \in E(SR_n) \mid d_u = 9 \text{ and } d_v = 9\}$	}
$E_2 = \{st \in E(SR_n) \mid d_u = 9 \text{ and } d_v = 8\}$	}
$E_3 = \{st \in E(SR_n) \mid d_u = 8 \text{ and } d_v = 8\}$	}
$E_4 = \{st \in E(SR_n) \mid d_u = 8 \text{ and } d_v = 7\}$	}
$E_5 = \{st \in E(SR_n) \mid d_u = 8 \text{ and } d_v = 6\}$	}
$E_6 = \{st \in E(SR_n) \mid d_u = 7 \text{ and } d_v = 6\}$	}

From the graph G, we can see that $|E_1| = 12pq - 9p$, $|E_2| = 4p$, $|E_3| = 2p$, $|E_4| = 2p$, $|E_5| = 4p$ and $|E_6| = 4p$. Then, by definition S(G) index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition.

$$S(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\delta_G(u) \delta_G(v)}{\delta_G(u) + \delta_G(v) - 2} \right)^3$$

= $(24pq - 18p) \left(\frac{9 \times 9}{9 + 9 - 2} \right)^3 + (4p) \left(\frac{9 \times 8}{9 + 8 - 2} \right)^3$

$$+ (2p)\left(\frac{8\times8}{8+8-2}\right)^3 + (2p)\left(\frac{8\times7}{8+7-2}\right)^3 + (4p)\left(\frac{8\times6}{8+6-2}\right)^3 + (4p)\left(\frac{7\times6}{7+6-2}\right)^3$$

We obtain the necessary result by reducing the above calculation,

$$S(G) = 3113.9121pq - 1063.4764p.$$

By definition, NI(G) index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$NI(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{\delta_G(u) \delta_G(v)}{\delta_G(u) + \delta_G(v)}$$

= $(24pq - 18p) \left(\frac{9 \times 9}{9 + 9}\right) + (4p) \left(\frac{9 \times 8}{9 + 8}\right) + (2p) \left(\frac{8 \times 8}{8 + 8}\right)$
+ $(2p) \left(\frac{8 \times 7}{8 + 7}\right) + (4p) \left(\frac{8 \times 6}{8 + 6}\right) + (4p) \left(\frac{7 \times 6}{7 + 6}\right)$

We obtain our desired outcome after simplifying the above form,

$$NI(G) = 108pq - 21.955p.$$

By definition, NH(G) index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$NH(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{2}{\delta_G(u) + \delta_G(v)}$$

= $(24pq - 18p) \left(\frac{2}{9+9}\right) + (4p) \left(\frac{2}{9+8}\right) + (2p) \left(\frac{2}{8+8}\right)$
+ $(2p) \left(\frac{2}{8+7}\right) + (4p) \left(\frac{2}{8+6}\right) + (4p) \left(\frac{2}{7+6}\right)$

We obtain the necessary result by reducing the above calculation,

$$NH(G) = 2.667\,pq + 0.174\,p.$$

By definition, $ND_5(G)$ index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$ND_{5}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{\delta_{G}(u)^{2} + \delta_{G}(v)^{2}}{\delta_{G}(u)\delta_{G}(v)}$$

= $(24pq - 18p)\left(\frac{9^{2} + 9^{2}}{9 \times 9}\right) + (4p)\left(\frac{9^{2} + 8^{2}}{9 \times 8}\right) + (2p)\left(\frac{8^{2} + 8^{2}}{8 \times 8}\right)$
+ $(2p)\left(\frac{8^{2} + 7^{2}}{8 \times 7}\right) + (4p)\left(\frac{8^{2} + 6^{2}}{8 \times 6}\right) + (4p)\left(\frac{7^{2} + 6^{2}}{7 \times 6}\right)$

We obtain our desired outcome after simplifying the above form,

$$ND_5(G) = 48pq - 3.480p.$$

By definition, $M_2^{nm}(G)$ index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$M_2^{nm}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{\delta_G(u)\delta_G(v)}$$

= $(24pq - 18p)\left(\frac{1}{9 \times 9}\right) + (4p)\left(\frac{1}{9 \times 8}\right) + (2p)\left(\frac{1}{8 \times 8}\right)$
+ $(2p)\left(\frac{1}{8 \times 7}\right) + (4p)\left(\frac{1}{8 \times 6}\right) + (4p)\left(\frac{1}{7 \times 6}\right)$

We obtain the necessary result by reducing the above calculation,

$$M_2^{nm}(G) = 0.296pq + 0.148p.$$

-		
г		
L		

7 $TUC_4C_8[p, q]$ nanotorus and nanotube

The two-dimensional lattice and Nanotorus of $TUC_4C_8[p, q]$ has presented in Fig. 5, and we will use documentation and concept of Diudea and Graovac [19]. GTUC[p, q]indicates the nanotube of $TUC_4C_8[p, q]$ see Fig. 7. The overall number of octagons in each row and column of Nanotube of $TUC_4C_8[p, q]$ is equivalent to p and q. The overall number of squares and octagons in Nanotube of $TUC_4C_8[p, q]$ is the same in each row [4,6,9]. In 2D-lattice of $TUC_4C_8[p, q]$, the overall number of octagons in each row and column is equivalent to p and q. In [1,3,19], two-dimensional lattice of $TUC_4C_8[p, q]$ nanotorus, the overall number of squares of columns and rows are (q + 1) and (p + 1).

In two-dimensional lattice of $TUC_4C_8[p, q]$ nanotorus, the number of vertex set and edge set is $(4p^2+4p)(q+1)$ and 6pq+5p+5q+4, similarly for GTUC[p, q], vertex set and edge set is 4pq + 4p and 6pq + 5p.



Fig. 4 Graphical representation for $HAC_5C_6C_7[p,q]$ nanotube



(a) 2D - lattice with p = 5 & q = 3 (b) Nanotorus of TUC_4C_8 with p = 5 & q = 5

Fig. 5 a 2D-lattice of $TUC_4C_8[p,q]$, b nanotorus of $TUC_4C_8[p,q]$

8 Some topological indices of 2D-lattice of $TUC_4C_8[p, q]$ nanotorus, (p, q > 1)

The general result is determined for 2D-lattice of $TUC_4C_8[p,q]$ nanotorus in this segment by above mentioned topological indices. In addition to these indices, we present a visual analogy as well as their utilization.

Theorem 3 Let G be the graph of 2D-lattice of $TUC_4C_8[p, q]$ nanotorus. Then,

- 1. S(G) = 240.7033(p+q) + 778.4780pq + 11.1269
- 2. NI(G) = 27pq + 16.155(p+q) + 7.304
- 3. NH(G) = 0.667pq + 0.736(p+q) + 0.891
- 4. $ND_5(G) = 12pq + 10.389(p+q) 9.022$
- 5. $M_2^{nm}(G) = 0.074pq + 0.108(p+q) + 0.194$

Proof Consider the graph of 2D-lattice of $TUC_4C_8[p, q]$ nanotorus is represented by G. The cardinality of vertex set is $(4p^2+4p)(q+1)$ and the edge set is 6pq+5p+5q+4 for the graph G. The edge set is divide into the following sections corresponding to their sum of the degrees of the neighborhood, which are

$$E_{1} = \{st \in E(SR_{n}) \mid d_{u} = 9 \text{ and } d_{v} = 9\}$$

$$E_{2} = \{st \in E(SR_{n}) \mid d_{u} = 9 \text{ and } d_{v} = 8\}$$

$$E_{3} = \{st \in E(SR_{n}) \mid d_{u} = 8 \text{ and } d_{v} = 8\}$$

$$E_{4} = \{st \in E(SR_{n}) \mid d_{u} = 8 \text{ and } d_{v} = 6\}$$

$$E_{5} = \{st \in E(SR_{n}) \mid d_{u} = 8 \text{ and } d_{v} = 5\}$$

$$E_{6} = \{st \in E(SR_{n}) \mid d_{u} = 5 \text{ and } d_{v} = 5\}$$

From the graph *G*, we can see that $|E_1| = 6pq - 5p - 5q + 4$, $|E_2| = 4(p + q - 2)$, $|E_3| = 2(p + q + 2)$, $|E_4| = 4(p + q - 2)$, $|E_5| = 8$ and $|E_6| = 4$. Then, by definition *S*(*G*) index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$\begin{split} S(G) &= \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\delta_G(u) \delta_G(v)}{\delta_G(u) + \delta_G(v) - 2} \right)^3 \\ &= (6pq - 5p - 5q + 4) \left(\frac{9 \times 9}{9 + 9 - 2} \right)^3 + (4p + 4q - 8) \left(\frac{9 \times 8}{9 + 8 - 2} \right)^3 \\ &+ (2p + 2q + 4) \left(\frac{8 \times 8}{8 + 8 - 2} \right)^3 + (4p + 4q - 8) \left(\frac{8 \times 6}{8 + 6 - 2} \right)^3 \\ &+ (8) \left(\frac{8 \times 5}{8 + 5 - 2} \right)^3 + (4) \left(\frac{5 \times 5}{5 + 5 - 2} \right)^3 \end{split}$$

We obtain the necessary result by reducing the above calculation,

$$S(G) = 240.7033(p+q) + 778.4780pq + 11.1269.$$

By definition, NI(G) index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$NI(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{\delta_G(u) \delta_G(v)}{\delta_G(u) + \delta_G(v)}$$

= $(6pq - 5p - 5q + 4) \left(\frac{9 \times 9}{9 + 9}\right) + (4p + 4q - 8) \left(\frac{9 \times 8}{9 + 8}\right)$

$$+ (2p + 2q + 4)\left(\frac{8 \times 8}{8 + 8}\right) + (4p + 4q - 8)\left(\frac{8 \times 6}{8 + 6}\right) + (8)\left(\frac{8 \times 5}{8 + 5}\right) + (4)\left(\frac{5 \times 5}{5 + 5}\right)$$

We obtain our desired outcome after simplifying the above form,

$$NI(G) = 27pq + 16.155(p+q) + 7.304.$$

By definition, NH(G) index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$NH(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{2}{\delta_G(u) + \delta_G(v)}$$

= $(6pq - 5p - 5q + 4) \left(\frac{2}{9+9}\right) + (4p + 4q - 8) \left(\frac{2}{9+8}\right)$
+ $(2p + 2q + 4) \left(\frac{2}{8+8}\right) + (4p + 4q - 8) \left(\frac{2}{8+6}\right)$
+ $(8) \left(\frac{2}{8+5}\right) + (4) \left(\frac{2}{5+5}\right)$

We obtain the necessary result by reducing the above calculation,

$$NH(G) = 0.667pq + 0.736(p+q) + 0.891.$$

By definition, $ND_5(G)$ index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$ND_{5}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{\delta_{G}(u)^{2} + \delta_{G}(v)^{2}}{\delta_{G}(u)\delta_{G}(v)}$$

= $(6pq - 5p - 5q + 4)\left(\frac{9^{2} + 9^{2}}{9 \times 9}\right) + (4p + 4q - 8)\left(\frac{9^{2} + 8^{2}}{9 \times 8}\right)$
+ $(2p + 2q + 4)\left(\frac{8^{2} + 8^{2}}{8 \times 8}\right) + (4p + 4q - 8)\left(\frac{8^{2} + 6^{2}}{8 \times 6}\right)$
+ $(8)\left(\frac{8^{2} + 5^{2}}{8 \times 5}\right) + (4)\left(\frac{5^{2} + 5^{2}}{5 \times 5}\right)$

We obtain our desired outcome after simplifying the above form,

$$ND_5(G) = 12pq + 10.389(p+q) - 9.022.$$



Fig. 6 Graphical representation for 2D-lattice of $TUC_4C_8[p, q]$ nanotorus

By definition, $M_2^{nm}(G)$ index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$\begin{split} M_2^{nm}(G) &= \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{\delta_G(u)\delta_G(v)} \\ &= (6pq - 5p - 5q + 4) \left(\frac{1}{9 \times 9}\right) + (4p + 4q - 8) \left(\frac{1}{9 \times 8}\right) \\ &+ (2p + 2q + 4) \left(\frac{1}{8 \times 8}\right) + (4p + 4q - 8) \left(\frac{1}{8 \times 6}\right) \\ &+ (8) \left(\frac{1}{8 \times 5}\right) + (4) \left(\frac{1}{5 \times 5}\right) \end{split}$$

We obtain the necessary result by reducing the above calculation,

$$M_2^{nm}(G) = 0.074pq + 0.108(p+q) + 0.194.$$

Fig. 7 GTUC[p, q] nanotube with p = 5 and q = 4



9 Some topological indices of GTUC[p, q] nanotube, (p, q > 1)

The general result is determined for GTUC[p, q] nanotube in this segment by above mentioned topological indices. In addition to these indices, we present a visual analogy as well as their utilization.

Theorem 4 Let G be the graph of GTUC[p, q] nanotube. Then,

- 1. S(G) = 778.4780pq + 240.70p
- 2. NI(G) = 27pq + 16.155p
- 3. NH(G) = 0.667pq + 0.736p
- 4. $ND_5(G) = 12pq + 10.389p$
- 5. $M_2^{nm}(G) = 0.074pq + 0.108p$

Proof Consider the graph of GTUC is represented by G. The cardinality of vertex set is 4pq + 4p and the edge set is 6pq + 5p for the graph G. The edge set is divide into the following sections corresponding to their sum of the degrees of the neighborhood, which are

$$E_{1} = \{st \in E(SR_{n}) \mid d_{u} = 9 \text{ and } d_{v} = 9\}$$

$$E_{2} = \{st \in E(SR_{n}) \mid d_{u} = 9 \text{ and } d_{v} = 8\}$$

$$E_{3} = \{st \in E(SR_{n}) \mid d_{u} = 8 \text{ and } d_{v} = 8\}$$

$$E_{4} = \{st \in E(SR_{n}) \mid d_{u} = 8 \text{ and } d_{v} = 6\}$$

From the graph *G*, we can see that $|E_1| = 6pq - 5p$, $|E_2| = 4p$, $|E_3| = 2p$, $|E_4| = 4p$. Then, by definition *S*(*G*) index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$S(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\delta_G(u) \delta_G(v)}{\delta_G(u) + \delta_G(v) - 2} \right)^3$$

= $(6pq - 5p) \left(\frac{9 \times 9}{9 + 9 - 2} \right)^3 + (4p) \left(\frac{9 \times 8}{9 + 8 - 2} \right)^3$

🖄 Springer

$$+(2p)\left(\frac{8\times 8}{8+8-2}\right)^3+(4p)\left(\frac{8\times 6}{8+6-2}\right)^3$$

We obtain the necessary result by reducing the above calculation,

$$S(G) = 778.4780 pq + 240.70 p.$$

By definition, NI(G) index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$NI(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{\delta_G(u)\delta_G(v)}{\delta_G(u) + \delta_G(v)}$$
$$= (6pq - 5p)\left(\frac{9 \times 9}{9 + 9}\right) + (4p)\left(\frac{9 \times 8}{9 + 8}\right)$$
$$+ (2p)\left(\frac{8 \times 8}{8 + 8}\right) + (4p)\left(\frac{8 \times 6}{8 + 6}\right)$$

We obtain our desired outcome after simplifying the above form,

$$NI(G) = 27pq + 16.155p.$$

By definition, NH(G) index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$NH(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{2}{\delta_G(u) + \delta_G(v)}$$

= $(6pq - 5p) \left(\frac{2}{9+9}\right) + (4p) \left(\frac{2}{9+8}\right)$
+ $(2p) \left(\frac{2}{8+8}\right) + (4p) \left(\frac{2}{8+6}\right)$

We obtain the necessary result by reducing the above calculation,

$$NH(G) = 0.667 pq + 0.736 p.$$

By definition, $ND_5(G)$ index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$ND_{5}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{\delta_{G}(u)^{2} + \delta_{G}(v)^{2}}{\delta_{G}(u)\delta_{G}(v)}$$

= $(6pq - 5p)\left(\frac{9^{2} + 9^{2}}{9 \times 9}\right) + (4p)\left(\frac{9^{2} + 8^{2}}{9 \times 8}\right)$
+ $(2p)\left(\frac{8^{2} + 8^{2}}{8 \times 8}\right) + (4p)\left(\frac{8^{2} + 6^{2}}{8 \times 6}\right)$



Fig. 8 Graphical representation for GTUC[p, q] nanotube

We obtain our desired outcome after simplifying the above form,

$$ND_5(G) = 12pq + 10.389p.$$

By definition, $M_2^{nm}(G)$ index is calculated as follows by using the edge partition,

$$\begin{split} M_2^{nm}(G) &= \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{\delta_G(u)\delta_G(v)} \\ &= (6pq - 5p) \bigg(\frac{1}{9 \times 9}\bigg) + (4p) \bigg(\frac{1}{9 \times 8}\bigg) \\ &+ (2p) \bigg(\frac{1}{8 \times 8}\bigg) + (4p) \bigg(\frac{1}{8 \times 6}\bigg) \end{split}$$

We obtain the necessary result by reducing the above calculation,

$$M_2^{nm}(G) = 0.074pq + 0.108p.$$

Table 1 Comparison table for HAC ₅ C ₇ [p,q] nanotube	[p,q]	S	NI	NH	ND5	M ₂ ^{nm}
	[1,1]	1036.4	43.23	1.41	22.30	0.19
	[2,2]	5186.7	194.45	5.49	92.61	0.67
	[3,3]	12,451	453.68	12.24	210.92	1.45
	[4,4]	22,829	820.90	21.65	377.22	2.52
	[5,5]	36,321	1296.13	33.73	591.53	3.89
	[6,6]	52,927	1879.36	48.47	853.83	5.56
Table 2Comparison table for $HAC_5C_6C_7[p,q]$ nanotube	[p,q]	S	NI	NH	ND_5	M_2^{nm}
	[1,1]	2050.4	86.05	2.84	44.52	0.38
	[2,2]	10,329	388.09	11.01	185.04	1.34
	[3,3]	24,835	906.14	24.52	421.56	2.90
	[4,4]	45,569	1640.2	43.36	754.08	5.06
	[5,5]	72,530	2590.2	67.54	1182.6	7.80
	[6,6]	10,572	3756.3	97.04	1707.1	11.14
Table 3 Comparison table for2D-lattice of $TUC_4C_8[p,q]$	[p,q]	S	NI	NH	ND_5	M_2^{nm}
nanotorus	[1,1]	1271	66.62	7.13	41.80	0.49
	[2,2]	4087.9	179.93	10.60	98.58	0.92
	[3,3]	8461.6	347.24	15.41	179.36	1.51
	[4,4]	14,392	568.55	21.55	284.13	2.25
	[5,5]	21,880	843.86	29.03	412.91	3.13
	[6,6]	30,925	1173.2	37.83	565.69	4.16

10 Graphical comparison and discussion

For the Nanotubes and Nanotorus HAC_5C_7 , $HAC_5C_6C_7$, 2D-lattice of $TUC_4C_8[p, q]$ nanotorus, and GTUC, we have calculated mentioned topological indices for some various p and q values. We also create the following tables for small p and q values for these topological indices to HAC_5C_7 , $HAC_5C_6C_7$, 2D-lattice of TUC_4C_8 , and GTUC, respectively. Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4 show that as the values of p and q increase, the value of all the indices increases correspondingly.

The graphical representations of topological indices using the following tables for HAC_5C_7 , $HAC_5C_6C_7$, 2D-lattice of TUC_4C_8 nanotorus, and GTUC are shown in Figs. 2, 4, 6, and 8 for various p and q values. The comparison graphs for the 2D-lattice structure of certain nanotube and nanotorus are presented in Fig. 9 using the following tables. All the topological indices are highlighted individually in the graphs. In this paper, we derive close formulae for all topological indices, and graphically it is shown that the Sanskruti index is more rapid than all other indices.





Fig. 9 Comparison graphs of topological indices are presented for the 2D-lattice structure and individually highlighted for indices using blue, red, green, violet, and purple, respectively (color figure online)

11 Conclusion

In this paper, we have estimated the Sanskruti index, the Neighborhood inverse sum index, the Neighborhood Harmonic index, the Fifth ND_e index and the Neighborhood second modified Zagreb index for two dimensional lattice structure of certain Nanotube and Nanotorus.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Ashrafi, A.R., Dosli, T., Saheli, M.: The eccentric connectivity index of $TUC_4C_8(R)$ nanotubes. Match 65, 221–230 (2011)
- 2. Farahani, M.R.: The hyper Zagreb index of $TUSC_4C_8(S)$ nanotubes. Int. J. Eng. Res. 3, 1–6 (2015)
- Ghorbani, M.: Computing ABC₄ index of nanostar dendrimers. Optoelectron. Adv. Mater. Rapid Commun. 4, 1419–1422 (2010)
- Ghorbani, M.: GA index of TUC₄C₈(R) nanotube. Optoelectron. Adv. Mater. Rapid Commun. 4(2), 261–263 (2010)
- Hayat, S., Imran, M.: Computation of topological indices of certain networks. Appl. Math. Comput. 240, 213–228 (2014)
- 6. Heydari, A., Taeri, B.: Szeged index of TUC₄C₈(S) nanotubes. Eur. J. Comb. 30, 1134–1141 (2009)
- Hosamani, S.M.: Computing Sanskruti index of certain nanostructures. J. Appl. Math. Comput. 54(1), 425–433 (2017)
- Iranmanesh, A., Alizadeh, Y.: Computing Wiener index of HAC₅C₇[p, q] nanotubes by gap program. Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inform. 3, 1–12 (2008)
- Iranmanesh, A., Kafrani, A.S.: Computation of the first edge—Wiener index of TUC₄C₈(S) nanotube. Match 62, 311–352 (2009)
- Iranmanesh, A., Khormali, O.: Szeged index of HAC₅C₇[r, p] nanotubes. J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci. 6, 1670–1679 (2009)
- Iranmanesh, A., Zeratkar, M.: Computing GA index of HAC₅C₇[p, q] and HAC₅C₆C₇[p, q] nanotubes. Optoelectron. Adv. Mater. Rapid Commun. 5, 790–792 (2011)
- Kwun, Y.C., Virk, A.U.R., Nazeer, W.: On the multiplicative degree—based topological indices of silicon—carbon Si₂C₃ – I[p, q] and Si₂C₃ – II[p, q]. Symmetry 10, 320 (2018)
- Li, Y., Yan, L., Jamil, M.K., Farahani, M.R., Gao, W., Liu, J.B.: Four new/old vertex—degree—based topological indices of *HAC*₅*C*₇[*p*, *q*] and *HAC*₅*C*₆*C*₇[*p*, *q*] nanotubes. J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci. 14, 796–799 (2017)
- Mahmiani, A., Iranmanesh, A.: Edge—Szeged index of HAC₅C₇[r, p] nanotube. Match 62, 397–417 (2009)
- Mondal, S., De, N., Pal, A.: Neighborhood degree sum—based molecular descriptors of fractal and Cayley tree dendrimers. Eur. Phys. J. Plus 136, 303 (2021)
- Mondal, S., De, N., Pal, A.: Neighborhood M-polynomial of crystallographic structures. Biointerface Res. Appl. Chem. 11(2), 9372–9381 (2021)
- Mondal, S., Dey, A., De, N., Pal, A.: QSPR analysis of some novel neighborhood degree—based topological descriptors. Complex Intell. Syst. 7, 977–996 (2021)
- Rehman, A.U., Khalid, W.: Zagreb polynomials and redefined Zagreb indices of line graph of HAC₅C₆C₇[p, q] nanotube. Open J. Chem. 1(1), 26–35 (2018)
- Sakandar, H., Muhammed, I.: Computation of certain topological indices of nanotube. J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci. 12, 1–7 (2015)
- Sardar, M.S., Pan, X.F., Gao, W., Farahani, M.R.: Computing Sanskruti index of Titania nanotubes. Open J. Math. Sci. 1, 126–131 (2017)

- Shabbir, A., Nadeem, M.F., Mukhtar, S., Raza, A.: On edge version of some degree—based topological indices of HAC₅C₇[p, q] and VC₅C₇[p, q] nanotubes. Polycycl. Aromat. Compd. (2020)
- Shao, Z., Siddiqui, M.K., Muhammad, M.H.: Computing Zagreb indices and Zagreb polynomials for symmetrical nanotubes. Symmetry 10, 244 (2018)
- Verma, A., Mondal, S., De, N., Pal, A.: Topological properties of bismuth tri-iodide using neighborhood M-polynomial. Int. J. Math. Trends Technol. 65(10), 83–90 (2019)
- Yazdani, J., Bahrami, A., Padmakar, I.: Omega and Sadhana polynomial of HAC₅C₆C₇ nanotubes. Dig. J. Nanomater. Biostruct. 4, 507–517 (2009)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.