Japan. J. Math. 5, 73–102 (2010) DOI: 10.1007/s11537-010-0947-4

Weights in arithmetic geometry?

Uwe Jannsen

Received: 10 February 2010 / Accepted: 24 February 2010 Published online: 2 April 2010 © The Mathematical Society of Japan and Springer 2010

Communicated by: Takeshi Saito

Abstract. The concept of weights on the cohomology of algebraic varieties was initiated by fundamental ideas and work of A. Grothendieck and P. Deligne. It is deeply connected with the concept of motives and appeared first on the singular cohomology as the weights of (possibly mixed) Hodge structures and on the etale cohomology as the weights of eigenvalues of Frobenius. But weights also appear on algebraic fundamental groups and in p -adic Hodge theory, where they become only visible after applying the comparison functors of Fontaine. After rehearsing various versions of weights, we explain some more recent applications of weights, e.g. to Hasse principles and the computation of motivic cohomology, and discuss some open questions.

Keywords and phrases: weights, étale cohomology, Hasse principles

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 11G25, 11G35, 14F20, 14F30

The theory of weights is already explained by Deligne in his talks at the International Congresses of Mathematicians at Nice [De1] and Vancouver [De5], in a magnificently concise and clear way, and every reader is urged to read his account before starting with this paper. In addition Deligne contributed the basic substance to this theory, by proving the Weil conjectures in a very general way and establishing the theory of mixed Hodge structures. So the modest aim of this article is just to give a certain update of the results, and to discuss some applications of weights in arithmetic geometry. For this we have of course to rehearse some of the theory of weights, at least so far that our methods and

U. JANNSEN

NWF I-Mathematik, Universität Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, Germany $(e$ -mail: uwe.jannsen@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de)

[?] This article is based on the 7th Takagi Lectures that the author delivered at the University of Tokyo on November 21–23, 2009.

results become clear. A certain emphasis is on the fact that weights are intimately linked with resolution of singularities, although this is not so clear from Deligne's proof of the Weil conjecture. But resolution is, at least at present state, indispensable in Hodge theory, and should also play a major role in establishing good p-adic theories.

In the following, the word variety will mean a separated scheme of finite type over a field K .

1. Weights in Hodge theory

A *pure* Q-*Hodge structure of weight* n is a finite dimensional Q-vector space V together with a decomposition

$$
V_{\mathbb{C}} := V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H^{p,q}
$$

into C-vector spaces such that $\overline{H^{p,q}} = H^{q,p}$ where $\overline{H^{p,q}} = (id \otimes \sigma)H^{p,q}$
for the complex conjugation σ on \mathbb{C} . This is exactly the structure one gets on for the complex conjugation σ on $\mathbb C$. This is exactly the structure one gets on the Q-cohomology $H^{n}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ of a smooth projective complex variety X, the isomorphism with the de Rham cohomology

$$
H^n(X, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \cong H^n_{dR}(X)
$$

and the famous Hodge decomposition

$$
H_{dR}^n(X) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H^{p,q}
$$

into the spaces of harmonic (p, q) -forms. A morphism $V \rightarrow V'$ of pure Hodge structures is a Q-linear map respecting the bigrading after tensoring with C. There is a natural tensor product of Hodge structures, by defining $(V \otimes W)^{p,q}$ as the sum over all $V_{\mathbb{C}}^{i,j} \otimes W_{\mathbb{C}}^{k,l}$ with $i + k = p$ and $j + l = q$. One has the following obvious property following obvious property.

Fact 1. If V and W are pure Hodge structures of weights $m \neq n$, then every morphism $\varphi : V \to W$ of Hodge structures is trivial.

P. Deligne established the theory of *mixed Hodge structures* for arbitrary complex varieties. These are finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces V with an ascending filtration W.V by Q-vector spaces $(W_{n-1}V \subseteq W_nV)$ and a descending filtration $F: V_G$ by C-vector spaces such that filtration $F V_{\mathbb{C}}$ by \mathbb{C} -vector spaces such that

$$
Gr_n^W V := W_n V / W_{n-1} V
$$

gets a pure Hodge structure of weight *n* via $H_n^{p,q} = F^p \cap \overline{F^q} Gr_n^W V_{\mathbb{C}}$, for
the induced filtration F on $Gr^{W}V_{\mathbb{C}}$. A morphism $V \rightarrow V'$ of mixed Hodge the induced filtration F on $Gr_n^W V_{\mathbb{C}}$. A morphism $V \to V'$ of mixed Hodge structures is a Q-linear map respecting both filtrations. It is a non-trivial fact that this is an abelian category. The pure Hodge structures form a full subcategory of the mixed Hodge structures.

For example a smooth quasi-projective complex variety U gets a mixed Hodge structure on its cohomology as follows. By Hironaka's resolution of singularities, there is a *good compactification* of U, i.e., an open embedding $U \subset X$ into a smooth projective variety such that $Y = X - U$ is a simple normal crossings divisor, i.e., has smooth (projective) irreducible components Y_1,\ldots,Y_N such that each p-fold intersection $Y_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap Y_{i_p}$ (with $1 \leq i_1$ $i_2 < \cdots < i_p \leq N$) is smooth of pure codimension p. This gives rise to a combinatorial spectral sequence

(1.1)
$$
E_2^{p,q} = H^p(Y^{[q]}, \mathbb{Q}(-q)) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(U, \mathbb{Q})
$$

where

$$
Y^{[q]} = \coprod_{i_1 < \dots < i_q} Y_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap Y_{i_q}
$$

is the disjoint union of all q-fold intersections of the Y_i . Here $\mathbb{Q}(-q)$ = $\mathbb{Q}(2\pi i)^{-q}$, but moreover, one regards

$$
H^p(Y^{[q]}, \mathbb{Q}(-q)) \cong H^p(Y^{[q]}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(-q)
$$

as a pure Hodge structure of weight $p + 2q$, via defining the *Tate Hodge structure* $\mathbb{Q}(1) = \mathbb{Q}2\pi i$ as pure of weight -2 and Hodge type $(-1, -1)$, so that $\mathbb{Q}(m) := \mathbb{Q}(1)^{\otimes m}$, for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, becomes pure of weight $-2m$ and type $(-m, -m)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the m-th Tate twist $V(m) := V \otimes \mathbb{Q}(m)$ of a pure Hodge structure V of weight n has weight $n - 2m$. With this convention Deligne showed that all differentials $d_r^{p,q}$ in this spectral sequence are morphisms of pure Hodge structures, and that there is a mixed Hodge structure on $H^n(U, \mathbb{Q})$ such that the weight filtration comes, up to certain shift, from the above spectral sequence: If \widetilde{W} is the ascending filtration on $H^n(U, \mathbb{Q})$ with $\widetilde{W}_q/\widetilde{W}_{q-1} \cong E_{\infty}^{n-q,q}$ then
the weight filtration W_r is given by $W_r - \widetilde{W}_r$. the weight filtration \overline{W}_q is given by $W_q = \widetilde{W}_{q-n}$.
A remarkable consequence observed by Del

A remarkable consequence, observed by Deligne, is that the spectral sequence degenerates at E_3 , i.e., the differentials $d_r^{p,q}$ vanish for $r \geq 3$. In fact
they go from a subquotient of $F^{p,q} - H^p(Y^{[q]}\bigcap (-a))$ of weight $p + 2a$ to they go from a subquotient of $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(Y^{[q]}, \mathbb{Q}(-q))$, of weight $p + 2q$, to a subquotient of

$$
E_2^{p+r,q-r+1} = H^{p+r}(Y^{[q-r+1]}, \mathbb{Q}(-q+r-1)),
$$

which is of weight $p + r + 2q - 2r + 2 = p + 2q - r + 2 \neq p + 2q$ for $r \geq 3$, and we can use Fact 1. I do not know of any proof not using weights.

A second remarkable consequence is that the $E_3 = E_{\infty}$ -terms do not depend on the choice of the good compactification. For this one has another proof using the so-called weak factorization in the theory of resolution of singularities.

A third remarkable consequence is that the intrinsic structure of $H^n(U, \mathbb{Q})$ in some sense "sees" the good compactification, at least the homology of the complexes of E_2 -terms. For example, if S is a surface with one isolated singular point P which can be resolved by blowing up P, then the cohomology of $U =$ $S - P$ sees the created exceptional divisor.

It is not known if the weight filtration on $H^n(U, \mathbb{Q})$ can be obtained by some other process, e.g. one that is intrinsic on U , or one which uses other types of compactification, e.g. some which appear in the minimal model program.

Thus the theory of mixed Hodge structures and their weights depends on resolution of singularities.

2. Weights in ℓ -adic cohomology

Let K be an arbitrary field with separable closure \overline{K} , and let ℓ be a prime invertible in K. Let X/K be a smooth projective variety and let $\overline{X} = X \times_K \overline{K}$ be the base-change of X, which is a smooth projective variety over \overline{K} . Then the ℓ -adic étale cohomology

$$
(2.1) \t\t H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)
$$

is a finite-dimensional \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -vector space with a continuous action of the absolute Galois group $G_K = Gal(\overline{K}/K)$, via functoriality of étale cohomology: for $\sigma \in G_K$, id $\times \sigma$ acts on $X \times_K \overline{K}$ and induces $(id \times \sigma)^*$ on $H^n(\overline{X} \cap \mathbb{R})$. G_K , *id* \times σ acts on $X \times_K \overline{K}$ and induces $(id \times \sigma)^*$ on $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
Now consider the case that $K - \mathbb{F}_r$ is a finite field with a elem

Now consider the case that $K = \mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field with q elements. Then, as conjectured by A. Weil [Weil] and reformulated and proved by P. Deligne [De2], [De3], the Galois representation (2.1) is *pure of weight* n. This means: If $F \in G_K$ is a *geometric* Frobenius automorphism, i.e., the inverse of the *arithmetic* one sending $x \in \overline{K}$ to x^q , then the eigenvalues α of F (precisely: F^*) on $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ are *pure of weight* n, i.e., they are algebraic numbers (the characteristic polynomial of F lies in $\mathbb{Q}[T]$ rather than in $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}[T]$), and one has

$$
|\sigma(\alpha)| = q^{\frac{n}{2}}
$$

for any field embedding $\sigma : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We mention in passing that Deligne
also proved independence of ℓ : The characteristic polynomial does not depend also proved independence of ℓ : The characteristic polynomial does not depend on $\ell \neq p = \text{char}(K)$).

If K is finitely generated (over its prime field), then $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is again pure of weight *n*, in the following sense: By assumption there is an integral scheme S of finite type over $\mathbb Z$ with function field K. After possibly shrinking S we may assume there is a smooth projective scheme $\mathscr X$ over S such that $X = \mathscr X \times_S K$ (the fibre of $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to S$ over the generic point $\eta = \text{Spec}(K)$ of S). Then for every closed point $s \in S$ the theory of smooth and proper base change gives an isomorphism

(2.3)
$$
b_{\eta,s}: H^n(X\times_K \overline{K}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)\stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} H^n(\mathscr{X}_s\times_s \overline{k(s)}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)
$$

where $\mathcal{X}_s = \pi^{-1}(s)$ is the fibre of $\mathcal X$ over s, $k(s)$ is the residue field of s, and $\overline{k(s)}$ is its separable closure. The above isomorphism is compatible with the and $k(s)$ is its separable closure. The above isomorphism is compatible with the Galois actions, in the following sense. There is a decomposition group $G_s \subseteq$
 G_K with an enimorphism $g: G_s \longrightarrow G_K$ such that h_{ss} is compatible with G_K with an epimorphism $\rho: G_s \to G_{k(s)}$ such that $b_{\eta,s}$ is compatible with the actions of G_s and $G_{k(s)}$ respectively. So the inertia group $L = \ker(\rho)$ acts the actions of G_s and $G_{k(s)}$, respectively. So the inertia group $I_s = \text{ker}(\rho)$ acts trivially on $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ and via ρ , $b_{\eta,s}$ is a $G_{k(s)}$ -morphism. Note that $k(s)$ is a finite field so that it makes sense to speak of a pure \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -representation for $G_{k(s)}$.

A general G_K -representation V is now called pure of weight n, if there is an S above with function field K such that for all $s \in S$ the inertia group $I_s \subseteq G_s$ acts trivially and the obtained $G_{k(s)} = G_s/I_s$ -representation V is pure
of weight *n*. Obviously we have: of weight n . Obviously we have:

Fact 2. If V and W are pure \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -G_K-representations of weights $n \neq m$, then every G_K -homomorphism $\varphi : V \to W$ is zero.

Again Deligne extended this to arbitrary varieties X over K . First of all he introduced the concept of a mixed \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ} - G_k -representation V; this simply means that there is a filtration W'_n on V such that each $Gr_n^{W'}V$ is pure (of some weight). For K (finitely generated) of positive characteristic he showed that then one also has an ascending filtration W_n on V such that $Gr_n^W V$ is pure of weight n ([De6] Théorème (3.4.1) (ii)). A filtration with this property is unique (by Fact 2) and is called the weight filtration, but it could be different from W'_n (look at the sum of two pure representations). Over a field K of characteristic 0 one can again use resolution of singularities to produce a weight filtration. For example, for a smooth quasi-projective variety U/K one can again choose a good compactification $U \subseteq X \supseteq Y = X - U$ to obtain a spectral sequence

(2.4)
$$
E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\overline{Y^{[q]}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(-q)) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(\overline{U}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})
$$

completely analogous to (1.1). Here $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(m) = \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(1)^{\otimes m}$, where $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(1) =$ $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(1)$ for $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(1) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_{\ell^n}$, the inverse limit of the G_K -modules $\mu_{\ell^n} \subset \overline{K}$ of ℓ^n -th roots of unity. Hence $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(1)$ is non-canonically isomorphic to $\mu_{\ell^n} \subseteq \overline{K}$ of ℓ^n -th roots of unity. Hence $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(1)$ is non-canonically isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} and G_K acts via the cyclotomic character. This definition makes sense over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ , and G_K acts via the cyclotomic character. This definition makes sense over any field of characteristic $\neq \ell$. If K is a finite field, the arithmetic Frobenius acts on $\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)$ as multiplication by $q = |K|$. Therefore for any finitely generated K with $\ell \neq \text{char}(K)$, $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(1)$ is pure of weight -2 . Hence

$$
H^{p}(\overline{Y^{[q]}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(-q)) \cong H(\overline{Y^{[q]}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})(-q)
$$

is pure of weight $p + 2q$. Here $V(m) := V \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(m)$ is the m-th Tate twist of a \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -G_K-representation. Like in (1.1), one obtains a weight filtration on $H^n(\overline{U}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ as $W_i = \widetilde{W}_{i-n}$, where \widetilde{W} is the canonical ascending filtration associated to (2.4) associated to (2.4).

Similar remarks as in the Hodge theoretic setting apply—except that now it is clear that the weight filtration is intrinsic, once it exists.

A noteworthy fact is that—in contrast to the case of positive characteristic, where the mentioned weight filtration would give a splitting—there exist nontrivial extensions

$$
0 \longrightarrow V_1 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow V_2 \longrightarrow 0
$$

of ℓ -adic representations over fields K of characteristic 0 such that V_i is pure of weight n_i , with $n_1 > n_2$ ([Ja3] Remark 6.8.4). So V does not have a weight filtration.

3. Weights in p**-adic cohomology**

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p>0$, let $W = W(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors over k, and let $K_0 = \text{Frac}(W)$ be the fraction field of W. If X is a smooth projective variety over k , then the crystalline cohomology

$$
H^{n}(X/K_0) := H^{n}(X/W) \otimes_{W} K_0
$$

is a finite-dimensional vector space over K_0 .

We shall need the following variant cohomology. If $W\Omega_X^+$ is the de Rham-Witt complex of X , see [Il], which is a pro-complex formed by the complexes $W_m \Omega_X^+$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then one has a natural isomorphism

$$
H^{n}(X, W\Omega_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{n}(X/W),
$$

where the left hand side is étale (hyper) cohomology. Let $W_m \Omega_X^r$ $X, \log \subseteq$ $\subseteq W_m \Omega_X^r$ be the logarithmic part of the de Rham–Witt sheaf—it is étale locally generated by sections of the form $d\hat{x}_1/\hat{x}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\hat{x}_r/\hat{x}_r$, where $x_i \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\times}$ and \hat{x}_i is a Teichmüller lift in $W \otimes_X$. Then there is an exact sequence of pro-sheaves (III) Teichmüller lift in $W_m \mathcal{O}_X$. Then there is an exact sequence of pro-sheaves ([II] I 5.7.2), where *Fr* is the Frobenius operator on the de Rham–Witt complex

$$
0 \longrightarrow W\Omega^r_{X,\log} \longrightarrow W\Omega^r_X \stackrel{1-Fr}{\longrightarrow} W\Omega^r_X \longrightarrow 0.
$$

If k is algebraically closed, then it induces exact sequences ([Mi2] 1.15)

$$
(3.1) \ 0 \longrightarrow H^{n}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p(r)) \longrightarrow H^{n}_{cris}(X/W)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \stackrel{F-p^r}{\longrightarrow} H^{n}_{cris}(X/W)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where F is the (morphism induced by the) Frobenius endomorphism of X . Here we use the following notation by J. Milne (loc. cit.):

$$
\mathbb{Z}/p^m \mathbb{Z}(r) = W_m \Omega^r_{X, \log}[-r],
$$

\n
$$
H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}_p(r)) = \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ m}} H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^m \mathbb{Z}(r)),
$$

\n
$$
H^n(X, \mathbb{Q}_p(r)) = H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}_p(r)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p.
$$

Now we discuss weights. First let k be a finite field, and let $F: X \rightarrow X$ be the (geometric) Frobenius relative to k , i.e., the k -morphism which sends a local section f of \mathcal{O}_X to f^q , $q = |k|$. Then by a simple but ingenious argument N. Katz and W. Messing [KM] deduced from Deligne's proof of the Weil conjectures that $H^{n}(X/K_0)$ is pure of weight n in the sense that the eigenvalues α of the endomorphism F^* induced on it are algebraic numbers with absolute value $|\sigma(\alpha)| = q^{\frac{n}{2}}$ for every embedding $\sigma : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Moreover the characteristic
polynomial of F^* is the same as the one obtained on the *n*-th \mathbb{Q}_n -cohomology polynomial of F^* is the same as the one obtained on the *n*-th \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -cohomology.

By a lemma in (semi-)linear algebra, [Mi2], 5.1, the exact sequence (3.1) for $\overline{X} = X \times_k \overline{k}$ implies that the (linear!) action of the geometric Frobenius $F \in G_k$ on $H^n(\overline{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p(r))$ is pure of weight $n - 2r$, in perfect analogy to the ℓ -adic case. More precisely, the eigenvalues of F on $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_p(r))$ are those eigenvalues for $H^n(\overline{X}/K_0)$ which have slope p^r .

Now let K be a finitely generated field of characteristic $p>0$, and let S (of finite type over \mathbb{F}_p) and $\mathscr{X} \to S$ (smooth and proper) be as above. Then M. Gros and N. Suwa ([GrS] Th. 2.1) established a base change isomorphism

(3.2)
$$
b_{\eta,s}: H^n(X\times_K \overline{K}, \mathbb{Q}_p(r)) \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} H^n(\mathscr{X}_s \times_{k(s)} \overline{k(s)}, \mathbb{Q}_p(r))
$$

for every closed s in a non-empty open subset $U \subseteq S$, which is equivariant for the decomposition group at s. By this the left hand side is a pure $\mathbb{D}_{z}G_{Y}$. for the decomposition group at s. By this the left hand side is a pure \mathbb{Q}_p - G_K representation in exactly the same sense as in the ℓ -adic setting.

The case of a general variety is more difficult for p -adic cohomology. The crystalline cohomology does not behave well for singular or non-proper varieties; in particular it is not in general finite-dimensional. A good finite-dimensional theory is given by the rigid analytic cohomology (this follows from de Jong's resolution of singularities [deJ], see P. Berthelot [Be]), and it coincides with the crystalline cohomology for smooth proper varieties. But only quite recently purity and a theory of weights have been studied thoroughly in this context [NS], [Nakk], again using [deJ]. Below I am rather interested in the cohomology $H^{n}(X, \mathbb{Q}_{p}(r))$, but one does not have purity for general r, see M. Gros [Gr], and no theory of weights for general varieties either. Fortunately there is a good situation for $r = \dim(X)$ (loc. cit.) which we will use for our applications.

4. Weights for ℓ **-adic cohomology over local fields**

Let K be a non-archimedean local field, i.e., a complete discrete valuation field with finite residue field k. Let X be a smooth projective variety over K and let ℓ be a prime, $\ell \neq p = \text{char}(k)$. If X has good reduction, i.e., a smooth proper model $\mathscr{X} \to \text{Spec}(\mathscr{O}_K)$ over the ring of integers \mathscr{O}_K (i.e., the discrete valuation

ring) of K , then as before the base change isomorphism

(4.1)
$$
H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} H^n(\overline{\mathscr{X}_k}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)
$$

shows that the inertia group $I \subset G_K$ acts trivially on $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ and that $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ corresponds to a pure \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -representation of weight n of $G_K/I \cong$ G_k .

In general it is a theorem of Grothendieck that, after possibly passing to a finite separable extension of K, the ramification group $P \subset I$ acts trivially and the pro-cyclic group I/P acts unipotently on $V = Hⁿ(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. This allows to define a nilpotent monodromy operator $N = N_{\ell}$ on V (basically the logarithm of a generator of I/P) satisfying

$$
NF = qFN
$$

any *geometric* Frobenius in G_K (i.e., lift of the geometric Frobenius in G_k). Moreover one obtains an ascending *monodromy filtration M*. on *V*, characterized by the fact that $NM_i \subseteq M_{i-2}$ and that

$$
(4.2) \t Ni: GriM V \xrightarrow{\sim} Gr-iM V
$$

is an isomorphism for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. More canonically, using the canonical G_K/I isomorphism

(4.3)
$$
I/P \cong \prod_{\ell \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(1),
$$

N can be seen as a G_K -equivariant map $V \rightarrow V(-1)$, and (4.2) is a G_K isomorphism

$$
Gr_i^M V \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} Gr_{-i}^M V(-i).
$$

By construction (and assumption that P acts trivially), $Gr_i^M V$ is a \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -representation of $G_K/I \cong G_k$. There is the following conjecture (see [De1] 8.1 and $[RZ]$).

Conjecture 4.1 (monodromy weight conjecture). The G_k -representation $Gr_i^{\tilde{M}} H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is pure of weight $n + i$.

This was proved by Deligne in the equi-characteristic case $(char(K) > 0)$, [De6] Théorème $(1.8.4)$, at least if X comes from a smooth projective scheme $\pi : \mathscr{X} \to U$ over an open subscheme U of a curve C over a finite field, via passing to the henselization (or completion) at a closed point $x \in C - U$. The general case was proved by T. Ito [It], by reducing it to Deligne's case.

In the mixed characteristic case, i.e., the case of p -adic fields, very little is known on the above conjecture. Using de Jong's (weak) resolution of singularities by alterations [deJ], and the result of M. Rapoport and Th. Zink [RZ] for the case of semi-stable reduction, one gets the conjecture for dim $(X) \leq 2$, hence for $n < 2$ by a Lefschetz argument. There is an analogous result in mixed Hodge theory, for a family of proper complex varieties over a disk with degenerating fibre at 0, which produces the so-called limit mixed Hodge structure on the generic fibre. The method in [RZ] borrows from these techniques by using an étale version of the vanishing cycles spectral sequence. The problem is that one needs a certain non-degeneracy statement, which is known for the Hodge theory by some positivity, but is not known for the ℓ -adic case, except for surfaces where it is the classical Hodge index theorem. It was proved by M. Saito [SaM] that this non-degeneracy, and hence the monodromy weight conjecture, would follow from Grothendieck's standard conjectures for varieties over finite fields.

We discuss an implication for the fixed module. The following result is unconditional. Let $\Gamma = G_K/I \cong G_k$ and $d = \dim(X)$.

Theorem 4.2. $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^I$ *is a mixed* Γ -module with weights in $\lceil \max(0, 2(n - \ell)\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^I \rceil$ d)), min(2n, 2d + 2)], and $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)_I$ is a mixed Γ -module with weights in $[\max(-2, 2(n-d)), \min(2n, 2d)]$. In particular, $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(r))^{G_K} = 0$ for $r \notin [\max(0, n - d), \min(n, d + 1)]$, and $H^2(G_K, H^m(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(s))) = 0$ for $s \notin [max(m + 1 - d, 0), min(d + 1, m + 1)].$

In fact, by de Jong's resolution of singularities [deJ] one easily reduces to the case where one has a regular proper model $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{O}_K$. Then one has a long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow H^{n}(\mathscr{X}_{ur}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) \longrightarrow H^{n}(X_{ur}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) \longrightarrow H^{n+1}_{\overline{Y}}(\mathscr{X}_{ur}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) \longrightarrow \cdots,
$$

where Y is the special fiber of π , $\overline{Y} = Y \times_k \overline{k}$ and the subscript *ur* denotes the base change to the maximal unramified extension of K , i.e., to the strict henselization of \mathcal{O}_K . By proper base change we have an isomorphism

$$
H^n(\mathscr{X}_{ur},\mathbb{Q}_\ell)\cong H^n(\overline{Y},\mathbb{Q}_\ell),
$$

and by Deligne's proof of the Weil conjecture [De6] Corollaire (3.3.8) the latter representation is mixed with weights in $[\max(0, 2(n - d)), n]$. By the proof of cohomological purity by O. Gabber (see K. Fujiwara [FuG]) one has isomorphisms

$$
H^{n+1}_{\overline{Y}}(\mathscr{X}_{ur}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) \cong H_{2(d+1)-n-1}(\overline{Y}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(d+1)) \cong H^{2d-n+1}(\overline{Y}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(d+1))^{\vee},
$$

where V^{\vee} is the dual of a \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ} - G_k -representation V, the group in the middle is ℓ adic étale homology, and by Deligne (loc. cit.) the representation on the right is mixed with weights in $[n + 1, \min(2d + 2, 2n)]$. The first claim is now obvious from the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^1(I, H^{n-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) \longrightarrow H^n(X_{ur}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \longrightarrow H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^I \longrightarrow 0,
$$

which follows from the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence and the fact that I has ℓ -cohomological dimension 1. The second claim follows as well, using the isomorphisms

$$
H^1(I, H^{n-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) \cong H^1(I/P, H^{n-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) \cong H^{n-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)_I(-1)
$$

coming from the isomorphism (4.3) and the fact that P is a pro-p-group. The third claim follows from the first claim, the isomorphism

(4.4)
$$
H^{n}(\overline{X},\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{G_{K}} = (H^{n}(\overline{X},\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{I})^{\Gamma}
$$

and Fact 2. The final claim is deduced by local duality.

The monodromy weight conjecture gives a better bound.

Corollary 4.3. *If the monodromy weight conjecture holds, then*

- *(a)* $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^I$ *is mixed with weights in* $\lceil \max(0, 2n-2d), n \rceil$ *and* $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)_I$ *is mixed with weights in* $[n, min(2n, 2d - 2)]$.
- *(b)* $H^{n}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(r))^{G_{K}} = 0$ *for* $r \notin [\max(0, n-d), \frac{n}{2}]$ *, and* $H^{2}(G_{K}, H^{m}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(s))) = 0$ *for* $s \notin [\frac{m}{2} + 1, \min(d + 1, m + 1)]$ $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(s) = 0$ for $s \notin [\frac{m}{2} + 1, \min(d+1, m+1)].$

In fact, by the construction of the monodromy filtration one has

$$
(H^n(\overline{X},\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^I)^\Gamma \subset (M_0 H^n(\overline{X},\mathbb{Q}_\ell))^\Gamma,
$$

and the monodromy weight conjecture implies that $M_0H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is a mixed Γ -module of weights $\leq n$. Similarly one has a surjection $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)/M_{-1} \to H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)/M_{-1}$ $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ and monodromy weight conjecture implies that $H^n(\overline{\overline{X}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)/M_{-1}$ is mixed of weights $\geq n$. Thus (a) follows from Theorem 4.2. Now (b) follows as the last claim of Theorem 4.2.

We end this section with the following speculation. In the equi-characteristic case Deligne proved a more general result, where C is a smooth curve over a finite field $k, U \subset C$ is a non-empty open subscheme and ℓ is a prime invertible in k .

Theorem 4.4 ([De6] Théorème (1.8.4)). Let F be a smooth \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaf on U *which is pure of weight* w *(i.e., for each closed point* $s \in U$ *the stalk* $F_{\overline{s}}$ *is a pure* $G_{k(s)}$ *-representation of weight* w). Let $x \in C - U$ *be a closed point, let* K^x *be the completion of the function field of* C *at* x *(i.e., the fraction field of the completion of* $\mathcal{O}_{C,x}$ *), and let* $\overline{\eta} = \text{Spec}(K_x) \rightarrow U$ *be the corresponding geometric point. If* M *is the monodromy filtration on the* G_K *-representation* $F_{\overline{\eta}}$ (the stalk of F at $\overline{\eta}$), then $Gr_i^M(F_{\overline{\eta}})$ is pure of weight $w + \hat{i}$.

Question 4.5. Does the same hold if U is an open subscheme of $Spec(\mathcal{O}_K)$ for a number field K, and $\ell \neq \text{char}(k(x))$?

5. Weights for p**-adic cohomology over local fields**

Let K be a local field with finite residue field k as before, but now assume that char(K) = 0 and $\ell = p = \text{char}(k)$. Let again X be a smooth projective variety over K. Even if X has good reduction, the \mathbb{Q}_p -G_K-representation $V = H^{n}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_{p})$ is not unramified, and there is no obvious way to see weights. However it was an insight of J.-M. Fontaine that one can associate a canonical object to V on which one has a Frobenius and weights: Let $W = W(k)$ and $K_0 = Quot(W)$ as in section 3; K_0 is isomorphic to the maximal unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p in K. Fontaine [Fo1] defined a certain ring B_{cris} over K_0 and conjectured a comparison isomorphism

(5.1)
$$
H^{n}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} B_{cris} \cong H^{n}(\mathscr{X}_{s}/K_{0}) \otimes_{K_{0}} B_{cris}
$$

where \mathscr{X}_s is the special fibre of a smooth projective model $\mathscr{X}/\text{Spec}(\mathscr{O}_K)$ of X over \mathcal{O}_K as in section 4. Moreover, one has the following structures: $H^n(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is a \mathbb{Q}_p -*G_K*-representation and $H^n(\mathcal{X}_s/K_0) = H^n(\mathcal{X}_s/W) \otimes_W K_0$ is a socalled filtered φ -module over K, i.e., $H^n(\mathcal{X}_s/K_0)$ is a K₀-vector space with a Frobenius φ , and $H^n(\mathcal{X}_s/K_0) \otimes_{K_0} K$ has a descending filtration F , via a canonical isomorphism canonical isomorphism

(5.2)
$$
H^{n}(\mathscr{X}_{S}/K_{0})\otimes_{K_{0}} K\cong H^{n}_{dR}(X/K)
$$

and the Hodge filtration on the de Rham cohomology on the right. Now B*cris* has both structures—it is a continuous \mathbb{Q}_p - G_K -representation and a φ -filtered module over K—and one can recover $H^n(\mathcal{X}_s/K_0)$ from $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ and vice versa, viz., one has

(5.3)
$$
H^{n}(\mathscr{X}_{S}/K_{0}) = (H^{n}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} B_{cris})^{G_{K}}
$$

and

(5.4)
$$
H^{n}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}) = (H^{n}(\mathscr{X}_{s}/K_{0}) \otimes_{K_{0}} B_{cris})^{\varphi = id} \cap F^{0},
$$

where the brackets have the mentioned three structures as well: $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ B_{cris} has the diagonal G_K -action, and $H^n(\mathcal{X}/K_0) \otimes_{K_0} B_{cris}$ has the diagonal φ action, and the usual tensor filtration after scalar extension to $K (F^m(A \otimes B) = \sum_{\substack{F \subset A}} F^i A \otimes F^j B)$ $\sum_{i+j=m} F^i A \otimes F^j B.$ In fact Fontaine show

In fact, Fontaine showed that there are mutual inverse category equivalences (5.5)

(crystalline
$$
\mathbb{Q}_p
$$
- G_K -representations) \longrightarrow (admissible φ -filtered modules over K)
\n $V \longmapsto D(V) = (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{cris})^{G_K}$
\n $(D \otimes_{K_0} B_{cris})^{\varphi=1} \cap F^0 \longleftarrow D$

where we refer to [Fo1] and [Pp] for a precise definition of both categories. Fontaine's crystalline conjecture was shown by J.-M. Fontaine and W. Messing [FM] for dim(X) $\lt p$, and by G. Faltings [Fa1] in general.

We note that, in the geometric situation above, $D = H^n(\mathcal{X}_s/K_0)$ is pure of weight n , see section 3.

For X/K with not necessarily good reduction, Fontaine and I arrived at the following conjecture ([Ja2] p. 347 and [Fo2]), which was then subsequently proved by work of O. Hyodo and K. Kato [HyKa], [Ka2] and T. Tsuji [Tsu]: There is a ring B_{st} over K_0 which is a continuous \mathbb{Q}_p - G_K -representation and also a filtered (φ, N) -module over K, i.e., it has the same structures as B_{cris} , plus an operator N such that

$$
(5.6) \t N\varphi = p\varphi N.
$$

After possibly passing to a finite extension of K (and over K itself if X has semi-stable reduction), there is an isomorphism, compatible with G_K , φ , F and $N,$

(5.7)
$$
H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{st} \cong D^n \otimes_{K_0} B_{st},
$$

where D^n is a finite-dimensional filtered (N, φ) -module over K. In fact, if X has semi-stable reduction, then D^n can be realized as the *n*-th log-crystalline cohomology of the special fibre \mathscr{X}_s of a semistable model over \mathscr{O}_K .

As in the ℓ -adic case, the monodromy operator N on D^n —which must be nilpotent by (5.6) and finite-dimensionality of $Dⁿ$ —allows to define a monodromy filtration M . with

(5.8)
$$
N^i: Gr_i^M D^n \xrightarrow{\sim} Gr_{-i}^M D^n(-i),
$$

and I conjectured ([Ja2] p. 347) the following p-adic analogue of (4.1) :

Conjecture 5.1 (*p*-adic monodromy weight conjecture). As a φ -module, $Gr_i^{\tilde{M}} D^n$ is pure of weight $n+i$ and has the same eigenvalues as $Gr_i^{\tilde{M}} H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ for each $\ell \neq p$.

The action of φ is σ -semi-linear, but the r-th power of φ (where $p^r = q$ is cardinality of the residue field of K_0 —and K) acts linearly and hence there the cardinality of the residue field of K_0 —and K) acts linearly, and hence there are well-defined eigenvalues of φ^r , and the purity is defined via q and these eigenvalues as in (2.2).

As in the ℓ -adic mixed characteristic case, this conjecture is still wide open, except for a few remarkable cases by T. Saito [SaT1], [SaT2].

There is an equivalence of categories

(5.9) $(\text{semi-stable } \mathbb{Q}_p - G_K\text{-representations})$ \rightleftarrows (admissible filtered (N, φ) -modules over K)

extending the one for the crystalline case [Pp].

This is compatible with Tate twists on both sides. Therefore one has:

Corollary 5.2. *The monodromy weight conjecture* (5.1) *would imply* $H^n(X, \mathbb{R})$ $\mathbb{Q}_p(r)G_K = 0$ for $r \notin [\max(0, n-d), \frac{n}{2}]$, and $H^2(G_K, H^m(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_p(s))) = 0$
for $s \notin [\frac{m}{2}+1, \min(d+1, m+1)]$ *for* $s \notin [\frac{m}{2} + 1, \min(d + 1, m + 1)].$

In fact, the equivalence of categories would give an isomorphism

$$
H^{n}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}(r))^{G_{K}} \cong Hom_{G_{K}}(\mathbb{Q}_{p}, H^{n}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}(r)))
$$

$$
\cong Hom_{N, \varphi, F}(K_{0}, D^{n}) \subseteq M_{0}D(r)^{\varphi=id}
$$

and $M_0D(r)$ would be mixed with weights in the interval $\left[\max(0, 2n - 2d\right) 2r, n - 2r$.

Unconditionally one can use the so-called Hodge–Tate decomposition to show the p-adic analogue of Theorem 4.2:

Theorem 5.3 ([Ja2] p. 343 Corollary 5 or [Sou] proof of Theorem 2 iii) plus an easy improvement by hard Lefschetz). One has $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_p(r))^{G_K} = 0$ for $r \notin [\max(0, n - d), \min(n, d)].$

We end with a question similar to the one at the end of the previous section. Let U be a non-empty open subscheme of $D = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ where K is a number field, let p be a prime invertible on U, and let F be a smooth \mathbb{Q}_p -sheaf on U which is pure of weight w. Let K_x be the completion of K at a point $x \in$ $D - U$ and assume that $p = \text{char}(k(x))$. Let $\overline{\eta} = \text{Spec}(K_x) \rightarrow U$ be the corresponding geometric point, and let $F_{\overline{\eta}}$ be the stalk of F at $\overline{\eta}$, considered as a \mathbb{Q}_p - G_{K_x} -representation.

Question 5.4. Is $F_{\overline{n}}$ a potentially semi-stable \mathbb{Q}_p - K_x -representation, i.e., a semistable representation after restricting it to a finite field extension K' of K_x ? If M. is the monodromy filtration on the filtered (N, ϕ) -module D associated to this \mathbb{Q}_p -G_{K'}-representation via the category equivalence (5.9) over K', is $Gr_i^M D$ pure of weight $w + i$ as a φ -module?

6. Weights and Galois cohomology

Let K be a global field, i.e., a number field or a function field in one variable over a finite field. Let X be smooth projective variety of pure dimension d over K. For many arithmetic applications the Galois cohomology groups

$$
H^1(K, H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(r)))
$$

need to be studied, together with the restriction map

(6.1)
$$
H^1(K, H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(r))) \longrightarrow \prod_v H^1(K_v, H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(r)))
$$

where v runs over the places of K and K_v in the completion of K at v, i.e., a local field. In fact, these data allow to define (generalized) Selmer groups which play a role in the conjectures of Birch, Swinnerton-Dyer, Beilinson, Bloch and Kato on generalized class number formulae and special values of L-functions.

Therefore it is interesting to study the kernel of (6.1). By Poitou–Tate duality, and Poincaré duality

$$
H^{n}(\overline{X},\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(r))\times H^{2d-n}(\overline{X},\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(d-r))\longrightarrow H^{2d}(\overline{X},\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(d))\stackrel{Tr}{\longrightarrow}\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell},
$$

the kernel of (6.1) is dual to the kernel of

(6.2)
$$
H^2(K, H^m(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(s))) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_v H^2(K_v, H^m(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(s)))
$$

for $m = 2d - n$ and $s = d - r + 1$. It turns out that the kernel can be controlled by weights. In fact, one has the following cohomological Hasse principle:

Theorem 6.1 ([Ja5] Theorem 1.5). Let A be a discrete G_K -module which is a *cofinitely generated divisible torsion* \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -module (*i.e., isomorphic to* $(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^r$ *for some r, as a* \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -module). If A *is mixed of weights* $\neq -2$ *, the restriction map*

$$
H^2(K, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_v H^2(K_v, A)
$$

is an isomorphism. Here A is called mixed of weights w_1, \ldots, w_m , *if this holds for the r-dimensional* \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -representation $V_\ell(A) = T_\ell(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, where $T_\ell(A)$ = $\lim_{\delta \to 0} A[\ell^i]$ is the inverse limit over the modules $A[\ell^i] = \{a \in A \mid \ell^i a = 0\}$ $\frac{1}{\leftarrow}$ is the liver.

It follows that (6.2) has finite kernel for $m - 2s \neq -2$, i.e., $m \neq 2(s + 1)$ because $m - 2s$ is the (pure) weight of $Div H^m(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(s))$, where *DivA* is the maximal divisible module of a torsion Galois module A.

By Poitou–Tate duality, the kernel of (6.1) is finite for $n \neq 2r$.

Combined with the local vanishing results from sections 4 and 5 we obtain

Theorem 6.2. *One has* $H^2(K, DivH^m(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(s))) = 0$ *for* $s \notin [max(-d - \ell)]$ $1, 1$, $\min(d + 1, m + 1)$.

In fact, the local groups $H^2(K_v, DivH^m(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(s)))$ are a quotient of $H^2(K_v, H^m(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(s)))$, which is dual to $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(r))^{G_K}$ for $n = 2d - m$ and $r = d - s + 1$. Now we can apply Theorems 4.2 and 5.3.

By the same argument, the local monodromy weight conjectures would imply:

Conjecture 6.3. $H^2(K, DivH^m(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(s))) = 0$ for $s \notin [\frac{m}{2} + 1, min(d + 1, m + 1)]$ $1, m + 1$].

Arithmetic applications often need the Galois cohomology groups

$$
H^i(G_S, H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(r))).
$$

Here S is a finite set of places v of K and $G_S = \frac{Gal(k_S/k)}{K}$ is the Galois group of the maximal extension k_S of k which is unramified outside S. Moreover S should contain all archimedean places and all places $v\ell$, and should be large enough so that the action of G_K on $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(r))$ factors through $G_K \to \overline{G}_S$, i.e., such that $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(r))$ is unramified outside S. Such an S always exists. In fact, there in open subscheme $U \subseteq C = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ (if K is a number field)
or $U \subseteq C$ (if K is the function field of a smooth projective curve C over a or $U \subseteq C$ (if K is the function field of a smooth projective curve C over a finite field \mathbb{F}_n) such that X/K has a smooth projective model $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to U$ finite field \mathbb{F}_q), such that X/K has a smooth projective model $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to U$. As we have seen in section 2, $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(r))$ is then unramified at all places v corresponding to points $x \in U$ with $v \nmid \ell$, so we may take S as the set of places not corresponding to these x , which are only finitely many. Then, after possibly shrinking U, we can write the above Galois cohomology group also as étale cohomology

$$
H^i(U, R^n \pi_* \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(r)).
$$

There is the following vanishing conjecture ([Ja2] Conjecture 1).

Conjecture 6.4. Let K be a number field. Then one has

$$
H^2(G_S, H^m(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(s))) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad s \notin \left[\frac{m}{2} + 1, \min(d+1, m+1)\right].
$$

The statement in loc. cit. uses the condition $s \notin [\frac{m}{2}+1, m+1]$; the refinement comes from a simple Lefschetz argument.

Obviously, Conjecture 6.4 would follow from the monodromy weight conjectures and the following one:

Conjecture 6.5. If K is a number field, then the map

$$
H^2(G_S, H^m(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(s))) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^2(K_v, H^m(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(s)))
$$

is injective for $m - 2s \neq -1$.

By Poitou–Tate duality, this is equivalent to the injectivity of

(6.3)
$$
H^1(G_S, H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(r))) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^1(K_v, H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(r)))
$$

for $n - 2r \neq -1$. The two conjectures above seem rather difficult, but they are partly motivated by the fact that the same statements are true over global function fields. As for Conjecture 6.4 one has the following more general result:

Theorem 6.6 ([Ja2] p. 335 Theorem 2)**.** *Let* U *be a smooth curve over a finite field* \mathbb{F}_q *, let* ℓ *be prime,* $\ell \neq \text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ *, and let* F *be a smooth* (=twisted constant) \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaf on U. Assume that F is mixed of weights ≥ 0 (has a filtration with *pure quotients of weights* \geq 0) or that $F^{\vee}(2)$ *is entire ([De6] 3.3.2), where* F^{\vee} *is the dual of* F. Then $H^2(U, F) = 0$.

The statement in Conjecture 6.4 is a special case, because $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(i))$ regarded as the smooth \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaf $R^n \pi_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(j)$ for $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to U$ as above, has weights ≥ 0 if $n - 2j \geq 0$, i.e., $n + 1 > 2j$, and $H^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(i))^{\vee}(2) \cong$ $H^{2\overline{d}-n}(\overline{\overline{X}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(d-j))(2) \cong H^{n}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(n-j+2))$ (Poincaré duality and hard Lefschetz) is entire for $n-i+2 \leq 0$ i.e. $n+1 \leq i$ hard Lefschetz) is entire for $n - j + 2 \le 0$, i.e., $n + 1 < j$.

The statement in Conjecture 6.5 for global function fields follows from the more general:

Theorem 6.7. Let U be a smooth curve over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q , let ℓ be prime, $\ell \neq \text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ *, and let* F *be smooth* \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaf of weight w on U. Then the *restriction map*

$$
H^2(U, F) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^2(K_v, F)
$$

is injective for $w \neq -1$ *.*

Proof. Let $j: U \hookrightarrow C$ be an open immersion into a smooth projective curve C. Then the above map can be identified with the map α in the long exact cohomology sequence

$$
(6.4)
$$

$$
\cdots \to H^2(C, j_!F) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^2(U, F) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bigoplus_{v \in S = C - U} H^3_v(C, j_!F) \to H^3(C, j_!F) \to \cdots
$$

and the map β factorizes through $H^2(C, i_*F)$ which sits in an exact sequence

$$
(6.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^1(\overline{C}, j_*F)_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow H^2(C, j_*F) \longrightarrow H^2(\overline{C}, j_*F)^{\Gamma} \longrightarrow 0.
$$

Now Deligne has proved that $H^i(\overline{C}, j_*F)$ is pure of weight $w + i$, so $H^2(C, j_*F)$ j_*F) vanishes if $w + 1 \neq 0$ and $w + 2 \neq 0$. The case $w = -2$ follows with some extra argument (loc. cit. and [Ra] Thm. 4.1).

We dare to state the following conjecture which would contain Conjectures 6.4 and 6.5.

Conjecture 6.8. Theorems 6.6 and 6.7 also holds for an open subscheme $U \subset$ Spec (\mathscr{O}_K) , where K is a number field and ℓ is invertible on U.

The exact sequence (6.4) and the factorization

$$
\beta: H^2(C, j_!F) \longrightarrow H^2(C, j_*F) \longrightarrow H^2(U, F)
$$

also exist for a number field K and $C = Spec(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Thus we are tempted to state

Conjecture 6.9. Let ℓ be a prime and let $U \subseteq C$ be an open subscheme such that ℓ is invertible on U . Let F be a smooth \mathbb{O}_ℓ -sheaf on U which is mixed of that ℓ is invertible on U. Let F be a smooth \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaf on U which is mixed of weights $\neq -1$. Then

$$
H^2(C, j_*F) = 0.
$$

The problem is that there are no obvious analogues of the groups $H^i(\overline{C})$, j_1F , $H^i(\overline{C},j_*F)$ etc. in the number field case. The most common analogue of the morphism $\overline{C} \rightarrow C$, a pro-étale covering with Galois group $\Gamma \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, would be the ℓ -cyclotomic extension $\overline{U} \to U$ with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{\times}$. One even
can consider the corresponding equating \overline{C} . \overline{C} but it is not on étals equating can consider the corresponding covering $\overline{C} \rightarrow C$, but it is not an étale covering (so we do not have a Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence as in (6.5) above), the associated cohomology groups do not have finiteness properties, and there does not seem to be a theory of weights on them.

But we should remember that the situation was the same at the local places v with $v\ell$. There the weights only became visible after applying Fontaine's comparison functors. This leads to the following.

Question 6.10. Does there exist a global analogue of Fontaine's functors over number fields?

We recall that, although Iwasawa theory also exists and is useful over *p*-adic fields, even there the obtained modules are only finitely generated over the Iwasawa algebra, and do not show weights. Conversely, Fontaine's theory of B*cris* and B_{st} does not see the cyclotomic extension, at least not directly. Nevertheless a certain link between Fontaine's theory and \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions is given by the theory of (φ, Γ) -modules and the fields of norms.

Question 6.11. Do there exist global analogues of these?

7. Application: Hasse principles for function fields

The cohomological Hasse principle in the previous section (Theorem 6.1) led to a proof of the following Hasse principle conjectured by K. Kato [Ka1], and proved by him for $d = 1$.

Let K be a global field, let F/K be a function field in d variables which is primary (i.e., such that K is separably closed in F), and let ℓ be a prime. For every place v of K let F_v be the corresponding function field over K_v : If $F = K(V)$ for a geometrically integral variety V over K, then $F_v = K_v(V_v)$, where $V_v = V \times_K K_v$.

Theorem 7.1 ([Ja5] Theorem 2.7)**.** *Let* K *be a number field. Then the map*

$$
H^{d+2}(F,\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(d+1))\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v} H^{d+2}(F_v,\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(d+1))
$$

is injective.

As in the classical case $d = 0$ (which corresponds to the classical Hasse principle for the Brauer group of K), or the case $d = 1$ (see [Ka1], appendix

by Colliot-Thélène), this Hasse principle has applications to quadratic forms. In fact, it implies that the Pythagoras number of \overline{F} is bounded by 2^{d+1} if $d \ge 2$ $([CTJ])$.

For the proof of Theorem 7.1 one first shows that, via the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence, the kernel of the map above is isomorphic to the kernel of the map

$$
H^2(K, H^d(F\overline{K}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(d+1))) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_v H^2(K_v, H^d(F\overline{K}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(d+1)))
$$

where $F\overline{K} = \overline{K}(\overline{V})$, with $\overline{V} = V \times_K \overline{K}$, is the corresponding function field over \overline{K} .

Now we have

$$
H^d(F\overline{K}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(d+1)) = \varinjlim H^d(\overline{U}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(d+1)),
$$

where $U \subseteq V$ runs over the smooth open subvarieties. Thus it suffices to show the injectivity of the injectivity of

$$
H^2(K, H^d(\overline{U}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})(d+1)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_v H^2(K_v, H^d(\overline{U}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})(d+1))
$$

for all affine open smooth $U \subseteq V$, or at least a cofinal set of them. To apply
Theorem 6.1, we investigate the weights of $N = H^d(\overline{U} \cap_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)(d+1))$. Now Theorem 6.1, we investigate the weights of $N = H^d(\overline{U}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$ ($d + 1$). Now $H^d(\overline{U}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is divisible (by weak Lefschetz, see (7.1) below) and mixed of weights d , ..., 2d, so N is mixed of weights $-2d - 2$, $-2d - 1$, ..., -3 , -2 . We cannot apply Theorem 6.1 directly, because the weight -2 occurs. But one can use the weight filtration to show that it suffices to consider the weight -2 quotient, which sits at the top of the ascending weight filtration.

There are many weight -2 modules for which the Hasse principle fails basically this amounts to tori over K for which the analogous Hasse principle is wrong. So we have to study $Gr_{-2}^W N$ carefully.

For this one uses resolution of singularities, which holds over fields of characteristic zero by the work of Hironaka: There is a good compactification $U \subset$ $X \supset Y = X - U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} Y_i$ as in section 1 (but over K), and we use the associated weight spectral sequence. But in general it has many terms and many associated weight spectral sequence. But in general it has many terms and many non-vanishing differentials.

The next observation is that one can greatly simplify the situation by the weak Lefschetz theorem. It says that

(7.1)
$$
H^{a}(\overline{U}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^{n}\mathbb{Z}) = 0 \text{ for } a > d
$$

if U is affine of dimension d. Now one chooses U affine and a smooth hyperplane section $Y_{N+1} \subset X$ which intersects the normal crossing divisor Y transversally. Then $Z = Y \cup Y_{N+1}$ is a divisor with normal crossings, $X^0 =$ $X - Y_{N+1}$, $U^0 = U - Y_{N+1} = X - Z$ and $Y^0 = Y - Y_{N+1}$ are affine, many terms in the spectral sequence vanish and one gets an exact sequence

(7.2)
$$
0 \longrightarrow Gr_{-2}^W H^d(\overline{U^0}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(d+1))
$$

$$
\longrightarrow H^0(\overline{Z^{[d]}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(1)) \stackrel{\gamma}{\longrightarrow} H^2(\overline{Z^{[d-1]}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(2)).
$$

Here $H^0(-)$ and $H^2(-)$ are induced Galois modules, and a finer analysis shows that one has a Hasse principle for $Gr_{-2}^W H^d(\overline{U^0}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(d+1))$. Since one can choose a cofinal set of these U^0 one obtains Theorem 7.1. choose a cofinal set of these U^0 , one obtains Theorem 7.1.

Theorem 7.1 extends to global fields K of positive characteristic if one assumes the existence of good compactifications over the perfect hull of K. Due to recent results on resolution of singularities [CP1], [CP2], [CJS], this holds for $d \leq 3$.

8. Application: Hasse principles for smooth projective varieties over global fields

Now we consider the cokernel of the map in Theorem 7.1. For any variety X over a global or local field, Kato defined a complex of Bloch–Ogus type $C^{2,1}(X,\mathbb Q_\ell/\mathbb Z_\ell)$:

$$
(8.1)
$$

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_a} H^{a+2}(k(x), \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(a+1)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_{a-1}} H^{a+1}(k(x), \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(a)) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_1} H^3(k(x), \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(2)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} H^2(k(x), \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(1)).
$$

Here X_a is the set of points $x \in X$ of dimension a, $k(x)$ is the residue field of x, and the term with X_a is placed in (homological) degree a. Then we have

Theorem 8.1 ([Ja5] Theorem 4.8)**.** *Let* K *be a number field, let* X *be a connected smooth projective variety over* K, and, for any place v of K, let $X_v =$ $X \times_K K_v$ be the corresponding variety over K_v . Then the restriction map

$$
C^{2,1}(X,\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v} C^{2,1}(X_v,\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})
$$

is injective, and for the cokernel $C'(X,{\mathbb Q}_{\ell}/{\mathbb Z}_{\ell})$ one has

(8.2)
$$
H_a(C'(X, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})) = \begin{cases} 0, & a > 0, \\ \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}, & a = 0 \end{cases}.
$$

This was conjectured by Kato ([Ka1] Conjecture 0.4) (for arbitrary global fields), is the classical sequence of Brauer groups

(8.3)
$$
0 \longrightarrow Br(K) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v} Br(K_v) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \longrightarrow 0
$$

for $d = 0$ and $X = \text{Spec}(K)$, and was proved by Kato for $d = 1$ in [Ka1]. In [JS2] there is an alternative proof of (8.2) (using Theorem 8.2 below).

Theorem 8.1 extends to a global function field K , if resolution of singularities holds over the perfect hull K' of K.

The first claim in Theorem 8.1 easily follows from Theorem 7.1 above, because the components of $C^{2,1}$ involve exactly the Galois cohomology groups considered in 7.1 for all residue fields of X.

For the proof of the second claim (and in fact even for the proof of Theorem 7.1) it is useful to consider the henselizations $K_{(v)}$ of K rather than the completions, and the factorization

(8.4)

$$
C^{2,1}(X,\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} \bigoplus_{v} C^{2,1}(X_{(v)},\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v} C^{2,1}(X_{v},\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}),
$$

where $X_{(v)} = X \times_K K_{(v)}$. This is possible because of the following rigidity result.

Theorem 8.2. *The map of complexes* $C^{2,1}(X_{(v)}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \longrightarrow C^{2,1}(X_v, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$ *is a quasi-isomorphism (i.e., induces an isomorphism in the homology) for all* v*.*

By this property one may replace the complex $C'(X, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$ with the complex $\overline{C}(X,\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ which is the cokernel of the map α in (8.4), and show (8.2) for this complex.

Note that the complexes $\overline{C}(X,\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$ exist for arbitrary (not necessarily smooth projective) varieties. Moreover, like for the complexes $C^{2,1}(X,\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$ and $C^{2,1}(\check{X}_{(v)}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$, one has canonical short exact sequences of complexes

$$
0 \longrightarrow \overline{C}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \longrightarrow \overline{C}(X, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \longrightarrow \overline{C}(V, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \longrightarrow 0
$$

for $Y \subseteq X$ closed with open complement $V = X - Y$, because X_a is the disjoint union of Y and V for all a disjoint union of Y_a and V_a for all a.

This gives rise to a so-called (Borel–Moore type) homology theory

$$
X \longmapsto \overline{H}_a(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell) := H_a(\overline{C}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell))
$$

on the category V_K^* of all varieties over K, with proper morphisms as morphisms, i.e., a sequence of covariant functors (for proper morphisms) from V_K^* to abelian groups together with long exact sequences

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow \overline{H}_a(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \xrightarrow{i_*} \overline{H}_a(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \xrightarrow{j^*} \overline{H}_a(V, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{\delta} \overline{H}_{a-1}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

for every closed immersion $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$ with open complements $j: V \hookrightarrow X$, such that these long exact sequence are compatible with proper maps and the additional morphisms j^* for open immersions, in an obvious way.

Next we observe that we can compute \overline{H}_a rather well if we have resolution of singularities and if we *assume* that property (8.2) holds for smooth projective varieties. For example, if U is a connected smooth quasi-projective variety over K and

(8.5)
$$
U \subset X \supset Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} Y_i
$$

is a good compactification, then one has an analogue of the weight spectral sequence which becomes now very simple because of (8.2). In fact it would follow that $H_a(U, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$ is the a-th homology of the complex (8.6)

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{\pi_0(Y^{[d]})} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{\pi_0(Y^{[d-1]})} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{\pi_0(Y^{[1]})} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}
$$

where $d = \dim(U)$ and the differentials have the obvious combinatoric description.

The proof of (8.2) then is based on the following result.

- **Theorem 8.3.** *(i) There is a homology theory* $H^W = \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ *on* $V^*_{\mathbf{K}}$ which *has the property that for* $U \subset X \supset Y$ *as in* (8.5)*,* $H_a^W(U, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ *is the a*-*th* homology of (8.6) a*-th homology of* (8.6)*.*
- *(ii) There is a morphism of homology theories*

$$
\varphi: \overline{H}.(-, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \longrightarrow H^{W}_{\cdot}(-, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}).
$$

(iii) This morphism is an isomorphism.

Obviously this implies (8.2) for \overline{C} , because this condition holds for the homology $H^{W}(-, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$ by Theorem 8.3 (i).

The construction of (i) and (ii) depends on resolution of singularities and will be discussed in the next section.

The crucial point of (iii) is that obviously one can show it by induction on dimension and localization, i.e., by showing that for any integral variety V with function field $K(V)$ the morphism

(8.7)
$$
\overline{H}_a(K(V), \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \longrightarrow H_a^W(K(V), \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})
$$

is an isomorphism for all a , where

(8.8)
$$
\overline{H}_a(K(V), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \lim_{\substack{V'}} \overline{H}_a(V', \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)
$$

(with the limit running over all open subvarieties $V' \subset V$), similarly for H^W .

Concerning (8.7), we note that by definition of $\overline{C}(-, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$ one has

(8.9)
$$
\overline{H}_a(K(V), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell) = 0 \text{ for } a \neq d = \dim(V).
$$

and

$$
\overline{H}_d(K(V), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \overline{C}_d(K(V), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)
$$
\n(8.10)
\n
$$
= \text{coker}\Big[H^{d+2}(K(V), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(d+1)) \to \bigoplus_v H^{d+2}(K(V)_{(v)}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(d+1))\Big]
$$
\n
$$
= H^d(\overline{K}(\overline{V}), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(d))_{G_K},
$$

where $\overline{V} = V \times_K \overline{K}$ and A_{G_K} is the cofixed module (maximal quotient with trivial action) of a discrete G_K -module A. The last isomorphism follows easily from Poitou–Tate duality (Here one uses that we consider henselizations $K_{(v)}$) instead of completions K_v).

It remains to show the same properties for the weight homology $H^W_$.
(\mathbb{Z}_e) Property (8.9) is shown by a Lefschetz aroument: If $U \subset X \supset Y$ is $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$). Property (8.9) is shown by a Lefschetz argument: If $U \subset X \supset Y$ is as above with U of pure dimension d, then by Bertini's theorem we can find a as above, with U of pure dimension d , then by Bertini's theorem we can find a smooth hyperplane section Y_{N+1} of X intersecting Y transversally and having the property that

$$
\pi_0(Y^{[i]} \cap Y_{N+1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0(Y^{[i]})
$$

is an isomorphism for all $i \leq d - 2$ (viz., where $\dim(Y^{[i]}) \geq 2$) and that

$$
\pi_0(Y^{[d-1]} \cap Y_{N+1}) \to \pi_0(Y^{[d-1]})
$$

is a surjection (note dim $(Y^{[d-1]}) = 1$). Let $U^0 = U - U \cap Y_{N+1}$, and note that $U^0 = Y - Z$ where $Z = Y + Y_{N+1}$ is again a simple pormal crossings that $U^0 = X - Z$, where $Z := Y \cup Y_{N+1}$ is again a simple normal crossings divisor on X, by the transversality of Y_{N+1} and Y.

Thus the commutative diagram (8.11)

$$
(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\pi_0(Y^{[d-1]}\cap Y_{N+1})} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\pi_0(Y^{[d-2]}\cap Y_{N+1})} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

$$
(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\pi_0(Y^{[d]})} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\pi_0(Y^{[d-1]})} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\pi_0(Y^{[d-2]})} \rightarrow \cdots,
$$

in which the first vertical arrow is a surjection, shows that $H_a(U^0, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell) = 0$ for $a \neq d$, because this is the *a*-th homology of the total complex associated for $a \neq d$, because this is the a-th homology of the total complex associated to the double complex (8.11). Since these U^0 are cofinal in the inductive limit (8.8), condition (8.9) follows for H^W . As for property (8.10) one has

$$
H_d^W(U^0, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \ker((\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{\pi_0(Z^{[d]})} \xrightarrow{\alpha^W} (\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{\pi_0(Z^{[d]})})
$$

by Theorem 8.3 (i). Now one shows the bijectivity of $\varphi : \overline{H}_d(U^0, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \longrightarrow$
 $H^W(U^0 \cap \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ by showing: $H_d^W(U^0, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ by showing:

Proposition 8.4. *There is a commutative diagram with exact rows*

$$
0 \to H^d(\overline{U^0}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(d))_{G_K} \to H^0(\overline{Z^{[d]}}), \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})_{G_K} \to H^2(\overline{Z^{[d-1]}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})_{G_K}
$$

\n
$$
\downarrow \varphi \qquad \cong \downarrow \varphi \qquad \cong \downarrow \varphi
$$

\n
$$
0 \longrightarrow H^W_d(U^0, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\pi_0(Z^{[d]})} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\pi_0(Z^{[d-1]})}.
$$

The upper row comes from the weight spectral sequence for $H^*(\overline{U^0}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$ (compare (7.2)).

9. Hypercoverings, hyperenvelopes, and weight complexes

Hypercoverings were used by Deligne to define weight filtrations and mixed Hodge structures on the cohomology of arbitrary complex algebraic varieties X. A covering of X is a surjective proper morphism $X' \rightarrow X$. It is called a smooth covering if X' is smooth. A simplicial variety X. over X (which is a simplicial object in the category of varieties over X , or, equivalently, a morphism of simplicial varieties $X \rightarrow X$ where X also stands for the constant simplicial variety associated to X) is called a hypercovering if for all $n > 0$, the morphism

$$
(9.1) \t\t X_n \longrightarrow (cos k_{n-1}^X sk_{n-1} X)_n
$$

is a covering. Here sk_n is the *n*-skeleton functor, i.e., $sk_n(X)$ is the *n*-truncated simplicial variety $(X_m)_{m \leq n}$. The functor

 $\cos k_n^X$: (*n*-truncated simplicial *X*-varieties) \longrightarrow (simplicial *X*-varieties)

is the right adjoint of sk_n (which exists by general nonsense), and the map (9.1) comes from the adjunction map

$$
X. \longrightarrow \operatorname{cosk}_{n-1}^{X} s k_{n-1} X.
$$

It is a standard fact that the considered cohomology theories satisfy descent for hypercoverings. So for a hypercovering $X \to X$ as above the map

(9.2)
$$
H^n(X, \mathbb{Q}) \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} H^n(X, \mathbb{Q})
$$

from the cohomology of X to the cohomology of the simplicial complex variety X: is an isomorphism. Note that for any simplicial variety X , we have a spectral sequence

(9.3)
$$
E_1^{p,q} = H^q(X_p, \mathbb{Q}) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{Q}).
$$

Call $X \rightarrow X$ a smooth hypercovering if all X_p are smooth. A smooth hypercovering exists, if every variety has a smooth covering, so this holds over any field by de Jong's resolution of singularities.

Now consider the case where X is proper. Then all X_n can be chosen to be smooth and projective, in which case we call $X \rightarrow X$ a smooth projective hypercovering. From (9.2) and (9.3) we obtain a spectral sequence

(9.4)
$$
E_1^{p,q} = H^q(X_p, \mathbb{Q}) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{Q}).
$$

Note that $H^q(X_p, \mathbb{Q})$ carries a pure Hodge structure of weight q. Deligne defined the mixed Hodge structure on $H^n(X, \mathbb{Q})$ in such a way that the spectral sequence (9.4) gives the weight filtration, and is a spectral sequence of mixed Hodge structures. Similarly as in section 1 it follows that the spectral sequence degenerates at E_2 .

Analogous facts hold for the étale \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -cohomology of varieties over finitely generated fields and the weights on them.

On the other hand it is known that the above descent theory does not extend to other functors—like algebraic K-theory, or Chow groups, or motivic cohomology—unless one uses Q-coefficients. But in [GS] H. Gillet and C. Soulé developed a theory which works for integral coefficients. For this they replaced coverings by so-called envelopes, i.e., surjective proper morphisms $\pi: X' \to X$ of schemes such that every $x \in X$ has a point $x' \in X'$ mapping to x such that the morphism $k(x) \rightarrow k(x')$ of residue fields is an isomorphism.
In particular π must be generically birational if X and X' are reduced Call an In particular, π must be generically birational if X and X' are reduced. Call an envelope $X' \to X$ of varieties smooth if X' is smooth. If the ground field has characteristic zero, then every reduced variety X has a smooth envelope by Hironaka's resolution of singularities [Hi]. By a standard technique this also gives a smooth hyperenvelope of X, i.e., a simplicial X-scheme $X: \rightarrow X$ such that each

$$
(9.5) \t\t X_n \longrightarrow (cos k_{n-1}^X sk_{n-1} X)_n
$$

is a smooth envelope. Now Gillet and Soulé showed that algebraic K-theory, Chow groups and many related functors have descent for hyperenvelopes.

Via these methods they were also able to construct the following. Let $Corr_K$ be the category of correspondences over a field K : objects are smooth projective varieties over K (not necessarily geometrically connected), and morphisms are algebraic correspondences modulo rational equivalence. Let CHM_K be the idempotent completion of $Corr_K$ —objects are pairs (X, p) where X is a smooth projective variety over K and p is an idempotent in $End_{Corr_K}(X)$. This is usually called the category of Chow motives over K (with integral coefficients); it is an additive category where each idempotent has a kernel and cokernel. In contrast to [GS] let us normalize the categories so that the functor $X \mapsto M(X) = (X, id)$ from varieties to motives is covariant. Let K^b (*CHM*_K) be the homotopy category of bounded chain complexes in CHM_K . Then one has:

Theorem 9.1 ([GS])**.** *Let* K *be a field of characteristic zero, or assume that resolution of singularities exists over* K*.*

- *(a) For any variety* X *over* K *there is an associated complex* $W(X)$ *in* K^b (CHM_K) (called the weight complex of X), which is determined up to *unique isomorphism.*
- (b) The association $X \mapsto W(X)$ is covariant for proper morphisms, i.e., a func*tor on* V_K^* .
- *(c) If* X *is a variety,* $Y \hookrightarrow X$ *is a closed subvariety and* $U \hookrightarrow X$ *is the open complement, then one has a canonical exact triangle*

$$
(9.6) \t W(Y) \longrightarrow W(X) \longrightarrow W(U) \longrightarrow W(Y)[1].
$$

(*d*) If U is a smooth variety of dimension d and $U \subset X \supset Y$ is a good com-
pactification, then $W(U)$ is represented by the complex *pactification, then* $W(U)$ *is represented by the complex*

$$
M(Y^{[d]}) \longrightarrow M(Y^{[d-1]}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M(Y^{[1]}) \longrightarrow M(X),
$$

with the obvious differentials.

Since the coefficients are integral everywhere, a remarkable consequence of this theory is that one has a well-defined weight filtration on integral singular cohomology with compact supports $H_c^n(X,\mathbb{Z})$ (over $\mathbb C$) or étale cohomology $H_c^n(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$, similarly for torsion coefficients, if one defines it via hyperenvelopes. The first one coincides with Deligne's filtration after tensoring with Q, but it is shown in [GS] that it cannot be recovered from the Q-filtration. It would be interesting to see if this weight filtration—which is trivial for smooth projective varieties by definition, gives some interesting information on coefficients mod ℓ , say.

The results above also give the following, which immediately implies Theorem 8.3 (i).

Theorem 9.2 ([GS] 3.1.1)**.** *If* $H : CHM_K \longrightarrow Ab$ is a covariant functor from *Chow motives to abelian groups, there is a natural way to extend* H *to a ho*mology thery $H.(-)$ on V_K^* such that the following holds for smooth projective X . X*:*

$$
H_a(X) = \begin{cases} 0, & a \neq 0, \\ H(X), & a = 0 \end{cases}.
$$

In fact, one gets the weight homology H^W (-) by applying Theorem 9.2 to functor $H(Y) = (\mathbb{Q}_k / \mathbb{Z}_k)^{\pi_0(X)}$ the functor $H(X) = (\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\pi_0(X)}$.

As for the morphism of homology theories in Theorem 8.3 (ii), it is obtained by refining Theorem 9.2 to a functor with values in complexes, applying it to the complexes $\overline{C}(X,\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$, and defining φ as induced by functoriality of the construction starting from the trace map

$$
f_* : \overline{C}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \longrightarrow \overline{C}(\mathrm{Spec}(K), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell
$$

for a connected smooth projective variety $f : X \to \text{Spec}(K)$.

10. Varieties over finite fields

Kato also stated a conjecture for varieties over finite fields. For such varieties X he defined a complex $C^{1,0}(X,\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$: (10.1)

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_a} H^{a+1}(k(x), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(a)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_{a-1}} H^a(k(x), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(a-1))
$$

$$
\longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} H^1(k(x), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)
$$

and stated the following conjecture.

Conjecture 10.1 ([Ka1] Conjecture 0.3). If X is connected, smooth and proper over a finite field k , then

(10.2)
$$
H_a(C^{1,0}(X, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})) = \begin{cases} 0, & a > 0, \\ \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}, & a = 0 \end{cases}.
$$

For dim $(X) = 1$ this conjecture amounts to (8.3) with $K = k(X)$, for $\dim(X) = 2$ the conjecture was proved by Colliot-Thélène, Sansuc, and Soulé [CTSS] for ℓ invertible in k and $a = 2$, by Gros [Gr] for $\ell = \text{char}(k)$ and $a = 2$, and by Kato [Ka1] in general. S. Saito [SaS] proved that $H_3(C^{2,1}(X,\mathbb{O}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}))$ $= 0$ for dim $(X) = 3$ and $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$. For X of any dimension Colliot-Thélène [CT] (for $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$) and Suwa [Su] (for $\ell = \text{char}(k)$) proved that $H_a(C^{1,0}(X,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)) = 0$ for $0 < a < 3$.

In [Ja5] Theorem 6.1 it is shown that resolution of singularities for varieties of dimension $\leq d$ would imply this conjecture for X smooth projective of dimension $\leq d$. In [JS2] some recent results on resolution of singularities [CJS] are applied in a different way to obtain the following unconditional result.

Theorem 10.2. *If* X *is connected, smooth and projective over a finite field, then*

$$
H_a(C^{1,0}(X, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < a \leq 4, \\ \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}, & a = 0 \end{cases}.
$$

There are applications to the finiteness of certain motivic cohomology groups with finite coefficients of X :

Theorem 10.3 ([JS2] Theorem 6.3)**.** *Let* X *be a smooth projective variety of pure dimension* d *over a finite field* k*. Assume that the Galois symbol*

(10.3)
$$
K_q^M(L) \longrightarrow H^q(L, \mathbb{Z}/\ell \mathbb{Z}(q))
$$

between Milnor K*-theory and Galois cohomology ([Mi], [Ta], [BK]) is surjective for all* $\ell \mid n$ *and all fields* L *above* k *. Then the cycle maps*

$$
\rho_X^{r,t}: CH^r(X, t; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = H^{2r-t}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(r)) \longrightarrow H^{2r-t}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(r))
$$

between higher Chow groups/motivic cohomology groups with finite coefficients and étale cohomology are isomorphisms for $r > d$ *and* $t \leq q-1$ *, and for* $r = d$ *and* $t < q-2 < 2$. In particular the above higher Chow groups are finite under *these conditions.*

See also [Ja4] for some results in similar direction for \mathbb{Z} -coefficients, and work of T. Geisser [Ge], who formulated and studied an integral form of Kato's conjecture (over a finite field).

The surjectivity of (10.3) is known for $\ell = \text{char}(k)$ ([BK]), and for ℓ invertible in k in the following cases: $q = 1$ (Kummer theory), $q = 2$ ([MS]), $\ell = 2$ ([V1]); it has been announced by Rost and Voevodsky to hold in general [Ro], [V2], see also [SJ] and [Weib].

Finally let me mention that Kato [Ka1] also stated some conjectures for regular proper schemes over \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}_p (related to those considered in sections 7, 8, and 9). These were studied in [JS1], again by weight methods.

Acknowledgements. I thank Takeshi Saito heartily for carefully checking the manuscript.

References

[CTSS] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc and C. Soulé, Torsion dans le groupe de Chow de codimension deux, Duke Math. J., **50** (1983), 763–801.

[CP1] V. Cossart and O. Piltant, Resolution of singularities of threefolds in positive characteristic. I. Reduction to local uniformization on Artin–Schreier and purely inseparable coverings, J. Algebra, **320** (2008), 1051–1082.

- [Ja1] U. Jannsen, On the Galois cohomology of l-adic representations attached to varieties over local or global fields, In: Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Paris, 1986–87, Progr. Math., **75**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1988, pp. 165–182.
- [Ja2] U. Jannsen, On the *l*-adic cohomology of varieties over number fields and its Galois cohomology, In: Galois Groups Over Q, Berkeley, CA, 1987, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., **16**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989, pp. 315–360.
- [Ja3] U. Jannsen, Mixed Motives and Algebraic K-theory. With Appendices by S. Bloch and C. Schoen, Lecture Notes in Math., **1400**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [Ja4] U. Jannsen, On finite-dimensional motives and Murre's conjecture, In: Algebraic Cycles and Motives. Vol. 2, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **344**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007, pp. 112–142.
- [Ja5] U. Jannsen, Hasse principles for higher-dimensional fields, preprint, 2009, arXiv:0910.2803[math.AG].
- [JS1] U. Jannsen and S. Saito, Kato homology of arithmetic schemes and higher class field theory over local fields, Doc. Math., Extra vol.: K. Kato's Fiftieth Birthday (2003), 479–538 (electronic).
- [JS2] U. Jannsen and S. Saito, Kato conjecture and motivic cohomology over finite fields, preprint, 2009, arXiv:0910.2815[math.AG].
- [Ka1] K. Kato, A Hasse principle for two-dimensional global fields. With an appendix by J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J. Reine Angew. Math., **366** (1986), 142–183.
- [Ka2] K. Kato, Semi-stable reduction and p-adic étale cohomology, In: Périodes p-adiques, Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988, Astérisque, **223**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1994, pp. 269– 293.
- [KM] N.M. Katz and W. Messing, Some consequences of the Riemann hypothesis for varieties over finite fields, Invent. Math., **23** (1974), 73–77.
- [MS] A.S. Merkurjev and A.A. Suslin, K-cohomology of Severi–Brauer Varieties and the norm residue homomorphism, Math. USSR-Izv., **21** (1983), 307–340.
- [Mi1] J.S. Milne, Étale Cohomology, Princeton Math. Ser., **33**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1980.
- [Mi2] J.S. Milne, Values of zeta functions of varieties over finite fields, Amer. J. Math., **108** (1986), 297–360.
- [Mi] J. Milnor, Algebraic K-theory and quadratic forms, Invent. Math., **9** (1970), 318– 344.
- [Nakk] Y. Nakkajima, Weight filtration and slope filtration on the rigid cohomology of a variety in characteristic $p > 0$, preprint, 180 p.
- [NS] Y. Nakkajima and A. Shiho, Weight Filtrations on Log Crystalline Cohomologies of Families of Open Smooth Varieties, Lecture Notes in Math., **1959**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [Pp] Périodes p-adiques, In: Papers from the Seminar Held in Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988, Astérisque, **223**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1994. pp. 1–397.
- [RZ] M. Rapoport and Th. Zink, Über die lokale Zetafunktion von Shimuravarietäten. Monodromiefiltration und verschwindende Zyklen in ungleicher Charakteristik, Invent. Math., **68** (1982), 21–101.
- [Ra] W. Raskind, Higher l-adic Abel–Jacobi mappings and filtrations on Chow groups, Duke Math. J., **78** (1995), 33–57.
- [Ro] M. Rost, Norm varieties and algebraic cobordism, In: Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II, Beijing, 2002, Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002, pp. 77–85.
- [SaM] M. Saito, Monodromy filtration and positivity, preprint, June 25, 2000.

