Front. Math. China 2018, 13(2): 277–286 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11464-017-0680-x

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and projective dimension of a squarefree monomial ideal

Lizhong CHU, Shisen LIU, Zhongming TANG

Department of Mathematics, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, China

-c Higher Education Press and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2017

Abstract Let $S = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in *n* variables over a field *K,* and let *I* be a squarefree monomial ideal minimally generated by the monomials u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m . Let *w* be the smallest number *t* with the property that for all integers $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_t \leq m$ such that $\text{lcm}(u_{i_1}, u_{i_2}, \ldots, u_{i_t}) =$ $lcm(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m)$. We give an upper bound for Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of I by the bigsize of I . As a corollary, the projective dimension of *I* is bounded by the number *w.*

Keywords Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, projective dimension, squarefree monomial ideals **MSC** 13F55, 13C10, 13C15

1 Introduction

Let $S = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in *n* variables over a field *K*, and let *I* be an ideal of *S.* The (Castelnuovo-Mumford) regularity of an ideal *I*, denoted by reg(*I*), is defined to be the minimal number *r* such that the *i*-th syzygy module of *I* is generated by elements of degree $\leq i+r$ for all $i\geqslant 0$. It can be considered as a refined notion of the maximal degree of minimal generators of *I* as a measure of the complexity of Gröbner basis computation. On the other hand, the regularity provides the relationship between the local cohomology and the syzygy module of *I,* and directly links to the geometric degree, the dimension of *I* or S/I , and other invariant. We can refer to some papers, such as [1–3,5,6,13,16], for the background and some important development of the regularity of I . In particular, there are two conjectures (see $[1,6]$) related to the

Received September 20, 2014; accepted December 20, 2017

Corresponding author: Lizhong CHU, E-mail: chulizhong@suda.edu.cn

regularity of *I* :

$$
reg(I) \leq g\text{eom-deg}(I),
$$

$$
reg(I) \leq deg(S/I) - codim(S/I) + 1.
$$

In fact, under the special conditions that *I* is a (squarefree) monomial ideal, geom-deg(*I*), $deg(S/I) - codim(S/I) + 1$, and so on, provide the bounds of the regularity of I (see [7,11]).

The notions of the size and bigsize of a monomial ideal were introduced by Lyubeznik [12] and Popescu [14], respectively. Lyubeznik used the size of a monomial ideal to study its arithmetical rank. One result was given by him that

$$
\mathrm{projdim}\,(S/I) \leqslant \mathrm{ara}(I)
$$

if I is a squarefree monomial ideal $(12,$ Proposition 3. While the bigsize of a monomial ideal was used firstly by Popescu to consider the Stanley Conjecture.

Let *I* be a squarefree monomial ideal minimally generated by the monomials u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m . We prove that the regularity of *I* can be bounded by bigsize_S(*I*) + 1 (bigsize_S(*I*) denotes the bigsize of *I*). As a corollary, the projective dimension of a squarefree monomial ideal *I* is bounded by the number *w.* Here, *w* is the smallest number *t* with the property that for all integers $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_t \leq m$ such that

$$
lcm(u_{i_1}, u_{i_2}, \ldots, u_{i_t}) = lcm(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m).
$$

2 Results

Throughout this paper, Let $S = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$. Let $I \subset S$ be a squarefree monomial ideal, and let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} P_i$ be its presentation as an irredundant intersection of prime monomial ideals. It is well known that the set $\{P_1, P_2, \ldots\}$ *Ps*} is determined uniquely by *I.*

The following result is useful for the computation of the regularity of a graded finitely generated *S*-modules.

Lemma 1 [5, Corollary 20.19] *Let*

$$
0\to L\to M\to N\to 0
$$

be a short exact sequence of graded finitely generated S-modules. Then

 (i) reg $(L) \leq \max\{reg(M), reg(N) + 1\}$ *, the equality holds if* reg $(M) \neq$ $reg(N)$:

 $\text{(ii)} \ \text{reg}(M) \leq \max\{\text{reg}(L), \text{reg}(N)\}\$, the equality holds if $\text{reg}(N) \neq \text{reg}(L) - 1$ 1 *or if* $L_n = 0$ *for* $n \gg 0$;

 (iii) reg $(N) \leq \max\{reg(M), reg(L) - 1\}$ *, the equality holds if* reg $(M) \neq$ $reg(L)$.

Let I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_d be some monomial complete intersections. Chardin et al. [4] proved that

$$
reg(I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \cdots \cap I_d) \leqslant reg(I_1) + reg(I_2) + \cdots + reg(I_d).
$$

In fact, it was proved by Herzog [8] that this result holds in case that I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_d are arbitrary monomial ideals. Note that $reg(P) = 1$ for *P* an ideal generated by some variables, and that

$$
reg(S/I) = reg(I) - 1.
$$

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of their result. Here, we directly deduce it from Lemma 1. This technique of the proof gives us a hint that we could get an upper bound from the number of some particular subset of the minimal prime ideals appearing in the primary decomposition of a squarefree monomial ideal.

Lemma 2 *Let* $I \subset S$ *be a squarefree monomial ideal, and let* $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} P_i$ *be its presentation as an irredundant intersection of prime monomial ideals. Then*

$$
\operatorname{reg}(S/I) \leqslant s - 1.
$$

Proof We use induction on *s.*

If $s = 1$, then

$$
reg(S/I) = reg(S/P_1) = 0 \leq s - 1.
$$

Note that

$$
\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i + P_s = \bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} (P_i + P_s)
$$

since these ideals are all monomial ideals. Then there exists a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow S/I \longrightarrow S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i \oplus S/P_s \longrightarrow S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} (P_i + P_s) \longrightarrow 0.
$$

Note that

$$
reg(S/P_i) = 0, \quad i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}.
$$

Then, by induction and Lemma 1, we have

$$
\operatorname{reg}(S/I) \leqslant \max \left\{ \operatorname{reg}\left(S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i \oplus S/P_s \right), \operatorname{reg}\left(S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} (P_i + P_s) \right) + 1 \right\}
$$

$$
\leqslant \max \left\{ \operatorname{reg}\left(S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i \right), \operatorname{reg}(S/P_s), \operatorname{reg}\left(S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} (P_i + P_s) \right) + 1 \right\}
$$

$$
\leqslant s - 1.
$$

In order to present our main result in this paper, we recall the notion of size and bigsize of a monomial ideal. Let $I \subset S$ be a squarefree monomial, and let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} P_i$ be an irredundant intersection of prime monomial ideals. The *size of I*, denoted by size_{*S*}(*I*), is the number

$$
v + n - \text{height}\bigg(\sum_{j=1}^s P_j\bigg) - 1,
$$

where

$$
v = \min\bigg\{t\,\Big|\,\sum_{k=1}^t P_{i_k} = \sum_{j=1}^s P_j \text{ holds for some integers } i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_t\bigg\}.
$$

Replacing in the previous definition of *v* "for some integers $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_t$ " by "for any integers $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_t$ ", one obtains the definition of *bigsize of I*, which is denoted by bigsize_S(*I*). The corresponding number *v* is denoted by $b\text{-}size_S(I)$ *.* Clearly,

$$
size_S(I) \leqslant bigsize_S(I) \leqslant s.
$$

When

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{s} P_i = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n),
$$

we have

big
$$
sign(z)
$$
 = b-size_S(I) – 1.

Theorem 3 *Let* $S = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n] = K[X]$ *. Let* $I \subset S$ *be a squarefree monomial ideal. Then*

$$
reg(S/I) \leqslant b\text{-size}_S(I) - 1.
$$

In particular,

$$
reg(S/I) \leqslant bigsize_S(I).
$$

Proof Let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} P_i$ be its presentation as an irredundant intersection of prime monomial ideals. We prove the result by using induction on b-size $S(I)$. We may assume that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{s} P_i = \mathbf{m} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n),
$$

the graded maximal ideal of *S.* Indeed, let

$$
Z = \left\{ x_i \notin \sum_{i=1}^s P_i \right\}, \quad T = K[X \setminus Z], \quad J = I \cap T.
$$

Then the sum of the associated prime ideals of *J* is the graded maximal ideal of *T,*

$$
reg(S/I) = reg(T/J),
$$

and by the definition of b-size and bigsize,

$$
b\text{-size}_S(I) = b\text{-size}_T(J), \quad \text{bigsize}_S(I) = \text{bigsize}_T(J) + |Z|.
$$

If $b\text{-size}_S(I)=1$, then

$$
I = P_1 = \mathbf{m},
$$

so $reg(S/I) = 0$ and the result is clear. If $b\text{-size}_S(I)=2$, then

$$
S/\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i + P_s\right) = S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} (P_i + P_s) = S/\mathbf{m}.
$$

By Lemma 1, the short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow S/I \longrightarrow S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i \oplus S/P_s \longrightarrow S/\bigg(\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i + P_s\bigg) \longrightarrow 0
$$

implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\operatorname{reg}(S/I) &\leq \max \left\{ \operatorname{reg}\left(S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i \oplus S/P_s \right), \operatorname{reg}\left(S/\bigg(\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i + P_s \right) \right) + 1 \right\} \\
&\leq \max \left\{ \operatorname{reg}\left(S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i \right), \operatorname{reg}(S/P_s), \operatorname{reg}(S/\mathbf{m}) + 1 \right\} \\
&\leq \max \left\{ \operatorname{reg}\left(S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i \right), 1 \right\}.\n\end{aligned}
$$

When

$$
s - 1 \geqslant \text{b-size}(I) = 2,
$$

noting that

$$
\text{b-size}_S\bigg(\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i\bigg) = 2,
$$

we replace the above ideal *I* by $\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i$ and repeat the above process. Then

$$
reg(S/I) \le \max \left\{ reg\left(S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i\right), 1 \right\} \le 1 = b\text{-size}_S(I) - 1.
$$

Now, we let

$$
t = \text{b-size}(I) > 2,
$$

and assume that the result holds for any squarefree monomial ideal with smaller b-size. Clearly, $t \leq s$.

By Lemma 1, the short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow S/I \longrightarrow S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i \oplus S/P_s \longrightarrow S/\bigg(\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i + P_s\bigg) \longrightarrow 0
$$

implies that

$$
\operatorname{reg}(S/I) \leqslant \max \left\{ \operatorname{reg}\left(S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i \oplus S/P_s\right), \operatorname{reg}\left(S/\bigg(\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i + P_s\bigg)\right) + 1 \right\}
$$

$$
\leqslant \max \left\{ \operatorname{reg}\left(S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i\right), \operatorname{reg}(S/P_s), \operatorname{reg}\left(S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} (P_i + P_s)\right) + 1 \right\}.
$$

Note that

$$
\mathrm{b\text{-}size}_S\bigg(\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1}(P_i+P_s)\bigg)\leqslant t-1.
$$

So by induction,

$$
\operatorname{reg}\left(S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1}(P_i+P_s)\right)\leq t-2.
$$

Then

$$
reg(S/I) \le \max \left\{ reg\left(S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i\right), t-1 \right\}.
$$

When $s - 1 > t$, noting that

$$
\mathrm{b\text{-}size}_S\bigg(\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1}P_i\bigg)\leqslant t,
$$

we replace the above ideal *I* by $\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i$ and repeat the above process until $s - 1 \leqslant t$. Then

$$
reg(S/I) \le max \left\{ reg\left(S/\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i\right), t-1 \right\} \leq t-1 = b\text{-size}_{S}(I) - 1.
$$

(The last inequality holds by Lemma 2.) This completes the proof. \Box

Example 4 Let $S = K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$, and let

$$
I = (x_1x_3, x_1x_4, x_2x_5, x_2x_3)
$$

= $(x_1, x_2) \cap (x_1, x_3, x_5) \cap (x_3, x_4, x_5) \cap (x_2, x_3, x_4).$

Then

$$
size_S(I) = 1, \quad bisize_S(I) = 2.
$$

By Theorem 3,

 $reg(S/I) \leqslant 2.$

If $reg(I) = 2$, then *I* has a linear resolution. This is impossible. So

$$
\operatorname{reg}(S/I) = 2.
$$

This tells us that the upper bound bigsize_S(*I*) of reg(*S*/*I*) cannot be refined by $size_S(I)$.

Remark 5 Let $I \subset S$ be a squarefree monomial ideal. It is well known that

$$
\dim(S/I) \geqslant \text{reg}(S/I)
$$

(see [15, Chap. 2, Lemma 2.5]). In addition, some upper bounds of $reg(I)$ were provided by Frühbis-Krüger and Terai [7], Hoa and Trung [11], and so on. Theorem 3 also provides an upper bound for the regularity of *I.*

Example 6 Let $S = K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$, and let

$$
I = (x_1x_4, x_2x_4x_5, x_3x_4x_5) = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \cap (x_4) \cap (x_1, x_5).
$$

Then

$$
bigsize_S(I) = 2, \quad \dim_S(S/I) = 4, \quad \text{depth}_S(S/I) = 2.
$$

By Theorem 3, $reg(S/I) \leq 2$, and so $reg(S/I) = 2$. Thus, the bound in Theorem 3 is tight in some cases. In particular, [7, Theorem 4.1 or 4.3] is not applicable in this situation.

Example 7 Let $S = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_7]$ *,* and let

$$
I = (x_1x_3, x_2x_4, x_5x_6x_7)
$$

= $(x_1, x_2, x_5) \cap (x_2, x_3, x_5) \cap (x_1, x_4, x_5) \cap (x_1, x_4, x_6)$

$$
\cap (x_3, x_4, x_5) \cap (x_3, x_4, x_6) \cap (x_1, x_4, x_7) \cap (x_1, x_2, x_6)
$$

$$
\cap (x_1, x_2, x_7) \cap (x_2, x_3, x_6) \cap (x_2, x_3, x_7) \cap (x_3, x_4, x_7).
$$

Then

$$
size_S(I) = 2, \quad bisize_S(I) = 6, \quad reg(S/I) = 4, \quad dim_S(S/I) = 4.
$$

This tells us that Theorem 3 provides the upper bound bigsize_S(*I*) for reg(*I*) is bad sometimes.

As before, let $S = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in *n* variables over a field *K*, and let Δ be a simplicial complex on [*n*]. For each subset $F \subset [n]$, we set

$$
x_F = \prod_{i \in F} x_i.
$$

Recall that the Stanley-Reisner ideal of Δ is the ideal I_{Δ} of *S* which is generated by those squarefree monomials x_F with $F \notin \Delta$. One sets

$$
K[\Delta] = S/I_{\Delta}.
$$

Then the Alexander dual of Δ is defined to be the simplicial complex

$$
\Delta^{\vee} = \{ [n] \setminus F \colon F \notin \Delta \}.
$$

Clearly, one has

$$
(\Delta^{\vee})^{\vee} = \Delta.
$$

Let

$$
I_{\Delta} = P_{F_1} \cap P_{F_2} \cap \dots \cap P_{F_m}
$$

be the standard primary decomposition of I_Δ . Here,

$$
P_G = (\{x_i\}_{i \in G}), \quad G \subset [n].
$$

Then ${x_{F_1}, x_{F_2}, \ldots, x_{F_m}}$ is the minimal monomial set of generators of *I*_Δ∨. It is well known that (see [17])

$$
projdim(I_{\Delta}) = \text{reg}(K[\Delta^{\vee}]).
$$

Let *I* be a squarefree monomial ideal minimally generated by the monomials u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m . Let

$$
G(I) = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m\}.
$$

Recently, the notion of the big cosize of *I* was defined by Herzog et al. [10]. Let *w* be the smallest number *t* with the property that for all integers $1 \leq i_1$ $i_2 < \cdots < i_t \leqslant m$,

$$
\text{lcm}(u_{i_1}, u_{i_2}, \dots, u_{i_t}) = \text{lcm}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m).
$$

Then the number deg $lcm(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m) - w$ is called the *big cosize of I*, denoted by bigcosize_S(*I*). We denote the corresponding number *w* by b -cosize_{*S*}(*I*).

It is well known that $projdim(M) \leq n$ for any finitely generated *S*-module *M.* Lyubeznik also gave a result that

$$
\mathrm{projdim}(S/I) \leqslant \mathrm{ara}(I)
$$

if *I* is a squarefree monomial ideal. So the following result relates these two results in case that *I* is a squarefree monomial ideal.

Corollary 8 *Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal of S. Then*

 $projdim(S/I) \leqslant b$ -cosize_{*S*}(*I*).

Proof Let Δ be the simplicial complex with the property that $I = I_{\Delta}$. Note that

$$
\mathrm{b\text{-}cosize}_S(I_\Delta) = \mathrm{b\text{-}size}_S(I_{\Delta^\vee}).
$$

Then, by Theorem 3,

$$
\mathrm{projdim}(I_\Delta)=\mathrm{reg}(K[\Delta^\vee])\leqslant \mathrm{b\text{-}size}_S(I_{\Delta^\vee})-1=\mathrm{b\text{-}cosize}_S(I_\Delta)-1.\quad \ \ \Box
$$

Let *u* be a monomial in *S.* Set

$$
m(u) = \max\{i \mid x_i \mid u\}.
$$

It shows that the generators of a squarefree stable monomial ideal have the following property.

Corollary 9 *Let I be a squarefree stable ideal of <i>S*. Then for any $u \in G(I)$,

$$
m(u) - \deg(u) + 1 \leq b \text{-cosize}_S(I).
$$

Proof Note that, for the squarefree stable ideal *I,*

$$
projdim(I) = \max\{m(u) - \deg(u) \mid u \in G(I)\}
$$

(see [9, Corollary 7.4.2]). Then the result follows. \square

Corollary 10 *Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal of S. Then*

$$
grade(I, S) \leqslant b\text{-}cosizeS(I).
$$

Proof By using the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula and Corollary 8, we have

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{b-cosize}_{S}(I) - 1 &\geq \text{projdim}(I) \\ &= n - \text{depth}(I) \\ &= \dim(S) - \text{depth}(S/I) - 1 \\ &\geq \dim(S) - \dim(S/I) - 1 \\ &\geq \text{grade}(I, S) - 1. \end{aligned}
$$

Then the result follows. \Box

Acknowledgements This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11201326), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (No. BK2011276), and the Jiangsu Provincial Training Programs of Innovation and Entrepreneurship for Undergraduates.

References

- 1. Bayer D, Mumford D. What can be computed in algebraic geometry? In: Eisenbud D, Robbiano L, eds. Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra. Proceedings of a Conference held at Cortona, Italy, 1991. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ Press, 1993, 1–48
- 2. Bayer D, Stillman M. A criterion for detecting *m*-regularity. Invent Math, 1987, 87: 1–11
- 3. Chardin M. Some results and questions on Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity. In: Peeva I, ed. Syzygies and Hilbert Functions. Lect Notes Pure Appl Math, Vol 254. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2007, 1–40
- 4. Chardin M, Minh N C, Trung N V. On the regularity of products and intersections of complete intersections. Proc Amer Math Soc, 2007, 135(6): 1597–1606
- 5. Eisenbud D. Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1995
- 6. Eisenbud D, Goto S. Linear free resolutions and minimal multiplicities. J Algebra, 1984, 88: 89–133
- 7. Frühbis-Krüger A, Terai N. Bounds for the regularity of monomial ideals. Le Matematiche, 1998, LIII-Suppl: 83–97
- 8. Herzog J. A generalization of the Taylor complex construction. Comm Algebra, 2007, 35: 1747–1756
- 9. Herzog J, Hibi T. Monomial Ideals. Berlin: Springer, 2010
- 10. Herzog J, Popescu D, Vladoiu M. Stanley depth and size of a monomial ideal. Proc Amer Math Soc, 2012, 140: 493–504
- 11. Hoa L T, Trung N V. On the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and the arithmetic degree of monomial ideals. Math Z, 1998, 229: 519–537
- 12. Lyubeznik G. On the arithmetical rank of monomial ideals. J Algebra, 1988, 112: 86–89
- 13. Peeva I, Stillman M. Open problems on syzygies and Hilbert Functions. J Commut Algebra, 2009, 1(1): 159–195
- 14. Popescu D. Stanley conjecture on intersections of four monomial prime ideals. Comm Algebra, 2003, 41: 4351–4362
- 15. St¨uckrad J, Vogel W. Buchsbaum Rings and Applications. Berlin: Springer, 1986
- 16. Sturmfels B, Trung N V, Vogel W. Bound on degrees of projective schemes. Math Ann, 1995, 302: 417–432
- 17. Terai N, Hibi T. Alexander duality theorem and second Betti numbers of Stanley-Reisner rings. Adv Math, 1996, 124: 332–333