#### **ORIGINAL PAPER**

# **Improved sliding mode based EKF for the SOC estimation of lithium-ion batteries**

Check for updates

**Liang Feng<sup>1</sup> · Jie Ding<sup>1</sup> · Yiyang Han1**

Received: 22 October 2019 / Revised: 13 November 2019 / Accepted: 21 November 2019 / Published online: 19 February 2020 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

#### **Abstract**

This paper combines the discrete sliding mode observer with the weighted innovation extended Kalman filter to improve the accuracy of the SOC estimation. The main work of this paper can be divided into two parts: (1) The proposed algorithms utilize the previous information and the current innovation by choosing proper weights to estimate the SOC accurately. (2) The improved discrete sliding mode observer is introduced into the weighted innovation extended Kalman filter to solve the chattering problem. The experimental results show that the accuracy of the SOC estimation is improved effectively.

**Keywords** Discrete sliding mode observer · Weighted innovation extended Kalman filter · State of charge estimation

# **Introduction**

Energy storage system plays an important role in electric vehicle and micro-grid smooth power supply. Lithium-ion batteries have advantages of high energy density, long life, and environmental protection, and are considered as one of the most promising and dominant energy storage options [\[1\]](#page-5-0). An excellent battery management system (BMS) can increase battery efficiency and prolong battery life [\[2\]](#page-5-1). One of the key issues of BMS is to find an effective method for the SOC estimation since SOC cannot be measured directly under dynamic operation conditions. In this case, the SOC can be estimated by using some model-based linear parameter and nonlinear parameter identification methods such as the gradient algorithms [\[3\]](#page-5-2), the least squares algorithms, and Newton recursive or iterative algorithms [\[4,](#page-5-3) [5\]](#page-5-4) for linear models  $[6, 7]$  $[6, 7]$  $[6, 7]$  and nonlinear models  $[8-10]$  $[8-10]$ . Some methods are based on the input-output representations and the others

 $\boxtimes$  Jie Ding [dingjie@njupt.edu.cn](mailto: dingjie@njupt.edu.cn)

> Liang Feng [1218053626@njupt.edu.cn](mailto: 1218053626@njupt.edu.cn)

> Yiyang Han [1217053403@njupt.edu.cn](mailto: 1217053403@njupt.edu.cn)

<sup>1</sup> Jiangsu Engineering Lab for IOT Intelligent Robots, School of Automation and Artificial Intelligence, Naniing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Nanjing, 210023, China

are based on the state space models [\[11](#page-5-9)[–13\]](#page-6-0). The SOC defines the remaining charge as the percentage of the battery stored in a fully charged battery [\[14\]](#page-6-1). The accurate estimation of SOC can avoid the over-discharge or over-charge of the battery and help to protect the battery from explosion or fire and improve the battery performance [\[15\]](#page-6-2).

Many methods have been proposed to estimate SOC, such as the Coulomb counting method and the open-circuit voltage (OCV) method [\[16,](#page-6-3) [17\]](#page-6-4). Some shortcomings may occur when using a single estimation method. The Coulomb counting method will be more accurate with well-chosen initial value; however, the accumulation of measurement errors will lead to inaccurate estimation as time increases [\[18,](#page-6-5) [19\]](#page-6-6). The OCV method requires a long period of battery rest and is not suitable for online identification [\[20\]](#page-6-7). A third choice falls on model-based method which builds proper mathematical models for battery circuit and many state estimation algorithms can be used to improve the accuracy of the SOC estimation. Extended Kalman filter (EKF) is one of the popular algorithms that can estimate SOC effectively which takes the minimum mean square error as the best criterion [\[21,](#page-6-8) [22\]](#page-6-9). However, the EKF may fail to reduce violation of the local linear assumption, resulting in divergence for strongly nonlinear systems. In that case, adaptive extended Kalman filter and fading Kalman filter have been proposed [\[23–](#page-6-10)[25\]](#page-6-11). Furthermore, the artificial neural network algorithm  $[26-28]$  $[26-28]$  is suitable for complex nonlinear systems which does not require detailed structure of battery systems. However, large sample size of data and multiple data training methods are a challenge for battery test [\[29\]](#page-6-14).

The sliding mode observer based state of the charge estimation technique which diminished the modeling error, interference, and measurement noise was introduced in [\[30\]](#page-6-15). The introduction of the sliding mode observer inevitably brings about the chattering problem and affects the accuracy of estimation [\[31\]](#page-6-16). To decrease the chattering effects and improve the estimation performance, an adaptive sliding mode observer is proposed to estimate SOC based on the established battery model with uncertainties [\[32\]](#page-6-17). With the switching characteristic, the choice of different parameters also affects the accuracy and convergence of the estimation [\[33\]](#page-6-18). By adjusting the sliding mode gain, adaptive gain sliding mode observer can reduce the chatter to a lower level when estimating SOC [\[34\]](#page-6-19).

Inspired by the data filtering [\[35,](#page-6-20) [36\]](#page-6-21) and the particle filtering [\[37\]](#page-6-22), the different weights are added to the innovation terms to modify the EKF filter, resulting in the merits of the sliding mode observer, this paper proposes an algorithm which combines the weighted innovation extended Kalman filter with the discrete sliding mode observer (DSMO) to solve two problems. (1) The abilities of minishing noise interference and estimating SOC are enhanced by constructing equivalent circuit model, taking advantage of the knowledge of parameter identification, and introducing DSMO to EKF. (2) An improved discrete sliding mode observer with a saturation function is introduced to remit the chattering problem to better estimate SOC when applying a general one.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section ["The battery model and parameter identification"](#page-1-0) introduces the establishment of equivalent circuit model and the process of model parameter identification. Section ["The](#page-2-0) [weighted innovation extended Kalman filtering estima](#page-2-0)[tion algorithm"](#page-2-0) proposes the SOC estimation algorithm based on the strength of EKF. Section ["The modified](#page-3-0) [WI-EKF algorithm with DSMO"](#page-3-0) proposes a sliding mode based EKF algorithm to estimate SOC. The effectiveness of the method is validated in Section ["Experimental](#page-4-0) [results and analysis"](#page-4-0). Finally, some conclusions are given in Section ["Conclusions"](#page-5-10).

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>**The battery model and parameter identification**

A reasonable circuit model with accurate parameters is beneficial to improve the accuracy of the SOC estimation [\[38\]](#page-6-23).

#### **The establishment of the battery model**

To accurately estimate the SOC, the second-order RC model which can describe the electrochemical polarization and concentration polarization processes is utilized as shown in Fig. [1](#page-1-1) in consideration of the complexity and accuracy, where  $U<sub>o</sub>$  is the open-circuit voltage,  $U<sub>T</sub>$  is the terminal voltage of battery,  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are the polarization voltages and concentration voltages respectively in the circuit model.  $R_{\text{omc}}$  is the ohmic resistance.  $R_1$  and *R*<sup>2</sup> are the electrochemical polarization resistance and concentration polarization resistance, respectively.  $C_1$  and *C*<sup>2</sup> are the electrochemical polarization capacitance and concentration polarization capacitance, respectively. *I* is the current flowing through the battery.

From Fig. [1,](#page-1-1) Kirchhoff's law and differential criterion show that output can be expressed as follows:

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
U_T = U_o - U_1 - U_2 - IR_0 \tag{1}
$$

$$
\dot{U}_1 = \frac{-U_1}{R_1 C_1} + \frac{I}{C_1} \tag{2}
$$

$$
\dot{U}_2 = \frac{-U_2}{R_2 C_2} + \frac{I}{C_2} \tag{3}
$$

<span id="page-1-4"></span>Equations  $(1)$ – $(3)$  can be transformed by frequency domain transformation,

$$
U_T(s) - U_o(s) = -I(s) \left( R_{omc} + \frac{R_1}{1 + R_1 C_1 s} + \frac{R_2}{1 + R_2 C_2 s} \right)
$$
\n(4)

<span id="page-1-3"></span>The actual SOC value can be obtained by Coulombcounting method,

$$
SOC(t) = SOC(t_1) - \frac{\int_{t_1}^t \xi i(t)dt}{C_n}
$$
\n
$$
(5)
$$

where  $C_n$  is the rated capacity of the battery,  $\xi$  is coulomb efficiency which refers to the ratio of the discharge capacity of the battery to the charging capacity in the same cycle.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>

**Fig. 1** The second-order RC equivalent circuit model

The discrete state space expressions of the battery model are obtained by discretizing  $(1)$ – $(5)$  as follows:

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\begin{pmatrix}\nU_1(k) \\
U_2(k) \\
SOC(k)\n\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\ne^{\frac{-\Delta T}{\tau_1}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{\frac{-\Delta T}{\tau_2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1\n\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}\nU_1(k-1) \\
U_2(k-1) \\
SOC(k-1)\n\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}\nR_1(1 - e^{\frac{-\Delta T}{\tau_1}}) \\
R_2(1 - e^{\frac{-\Delta T}{\tau_2}}) \\
\frac{-\Delta T}{C_n}\n\end{pmatrix} I(k-1) + \omega(k-1) \tag{6}
$$

$$
U_T(k) = \left(-1 - 1 \frac{\partial U_o}{\partial SOC}) \begin{pmatrix} U_2(k) \\ SOC(k) \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
-R_{omc}I(k) + v(k) \tag{7}
$$

where  $\Delta T$  is the sampling period,  $\tau_1 = R_1 C_1$ ,  $\tau_2 = R_2 C_2$ .  $\omega(k-1)$ , and  $\nu(k-1)$  are process noise and measurement noise at time  $k-1$ , respectively.  $U<sub>o</sub>$  is the estimated function with respect to SOC.

#### **Model parameter identification**

The parameters of the model are affected by aging of the battery, self-discharge factors, and ambient temperature. To identify variable parameters and estimate the experimental results accurately, the recursive least squares method with a forgetting factor (FFRLS) method is selected.

By bilinear transformation  $s = \frac{2}{T} \cdot \frac{1-z^{-1}}{1+z^{-1}}$  in Eq. [4,](#page-1-4) we have

$$
G\left(z^{-1}\right) = \frac{U_T\left(z^{-1}\right) - U_o\left(z^{-1}\right)}{-I\left(z^{-1}\right)} = \frac{\vartheta_3 + \vartheta_4 z^{-1} + \vartheta_5 z^{-2}}{1 - \vartheta_1 z^{-1} - \vartheta_2 z^{-2}}
$$
\n(8)

 $\vartheta_1$ ,  $\vartheta_2$ ,  $\vartheta_3$ ,  $\vartheta_4$ , and  $\vartheta_5$  are unknown coefficients. A discretized recursive form of the Eq. [4](#page-1-4) is given as follows:

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\Delta U(k) = \vartheta_1 \Delta U(k-1) + \vartheta_2 \Delta U(k-2) + \vartheta_3 I(k)
$$

$$
+ \vartheta_4 I(k-1) + \vartheta_5 I(k-2)
$$
(9)

where  $\Delta U(k) = U_T(k) - U_o(k)$ .

Equation [9](#page-2-1) can be expressed as:

$$
\Delta U(k) = \varphi^T(k)\theta(k) \tag{10}
$$

where

$$
\varphi(k) = [\Delta U(k-1), \Delta U(k-2), I(k), I(k-1), I(k-2)]^{T}, \n\theta(k) = [\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3, \vartheta_4, \vartheta_5]^{T}.
$$
\n(11)

It is difficult for the new data to play a correction role with the accumulation of old data and the time-varying characteristics of battery when employing the recursive least square method. The forgetting factor *λ* which makes the identification algorithm respond quickly and converge to the actual value with the changes of the system is introduced.

The FFRLS method is summarized as follows:

$$
\hat{\theta}(k) = \hat{\theta}(k-1) + L(k) \left[ \Delta U(k) - \varphi^{T}(k)\hat{\theta}(k-1) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
L(k) = P(k-1)\varphi(t) \left( \lambda + \varphi^{T}(k)P(k-1)\varphi(k) \right)^{-1}
$$
  
\n
$$
P(k) = \left[ I - L(k)\varphi^{T}(k) \right] P(k-1)\lambda^{-1}
$$
\n(12)

where  $\hat{\theta}$  represents the estimation of  $\theta$  at time *k*,  $L(k)$ is innovation vector,  $\varphi(k)$  is covariance matrix.  $U_T(k)$ and  $U<sub>o</sub>(k)$  can be measured by experimental equipment. Considering  $\vartheta_i$  (*i* = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) which are related to battery model and can be identified by the FFRLS method. Thus, the resistance and capacitance of the second-order RC equivalent circuit model can be obtained.

# <span id="page-2-0"></span>**The weighted innovation extended Kalman filtering estimation algorithm**

The EKF algorithm is widely used in state estimation of nonlinear systems. The core idea of the EKF algorithm is to expand the nonlinear function into Taylor series at the filter value  $x$  to obtain an approximate linear model  $[39]$ . Consider the state space model as follows:

$$
\begin{cases} x(k) = A(k-1)x(k-1) + B(k-1)u(k-1) + \omega(k-1) \\ y(k) = C(k)x(k) + D(k)u(k) + v(k) \end{cases}
$$
\n(13)

where  $x(k)$  =  $[U_1(k), U_2(k), SOC(k)]^T$  is threedimensional state vector,  $u(k) = I(k)$  and  $y(k) = U_T(k)$ are the input current and output terminal voltage of battery system, respectively.  $\{A(k), B(k), C(k), D(k)\}$  can be derived from Eqs. [6](#page-2-2) and [7.](#page-2-2) Process noise  $\omega(k)$  and measurement noise  $v(k)$  are treated as Gaussian white noise with a mean of 0 and covariances of *Q* and *R*, respectively. The recursive EKF algorithm is summarized as follows:

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
\hat{x}(0|0) = E[x(0)] \tag{14}
$$

$$
P(0|0) = E\{ [x(0) - E[x(0)]] [x(0) - E[x(0)]]^T \} (15)
$$

$$
\hat{x}(k|k-1) = A(k-1)\hat{x}(k-1|k-1)
$$

$$
+B(k-1)u(k-1)
$$
 (16)

$$
P(k|k-1) = A(k-1)P(k-1|k-1)AT(k-1)
$$
  
+
$$
Q(k-1)
$$
 (17)

$$
G(k) = P(k|k-1)CT(k)[C(k)P(k|k-1)CT(k)+R(k)]-1
$$
\n(18)

$$
\hat{x}(k|k) = \hat{x}(k|k-1) + G(k)(y(k) - \hat{y}(k|k-1)) \quad (19)
$$

$$
P(k|k) = [I - G(k)C(k)]P(k|k - 1)
$$
 (20)

where  $P(k|k - 1)$  is a third-order prediction covariance matrix,  $P(k|k)$  is a third-order updated covariance matrix,

and *G(k)* which adjusts priori state estimation as a conditioning factor is a three-dimensional Kalman filter gain vector.

To improve the estimation accuracy of SOC, weighted innovation extended Kalman filter (WI-EKF) is proposed which makes full use of the data of the previous time and assigns weights according to the importance of innovation at different time in Eq. [19.](#page-2-3) The innovation term  $o(k) :=$  $y(k) - \hat{y}(k|k - 1)$  which affects the size of  $G(k)$  does not contain information about previous moments. By extending innovation  $o(k)$  and the filter gain vector  $G(k)$ , the innovation vector  $O(m, k)$  and the gain matrix  $G(m, k)$  can be defined as follows:

$$
O(m, k) = [o(k), o(k - 1), \cdots, o(k - m + 1)]^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m},
$$
\n(21)  
\n
$$
G(m, k) = [G(k), G(k - 1), \cdots, G(k - m + 1)] \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times m}
$$
\n(22)

Then Eq. [19](#page-2-3) can be expressed as:

$$
\hat{x}(k|k) = \hat{x}(k|k-1) + G(m,k)O(m,k)
$$
\n(23)

The weight  $\bar{\beta}$  is introduced to calculate the weights to the innovations because the importance of innovation at different times is different. The weighted innovation vector  $\overline{O}(m, k)$  is as follows:

$$
\overline{O}(m,k) = [\overline{\beta}(k)o(k), \overline{\beta}(k-1)o(k-1), \cdots, \overline{\beta}(k-m+1)o(k-m+1)]^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^m \tag{24}
$$

The weight of each innovation can be computed by Gaussian function of  $o(k)$  when considering the innovations as particles. The weight  $\beta(k - j + 1)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) is computed as follows:

$$
o(k-j+1) = y(k-j+1) - \hat{y}(k-j+1|k-j) \quad (25)
$$

$$
\beta(k-j+1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{\frac{-(e(k-j+1))^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, m. \quad (26)
$$

where  $o(k - j + 1)$  is the *j*th innovation in the innovation vector  $O(m, k)$ ,  $\beta(k - j + 1)$  is the weight of *j*th innovation, and  $\sigma^2$  is the noise variance.

Normalizing  $\beta(k - j + 1)$  yields:

$$
\tilde{\beta}(k-j+1) = \frac{\beta(k-j+1)}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta(k-j+1)}, j = 1, 2, \cdots, m. \quad (27)
$$

Since the weight of each innovation in the standard algorithm is equal to 1, we can consider the sum of the weights of all innovations as the innovation length *m*, so it is more reasonable to take the innovation weight as:

$$
\bar{\beta}(k - j + 1) = m\tilde{\beta}(k - j + 1), j = 1, 2, \cdots, m.
$$
 (28)

The WI-EKF algorithm can be as follows:

$$
G(k) = P(k|k-1)C^{T}(k)[C(k)P(k|k-1)C^{T}(k) + R(k)]^{-1}
$$
\n(29)

$$
O(m, k) = [o(k), o(k-1), \cdots, o(k-m+1)]^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$
\n(30)

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 50 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
G(m, k) = [G(k), G(k-1), \cdots, G(k-m+1)] \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times m}
$$

(31)  
\n
$$
\hat{x}(k|k) = \hat{x}(k|k-1) + G(m,k)\bar{O}(m,k)
$$
\n(32)

$$
P(k|k) = [I - G(m, k)C(k)]P(k|k - 1)
$$
 (33)

$$
[k|k] - [I - O(m, k)C(k)]I(k|k-1)
$$
 (33)

## <span id="page-3-0"></span>**The modified WI-EKF algorithm with DSMO**

The sliding mode observer is a nonlinear state observer. Compared with other state observers, the sliding mode observer has better robustness and estimation accuracy. Standard form of first-order sliding mode observer is as follows:

$$
x(k+1) = A(k)x(k) + B(k)u(k) + H(y(k) - \hat{y}(k))
$$

$$
+Jsgn\left[\frac{y(k) - \hat{y}(k)}{\chi}\right]
$$
(34)

$$
y(k) = C(k)x(k) + D(k)u(k)
$$
\n(35)

where  $\hat{y}(k)$  is the predicted value at time *k*, *H* is the gain matrix,  $J$  is the saturation gain function, sgn( $\cdot$ ) is the symbolic function, and  $\chi$  is the boundary layer. The sliding mode observer can filter the signal noise, but also brings the chattering problem into the algorithm; thus, a saturation function  $sat(x)$  is introduced.

$$
sat(x) = \begin{cases} x, -1 \le x \le 1\\ \text{sgn}(x), x < -1 \text{ or } x > 1 \end{cases}
$$
 (36)

The iterative process of sliding mode observer based on WI-EKF is shown below:

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\hat{x}(k|k-1) = A(k-1)\hat{x}(k-1|k-1) + B(k-1)u(k-1) \n+H (y(k-1) - \hat{y}(k-1)) \n+J \text{sat} \left[ \frac{y(k) - \hat{y}(k)}{\chi} \right]
$$
\n(37)

$$
P(k|k-1) = A(k-1)P(k-1|k-1)AT(k-1)
$$
  
+
$$
Q(k-1)
$$
 (38)

$$
G(k) = P(k|k-1)C^{T}(k)[C(k)P(k|k-1)C^{T}(k) + R(k)]^{-1}
$$
\n(39)

$$
O(m,k) = [o(k), o(k-1), \cdots, o(k-m+1)]T
$$
  

$$
\in \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$
 (40)

$$
G(m,k) = [G(k), G(k-1), \cdots, G(k-m+1)]
$$
  

$$
\in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times m}
$$
 (41)

$$
\hat{x}(k|k) = \hat{x}(k|k-1) + G(m,k)\bar{O}(m,k)
$$
 (42)

$$
P(k|k) = [I - G(m, k)C(k)]P(k|k - 1)
$$
 (43)

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

The estimation of the SOC of lithium-ion batteries by sliding mode observer based on the extended Kalman filter is divided into online part and offline part. The offline part mainly includes the acquisition of the OCV-SOC curve and the design of the DSMO parameters. The OCV-SOC curve is obtained by open-circuit voltage test, and the parameters *H* and *J* in Eq. [37](#page-3-1) are assumed by authors' experience. The online part mainly includes online parameter identification of the second-order RC model and state estimation of lithium-ion batteries.

The advantage of online parameter identification is that the model parameters can change with the change of external environment, and the accuracy is high. The disadvantage lies that the algorithm is a little complicated. Based on extended Kalman filter, this section uses the sliding mode observer to recursively estimate the SOC of lithium-ion batteries. In fact, one may use other iterative identification schemes [\[40–](#page-6-25)[47\]](#page-6-26), and the recursive identification schemes [\[48](#page-6-27)[–52\]](#page-7-0) to design the state observers and state filtering algorithms. These deserve further study.

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>**Experimental results and analysis**

<span id="page-4-2"></span> $36$ 

 $3.4$ 

circuit voltage/V

 $62.8$ 

 $2.6$ 

 $^{2.4}$ 

 $10$ 20  $30$ 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

In our experiment, IFP36130155D-36Ah lithium-ion battery is tested with rated voltage 3.2 V and rated capacity 36 Ah. The main parameters of the lithium-ion battery are shown in Table [1.](#page-4-1) Lithium ions embedded in the carbon layer of the negative electrode come out and move back to the positive electrode when discharging the battery. The more lithium ions return to the positive electrode, the higher the discharge capacity.



SOC%

<span id="page-4-3"></span>

**Fig. 3** The SOC estimation under intermittent discharge test

In order to verify the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm, it is necessary to obtain the real value of SOC by combining the function relationship between opencircuit voltage and SOC with the ampere hour integration method. The battery is discharged for 18 min with a discharge rate of 0.3 C (12 A), and then internally stabilized for 40 min, during which the open-circuit voltage reaches to the terminal voltage. This process is repeated until the SOC reaches to 0%. Figure [2](#page-4-2) shows the OCV-SOC curve in the discharging process. Considering the accuracy of curve fitting, a seven-order polynomial equation which derives from Curve Fitting Toolbox in MATLAB is as follows:

$$
U_{ocd} = 142.3903SOC7 - 503.4641SOC6
$$
  
+721.6340SOC<sup>5</sup> - 540.5293SOC<sup>4</sup>  
+227.4628SOC<sup>3</sup> - 53.7642SOC<sup>2</sup>  
+6.8097SOC + 2.471 (44)

Intermittent discharge test with negative discharge current is used to verify the accuracy of the proposed SOC estimation algorithm at the 25 ◦*C* environment temperature.

<span id="page-4-4"></span>

**Fig. 4** The error of SOC estimation under intermittent discharge test

<span id="page-5-11"></span>**Table 2** Comparison of algorithms

<b>Algorithm</b>	EKF	WI-EKF	WI-EKF-DSMO
Maximum absolute error	0.8274	0.1605	0.1276
Root mean square error	0.3122	0.1058	0.0968

The fully charged battery experienced 11 discharge cycles. The battery is discharged at a discharge rate of 0.3 C (12 A) for 18 min, and then placed for 40 min to a steady state in each cycle. At the 12th cycle time, the battery is discharged to the discharge cut-off voltage and the whole test is completed.

In the SOC estimation experiment, EKF, WI-EKF, and the combination of DSMO and WI-EKF are used for the experiment. From the system stability analysis,  $\varphi = 0.1, H = [0.000015; 0.000015; 0.000015], J =$ [0.000015; 0.000015; 0.000015]. The comparison between the experimental results and the real results is shown in Fig. [3.](#page-4-3) The error of SOC estimation is shown in Fig. [4](#page-4-4) and Table [2.](#page-5-11) Figures [3](#page-4-3) and [4](#page-4-4) indicate that the improved algorithm can make SOC estimation more accurate and acquire smaller estimation error. Figure [5](#page-5-12) manifests that SOC can converge to the actual value eventually in spite of the selected initial SOC. Process noise and observation noise should be set according to experience, not too large or too small. Thus, the initial SOC value is 1, the process noise is  $10^{-7}$ , and the observation noise is 0.1 in the experiment. The discharge low current which reduces external interference and prolongs the lifetime of the battery is 0.3 C (12 A) to improve the stability and safety of the experiment when estimating SOC.

The experimental results show that the addition of the sliding mode observer into WI-EKF makes SOC estimation closer to the real value and improves the accuracy of SOC estimation compared with WI-EKF.

<span id="page-5-12"></span>

**Fig. 5** The comparison of different initial SOC by EKF under intermittent discharge test

## <span id="page-5-10"></span>**Conclusions**

In this paper, an effective SOC estimation method is proposed by combining the second-order RC equivalent circuit model of lithium-ion battery with the combination of WI-EKF and DSMO. The proposed method improves the accuracy of estimation by introducing DSMO into the WI-EKF. Experimental results show that the proposed algorithm is effective and can improve the accuracy of SOC estimation. To better suppress the chattering problem and improve the stability, the second-order or multi-order sliding mode observer is the focus of future research. Selecting more suitable saturated gain function is also one of the key points in the future research. The basic idea of the proposed algorithm in this paper can be extended and applied to other fields.

**Funding information** This work is financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 61833011 and 61873326) and the Natural Science Foundation of NJUPT (No. NY217063).

# **References**

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>1. Hu XS, Xiong R, Egardt B (2014) Model-based dynamic power assessment of lithium-ion batteries considering different operating conditions. IEEE Trans Indust Inform 10(3):1948–1959
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>2. Xiong R, Sun F, He H (2012) State-of-charge estimation of lithium-ion batteries in electric vehicles based on an adaptive extended Kalman filter. Chinese High Technol Lett 22(2):198–204
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>3. Ding F, Lv L, Pan J et al (2020) Two-stage gradient-based iterative estimation methods for controlled autoregressive systems using the measurement data. Int J Control Autom Syst, 18. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12555-019-0140-3>
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>4. Ding F, Liu XP, Liu G (2011) Identification methods for Hammerstein nonlinear systems. Digital Signal Process 21(2):215–238
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>5. Xu L, Chen L, Xiong WL (2015) Parameter estimation and controller design for dynamic systems from the step responses based on the Newton iteration. Nonlin Dyn 79(3):2155–2163
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>6. Ding F, Liu G, Liu XP (2011) Parameter estimation with scarce measurements. Automatica 47(8):1646–1655
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>7. Xu L (2016) The damping iterative parameter identification method for dynamical systems based on the sine signal measurement. Signal Process 120:660–667
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>8. Ding F, Liu XG, Chu J (2013) Gradient-based and least-squaresbased iterative algorithms for Hammerstein systems using the hierarchical identification principle. IET Control Theory Appl 7(2):176–184
- 9. Ding F (2013) Hierarchical multi-innovation stochastic gradient algorithm for Hammerstein nonlinear system modeling. Appl Math Model 37(4):1694–1704
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>10. Xu L, Xiong WL, Alsaedi A, Hayat T (2018) Hierarchical parameter estimation for the frequency response based on the dynamical window data. Int J Control Autom Syst 16(4):1756– 1764
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>11. Ding F (2014) Combined state and least squares parameter estimation algorithms for dynamic systems. Appl Math Model 38(1):403–412
- 12. Liu YJ, Ding F, Shi Y (2014) An efficient hierarchical identification method for general dual-rate sampled-data systems. Automatica 50(3):962–970
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>13. Ding F (2014) State filtering and parameter estimation for state space systems with scarce measurements. Signal Process 104:369–380
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>14. Verbrugge M, Frisch D, Koch B (2005) Adaptive energy management of electric and hybrid electric vehicles. J Chem Soc 152(2):333–342
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>15. Lee J, Nam O, Cho BH (2007) Li-ion battery SOC estimation method based on the reduced order extended Kalman filtering. J Power Sources 174(1):9–15
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>16. Ng KS, Moo CS, Chen YP (2009) Enhanced coulomb counting method for estimating state-of-charge and state-of-health of lithium-ion batteries. Appl Energy 86(9):1506–1511
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>17. Barai A, Widanage WD et al (2015) A study of the open circuit voltage characterization technique and hysteresis assessment of lithium-ion cells. J Power Sources 295:99–107
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>18. Saeed S, Reza G, Liaw BY (2015) Inline state of health estimation of lithium-ion batteries using state of charge calculation. J Power Sources 299:246–254
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>19. Lu LG, Han XB et al (2013) A review on the key issues for lithium-ion battery management in electric vehicles. J Power Sources 226:272–288
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>20. Xing YJ, He W et al (2014) State of charge estimation of lithiumion batteries using the open-circuit voltage at various ambient temperatures. Appl Energy 113:106–115
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>21. Urbain M, Rael S, Davat B (2007) State estimation of a lithium-ion battery through Kalman filter. IEEE Power Electron Specialists Conf, 2804–2810
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>22. Wang Q, Feng XY, Zhang B et al (2019) Power battery state of charge estimation based on extended Kalman filter. J Renew Sustain Energy 11:1
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>23. He HW, Xiong R, Zhang XW (2011) State-of-charge estimation of the lithium-ion battery using an adaptive extended Kalman filter based on an improved thevenin model. IEEE Trans Veh Technol 60(4):1461–1469
- 24. Guo YF, Zhao ZS, Huang LM (2017) SoC estimation of lithium battery based on AEKF algorithm. Energy Procedia 105:4146– 4152
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>25. Lim KC, Bastawrous HA, Duong VH (2015) Online SoC estimation of lithium ion battery for EV/BEV using Kalman filter with fading memory. IEEE Consum Electron Mag, 476– 477
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>26. Chen C, Xiong R, Shen W et al (2019) State-of-charge estimation of lithium-ion battery using an improved neural network model and extended Kalman filter. J Clean Prod 234:1153–1164
- 27. Wu ZQ, Shang MY, Shen DD (2019) SOC estimation for batteries using MS-AUKF and neural network. J Renew Sustain Ener 11:2
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>28. Li JH, Liu MS (2018) SOC estimation for lithium batteries based on the full parallel nonlinear autoregressive neural network with external inputs. J Renew Sustain Ener 10:6
- <span id="page-6-14"></span>29. Ephrem C, Phillip J, Matthias P et al (2018) State-of-charge estimation of Li-ion batteries using deep neural networks. J Power Sources 400:242–255
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>30. Zhang F, Liu GJ, Fang LJ (2008) A battery state of charge estimation method using sliding mode observer. In: Proceedings of the 7th World Congress on intelligent control and automation, 989–994
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>31. Ma Y, Li BS, Xie YQ et al (2016) Estimating the state of charge of lithium-ion battery based on sliding mode observer. IFAC-PapersOnLine 49(11):54–61
- <span id="page-6-17"></span>32. Shen YQ (2018) Adaptive extended Kalman filter based state of charge determination for lithium-ion batteries. Electrochim Acta 283:1432–1440
- <span id="page-6-18"></span>33. Dadras S, Momeni H (2011) Fractional sliding mode observer design for a class of uncertain fractional order nonlinear systems.

In: 50th IEEE Conference on decision and control and European control conference (CDC-ECC), pp 6925–6930

- <span id="page-6-19"></span>34. Chen XP, Shen WQ, Cao ZW (2013) Adaptive gain sliding mode observer for state of charge estimation based on combined battery equivalent circuit model in electric vehicles. In: 8th IEEE Conference on industrial electronics and applications (ICIEA), pp 601–606
- <span id="page-6-20"></span>35. Han YY, Ding J, Chen JZ, Sun P (2019) SOC estimation method for lithium-ion batteries: extended Kalman filter with weighted innovation. In: The 31th Chinese control and decision conference, June 3-5, Nanchang, China, pp 5143–5147
- <span id="page-6-21"></span>36. Pan J, Jiang X, Wan XK et al (2017) A filtering based multi-innovation extended stochastic gradient algorithm for multivariable control systems. Int J Control Autom Syst 15(3): 1189–1197
- <span id="page-6-22"></span>37. Ding J, Chen JZ, Lin JX, Jiang GP (2019) Particle filteringbased recursive identification for controlled auto-regressive systems with quantised output. IET Control Theory Appl 13(14): 2181–2187
- <span id="page-6-23"></span>38. Hu XS, Li SB et al (2012) A comparative study of equivalent circuit models for Li-ion batteries. J Power Sources 198: 359–367
- <span id="page-6-24"></span>39. Zheng LF, Zhu JG et al (2018) Differential voltage analysis based state of charge estimation methods for lithium-ion batteries using extended Kalman filter and particle filter. Energy 158: 1028–1037
- <span id="page-6-25"></span>40. Ding F, Liu XP, Liu G (2010) Gradient based and least-squares based iterative identification methods for OE and OEMA systems. Digital Signal Process 20(3):664–677
- 41. Ding F, Liu YJ, Bao B (2012) Gradient based and least squares based iterative estimation algorithms for multi-input multi-output systems. Proc. Instit. Mech. Eng. Part I: J. Syst. Control Eng. 226(1):43–55. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math7060558>
- 42. Ma H, Pan J, Ding F et al (2019) Partially-coupled least squares based iterative parameter estimation for multi-variable outputerror-like autoregressive moving average systems. IET Control Theory and Appl, 13. <https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-cta.2019.0112>
- 43. Ding F, Pan J, Alsaedi A et al (2019) Gradient-based iterative parameter estimation algorithms for dynamical systems from observation data. Mathematics 7(5):Article Number: 428. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math7050428>
- 44. Li MH, Liu XM, Ding F (2019) Filtering-based maximum likelihood iterative estimation algorithms for a special class of nonlinear systems with autoregressive moving average noise using the hierarchical identification principle. Int J Adapt Control Signal Process 33(7):1189–1211
- 45. Liu SY, Ding F, Xu L et al (2019) Hierarchical principlebased iterative parameter estimation algorithm for dual-frequency signals. Circ Syst Signal Process 38(7):3251–3268
- 46. Ding F (2013) Decomposition based fast least squares algorithm for output error systems. Signal Process 93(5):1235–1242
- <span id="page-6-26"></span>47. Ding F (2013) Two-stage least squares based iterative estimation algorithm for CARARMA system modeling. Appl Math Model 37(7):4798–4808
- <span id="page-6-27"></span>48. Ding F, Xu L, Meng DD et al (2020) Gradient estimation algorithms for the parameter identification of bilinear systems using the auxiliary model. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2019.112575>
- 49. Zhang X, Ding F, Yang EF (2019) State estimation for bilinear systems through minimizing the covariance matrix of the state estimation errors. Int J Adapt Control Signal Process 33(7):1157– 1173
- 50. Wang YJ, Ding F, Wu MH (2018) Recursive parameter estimation algorithm for multivariate output-error systems. J Franklin Inst 355(12):5163–518
- 51. Ma JX, Xiong WL, Chen J et al (2017) Hierarchical identification for multivariate Hammerstein systems by using the modified Kalman filter. IET Control Theory Appl 11(6):857–869
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>52. Ding F, Wang FF, Xu L, Wu MH (2017) Decomposition based least squares iterative identification algorithm for multivariate

pseudo-linear ARMA systems using the data filtering. J Franklin Inst 354(3):1321–1339

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.