REVIEW ARTICLE



# **Tendon Mechanobiology: Experimental Models Require Mathematical Underpinning**

**Mark S. Thompson**

Received: 1 February 2012 / Accepted: 25 April 2013 / Published online: 17 May 2013 © Society for Mathematical Biology 2013

**Abstract** Mathematical and computational modeling is in demand to help address current challenges in mechanobiology of musculoskeletal tissues. In particular for tendon, the high clinical importance of the tissue, the huge mechanical demands placed on it and its ability to adapt to these demands, require coupled, multiscale models incorporating complex geometrical and microstructural information as well as time-based descriptions of cellular activity and response.

This review introduces the information sources required to develop such multiscale models. It covers tissue structure and biomechanics, cell biomechanics, the current understanding of tendon's ability in health and disease to update its properties and structure and the few already existing multiscale mechanobiological models of the tissue. Finally, a sketch is provided of what such models could achieve ideally, pointing out where experimental data and knowledge are still missing.

**Keywords** Mechanobiology · Tendon · Multiscale modeling · Microstructure · Mechanical stimuli

## **1 Scientific and Clinical Motivation**

As the global population ages and the benefits of regular physical exercise are becoming increasingly clear, musculoskeletal health is highlighted as essential for a high quality of life and healthy aging. Musculoskeletal tissues not only fulfil mechanical functions, but also rely on mechanical loading to maintain their healthy function through a homeostatic mechanobiological feedback loop (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). Understanding the link between the mechanical environment, as provided by normal everyday life, and

 $M.S.$  Thompson  $(\boxtimes)$ 

Institute of Biomedical Engineering, Botnar Research Centre, University of Oxford, Windmill Road, Oxford OX3 7LD, UK e-mail: [mark.thompson@eng.ox.ac.uk](mailto:mark.thompson@eng.ox.ac.uk)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

**Fig. 1** Therapeutic mechanotransduction as a transfer function block diagram. The therapeutic stimulus is modified by transmission through tissue and across length scales, and by bio-feedback mechanisms

the cell-level events of tissue maintenance, repair, and new synthesis, is key to diagnosing, treating, and preventing painful and costly disorders of the musculoskeletal system.

Amongst musculoskeletal tissues, tendon has a vital role to play in enabling locomotion. Tendon disorders and disease have a major impact on individual pain and suffering and on society due to both the costs of frequently unsuccessful treatment and lost days at work.

Mathematical and computational models can capture and crystallize the current state of the art of mechanical and biological understanding of physiological and pathological processes. They give easy access to parameters that are impossible or difficult to measure in patients non-invasively. These models can couple multiple length and time scales together, and in systems of sufficient complexity are able to predict emergent behavior. Models develop iteratively as they test hypotheses from or are tested by other experimental systems, and as new information becomes available about the "real" system, the patient.

The focus of this review is on the existing experimental mechanobiological modeling in tendon, and highlights the need for more comprehensive mathematical treatment of this system. It begins with an overview of the basic physiology, microstructure, and biomechanics of tendon, followed by a description of the state of the art in understanding cell mechanical interactions with the extracellular matrix, and concluding with a perspective on the coupled, multiscale modeling required for understanding disease and proposing new therapies.

## **2 Tissue Structure and Biomechanics**

Tendon is a composite material with an exquisite structure that lends the tissue excellent mechanical properties. Collagen, the most abundant protein in the tissue (80 % dry mass (Amiel et al. [1984\)](#page-10-0) forms the basis for its hierarchical, multiscale arrangement.

Rod-like tropocollagen molecules ∼300 nm long and 1.5 nm diameter (Petruska and Hodge [1964\)](#page-14-0) pack together in a staggered fashion with an overlap length of 67 nm, the so-called D-period (Hodge and Petruska [1963\)](#page-12-0), providing a banding pattern visible in electron micrographs (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0). A microfibril, with five staggered tropocollagen molecules stabilized by covalent crosslinks, is the crystallographic unit cell and has a diameter of ∼4 nm (Orgel et al. [2006](#page-14-1)). Microfibrils interdigitate together to form collagen fibrils, and fibril diameter distributions vary according to

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

**Fig. 2** Hierarchical structure of tendon from nanometer to centimeter scale with evidencing imaging modalities. Adapted from Baer et al. ([1987\)](#page-10-1)

age, tissue, and anatomical site with a maximum of ∼350 nm (Parry et al. [1978\)](#page-14-2). The arrangement of microfibrils has a slight helicity to the axis of the fibril such that molecule ends appear on the surface of the bundle, allowing growth by accretion (Hulmes [2002\)](#page-13-0). The fibril surface layer may have a different mechanical property to the interior, producing tube-like buckling and kinking behavior (Gutsmann et al. [2003\)](#page-12-1) although an AFM scraping technique suggests a homogeneous rod structure (Wenger et al. [2008](#page-16-0)).

Fibril diameters increase with tissue maturity and the distribution of diameters reflects different tendon functional roles (Goh et al. [2012](#page-12-2)). An effect of fibril diameter distribution on tendon mechanical properties is expected due both to simple mixture theory and also through the specific surface area available for interface interactions with other matrix components (Goh et al. [2008](#page-12-3)), and fibrils of different diameters appear to experience similar in situ strains (Rigozzi et al. [2011\)](#page-14-3). The length of fibrils increases with maturity, such that fibrils are continuous in the fully developed tissue as demonstrated by the lack of ends observed in electron microscope studies (Provenzano and Vanderby [2006](#page-14-4)). Further, theoretical estimates of lengths of the order of 10–100 mm have been made based on cell density and on the dependence of material properties on specimen length (Craig et al. [1989;](#page-11-0) Wang and Ker [1995;](#page-16-1) Legerlotz et al. [2010](#page-13-1)). The arrangement of fibrils is heterogeneous, with grouped bundles, plaits, and longitudinally aligned sections with fibrils crossing between, all observed in tendon (Provenzano and Vanderby [2006\)](#page-14-4), and even interfibril fusion (Starborg et al. [2009\)](#page-15-0). Locally, the major fibril direction is aligned with load bearing (Kannus [2000\)](#page-13-2) with specialization at the enthesis or bone attachment (Benjamin et al. [2006\)](#page-10-2).

Crimp is a microscopic wavy pattern in the collagen fibrils (Franchi et al. [2007](#page-11-1)) (Fig. [3\)](#page-3-0). It has a repeat distance of the order of 0.1 mm (Grytz and Meschke [2009\)](#page-12-4), possibly related to fibril tube buckling (Franchi et al. [2008\)](#page-11-2), which potentially takes place due to the contractile action of tenocytes during fibril formation (Herchenhan et al. [2011](#page-12-5)). Tissue crimp is apparently the result of the alignment of ultrastructural sharp bends or knots of individual fibrils (Franchi et al. [2010](#page-11-3)). This pattern disappears

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Fig. 3** Fibrillar crimp in relaxed and strained tendon, scale bar 1 µm. With permission from Franchi et al. [\(2007](#page-11-1))

from optical microscopy and optical computed tomography under low strain (Franchi et al. [2007](#page-11-1); Hansen et al. [2002](#page-12-6); Boorman et al. [2006](#page-11-4)), and AFM of specimens fixed with glutaraldehyde suggested that fibril kinetics affect properties up to 5 % strain (Rigozzi et al. [2011\)](#page-14-3). Fibrils are grouped together in larger and less well-defined fiber structures, and a bundle of fibers may make up a fascicle, a subunit of a tendon, but there is considerable heterogeneity according to tendon type and site (Kannus [2000\)](#page-13-2).

Proteoglycans are present in tendon (∼1 % dry mass Rumian et al. [2007;](#page-14-5) Koob and Vogel [1987\)](#page-13-3) consisting of a protein core and one or more covalently attached long chain polysaccharides, known as glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) (Yoon and Halper [2005](#page-16-2)). Small proteoglycans with low (*<*4) numbers of GAG chains appear to fulfil functions in controlling collagen fibril assembly and alignment, most prominently the molecule decorin binds to D-period spaced sites on collagen fibril surfaces (Parkinson et al. [2011](#page-14-6)). Genetically, modified knock-out mice, however, show that its absence may be compensated for in different anatomical sites by the action of other molecules during matrix assembly resulting in limited effects on material properties (Robinson et al. [2005\)](#page-14-7). Knock-out of another proteoglycan, lubricin, known for its role in cartilage lubrication, did not change moduli, but significantly reduced the relaxation ratio of tail tendon fascicles (Reuvers et al. [2011](#page-14-8)). Although supposed mechanical interaction between collagen fibrils and large proteoglycans has been observed in electron microscopy of strained tissue (Cribb and Scott [1995\)](#page-11-5), enzymatic removal produced similar strain responses to untreated controls (Lujan et al. [2009;](#page-13-4) Screen et al. [2005;](#page-15-1) Fessel and Snedeker [2011;](#page-11-6) Svensson et al. [2011\)](#page-15-2). However, tissue hydration, which is provided by the hydrophilic nature of the large proteoglycans, has a strong effect on tissue mechanical properties (Screen et al. [2006](#page-15-3)), and stress driven interdiffusion of water between proteoglycan gel and collagen fibrils provides an important mechanism for deformation (Screen et al. [2011\)](#page-15-4). Further, proteoglycan concentration varies within and between tendons according to local loading characteristics (Koob and Vogel [1987;](#page-13-3) Birch [2007](#page-11-7)).

Elastic fibers, composed of an elastin core surrounded by microfibrils, are present in tendon at levels between 0.1  $\%$  and 2  $\%$  dry mass and play a role in the tissue low

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

strain and resilience properties (Kannus [2000](#page-13-2); Vogel [1991\)](#page-15-5). Electron microscopy and immunohistology have shown elastic fibers bridging between collagen fiber bundles with a network structure (Parry and Craig [1978;](#page-14-9) Smith et al. [2011](#page-15-6)) and these are most abundant at sites close to bone attachment (Ritty et al. [2002](#page-14-10)).

The low stress-strain response of tendon is governed by the uncrimping of the collagen fibrils in the "toe" region (Diamant et al. [1972](#page-11-8)), followed by a straightening at molecular level in the "heel", and then, in the linear region, extension of fibrils (Fig. [4\)](#page-4-0) (Fratzl et al. [1998\)](#page-12-7). However, extension of collagen fibrils accounts for only 40 % of the tissue level strain in the linear region (Fratzl et al. [1998\)](#page-12-7), with sliding at fibril and at fiber level observed both in vitro and in vivo apparently accounting for the remaining deformation (Cheng and Screen [2007;](#page-11-9) Snedeker et al. [2009\)](#page-15-7). A model of stiff, high aspect ratio collagen fibrils embedded in a viscous proteoglycan matrix, the "ground substance," has been proposed (Puxkandl et al. [2002](#page-14-11)), with discrete fibrils effectively loaded in series with the matrix. However, as noted previously, fibrils in mature tendon may effectively be continuous along the length of the organ, with minimal requirement or benefit from load transfer across the matrix (Provenzano and Vanderby [2006\)](#page-14-4). *Highly sparse* fibril branching may link all fibrils together in a continuous network (Starborg et al. [2009](#page-15-0)). Pull out tests of individual fibrils from rat tail tendons appear to show bonding to the surrounding tissue at regular D-period intervals (Gutsmann et al. [2004\)](#page-12-8). At higher hierarchical levels, tendon fascicles are apparently able to slide independently of each other under axial load, with negligible lateral force transmission (Haraldsson et al. [2008](#page-12-9)).

The material properties of the tissue at each hierarchical scale are summarized in Table [1](#page-5-0), showing decrease in Young's modulus with increase in scale, likely introducing gripping and size effects (Anssari-Benam et al. [2012](#page-10-3)) as well as the high variability inherent in testing biological material.

The sparse cell population in tendon (cell mass is only 1–3 wt% Jozsa and Kannus [1997\)](#page-13-5) is located in distinct "tracks" between bundles of collagen fibrils with



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 1** Multiscale properties of tendon, from ultrastructural to macroscopic. The quoted values of E, the Young's modulus, refer to the linear section of the stress-strain curve. The asterisked entry reports observed characteristic microfailure lengths rather than modulus

elongated mature cells, or tenocytes, of 20–70 µm length and 8–20 µm width approximately aligned with fibril direction (Kannus [2000\)](#page-13-2). Immature tenocytes, known as tenoblasts, have a higher metabolic activity and are responsible for biosynthesis and secretion of ECM (Kannus [2000\)](#page-13-2). Scleraxis (Schweitzer et al. [2001\)](#page-15-11) is accepted as a genetic marker for the tendon phenotype; however, a recent study found that this gene alone is insufficient to discriminate tenogenic differentiation (Taylor et al. [2009\)](#page-15-12). Tendon cells demonstrate tissue-wide signalling networks via connexins 32 and 43 parallel and perpendicular to fiber direction (McNeilly et al. [1996\)](#page-13-7), which have been demonstrated to be involved in enhancing cell population response to mechanical stimuli (Waggett et al. [2006](#page-15-13); Wall and Banes [2005\)](#page-16-4). Cartilage studies have pointed out knowledge of the pericellular matrix (PCM) as essential for understanding tissue mechanobiology (Guilak et al. [2006](#page-12-14)), but little is known about this region in tendon. Loss of intimate contact between the cell and PCM was identified in tendons following unloaded culture, an effect rescued by inhibition of MMP activity (Arnoczky et al. [2007b](#page-10-4)), and microfibril proteins fibrillin and elastin appear to be co-localized in the pericellular region in tendon and ligament PCM (Smith et al. [2011;](#page-15-6) Ritty et al. [2002](#page-14-10); Grant et al. [2013](#page-12-15)).

## **3 Cell Structure and Biomechanics**

Cells have a dynamic internal structure, the cytoskeleton that mechanically links cell attachments to the extra cellular matrix to important organelles at a distance across the cell (Hu et al. [2003\)](#page-13-8). The cytoskeleton is composed of polymers of actin, tubulin, and vimentin arranged in a network, apparently preloaded, reminiscent of "tensegrity" architectural and sculptural structures (Ingber [1993\)](#page-13-9). Tubulin is observed to buckle with similar wavelengths in cells at rest, under externally applied loads and in areas with high actin concentrations in motile cells, with critical Euler loads of ∼100 pN (Brangwynne et al. [2006](#page-11-11)). Tension applied by optical tweezers to actin fibers appeared to link directly to mechanosensitive ion channels providing an influx of  $Ca^{2+}$  ions into cells (Hayakawa et al. [2008\)](#page-12-16), and use of actin polymerization disruptors such as cytochalasin demonstrate that a number of mechanosensing systems in cultured cells and tissue, including tendon, rely on the actin cytoskeleton for their mediation (Lavagnino et al. [2003;](#page-13-10) Myers et al. [2007;](#page-14-17) Marenzana et al. [2006](#page-13-11); Koob et al. [1992](#page-13-12); Arnoczky et al. [2004;](#page-10-5) Pavalko et al. [1998](#page-14-18)). In tendons, the actin cytoskeleton appears to help preserve cell– cell contact at gap junctions during tissue deformation (Wall et al. [2007a\)](#page-16-5), is ideally placed for transducing tissue mechanical signals, and may provide an active mechanism for tissue recoil (Ralphs et al. [2002\)](#page-14-19). The primary cilium, a hair-like structure known as a mechanotransducer and connected across the cell membrane to the cytoskeleton (Singla and Reiter [2006](#page-15-14)), is present in tendon cells and aligns with the local collagen orientation direction (Donnelly et al. [2010](#page-11-12)). The cell is anchored to the extracellular matrix at focal adhesions, where cell membrane proteins called integrins play a key role in transmitting load to the cytoskeleton (Wall et al. [2007b](#page-16-6)).

Mechanical models of cell behavior fall into two broad categories (Stamenovic [2008\)](#page-15-15): (i) the tensegrity models, accounting for the role of contractile cytoskeleton stress such as in durotaxis, the migration of cells toward stiffer substrates (Lazopoulos and Stamenovic [2008\)](#page-13-13) or their linear stiffening under tensile load (Volokh et al. [2000\)](#page-15-16), and (ii) the soft glass rheology model, accounting for both local and whole cell power law viscoelasticity (Fabry et al. [2001](#page-11-13)). The primary cilium, an organelle composed of tubulin with close association to the cytoskeleton, behaves as a heavy elastica under fluid shear load (Schwartz et al. [1997](#page-14-20)), and computational fluid dynamics confirms that the structure is highly sensitive to small shear stress loading (Chen et al. [2009\)](#page-11-14).

The cytoskeleton is required for the movement of cells and enables them to apply traction to their surroundings and sense and respond to mechanical compliance (Lo et al. [2000](#page-13-14)), as well as bending local collagen fibrils and contracting the extracellular matrix across larger distances (Marenzana et al. [2006;](#page-13-11) Meshel et al. [2005](#page-13-15)). The role of the actin cytoskeleton in maintaining a residual tissue strain under zero external load through cell rearrangement of local collagen crimp has been demonstrated in embryonic periosteum (Foolen et al. [2010\)](#page-11-15), and tendon cells appear capable of introducing crimp through cytoskeletal action on initially straight collagen fibrils in cultured "tendon-like" tissue constructs (Herchenhan et al. [2011](#page-12-5)).

Tendon cells are responsible for the deposition and remodeling of the collagenous extracellular matrix, and serial TEM sections of cultured cells have demonstrated specialized cell membrane extensions known as fibripositors assembling and extruding collagen fibrils (Canty and Kadler [2005\)](#page-11-16).

A population of tendon stem/progenitor cells has been identified in tendon, capable of in vitro expansion and in vivo regeneration of tendon (Bi et al. [2007](#page-11-17)). This population proliferates and increases overall synthesis of extracellular matrix proteins in tendon in response to exercise (Zhang et al. [2010](#page-16-7)).

Tendon cells' in vitro responses to mechanical stimuli have been studied using silicone substrates applying simultaneous substrate deformation and fluid flow (Thompson et al. [2011](#page-15-17)), with low strain magnitude effects promoting anabolic activity, that is secretion of extracellular matrix proteins, and higher magnitudes more catabolic, that is, secretion of enzymes responsible for breaking down extracellular matrix proteins (Yang and Im [2005;](#page-16-8) Archambault et al. [2002](#page-10-6); Yang et al. [2004](#page-16-9)).

#### **4 Mechanical Tissue Models**

Predicting tissue deformation, and hence mechanical stimuli at the cell scale is key to predicting cell, and hence tissue mechanobiological response. With the many different hierarchical structures in tendon, this requires challenging multiscale modeling to link macroscopic tissue deformation to cell response. The many phenomenological models of tendon deformation, based on parameters that have no direct basis in the microstructure of the tissue, are therefore not relevant for this review.

Molecular Dynamics (MD) techniques have successfully represented single collagen molecules and their assembly into a microfibril structure, predicting low and high strain moduli (300 MPa and 1.2 GPa) comparing well with experimental data (Gautieri et al. [2011](#page-12-17)). Such methods are computationally intensive and have not yet been employed for whole fibril simulations.

Models of fibril behavior have focused on representing the effect of crimp on the stress strain behavior of the tissue. Planar elastica theory identified that

smooth crimp shapes of continuous fibrils were consistent with tissue experimental stress strain curves (Buckley et al. [1980\)](#page-11-18). A homogenisation of an elastica model to obtain a continuum strain energy function (Garikipati et al. [2008](#page-12-18)) also fitted tissue property data well up to strains of 20 %, and 3D helical fibril models required more parameters to achieve a similar fit (Grytz and Meschke [2009;](#page-12-4) Freed and Doehring [2005\)](#page-12-19). All these studies neglect other tissue deformation mechanisms operating at strains above 2 % (Fratzl et al. [1998\)](#page-12-7). Hinging fibers with zigzag geometries (Diamant et al. [1972;](#page-11-8) Stouffer et al. [1985](#page-15-18)) appear to reproduce optical microscopy observations more closely as well as the stress strain curve shape. Models representing the sequential recruitment of fibers successfully fit the nonlinear stress strain curve, but leave the mechanisms for recruitment open (Kwan and Woo [1989;](#page-13-16) Frisén et al. [1969\)](#page-12-20).

Theoretical biochemical models of interactions effectively cross-linking collagen fibrils (Scott [2003](#page-15-19)) are included as a central feature of several Finite Element (FE) models (Puxkandl et al. [2002](#page-14-11); Ciarletta et al. [2006\)](#page-11-19). However, extensive empirical evidence cited previously and coupled FE modeling appear to rule out a direct contribution of such cross-links to tensile deformation behavior (Fessel and Snedeker [2011\)](#page-11-6).

One outstanding multiscale model of whole tendon tissue includes both fibril and fibril bundle behavior (Hurschler et al. [1997](#page-13-17)). The alignment of fibrils, embedded in an incompressible matrix supporting no shear stress, and the straightening of fibers (bundles of fibrils) are modeled by probability density functions, alongside stretchbased failure criteria at both fibril and fiber level. The seven parameter model gives a good fit to selected experimental data and illustrates how a homogenized model can provide insight into microstructural deformation. Homogenization methods are also used to predict the tissue's large Poisson ratio (Reese et al. [2010\)](#page-14-21), which is of direct relevance to mechanical signals perceived by cells.

#### **5 Mechanobiology of Tendon**

The sensitivity of tendon in health and disease to its mechanical environment is well documented in animal and human studies. A review of human studies identified that tendon material properties decline with age, an effect which can be mitigated by training, though chronic unloading of tendon caused atrophy as well as loss of material properties (Reeves [2006\)](#page-14-22). Comparison of tendons across anatomical locations suggests that they are adapted for fatigue performance at the stress they most frequently experience during everyday life (Ker et al. [2000](#page-13-18)), so adaptation following training may also target fatigue properties. *Studies* comparing low "stress-in-life" positional tendons with high "stress-in-life" energy storing tendons in horses, however, found higher matrix turnover in the positional than in the more critically loaded energy storing tendons (Birch et al. [2008](#page-11-20); Thorpe et al. [2010\)](#page-15-20). Training, with appropriate rest periods, increases collagen metabolism and boosts anabolic processes that build up proteins and extracellular matrix. Catabolic processes that break down proteins enzymatically are increased also but to a lesser extent, so the balance results in tendon hypertrophy (Magnusson et al. [2010](#page-13-19)). Tissue fatigue damage, with fibrils kinking then

failing, accumulates as a result of cyclic loading, leading surprisingly at low levels to slight tissue stiffening, and then to progressive loss of stiffness (Fung et al. [2009;](#page-12-21) Fung et al. [2010](#page-12-22)). The boundaries between stimulus levels that provoke overall tissue anabolic effects, promoting repair and strengthening and overall catabolic effects, potentially leading to disease, are narrow and their position uncertain (Arnoczky et al. [2007a](#page-10-7)). Tendinopathy, a degenerative disorder of tendon, shows distinct loss of material properties, at the same time as increases in cross sectional area (Arya and Kulig [2010](#page-10-8)), and appears to result from an imbalance in the anabolic and catabolic responses to loading (Magnusson et al. [2010](#page-13-19)).

Computational modeling has been directed at the understanding of the mechanobiological response of bone during development, remodeling and healing for several decades (Carter and Wong [1988;](#page-11-21) Carter et al. [1998;](#page-11-22) Lacroix and Prendergast [2002;](#page-13-20) Isaksson et al. [2006\)](#page-13-21). These models use iterative updates to the tissue distribution in a finite element model driven by local continuum measures of tissue deformation. It is well accepted that such models are able to represent important stages in these biological processes and can assist in the hypothesis driven investigation of novel bone regeneration therapies (Lacroix et al. [2009\)](#page-13-22). Tendon tissue has not featured within these models, but appropriate data both from histological studies of tendon healing under controlled mechanical conditions in animals (Eliasson et al. [2009;](#page-11-23) Virchenko et al. [2008\)](#page-15-21) and from investigations of the mechanical properties of healing tendon in patients (Schepull et al. [2007;](#page-14-23) Brown et al. [2012](#page-11-24)) are available.

Such mechanobiological models might start from the basis of a multiscale finite element representation of cells embedded in a poroelastic, fiber reinforced matrix. Using this model, fluid flow over the cell membrane, rather than cell membrane strain, was identified as the likely stimulus for observed cell down-regulation of matrix degrading enzymes (Lavagnino et al. [2008\)](#page-13-23). This assumption is strengthened by recent observations showing that tendon cell cilia deflect in response to tissue load-ing (Lavagnino et al. [2011](#page-13-24)). However, a role for strain-based cell—tissue interaction clearly must be allowed in such models, with cell contractile behaviour important in setting up crimping in collagen fibrils (Herchenhan et al. [2011](#page-12-5)). Computational mechanobiological models have successfully predicted changes in collagen orientation in response to mechanical signals in blood vessels (Hariton et al. [2007](#page-12-23)). In bone healing, multiscale modeling is able to take into account patterns of cell differentiation in response to local fluid flow, and hence predict mineralization patterns in response to different levels of mechanical stimulation (Vetter et al. [2012](#page-15-22)). Multiscale modeling of fibroblasts and growth factor diffusion through extracellular matrix proteins at the site of an injury, has provided a prediction tool for scar tissue organization (McDougall et al. [2006\)](#page-13-25). A predictive model of tendon healing would combine these features and enable for example prediction of the major factors affecting time to healing following an Achilles rupture.

## **6 Future Modeling Developments**

In order to realize the enormous potential of mathematical modelling to contribute to the design and development of tissue engineering and regenerative approaches for tendon progress toward the following three linked challenges to be made:

- (i) Models for cell anabolic and catabolic processes, especially of collagen, are required, predicting quantities and orientation of the fibrils secreted, as well as quantities of matrix proteinases. This modeling needs to proceed in parallel with experimental observation of these processes.
- (ii) Micromechanical models of the cell local environment are required that include the specialized pericellular and local microstructure of the extracellular matrix. These should be capable of predicting shear stresses at the cell membrane due both to interstitial flow, but also due to the extensive relative sliding of the fibrils to which individual cells are attached. Incorporation of coupled models of cell response will enable prediction of ECM changes due to mechanical stimulation.
- (iii) Finally the challenge of linking micromechanical models to macroscopic deformation of particular tendons must be addressed. This requires resolution of several outstanding questions on the organization of ECM in tendon, including the length and connectivity of fibrils and the role of glycosaminoglycans. Further, the macroscopic geometry of the tendon needs to be captured and the effects of nonhomogeneities, including varying water content and concentrations of ECM proteins should be included.

Mathematical models, informing and informed by experimental models, will form a vital part of the approach to these challenges.

<span id="page-10-3"></span><span id="page-10-0"></span>**Acknowledgements** The support of the NIHR Musculoskeletal Biomedical Research Unit and the Rosestrees Trust is gratefully acknowledged. I would like to thank the members of the Oxford Mechanobiology Group for helpful discussions.

# <span id="page-10-6"></span><span id="page-10-5"></span>**References**

- Amiel, D., Frank, C., Harwood, F., Fronek, J., & Akeson, W. (1984). Tendons and ligaments: a morphological and biochemical comparison. *J. Orthop. Res.*, *1*, 257–265.
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>Anssari-Benam, A., Legerlotz, K., Bader, D. L., & Screen, H. R. (2012). On the specimen length dependency of tensile mechanical properties in soft tissues: gripping effects and the characteristic decay length. *J. Biomech.*, *45*(14), 2481–2482.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Archambault, J., Elfervig-Wall, M. K., Tsuzaki, M., Herzog, W., & Banes, A. J. (2002). Rabbit tendon cells produce MMP-3 in response to fluid flow without significant calcium transients. *J. Biomech.*, *35*, 303–309.
- <span id="page-10-8"></span><span id="page-10-1"></span>Arnoczky, S. P., Tian, T., & Lavagnino, M. (2004). Gardner, K. Ex vivo static tensile loading inhibits MMP-1 expression in rat tail tendon cells through a cytoskeletally based mechanotransduction mechanism. *J. Orthop. Res.*, *22*, 328–333.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>Arnoczky, S. P., Lavagnino, M., & Egerbacher, M. (2007a). The mechanobiological aetiopathogenesis of tendinopathy: is it the over-stimulation or the under-stimulation of tendon cells? *Int. J. Exp. Pathol.*, *88*, 217–226.
- Arnoczky, S. P., Lavagnino, M., Egerbacher, M., Caballero, O., & Gardner, K. (2007b). Matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors prevent a decrease in the mechanical properties of stress-deprived tendons: an in vitro experimental study. *Am. J. Sports Med.*, *35*, 763–769.
- Arya, S., & Kulig, K. (2010). Tendinopathy alters mechanical and material properties of the Achilles tendon. *J. Appl. Physiol.*, *108*(3), 670–675.
- Baer, E., Hiltner, A., & Keith, H. D. (1987). Hierarchical structure in polymeric materials. *Science*, *235*, 1015–1022.
- Benjamin, M., Toumi, H., Ralphs, J. R., Bydder, G., Best, T. M., & Milz, S. (2006). Where tendons and ligaments meet bone: attachment sites ('entheses') in relation to exercise and/or mechanical load. *J. Anat.*, *208*, 471–490.
- <span id="page-11-20"></span><span id="page-11-17"></span><span id="page-11-11"></span><span id="page-11-7"></span><span id="page-11-4"></span>Bi, Y., Ehirchio, D., Kilts, T. M., Inkson, C. A., Embree, M. C., Sonoyama, W., Li, L., Leet, A. I., Seo, B.-M., Zhang, L., Shi, S., & Young, M. F. (2007). Identification of tendon stem/progenitor cells and the role of the extracellular matrix in their niche. *Nat. Med.*, *13*, 1219–1227.
- <span id="page-11-24"></span>Birch, H. L. (2007). Tendon matrix composition and turnover in relation to functional requirements. *Int. J. Exp. Pathol.*, *88*, 241–248.
- Birch, H. L., Worboys, S., Eissa, S., Jackson, B., Strassburg, S., & Clegg, P. D. (2008). Matrix metabolism rate differs in functionally distinct tendons. *Matrix Biology*, *27*(3), 182–189.
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>Boorman, R. S., Norman, T., Matsen, F. A. III, & Clark, J. M. (2006). Using a freeze substitution fixation technique and histological crimp analysis for characterizing regions of strain in ligaments loaded in situ. *J. Orthop. Res.*, *24*, 793–799.
- <span id="page-11-21"></span><span id="page-11-16"></span>Brangwynne, C. P., MacKintosh, F. C., Kumar, S., Geisse, N. A., Talbot, J., Mahadevan, L., Parker, K. K., Ingber, D. E., & Weitz, D. A. (2006). Microtubules can bear enhanced compressive loads in living cells because of lateral reinforcement. *J. Cell Biol.*, *173*, 733–741.
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>Brown, P., Alsousou, J., Thompson, M. S., & Noble, J. A. (2012). Controlled motion strain measurement using lateral speckle tracking in Achilles tendons during healing. In *9th IEEE international symposium on biomedical imaging (ISBI)* (pp. 1104–1107).
- <span id="page-11-14"></span><span id="page-11-9"></span>Buckley, C. P., Lloyd, D. W., & Konopasek, M. (1980). On the deformation of slender filaments with planar crimp: theory, numerical solution and applications to tendon collagen and textile materials. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. A, Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, *372*, 33–64.
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>Canty, E. G., & Kadler, K. E. (2005). Procollagen trafficking, processing and fibrillogenesis. *J. Cell Sci.*, *118*, 1341–1353. Pt 7.
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Carter, D. R., & Wong, M. (1988). The role of mechanical loading histories in the development of diarthrodial joints. *J. Orthop. Res.*, *6*(6), 804–816.
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Carter, D. R., Beaupre, G. S., Giori, N. J., & Helms, J. A. (1998). Mechanobiology of skeletal regeneration. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.*, *355S*, S41–S55.
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Chen, D., Norris, D., & Ventikos, Y. (2009). The active and passive ciliary motion in the embryo node: a computational fluid dynamics model. *J. Biomech.*, *42*, 210–216.
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>Cheng, V. W. T., & Screen, H. R. C. (2007). The micro-structural strain response of tendon. *J. Mater. Sci.*, *42*, 8957–8965.
- Ciarletta, P., Micera, S., Accoto, D., & Dario, P. (2006). A novel microstructural approach in tendon viscoelastic modelling at the fibrillar level. *J. Biomech.*, *39*, 2034–2042.
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>Craig, A. S., Birtles, M. J., Conway, J. F., & Parry, D. A. D. (1989). An estimate of the mean length of collagen fibrils in rat tail-tendon as a function of age. *Connect. Tissue Res.*, *19*, 51–62.
- <span id="page-11-23"></span>Cribb, A. M., & Scott, J. E. (1995). Tendon response to tensile stress: an ultrastructural investigation of collagen:proteoglycan interactions in stressed tendon. *J. Anat.*, *187*, 423–428.
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>Cusack, S., & Miller, A. (1979). Determination of the elastic constants of collagen by Brillouin light scattering. *J. Mol. Biol.*, *135*, 39–51.
- <span id="page-11-15"></span><span id="page-11-6"></span>Diamant, J., Keller, A., Baer, E., Litt, M., & Arridge, R. G. C. (1972). Collagen ultrastructure and its relation to mechanical properties as a function of ageing. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B, Biol. Sci.*, *180*, 293–315.
- Donnelly, E., Ascenzi, M.-G., & Farnum, C. (2010). Primary cilia are highly oriented with respect to collagen direction and long axis of extensor tendon. *J. Orthop. Res.*, *28*, 77–82.
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Eliasson, P., Andersson, T., & Aspenberg, P. (2009). Rat Achilles tendon healing: mechanical loading and gene expression. *J. Appl. Physiol.*, *107*, 399–407.
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Fabry, B., Maksym, G. N., Butler, J. P., Glogauer, M., Navajas, D., & Fredberg, J. J. (2001). Scaling the microrheology of living cells. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, *87*(14), 148102.
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Fessel, G., & Snedeker, J. G. (2011). Equivalent stiffness after glycosaminoglycan depletion in tendon—an ultra-structural finite element model and corresponding experiments. *J. Theor. Biol.*, *268*(1), 77–83.
- Foolen, J., van Donkelaar, C. C., Soekhradj-Soechit, S., & Ito, K. (2010). European Society of Biomechanics S.M. Perren Award 2010: an adaptation mechanism for fibrous tissue to sustained shortening. *J. Biomech.*, *43*(16), 3168–3176.
- Franchi, M., Fini, M., Quaranta, M., De Pasquale, V., Raspanti, M., Giavaresi, G., Ottani, V., & Ruggeri, A. (2007). Crimp morphology in relaxed and stretched rat Achilles tendon. *J. Anat.*, *210*, 1–7.
- Franchi, M., Raspanti, M., Dell'Orbo, C., Quaranta, M., De Pasquale, V., Ottani, V., & Ruggeri, A. (2008). Different crimp patterns in collagen fibrils relate to the subfibrillar arrangement. *Connect. Tissue Res.*, *49*, 85–91.
- Franchi, M., Ottani, V., Stagni, R., & Ruggeri, A. (2010). Tendon and ligament fibrillar crimps give rise to left-handed helices of collagen fibrils in both planar and helical crimps. *J. Anat.*, *216*, 301–309.
- <span id="page-12-22"></span><span id="page-12-21"></span><span id="page-12-20"></span><span id="page-12-19"></span><span id="page-12-18"></span><span id="page-12-7"></span>Fratzl, P., Misof, K., Zizak, I., Rapp, G., Amenitsch, H., & Bernstorff, S. (1998). Fibrillar structure and mechanical properties of collagen. *J. Struct. Biol.*, *122*, 119–122.
- Freed, A. D., & Doehring, T. C. (2005). Elastic model for crimped collagen fibrils. *J. Biomech. Eng.*, *127*, 587–593.
- <span id="page-12-17"></span><span id="page-12-3"></span>Frisén, M., Mägi, M., Sonnerup, L., & Viidik, A. (1969). Rheological analysis of soft collagenous tissue Part I: Theoretical considerations. *J. Biomech.*, *2*, 13–20.
- Fung, D. T., Wang, V. M., Laudier, D. M., Shine, J. H., Basta-Pljakic, J., Jepsen, K. J., Schaffler, M. B., & Flatow, E. L. (2009). Subrupture tendon fatigue damage. *J. Orthop. Res.*, *27*, 264–273.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Fung, D. T., Wang, V. M., Andarawis-Puri, N., Basta-Pljakic, J., Li, Y., Laudier, D. M., Sun, H. B., Jepsen, K. J., Schaffler, M. B., & Flatow, E. L. (2010). Early response to tendon fatigue damage accumulation in a novel in vivo model. *J. Biomech.*, *43*(2), 274–279.
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>Garikipati, K., Göktepe, S., & Miehe, C. (2008). Elastica-based strain energy functions for soft biological tissue. *J. Mech. Phys. Solids*, *56*, 1693–1713.
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Gautieri, A., Vesentini, S., Redaelli, A., & Buehler, M. J. (2011). Hierarchical structure and nanomechanics of collagen microfibrils from the atomistic scale up. *Nano Lett.*, *11*(2), 757–766.
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>Goh, K. L., Holmes, D. F., Lu, H.-Y., Richardson, S., Kadler, K. E., Purslow, P. P., & Wess, T. J. (2008). Ageing changes in the tensile properties of tendons: influence of collagen fibril volume fraction. *J. Biomech. Eng.*, *130*, 021011.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Goh, K. L., Holmes, D., Lu, Y., Purslow, P. P., Bechet, D., Kadler, K., & Wess, T. (2012). Bimodal collagen fibril diameter distributions direct age-related variations in tendon resilience and resistance to rupture. *J. Appl. Physiol.*
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Grant, T. A., Thompson, M. S., Urban, J., & Yu, J. (2013, in press). Organization of elastic fibres in tendon shows a close association with tenocytes. *J. Anat.* doi:[10.1111/joa.12048](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/joa.12048)
- Grytz, R., & Meschke, G. (2009). Constitutive modelling of crimped collagen fibrils in soft tissues. *J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater.*, *2*, 522–533.
- <span id="page-12-11"></span><span id="page-12-6"></span>Guilak, F., Alexopoulos, L. G., Upton, M. L., Youn, I., Choi, J. B., Cao, L., Setton, L. A., & Haider, M. A. (2006). The pericellular matrix as a transducer of biomechanical and biochemical signals in articular cartilage. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.*, *1068*, 498–512.
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>Gutsmann, T., Fantner, G. E., Venturoni, M., Ekani-Nkodo, A., Thompson, J. B., Kindt, J. H., Morse, D. E., Fygenson, D. K., & Hansma, P. K. (2003). Evidence that collagen fibrils in tendons are inhomogeneously structured in a tubelike manner. *Biophys. J.*, *84*(4), 2593–2598.
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>Gutsmann, T., Fantner, G. E., Kindt, J. H., Venturoni, M., Danielsen, S., & Hansma, P. K. (2004). Force spectroscopy of collagen fibers to investigate their mechanical properties and structural organization. *Biophys. J.*, *86*(5), 3186–3193.
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Hansen, K. A., Weiss, J. A., & Barton, J. K. (2002). Recruitment of tendon crimp with applied strain. *J. Biomech. Eng.*, *124*, 72–77.
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>Hansen, P., Hassenkam, T., Svensson, R. B., Aagaard, P., Trappe, T., Haraldsson, B. T., Kjaer, M., & Magnusson, M. (2009). Glutaraldehyde cross-linking of tendon—mechanical effects at the level of the tendon fascicle and fibril. *Connect. Tissue Res.*, *50*, 211–222.
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>Haraldsson, B. T., Aagaard, P., Krogsgaard, M., Alkjaer, T., Kjaer, M., & Magnusson, S. P. (2005). Regionspecific mechanical properties of the human patella tendon. *J. Appl. Physiol.*, *98*, 1006–1012.
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>Haraldsson, B. T., Aagaard, P., Qvortrup, K., Bojsen-Moller, J., Krogsgaard, M., Koskinen, S., Kjaer, M., & Magnusson, S. P. (2008). Lateral force transmission between human tendon fascicles. *Matrix Biology*, *27*, 86–95.
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Haraldsson, B. T., Aagaard, P., Crafoord-Larsen, D., Kjaer, M., & Magnusson, S. P. (2009). Corticosteroid administration alters the mechanical properties of isolated collagen fascicles in rat-tail tendon. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports*, *19*, 621–626.
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Hariton, I., de Botton, G., Gasser, T. C., & Holzapfel, G. A. (2007). Stress-driven collagen fiber remodeling in arterial walls. *Biomech. Model. Mechanobiol.*, *6*(3), 163–175.
- Harley, R., James, D., Miller, A., & White, J. W. (1977). Phonons and the elastic moduli of collagen and muscle. *Nature*, *267*, 284–287.
- Hayakawa, K., Tatsumi, H., & Sokabe, M. (2008). Actin stress fibers transmit and focus force to activate mechanosensitive channels. *J. Cell Sci.*, *121*, 496–503.
- Herchenhan, A., Kalson, N. S., Holmes, D. F., Hill, P., Kadler, K. E., & Margetts, L. (2011). Tenocyte contraction induces crimp formation in tendon-like tissue. *Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology*.
- Hodge, A. J., & Petruska, J. A. (1963). Recent studies with the electron microscope on ordered aggregates of the tropocollagen molecule. In G. N. Ramachandran (Ed.), *Aspects of protein structure* (pp. 289– 300). London: Academic Press.
- <span id="page-13-21"></span><span id="page-13-17"></span><span id="page-13-9"></span><span id="page-13-8"></span><span id="page-13-0"></span>Hu, S., Chen, J., Fabry, B., Numaguchi, Y., Gouldstone, A., Ingber, D. E., Fredberg, J. J., Butler, J. P., & Wang, N. (2003). Intracellular stress tomography reveals stress focusing and structural anisotropy in cytoskeleton of living cells. *Am. J. Physiol., Cell Physiol.*, *285*, C1082–C1090.
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Hulmes, D. J. S. (2002). Building collagen molecules, fibrils, and suprafibrillar structures. *J. Struct. Biol.*, *137*, 2–10.
- <span id="page-13-18"></span><span id="page-13-2"></span>Hurschler, C., Loitz-Ramage, B., & Vanderby, R. (1997). A structurally based stress-stretch relationship for tendon and ligament. *J. Biomech. Eng.*, *119*, 392–399.
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Ingber, D. E. (1993). Cellular tensegrity: defining new rules of biological design that govern the cytoskeleton. *J. Cell Sci.*, *104*(613), 627.
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Isaksson, H., Wilson, W., van Donkelaar, C. C., Huiskes, R., & Ito, K. (2006). Comparison of biophysical stimuli for mechano-regulation of tissue differentiation during fracture healing. *J. Biomech.*, *39*, 1507–1516.
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>Jozsa, L., & Kannus, P. (1997). *Part III: tendon injuries. Human tendons: anatomy, physiology and pathology*. Champaign: Human Kinetics.
- Kannus, P. (2000). Structure of the tendon connective tissue. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports*, *10*, 312–320.
- <span id="page-13-20"></span><span id="page-13-16"></span>Ker, R. F., Wang, X. T., & Pike, A. V. (2000). Fatigue quality of mammalian tendons. *J. Exp. Biol.*, *203*, 1317–1327.
- Komolafe, O. A., & Doehring, T. C. (2010). Fascicle-scale loading and failure behavior of the Achilles tendon. *J. Biomech. Eng.*, *132*(2), 021004.
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>Koob, T. J., & Vogel, K. G. (1987). Site-related variations in glycosaminoglycan content and swelling properties of bovine flexor tendon. *J. Orthop. Res.*, *5*, 414–424.
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Koob, T. J., Clark, P. E., Hernandez, D. J., Thurmond, F. A., & Vogel, K. G. (1992). Compression loading in vitro regulates proteoglycan synthesis by tendon fibrocartilage. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, *298*, 303–312.
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>Kwan, M. K., & Woo, S. L.-Y. (1989). A structural model to describe the nonlinear stress-strain behavior for parallel-fibred collagenous tissues. *J. Biomech. Eng.*, *111*, 361–363.
- Lacroix, D., & Prendergast, P. J. (2002). A mechano-regulation model for tissue differentiation during fracture healing: analysis of gap size and loading. *J. Biomech.*, *35*, 1163–1171.
- <span id="page-13-24"></span><span id="page-13-13"></span>Lacroix, D., Planell, J. A., & Prendergast, P. J. (2009). Computer-aided design and finite-element modelling of biomaterial scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A, Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, *367*(1895), 1993–2009.
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Lavagnino, M., Arnoczky, S. P., Tian, T., & Vaupel, Z. (2003). Effect of amplitude and frequency of cyclic tensile strain on the inhibition of MMP-1 mRNA expression in tendon cells: an in vitro study. *Connect. Tissue Res.*, *44*(3–4), 181–187.
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>Lavagnino, M., Arnoczky, S. P., Kepich, E., Caballero, O., & Haut, R. C. (2008). A finite element model predicts the mechanotransduction response of tendon cells to cyclic tensile loading. *Biomech. Model. Mechanobiol.*, *7*, 405–416.
- <span id="page-13-19"></span><span id="page-13-4"></span>Lavagnino, M., Arnoczky, S. P., & Gardner, K. (2011). In situ deflection of tendon cell-cilia in response to tensile loading: an in vitro study. *J. Orthop. Res.*, *29*(6), 925–930.
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>Lazopoulos, K. A., & Stamenovic, D. (2008). Durotaxis as an elastic stability phenomenon. *J. Biomech.*, *41*, 1289–1294.
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>Legerlotz, K., Riley, G. P., & Screen, H. R. (2010). Specimen dimensions influence the measurement of material properties in tendon fascicles. *J. Biomech.*, *43*(12), 2274–2280.
- Lo, C.-M., Wang, H.-B., Dembo, M., & Wang, Y.-L. (2000). Cell movement is guided by the rigidity of the substrate. *Biophys. J.*, *79*, 144–152.
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Lujan, T. J., Underwood, C. J., Jacobs, N. T., & Weiss, J. A. (2009). Contribution of glycosaminoglycans to viscoelastic tensile behaviour of human ligaments. *J. Appl. Physiol.*, *106*, 423–431.
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>Magnusson, S. P., Langberg, H., & Kjaer, M. (2010). The pathogenesis of tendinopathy: balancing the response to loading. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.*, *6*(5), 262–268.
- Marenzana, M., Wilson-Jones, N., Mudera, V., & Brown, R. A. (2006). The origins and regulation of tissue tension: Identification of collagen tension-fixation process in vitro. *Exp. Cell Res.*, *312*, 423–433.
- McDougall, S., Dallon, J., Sheratt, J., & Maini, P. (2006). Fibroblast migration and collagen deposition during dermal wound healing: mathematical modelling and clinical implications. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A, Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, *364*, 1385–1405.
- McNeilly, C. M., Banes, A. J., Benjamin, M., & Ralphs, J. R. (1996). Tendon cells in vivo form a three dimensional network of cell processes linked by gap junctions. *J. Anat.*, *189*, 593–600.
- Meshel, A. S., Wei, Q., Adelstein, R. S., & Sheetz, M. P. (2005). Basic mechanism of three-dimensional collagen fibre transport by fibroblasts. *Nat. Cell Biol.*, *7*, 157–164.
- <span id="page-14-17"></span><span id="page-14-15"></span><span id="page-14-14"></span><span id="page-14-9"></span><span id="page-14-6"></span><span id="page-14-1"></span>Myers, K. A., Rattner, J. B., Shrive, N. G., & Hart, D. A. (2007). Osteoblast-like cells and fluid flow: Cytoskeleton-dependent shear sensitivity. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, *364*, 214–219.
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>O'Brien, T. D., Reeves, N. D., Baltzopoulos, V., Jones, D. A., & Maganaris, C. N. (2010). Mechanical properties of the patellar tendon in adults and children. *J. Biomech.*, *43*, 1190–1195.
- Onambélé, G. N. L., Burgess, K., & Pearson, S. J. (2007, in press). Gender-specific in vivo measurement of the structural and mechanical properties of the human patellar tendon. *J. Orthop. Res.*
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>Orgel, J. P., Irving, T. C., Miller, A., & Wess, T. J. (2006). Microfibrillar structure of type I collagen in situ. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, *103*, 9001–9005.
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>Parkinson, J., Samiric, T., Ilic, M. Z., Cook, J., & Handley, C. J. (2011). Involvement of proteoglycans in tendinopathy. *J. Musculoskelet. Neuronal Interact.*, *11*(2), 86–93.
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>Parry, D. A., & Craig, A. S. (1978). Collagen fibrils and elastic fibers in rat-tail tendon: an electron microscopic investigation. *Biopolymers*, *17*(4), 843–845.
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>Parry, D. A. D., Barnes, G. R. G., & Craig, A. S. (1978). A comparison of the size distribution of collagen fibrils in connective tissues as a function of age and a possible relation between fibril size distribution and mechanical properties. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B, Biol. Sci.*, *203*, 305–321.
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>Pavalko, F. M., Chen, N. X., Turner, C. H., Burr, D. B., Atkinson, S., Hsieh, Y.-F., Qiu, J., & Duncan, R. L. (1998). Fluid shear-induced mechanical signaling in MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts requires cytoskeletonintegrin interactions. *Am. J. Physiol., Cell Physiol.*, *275*, 1591–1601.
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>Petruska, J. A., & Hodge, A. J. (1964). A subunit model for the tropocollagen macromolecule. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, *51*, 871–876.
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>Provenzano, P. P., & Vanderby, R. (2006). Collagen fibril morphology and organization: implications for force transmission in ligament and tendon. *Matrix Biology*, *25*, 71–84.
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>Puxkandl, R., Zizak, I., Paris, O., Keckes, J., Tesch, W., Bernstorff, S., Purslow, P., & Fratzl, P. (2002). Viscoelastic properties of collagen: synchrotron radiation investigations and structural model. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B, Biol. Sci.*, *357*, 191–197.
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>Ralphs, J. R., Waggett, A. D., & Benjamin, M. (2002). Actin stress fibres and cell-cell adhesion molecules in tendons: organisation in vivo and response to mechanical loading of tendon cells in vitro. *Matrix Biology*, *21*, 67–74.
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>Reese, S. P., Maas, S. A., & Weiss, J. A. (2010). Micromechanical models of helical superstructures in ligament and tendon fibers predict large Poisson's ratios. *J. Biomech.*, *43*(7), 1394–1400.
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>Reeves, N. D. (2006). Adaptation of the tendon to mechanical usage. *J. Musculoskelet. Neuronal Interact.*, *6*, 174–180.
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>Reeves, N. D., Maganaris, C. N., Ferretti, G., & Narici, M. V. (2005). Influence of 90-day simulated microgravity on human tendon mechanical properties and the effect of resistive countermeasures. *J. Appl. Physiol.*, *98*(6), 2278–2286.
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>Reuvers, J., Thoreson, A. R., Zhao, C., Zhang, L., Jay, G. D., An, K. N., Warman, M. L., & Amadio, P. C. (2011). The mechanical properties of tail tendon fascicles from lubricin knockout, wild type and heterozygous mice. *J. Struct. Biol.*, *176*(1), 41–45.
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>Rigozzi, S., Stemmer, A., Muller, R., & Snedeker, J. G. (2011). Mechanical response of individual collagen fibrils in loaded tendon as measured by atomic force microscopy. *J. Struct. Biol.*, *176*(1), 9–15.
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>Ritty, T. M., Ditsios, K., & Starcher, B. C. (2002). Distribution of the elastic fiber and associated proteins in flexor tendon reflects function. *Anat. Rec.*, *268*, 430–440.
- <span id="page-14-23"></span>Robinson, P. S., Huang, T. F., Kazam, E., Iozzo, R. V., Birk, D. E., & Soslowsky, L. J. (2005). Influence of decorin and biglycan on mechanical properties of multiple tendons in knockout mice. *J. Biomech. Eng.*, *127*, 181–185.
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>Rumian, A. P., Wallace, A. L., & Birch, H. L. (2007). Tendons and ligaments are anatomically distinct but overlap in molecular and morphological features—a comparative study in an ovine model. *J. Orthop. Res.*, *25*, 458–464.
- Sasaki, N., & Odajima, S. (1996a). Stress-strain curve and Young's modulus of a collagen molecule as determined by the X-ray diffraction technique. *J. Biomech.*, *29*, 655–658.
- Sasaki, N., & Odajima, S. (1996b). Elongation mechanism of collagen fibrils and force-strain relations of tendon at each level of structural hierarchy. *J. Biomech.*, *29*, 1131–1136.
- Schepull, T., Kvist, J., Andersson, C., & Aspenberg, P. (2007). Mechanical properties during healing of Achilles tendon ruptures to predict final outcome: a pilot Roentgen stereophotogrammetric analysis in 10 patients. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.*, *8*, 116.
- Schwartz, E. A., Leonard, M. L., Bizios, R., & Bowser, S. S. (1997). Analysis and modelling of the primary cilium bending response to fluid shear. *Am. J. Physiol., Ren. Fluid Electrolyte Physiol.*, *41*, F132–F138.
- <span id="page-15-19"></span><span id="page-15-11"></span><span id="page-15-4"></span><span id="page-15-3"></span><span id="page-15-1"></span>Schweitzer, R., Chyung, J. H., Murtaugh, L. C., Brent, A. E., Rosen, V., Olson, E. N., Lassar, A., & Tabin, C. J. (2001). Analysis of the tendon cell fate using Scleraxis, a specific marker for tendons and ligaments. *Development*, *128*(19), 3855–3866.
- Scott, J. E. (2003). Elasticity in extracellular matrix 'shape modules' of tendon, cartilage etc. A sliding proteoglycan-filament model. *J. Physiol.*, *553*, 335–343.
- <span id="page-15-14"></span><span id="page-15-6"></span>Screen, H. R. C., Shelton, J. C., Chhaya, V. H., Kayser, M. V., Bader, D. L., & Lee, D. A. (2005). The influence of noncollagenous matrix components on the micromechanical environment of tendon fascicles. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.*, *33*, 1090–1099.
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>Screen, H. R. C., Chhaya, V. H., Greenwald, S. E., Bader, D. L., Lee, D. A., & Shelton, J. C. (2006). The influence of swelling and matrix degradation on the microstructural integrity of tendon. *Acta Biomater.*, *2*, 505–513.
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>Screen, H. R., Seto, J., Krauss, S., Boesecke, P., & Gupta, H. S. (2011). Extrafibrillar diffusion and intrafibrillar swelling at the nanoscale are associated with stress relaxation in the soft collagenous matrix tissue of tendons. *Soft Matter*, *7*, 11243.
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Singla, V., & Reiter, J. F. (2006). The primary cilium as the cell's antenna: signaling at a sensory organelle. *Science*, *313*, 629–633.
- <span id="page-15-18"></span><span id="page-15-8"></span>Smith, K. D., Vaughan-Thomas, A., Spiller, D. G., Innes, J. F., Clegg, P. D., & Comerford, E. J. (2011). The organisation of elastin and fibrillins 1 and 2 in the cruciate ligament complex. *J. Anat.*, *218*(6), 600–607.
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>Snedeker, J. G., Pelled, G., Zilberman, Y., Ben Arav, A., Huber, E., Müller, R., & Gazit, D. (2009). An analytical model for elucidating tendon tissue structure and biomechanical function from in vivo cellular confocal microscopy images. *Cells Tissues Organs*, *190*, 111–119.
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>Stamenovic, D. (2008). Rheological behavior of mammalian cells. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.*, *65*(22), 3592–3605.
- Starborg, T., Lu, Y., Huffman, A., Holmes, D. F., & Kadler, K. E. (2009). Electron microscope 3D reconstruction of branched collagen fibrils in vivo. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports*, *19*, 547–552.
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>Stouffer, D. C., Butler, D. L., & Hosny, D. (1985). The relationship between crimp pattern and mechanical response of human patellar tendon-bone units. *J. Biomech. Eng.*, *107*, 158–165.
- Sun, Y.-L., Luo, Z.-P., Fertala, A., & An, K.-N. (2002). Direct quantification of the flexibility of type I collagen monomer. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, *295*, 382–386.
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>Svensson, R. B., Hassenkam, T., Hansen, P., Kjaer, M., & Magnusson, S. P. (2011). Tensile force transmission in human patellar tendon fascicles is not mediated by glycosaminoglycans. *Connect. Tissue Res.*, *52*(5), 415–421.
- <span id="page-15-20"></span>Svensson, R. B., Hansen, P., Hassenkam, T., Haraldsson, B. T., Aagaard, P., Kovanen, V., Krogsgaard, M., Kjaer, M., & Magnusson, S. P. (2012). Mechanical properties of human patellar tendon at the hierarchical levels of tendon and fibril. *J. Appl. Physiol.*, *112*(3), 419–426.
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>Taylor, S. E., Vaughan-Thomas, A., Clements, D. N., Pinchbeck, G., Macrory, L. C., Smith, R. K., & Clegg, P. D. (2009). Gene expression markers of tendon fibroblasts in normal and diseased tissue compared to monolayer and three dimensional culture systems. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.*, *10*, 27.
- <span id="page-15-22"></span><span id="page-15-21"></span>Thompson, M. S., Abercrombie, S. R., Ott, C. E., Bieler, F. H., Duda, G. N., & Ventikos, Y. (2011). Quantification and significance of fluid shear stress field in biaxial cell stretching device. *Biomech. Model. Mechanobiol.*, *10*, 559–564.
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>Thorpe, C. T., Streeter, I., Pinchbeck, G. L., Goodship, A. E., Clegg, P. D., & Birch, H. L. (2010). Aspartic acid racemization and collagen degradation markers reveal an accumulation of damage in tendon collagen that is enhanced with aging. *J. Biol. Chem.*, *285*(21), 15674–15681.
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>van der Rijt, J. A., van der Werf, K. O., Bennink, M. L., Dijkstra, P. J., & Feijen, J. (2006). Micromechanical testing of individual collagen fibrils. *Macromol. Biosci.*, *6*, 697–702.
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>Vetter, A., Witt, F., Sander, O., Duda, G. N., & Weinkamer, R. (2012). The spatio-temporal arrangement of different tissues during bone healing as a result of simple mechanobiological rules. *Biomech. Model. Mechanobiol.*, *11*(1–2), 147–160.
- Virchenko, O., Fahlgren, A., Rundgren, M., & Aspenberg, P. (2008). Early Achilles tendon healing in sheep. *Arch. Orthop. Trauma Surg.*, *128*, 1001–1006.
- Vogel, H. G. (1991). Species differences of elastic and collagenous tissue—influence of maturation and age. *Mech. Ageing Dev.*, *57*(1), 15–24.
- Volokh, K. Y., Vilnay, O., & Belsky, M. (2000). Tensegrity architecture explains linear stiffening and predicts softening of living cells. *J. Biomech.*, *33*, 1543–1549.
- Waggett, A. D., Benjamin, M., & Ralphs, J. R. (2006), Connexin 32 and 43 gap junctions differentially modulate tenocyte response to cyclic mechanical load. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.*, *85*, 1145–1154.
- <span id="page-16-6"></span><span id="page-16-5"></span><span id="page-16-4"></span><span id="page-16-3"></span><span id="page-16-1"></span><span id="page-16-0"></span>Wall, M. E., & Banes, A. J. (2005). Early responses to mechanical load in tendon: role for calcium signalling, gap junctions and intercellular communication. *J. Musculoskelet. Neuronal Interact.*, *5*, 70– 84.
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>Wall, M. E., Otey, C., Qi, J., & Banes, A. J. (2007a). Connexin 43 is localized with actin in tenocytes. *Cell Motil. Cytoskelet.*, *64*(2), 121–130.
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>Wall, M. E., Weinhold, P. S., Siu, T., Brown, T. D., & Banes, A. J. (2007b). Comparison of cellular strain with applied substrate strain in vitro. *J. Biomech.*, *40*, 173–181.
- Wang, X. T., & Ker, R. F. (1995). Creep rupture of wallaby tail tendons. *J. Exp. Biol.*, *198*, 831–845.
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>Wenger, M. P. E., Bozec, L., Horton, M. A., & Mesquida, P. (2007). Mechanical properties of collagen fibrils. *Biophys. J.*, *93*, 1255–1263.
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>Wenger, M. P., Horton, M. A., & Mesquida, P. (2008). Nanoscale scraping and dissection of collagen fibrils. *Nanotechnology*, *19*, 384006.
- Yang, G., & Im, H. J. (2005). Wang, J.H. Repetitive mechanical stretching modulates IL-1beta induced COX-2, MMP-1 expression, and PGE2 production in human patellar tendon fibroblasts. *Gene*, *363*, 166–172.
- Yang, G., Crawford, R. C., & Wang, J. H. (2004). Proliferation and collagen production of human patellar tendon fibroblasts in response to cyclic uniaxial stretching in serum-free conditions. *J. Biomech.*, *37*(10), 1543–1550.
- Yoon, J. H., & Halper, J. (2005). Tendon proteoglycans: biochemistry and function. *J. Musculoskelet. Neuronal Interact.*, *5*, 22–34.
- Zhang, J., Pan, T., Liu, Y., & Wang, J. H. (2010). Mouse treadmill running enhances tendons by expanding the pool of tendon stem cells (TSCs) and TSC-related cellular production of collagen. *J. Orthop. Res.*, *28*(9), 1178–1183.