

# **Crossed cerebellar hyperperfusion in patients with seizure‑related cerebral cortical lesions: an evaluation with arterial spin labelling perfusion MR imaging**

**Jungho Won<sup>1</sup> · Dae Seob Choi1,2  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8103-3303) Seok Jin Hong1 · Hwa Seon Shin1 · Hye Jin Baek1 · Ho Cheol Choi1 · Minjung Kim3 · Rock Bum Kim<sup>4</sup>**

Received: 16 March 2018 / Accepted: 9 July 2018 / Published online: 13 July 2018 © Italian Society of Medical Radiology 2018

# **Abstract**

**Purpose** Crossed cerebellar (CC) diaschisis refers to a decrease in cerebellar perfusion in the presence of contralateral supratentorial lesions. Most of the previous studies have examined stroke patients. In contrast to strokes, seizure-related cerebral cortical lesions (SCCLs) usually show hyperperfusion, and therefore, cerebellar perfusion patterns are expected to be diferent from those of strokes. With arterial spin labelling (ASL), we evaluated the cerebellar perfusion status in patients with SCCLs.

**Materials and methods** Using a search of the recent database over the last 31 months, 26 patients were enrolled in this study. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) a history of seizures, (2) MR examination taken within 24 h from the last seizure, (3) the presence of SCCLs on T2/FLAIR or DWI, (4) hyperperfusion in the corresponding areas of SCCLs on ASL, and (5) no structural abnormality in the cerebellum. The perfusion status in the contralateral cerebellum was evaluated and categorized as hyper-, iso- and hypoperfusion. The asymmetric index (AI) of cerebellar perfusion was calculated by ROI measurement of the signal intensity on ASL.

**Results** The mean time between the last seizure and MR examinations was 5 h 30 min. CC hyperperfusion was observed in 17 patients (65.4%), hypoperfusion in 7 (26.9%) and isoperfusion in 2 (7.7%). Regarding the location of SCCLs, CC hyperperfusion was more frequent (71.4 vs. 58.3%), and the mean AI was higher (42.0 vs. 11.5) when the lesion involved the frontal lobe.

**Conclusions** In patients with SCCLs, CC hyperperfusion occurred more often than hypo- and isoperfusion, especially when the lesions involved the frontal lobe.

Keywords Seizure · Cerebral cortical lesion · Perfusion MR · Arterial spin labelling (ASL) · Crossed cerebellar diaschisis · Crossed cerebellar hyperperfusion

 $\boxtimes$  Dae Seob Choi choids@gnu.ac.kr

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Radiology, Gyeongsang National University Hospital, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine, 90 Chilam-dong, Jinju 660-702, Republic of Korea
- <sup>2</sup> Gyeongsang Institute of Health Science, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine, Jinju, Republic of Korea
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Neurology, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine, Jinju, Republic of Korea
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Preventive Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine, Jinju, Republic of Korea

# **Introduction**

Crossed cerebellar (CC) diaschisis (CCD) refers to a decrease in cerebellar perfusion in the presence of contralateral supratentorial lesions. Because each cerebellum is connected with the contralateral cerebral hemisphere through the cortico-ponto-cerebellar (CPC) projecting fbres, a functional disruption of the CPC pathways is the underlying pathophysiologic mechanism of CCD [[1,](#page-7-0) [2](#page-7-1)]. Although CCD is typically observed following ischaemic stroke or haemorrhage, it has also been reported in seizure patients [\[3](#page-7-2)[–5](#page-7-3)]. Prolonged seizure activity may cause cerebral cortical damage. Seizure-related cerebral cortical lesions (SCCLs) are characterized by hyperintensity on the T2/FLAIR image

and restricted difusion on DWI and can mimic acute ischaemic stroke in clinical and radiologic fndings. In contrast to stroke, SCCLs usually show hyperperfusion [[6\]](#page-7-4). Therefore, cerebellar perfusion patterns are expected to be diferent from those of strokes.

The arterial spin labelling (ASL) technique is a noninvasive tool used to measure the cerebral blood fow (CBF) without administering a radiopharmaceutical or contrast agent and can be used repeatedly. Until now, PET and SPECT have been widely used in the evaluation of seizure patients. Compared to PET and SPECT, ASL perfusion MR imaging has several advantages, including high availability, low cost, short scan time and lack of radiation hazard [[7–](#page-7-5)[10](#page-7-6)].

There have been several reports that SCCLs show hyperperfusion on ASL in patients with acute seizures [[11–](#page-7-7)[13](#page-7-8)]. However, to the best of our knowledge, cerebellar perfusion abnormalities using ASL have not been investigated in patients with SCCLs. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the cerebellar perfusion status in patients with acute seizures and SCCLs using ASL perfusion MR imaging.

# **Materials and methods**

# **Patients**

This study was approved by our institutional review board. Informed consent was waived due to the retrospective nature of the study design.

From a database search of our institution during the last 31 months (between August 2014 and March 2017), twentysix patients were enrolled in this study. The patients visited the emergency department because of seizure or seizurelike symptoms. They were evaluated by neurologists and then underwent MR examinations. Among them, twentyone patients also received electroencephalography (EEG) studies after MR examinations. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) a history of seizures in the patient's medical record, (2) an MR examination within 24 h of the last seizure, (3) the presence of SCCLs on T2/FLAIR or DWI in the unilateral cerebral hemisphere or bilateral hemispheres with unilateral predominance, (4) hyperperfusion in the corresponding areas of SCCLs on ASL and (5) no structural abnormalities in the cerebellum.

An experienced neurologist reviewed all of the patient EEG data and clinical information, including any abnormalities in seizure-related data.

#### **MR imaging protocol**

MR examinations were performed using a 3.0-Tesla scanner (Ingenia; Philips Healthcare, Best, Netherlands) with a 32-channel head coil. Imaging sequences included FLAIR,

DWI (b value =  $0$ , 1000 s/mm<sup>2</sup>), ASL perfusion and conventional MR images. ASL perfusion imaging was performed using a 2D pseudo-continuous ASL (pCASL) pulse sequence with the following scan parameters: TR/TE 4000 ms/12 ms, slice thickness/gap 5/2 mm, postlabel delay 1600 ms, label distance 98 mm, FOV 220 mm, voxel size  $3.0 \times 3.0 \times 5.0$  mm, flip angle 90 $^{\circ}$ , number of dynamic scans 25 and total scan 3 min and 28 s. From the ASL source data, the rCBF map images were generated automatically by the scanner software. T2-weighted and pre- and postcontrast T1-weighted images were also acquired to assess the presence of structural alterations.

#### **Image analysis**

All MR images were reviewed by two neuroradiologists with 3 and 25 years of experience on a picture archiving and communication system. First, we evaluated the location and extent of SCCLs on T2/FLAIR and DWI and evaluated perfusion abnormalities on ASL without knowledge of the clinical information except for the presence of a current clinically and/or electrophysiologically confrmed seizure.

Second, a qualitative analysis (visual assessment) was performed to evaluate the cerebellar perfusion status on the ASL rCBF colour map. Each reviewer who was blinded to the presence and location of SCCLs independently assessed the perfusion status of each cerebellar hemisphere from the bottom to the top and classifed these as symmetric (isoperfusion) or asymmetric perfusion. In the cases of asymmetric perfusion, the contralateral cerebellar perfusion (CCP) was divided into hyper- or hypoperfusion based on the location of the supratentorial SCCLs. Finally, the CCP was classifed as hyper-, iso- or hypoperfusion compared to the ipsilateral cerebellum. In cases in which there was a discrepancy, two reviewers later reached a consensus.

Third, a quantitative analysis was also performed. The asymmetric index (AI) of cerebellar perfusion was calculated by measuring the rCBF in each cerebellum. On a slice of an axial scan representing the greatest perfusion asymmetry, ROI circles measuring 15–30 mm in diameter were manually drawn on the afected and mirrored cerebellar hemispheres (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0) [[14,](#page-7-9) [15\]](#page-7-10). ROIs were placed to avoid the major vessels and cerebellar vermis. The AI of cerebellar perfusion was calculated by using the following formula [[15,](#page-7-10) [16\]](#page-7-11):

$$
AI = 100 \times (rCBF_{contralateral} - rCBF_{ipsilateral})/(rCBF_{ipsilateral})
$$

Positive and negative AI values indicate the relative hyperperfusion and hypoperfusion of the contralateral cerebellum, respectively. To assess the reproducibility of the measurements, the AI was measured twice by the same reader at 3-week intervals. The mean value of the repeated measure-ments was used to determine the AI [\[17](#page-7-12)].



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** A 47-year-old woman with a generalized tonic–clonic seizure (patient 16). **a** The axial FLAIR and **b** DWI show a focal hyperintense lesion in the left frontal lobe, which is presumed to be cortical dysplasia. **c** The signal intensity of the lesion is isointense on the ADC map image. **d** The ASL perfusion image reveals increased per-

**Statistical analysis**

To assess the interobserver agreement for CCP (hypoperfusion, isoperfusion, hyperperfusion) in the qualitative analysis, the kappa statistic was used  $[15]$  $[15]$ . The  $\kappa$  values of<0.20, 0.20–0.40, 0.41–0.60, 0.61–0.80 and>0.81 indicated poor, fair, moderate, good and excellent agreement, respectively. Intraobserver reproducibility for the AIs was assessed by calculating intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) [[18\]](#page-7-13). The ICCs of  $< 0.40$ , 0.40–0.59, 0.60–0.74 and>0.75 indicated poor, fair, good or excellent reproducibility, respectively [[19\]](#page-7-14). The diferences in the AI values according to the CCP status were analysed by using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) test.

fusion in the corresponding area. **e** The colour and **f** greyscale ASL images show increased perfusion in the right cerebellum compared to the left. ROI circles are located in both cerebella (**f**). The calculated asymmetry index was a positive value

Regarding the location of the SCCLs, the patients were divided into frontal-positive and frontal-negative groups according to the presence or absence of frontal lobe involvement. Statistical analysis was performed to determine the difference in the frequency of CC hyperperfusion and AI values between the groups by using the Fisher's exact test and the Mann–Whitney *U* test. The significance level for the test was *p*<0.05.



<span id="page-3-0"></span>pocampal sclerosis, *R* right, *L* left, *B* both, *F* frontal, *T* temporal, *P* parietal, *O* occipital, *Hem* hemisphere, *Hi* hippocampus, *I* insula, *Th* thalamus

## **Results**

The baseline characteristics of the patients and their results are provided in Table [1.](#page-3-0) Of the 26 patients with SCCLs, 13 were males and 13 were females, with an age range of 15–89 years (mean, 61.7 years). The mean time between the last seizure and the MR examinations was 5 h 30 min. The potential aetiology of the seizures varied. These aetiologies included brain parenchymal tissue loss due to previous infarction or haemorrhage ( $n=8$ , 30.8%), subdural haemorrhage (n=4, 15.4%), viral encephalitis (n=3, 11.5%), metabolic (hyponatremia)  $(n=2, 7.7\%)$ , cortical dysplasia  $(n=2)$ , hippocampal sclerosis  $(n=1, 3.8\%)$ , alcohol withdrawal (n = 1), and idiopathy (n = 5, 19.2%).

In the qualitative assessment, CC hyperperfusion was observed in 17 (65.4%), hypoperfusion in 7 (26.9%) and isoperfusion in 2 patients (7.7%) after a consensus was reached (Table [2\)](#page-4-0). There was one case of discrepancy. The case was classifed as isoperfusion by observer 1 and was classifed as hyperperfusion by observer 2, respectively. However, the interobserver agreement was excellent (κ value $=0.93$ ).

For the quantitative analysis, intraobserver reproducibility was excellent for the AI ( $\text{ICC}=0.92$ ). The AI demonstrated general agreement with the CCP status of the visual assessment. All cases with contralateral cerebellar hypoperfusion showed negative AI values (median, −38.4; range,  $-63.0 \sim -19.8$ ), and CC hyperperfusion showed positive AI values (median, 49.3; range, 10.5–176.4). Cerebellar isoperfusion showed narrow AI values (median, 0.59; range, − 7.5–8.7) (Table [2](#page-4-0), Fig. [2\)](#page-4-1). There were signifcant diferences in the AI values between the CC hyperperfusion and hypoperfusion groups ( $p = < 0.001$ , ANOVA). However, no signifcant diferences were found



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 2** AI values according to the perfusion status of the contralateral cerebellum

between the CC hyperperfusion and isoperfusion groups  $(p=0.147)$  as well as between the isoperfusion and hypoperfusion groups  $(p=0.641)$ .

Regarding the location of SCCLs, the SCCLs involved the frontal lobe in 14 patients (53.8%). CC hyperperfusion was more frequently observed with higher AI values in the frontal-positive group [10/14 patients (71.4%), mean  $AI \pm SD$ ,  $42.0 \pm 63.1$ ] than in the frontal-negative group [7/12 patients (58.3%), mean  $AI \pm SD$ , 11.5  $\pm$  42.8] (Table [2](#page-4-0)). However, there was no statistically signifcant difference  $(p=0.401, p=0.230,$  respectively).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 2** Visual assessment and asymmetric index of contralateral cerebellar perfusion

Contralateral cerebellar perfusion pattern		Fontal involvement $(n=14)$ AI		No frontal involvement $(n=12)$ AI		Total $(n=26)$ AI	
Visual assessment Hyper 10 (71.4) $70.7 \pm 50.0$			$54.1(19.0 \div 176.4)$		$7(58.3)$ $39.7 \pm 24.3$ $29.9(10.5 \sim 80.3)$		$17(65.4)$ $57.9 \pm 43.3$ 49.3 $(10.5 \sim 176.4)$
	<b>Iso</b>	0(0.0)		2(16.7)	$0.59 \pm 11.4$ $0.59(-7.5 \sim 8.7)$	2(7.7)	$0.59 \pm 11.4$ $0.59(-7.5 \sim 8.7)$
	Hypo		$4(28.6) -29.9 + 9.84$ $-28.3$ ( $-43.2$ to $-19.8$ )	3(25.0)	$-47.0+13.9$ $-39.5$ ( $-63.0$ to $-38.4$ )		$7(26.9) -37.2 + 14.0$ $-38.4$ ( $-63.0$ to $-19.8$ )
	Total	14 (100)	$42.0 + 63.1$ $39.0 (-43.2 \div 176.4)$	12 (100)	$11.5 \pm 42.8$ $15.2 (-63.0 \times 80.3)$	26(100)	$27.9 \pm 55.8$ $19.8(-63.0 \sim 176.4)$



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3** A 73-year-old man with a complex partial seizure (patient 3). **a** The DWI shows subtle hyperintense lesions in the right frontoparietal lobes (arrows). There is also parenchymal tissue loss due to a previous infarction in the right parietal lobe (arrow head). **b** On the ADC map image, the lesions of the frontoparietal lobes reveal restricted

# **Discussion**

In the present study, CC hyperperfusion was observed in 65.4% of acute seizure patients with SCCLs on ASL perfusion MR imaging (Fig.  $1, 3$  $1, 3$ ), and it was more common difusion (arrows) and the parietal lobe lesion reveals increased water difusion (arrow head), respectively. **c** The ASL perfusion image shows markedly increased perfusion in the corresponding areas of DWI hyperintensity. **d** The left cerebellum reveals hyperperfusion on the ASL image regardless of the tissue loss in the right parietal lobe

than CC hypoperfusion (26.9%). CCD is a well-known phenomenon in which blood fow and metabolism in the contralateral cerebellum decrease when there is a supratentorial lesion. The interruption of CPC pathways causes a remote functional deactivation with reduced excitatory input and decreased cerebellar blood flow  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$ . This phenomenon is commonly observed on perfusion MR imaging, including ASL as well as PET and SPECT, in stroke patients [\[14](#page-7-9), [16,](#page-7-11) [17](#page-7-12), [20](#page-7-15), [21\]](#page-7-16). In contrast to stroke, during seizure activity, CCD is believed to arise from excessive excitatory input along the CPC pathways, which produces a phenomenon similar to the deaferentation syndrome observed after stroke [\[3](#page-7-2)]. Therefore, our results of the CCP appear to refect both the excessive excitatory input due to seizure activity and the reduced excitatory input due to SCCLs. Among them, the excessive excitatory input from the seizure activity appears to have a greater infuence on the cerebellar perfusion status in our patients. Until now, the frequency and clinical signifcance of CC hyperperfusion in patients with SCCLs have not been yet fully established. According to the previous reports of ictal SPECT, CC hyperperfusion was observed in 33–75% of patients with ictal hyperperfusion foci [[22](#page-7-17)[–24](#page-7-18)]. Although there are diferences in the patient population, imaging modality and timing (ictal vs. postictal), the results of our study are consistent with theirs.

Regarding the location of SCCLs, CC hyperperfusion was more frequently observed (71.4 vs. 58.3%), and AI was higher in the frontal-positive group than in the frontal-negative group (mean  $\pm$  SD, 42.0  $\pm$  63.1 vs. 11.5  $\pm$  42.8). Previous studies using ictal SPECT have also reported that CC hyperperfusion was frequently observed when the ictal focus involved the frontal lobe [\[23](#page-7-19), [24](#page-7-18)]. This fnding seems to be caused by the densest CPC projection fbres arising from the precentral and prefrontal cortical areas [[1](#page-7-0), [25\]](#page-7-20). The presence of CC hyperperfusion in seizure patients may be helpful for the lateralization of epileptic foci in clinical situations [\[22](#page-7-17)].

In our patients, all the 7 cases of CC hypoperfusion were in the left cerebellar hemisphere with a consequent SCCL in the right cerebral hemisphere. In the group of CC hyperperfusion, 12 out of 17 patients (70.6%) were lateralized in the right cerebellar hemisphere. We reviewed the literature on previous studies. However, we could not fnd any diferences in the cerebellar perfusion patterns according to whether the location of SCCLs was the right cerebral hemisphere or the left cerebral hemisphere. We are not convinced at present whether these results are due to coincidence or whether there is actually a diference in laterality according to the location of the SCCLs. Further studies with a large number of patients are needed.

A seizure can mimic an acute stroke, and a stroke can also present with a seizure  $[26]$  $[26]$  $[26]$ . In clinical practice, the diferentiation of SCCLs from acute stroke is important to accurately diagnose and provide appropriate treatment. An acute stoke usually shows hypoperfusion, and SCCLs are characterized by hyperperfusion [\[13](#page-7-8)]. Nonetheless, an acute infarction showing focal hyperperfusion (luxury perfusion) or reperfusion with spontaneous recanalization of a clot on MR is challenging to diferentiate from SCCLs [[27\]](#page-7-22). The CC hyperperfusion associated with SCCLs is an ancillary fnding and supplementary useful for the diferential diagnosis between an infarct and a SCCL. This fnding is diferent from an acute stroke, which shows hypoperfusion or isoperfusion of the contralateral cerebellum rather than CC hyperperfusion.

Another advantage of this study is the use of the ASL method, which does not require the administration of an exogenous tracer or contrast agent. The ASL technique has been shown to be beneficial in many clinical trials, especially for paediatric patients, patients with impaired renal function or patients who need periodic follow-up perfusion studies. To the best of our knowledge, this report is the frst that evaluates cerebellar perfusion using ASL perfusion MR in patients with SCCLs. In addition, the CCP status on ASL was determined with excellent interobserver agreement and was quantifed using AI with excellent reproducibility.

In our study, comparing the results of qualitative analysis (visual assessment) and quantitative analysis, the AI demonstrated general agreement with the CCP status of the visual assessment. All cases with CC hypoperfusion showed negative AI values, and CC hyperperfusion showed positive AI values. Cerebellar isoperfusion showed narrow AI values. The mean AI values of the hyperperfusion group and the hypoperfusion group showed statistically signifcant diference. However, in the isoperfusion group, there were only two cases and there was no statistically signifcant diference with other groups. Considering the results of visual assessment and quantitative analysis, it seems that the cerebellar perfusion asymmetry can be visually distinguished when the AI value difers by 10 or more.

The present study has several limitations. First, this study was a retrospective study, and only patients with SCCLs and corresponding hyperperfusion were enrolled. This feature may lead to a selection bias because potential patients with only hyperperfusion without T2/FLAIR or DWI abnormality were eliminated. Eight patients had old infarctions or haemorrhage adjacent to the SCCLs, a fnding that could afect the results of the CCP. Nonetheless, CC hyperperfusion was also observed in four patients (50%) (Fig. [3\)](#page-5-0), but the frequency was slightly lower than the average.

Second, because the number of subjects was relatively small, we did not fnd a statistically signifcant diference between the two groups according to the presence or absence of frontal lobe involvement. Further studies with a larger number of patients will be needed.

Third, we could not determine the evolution of the cerebellar perfusion status because follow-up examinations were not performed in most cases. Because seizure activity is a dynamic process, serial follow-up studies are required for the complete understanding of its pathophysiology. Further studies with serial follow-up are needed.

In conclusion, with ASL perfusion MR imaging, we evaluated the cerebellar perfusion status in acute seizure patients with SCCLs. CC hyperperfusion was observed in 63.0% of the patients, and it occurred more frequently than hypo- and isoperfusion, especially when the lesions involved the frontal lobe.

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like to thank 'Wiley Editing Services' for the English: [http://authorservices.wiley.com/bauthor/engli](http://authorservices.wiley.com/bauthor/english_language.asp) [sh\\_language.asp](http://authorservices.wiley.com/bauthor/english_language.asp).

# **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** All authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

**Ethical approval** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of our institutional review board (IRB) after its approval and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Our IRB determined that patient approval and informed consent were not required because of retrospectively reviewing images and records.

# **References**

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>1. Ramnani N (2006) The primate cortico-cerebellar system: anatomy and function. Nat Rev Neurosci 7:511–522
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>2. Gold L, Lauritzen M (2002) Neuronal deactivation explains decreased cerebellar blood fow in response to focal cerebral ischemia or suppressed neocortical function. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99:7699–7704
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>3. Grafeo CS, Snyder KA, Nasr DM et al (2016) Prognostic and mechanistic factors characterizing seizure-associated crossed cerebellar diaschisis. Neurocrit Care 24:258–263
- 4. Bailly P, Bazire A, Prat G et al (2017) Crossed cerebellar diaschisis in status epilepticus. Presse Med 46:117–118
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>5. Pantano P, Baron J, Samson Y et al (1986) Crossed cerebellar diaschisis: further studies. Brain 109:677–794
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>6. Cianfoni A, Caulo M, Cerase A et al (2013) Seizure-induced brain lesions: a wide spectrum of variably reversible MRI abnormalities. Eur J Radiol 82:1964–1972
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>7. Wolf RL, Detre JA (2007) Clinical neuroimaging using arterial spin-labeled perfusion magnetic resonance imaging. Neurotherapeutics 4:346–359
- 8. Detre JA, Rao H, Wang DJ et al (2012) Applications of arterial spin labeled MRI in the brain. J Magn Reson Imaging 35:1026–1037
- 9. Chen Y, Wang DJ, Detre JA (2011) Test–retest reliability of arterial spin labeling with common labeling strategies. J Magn Reson Imaging 33:940–949
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>10. Deibler AR, Pollock JM, Kraft RA et al (2008) Arterial spinlabeling in routine clinical practice, part 2: hypoperfusion patterns. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 29:1235–1241
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>11. Matsuura K, Maeda M, Okamoto K et al (2015) Usefulness of arterial spin-labeling images in periictal state diagnosis of epilepsy. J Neurol Sci 359:424–429
- 12. Pizzini FB, Farace P, Manganotti P et al (2013) Cerebral perfusion alterations in epileptic patients during peri-ictal and post-ictal phase: PASL vs DSC-MRI. Magn Reson Imaging 31:1001–1005
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>13. Miyaji Y, Yokoyama M, Kawabata Y et al (2014) Arterial spinlabeling magnetic resonance imaging for diagnosis of late seizure after stroke. J Neurol Sci 339:87–90
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>14. Chen S, Guan M, Lian H et al (2014) Crossed cerebellar diaschisis detected by arterial spin-labeled perfusion magnetic resonance imaging in subacute ischemic stroke. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 23:2378–2383
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>15. Kang KM, Sohn CH, Kim BS et al (2015) Correlation of asymmetry indices measured by arterial spin-labeling MR imaging and SPECT in patients with crossed cerebellar diaschisis. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 36:1662–1668
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>16. Lin DD, Kleinman JT, Wityk RJ et al (2009) Crossed cerebellar diaschisis in acute stroke detected by dynamic susceptibility contrast MR perfusion imaging. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 30:710–715
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>17. Kang KM, Sohn C, Choi SH et al (2017) Detection of crossed cerebellar diaschisis in hyperacute ischemic stroke using arterial spin-labeled MR imaging. PLoS ONE 12:e0173971
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>18. Varoquaux A, Rager O, Lovblad K et al (2013) Functional imaging of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma with difusionweighted MRI and FDG PET/CT: quantitative analysis of ADC and SUV. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 40:842–852
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>19. Oppo K, Leen E, Angerson WJ et al (1998) Doppler perfusion index: an interobserver and intraobserver reproducibility study. Radiology 208:453–457
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>20. Takasawa M, Watanabe M, Yamamoto S et al (2002) Prognostic value of subacute crossed cerebellar diaschisis: single-photon emission CT study in patients with middle cerebral artery territory infarct. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 23:189–193
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>21. Shinohara Y, Kato A, Kuya K et al (2017) Perfusion MR imaging using a 3D pulsed continuous arterial spin-labeling method for acute cerebral infarction classifed as branch atheromatous disease involving the lenticulostriate artery territory. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 38:1550–1554
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>22. Won JH, Lee JD, Chung TS et al (1996) Increased contralateral cerebellar uptake of technetium-99 m-HMPAO on ictal brain SPECT. J Nucl Med 37:426–429
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>23. Marks DA, Katz A, Hoffer P et al (1992) Localization of extratemporal epileptic foci during ictal single photon emission computed tomography. Ann Neurol 31:250–255
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>24. Shin WC, Hong SB, Tae WS et al (2001) Ictal hyperperfusion of cerebellum and basal ganglia in temporal lobe epilepsy: SPECT subtraction with MRI coregistration. J Nucl Med 42:853–858
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>25. Poretti A, Boltshauser E (2012) Crossed cerebro-cerebellar diaschisis. Neuropediatrics 43:53–54
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>26. Long B, Koyfman A (2017) Clinical mimics: an emergency medicine-focused review of stroke mimics. J Emerg Med 52:176–183
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>27. Deibler AR, Pollock JM, Kraft RA et al (2008) Arterial spinlabeling in routine clinical practice, part 3: hyperperfusion patterns. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 29:1428–1435