#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**





# Two new species of *Pucciniastrum* producing dimorphic sori and spores from northeast of China

Jing-Xin Ji<sup>1</sup> • Zhuang Li<sup>2</sup> • Yu Li<sup>1</sup> • Makoto Kakishima<sup>1,3</sup>

Received: 7 September 2018 / Revised: 20 November 2018 / Accepted: 22 November 2018 © German Mycological Society and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

#### Abstract

During field surveys for rust fungi in northeast of China, rust specimens on *Galium* (Rubiaceae), *Aster*, and *Kalimeris* (Compositae) were found in Jilin and Heilongjiang Provinces. Comparative morphology and phylogenetic analyses with 28S and ITS regions of rDNA showed that these specimens belong to *Pucciniastrum*, although no telial stage was found and they were different to *Pucciniastrum* species previously reported from the same host genera. Therefore, the rust fungus on *Galium* and another on *Aster* and *Kalimeris* are described as *P. coronisporum* and *P. verruculosum*, respectively. Both new species are mainly characterized by having two types of uredinia producing morphologically different urediniospores, which are intermingled in most of the uredinia. *Pucciniastrum coronisporum* has echinulate and coronate urediniospores, while those of *P. verruculosum* are echinulate and verrucose to nail-headed.

Keywords Aster · Galium · Kalimeris · Morphology · Phylogeny · Thekopsora

# Introduction

The genus *Pucciniastrum* G.H. Otth (Pucciniales) was established in 1861 based on *P. epilobii* G.H. Otth on *Epilobium angustifolium* L. and characterized by

Section Editor: Meike Piepenbring

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-018-1460-z) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Jing-Xin Ji 1096314395@qq.com

> Zhuang Li liz552@126.com

Yu Li yuli966@126.com

Makoto Kakishima kakishima.makoto.ga@u.tsukuba.ac.jp

- <sup>1</sup> Engineering Research Center of Chinese Ministry of Education for Edible and Medicinal Fungi, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun 130118, Jilin, China
- <sup>2</sup> College of Plant Pathology, Shandong Agricultural University, Tai'an 271000, China
- <sup>3</sup> University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan

subcuticular spermogonia (type 3 of Cummins and Hiratsuka 2003), peridermioid acia, uredinia with peridia opening by ostiolar cells, and laterally adherent teliospores in host plants (Hiratsuka 1936, 1958; Cummins and Hiratsuka 2003). Later, Calyptospora J.G. Kühn in 1869 and Thekopsora Magnus in 1875 were described based on the differences of position of telia in host plants. Pucciniastrum produces telia under the epidermal cells, while Calvptospora and Thekopsora produce them within epidermal cells in stems or leaves (Hiratsuka 1936; Sato et al. 1993; Cummins and Hiratsuka 2003). However, these two genera have been treated as Pucciniastrum in broad sense (Arthur 1962; Wilson and Henderson 1966; Cummins and Hiratsuka 2003; Index Fungorum, http:// www.indexfungorum.org/) because of similarity of aecial and uredinial morphology. In this study, we followed this taxonomic treatment. About 110 species of Pucciniastrum s. lat. have been reported on various plants in the world (Hiratsuka 1936, 1958; Gäumann 1959; Arthur 1962; Wilson and Henderson 1966; Hiratsuka et al. 1992; Azbukina 2015). Among them, 23 species have been reported in China (Tai 1979; Yang et al. 2014, 2015).

Jilin and Heilongjiang Provinces are located in the northeast of China; the east mountainous areas including Changbai mountain ranges are rich in vegetation. However, the inventory and ecology of rust fungi have not been sufficiently investigated in these Provinces. Therefore, we surveyed rust fungi in several locations from 2013 to 2017 and collected about 1000 rust specimens. Among these specimens, we found specimens on species of Galium (Rubiaceae), and Aster and Kalimeris (Compositae) producing two morphologically different types of sori and spores on leaves of the same plant. Two morphologically different spores were also observed in the same sorus. One type was similar to uredinial sori and spores of Pucciniastrum, and the other was similar to those of Coleosporium (Cummins and Hiratsuka 2003). We suspected that two different species of rust fungi were infecting the same host plants, because several rust species belonging to different genera have been recorded on these host plant genera in southeast Asia (Hiratsuka 1936, 1958; Tai 1979; Kaneko 1981; Hiratsuka et al. 1992). For clarification of these rust species, we carried out comparative morphological observations, and molecular analyses with 28S and ITS regions of rDNA. We report here the results of morphological observations and phylogenetic analyses of specimens on Galium, Aster, and Kalimeris, including descriptions of two new species of Pucciniastrum with dimorphic sori and spores.

# Materials and methods

## Specimens

Rust specimens on 4 species of Galium: G. davuricum Turcz. ex Ledeb., G. boreale L., G. trifidum L., and G. bungei Steud.; 2 species of Kalimeris: K. lautureana (Debx.) Kitam., and K. integrifolia Turcz. ex DC.; and 1 species of Aster, A. tataricus L. f. were collected at several localities in Jilin and Heilongjiang Provinces in China and were used for morphological observations and molecular analyses (Supplementary Table 1). For molecular analyses, specimens of Pucciniastrum rubiae (Kom.) Jørst. on Rubia cordifolia L. and R. chinensis Regel & Maack, P. agrimoniae (Dietel) Tranzschel on Agrimonia pilosa Ledeb., P. tiliae Miyabe on Tilia mandshurica Rupr. & Maxim. and T. mongolica Maxim., and Melampsora laricis-populina Kleb. on Populus sp. were also collected in Jilin or Heilongjiang Provinces and were sequenced (Supplementary Table 1). Specimens of P. guttatum (J. Schröt.) Hyl., Jørst. & Nannf. and P. rubiae were borrowed from the Mycological Herbarium, Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba in Japan (TSH) for comparative morphology. All specimens used for morphological observations and molecular analyses are deposited in the Herbarium of Mycology, Engineering Research Center of Chinese Ministry of Education for Edible and Medicinal Fungi, Jilin Agricultural University, China (HMJAU).

#### Morphological observation

A light microscope (LM) was used to examine morphological characters including the size and shape of sori and spores. Spores or thin sections of sori from dry specimens were mounted in a drop of lactophenol solution on glass slides for LM. The slide preparations were examined and photographed using a Zeiss AXIO imager (Zeiss, Germany) with differential interference contrast (DIC) equipment. Approximately 30 spores from each specimen were randomly chosen and the length, width, and wall thickness of spores were measured using Leica LAS X software attached to a Leica DM2000 microscope (Leica, Germany).

The surface features of spores were examined by a scanning electron microscope (SEM). For SEM, samples obtained from dry specimens were attached to specimen holders by double-sided adhesive tape or carbon paste, coated with platinum-palladium at about 40 nm in thickness using a Hitachi MC1000 Ion Sputter Coater, and examined with a Hitachi SU8010 FE-SEM operated at 5 kV.

#### DNA extraction and sequencing

The total genomic DNA was directly extracted from about 200 spores containing different types of spores, which were obtained from sori on the leaf, because two types of spores were intermingled in sori and could not be separated clearly. Spores were crushed between two sterilized glass slides and suspended in 30 µL extraction buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.3, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 50 mM KCl, 0.01% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 0.01% Proteinase K], and the suspensions were incubated at 37 °C for 1 h and 95 °C for 10 min, followed by a 4 °C soak (Virtudazo et al. 2001). From the crude extract, 5– 7 µL samples were used directly for each polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The rDNA-28S region was amplified using primers NL1 (5'-GCATATCAATAAGCGGAGGAAAAG-3') and NL4 (5'-GGTCCGTGTTTCAAGACGG-3') (O'Donnell 1993), and the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region (including ITS1, 5.8S, and ITS2) was amplified using primers ITS1F (5'-CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA-3') (Gardes and Bruns 1993) and ITS4 (5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTG ATATGC-3') (White et al. 1990). The PCR amplifications were performed in 50 µL of mixture containing 5 µL of template DNA, 2 µL of each primer, 25 µL of Premix TaqTM (TaKaRa TaqTM Version 2.0 plus dye) (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan), and 18 µL of ddH<sub>2</sub>O. Cycling conditions for amplification consisted of 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 45 °C (ITS) or 55 °C (28S) for 30 s and extension at 72 °C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were separated on 1% agarose gels containing nucleic acid stain (Beijing Dinggou Changsheng Biotechnology Co.) and purified using the TaKaRa MiniBEST Agarose Gel DNA

Exaction Kit Ver.4.0. Purified PCR products were cloned in pEASY®-T1 Cloning Vector (Transgene Biotech, Beijing, China) and then transferred into Trans1-T1 phage, resistant chemically competent cell according to the manufacturer's instructions. The positive clones were sequenced by Sangon Biotech Co., Shanghai, China. All data sequenced in this experiment were deposited at GenBank (Supplementary Table 1).

## **Phylogenetic analysis**

Alignments of the sequence data obtained from specimens were performed using MEGA6 (Tamura et al. 2013), and then, it was manually aligned using BioEdit ver. 7.0.9 (Hall 1999). ITS and 28S sequence data were also retrieved from GenBank based on host species and genus and added to phylogenetic analyses (Supplementary Table 2) (Aime 2006; Yang et al. 2014, 2015; Ji et al. 2016; McTaggart and Aime 2017; Aime et al. 2018). The final dataset contained ITS sequences from 64 specimens with a length of about 700 bps, and 43 specimens 28S sequences with a length of about 650 bps. Phylogenetic trees were constructed with the sequence of Melampsora laricis-populina as the outgroup. Topologies were constructed based on maximum likelihood (ML) analyses using raxmalGUI1.5b1 (Silvestro and Michalak 2012). The distance matrix values were constructed by MP method. The trees were described based on tree length, consistency index (CI), retention index (RI), rescaled consistency index (RC), and homoplasy index (HI). Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analyses were performed using MrBayes ver. 3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001). In ML and Bayesian analyses, the best-fit substitution models were estimated using Modeltest ver. 3.7 (Posada and Crandall 1998), and K81uf+I+G was selected as the best evolutionary model. The alignment and trees were deposited to TreeBASE under http://purl.org/phylo/treebase/phylows/ study/TB2:S23222 (Fig. 1), TB2:S23223 (Fig. 2) and TB2: S23224 (Fig. 3).

## Results

#### Phylogeny

The direct sequencing data obtained from spores mixed with different types were identical among 8 specimens on *Galium*, and those data among 6 specimens on *Kalimeris* and 3 specimens on *Aster* were also identical (Figs. 1, 2). The sequence data obtained from all clones obtained from same specimen are also identical and same as direct sequencing data of same host plant. 28S dataset was finally constructed by 48 sequences of 11 taxa with 72 parsimony-informative characters of 496 total characters. Parsimony

analysis yielded one parsimonious tree with tree length (TL = 157), consistency index (CI = 0.771), retention index (RI = 0.946), and rescaled consistency index (RC = 0.729). Bayesian analysis resulted in average standard deviation of split frequencies of 0.008013. ITS dataset was finally constructed by 53 sequences of 11 taxa with 222 parsimonyinformative characters of 759 total characters. Parsimony analysis yielded one parsimonious tree with tree length (TL = 538), consistency index (CI = 0.796), retention index (RI = 0.954), and rescaled consistency index (RC = 0.759). Bayesian analysis resulted in average standard deviation of split frequencies of 0.007502. Tree topologies formed by MP, ML, and MCMC methods were identical among trees. The phylogenetic trees by Bayesian analysis are shown in Figs. 1 (28S) and 2 (ITS), respectively. Both 28S and ITS trees showed that specimens on Rubiaceae and Compositae were phylogenetically included into the group of Pucciniastrum s. lat. However, as shown in the tree of 28S (Fig. 3), specimens on Galium, Kalimeris, and Aster were phylogenetically distinct from species of Coleosporium, which were reported on many plant species of Compositae and had morphologically similar uredinia to one type of sori on these plants.

The specimens on *Galium davuricum*, *G. boreale*, *G. trifidum*, and *G. bungei* collected in Jilin Province were grouped into one clade, which was included into *Pucciniastrum*, but they were distinct from other species on Rubiaceae (*P. guttatum* and *P. rubiae*) with Bayesian posterior probability and bootstrap values of ML and MP, 1/96%/ 97%, in 28S although they are phylogenetically close to *P. nipponicum* (Hirats. f.) Jørst. reported on species of *Galium* (Fig. 1). The ITS tree of these specimens also showed the same phylogenetic relationships as the 28S tree and they are also phylogenetically distinct from other species on Rubiaceae (*P. guttatum* and *P. rubiae*) with Bayesian posterior probability and bootstrap value of ML and MP, 1/97%/ 91%.

The specimens on *Kalimeris lautureana*, *K. integrifolia*, and *Aster tataricus* from Jilin or Heilongjiang Provinces were also grouped into one clade in *Pucciniastrum*, but they were distinct from other species (*P. guttatum*, *P. nipponicum*, and *P. rubiae*), with Bayesian posterior probability and bootstrap values of ML and MP, 1/99%/99%, in 28S. ITS sequences of these specimens also highly supported their distinctness with Bayesian posterior probability and bootstrap values of ML and MP, 1/100%/99%.

#### Morphology of specimens on Galium

Specimens on *Galium davuricum*, *G. boreale*, *G. trifidum*, and *G. bungei* from Jilin Province had two types of sori and spores. One type of sorus was small, yellow, scattered on the leaves, and sometimes gathered in small groups (Fig. 4a, d).



Fig. 1 Phylogenetic tree constructed by Bayesian method based on sequences of 28S regions of rDNA. Bootstrap values of MP and ML are followed by the Bayesian posterior probabilities (Bpp) on the nodes in the topology. Asterisk (\*) represents bootstrap values less than 50% or

Bpp less than 0.5 in the topology. Sample data are shown with species name, voucher specimen number or GenBank accession number (in parentheses), and host plant. Sequence data determined in this study are shown in bold face

The sori were subepidermal with peridia and erumpent at maturity, but no ostiolar cell was observed (Figs. 4b, 5b). The spores in this sorus were mostly echinulate (Figs. 4f, 5a), but spores with a coronate surface, which were similar to coronate type reported by Sato and Sato (1982) and Lee and Kakishima (1999), were produced and intermingled together (Fig. 4b). The second type of sorus was larger, pale yellow, irregular, and scattered on the leaves (Fig. 4a, d). These sori were subepidermal with hemispherical peridia consisting of polygonal and firmly attached cells, and usually not opened (Figs. 4c, 5d). No ostiolar cell was observed in the peridia (Figs. 4c, 5d). The spores in this sorus had a mostly coronate surface (Figs. 4e, 5c), but spores with echinulate surface were also produced and intermingled together (Figs. 4c, 5d). Two types of spores were clearly recognized inside of the same sorus in observations with SEM (Fig. 5d). No telia and teliospores were found in any specimens. All specimens were morphologically similar to each other, but small sori with echinulate spores were mostly observed in specimens collected in spring and early summer, whereas large sori with coronate spores were produced around the small sori and frequently observed in specimens collected in late summer and autumn.

# Morphology of specimens on Kalimeris and Aster

Specimens on Kalimeris lautureana, K. integrifolia (Fig. 6a), and Aster tataricus collected from Jilin or Heilongjiang Province also had two types of sori and spores. One type of sorus was small, pale yellow or white, scattered on the leaves, or sometimes gathered into small groups. These sori were subepidermal with peridia consisting of irregularly shaped cells, and usually erumpent through stomata, but ostiolar cells were inconspicuous and sometimes not observed (Figs. 6c, 7b). The spores produced in this sorus were mostly echinulate (Figs. 6f, 7a, b), but sometimes spores with vertucose to nailheaded surface, which were similar to verrucose or nailheaded type reported by Sato and Sato (1982) and Lee and Kakishima (1999), were produced and intermingled together (Fig. 6c). The second type of sorus was larger, orange yellow, and scattered on the leaves or gathered into small groups (Fig. 6d). These sori were subepidermal with hemispherical peridia consisting of polygonal and firmly attached cells, and usually not opened (Figs. 6b, 7d). No ostiolar cell was observed in the peridia (Figs. 6b, 7d). Their spores were mostly verrucose to nail-headed (Figs. 6e, 7c), but echinulate spores



**Fig. 2** Phylogenetic tree constructed by Bayesian method based on ITS regions of rDNA. Bootstrap values of MP and ML are followed by the Bayesian posterior probabilities (Bpp) on the nodes in the topology. Asterisk (\*) represents bootstrap values less than 50% or Bpp less than

were also produced and intermingled (Figs. 6c, 7d). Two types of spores were clearly recognized inside of the same sorus in observations with SEM (Fig. 7d). No telia and teliospores were found in the specimens. All specimens observed were morphologically similar to each other.

#### Taxonomy

The morphological observations showed that the two types of sori and two types of spores were produced on the same host plant, and two types of spores were also produced in the same sorus. Two same types of spores were produced in different types of sori on the same host plant. Two types of sori were also produced closely each other on the same host plant. The molecular analyses also supported that two types were genetically same because the direct sequencing data obtained from all samples on the same host plants including two types of spores and sequence data of all clones obtained from same specimen were identical. Therefore, it was concluded that they were not caused by

0.5 in the topology. Sample data are shown with species name, voucher specimen number or GenBank accession number (in parentheses), and host plant. Sequence data determined in this study are shown in bold face

intermingling of two species, but were produced by a single species of rust fungi, even though the sorus structures of these types differed. The phylogenetic analyses also showed that these specimens belonged to *Puccinistarum*, but specimens on *Galium* and those on *Aster* and *Kalimeris* separated into two distinct clades, which were different from other species of *Pucciniastrum* reported on the same host genera, or on any other genera. Therefore, we describe them as new species of *Pucciniastrum* producing dimorphic sori and spores.

*Pucciniastrum coronisporum* Jing X. Ji & Kakish., sp. nov. Figs. 4, 5.

## MycoBank no. MB 824851

This species differs from other species of *Pucciniastrum* by the dimorphic sori with peridia, and spores with echinulate and coronate surfaces. The echinulate spores  $(12.0-20.5 \times$ 9.0–14.0 µm) are smaller than those of *P. guttatum* and *P. rubiae* reported on species of Rubiaceae. The coronate



Fig. 3 Phylogenetic tree constructed by Bayesian method based on sequences of 28S regions of rDNA. Bootstrap values of MP and ML are followed by the Bayesian posterior probabilities (Bpp) on the nodes in the topology. Asterisk (\*) represents bootstrap values less than 50% or

Bpp less than 0.5 in the topology. Sample data are shown with species name, voucher specimen number or GenBank accession number (in parentheses), and host plant. Sequence data determined in this study are shown in bold face

Fig. 4 Pucciniastrum coronisporum. a Galium davuricum producing two types of uredinia. b Vertical section of small type uredinium with peridium (P) produced under the epidermis of plant (H) and opening by an apical pore. Echinulate (E) and coronate urediniospores (C) produced in the uredinia. c Vertical section of large type uredinium with peridium (P) consisting of firmly attached cells, produced under the epidermis of plant. Coronate (C) and echinulate urediniospores (E) produced in the uredinium. d Two types of uredinia produced on the same leaves of G. davuricum. Small type (inside of red rectangles) and large types (outside of red rectangles). e Coronate urediniospores mostly produced in large type uredinia. f Echinulate urediniospores mostly produced in small type uredinia. Bars b, c 25 µm; e 15 µm; f 10 µm



**Fig. 5** Morphology of *Pucciniastrum coronisporum* observed by SEM. **a** Echinulate urediniospore. **b** Small type of uredinium with inconspicuous peridium (P), opening by an apical pore. **c** Coronate urediniospore. **d** Large type of uredinium with peridium (P) produced under the epidermis of plant (H). Coronate (C) and echinulate spores (E) are produced in the uredinium. Bars **a** 3 μm; **b**, **d** 15 μm; **c** 4 μm



#### Fig. 6 Pucciniastrum

verruculosum. a Kalimeris integrifolia producing sori. b Vertical section of large type of uredinium with peridium (P) consisting of firmly attached cells, produced under the epidermis of plant (H) containing verrucose to annulate urediniospores (A). c Vertical section of small type of uredinium with peridium (P) consisting of firmly attached cells, produced under the epidermis of plant (H) with verrucose to annulate (V) and echinulate urediniospores (E). d Large type uredinia produced on a leaf of K. integrifolia. e Verrucose to annulate urediniospores mostly produced in large type uredinium. f Echinulate spores mostly produced in small type uredinium. Bars: b, c 15 µm; e, f 10 µm



Fig. 7 Morphology of Pucciniastrum verruculosum observed by SEM. a Echinulate urediniospore. b Small type of uredinium with peridium (P), opening from a stoma by apical pore and producing echinulate urediniospores (E). Ostiolar cells are inconspicuous. c Verrucose to annulate urdiniospore. d Large type of uredinium with peridium (P), produced under the epidermis of plant (H) with verrucoseannulate (A) and echinulate urediniospores (E). Bars a, c 5 µm; b, d 25 µm



spores  $(17.5-22.5 \times 14.0-18.5 \ \mu\text{m})$  are smaller than that of vertucose spores of *P. nipponicum* reported on *Galium*.

**Typification** On *Galium boreale* L., China, Jilin Province, Jilin City, Jiaohe County, 21 Sep 2016, *M. Kakishima and J. X. Ji* (HMJAU 8562, holotype). GenBank: ITS = MG787102; 28S = MG787127.

Etymology Named after the surface structure of the spores.

Descriptions Uredinia and urediniospores dimorphic. The first type of uredinia amphigenous, subepidermal, scattered or sometimes in small groups, small, round, about 0.3 mm in diameter, yellow in color; peridia applanate-hemispherical, firm, erumpent with apical pores and no ostiolar cell; peridial cells small, irregularly polygonal, firmly attached to each other, wall thin, hyaline. The second type of uredinia amphigenous, subepidermal, scattered, large, irregular, about 0.5-2.5 mm in diameter, pale yellow in color; peridia applanate-hemispherical, not opened by pores, firm; peridial cells small, irregularly polygonal, firmly attached to each other, wall thin, hyaline. The first type of urediniospores sessile, subglobose, ovate or ellipsoid,  $12.0-20.5 \times 9.0-14.0 \ \mu m$  (av.  $16.5 \times 12.5 \ \mu\text{m}$ ), wall thin, 0.2–0.8  $\mu\text{m}$  (av. 0.4  $\mu\text{m}$ ) thick, hyaline, echinulate. The second type of urediniospores sessile, subglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid,  $17.5-22.5 \times 14.0-18.5 \ \mu m$ (av.  $20.0 \times 16.5 \ \mu\text{m}$ ), wall thick,  $0.5-1.5 \ \mu\text{m}$  (av.  $1.0 \ \mu\text{m}$ ) thick, hyaline, coronate. The first type of uredinia mostly containing the first type of urediniospores, but sometimes intermingled with the second type of urediniospores. The second type of uredinia mostly containing the second type of urediniospores, but sometimes intermingled with the first type of urediniospores.

Other specimens examined China, Jilin Province: On *Galium davuricum*, Jilin City, Jiaohe County, Hongyegu, 21 Sep 2016, M. Kakishima (MK) and J. X. Ji (JI) (HMJAU 8561); Baishan City, Southern area of Changbai Mountain, 7 July 2017, MK and JI (HMJAU 8564); On *Galium boreale*, Tonghua City, Jian County, Wunvfeng, 3 Oct 2015, JI (HMJAU 8563); Jilin City, Jiaohe County, Qingling Water Falls, 29 June 2017, MK and JI (HMJAU 8562); On *Galium trifidum*, Jilin City, Jiaohe County, Water Qingling Falls, 12 Sep 2017, MK and JI (HMJAU 8565); On *Galium bungei*, Jilin City, Jiaohe County, Bangchuigu, 12 Sep 2017, MK and JI (HMJAU 8566); 1 Jul 2018, MK and JI (HMJAU 8593).

**Notes** Specimens on 4 species of *Galium* collected from Jilin Province were phylogenetically included in *Pucciniastrum*, but they were distinct from other species, although no telial stage was found in the specimens. The phylogenetic results were also supported by morphological observations. This new species is characterized by dimorphic sori and spores. The first

st plant genus	Sorus (uredinium)		Spore (urediniospore)				Reference <sup>a</sup>
	Type Peridium	Ostiolar cell	Shape	Size (µm)	Wall thickness (µm)	Surface	
ter, Kalimeris	Small Firm	Not present or inconspicuous	Subglobose, ovate, or ellineoid	$15.5-26.0 \times 13.5-19.0$	0.5–1.5	Echinulate	A
	Large Firm	Not present	Subglobose, ellipsoid, or nolvannal	$16.5-25.5 \times 13.0-20.0$	1.0–2.5	Verrucose to annulate	A
ılium	Small Firm	Not present	Subglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid	$12.0-20.5 \times 9.0-14.0$	0.2-0.8	Echinulate	V <
ter, Heteropappus, Valimenia	Firm	Not present	Globose, ellopsoid, or obovate	$17.5-25 \times 15-20$	1.5	Echinulate	e B
berula, Galium, Sherardia	t Firm or delicate	Round shape	Subglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid	$12-24 \times 10-18$	1-1.5	Echinulate	В
dium	Firm	Round shape	Globose, subglobose, or obovate	$16-24 \times 15-22$	2-2.5	Verrucose	В
bia	Firm	Thick cell	Subglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid	$18-27 \times 12-18$	1-1.5	Echinulate	В
	ost plant genus ter, Kalimeris ulium ter, Heteropappus, Kalimeris perula, Galium, Sherardia bia	Sort plant genus Sorus (uredinium)   Type Peridium   ter, Kalimeris Small Firm   ter, Kalimeris Small Firm   ter, Heteropappus, Small Firm   ter, Heteropappus, Eirm   ter, Heteropappus, Firm or delicate   bin Firm	Sort plant genus Sorus (uredinium)   Type Peridium Ostiolar cell   ter, Kalimeris Small Firm Not present or inconspicuous   ter, Kalimeris Small Firm Not present   ter, Heteropappus, Small Firm Not present   ter, Heteropappus, Firm Not present	St plant genusSorus (uredinium)Spore (urediniospore)TypePeridiumOstiolar cellShapeter, KalimerisSmall FirmNot present or inconspicuousSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoidter, KalimerisSmall FirmNot present or inconspicuousSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoidter, Heteropaptus,Earge FirmNot presentSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoidter, Heteropaptus,FirmNot presentSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoidter, Heteropaptus,FirmRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoidterilinmFirmRound shapeGlobose, ovate, or ellipsoidberula, Galium, SherardiaFirmThick cellSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid	Start genusSorus (uredinium)Spore (urediniospore)TypePeridiumOstiolar cellShapeSize ( $\mu$ m)ter, KalimerisSmall FirmNot present or inconspicuousSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid15.5–26.0 × 13.5–19.0ter, KalimerisLarge FirmNot present or inconspicuousSubglobose, ellipsoid16.5–25.5 × 13.0–20.0ter, Heteropappus,Small FirmNot presentSubglobose, ellipsoid10.75–25.5 × 14.0–18.5ter, Heteropappus,FirmNot presentSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–25.5 × 14.0–18.5ter, Heteropappus,FirmNot presentSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid12.24 × 10–18ter, Heteropappus, <t< td=""><td>State plant genusSorus (uredinium)Spore (urediniospore)TypePeridiumOstiolar cellShapeSize (<math>\mu</math>m)Wall thickness (<math>\mu</math>m)tev, KalimerisSmall FirmNot present or inconspicuousSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid15.5–26.0 × 13.5–19.0 0.5–1.5tev, KalimerisLarge FirmNot present or inconspicuousSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid16.5–25.5 × 13.0–20.0 1.0–2.5tev, Heteropappus,Small FirmNot presentSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5tev, Heteropappus,FirmNot presentSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5tev. Heteropappus,Firm or delicateRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5tev. Heteropappus,Firm or delicateRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5ter. Heteropappus,Firm or delicateRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5ter. Heteropappus,Firm or delicateRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5ter. Heteropappus,Firm or delicateRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5ter. Heteropappus,Firm or delicateRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5ter. Heteropappus,FirmRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5ter. HeteropapusFirmRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or</td><td>sty plant genusSours (uredinium)Spore (urediniospore)TypeTypePeridiumOstiolar cellShapeSize (<math>\mu</math>m)Wall thickness (<math>\mu</math>m)Surfaceter, KalimerisSmall FirmNot present or inconspicuousSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid15.5–26.0 × 13.5–19.00.5–1.5Echinulateter, KalimerisSmall FirmNot presentor ellipsoid16.5–25.5 × 13.0–20.01.0–2.5Verucose to annulatetiumSmall FirmNot presentSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid16.5–25.5 × 13.0–20.01.0–2.5Verucose to annulatetiumSmall FirmNot presentSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid15.5–25.5 × 14.0–18.30.5–1.5Coronateter, Heteropapus,FirmNot presentSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.31.5Coronateter, Heteropapus,FirmRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid12.2–24.41.1.5Coronateter, HeteropapusFirmRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, o</td></t<>	State plant genusSorus (uredinium)Spore (urediniospore)TypePeridiumOstiolar cellShapeSize ( $\mu$ m)Wall thickness ( $\mu$ m)tev, KalimerisSmall FirmNot present or inconspicuousSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid15.5–26.0 × 13.5–19.0 0.5–1.5tev, KalimerisLarge FirmNot present or inconspicuousSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid16.5–25.5 × 13.0–20.0 1.0–2.5tev, Heteropappus,Small FirmNot presentSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5tev, Heteropappus,FirmNot presentSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5tev. Heteropappus,Firm or delicateRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5tev. Heteropappus,Firm or delicateRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5ter. Heteropappus,Firm or delicateRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5ter. Heteropappus,Firm or delicateRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5ter. Heteropappus,Firm or delicateRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5ter. Heteropappus,Firm or delicateRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5ter. Heteropappus,FirmRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.5 0.5–1.5ter. HeteropapusFirmRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or	sty plant genusSours (uredinium)Spore (urediniospore)TypeTypePeridiumOstiolar cellShapeSize ( $\mu$ m)Wall thickness ( $\mu$ m)Surfaceter, KalimerisSmall FirmNot present or inconspicuousSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid15.5–26.0 × 13.5–19.00.5–1.5Echinulateter, KalimerisSmall FirmNot presentor ellipsoid16.5–25.5 × 13.0–20.01.0–2.5Verucose to annulatetiumSmall FirmNot presentSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid16.5–25.5 × 13.0–20.01.0–2.5Verucose to annulatetiumSmall FirmNot presentSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid15.5–25.5 × 14.0–18.30.5–1.5Coronateter, Heteropapus,FirmNot presentSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid17.5–22.5 × 14.0–18.31.5Coronateter, Heteropapus,FirmRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid12.2–24.41.1.5Coronateter, HeteropapusFirmRound shapeSubglobose, ovate, o

Comparative morphology of *Pucciniastrum* species reported on Rubiaceae and Compositae

Table 1

A: Present paper; B: Hiratsuka (1936, 1958) and Hiratsuka et al. (1992)

type of sori and spores is morphologically similar to uredinia and urediniospores of P. guttatum and P. rubiae reported on Rubiaceae, but it is different from them in peridial structures and size of spores. Pucciniastrum coronispora has no ostiolar cell in peridia, whereas the other two species have conspicuous ostiolar cells, and spores of *P. coronisporum* (12.0–20.5  $\times$ 9.0-14.0 µm) are smaller than urediniospores of P. guttatum  $(12-24 \times 10-18 \ \mu m after Hiratsuka et al. 1992)$  and P. rubiae  $(18-27 \times 12-18 \ \mu m \text{ after Hiratsuka et al. } 1992)$  (Table 1) (Hiratsuka 1936, 1958; Gäumann 1959; Arthur 1962; Wilson and Henderson 1966; Hiratsuka et al. 1992; Termorshuizen and Swertz 2011; Azbukina 2015). We also examined specimens of P. guttatum and T. rubiae borrowed from TSH and confirmed these morphological differences. Sori and spores of the second type are similar to uredinia and urediniospores of P. nipponicum reported on Galium, but P. coronisporum is different from P. nipponicum in peridial structures, and size and surface of spores (Table 1). The sori of *P. coronisporum* are not opened by pores and have no ostiolar cell, but uredinia of P. nipponicum have roundshaped ostiolar cells (Hiratsuka 1958; Hiratsuka et al. 1992). The spores of P. coronisporum  $(17.5-22.5 \times 14.0-18.5 \ \mu m)$ are smaller than urediniospores of P. nipponicum (16–24  $\times$  $15-22 \mu m$ ), and the spore surface is coronate whereas urediniospores of P. nipponicum are verrucose (Hiratsuka et al. 1992; Azbukina 2015). These morphological differences between P. coronisporum and P. nipponicum were also supported by phylogenetic analyses (Figs. 1, 2).

Several species of *Puccinia* and *Uromyces* have been reported on *Galium* (Tai 1979; Hiratsuka et al. 1992; Termorshuizen and Swertz 2011; Okane et al. 2014; Azbukina 2015). However, they are morphologically different from this species in sorus structures (Cummins and Hiratsuka 2003).

*Pucciniastrum verruculosum* Jing X. Ji & Kakish., sp. nov. Figs. 6, 7.

### MycoBank no. MB 854852

This species is characterized by the dimorphic sori with peridia, and spores with echinulate and verrucose to nail-headed surfaces. This species differs from *P. asterum* (Tranzschel) Jørst. reported on Compositae by having spores with verrucose to nail-headed surfaces.

**Typification** On *Aster tataricus* L. f., China, Jilin Province, Changchun City, Jingyue Forest Park, 16 Sep 2017, *M. Kakishima* and *J. X. Ji* (HMJAU 8568, holotype). GenBank: ITS = MG787109; 28S = MG787134.

Etymology Named after the surface structure of the spores.

**Descriptions** Uredinia and urediniospores dimorphic. The first type of uredinia hypophyllous, subepidermal, scattered or

sometimes in small groups, small, round, about 0.2 mm in diameter, white to pale yellow in color; peridia applanatehemispherical, firm, usually erumpent from stomata with inconspicuous ostiolar cells or without ostiolar cells; peridial cells small, irregularly polygonal, firmly attached to each other, wall thin, hyaline. The second type of uredinia hypophyllous, subepidermal, scattered, large, irregular, about 1-2 mm in diameter, orange yellow in color; peridia applanate-hemispherical, not opened by pores, firm; peridial cells small, irregularly polygonal, firmly attached to each other, wall thin, hyaline. The first type of urediniospores sessile, subglobose, ovate, or ellipsoid, 15.5-26.0 × 13.5-19.0 µm (av.  $20.0 \times 16.0 \,\mu\text{m}$ ), wall thin,  $0.5-1.5 \,\mu\text{m}$  (av.  $1.0 \,\mu\text{m}$ ) thick, hyaline, echinulate. The second type of urediniospores sessile, subglobose, ellipsoid, or polygonal,  $16.5-25.5 \times 13.0-$ 20.0  $\mu$ m (av. 21.0 × 16.0  $\mu$ m), wall 1.0–2.5  $\mu$ m (av. 1.5  $\mu$ m) thick, hyaline, verrucose to nail-headed. The first type of uredinia mostly containing the first type of spores, but sometimes intermingled with the second type of urediniospores. The second type of uredinia mostly containing the second type of urediniospores, but sometimes intermingled with the first type of urediniospores.

Other specimens examined China, Heilongjiang Province, Wuchang City, Fenghuangshan Forest Park, on *Kalimeris lautureana*, 9 Sep. 2017, MK and JI (HMJAU 8567). Jilin Province, Changchun City, Jingyue Forest Park, on *Aster tataricus*, 10 Aug. 2017, MK and JI (HMJAU 8568); on *Aster tataricus*, 5 Jul. 2018, MK and JI (HMJAU 8586, HMJAU 8587, HMJAU 8588); Jilin City, Jiaohe County, Lafa Mountain, on *Kalimeris integrifolia*, 11 Sep. 2017, MK and JI (HMJAU 8569);1 Jul. 2018, MK and JI (HMJAU 8589); on *Kalimeris lautureana*, 1 Jul. 2018, MK and JI (HMJAU 8590); Bangchuigu, 1 Jul 2018, MK and JI (HMJAU 8591).

Notes Specimens on 2 species of Kalimeris from Jilin or Heilongjiang Province and 1 species of Aster from Jilin Province were phylogenetically included in *Pucciniastrum*, but they were distinct from other species, although no telial stage was found in the specimens. The phylogenetic results were also supported by morphological observations. This new species is characterized by dimorphic sori, and spores with echinulate and verrucose to nail-headed surface structures. The first type of sori and spores is morphologically similar to uredinia and urediniospores of P. asterum reported on species of Aster, Heteropappus, and Kalimeris (Compositae) in the size and surface of urediniospores, but P. asterum has not been reported to have verrucose to annulate urediniospores, which were observed as the second type of this species (Table 1) (Hiratsuka 1936, 1958; Hiratsuka et al. 1992; Azbukina 2015). The type specimen of *P. asterum* collected on Aster incisus Fish. by Tanzschel in 1929 is not available. Therefore,

we compared their morphology based on the descriptions of Hiratsuka (1936) and Hiratsuka et al. (1992). The second type of sori and spores is similar to uredinia and urediniospores of P. nipponicum reported on species of Galium in the size and surface of spores; however, P. nipponicum has not been reported to have echinulate spores as first type of this species, and also their peridial structures are different to each other; ostiolar cells of P. verruculosum are inconspicuous whereas P. nipponicum has round-shaped ones (Table 1) (Hiratsuka 1958; Hiratsuka et al. 1992; Azbukina 2015). These sorus structure and spores are also morphologically similar to uredinia and urediniospores of Coleosporium asterum (Dietel) P. Syd. & Syd. reported on species of Aster and Kalimeris, but its uredinia have no peridium and its uredininiopsores (20–32 × 14–24  $\mu$ m) are bigger than spores of P. verruculosum although C. asterum has verrucose urediniospores (Kaneko 1981). The phylogenetic tree based on 28S sequence data also clearly showed that specimens of this new species on Aster and Kalimeris are genetically distinct from species of Coleosporium including C. asterum (Fig. 3).

Many species of *Puccinia* and *Uromyces* have been reported on Compositae in the world (Gäumann 1959; Arthur 1962; Wilson and Henderson 1966; Cummins 1978; Tai 1979; Hiratsuka et al. 1992); however, they are morphologically different from this new species in sorus structures (Cummins and Hiratsuka 2003).

## Discussion

Species of Pucciniastrum with dimorphic sori and spores have not been reported before (Hiratsuka 1936, 1958; Gäumann 1959; Arthur 1962; Wilson and Henderson 1966; Hiratsuka et al. 1992; Azbukina 2015). The two types differed morphologically from each other in sorus structures and surface structure of spores, but two types of spores produced in one type of sori are also observed in another type of sori. Therefore, they are produced by same species and not contaminated with different species. The species identity of these different types of spores was also supported by molecular analyses because all direct sequencing data from same host specimens including different types of spores were identical. It will be better way to analyze sequence of each spore type separately, but, two types of spores are intermingled in same sorus and also two types of sori are produced closely on the same leaf. Therefore, we confirmed their identity by direct sequencing from samples on same host plant.

The sori and spores, which are small and opened by apical pores, were suspected as uredinial stages because these structures were morphologically similar to those of *Pucciniastrum*. In our field observations, these small sizes of sori with mostly echinulate spores appears firstly in spring and then gradually changes to large size of sori with mostly coronate or verrucose to nail-headed spores in summer and autumn. However, no spermogonium, aecium, and teium were found in any season until plants died. Therefore, it is suspected that these echinulate spores play a role in dispersal of the species. The larger sori are usually not opened as they are covered by strong peridia consisting of firmly attached cells. They produce relatively thick-walled spores with coronate or verrucose to nail-headed surface structures. Their functions in the life cycle are not clear although it is suspected that this type of spore may survive winter as a resting spore for infections in the next spring, as no telial stage is found in specimens collected in autumn. The spores produced in uredinia and survived during unfavorable climate conditions are known in some genera of rust fungi as amphispores, which have darkly pigmented thick walls and smooth surfaces (Hiratsuka and Sato 1982). However, they are morphologically more similar to urediniospores than amphispores because walls of the spores with coronate or verrucose to nail-headed surface structures are hyaline (Figs. 4e, 6e). The presence of dimorphic urediniospores has been reported in Melampsora dimorphospora Kaneko & Hirats. f. (Hiratsuka and Kaneko 1982; Hiratsuka et al. 1992). The one type of its urediniospores is echinulate and produced on pedicels, and another type, similar to aeciospores of Melampsora, is verrucose and produced in chains. Both types are morphologically different, but formed in same sorus.

In the phylogenetic analyses, *P. guttatum* and *P. rubiae* are genetically not separated clearly (Figs. 1, 2). They are also morphologically similar to each other and their distribution overlaps in Asia and Russia, although their host plants are clearly separated; host plants of *P. guttatum* and *P. rubiae* are species of *Galium* and *Rubia*, respectively (Hiratsuka 1936, 1958; Hiratsuka et al. 1992). *Thekopsora ostryae* Y.M. Liang & T. Yang, *T. lanpingensis* Y.M. Liang & T. Yang, and *T. triangula* Y.M. Liang & T. Yang (Yang et al. 2014, 2015) used for comparative phylogeny were genetically close to species of *Pucciniastrum*, and the monophyly of *Thekopsora* was not recognized, as suggested by Padamsee and McKenzie (2014) and Aime et al. (2017) (Figs. 1, 2).

Acknowledgments We wish to thank Dr. E.H.C. McKenzie, Landcare Research, Auckland, New Zealand, and Dr. M. C. Aime, Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Purdue University, IN, USA, for critical reading of the manuscript and suggestions.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations."

## References

- Aime MC (2006) Toward resolving family-level relationships in rust fungi (Uredinales). Mycoscience 47:112–122
- Aime MC, Bell CD, Wilson AW (2018) Deconstructing the evolutionary complexity between rust fungi (Pucciniales) and their plant

hosts. Stud Mycol 89:143-152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simyco. 2018.02.002

- Aime MC, McTaggart AR, Mondo SJ, Duplessis S (2017) Phylegenetics and phylogenomics of rust fungi. Adv Genet 100:267–307. https:// doi.org/10.1016/bs.adgen.2017.09.011
- Arthur JC (1962) Manual of the rusts in United States and Canada. Hafner Publishing Company, New York
- Azbukina ZM (2015) Definitorium fungorum Rossiae, Ordo *Pucciniales* 1. Dal'nauka, Vladivostok
- Cummins GB (1978) Rust fungi on legumes and composites in North America. The University of Arizona Press, Tucson
- Cummins GB, Hiratsuka Y (2003) Illustrated genera of rust fungi, Third edn. APS Press, St. Paul
- Gardes M, Bruns TD (1993) ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes-application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. Mol Ecol 2:113–118. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X. 1993.tb00005.x
- Gäumann E (1959) Die Rostpilze Mitteleuropas. Buchdruckerei Bucheler Co, Bern
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser 41:95–98
- Hiratsuka N (1936) A monograph of the Pucciniastreae. Mem Tottori Agr Coll 4:1–374
- Hiratsuka N (1958) Revision of taxonomy of the Pucciniastreae, with special reference to species of the Japanese Archipelago. Mem Fac Agr Tokyo Univ Educ 5:1–167
- Hiratsuka N, Kaneko S (1982) A taxonomic revision of *Melampsora* on willows in Japan. Rept Tottori Mycol Inst (Japan) 20:1–32
- Hiratsuka N, Sato S, Katsuya K, Kakishima M, Hiratsuka Y, Kaneko S, Ono Y, Sato T, Harada Y, Hiratsuka T, Nakayama K (1992) The rust flora of Japan. Tsukuba Shuppankai, Tsukuba
- Hiratsuka Y, Sato S (1982) Morphology and taxonomy of rust fungi. In: Scott KJ, Chakravorty AK (eds) The rust fungi. Academic Press, London, pp 1–36
- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F (2001) MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 17:754–755
- Ji JX, Wang Q, Li Z, Li Y, Kakishima M (2016) Notes on rust fungi in China 2. Two species of *Coleosporium* on Composiate. Mycotaxon 131:811–820. https://doi.org/10.5248/131.811
- Kaneko S (1981) The species of *Coleosporium*, the causes of pine needle rusts, in the Japanese Archipelago. Rept Tottori Mycol Inst (Japan) 19:1–159
- Lee SK, Kakishima M (1999) Acciospore surface structures of *Gymnosporangium* and *Roestelia* (Uredinales). Mycoscience 40: 109–120
- McTaggart AR, Aime MC (2017) The species of *Coleosporium* (Pucciniales) on *Solidago* in North America. Fungal Biol 122: 800–809. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2018.04.007
- O'Donnell K (1993) *Fusarium* and its near relatives. In: Reynolds DR, Taylor JW (eds) The fungal holomorph: mitotic, meiotic and pleomophic speciation in fungal systematics. CAB International, Wallingford, pp 225–233
- Okane I, Yamaoka Y, Kakishima M, Abe JP, Obata K (2014) Puccinia galiiuniversa, a new caricicolous rust fungus systematically inhabiting Galium aparine in its spermogonial-aecial stage. Mycoscience 55:89–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MYC.2013. 05.008
- Padamsee M, McKenzie EHC (2014) A new species of rust fungus on the New Zealand endemic plant, *Myosotidium*, from the isolated Chatham Islands. Phytotaxa 174:223–230. https://doi.org/10. 11646/phytotaxa.174.4.3
- Posada D, Crandall KA (1998) MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics 14:817–818

- Sato S, Katsuya K, Hiratsuka Y (1993) Morphology, taxonomy and nomenclature of Tsuga-Ericaceae rusts. Trans Mycol Soc Jpn 34: 47–62
- Sato T, Sato S (1982) Aeciospore surface structure of the Uredinales. Trans Mycol Soc Jpn 23:51–63
- Silvestro D, Michalak I (2012) raxmlGUI: a graphical front-end for RAxML. Org Divers Evol 12:335–337. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13127-011-0056-0
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol Biol Evol 30:2725–2729. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst197
- Tai FL (1979) Sylloge fungorum sinicorum. Science Press, Beijing
- Termorshuizen AJ, Swertz CA (2011) Dutch rust fungi. Aad Termorsguizen, Netherland
- Virtudazo EV, Nakamura H, Kakishima M (2001) Phylogenetic analysis of sugarcane rusts based on sequences of ITS, 5.8 S rDNA and D1/

D2 regions of LSU rDNA. J Gen Plant Pathol 67:28–36. https://doi. org/10.1007/PL00012983

- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor JW (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ (eds) PCR protocols: a guide to the methods and applications. Academic Press, New York, pp 315–322
- Wilson M, Henderson DM (1966) The British rust fungi. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Yang T, Tian CM, Liang YM, Kakishima M (2014) *Thekopsora ostryae* (Pucciniastraceae, Pucciniales), a new species from Gansu, northwestern China. Mycoscience 55:246–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. myc.2013.09.005
- Yang T, Tian CM, Lu HY, Liang YM, Kakishima M (2015) Two new rust fungi of *Thekopsora* on *Cornus* (Cornaceae) from western China. Mycoscience 56:461–469. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.myc. 2015.02.001