ORIGINAL PAPER

Effects of yttrium ion doping on electrochemical performance of LiFePO₄/C cathodes for lithium-ion battery

Junming Chen¹ · Xuchun Wang¹ · Zhipeng Ma^{2,3} · Guangjie Shao^{2,3}

Received: 23 January 2015 / Revised: 9 May 2015 / Accepted: 12 May 2015 / Published online: 27 May 2015 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

Abstract The olivine-type LiFe_{1-x} Y_xPO_4/C (x=0, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05) products were prepared through liquid-phase precipitation reaction combined with the high-temperature solid-state method. The structure, morphology, and electrochemical performance of the samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscope (SEM), transmission electron microscope (TEM), energydispersive spectroscopy (EDS), galvanostatic charge-discharge, cyclic voltammetry, and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). We found that the small amount of Y^{3+} ion-doped can keep the microstructure of LiFePO₄, modify the particle morphology, decrease charge transfer resistance, and enhance exchange current density, thus enhance the electrochemical performance of the LiFePO₄/C. However, the large doping content of Y³⁺ ion cannot be completely doped into LiFePO₄ lattice, but existing partly in the form of YPO₄. The electrochemical performance of LiFePO₄/C was restricted owing to YPO₄. Among all the doped samples, LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C showed the best electrochemical performance. The LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C sample exhibited the initial discharge capacity of 166.7, 155.8, 148.2, 139.8, and

Junming Chen cjmmap@163.com

Guangjie Shao shaoguangjie@ysu.edu.cn

¹ College of Chemistry and Materials Engineering, Anhui Science and Technology University, Fengyang 233100, China

- ² State key Laboratory of Metastable Materials Science and Technology, Yanshan University, Qinhuangdao 066004, China
- ³ Hebei Key Laboratory of Applied Chemistry, College of Environmental and Chemical Engineering, Yanshan University, Qinhuangdao 066004, China

121.1 mAh g^{-1} at a rate of 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 C, respectively. And, the discharge capacity of the material was 119.6 mAh g^{-1} after 100 cycles at 5 C rates.

Keywords Lithium-ion battery · Lithium iron phosphate · Yttrium ion doping · High rate

Introduction

Olivine-structured LiFePO₄ is one of the most promising cathode materials for next-generation lithium-ion batteries in applications of large-size and high-power devices [1]. Compared with commercial layered LiCoO₂ material with lower capacity and poorer safety, it possesses more excellent chemical and thermal stability, acceptable flat voltage plateau (3.4 V vs Li⁺/ Li) and a high theoretical rate capacity of 170 mAh g⁻¹, and it offers economic and environmental advantages because of its low-cost and nontoxicity. However, the undesirable high-rate performance of LiFePO₄ resulting from its poor electronic conductivity nature and sluggish ionic diffusion restricts its applications in large power devices [2]. The key issues are how fast Li⁺ ion inserted/extracted, and how fast electrons can be transported during charge/discharge process.

Enormous efforts have been made to improve the electronic and ionic conductivity of the bulk as well as surface of the LiFePO₄ material. Up to now, surface coating of LiFePO₄ with carbon is one popular method to obtain good electrochemical performance [3–12]. However, the effectiveness of carbon coating in enhancing electrochemical performances of LiFePO₄ can be affected by many factors [13–18]. The factors influencing the effect of the coating carbon include the following: carbon content and carbon thickness, carbon morphology and distribution, and so on. If the coating layer carbon has thin layer, complete graphitization and appropriate content on the surface of LiFePO₄, the electron conductivity of LiFePO₄ bulk will be greatly improved. Except the direct contribution to electron conductivity, the carbon coating can also bring some conductive by-product of metal phosphides, which is beneficial to the increased electronic conductivity of LiFePO₄ [11, 19].

Another key method is to dope metal ion, which has been considered as an efficient way for the enhancement of the electrochemical performance of LiFePO₄. In the past several years, significant progress has been made in this filed by doping various metal cations [20–29], such as Cu²⁺, Mn²⁺, Nd³⁺, Nb⁵⁺ into LiFePO₄, and their improved electrochemical performances have been reported. For example, Huang et al. [30] prepared Na⁺ ion-doped LiFePO₄/C and obtained improved reversible capacity of 142 mAh g^{-1} at a rate of 1 C. The improved electrochemical performance of Na⁺ ion-doped LiFePO₄/C sample can be attributed to its larger lattice parameters in a and c axis direction. Cho et al. [31] prepared LiFe₁₋ $_{\rm r} {\rm La}_{\rm r} {\rm PO}_4 / {\rm C}$ by a solid-state reaction. It was indicated that these La-ion dopants had no effect on the structure of the material. Instead, it considerably enhanced its high rate performance and cyclic stability. Otherwise, to better understand the relationships between the electrochemical performance and crystal structure, Hong et al. [32] synthesized V-modified LiFePO₄ by different methods. They found that the vanadium was substituted into the lattice occupying iron sites in the FeO₆ octahedron for the V-modified LiFePO₄ samples prepared by the conventional solid-state reaction method and a solution method. This structural modification enhances the electrochemical performance by increasing the Li⁺ effective crosssectional area of the LiO₆ octahedral face and thereby reducing the bottleneck for Li⁺ migration.

Based on above analysis, doping appropriate amount of metal ions and coating carbon is a very effective method to improve the performance of LiFePO₄. Rare earth Y element has a special electronic structure (the different arrangements of electrons give rise to abundant energy levels). The influence of Y^{3+} ion on the electrochemical properties of LiFePO₄ has rarely been reported. In this paper, we have synthesized LiFe₁, $_xY_xPO_4/C$ products by liquid-phase precipitation reaction combined with the high-temperature solid-state method. We expected that doping rare earth element Y^{3+} and coating carbon will be beneficial to improve the high-rate performance of LiFePO₄.

Experimental

Olivine-type LiFe_{1-x}Y_xPO₄/C (x=0, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05) composites were prepared by liquid-phase precipitation reaction combined with the high-temperature solidstate method. A total of 0.05 mol NH₄H₂PO₄ (AR) and 0.05 mol FeSO₄·7H₂O (AR) were first separately dissolved in 50 mL distilled water. The two aqueous solutions were pumped into a continuously stirred tank reactor. A 2.86-g



Fig. 1 XRD patterns of LiFe_{1-x}Y_xPO₄/C (0≤x≤0.05) samples

H₂O₂ solution (30 wt%) was also pumped into the reactor to generate FePO₄·xH₂O precipitation (the pH value for the reaction system was about 2). The suspension was then further stirred for 15 min. To ensure suitable reaction condition, temperature (25 °C) and stirring speed of the resulting mixture were precisely controlled throughout the synthesis process. The resulting FePO₄ hydrate powders were filtered, washed, and dried at 80 °C for 12 h, then heat-treated at 500 °C for 3 h in air atmosphere to obtain crystalline anhydrous FePO₄ powders. LiFe_{1-x} Y_xPO_4/C composites were synthesized by mixing the prepared FePO₄ powders with a stoichiometric amount of Li₂CO₃ (AR), a certain amount of Y₂O₃, and superfluous citric acid. The mixture was then ball-milled 6 h and finally calcined at 700 °C for 8 h under nitrogen atmosphere. The carbon content is ca. 5 % in the obtained powders. The method we adopted to measure the carbon content is dissolving the samples into hydrochloric acid solution. After filtrated and dried, we get the residual carbon.

The XRD measurement of the as-synthesized products was carried out on a RigakuSmart Lab X-ray diffractometer operated at 40 kV using a Cu K α radiation at scan



Fig. 2 Rietveld refinement of XRD pattern for ${\rm LiFe}_{0.98}{\rm Y}_{0.02}{\rm PO}_4/{\rm C}$ composite

C (a, c) and LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C

products (b, d)



rate of 5° min⁻¹. The morphology and microstructure of the powders were observed by field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) on S-4800 FE-SEM and high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) with model JEM2010.

The electrochemical performances of the assynthesized products were measured in a simulative cell consisted of a working electrode and a lithium foil electrode separated by a Celgard 2400 microporous membrane. The working electrode was prepared by spreading the mixed slurry with 80 wt.% active materials, 10 wt.% acetylene black, and 10 wt.% polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) binder in the N-methylpyrrolidione (NMP) onto an Al foil substrate, and then dried at 120 °C in a vacuum drying oven for 12 h. The electrolyte was 1 M LiPF₆/EC+ DEC (1:1, v/v). The cells were assembled in an argonfilled glove box and tested by galvanostatic chargedischarge cycling in the voltage ranges of 2.4-4.2 V on a battery testing system (LAND, Wuhan, China). The area of the electrode is 0.785 cm², with the active material loading of 4-5 mg on each one. The electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) test (the frequency range of 0.01-100,000 Hz) was performed on an electrochemical workstation CHI660A (Chenhua, Shanghai, China).



Fig. 4 Energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS) mappings of LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C product





Results and discussion

The phase purity and crystal structure of the products obtained were examined by X-ray diffraction (XRD). Illustrated in Fig. 1 are the XRD patterns of LiFe_{1-x} Y_x PO₄/C (x=0, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05) samples. All the XRD patterns can be indexed as orthorhombic Pnma space group (JCPDS card No. 83-2092). In addition, when the doping content of Y^{3+} ion is low, the impurity peaks cannot be detected. To clarify this phenomenon, the Rietveld refinement of the XRD pattern for $LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO_4/C$ composite is shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen that the measured pattern and calculated pattern match well, indicating that the Y ions tend to occupy Fe sites of the olivine LiFePO₄ instead of forming another phase. With the increase of the Y^{3+} ion content, a tiny amount of YPO₄ appears, which brings lattice defects in LiFePO₄ (the XRD pattern for $LiFe_{0.97}Y_{0.03}PO_4/C$ sample around 22–23°), resulting in the enhancement of the electrochemical performance of LiFePO4/C [33]. However, when the doping content of Y^{3+} ion reaches 5 mol%, more YPO₄ come into being, which causes sever lattice distortions, leading to the declined electrochemical properties.

Based on the above analysis, we conclude that Y^{3+} ion can enter into the lattice of olivine LiFePO₄ occupying Fe sites when the doping amount is relatively low. However, when the doping amount is too high, Y^{3+} ion cannot totally enter into LiFePO₄ lattice and the extra Y^{3+} ion exist in the form of YPO₄.

The particle size and morphology of the LiFePO₄/C and LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C products are provided by scanning electron microscope (SEM), as shown in Fig. 3. It can be clearly seen form Fig. 3 that the dispersibility of the Y^{3+} ion doping LiFePO₄/C sample becomes significantly better than that of the undoped LiFePO₄/C sample, which suggests that an appropriate doping amount of Y^{3+} ion can markedly increase the interface between the nanoparticles and electrolyte, thus improving the electrochemical performance of LiFePO₄/C electrode. To further analyze LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C, energydispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS) mappings were performed, as shown in Fig. 4. Figure 4a shows a typical SEM image of LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C nanoparticles, and Fig. 4b-f gives recorded maps of C-K, O-K, P-K, Fe-K, and Y-K signals. According to the result, we can see that the Y-K and C-K signals are detected all through the particle, demonstrating that

Fig. 6 Typical galvanostatic curves of all the electrodes at a rate of 1 C (a). Typical voltagecapacity curves of LiFePO₄/C (b) and LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C (c) electrodes at rates of 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 C, respectively. The cycling performance of LiFePO₄/C and LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C electrodes at various rates (d)



Y element and carbon are homogeneously distributed on the entire detection area for $LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO_4/C$ sample.

The surface morphology and microstructure of the prepared material were further analyzed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Figure 5 indicates the TEM images of samples LiFePO₄/C and LiFe_{0.98} $Y_{0.02}$ PO₄/C. It is seen From Fig. 5a, c and b, d that both the nanoparticles of LiFePO₄/C and LiFe_{0.98} $Y_{0.02}$ PO₄/C are composed of sphere-like nanoparticles. The TEM images from the edge of these nanoparticles reveal that they have a relatively smooth and closed structure, covered with a coating layer. The perfect carbon coating layer is beneficial for improving the electrochemical performance of the $LiFePO_4$ materials.

To test the electrochemical lithium storage performance of the synthesized products, simulative cells using a lithium anode and $\text{LiFe}_{1-x}\text{Y}_x\text{PO}_4/\text{C}$ (x=0.00, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05) composites are charged-discharged between 2.4 and 4.2 V. Figure 6a shows voltage versus specific capacity for all electrodes at the first discharge-charge cycles at rate of 0.2 C. It can be found that



Fig. 7 The charge and discharge capacity and coulombic efficiency of $\text{LiFe}_{0.98}Y_{0.02}\text{PO}_4/\text{C}$ sample for 100 cycles at a rate of 5 C



Fig. 8 CV curves of the prepared LiFePO_4/C and LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO_4/C electrodes at a scan rate of 0.5 mV s^{-1}



all the cells exhibit one charge or discharge plateau around 3.45 V, which suggests that the intercalation/deintercalation behavior of Li⁺ ion is smooth in every case. For the discharge capacity, interestingly, the LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C sample exhibits a discharge capacity of 166.7 mAh g^{-1} at 0.2 C rate, which is much higher than those of LiFe_{1-x}Y_xPO₄/C (145.6, 158.7, 152.4, 134.1, and 129.9 mAh g^{-1} for x=0.00, 0.01, 0.03, 0.04, and 0.05, respectively). We speculate that the low doping content of Y³⁺ ion can bring lattice defects in LiFePO₄, which can enhance the electrochemical performance of LiFePO₄/C. However, with the increase of Y^{3+} ion content, the impurity phases YPO₄ come into being and the lattice distortions gets severer, resulting in the declined electrochemical properties. To further test the electrochemical performances of LiFePO₄/C and LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C electrodes at various rates. Figure 6b, c illustrates typical voltage-capacity curves of LiFePO4/C and LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C electrodes at 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 C rates, respectively. It is seen that LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C electrode achieves discharge capacities of 166.7, 155.8, 148.2, 139.8, and 121.1 mAh g^{-1} at a rate of 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 C, which is much higher than that of LiFePO4/C electrode which gives capacities of 145.6, 139.9, 131.2, 118.8, and 71.8 mAh g⁻¹, respectively. These results are outstanding superior to results obtained in the literature [34-36]. Moreover, we can see from Fig. 6d that LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C sample exhibits excellent electrochemical Li⁺ storage performance with higher capacity and rate capability than that of LiFePO₄/C. Additionally, to further test the potential applicability of LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C electrode at high rate, the reversible capacity of LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C electrode achieves 119.6 mmAh g^{-1} at the high rate of 5 C after 100 cycles, as shown in Fig. 7. The corresponding coulombic efficiency is ca. 98.8 %. The remarkable rate and cycle performance can be attributed to the appearance of the defects and the increasing disorder of the lattice in LiFePO₄/C by doping Y^{3+} ion.

Figure 8 gives the CV curves of the as-synthesized LiFePO₄/C and LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C electrodes a low scan rate of 0.5 mV s⁻¹. It can be seen from Fig. 8 that the potential interval between the cathodic and anodic peaks for LiFePO₄/C and LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C electrode is more sharper and symmetric than that of LiFePO₄/C electrode, indicating that the redox kinetics of LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C electrode are improved by doping Y³⁺ ion.

The electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) is used to further analyze the effect of doping Y^{3+} ion on the electrode reaction impedance. Electrochemical impedance spectrum was carried out at 50 % of discharge state, as shown in Fig. 9a. An EIS spectrum is composed of a semicircle at high-frequency range and an inclined line within the lowfrequency range. The resistance of the semicircle is attributed to the charge transfer process. The Nyquist plots are fitted using the equivalent circuit (the inset of Fig. 9a), and the derived impedance parameters are listed in Table 1. It is obvious that the R_{ct} of LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C is lower than that of the LiFePO₄/C electrode. The charge transfer resistance of the LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C electrode is 90.7 Ω . The value of the charge transfer resistance is also lower than that of literature report [37]. The smaller charge transfer resistance indicates the more feasible transfer of lithium-ion and electron on the electrode, which is beneficial to overcome the restriction of kinetics in the charge/discharge process and improve the electrochemical performance of the LiFePO₄ material. An exchange current density (I_0) is a very important parameter of kinetics for an electrochemical reaction, which can be used to measure the catalytic activity of electrodes. It is calculated by the following formula (1), and the results are also listed in Table 1.

$$I_0 = \frac{R \cdot T}{nR_{\rm ct} \cdot F} \tag{1}$$

Table 1 Result of the electrochemical impedance for LiFePO ₄ /C and LiFe _{0.98} Y _{0.02} PO ₄ /C electrodes	Sample	$R_{\rm s}\left(\Omega ight)$	$R_{\rm ct}\left(\Omega\right)$	σ (s cm ⁻²)	$D ({\rm cm}^2{\rm s}^{-1})$	$I_0 (\mathrm{mA g}^{-1})$
	LiFePO ₄ /C LiFe _{0.98} Y _{0.02} PO ₄ /C	10.38 8.28	365.3 90.7	58.73 32.62	3.2×10^{-14} 9.03×10^{-13}	0.07 0.28

where *R* is the gas constant, *T* is the absolute temperature, *n* is the charge transfer number, R_{ct} is the charge transfer resistance, and *F* is the Faraday constant.

It is apparently seen in Table 1 that the value of exchange current density (I_0) for LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C electrode is remarkably higher than that of LiFePO₄/C. This result implies that the LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C electrode has better electrochemical activity, which leads to the enhanced high rate performance of LiFePO₄/C material.

In addition, the inclined line in EIS spectrum can be attributed to the lithium-ion diffusion into the bulk of the electrode material, the so-called Warburg diffusion. The Warburg coefficient σ can be calculated by equation [38] (2):

$$Z_{re} = R_e + R_{ct} + \sigma \omega^{-1/2} \tag{2}$$

where R_e is the resistance of the electrolyte, R_{ct} is the charge transfer resistance, and ω is the angular frequency in the low-frequency region and Z_{re} is the real axis resistance in the low-frequency region. Both R_e and R_{ct} are kinetics parameters independent of frequency. Then, σ is the slope for the plot of Z_{re} vs the reciprocal root square of the lower angular frequencies ($\omega^{-1/2}$). The plot of Z_{re} vs the reciprocal root square of the lower angular frequencies ($\omega^{-1/2}$) for the LiFePO₄/C and LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C electrodes are shown in Fig. 9b. The slope of the fitted line is the Warburg coefficient σ . However, the Li⁺-ion diffusion coefficient is obtained by the following equation [39] (3):

$$D = R^2 T^2 / 2A^2 n^4 F^4 C^2 \sigma^2 \tag{3}$$

where R is the gas constant, T is the absolute temperature (K), Fis the Faraday constant, A is the surface area of the LiFePO₄ cathode, *n* is the number of electrons during the process of Li^+ ion transportation, C is the molar concentration of Li^+ -ion in the LiFePO₄ cathode, and σ is the Warburg coefficient. The Li⁺-ion diffusion coefficient of the two electrodes is also listed in Table 1. The calculated lithium diffusion coefficient of the LiFePO4/C and LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO_4/C is 3.2×10^{-14} cm² s⁻¹ and $9.03 \times$ 10^{-13} cm² s⁻¹, respectively. The higher D obtained by doping Y^{3+} ion can be attributed to creating the defect and increasing disorder of the lattice in LiFePO₄/C and leads to the improvement of the electrochemical performance. These results are in good agreement with the charge-discharge characteristics. The above analysis suggests that the improvement of high performance for LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C materials can be attributed to the enhancement of the lithium-ion diffusivity in the bulk LiFePO₄.

Conclusions

Olivine-structured LiFe_{1-x} Y_xPO_4/C (x=0, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05) composites have been synthesized by liquidphase precipitation reaction combined with the high-temperature solid-state method. Y^{3+} ions can enter into the lattice of the olivine LiFePO₄ at the Fe sites when the doping content is low. Meanwhile, it can modify the particle morphology, decrease polarization overpotential and charge transfer resistance, increase exchange current density, and thus improve the electrochemical performance of the LiFePO₄/C. However, the large doping content of Y^{3+} ion can form more YPO₄ impurity phases, which can weaken the electrochemical performance of LiFePO₄/C. The LiFe_{0.98}Y_{0.02}PO₄/C showed the best rate capacity and cycling stability among all the samples. The initial discharge capacity of the as-prepared material can reach up to 166.7, 155.8, 148.2, 139.8, and 121.1 mAh g⁻¹ at a rate of 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 C, respectively. And, the material retains about 98.8 % of its initial capacity after 100 cycles at 5 C rate.

Acknowledgments We are grateful for the financial support from the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (B2012203069) and support from education department of Hebei province on natural science research key projects for institution of higher learning (ZH2011228).

References

- Padhi AK, Nanjundaswamy KS, Goodenough JB (1997) J Electrochem Soc 144:1188–1194
- Yuan LX, Wang ZH, Zhang WX, Hu XL, Chen JT, Huang YH, Goodenough JB (2011) Energy Environ Sci 4:269–284
- Cheng F, Wang S, Lu AH, Li WC (2013) J Power Sources 229: 249–257
- Avci E, Mazman M, Uzun D, Bicer E, Sener T (2013) J Power Sources 240:328–337
- Xu G, Li F, Tao Z, Wei X, Liu Y, Li X, Ren Z, Shen G, Han G (2014) J Power Sources 246:696–702
- Yao B, Ding Z, Zhang J, Feng X, Yin L (2014) J Solid State Chem 216:9–12
- Huang G, Li W, Sun H, Wang J, Zhang J, Jiang H, Zhai F (2013) Electrochim Acta 97:92–98
- Chen Z, Du B, Xu M, Zhu H, Li L, Wang W (2013) Electrochim Acta 109:262–268
- 9. Qin G, Ma Q, Wang C (2014) Electrochim Acta 115:407-415
- 10. Zhou Y, Wang J, Hu Y, OHayre R, Shao Z (2010) Chem Commun 46:7151–7153
- 11. Zhao B, Yu X, Cai R, Ran R, Wang H, Shao Z (2012) J Mater Chem 22:2900–2907
- 12. Zhang X, Zhang X, He W, Yue Y, Liu H, Ma J (2012) Chem Commun 48:10093–10095
- Wang J, Yang J, Tang Y, Li R, Liang G, Sham TK, Sun X (2013) J Mater Chem A 1:1579–1586
- Yang J, Wang J, Tang Y, Wang D, Li X, Hu Y, Li R, Liang G, Sham TK, Sun X (2013) Energy Environ Sci 6:1521–1528
- Ji H, Zhang L, Pettes MT, Li H, Chen S, Shi L, Piner R, Ruoff RS (2012) Nano Lett 12:2446–2451
- 16. Qin G, Wu Q, Zhao J, Ma Q, Wang C (2014) J Power Sources 248: 588–595
- Gong C, Xue Z, Wang X, Zhou XP, Xie XL, Mai YW (2014) J Power Sources 246:260–268
- Li J, Qu Q, Zhang L, Zheng H (2013) J Alloys Compd 579:377– 383
- 19. Kang B, Ceder G (2009) Nature 458:190-193
- 20. Yang R, Song X, Zhao M, Wang F (2009) J Alloys Compd 468: 365–369

- 21. Zhang Q, Wang S, Zhou Z, Ma G, Jiang W, Guo X, Zhao S (2011) Solid State Ionics 191:40–44
- 22. Li C, Hu N, Wang C, Kang X, Wumair T, Han Y (2011) J Alloys Compd 509:1897–1900
- 23. Wang Y, Yang Y, Hu X, Yang Y, Shao H (2009) J Alloys Compd 481:590–594
- 24. Sun CS, Zhou Z, Xu ZG, Wang DG, Wei JP, Bian XK, Yan J (2009) J Power Sources 193:841–845
- 25. Bilecka I, Hintennach A, Rossell MD, Xie D, Novak P, Niederberger M (2011) J Mater Chem 21:5881–5890
- 26. Yue H, Wu Z, Li L (2014) J Alloys Compd 583:1–6
- 27. Huang Y, Xu Y, Yang X (2013) Electrochim Acta 113:156-163
- Ma Z, Fan Y, Shao G, Wang L, Song J, Wang G, Liu T (2014) Electrochim Acta 139:256–263
- 29. Ma Z, Shao G, Wang G, Zhang Y, Du J (2014) J Solid State Chem 210:232–237
- Yin X, Huang K, Liu S, Wang H, Wang H (2010) J Power Sources 195:4308–4312

- Cho YD, Fey GTK, Kao HM (2008) J Solid State Electrochem 12: 815–823
- Hong J, Wang XL, Wang Q, Omenya F, Chernova NA, Whittingham MS, Graetz J (2012) J Phys Chem C 116:20787– 20793
- Saiful Islam M, Driscoll DJ, Fisher CAJ, Slater PR (2005) Chem Mater 17:5085–5092
- 34. Huang Y, Liu H, Lu YC, Hou Y, Li Q (2015) J Power Sources 284: 236–244
- 35. Miao C, Bai P, Jiang Q, Sun S, Wang X (2014) J Power Sources 246:232–238
- 36. Vu A, Stein A (2014) J Power Sources 245:48-58
- Liu H, Li C, Cao Q, Wu YP, Holze R (2008) J Solid State Electrochem 12:1017–1020
- 38. Yan C, Zhao X, Guo R (2010) Electrochim Acta 55:922-926
- Wang L, Ma P, Zhang Y, Gao C, Yan C (2009) J Salt Lake Res 17: 52–55