

# **Detection of Crohn's disease with difusion images versus contrast‑enhanced images in pediatric using MR enterography with histopathological correlation**

Gabriele Masselli<sup>1</sup> [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5405-3648) Chiara De Vincentiis<sup>1</sup> · Marina Aloi<sup>2</sup> · Marianna Guida<sup>1</sup> <sup>0</sup> · Roberta Cao<sup>1</sup> · Gaia Cartocci<sup>1</sup> · **Vittorio Miele<sup>3</sup> · Roberto Grassi4**

Received: 24 April 2019 / Accepted: 7 July 2019 / Published online: 17 July 2019 © Italian Society of Medical Radiology 2019

## **Abstract**

The purpose of this study was to determine whether MRE performed with difusion-weighted imaging (DWI) sequences is comparable to contrast-enhanced MRE in the detection of active small-bowel infammation in pediatric patients with Crohn's disease (CD). We included in our study 68 patients with diagnosis of CD between April 2015 and June 2018 that underwent MRE examination. Examination protocol includes coronal and axial FISP, T2-w half-Fourier RARE and DWI sequences, a baseline coronal T1-w fat-saturated ultrafast (GRE) sequence followed by contrast 3D T1-w GRE. All images were assessed by two radiologists who graded each of bowel segments for the presence of infammation on a four-point confdence scale on the basis of wall thickening and wall signal on DWI and ADC maps and comparing their results with post-contrast images. When considering all bowel segments, we found 41 true positive and 25 true negative on DWI. One false positive case corresponded to the absence of infammatory histopathology changes at the level of the terminal ileum in a 15-year-old male, and one false negative case was in a 10-year-old female with only jejunal lesion. The corresponding sensitivity, specifcity, PPV, NPV and accuracy were 97.6% (95% CI 67.7–99.7), 96.1% (95% CI 66.7–98.5), 97.6% (95% CI 70.8–98.4), 96.1% (95% CI 64.2–90.6) and 97% (95% CI 84.2–97.5), respectively. Analyzing the gadolinium-enhanced set, 35 true positive and 25 true negative results were found. One false positive case was found, and it was the same as with DWI. The corresponding sensitivity, specifcity, PPV, NPV and accuracy were 83.3% (95% CI 65.9–86.7), 96.1% (95% CI 68.7–88.9), 97.2% (95% CI 84.3–98.7), 78.1% (95% CI 27.9–72.1) and 88.2% (95% CI 41.2–85.6), respectively. Sensitivity for the detection of active IBD lesion was significantly better with DWI than with CE-T1-w imaging  $(p=0.002)$ , whereas the specificity was similar  $(p=0.743)$ . Our study has shown that DWI sequences have a high accuracy in detecting the bowel segment affected by CD. These results emphasize the utility to include the DWI/ADC in standard MR enterography protocols and suggest that DWI could replace T1-weighted post-contrast sequences.

**Keywords** Crohn disease · Pediatric · MR enterography · Difusion · Small bowel

 $\boxtimes$  Gabriele Masselli gabriele.masselli@uniroma1.it

- <sup>1</sup> Radiology Department, Umberto I Hospital, Sapienza University, Viale del Policlinico 155, 00161 Rome, Italy
- <sup>2</sup> Pediatric Department, Umberto I Hospital, Sapienza University, Viale del Policlinico 155, 00161 Rome, Italy
- <sup>3</sup> Radiology Department, Careggi University Hospital, University of Florence, Largo G.A. Brambilla 3, 50134 Florence, Italy
- <sup>4</sup> Radiology Department, University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli", Piazza Miraglia 2, 80138 Naples, Italy

## **Introduction**

Crohn's disease (CD) is a chronic infammatory and remitting disorder of the gastrointestinal tract; in 25% of cases, it is diagnosed in childhood with an increasing incidence in children. One recent study showed that the incidence of pediatric CD has doubled in the USA over the last 12 years [[1\]](#page-8-0).

Magnetic resonance enterography (MRE) is an increasingly important pediatric imaging modality that is most often used to evaluate infammatory bowel disease (IBD), sparing children and adolescents from potential risks of ionizing radiation exposure [[2–](#page-8-1)[6\]](#page-8-2). Conventional MRE requires intravenous administration of gadolinium-based contrast agents (CA); the most current concern about CA in children has been the accumulation of Gd in the basal ganglia, which is of uncertain clinical signifcance but should be considered in patients with IBD, who undergo multiple imaging examinations over time to evaluate symptom recurrence [[7,](#page-8-3) [8\]](#page-8-4).

A non-contrast MRE does not need glucagon injection and would improve patient comfort and compliance, reducing scanning time and in some cases avoiding the need for pediatric sedation; moreover, it would reduce sanitary costs (in the USA, contrast adds up to \$1000 to the MRI price for out-of-pocket paying patients) [\[9\]](#page-8-5).

In this regard, difusion-weighted imaging (DWI) has been increasingly used for abdominal and pelvic examinations due to its ability to measure molecular water difusion into the extracellular space and to detect microscopic changes of infammatory processes. Apparent difusion coefficient (ADC) maps calculated from DWI images provide a quantitative measure of the difusion characteristics of tissue. This refects all forms of intravoxel incoherent motion and is independent from the underlying scan parameters [[2,](#page-8-1) [5](#page-8-6)]. Its values decrease with increased tissue cellularity or cell density and may help in the quantitative analysis of dis-ease activity [\[10](#page-8-7)[–12](#page-8-8)].

DWI appears well adapted for children thanks to rapid acquisition time, a free breathing mode that reduced motion artifacts, high tissue contrast and fnally because it obviates the need for contrast enhancement. Currently, DWI is considered an additional sequence in the MRE and only a few studies have been done in order to evaluate its potential and diagnostic accuracy alone and in comparison with standard MRI sequences [\[13](#page-8-9), [14](#page-8-10)].

The aim of this study was to determine whether MRE performed with DWI sequences, without administration of intravenous Gd-CA, is comparable to contrast-enhanced MRE (CE-MRE) in the detection of small-bowel infammation in pediatric patients with CD.

## **Materials and methods**

#### **Patients and methods**

This prospective study was approved by the institutional review board of our University. Parent or guardian informed consent and subject assent were obtained at the time of study enrollment.

Sixty-eight consecutive patients (38 females and 30 males; mean age 10.3 years; range, 6–16 years) treated at the IBD pediatric unit of our University Hospital were consecutively and prospectively included between April 2015 and June 2018.

Inclusion criterion was the diagnosis of CD according to Lennard–Jones criteria [\[6](#page-8-2)]. The patients enrolled in the study either had symptomatic disease or underwent imaging follow-up to monitor CD activity under treatment.

All the patients underwent complete clinical assessment, and blood samples were obtained for cell blood counts, C-reactive protein (CRP) measurements and evaluation of renal function (MDRD).

Clinical disease activity was assessed using the pediatric CD activity index (PCDAI) [[7\]](#page-8-3), and all patients underwent MRI within 72 h from clinical assessment.

Patients with claustrophobia or other common MRI contraindications, such as allergy to gadolinium or severe renal failure (MDRD < 30 mL/min), were excluded.

MRI examination was performed using a 1.5 T MR imaging system (Avanto, Siemens Medical Solution, Malvern, PA, the USA) with a 16-channel phased-array abdominal coil.

Children fasted for 4 h and were asked to drink 500–1000 of mL polyethylene glycol (PEG) solution 45 min before the examination. Images were acquired with patients in the prone position. Coronal and axial FISP images were obtained frst, and if distension was adequate, T2-w images were obtained in the coronal and axial planes with a singleshot half-Fourier RARE sequences (i.e., HASTE half-Fourier acquisition single-shot turbo spin echo).

Coronal DWI and axial DWI were obtained by using a single-shot spin-echo-type echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence with fat suppression and parallel technique (reduction factor 2). Imaging parameters for DWI were as follows: TR/TE 2500 ms/80 ms; matrix  $128 \times 128$ ; field of view  $280 \times 400$  mm; slice thickness/gap 6 mm/1 mm; 6 averages; bandwidth 1930 Hz/pixel. The time required to acquire the DWI set was 3 min. *b* Values of 50, 400 and 800 s/mm<sup>2</sup>, as used in other abdominal applications [\[13\]](#page-8-9), were employed (Table [1](#page-2-0)). Fat suppression was obtained with a selective frequency to reduce chemical-shift artifacts. Isotropic ADC maps were generated with a commercially available software workstation system (Leonardo; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) using all *b* values and taking an average value of the three directions of difusion sensitization.

All images were transferred to the workstation (Leonardo; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) for quantitative analysis.

A dose of 0.5 mg glucagon (glucagen 1 mg/mL) was intravenously administered 1 min before a 3D T1-weighted spoiled gradient echo (GRE) sequence.

A baseline coronal T1-w fat-saturated ultrafast (GRE) sequence was applied frst, followed by, after administration of 0.2 mmol/kg of Gadolinium Chelate Gadoterate Meglumine (Gd-DOTA, Dotarem, Guerbet) with an injection rate of 3 mL/s, 3D T1-w GRE sequences in the coronal plane during both the arterial and the portal phase. Finally,

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1** MR imaging parameters



Difusion-weighted MR images were acquired with *b* values of 50, 400, 800 s/mm

*FISP* fast imaging with steady-state precession, *RARE* rapid acquisition with relaxation enhancement, *TR/TE* repetition time/echo time

<sup>a</sup>Imaging was performed with dynamic volumetric interpolated breath-hold examination with fat saturation; fat saturation was achieved with the chemical-shift-selective fat suppression technique

axial T1-w GRE acquisition covering the entire abdomen was acquired.

#### **Image analysis**

Images were assessed by two radiologists (with 12 and 15 years of body MR experience, respectively) who were blinded to the clinical and endoscopic examination and surgical results using a picture archiving and communication system (Carestream, 5.3 sp1.1; Kodak, Rochester, NY).

Three small-bowel segments were evaluated separately: (1) jejunum, (2) ileum proximal to the terminal ileum and (3) terminal ileum defned as the most distal 20 cm of the small bowel.

For this study, jejunal loops were distinguished from ileal loops both by their respective locations (left abdomen for jejunum, right abdomen for ileum) and by the appearance of their folds (more closely packed, jejunum; sparse, ileum).

Each segment was graded for the presence of infammation on a four-point confdence scale on the basis of wall thickening and wall signal on DWI and ADC maps as follows: 0 = definitely absent (imperceptible wall, both in signal and in thickness),  $1 =$ probably absent (normal thickness, signal intensity and thickness are similar to the surrounding bowel segments), 2=probably present (normal wall thickness, but signal intensity is increased on DWI and decreased on ADC map), and  $3 =$  definitely present (thick bowel wall, and signal intensity is increased on DWI and decreased on ADC map). The bowel wall was considered to be thickened when it was > 3 mm. On DWI, grading scores of 0 and 1 were regarded as indicating normal bowel wall and scores of 2 and 3 bowel wall infammation.

On T2-w images, we analyzed the following signs: wall thickness (setting a grading score of 1: 3 mm, 2: 4–6 mm and  $3:$  > 6 mm), submucosal edema, aphthous ulcers, lymphadenopathy.

On T1-w post-contrast images, we analyzed the following signs: comb sign (defned as mural stratifcation with engorged vasa recta that penetrate the bowel wall perpendicular to the bowel lumen), mesenteric hyperemia and stratifed contrast enhancement of the mucosa.

To minimize recall bias, two reading sessions were performed 4 weeks apart from each other and MR images (T2, contrast T1 and difusion-weighted images) were randomly analyzed during the diferent sessions.

Patient information was removed from all images. During each imaging analysis, the readers were asked to determine the presence and location of small-bowel infammation.

Moreover, after 3 weeks, during a third interpretation, the two readers (1) resolved discrepancies in consensus and determined the diagnoses that were compared with the reference standard diagnoses; and (2) evaluated image quality of T1-weighted images post-contrast and DWI images by using a point scale: a score of 0 indicated non-diagnostic images; 1, diagnostic images with numerous artifacts; 2, diagnostic images with a few artifacts; and 3, diagnostic images without artifacts; (3) objective measurements of ADCs signal intensity were calculated using the standard tools of the workstation. Regions of interest (ROIs) on the ADC maps were drawn by an MR imaging physicist (S.S., 10 years of experience in abdominal MR imaging) and the two radiologists in consensus by using visual correlation of the ADC maps with the anatomic information derived from the corresponding T2-weighted images. Three values for each zone were recorded, and the fnal ADC value was the average.

The largest possible polygonal-shaped regions of interest  $(ROIs)$ , ranging from 8 to 18 mm<sup>2</sup>, were placed at the level of the small-bowel wall.

#### **Reference standards**

The standard of reference for the presence of active lesion of IBD was histopathological fndings (obtained by biopsy during endoscopic examination in 63 cases and by surgical specimens in 5 cases: 1 lesion of the jejunum and 4 lesions of the ileum).

The MR fndings were correlated with the corresponding histological references for each segment by a coordinator not involved in the studies.

#### **Histopathology activity score**

The intestinal biopsies were stained with hematoxylin–eosin and retrospectively reviewed by an experienced pathologist >10 years of experience, who was unaware of clinical information or MRI fndings. The histopathologist applied an endoscopic biopsy acute infammatory score (eAIS: Table [2\)](#page-3-0) based on the typical morphological features of Crohn's disease described in guidelines published by the European Crohn's and Colitis Organization.

At least three samples of biopsy were collected for each patient, and the highest score for each was used for that patient, in accordance with the standard procedure in our institution.

### **Statistical analysis**

Quantitative variables are given as means and standard deviation (s.d.) or as medians in the case of an abnormal distribution. Proportions are expressed as percentages and 95% confdent intervals (CIs).

Diferences in quantitative measures were tested by Student's test or Kruskal–Wallis' test if the conditions of Student's test were not met. Qualitative variables were compared by exact Fisher's test or Chi-squared test.

Using the histopathological standard of reference, ADC values were compared between patients with no histological

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 2** Histopathology grading for acute infammation score (AIS)

Histological variable	Grade
Erosion or ulceration	$0 = No. 1 = Yes$
Polymorphs in the lamina propria	$0 = No. 1 = Yes$
Cryptitis	$0 = No. 1 = Yes$
Crypt abscess formation	$0 = No. 1 = Yes$
Inflammatory exudates	$0 = No. 1 = Yes$
Granulomas	$0 = No. 1 = Yes$

inflammation  $(eAIS = 0)$  and those with histopathological inflammation (AIS score  $>1$ ) using the Mann–Whitney U test.

eAIS scores were then compared across qualitative DWI grades using Kruskal–Wallis test with post hoc correction.

The sensitivity and specifcity of DWI grades 2 and 3 for active disease (AIS>1) were calculated. A threshold was determined by calculating receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves.

All correlations were studied using Spearman's nonparametric correlation coefficients (*r* and *p* value, respectively). Inter-observer agreement between paired evaluations of MR by two radiologists (VP and AJ) was performed with kappa statistics for comparisons of qualitative variables and Pearson correlation coefficient or Lin concordance correlation coefficient for quantitative data.

A *p* value of 0.05 was considered signifcant. Calculations were done with STATA v10 (STATA Corp., College Station, TX, USA).

The strength of agreement was considered poor for κ values less than 0.20, fair for *κ* values of 0.21–0.40, moderate for *κ* values of 0.41–0.60, good for *κ* values of 0.61–0.80 and very good for *κ* values of 0.81–1.00.

## **Results**

The mean time between MRE and endoscopy with biopsy was 5.5 days (range 1–21 days).

Based on the histopathology, fndings were classifed 48/68 (70%) patients in acute infammatory phase and 20/68 (30%) patients in no-acute infammatory phase, respectively.

Baseline patient characteristics are shown in Table [3.](#page-4-0)

The mean image quality score of DWI and contrast T1-weighed sequence was  $2.9 \pm 0.3$  and  $2.0 \pm 0.5$ , respectively ( $p$  value = 0.074).

When considering all bowel segments, 41 true positive and 25 true negative results were found on DWI.

One false positive case corresponded to the absence of infammatory histopathology changes at the level of the terminal ileum in a 15-year-old male, where endoscopic examination showed the presence of thickening folds.

One false negative case was in a 10-year-old female with only jejunal lesion.

The corresponding sensitivity, specifcity, PPV, NPV and accuracy were 97.6% (95% CI 67.7–99.7), 96.1% (95% CI 66.7–98.5), 97.6% (95% CI 70.8–98.4), 96.1% (95% CI 64.2–90.6) and 97% (95% CI 84.2–97.5), respectively.

Analyzing the gadolinium-enhanced set, 35 true positive and 25 true negative results were found (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)). One false positive case was found, and it was the same as with DWI.

The number of false negative fndings at contrast MRI  $(n=7)$  was higher than that at DWI  $(n=1)$  at the level of

<span id="page-4-0"></span>



the jejunum (5 vs 1) rather than at the ileum (2 vs 0) (Figs. [2](#page-6-0) and [3](#page-7-0)).

The corresponding sensitivity, specifcity, PPV, NPV and accuracy were 83.3% (95% CI 65.9–86.7), 96.1% (95% CI 68.7–88.9), 97.2% (95% CI 84.3–98.7), 78.1% (95% CI 27.9–72.1) and 88.2% (95% CI 41.2–85.6), respectively.

Mean bowel wall ADC measurements were as follows:  $1150 \pm 200 \times 10^{-6}$  mm<sup>2</sup>/s in patients with active disease  $(n=48)$  and  $1400 \pm 250 \times 10^{-6}$  mm<sup>2</sup>/s in patients with no active disease  $(n=20)$ , respectively. The comparison between two groups showed a statistically signifcant diference between the two samples, showing that the distribution of lower ADC values in patients with active disease is not random ( $p = 0.0367$ ).

Sensitivity for the detection of active IBD lesion was signifcantly better with DWI than with CE T1-w imaging  $(p=0.002)$ , whereas the specificity was similar  $(p=0.743)$ .

Excellent inter-observer agreement regarding lesion detection was achieved (*k*=0.970, 95% CI: 0.929, 1.000 for difusion sequence and *k*=0.937, 95% CI: 0.877, 0.998 for contrast MRI).

### **Discussion**

Our results found signifcantly better accuracy and sensitivity of T2 in combination with DWI rather than in combination with CE sequences in detecting small-bowel acute infammation in pediatric patients with CD.

Our results are in accordance with a retrospective study that has shown that DWI has a sensitivity of 94% for the detection of small- bowel and colonic lesions in the case of CD [[20\]](#page-8-11). Another study has shown in 44 adults that DWI MRE is not inferior to contrast material-enhanced MRE for the evaluation of infammation in CD, except for the diag-nosis of penetration [\[11\]](#page-8-12).

Most of the studies have demonstrated the accuracy of the MR enterography in radiological diagnosis of CD in pediatric patients using standard T2 and post-contrast T1 sequences  $[15, 16]$  $[15, 16]$  $[15, 16]$  $[15, 16]$ .

Recently, some studies [[17,](#page-8-15) [18](#page-8-16)] have reported that the presence of infammation in the active phase arises with a limited difusivity or with an increased signal in DWI sequences and a corresponding reduction of the signal in the ADC maps [[19\]](#page-8-17).

Performance of high-quality MR enterography in children, compared with adults, poses additional challenges, such as limiting body movements during imaging and tailoring sequences to match pediatric patients' ability to hold their breath, especially during intravenous administration of contrast medium [\[20\]](#page-8-11).

DWI has still to be properly investigated in small-bowel imaging in pediatric population for its advantages such as rapid image acquisition, high tissue contrast and lack of intravenous contrast material  $[21–26]$  $[21–26]$  $[21–26]$ . Particularly, this last characteristic could avoid the venous access, the risk of adverse reactions to the contrast medium and minimize patient discomfort particularly important in the pediatric population that can preclude their cooperation at this examination.

There are several advantages in using DWI instead of CE T1-w images: a shorter examination duration (if postgadolinium MR imaging is not performed) and no need for catheter placement and for glucagon injection.

In our study, images obtained by DWI displayed better image quality than CE T1-w images. This quality diference may be due to a longer time of examination with T1-w imaging and to movements artifacts. Furthermore,

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Diagnosis of ileal CD in a 14-year-old female with active Crohn's disease. **a** Coronal steady-state true FISP image showed thickening of the wall of the terminal ileum (arrows) with evidence of increased layered enhancement on **b** coro nal post-contrast T1-weighted image. Hyperintensity of the wall of the terminal ileum was observed on **c** coronal DWI at  $b = 800$  mm<sup>2</sup>/s with low signal on **d** ADC (ADC value 1130 ×10–6 mm 2 /s (arrows). **e** Axial T2-weighted single-shot fast spin-echo image with fat saturation shows thickness of the wall of the terminal ileum (short arrow) with a hyperin tense fuid collection (arrow). **f** Transverse single-shot echo-pla nar DW image at  $b = 800$  mm<sup>2</sup>/s shows the hyperintense signal of the fuid collection, indicative of an abscess. **g** On T1-weighted image after contrast administra tion, the abscess shows thick, rim enhancement (arrows). Interventional drainage confrmed the diagnosis of an abscess



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Diagnosis of ileal CD in a 8-year-old female with Crohn's ► disease. **a** Coronal post-contrast T1-weighted image depicted no clear diferences in terms of transmural enhancement at the level of the ileal loops. Hyperintensity of the wall of the terminal ileum compared to adjacent intestinal loops was observed on **b** coronal DWI at  $b = 800$  mm<sup>2</sup>/s with low signal on **c** ADC (ADC value  $1200 \times 10^{-6}$  mm<sup>2</sup>/s (arrows). Based on the restriction of the diffusion CD in the active phase was diagnosed that was confrmed by endoscopy

gadolinium MR imaging is performed in apnea, while DWI MR imaging can be performed in free breathing, which is better tolerated by pediatric patients. Still, there are some disadvantages of using DWI because it has a poorer spatial resolution that requires a joint analysis with T2 sequences; for this reason, the MR protocol requires the performance of T2-w imaging together with DWI.

In our study, DWI showed a better diagnostic performance than post-contrast sequences; an explanation could be that DWI was performed in free breathing avoiding the uncomfortable apnea required for CE MR and is less prone to motion artifacts.

Most of the lesions missed at CE-T1-w images were at the level of jejunal loops; an explanation could be that CE-T1-w images are less accurate in detecting lesions in the presence of sub-optimal distension, as it is frequently seen at the level of jejunum, whereas the accuracy of the difusion sequence seems to depend less on the grade of distension [\[27,](#page-8-20) [28\]](#page-8-21).

Some authors have suggested increasing bowel wall restricted difusion in CD as a radiological biomarker for increasing disease activity without the need for intravenous contrast material [[29–](#page-8-22)[32\]](#page-8-23).

The limitations of our study were several: the small sample of patients; however, our number is similar to that in previous studies [\[32](#page-8-23), [33\]](#page-8-24); another limitation of the study was that we arbitrarily selected a *b* value of 800 s/mm<sup>2</sup>. In the future, further studies investigating DWI fndings using diferent *b* values may determine their role in the detection of bowel infammation.

Finally, comparison of imaging results with histological activity as gold standard is debatable since infammation in Crohn's disease is discontinuous, leading to sampling error for histological assessment. Transmural infammation in Crohn's disease may also mean that histological mucosal healing does not represent quiescent disease, since deeper infammation, although invariably in the form of lymphoid hyperplasia, and therefore refecting chronic infammation rather than acute inflammation, may persist [\[34,](#page-8-25) [35\]](#page-8-26).

In conclusion, our study has shown that DWI sequences with the corresponding ADC maps have a high accuracy in detecting the bowel segment afected by CD and that, associated with the standard T2-weighted images, allows to increase the diagnostic accuracy.





<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Diagnosis of jejunal CD in a 13-year-old male with Crohn's disease. **a** Coronal T2-weighted HASTE image showed mild thickening of jejunal small-bowel loop (arrows) with no evidence of increased enhancement on **b** coronal post-contrast T1-weighted image. Hyperintensity of the wall of the jejunal small-bowel loop was

observed on **c** coronal DWI at  $b = 800$  mm<sup>2</sup>/s with low signal on **d** ADC (ADC value  $1170 \times 10^{-6}$  mm<sup>2</sup>/s (arrows). Based on the restriction of the difusion CD in the active phase was diagnosed that was confrmed by endoscopy

This evidence suggests the utility to include the DWI/ ADC in the standard protocols of MR enterography. DWI could replace T1-weighted post-contrast sequences for detecting small-bowel acute infammation in patients with CD, avoiding injection of contrast medium and reducing the examination time making the examination better tolerated by pediatric patients.

#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that there is no confict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

**Ethical standards** The present study was conducted in accordance with the institutional ethical committee of Sapienza University of Rome.

**Informed consent** Parent or guardian informed consent was obtained at the time of study enrollment.

## **References**

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>1. Malaty HM, Fan X, Opekun AR et al (2010) Rising incidence of infammatory bowel disease among children: a 12-year study. J Pediatric Gastroenterol Nutr 50(1):27–31
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>2. Dillman JR, Smith EA, Sanchez RJ et al (2015) Pediatric small bowel crohn disease: correlation of US and MR enterography. Radiographics 35(3):835–848
- 3. Masselli G, Casciani E, Polettini E et al (2013) Magnetic resonance imaging of small bowel neoplasms. Cancer Imaging 21(13):92–99
- 4. Li XH, Sun CH, Mao R et al (2015) Assessment of activity of crohn disease by difusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging. Medicine (Baltimore) 94(43):1819
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>5. Masselli G, Di Tola M, Casciani E et al (2016) Diagnosis of smallbowel diseases: prospective comparison of multi-detector row CT enterography with MR enterography. Radiology 279(2):420–431
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>6. La Seta F, Buccellato A, Tesè L et al (2006) Multidetector-row CT enteroclysis: indications and clinical applications. Radiol Med 111(2):141–158
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>7. Chavhan GB, Alsabban Z, Babyn PS (2014) Difusion-weighted imaging in pediatric body MR imaging: principles, technique, and emerging applications. Radiographics 34(3):E73–E88
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>8. Neubauer H, Pabst T, Dick A et al (2013) Small-bowel MRI in children and young adults with Crohn disease: retrospective headto-head comparison of contrast-enhanced and difusion weighted MRI. Pediatr Radiol 43:103–114
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>9. Lee SM, Kim WS, Choi YH (2015) Pediatric magnetic resonance enterography: focused on Crohn's disease. Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr 18(3):149–159
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>10. Ognibene NM, Basile M, Di Maurizio M et al (2016) Features and perspectives of MR enterography for pediatric Crohn disease assessment. Radiol Med 121(5):362–377
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>11. Seo N, Park SH, Kim KJ et al (2016) MR enterography for the evaluation of small-bowel infammation in Crohn disease by using difusion-weighted imaging without intravenous contrast material: a prospective noninferiority study. Radiology 278(3):762–772
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>12. Masselli G, Gualdi G (2013) CT and MR enterography in evaluating small bowel diseases: When to use which modality? Abdom Imaging 38(2):249–259
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>13. Wilkins T, Jarvis K, Patel J (2011) Diagnosis and management of Crohn's disease. Am Fam Physician 84(12):1365–1375
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>14. Torkzad MR, Masselli G, Halligan SH et al (2015) Indications and selection of MR enterography vs. MR enteroclysis with emphasis on patients who need small bowel MRI and general anaesthesia: results of a survey. Insights Imaging 6(3):339–346
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>15. Masselli G, Gualdi G (2012) MR imaging of the small bowel. Radiology 264(2):333–348
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>16. Schooler GR, Hull NC, Mavis A, Lee EY (2019) MR imaging evaluation of infammatory bowel disease in children where are we now in 2019. Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am 27(2):291–300
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>17. Li Y, Hauenstein K (2015) New imaging techniques in the diagnosis of infammatory bowel diseases. Visz Med 31(4):227–234
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>18. Masselli G, Polettini E, Laghi F et al (2014) Non-infammatory conditions of the small bowel. Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am 22(1):51–65
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>19. Scardapane A, Ambrosi A, Salinaro E et al (2015) Assessment of disease activity in small bowel Crohn's disease: comparison

between endoscopy and magnetic resonance enterography using MRIA and modified MRIA score. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2015:159641

- <span id="page-8-11"></span>20. Oto A, Zhu F, Kulkarni K et al (2009) Evaluation of difusionweighted MR imaging for detection of bowel infammation in patients with Crohn's disease. Acad Radiol 16:597–603
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>21. Oussalah A, Laurent V, Bruot O et al (2010) Difusion-weighted magnetic resonance without bowel preparation for detecting colonic infammation in infammatory bowel disease. Gut 59:1056–1065
- 22. Kiryu S, Dodanuki K, Takao H et al (2009) Free-breathing difusion-weighted imaging for the assessment of infammatory activity in Crohn's disease. J Magn Reson Imaging 29(4):880–886
- 23. Moore WA, Khatri G, Madhuranthakam AJ et al (2014) Added value of difusion weighted acquisitions in MRI of the abdomen and pelvis. Am J Roentgenol 202:995–1006
- 24. Towbin AJ, Sullivan J, Denson Lee A et al (2013) CT and MR enterography in children and adolescents with inflammatory bowel disease. Radiographics 33(7):1843–1860
- 25. Ream JM, Dillman JR, Adler J et al (2013) MRI diffusionweighted imaging (DWI) in pediatric small bowel Crohn disease: correlation with MRI fndings of active bowel wall infammation. Pediatr Radiol 43:1077–1085
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>26. Dubron C, Avni F, Boutry N et al (2016) Prospective evaluation of free-breathing difusion-weighted imaging for the detection of infammatory bowel disease with MR enterography in childhood population. Br J Radiol 89:20150840
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>27. Cappabianca S, Granata V, Di Grezia G et al (2011) The role of nasoenteric intubation in the MR study of patients with Crohn's disease: our experience and literature review. Radiol Med 116:389–406
- <span id="page-8-21"></span>28. Masselli G, Brizi MG, Menchini L et al (2005) Magnetic resonance enteroclysis imaging of Crohn's. Radiol Med 110(3):221–233
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>29. Church PC, Greer MC, Cytter-Kuint R et al (2017) Magnetic resonance enterography has good inter-rater agreement and diagnostic accuracy for detecting infammation in pediatric Crohn disease. Pediatr Radiol 47(5):565–575
- 30. Qi F, Jun S, Qi QY et al (2015) Utility of the difusion weighted imaging for activity evaluation in Crohn's disease patients underwent magnetic resonance enterography. BMC Gastroenterol 5(15):12
- 31. Kiryu S, Watanabe M, Kabasawa H et al (2006) Evaluation of super paramagnetic iron oxide-enhanced difusion-weighted PRO-PELLER T2-fast spin echo magnetic resonance imaging: preliminary experience. J Comput Assist Tomogr 30:197–200
- <span id="page-8-23"></span>32. Dohan A, Taylor S, Hoefel C et al (2016) Difusion-weighted MRI in Crohn's disease: current status and recommendations. J Magn Reson Imaging 44(6):1381–1396
- <span id="page-8-24"></span>33. Gee MS, Nimkin K, Hsu M et al (2011) Prospective evaluation of MR enterography as the primary imaging modality for pediatric Crohn disease assessment. Am J Roentgenol 197:224–231
- <span id="page-8-25"></span>34. Di Mizio R, Maconi G, Romano S et al (2004) Small bowel Crohn disease: sonographic features. Abdom Imaging 29(1):23–35
- <span id="page-8-26"></span>35. Masselli G, Picarelli A, Di Tola M, Libanori V, Donato G, Polettini E, Piermattei A, Palumbo P, Pittalis A, Saponara A, Gualdi G (2010) Celiac disease: evaluation with dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging. Radiology 256(3):783–790

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.