Front. Math. China 2018, 13(1): 107–127 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11464-017-0661-0

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Vanishing of stable homology with respect to a semidualizing module

Li LIANG

School of Mathematics and Physics, Lanzhou Jiaotong University, Lanzhou 730070, China

-c Higher Education Press and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2017

Abstract We investigate stable homology of modules over a commutative noetherian ring R with respect to a semidualzing module C , and give some vanishing results that improve/extend the known results. As a consequence, we show that the balance of the theory forces C to be trivial and R to be Gorenstein.

Keywords Stable homology, semidualizing module, proper resolution **MSC** 13D05, 13D07, 16E05

1 Introduction

Stable homology, as a broad generalization of Tate homology to the realm of associative rings, was introduced by Vogel and Goichot [9], and further studied by Celikbas et al. [2,3] and Emmanouil and Manousaki [6]. In [2], it was shown that the vanishing of stable homology over commutative noetherian local rings can detect modules of finite projective (injective) dimension, even of finite Gorenstein dimension, which lead to some characterizations of classical rings such as Gorenstein rings, the original domain of Tate homology. Emmanouil and Manousaki [6] further investigated stable homology of modules, and gave some vanishing results that improve results in [2] by relaxing the conditions on rings and modules.

The study of semidualizing modules was initiated independently by Foxby $[8]$, Golod $[10]$, and Vasconcelos $[19]$. Over a commutative noetherian ring R, a finitely generated R -module C is semidualizing if

Hom_{*R*}(*C*, *C*) ≅ *R*, Ext^{*i*}_{*R*}(*C*, *C*) = 0, $\forall i \ge 1$.

Examples include finitely generated projective R-modules of rank 1. Modules of finite homological dimension with respect to a semidualizing module have

Received September 17, 2016; accepted September 4, 2017 E-mail: lliangnju@gmail.com

been studied in numerous papers. For example, Takahashi and White [18] and Salimi et al. [15] gave some characterizations for such modules in terms of the vanishing of relative (co)homology. In this paper, we show that the vanishing of stable homology can also detect modules of finite homological dimension with respect to a semidualizing module. Our main results are following two theorems.

Theorem 1.1 *Let* R *be a commutative noetherian ring, and let* C *be a semidualizing* R*-module. For an* R*-module* M, *the following conditions are equivalent*: $P^{\text{in}}_{\text{indualizing}}$ *R*-module. For an *R*-module
 *P*_C-pd_{*R}M* < ∞ ;

(i) \mathscr{F}_C -pd*_RM* < ∞ ;

(ii) $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_n^{\mathscr{P}_C\mathscr{F}_C}(M, -) = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$;</sub>

(i) \mathscr{F}_C -pd_RM < ∞ ; *ivalent:*

(i) \mathscr{F}_C -1

(ii) $\widetilde{\operatorname{Tor}}_r^{\varepsilon}$

(iii) $\widetilde{\operatorname{Tor}}$

(iii)
$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{n}^{\mathcal{P}_{C}\mathcal{I}_{C}}(M,-)=0
$$
 for some $n \geq 0$.

Moreover, if M *is finitely generated, then* (i)–(iii) are equivalent to

 $(i') \mathscr{P}_C$ -pd_{*R}M* < ∞ .</sub>

Theorem 1.2 *Let* R *be a commutative noetherian ring, and let* C *be a semidualizing* R*-module. For an* R*-module* N, *the following conditions are equivalent*: $\begin{align} \text{eorem} \ \text{indualizing} \ \text{(i)} \quad & \mathscr{I}_C \ \text{(ii)} \quad & \text{Tor} \end{align}$ *ivalent:*

(i) \mathscr{I}_C -i

(ii) $\widetilde{\text{Tor}}_r^{\varepsilon}$

(iii) $\widetilde{\text{Tor}}$

(i) \mathscr{I}_C -id_RN < ∞ ;

(ii)
$$
\widetilde{\text{Tor}}_n^{\mathcal{P}_C\mathcal{I}_C}(-,N) = 0
$$
 for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$;

(iii)
$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{n}^{\mathcal{P}_C\mathcal{I}_C}(-,N) = 0
$$
 for some $n < 0$.

The above two results improve the right and left vanishing results in the introduction of [2]. Here, the notations \mathscr{F}_C -pd_{*R}M*, \mathscr{I}_C -id_{*R}N*, and</sub></sub> (iii) $\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathcal{P}_C\mathcal{I}_C}_{n}(-, N) = 0$ *for some* $n < 0$.
The above two results improve the right and left vanishing results
in the introduction of [2]. Here, the notations $\mathcal{F}_C\neg \text{d}_R M$, $\mathcal{I}_C\neg \text{d}_R N$, and
 \operator that the isomorphisms of [2]. Here, the notations 2 and $\text{For}^{\mathcal{P}_C\mathcal{I}_C}_{*}(M,N) \cong \text{Tor}^{\mathcal{P}_C\mathcal{I}_C}_{*}(M,N)$

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}}_*^{\mathscr{P}_C\mathscr{I}_C}(M,N)\cong \widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}}_*^{\mathscr{P}_C\mathscr{I}_C}(N,M)
$$

for all R-modules M and N force C to be trivial and R to be a Gorenstein ring; see Corollary 4.1 below.

We prove these results using the next characterization of stable (unbounded) tensor product inspired by the work of Emmanouil and Manousaki [6].

Theorem 1.3 *Let* X *be a complex of* R◦*-modules, and let* Y *be a bounded above complex of* R-modules with $\sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid Y_i \neq 0\} = k$. Then there are *isomorphisms of complexes of* Z*-modules*

$$
X \overline{\otimes}_R Y \cong \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ((X \otimes_R Y)/(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}))
$$

$$
X \widetilde{\otimes}_R Y \cong \lim_{i \to \infty} (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}).
$$

and

$$
X \widetilde{\otimes}_R Y \cong \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^1 (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}).
$$

One refers to Section 3 for the definitions of $X \overline{\otimes}_R Y$ and $X \widetilde{\otimes}_R Y$, and lim¹ is the right derived functor of the limit lim; see Section 3.

2 Preliminaries

We begin with some notation and terminology for using throughout this paper.

Throughout this work, all rings are assumed to be associative rings. Let R be a ring; by an R-module we mean a left R-module, and we refer to right R modules as modules over the opposite ring R° . We denote by \mathscr{P} (resp., \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{I}) the class of projective R -modules (resp., flat R -modules, injective R -modules).

By an R-complex we mean a complex of R-modules. We frequently (and without warning) identify R -modules with R -complexes concentrated in degree 0. For an R -complex X , we set

$$
\sup X = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid X_i \neq 0\}, \quad \inf X = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid X_i \neq 0\}.
$$

An R-complex X is *bounded above* if $\sup X < \infty$, and it is *bounded below* if inf X > −∞. An R-complex X is *bounded* if it is both bounded above and bounded below. The *n*th *homology* of X is denoted by $H_n(X)$. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\Sigma^k X$ denotes the complex with the degree-n term $(\Sigma^k X)_n = X_{n-k}$ and whose boundary operators are $(-1)^k \partial_{n-k}^X$. We set $\Sigma M = \Sigma^1 M$.

If X and Y are both R-complexes, then by a *morphism* $\alpha: X \to Y$ we mean a sequence $\alpha_n: X_n \to Y_n$ such that

$$
\alpha_{n-1}\partial_n^X = \partial_n^Y \alpha_n, \quad \forall \, n \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

A *quasi-isomorphism*, indicated by the symbol \cong , is a morphism of complexes that induces an isomorphism in homology.

Let $\mathscr X$ be a class of R-modules. Following Enochs and Jenda [7], an $\mathscr X$ precover of an R-module M is a homomorphism $X \to M$ with $X \in \mathcal{X}$ such that the homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_R(X',X)\to\operatorname{Hom}_R(X',M)
$$

is surjective for each $X' \in \mathcal{X}$. \mathcal{X} is called a precovering class if each R-module has a $\mathscr X$ -precover.

For a precovering class $\mathscr X$, there is a complex X^+ :

$$
\cdots \to X_1 \to X_0 \to M \to 0,
$$

with each X_i in \mathscr{X} , such that $\text{Hom}_R(X', X^+)$ is exact for each $X' \in \mathscr{X}$. The truncated complex X :

$$
\cdots \to X_1 \to X_0 \to 0,
$$

is called a *proper* $\mathscr X$ -resolution of M, which is always denoted by $X \to M$. If $\mathscr X$ contains all projective R-modules, then the complex X^+ is exact. In this case, we always denote by $X \stackrel{\simeq}{\rightarrow} M$ the proper $\mathscr X$ -resolution of M.

The $\mathscr X$ -projective dimension of M is the quantity

 \mathscr{X} -pd_{*R}M* = inf{sup *X* | *X* \rightarrow *M* is a proper \mathscr{X} -resolution of *M*}.</sub>

We define *preenveloping classes Y* , *proper Y -coresolutions*, and *Y -injective dimension* of M (denoted by $\mathscr{Y}\text{-}\mathrm{id}_R M$) dually.

When $\mathscr X$ is the class of projective (resp., flat) R-modules, $\mathscr X$ -pd_RM is the classical projective (resp., flat) dimension; we refer the reader to [15, Remark 2.6] for the flat case. Also, when $\mathscr Y$ is the class of injective R-modules, $\mathscr Y$ -id_RM is the classical injective dimension.

3 Characterization of stable (unbounded) tensor product

We start by recalling the definition of stable (unbounded) tensor product.

Definition 3.1 Let X be an $R[°]$ -complex, and let Y be an R-complex. The *tensor product* $X \otimes_R Y$ is the Z-complex with degree-n term e definition of sta

be an R° -complex

is the Z-complex
 $(X \otimes_R Y)_n = \coprod$

$$
(X\otimes_R Y)_n=\coprod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(X_i\otimes_R Y_{n-i})
$$

and differential given by

$$
\partial^{X\otimes_R Y}(x\otimes y)=\partial^X(x)\otimes y+(-1)^{|x|}x\otimes \partial^Y(y).
$$

Following [2,9], the *unbounded tensor product* $X\overline{\otimes}_RY$ is the Z-complex with degree- n term $(x \otimes y) = \partial^X(x) \otimes$
ounded tensor pr
 $(X \otimes_R Y)_n = \prod$

$$
(X\otimes_R Y)_n=\prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(X_i\otimes_R Y_{n-i})
$$

and differential defined as above. $X \otimes_R Y$ is a subcomplex of $X \overline{\otimes}_R Y$, so we let degree-*n* term
 $(X \otimes_R Y)_n = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (X_i \otimes_R Y_{n-i})$

and differential defined as above. $X \otimes_R Y$ is a subcomplex of $X \overline{\otimes}_R Y$, so we let
 $X \widetilde{\otimes}_R Y$ denote the quotient complex $(X \overline{\otimes}_R Y)/(X \otimes_R Y)$, which is called *stable tensor product*.

We notice that if X or Y is bounded, or if both of them are bounded on the same side (above or below), then the unbounded tensor product coincides $X \widetilde{\otimes}_R Y$ denote the quotient complex $(X \overline{\otimes}_R Y)/(X \otimes_R Y)$, which is called
stable tensor product.
We notice that if X or Y is bounded, or if both of them are bounde
the same side (above or below), then the unbounde $\det_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{a}$ or in
the unbou
able tense
 $\det_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{b}$ an N
 $X^i \to \prod$

Remark 3.1 Let $\{\nu^{uv}: X^v \to X^u\}_{u \leq v}$ be an N-inverse system of R-complexes. For the morphism

$$
1 - \nu: \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X^i \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X^i
$$

given by

$$
(1 - \nu)_k (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = (x_i - \nu_k^{i, i+1} (x_{i+1}))_i
$$

where $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X^i_k$, it is well known that

given by

$$
(1 - \nu)_k (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = (x_i - \nu_k^{i,i+1} (x_{i+1}))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z},
$$

$$
\operatorname{Ker}(1-\nu) = \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X^i, \quad \operatorname{Coker}(1-\nu) = \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} 1 X^i.
$$

Here, $\lim_{h \to 0} 1$ is the right derived functor of the limit lim; see, e.g., [5,14,20], for more details. That is, there is an exact sequence of R-complexes: *z* with respect to a sem

lerived functor of there is an exact sequence $X^i \to \prod X^i \to \prod X^i$

$$
0 \to \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X^i \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X^i \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X^i \to \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X^i \to 0.
$$

Let X be an R-complex, and let $X = X^0 \supseteq X^1 \supseteq \cdots$ be a filtration. Then the embeddings $\varepsilon^{i}: X^{i} \to X^{i-1}$ and the morphisms $\pi^{i}: X/X^{i} \to X/X^{i-1}$ determine the N-inverse systems

$$
\{ \varepsilon^{uv} \colon X^v \to X^u \}_{u \leqslant v}, \quad \{ \pi^{uv} \colon X/X^v \to X/X^u \}_{u \leqslant v},
$$

respectively. For these systems, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.1 *Let* X *be an* R-complex, and let $X = X^0 \supseteq X^1 \supseteqeqcdots$ *be a* filtration. Then $\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X/X^i = 0$, and there exists an exact sequence

$$
0 \to \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X^i \to X \to \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X/X^i \to \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X^i \to 0.
$$

the following commutative diagram with exa

$$
0 \to \prod X^i \to \prod X \to \prod X/X^i \to 0
$$

Proof Consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$
0 \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X^i \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X/X^i \to 0
$$

$$
\downarrow 1 - \varepsilon \qquad \downarrow 1 - \mathrm{id} \qquad \downarrow 1 - \pi
$$

$$
0 \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X^i \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X/X^i \to 0.
$$

We notice that the constant N-inverse system $\{X\}$ has $\lim_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X = X$ and $\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X = 0$ since 1 – id is surjective. Then by Remark 3.1 and the snake lemma, one gets the desired results. □

Remark 3.2 Let X be an R° -complex, and let Y be an R-complex. For fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the filtration

$$
Y_{\leq k} \supseteq Y_{\leq k-1} \supseteq Y_{\leq k-2} \supseteq \cdots
$$

induces a filtration

$$
X\otimes_R Y_{\leq k}\supseteq X\otimes_R Y_{\leq k-1}\supseteq X\otimes_R Y_{\leq k-2}\supseteq\cdots.
$$

Thus, we have two N-inverse systems

$$
\{ \varepsilon^{uv} \colon X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-v} \to X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-u} \}_{u \leq v},
$$

$$
\{ \pi^{uv} \colon (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k})/(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-v}) \to (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k})/(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-u}) \}_{u \leq v}.
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3 We first prove the case when $k = 0$. In this case, $Y =$ $Y_{\leqslant 0}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sum_{i} Y_{\leq k}$ / $(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-v}) \to (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k})$
 n 1.3 We first prove the case where $\mathbb{E} \mathbb{Z}$,
 $p(n) = \coprod (X_{n+p} \otimes_R (Y_{\leq 0}) - p) = \coprod$

$$
(X \otimes_R Y_{\leqslant 0})_n = \coprod_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} (X_{n+p} \otimes_R (Y_{\leqslant 0})_{-p}) = \coprod_{p \geqslant 0} (X_{n+p} \otimes_R (Y_{\leqslant 0})_{-p}),
$$

and for each $i \geqslant 1$,

$$
\text{for each } i \geq 1,
$$
\n
$$
(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq -i})_n = \coprod_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} (X_{n+p} \otimes_R (Y_{\leq -i})_{-p}) = \coprod_{p \geq i} (X_{n+p} \otimes_R (Y_{\leq 0})_{-p}).
$$

Thus, one gets

$$
(X \otimes_R Y_{\le -i})_n = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} (X_{n+p} \otimes_R (Y_{\le -i})_{-p}) = \prod_{p \ge i} (X_{n+p} \otimes_R (Y_{\le 0})_{-p}).
$$

\nThus, one gets
\n
$$
((X \otimes_R Y_{\le 0})/(X \otimes_R Y_{\le -i}))_n \cong \prod_{p=0}^{i-1} (X_{n+p} \otimes_R (Y_{\le 0})_{-p}) = \prod_{p=0}^{i-1} (X_{n+p} \otimes_R (Y_{\le 0})_{-p}).
$$

\nThis implies that
\n
$$
\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ((X \otimes_R Y_{\le 0})/(X \otimes_R Y_{\le -i}))_n \cong \prod_{p=0} (X_{n+p} \otimes_R (Y_{\le 0})_{-p}) = (X \otimes_R Y_{\le 0})_n.
$$

This implies that

$$
\lim_{i\in\mathbb{N}}((X\otimes_R Y_{\leqslant 0})/(X\otimes_R Y_{\leqslant -i}))_n\cong \prod_{p\in\mathbb{Z}}(X_{n+p}\otimes_R (Y_{\leqslant 0})_{-p})=(X\,\overline{\otimes}_R Y_{\leqslant 0})_n.
$$

Now, it is straightforward to verify

$$
X\mathbin{\overline{\otimes}}_R Y_{\leq 0}\cong \lim_{i\in\mathbb{N}} ((X\otimes_R Y_{\leq 0})/(X\otimes_R Y_{\leq -i})).
$$

Since $\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq -i}) = 0$, there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \to X \otimes_R Y_{\leq 0} \to X \overline{\otimes}_R Y_{\leq 0} \to \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^1 (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq -i}) \to 0
$$

.:1 and the isomorphism proved above. Thus, one gets

$$
X \widetilde{\otimes}_R Y_{\leq 0} \cong \lim_{i \to \mathbb{N}}^1 (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq -i}).
$$

by Lemma 3.1 and the isomorphism proved above. Thus, one gets

$$
X \widetilde{\otimes}_R Y_{\leq 0} \cong \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^1 (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq -i}).
$$

In the general case, when $\sup Y=k\in\mathbb{Z},$ we notice that

$$
Y = \Sigma^k (\Sigma^{-k} Y)_{\leq 0}, \quad (\Sigma^{-k} Y)_{\leq -i} = \Sigma^{-k} Y_{\leq k - i}.
$$

Then one has

$$
X \overline{\otimes}_R Y = \Sigma^k (X \overline{\otimes}_R (\Sigma^{-k} Y)_{\leq 0})
$$

\n
$$
\cong \Sigma^k \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ((X \otimes_R (\Sigma^{-k} Y)_{\leq 0})/(X \otimes_R (\Sigma^{-k} Y)_{\leq -i}))
$$

\n
$$
\cong \Sigma^k \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ((X \otimes_R \Sigma^{-k} Y_{\leq k})/(X \otimes_R \Sigma^{-k} Y_{\leq k-i}))
$$

\n
$$
\cong \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ((X \otimes_R Y)/(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}))
$$

\n
$$
X \widetilde{\otimes}_R Y = \Sigma^k (X \widetilde{\otimes}_R (\Sigma^{-k} Y)_{\leq 0})
$$

and

$$
X \widetilde{\otimes}_R Y = \Sigma^k (X \widetilde{\otimes}_R (\Sigma^{-k} Y)_{\leq 0})
$$

\n
$$
\cong \Sigma^k \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^1 (X \otimes_R (\Sigma^{-k} Y)_{\leq -i})
$$

\n
$$
\cong \Sigma^k \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^1 (X \otimes_R \Sigma^{-k} Y_{\leq k-i})
$$

\n
$$
\cong \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^1 (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}),
$$

as desired.

Corollary 3.1 *Let* X *be an* R◦*-complex, and let* Y *be a bounded above* R*complex with* $\sup Y = k$. *Then there exists an exact sequence* **9 3.1** Let *X* be an R° -complex, and let *Y* be a bounded x ith sup $Y = k$. Then there exists an exact sequence $0 \to \prod (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}) \to \prod (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}) \to X \tilde{\otimes}_R Y \to 0$.

$$
0 \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}) \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}) \to X \widetilde{\otimes}_R Y \to 0
$$

nce

$$
\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}) = 0, \quad \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^1 (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}) \cong X \widetilde{\otimes}_R Y,
$$

Proof Since

$$
\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}) = 0, \quad \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^1 (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}) \cong X \widetilde{\otimes}_R Y,
$$

by Theorem 1.3, the desired exact sequence now follows from Remark 3.1. We *Proof* Since
 $\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}) = 0$, $\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}) \cong X \widetilde{\otimes}_R Y$,

by Theorem 1.3, the desired exact sequence now follows from Remark 3.1. We

notice that the map from $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i})$ statement is $1 - \varepsilon$, where

$$
\varepsilon^{uv}\colon X\otimes_R Y_{\leqslant k-v}\to X\otimes_R Y_{\leqslant k-u}
$$

for $u \leq v$ is induced by the filtration $Y_{\leq k} \supseteq Y_{\leq k-1} \supseteq Y_{\leq k-2} \supseteq \cdots$; see Remarks 3.1 and 3.2. \Box

Corollary 3.2 *Let* X *be an* R◦*-complex, and let* Y *be a bounded above* R*complex with* sup $Y = k$. *Then, for each* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, *there exists an exact sequence k*−*i*) → H_{n+1}($X \overset{\sim}{\otimes}_R Y$) → lim
 $k-i$) → H_{n+1}($X \overset{\sim}{\otimes}_R Y$) → lim

$$
0 \to \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} {}^{1}H_{n+1}(X \otimes_{R} Y_{\leq k-i}) \to H_{n+1}(X \widetilde{\otimes}_{R} Y) \to \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} H_{n}(X \otimes_{R} Y_{\leq k-i}) \to 0.
$$

In particular, $H_{n+1}(X \widetilde{\otimes}_R Y) = 0$ *if and only if*

$$
\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} {}^{1}\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(X \otimes_{R} Y_{\leq k-i}) = 0 = \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_{n}(X \otimes_{R} Y_{\leq k-i}).
$$

\n*r* Corollary 3.1, there is an exact sequence
\n
$$
0 \to \prod (X \otimes_{R} Y_{\leq k-i}) \to \prod (X \otimes_{R} Y_{\leq k-i}) \to X \widetilde{\otimes}_{R} Y -
$$

Proof By Corollary 3.1, there is an exact sequence

$$
\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} {}^{1}\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(X \otimes_{R} Y_{\leq k-i}) = 0 = \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} {}^{1}\mathcal{H}_{n}(X \otimes_{R} Y_{\leq k-i}).
$$

\n*oof* By Corollary 3.1, there is an exact sequence
\n
$$
0 \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (X \otimes_{R} Y_{\leq k-i}) \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (X \otimes_{R} Y_{\leq k-i}) \to X \widetilde{\otimes}_{R} Y \to 0.
$$

\n*uus, one gets the following exact sequence:*
\n
$$
\cdots \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} {}^{1}\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(X \otimes_{R} Y_{\leq k-i}) \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} {}^{1}\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(X \otimes_{R} Y_{\leq k-i}) \to H_{n+1}(X \otimes_{R} Y_{\leq k-i})
$$

Thus, one gets the following exact sequence:

$$
0 \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}) \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}) \to X \otimes_R Y \to 0.
$$

Thus, one gets the following exact sequence:

$$
\cdots \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} H_{n+1}(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}) \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} H_{n+1}(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}) \to H_{n+1}(X \otimes_R Y)
$$

$$
\to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} H_n(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}) \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} H_n(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}) \to \cdots,
$$

which yields the desired exact sequence from the definitions of the $\lim_{n \to \infty} \ln 1$ groups. \Box

Remark 3.3 Recall that an N-inverse system $\{\delta_{uv}: M_v \to M_u\}_{u \leq v}$ of Rmodules satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition if for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an index $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j \geq i$ such that $\text{Im } \delta_{ij} = \text{Im } \delta_{ik}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq j$. It is clear that if $\delta_{i,i+1}$ is surjective for each $i \geq 0$, then the N-inverse system $\{\delta_{uv}: M_v \to M_u\}_{u \leq v}$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition. Grothendieck proved in [11] that if the N-inverse system $\{\delta_{uv}: M_v \to M_u\}_{u \leq v}$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition, then one has $\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_i = 0$. Moreover, following [5, Corollary 6], $\lim_{i \to \infty} M_i^{(N)} = 0$ if and only if the N-inverse system ${\delta_{uv}: M_v \to M_u}_{u \leq v}$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition. *w* satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition, then one has $\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_i = 0$. Moreover, following [5, Corollary 6], $\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_i^{(\mathbb{N})} = 0$ if and only if the N-inverse system $\{\delta_{uv}: M_v \to M_u\}_{u \leq v}$ satisfies the Mitt

Corollary 3.3 *Let X be an R*°*-complex, let Y be a bounded above R-complex with* sup $Y = k$, and let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $H_n(X^{(N)} \tilde{\otimes}_R Y) = 0$, then the N-inverse system $\{\delta_{uv} : H_n(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-v}) \to H_n(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k$ $system \{\delta_{uv} : H_n(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-v}) \to H_n(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-u})\}_{u \leq v}$ satisfies the Mittag-*Leffler condition.*

$$
\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} {}^1\mathrm{H}_n(X^{(\mathbb{N})} \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}) = 0,
$$

and so one gets

$$
\lim_{i\in\mathbb{N}}^{1}(\mathrm{H}_{n}(X\otimes_{R}Y_{\leq k-i}))^{(\mathbb{N})}=0,
$$

which implies that the N-inverse system $\{\delta_{uv}: H_n(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-v}) \to H_n(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-v})\}$ $Y_{\leq k-u}$ } $_{u\leq v}$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition; see Remark 3.3. □

Checking the proof of [6, Lemma 4.1], one gets the following result.

Lemma 3.2 *Let* $\{\delta_{uv}: X_v \to X_u\}_{u \leq v}$ *be an* N-*inverse system of* R-modules *satisfying the Mittag-Leffler condition. If* $\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i = 0$, *then one has*

$$
\operatornamewithlimits{colim}_{i\in\mathbb N}\operatorname{Hom}_\mathbb Z(X_i,\mathbb Q/\mathbb Z)=0.
$$

The next proposition will be used to prove our main results advertised in the introduction.

Proposition 3.1 *Let* X *be an* R◦*-complex, let* Y *be a bounded above* R*complex with* $\sup Y = k$, *and let* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If Let X be an R°-complex, let Y be $=k$, and let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If
 $H_n(X^{(\mathbb{N})}\ \tilde{\otimes}_R Y) = 0 = H_{n+1}(X\ \tilde{\otimes}_R Y),$

$$
\mathrm{H}_n(X^{(\mathbb{N})}\widetilde{\otimes}_R Y)=0=\mathrm{H}_{n+1}(X\widetilde{\otimes}_R Y),
$$

then one has

$$
\operatornamewithlimits{colim}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{H}_{-n}(\mathrm{Hom}_{R^\circ}(X, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{\geqslant i-k}))=0
$$

and

$$
\underset{i\in\mathbb{N}}{\text{colim}}\,\mathrm{H}_{-n}(\mathrm{Hom}_R(Y_{\leqslant k-i},\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})))=0.
$$

Proof The N-inverse system $\{\delta_{uv} : H_n(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-v}) \to H_n(X \otimes_R Y_{\leq k-u})\}_{u \leq v}$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition by Corollary 3.3. The vanishing of $\text{colim}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{H}_{-n}(\mathbf{H})$
Proof The N-inverse syste:
satisfies the Mittag-Leffler
 $\mathbf{H}_{n+1}(X \widetilde{\otimes}_R Y)$ implies that

$$
\lim_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathrm{H}_n(X\otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i})=0;
$$

see Corollary 3.2. Thus, by Lemma 3.2, one has

$$
\operatorname*{colim}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{H}_{-n}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X\otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i},\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))\cong \operatorname*{colim}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{H}_n(X\otimes_R Y_{\leq k-i}),\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})
$$

$$
= 0.
$$

Now, the desired results hold by the adjoint isomorphism. \Box

We end this section with the following result that will be used in the next section.

Proposition 3.2 *Let* X *be an* R◦*-complex, let* Y *be a bounded* (R, S◦)*-complex, and let* Z *be an* S*-complex. Then there is an isomorphism of* Z*-complexes* $\det X$ be an R° -complex, let Y be a bounded (R, S°) -complex
nplex. Then there is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -complexes
 $(X \otimes_R Y) \widetilde{\otimes}_S Z \to X \widetilde{\otimes}_R (Y \otimes_S Z),$ (3.1)

$$
(X \otimes_R Y) \widetilde{\otimes}_S Z \to X \widetilde{\otimes}_R (Y \otimes_S Z), \tag{3.1}
$$

which is functorial in X, Y, *and* Z.

Proof Consider the following commutative diagram of Z-complexes:

\n
$$
s
$$
 functional in X, Y, and Z.\n

\n\n Consider the following commutative diagram of \mathbb{Z} -complexes:\n

\n\n $0 \to (X \otimes_R Y) \otimes_S Z \to (X \otimes_R Y) \otimes_S Z \to (X \otimes_R Y) \otimes_S Z \to 0$ \n

\n\n \downarrow \n

\n\n $0 \to X \otimes_R (Y \otimes_S Z) \to X \otimes_R (Y \otimes_S Z) \to X \otimes_R (Y \otimes_S Z) \to 0.$ \n

We notice that

$$
X\overline{\otimes}_R Y=X\otimes_R Y,\quad Y\overline{\otimes}_S Z=Y\otimes_S Z,
$$

since Y is bounded. Then the second vertical map α is an isomorphism by [2, Proposition A4]. The first one is clearly an isomorphism. So one gets an isomorphism (3.1), which is clearly functorial in X, Y, and Z. \Box

4 Stable homology with respect to semidualizing module

Convention In this section, R is a commutative noetherian ring, and C is a semidualizing R-module.

Definition 4.1 Let $\mathscr X$ (resp., $\mathscr Y$) be a precovering (resp., preenveloping) class **EXECUTE:** The set α (Exp., β) so a preservating (Exp., prediction of *R*-modules. For *R*-modules *M* and *N*, let $X \to M$ be a proper $\mathscr X$ -resolution of *M*, and let $N \to Y$ be a proper $\mathscr Y$ -coresolution of *N*. of M, and let $N \to Y$ be a proper *Y*-coresolution of N. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the nth *stable homology* of M and N with respect to $\mathscr X$ and $\mathscr Y$ is

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}}_n^{\mathscr{X}\mathscr{Y}}(M,N) = \mathrm{H}_{n+1}(X \widetilde{\otimes}_R Y).
$$

Following [7, Section 8.2], any two proper $\mathscr X$ -resolutions of M, and similarly, any two proper $\mathscr Y$ -coresolutions of N, are homotopy equivalent. Thus, by [2, 1.5(d)], the above definition is independent of the choices of (co)resolutions.

116
We notice that $\widetilde{\text{Tor}}_n^{\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}}$ $\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{I}}{\partial n}(M,N)$ is the classical stable homology, $\widetilde{\text{Tor}}$ $\frac{R}{n}(M,N)$, of M and N defined by Goichot [9]; see also [2].

We denote by \mathscr{P}_C (resp., \mathscr{F}_C , \mathscr{I}_C) the class of R-modules $C \otimes_R P$ (resp., $C \otimes_R F$, Hom_R (C, I)) with P projective (resp., F flat, I injective). Then \mathcal{P}_C and \mathcal{F}_C are precovering and \mathcal{I}_C is preenveloping; see, e.g., Holm and White [12, Proposition 5.3]. In the next lemma, (a) and (b) can be found in [15, Lemma 3.1], (c) can be proved as in [15, Lemma 3.1(c)], and (d) is from [18, Lemma 2.1(b)].

Lemma 4.1 *Let* M *be an* R*-module.*

(a) If $F \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} \text{Hom}_R(C, M)$ *is a proper flat* (*resp., projective*) *resolution, then* $C \otimes_R F \to M$ *is a proper* \mathscr{F}_C (*resp.,* \mathscr{P}_C)-resolution of M.

(b) *If* $G \to M$ *is a proper* \mathscr{F}_C (*resp.,* \mathscr{P}_C *)*-*resolution of* M, *then*

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, G) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M)
$$

is a proper flat (*resp., projective*)-*resolution of* $\text{Hom}_R(C, M)$.

(c) If $C \otimes_R M \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} I$ is an injective resolution of $C \otimes_R M$, then $M \to$ $\text{Hom}_{R}(C, I)$ *is a proper* \mathcal{I}_{C} -coresolution.

(d) If $M \to J$ is a proper \mathscr{I}_{C} -coresolution of M, then $C \otimes_R M \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} C \otimes_R J$ *is an injective resolution of* $C \otimes_R M$. *njective resolution of*
 injective resolution of
 position 4.1 *Let M a*
 $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_n^{\mathcal{P}_C\mathcal{F}_C}(M,N) \cong \widehat{\text{Tor}}$ *n* $\mathcal{L} \otimes_R M$.
 nd N be *R*-modules. Then there $\frac{R}{n}$ (Hom_{*R*}(*C*, *M*), $C \otimes_R N$) ≅ Tor

Proposition 4.1 *Let* M *and* N *be* R*-modules. Then there are isomorphisms*

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}}_n^{\mathcal{P}_C\mathcal{I}_C}(M,N) \cong \widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}}_n^R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(C,M), C \otimes_R N) \cong \widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}}^{\mathcal{F}_C\mathcal{I}_C}(M,N),
$$

which are functorial in M *and* N.

Proof Let $P \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} \text{Hom}_R(C, M)$ be a projective resolution of $\text{Hom}_R(C, M)$, and let $C \otimes_R N \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} I$ be an injective resolution of $C \otimes_R N$. Then by Lemma 4.1 (a)
and (c), $C \otimes_R P \to M$ is a proper \mathscr{P}_C -resolution of M , and $N \to \text{Hom}_R(C, I)$
is a proper \mathscr{P}_C -coresolution, and so one gets
 and (c), $C \otimes_R P \to M$ is a proper \mathscr{P}_C -resolution of M , and $N \to \text{Hom}_R(C, I)$

is a proper \mathscr{I}_C -coresolution, and so one gets
 $\text{Tor}_n^{\mathscr{P}_C\mathscr{I}_C}(M, N) = \text{H}_{n+1}((C \otimes_R P) \widetilde{\otimes}_R \text{Hom}_R(C, I))$
 $\cong \text{H}_{n+1}(P \widetilde$ is a proper \mathcal{I}_C -coresolution, and so one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\operatorname{Tor}_{n}^{\mathcal{P}_{C}\mathcal{I}_{C}}(M, N) &= \mathrm{H}_{n+1}((C \otimes_{R} P) \widetilde{\otimes}_{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, I)) \\
&\cong \mathrm{H}_{n+1}(P \widetilde{\otimes}_{R} (C \otimes_{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, I))) \\
&\cong \mathrm{H}_{n+1}(P \widetilde{\otimes}_{R} I) \\
&\cong \operatorname{Tor}_{n}^{R}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, M), C \otimes_{R} N),\n\end{aligned}
$$

where the first isomorphism follows from Proposition 3.2, and the second one holds since I is a complex of injective R -modules. isomorphism follows for
a complex of injective
phism
 $\widetilde{\operatorname{Tor}}^{\mathscr{F}_C \mathscr{I}_C}(M,N) \cong \widetilde{\operatorname{Tor}}$

The isomorphism

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}}^{\mathcal{F}_C \mathcal{F}_C} (M, N) \cong \widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}}_n^R (\mathrm{Hom}_R(C, M), C \otimes_R N)
$$

can be proved similarly by taking a proper flat resolution $F \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} \text{Hom}_R(C, M)$ and using Lemma 4.1 (a) and [2, Proposition 2.6].

Now, it is straightforward to verify that the desired isomorphisms are functorial in M and N . Now, it is strain
ctorial in *M* and
nma 4.2 *Let i*
(a) *If* $\operatorname{Tor}_{n-1}^{\mathscr{P}_C \mathscr{I}_C}$ *nma* 4.1 (a) and [2, Propositions is straightforward to verify
i and *N*.
Let M be an R-module, an
 $\mathcal{P}_C \mathcal{I}_C$
 \mathcal{P}_n-1 $(-, M) = 0$, then Tor

Lemma 4.2 *Let* M *be an* R *-module, and let* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ *.*

- $\frac{\mathscr{P}_C \mathscr{I}_C}{n}(-,M)=0.$ ctorial in *M* and
 nma 4.2 *Let i*

(a) *If* $\operatorname{Tor}_{n-1}^{\mathscr{P}_C \mathscr{I}_C}$

(b) *If* $\operatorname{Tor}_{n+1}^{\mathscr{P}_C \mathscr{I}_C}$ *I* and *N*.
 Let M be an *R*-module, an
 $\mathcal{P}_C \mathcal{P}_C$
 \mathcal{P}_n-1 (-, *M*) = 0, then Tor
 $\mathcal{P}_C \mathcal{P}_C$
 \mathcal{P}_n+1 (*M*, -) = 0, then Tor
- $\frac{\mathscr{P}_C \mathscr{I}_C}{n}(M,-)=0.$

Proof (a) For an R-module M' , by [12, Proposition 5.3 (b)], there is a complex

$$
0 \to K \to P \to M' \to 0
$$

with $P \in \mathscr{P}_C$ such that the sequence

$$
0 \to \text{Hom}_R(P', K) \to \text{Hom}_R(P', P) \to \text{Hom}_R(P', M') \to 0
$$

is exact for each $P' \in \mathcal{P}_C$. In particular, the sequence

$$
0 \to \text{Hom}_R(C, K) \to \text{Hom}_R(C, P) \to \text{Hom}_R(C, M') \to 0
$$

is exact. Since $\text{Hom}_R(C, P)$ is projective, one gets

$$
0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, K) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, P) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M') \to 0
$$

et. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, P)$ is projective, one gets

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_n^R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M'), C \otimes_R M) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{n-1}^R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, K), C \otimes_R M),
$$

by Proposition 4.1,

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_n^{\mathscr{P}_C \mathscr{I}_C}(M', M) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{n-1}^{\mathscr{P}_C \mathscr{I}_C}(K, M) = 0,
$$

and so by Proposition 4.1,

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}}_n^{\mathcal{P}_C \mathcal{I}_C} (M', M) \cong \widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}}_{n-1}^{\mathcal{P}_C \mathcal{I}_C} (K, M) = 0,
$$

and so by Proposition 4.1,
 $\widetilde{\text{Tor}}_n^{\mathcal{P}_C \mathcal{I}_C} (M', M)$

which yields $\widetilde{\text{Tor}}_n^{\mathcal{P}_C \mathcal{I}_C} (-, M) = 0$.

(b) Let N be an R-module. Then by [12, Proposition 5.3 (c)], there is a complex

$$
0 \to N \to I \to K \to 0
$$

with $I \in \mathcal{I}_C$ such that the sequence

$$
0 \to \text{Hom}_R(K, I') \to \text{Hom}_R(I, I') \to \text{Hom}_R(N, I') \to 0
$$

is exact for each $I' \in \mathcal{I}_C$. Since $C^{\vee} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is in \mathcal{I}_C , the sequence

$$
0 \to \text{Hom}_R(K, C^{\vee}) \to \text{Hom}_R(I, C^{\vee}) \to \text{Hom}_R(N, C^{\vee}) \to 0
$$

is exact, which implies that the sequence

$$
0\to C\otimes_R N\to C\otimes_R I\to C\otimes_R K\to 0
$$

is exact. We notice that $C \otimes_R I$ is injective. Then one gets

$$
0 \to C \otimes_R N \to C \otimes_R I \to C \otimes_R K \to 0
$$

$$
\therefore \text{ We notice that } C \otimes_R I \text{ is injective. Then one gets}
$$

$$
\widehat{\text{Tor}}_n^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, M), C \otimes_R N) \cong \widehat{\text{Tor}}_{n+1}^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, M), C \otimes_R K),
$$

and so by Proposition 4.1,

118
\nand so by Proposition 4.1,
\n
$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Tor}}_n^{\mathscr{P}_C\mathscr{I}_C}(M,N) \cong \widetilde{\operatorname{Tor}}_{n+1}^{\mathscr{P}_C\mathscr{I}_C}(M,K) = 0,
$$
\nwhich yields
$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Tor}}_n^{\mathscr{P}_C\mathscr{I}_C}(M,-) = 0.
$$

Now, we are in a position to give the proofs of our main results described in the introduction.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (i) ⇒ (ii) Since \mathscr{F}_C -pd_{*R}M* < ∞, there is a proper \mathscr{F}_C -resolution $F \to M$ with F bounded. Thus, for each R -module N with $N \to I$
a proper \mathscr{I}_C -coresolution, one has
 $\widetilde{\text{Tor}}$ resolution $F \to M$ with F bounded. Thus, for each R-module N with $N \to I$ a proper \mathcal{I}_C -coresolution, one has

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}}_n^{\mathcal{P}_C\mathcal{I}_C}(M,N) \cong \widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}}^{\mathcal{F}_C\mathcal{I}_C}(M,N) = \mathrm{H}_{n+1}(F \widetilde{\otimes}_R I) = 0
$$

by Proposition 4.1.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ It is clear.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) We first notice that

i clear.
first notice that

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Tor}}_0^{\mathcal{P}_C \mathcal{I}_C} (M, -) = 0 = \widetilde{\operatorname{Tor}}_{-1}^{\mathcal{P}_C \mathcal{I}_C} (M, -)
$$

by Lemma 4.2.

Let $F \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} \text{Hom}_R(C, M)$ be a proper flat resolution of $\text{Hom}_R(C, M)$. Then $C \otimes_R F \to M$ is a proper \mathscr{F}_C -resolution by Lemma 4.1 (a), and

$$
C\otimes_R \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Hom}_R(C,M),\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})\stackrel{\simeq}{\to}\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(F,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})
$$

is an injective resolution of $C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, and so

$$
\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to \text{Hom}_{R}(C,\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(F,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C \otimes_{R} F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})
$$

is a proper \mathscr{I}_C -coresolution of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ by Lemma 4.1(c).

Let N be an R-module, and let $C \otimes_R N \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} I$ be an injective resolution of $C \otimes_R N$. Then $N \to \text{Hom}_R(C, I)$ is a proper \mathscr{I}_C -coresolution by Lemma 4.1 (c), and

$$
C\otimes_R N^{(\mathbb{N})}\cong (C\otimes_R N)^{(\mathbb{N})}\stackrel{\simeq}{\to} I^{(\mathbb{N})}
$$

is an injective resolution of $C \otimes_R N^{(\mathbb{N})}$, and so

$$
N^{(\mathbb{N})} \to \text{Hom}_R(C, I^{(\mathbb{N})}) \cong (\text{Hom}_R(C, I))^{(\mathbb{N})}
$$

is a proper \mathcal{I}_C -coresolution by Lemma 4.1 (c).

Since

$$
N^{(\mathbb{N})} \to \text{Hom}_{R}(C, I^{(\mathbb{N})}) \cong (\text{Hom}_{R}(C, I))^{(\mathbb{N})}
$$

presolution by Lemma 4.1 (c).

$$
\widetilde{\text{Tor}}_{0}^{\mathscr{F}_{C}\mathscr{I}_{C}}(M, N) = 0 = \widetilde{\text{Tor}}_{-1}^{\mathscr{F}_{C}\mathscr{I}_{C}}(M, N^{(\mathbb{N})})
$$

1, one gets

$$
\text{H}_{1}((C \otimes_{R} F) \widetilde{\otimes}_{R} \text{Hom}_{R}(C, I)) = 0,
$$

by Proposition 4.1, one gets

$$
\mathrm{H}_1((C\otimes_R F)\widetilde{\otimes}_R \mathrm{Hom}_R(C,I))=0,
$$

and

unishing of stable homology with respect to a semidualizing module

\nand

\n
$$
\text{Id}
$$
\n
$$
\text{H}_0((C \otimes_R F)^{(\mathbb{N})} \widetilde{\otimes}_R \text{Hom}_R(C, I)) \cong \text{H}_0((C \otimes_R F) \widetilde{\otimes}_R (\text{Hom}_R(C, I))^{(\mathbb{N})}) = 0
$$

by Proposition 3.2. Now, using Proposition 3.1, one gets

estition 3.2. Now, using T toposition 3.1, one gets
\ncolim
$$
H_0(Hom_R(Hom_R(C, I)_{\le -i}, Hom_Z(C \otimes_R F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))) = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\widetilde{\text{Ext}}_{\mathscr{I}_C}^0(N, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) = 0
$$

and so

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}^0_{\mathcal{I}_C}(N, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) = 0
$$

for each R -module N by Proposition A.9 in Appendix. Thus,

 \mathscr{I}_C -id_{*R*}Hom_{*Z*}(*M*, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) < ∞

by Proposition A.7 in Appendix, and so \mathscr{F}_C -pd_RM < ∞ ; see [16, Lemma 4.2].

Finally, if M is finitely generated, then by $[15,$ Theorem 5.5], conditions (i) and (i') are equivalent. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.2 (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since \mathcal{I}_C -id $_R N < \infty$, there is a proper \mathcal{I}_C -coresolution $N \to I$ with I bounded. Thus, for each R -module M with $P \to M$
a proper \mathcal{P}_C -resolution, one has
 $\widetilde{\text{Tor$ coresolution $N \to I$ with I bounded. Thus, for each R-module M with $P \to M$ a proper \mathcal{P}_C -resolution, one has

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}}_n^{\mathcal{P}_C \mathcal{I}_C} (M, N) = \mathrm{H}_{n+1}(P \widetilde{\otimes}_R I) = 0.
$$

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ It is clear.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ We first notice that

$$
\text{clear.}
$$
\n
$$
\text{clear.}
$$
\n
$$
\text{first notice that}
$$
\n
$$
\text{Tor}_0^{\mathcal{P}_C\mathcal{I}_C}(-, N) = 0 = \text{Tor}_{-1}^{\mathcal{P}_C\mathcal{I}_C}(-, N)
$$

by Lemma 4.2.

Let *M* be an *R*-module, and let $F \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Hom}_R(C, M)$ be a proper flat
blution. Then, by Lemma 4.1 (a), $C \otimes_R F \to M$ is a proper \mathscr{F}_C -resolution
M. Let $N \to I$ be a proper \mathscr{I}_C -coresolution of *N*. Since
 \widetilde resolution. Then, by Lemma 4.1 (a), $C \otimes_R F \to M$ is a proper \mathscr{F}_C -resolution of M. Let $N \to I$ be a proper \mathcal{I}_C -coresolution of N. Since

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}}_0^{\mathscr{F}_C\mathscr{I}_C}(M,N) \cong \widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}}_0^{\mathscr{P}_C\mathscr{I}_C}(M,N) = 0
$$

one gets

$$
\mathrm{H}_1((C \otimes_R F) \widetilde{\otimes}_R I) = 0.
$$

by Proposition 4.1, one gets

$$
H_1((C \otimes_R F) \widetilde{\otimes}_R I) = 0.
$$

On the other hand, one has

$$
H_1((C \otimes_R F) \widetilde{\otimes}_R I) = 0.
$$

, has

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Tor}}_{-1}^{\mathcal{P}_C \mathcal{I}_C} (M^{(\mathbb{N})}, N) = 0,
$$

and so by Proposition 4.1,

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Tor}}_{-1}^{\mathcal{P}_C\mathcal{I}_C}(M^{(\mathbb{N})}, N) = 0,
$$

on 4.1,

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Tor}}_{-1}^R((\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M))^{(\mathbb{N})}, C \otimes_R N) = 0.
$$

Note that $F \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} \text{Hom}_R(C, M)$ is a flat resolution. Then

 $F^{(\mathbb{N})} \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} (\mathrm{Hom}_R(C,M))^{(\mathbb{N})}$

is a flat resolution of $(\text{Hom}_R(C,M))^{(\mathbb{N})}$. Since $C \otimes_R N \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} C \otimes_R I$ is an injective resolution by Lemma 4.1 (d), one gets $F^{(\mathbb{N})} \stackrel{\simeq}{\rightarrow} (\text{Hom}_R(C, M))^{(\mathbb{N})}$
 $\alpha_R(C, M))^{(\mathbb{N})}$. Since $C \otimes_R N$

(d), one gets
 $\text{H}_0(F^{(\mathbb{N})} \overset{\simeq}{\otimes}_R (C \otimes_R I)) = 0;$

$$
\mathrm{H}_0(F^{(\mathbb N)}\,\widetilde\otimes_R\, (C\otimes_R I))=0;
$$

see [2, Proposition 2.6]. Thus, we have

$$
H_0(F^{(N)} \widetilde{\otimes}_R (C \otimes_R I)) = 0;
$$

see [2, Proposition 2.6]. Thus, we have

$$
H_0((C \otimes_R F)^{(N)} \widetilde{\otimes}_R I) \cong H_0((C \otimes_R F^{(N)}) \widetilde{\otimes}_R I) \cong H_0(F^{(N)} \widetilde{\otimes}_R (C \otimes_R I)) = 0,
$$

where the second isomorphism follows from Proposition 3.2.

Now, by Proposition 3.1, one gets

$$
\operatorname*{colim}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathrm{H}_0(\mathrm{Hom}_R(C\otimes_R F,\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(I,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{\geqslant i}))=0.
$$

We notice that $C \otimes_R F \to M$ is a proper \mathscr{F}_C -resolution of M, and

$$
\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(I,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})\to\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})
$$

is a proper \mathscr{F}_C -resolution of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. Then, by Proposition A.8 in Appendix, one gets Iom
ion
Ext

$$
\widetilde{\text{Ext}}^0_{\mathscr{F}_C}(M, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) = 0
$$

for each R-module M. Thus,

$$
\mathscr{F}_C\text{-}\mathrm{pd}_R\mathrm{Hom}_\mathbb{Z}(N,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})<\infty
$$

by Proposition A.6 in Appendix, and so \mathcal{I}_C -id $_R N < \infty$; see [16, Lemma 4.2]. \Box

As a corollary of the above theorems, we give a balance result for stable homology with respect to a semidualizing module. As a corollar
 nology with re
 rollary 4.1

(i) $\widetilde{\text{Tor}}_n^{\mathcal{P}_C\mathcal{I}_C}$ *n* rollary of the above
vith respect to a sen
4.1 *The following*
 $\frac{\mathcal{P}_C \mathcal{I}_C}{n}(M,N) \cong \text{Tor}$

Corollary 4.1 *The following conditions are equivalent for a local ring* R:

 ${}^{\mathscr{P}_C \mathscr{I}_C} (N,M)$ *for all R-modules* M *and* N, *and for each* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$;

- (ii) \mathscr{I}_C -id_{*R}C* < ∞ ;</sub>
- (iii) $C \cong R$ *and* R *is Gorenstein.*

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since C is C-projective, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}\n&\langle \infty; \\
d \text{ } R \text{ is Gorenstein.} \n\end{aligned}
$$
\nSince C is C -projective, one gets\n
$$
\widetilde{\text{Tor}}_n^{\mathcal{P}_C \mathcal{I}_C} (M, C) \cong \widetilde{\text{Tor}}_n^{\mathcal{P}_C \mathcal{I}_C} (C, M) = 0
$$

for all R-modules M and for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and so \mathcal{I}_C -id $(C) < \infty$ by Theorem 1.2.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ It follows from Sather-Wagstaff and Yassemi [17, Lemma 2.11]. $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ It holds by [2, Corollary 4.7].

Acknowledgements The author thanks Ioannis Emmanouil and Panagiota Manousaki for making [6] available to him and for discussion regarding this work. He also thanks the anonymous referees for several corrections and valuable comments that improved the presentation at several points. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11761045, 11301240, 11561039), the Natural Science Foundation of Gansu Province (Grant No. 1506RJZA075), and the Scientific Research Foundation for the Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars (State Education Ministry).

Appendix Stable cohomology

The following definitions of bounded and stable Hom-complexes can be found in [1,9].

Definition A.1 For R-complexes X and Y, the *bounded Hom-complex* $\overline{\text{Hom}}_R(X, Y)$ is the subcomplex of $\text{Hom}_R(X, Y)$ with degree-n term for *R*-complexes *X*
ubcomplex of Hom_R
 $\overline{\text{Hom}}_R(X, Y)_n = \coprod$

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_R(X,Y)_n = \coprod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(X_i, Y_{n+i}).
$$

We denote by $\widetilde{\text{Hom}}_R(X, Y)$ the quotient complex $\text{Hom}_R(X, Y)/\overline{\text{Hom}}_R(X, Y)$, which is called the *stable Hom-complex*.

Proposition A.1 *Let* X *and* Z *be an* R*-complex and an* S*-complex, respectively, and let* Y *be a bounded* (S, R◦)*-complex. Then there are isomorphisms of* Z*-complexes*

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_S(Y \otimes_R X, Z) \cong \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_R(X, \operatorname{Hom}_S(Y, Z))
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{Hom}_S(Y\otimes_R X,Z)\cong \widetilde{Hom}_R(X,\mathrm{Hom}_S(Y,Z)),
$$

which are functorial in X, Y, *and* Z.

Proof For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$
\text{Hom}_{S}(I \otimes_{R} X, Z) = \text{Hom}_{R}(X, \text{Hom}_{S}(I, Z)),
$$
\n
$$
\text{functional in } X, Y, \text{ and } Z.
$$
\n
$$
\text{or every } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ one has}
$$
\n
$$
\overline{\text{Hom}}_{S}(Y \otimes_{R} X, Z)_{n} = \coprod_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{S}((Y \otimes_{R} X)_{h}, Z_{n+h})
$$
\n
$$
= \coprod_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{S} \Big(\coprod_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} (Y_{q} \otimes_{R} X_{h-q}), Z_{n+h} \Big)
$$
\n
$$
\cong \coprod_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} \coprod_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{S}(Y_{q} \otimes_{R} X_{h-q}, Z_{n+h})
$$
\n
$$
= \coprod_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \coprod_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{S}(Y_{q} \otimes_{R} X_{p}, Z_{n+p+q}).
$$

On the other hand, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has

the other hand, for every
$$
n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$
, one has
\n
$$
\overline{\text{Hom}}_R(X, \text{Hom}_S(Y, Z))_n = \coprod_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_R(X_p, \text{Hom}_S(Y, Z)_{n+p})
$$
\n
$$
= \coprod_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_R\left(X_p, \prod_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_S(Y_q, Z_{n+p+q})\right)
$$
\n
$$
\cong \coprod_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \coprod_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_R(X_p, \text{Hom}_S(Y_q, Z_{n+p+q})),
$$

where the isomorphism holds since Y is bounded.

We notice that there is a natural isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -modules:

$$
\rho_{Y_q X_p Z_{n+p+q}} \colon \text{Hom}_S(Y_q \otimes_R X_p, Z_{n+p+q}) \to \text{Hom}_R(X_p, \text{Hom}_S(Y_q, Z_{n+p+q})).
$$

Then one gets an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -complexes:

$$
\rho_{YXZ} \colon \text{Hom}_S(Y \otimes_R X, Z) \to \text{Hom}_R(X, \text{Hom}_S(Y, Z)).
$$

It is straightforward to verify that ρ_{YXZ} is functorial in X, Y, and Z.

For the second isomorphism in the statement, consider the following commutative diagram of Z-complexes:

$$
0 \to \overline{\text{Hom}}_S(Y \otimes_R X, Z) \to \text{Hom}_S(Y \otimes_R X, Z) \to \widetilde{\text{Hom}}_S(Y \otimes_R X, Z) \to 0
$$

$$
\rho \downarrow \qquad \qquad \rho \downarrow
$$

$$
0 \to \overline{\text{Hom}}_R(X, \text{Hom}_S(Y, Z)) \to \text{Hom}_R(X, \text{Hom}_S(Y, Z)) \to \widetilde{\text{Hom}}_R(X, \text{Hom}_S(Y, Z)) \to 0.
$$

Since ρ and ρ are isomorphisms, one gets an isomorphism

$$
\widetilde{ \operatorname{Hom}}_S(Y \otimes_R X,Z) \to \widetilde{ \operatorname{Hom}}_R(X, \operatorname{Hom}_S(Y,Z)),
$$

which is clearly functorial in X , Y , and Z .

Let *X* be a precovering class of *R*-modules, and let $X_M \to M$ and $X_N \to N$
proper *X*-resolutions of *R*-modules *M* and *N*, respectively. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,
*n*th *stable cohomology* of *M* and *N* with respect to *X* be proper $\mathscr X$ -resolutions of R-modules M and N, respectively. For each $n \in \mathbb Z$, the *n*th *stable cohomology* of M and N with respect to $\mathscr X$ is

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}^n_{\mathscr{X}}(M,N) = \operatorname{H}_{-n}(\widetilde{\operatorname{Hom}}_R(X_M,X_N)).
$$

Dually, let $\mathscr Y$ be a preenveloping class of R-modules, and let $M \to Y_M$ and $N \to Y_N$ be proper *Y*-coresolutions of M and N, respectively. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the *n*th *stable cohomology* of M and N with respect to $\mathscr Y$ is a p
V-c
*E*xt

$$
\widetilde{\text{Ext}}^n_{\mathscr{Y}}(M,N) = \text{H}_{-n}(\widetilde{\text{Hom}}_R(Y_M, Y_N)).
$$

Any two proper $\mathscr X$ -resolutions of M, and similarly, any two proper $\mathscr Y$ coresolutions of N , are homotopy equivalent; see [7, Section 8.2]. Thus, the

above definitions are independent of the choices of (co)resolutions. We notice Vanishing
above de
that Ext *n* of stable homology with respect to a semidualizing modern finitions are independent of the choices of $\binom{\infty}{\mathscr{P}}(M,N)$ is the classical stable cohomology, Ext $\binom{n}{R}(M,N)$, of M and N; Vanishing of stable homology
above definitions are inc
that $\operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathscr{P}}(M, N)$ is the
see [1] and [9]. Also Ext $\mathcal{I}_{\mathscr{I}}^{n}(M,N)$ is the cohomology given by Nucinkis [13].

A.1 Stable cohomology with respect to proper flat (injective) resolutions

The proof of the next result can be modelled along the argument in the proof of [1, Proposition 2.2], when the argument is applied to the functor $\text{Ext}^i_{\mathscr{F}}(M, -)$, that is computed by $H_{-i}(\text{Hom}_R(F, -))$, where $F \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} M$ is a proper flat resolution.

Proposition A.2 *For an* R*-module* M, *the following conditions are equivalent*:

(i) fd_RM < ∞ ; bution.
 positio

(i) fd_Rl

(ii) \widetilde{Ext} *n* $\begin{align*} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{H} \mathbf$ $\mathscr{F}(-, M)$ *for each* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$; **pposition**
(i) $fd_R M$
(ii) $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}^r_g$
(iii) $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}^r_g$ $_{\mathscr{F}}^{0}(M,M)=0.$

Dually, we have the following result that was proved by Nucinkis [13, Theorem 3.7].

Proposition A.3 *For an* R*-module* N, *the following conditions are equivalent*:

(i) id_RN < ∞ ; $\begin{align*} \text{Theorem} \ \text{positive} \ \text{(i)} \ \text{id}_R I \ \text{(ii)} \ \text{Ext} \end{align*}$ *n I* (*N* + $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *I* (*N* + $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *I* (*N* + $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ (*N* + $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ = $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ Ext $\mathcal{I}^n_{\mathcal{I}}(-, N)$ *for each* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$; **pposition**
(i) id_RN
(ii) \widetilde{Ext}
(iii) \widetilde{Ext} $_{\mathscr{I}}^{0}(N,N)=0.$

Proposition A.4 *Let* M *and* N *be* R*-modules with proper flat resolutions* $F \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} M$ and $F' \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} N$, respectively. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is an isomorphism (N)
 \downarrow - I
 $\cdot N$,
 $\widetilde{\text{Ext}}$

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}^n_{\mathscr{F}}(M,N) \cong \operatorname{colim}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{H}_{-n}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(F,F'_{\geq i})).
$$

Proof Set

$$
\Omega_s M = \text{Coker}(F_{s+1} \to F_s), \quad \Omega_s N = \text{Coker}(F'_{s+1} \to F'_s).
$$

Using a similar proof as in [13, Theorem 3.6], one gets a natural isomorphism

$$
\underset{i \in \mathbb{N}}{\text{colim}} \text{Ext}_{\mathscr{F}}^{i}(M, \Omega_{i-n}N) \cong \underset{i \in \mathbb{N}}{\text{colim}} \text{Hom}_{R}(\Omega_{i}M, \Omega_{i-n}N)/\text{FHom}_{R}(\Omega_{i}M, \Omega_{i-n}N).
$$

Here, $FHom_R(\Omega_i M, \Omega_{i-n}N)$ denotes the set of all homomorphisms of Rmodules $f \in \text{Hom}_{R}(\Omega_{i}M, \Omega_{i-n}N)$ factoring through a flat R-module. As proved in [13, Theorem 4.4] (see also [3, B.2]), one gets an isomorphism FH

es f

Th

Ext

$$
\widetilde{\text{Ext}}^n_{\mathscr{F}}(M,N) \cong \underset{i \in \mathbb{N}}{\text{colim Hom}}_R(\Omega_i M, \Omega_{i-n} N) / \text{FHom}_R(\Omega_i M, \Omega_{i-n} N).
$$

On the other hand, we notice that

$$
\Sigma^{-i} F'_{\geq i} \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} \Omega_i N
$$

is a proper flat resolution. Thus, one has

$$
\operatorname*{colim}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathscr{F}}(M,\Omega_{i-n}N) \cong \operatorname*{colim}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \operatorname{Ext}^{i+n}_{\mathscr{F}}(M,\Omega_iN)
$$

$$
\cong \operatorname*{colim}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \operatorname{H}_{-i-n}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(F,\Sigma^{-i}F'_{\geq i}))
$$

$$
\cong \operatorname*{colim}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \operatorname{H}_{-n}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(F,F'_{\geq i})),
$$

where the second isomorphism follows from [4, Proposition 2.6]. Now, one gets the isomorphism in the statement.

Dually, one gets the following result, which was proved in [6, Proposition $1.1 \, (iii)$].

Proposition A.5 Let M and N be R-modules with injective resolutions $M \stackrel{\simeq}{\rightarrow}$ *I* and $N \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} I'$, respectively. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is an isomorphism $\frac{1}{2}$
 $\frac{1}{2}$
Ext

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}^n_{\mathscr{I}}(M,N) \cong \underset{i \in \mathbb{N}}{\operatorname{colim}} \operatorname{H}_{-n}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(I_{\leqslant -i},I')).
$$

A.2 Stable cohomology with respect to a semidualizing module

In this subsection, we assume that R is a commutative noetherian ring, and let C be a semidualizing R -module. tion, we assume that

ualizing *R*-module.
 Let M and *N* be *I*
 $\widetilde{\text{Ext}}_{\mathscr{F}_C}^n(M, N) \cong \widetilde{\text{Ext}}$

Lemma A.1 *Let* M *and* N *be* R*-modules. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}^n_{\mathscr{F}_C}(M,N) \cong \widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}^n_{\mathscr{F}}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,M),\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,N)),
$$

which is functorial in M *and* N.

Proof Let

$$
F \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} \text{Hom}_R(C, M), \quad F' \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} \text{Hom}_R(C, N),
$$

be proper flat resolutions of $\text{Hom}_R(C, M)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(C, N)$, respectively. Then
by Lemma 4.1 (a), $C \otimes_R F \to M$ and $C \otimes_R F' \to N$ are proper \mathscr{F}_C -resolutions
of M and N, respectively. Thus, one has
 $\widetilde{\text{Ext}}_{\mathscr{F}_C}^n$ by Lemma 4.1 (a), $C \otimes_R F \to M$ and $C \otimes_R F' \to N$ are proper \mathscr{F}_C -resolutions of M and N, respectively. Thus, one has

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}^n_{\mathscr{F}_C}(M,N) = \operatorname{H}_{-n}(\widetilde{\operatorname{Hom}}_R(C \otimes_R F, C \otimes_R F'))
$$

\n
$$
\cong \operatorname{H}_{-n}(\widetilde{\operatorname{Hom}}_R(F, \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, C \otimes_R F')))
$$

\n
$$
\cong \operatorname{H}_{-n}(\widetilde{\operatorname{Hom}}_R(F, F'))
$$

\n
$$
\cong \widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}^n_{\mathscr{F}}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M), \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, N)),
$$

where the first isomorphism follows from Proposition A.1, and the second one holds since F' is a complex of flat R-modules. It is straightforward to verify that the desired isomorphism is functorial in M and N .

The next result can be proved dually using Lemma 4.1 (c) and Proposition A.1.

Lemma A.2 *Let* M *and* N *be* R*-modules. Then there is an isomorphism*

nomology with respect to a semidualizing module
et M and N be R-modules. Then there is a

$$
\widetilde{\text{Ext}}_{\mathscr{I}_C}^n(M, N) \cong \widetilde{\text{Ext}}_{\mathscr{I}}^n(C \otimes_R M, C \otimes_R N),
$$

which is functorial in M *and* N.

Proposition A.6 *For an* R*-module* M, *the following conditions are equivalent*:

- (i) \mathscr{F}_C -pd_RM < ∞ ;
- (*ch is fun*
 ppositio

(i) \mathscr{F}_C -

(ii) Ext *n* $\begin{aligned} &\text{factorial in } M \text{ and } N. \ &\mathbf{n} \mathbf{A.6} \quad \text{For an } R\text{-}m \ &\text{pd}_R M < \infty; \ &\mathcal{F}_C(M,-) = 0 = \widetilde{\text{Ext}} \end{aligned}$ $^{n}_{\mathscr{F}_C}$ (−, M) *for each* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- **pposition**
(i) \mathscr{F}_C -1
(ii) $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\xi}^{\tau}$
(iii) $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}$ ${}^{0}_{\mathscr{F}_C}(M,M)=0.$

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since \mathscr{F}_C -pd_{*R}M* < ∞ , there is a proper \mathscr{F}_C -resolution</sub> $F \to M$ with F bounded, and so

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{Hom}}_R(F,-) = 0 = \widetilde{\mathrm{Hom}}_R(-,F).
$$

Thus, one gets

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Hom}}_R(F, -) = 0 = \widetilde{\operatorname{Hom}}_R(-, F).
$$

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}^n_{\mathscr{F}_C}(M, -) = 0 = \widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}^n_{\mathscr{F}_C}(-, M), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ It is clear.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ By Lemma A.1, one gets

(iii) It is clear.
\n(i) By Lemma A.1, one gets
\n
$$
\widetilde{\text{Ext}}_{\mathscr{F}}^{0}(\text{Hom}_{R}(C, M), \text{Hom}_{R}(C, M)) \cong \widetilde{\text{Ext}}_{\mathscr{F}_{C}}^{0}(M, M) = 0,
$$

and so $\text{fd}_R\text{Hom}_R(C, M) < \infty$ by Proposition A.2. Thus, one gets \mathscr{F}_C -pd_RM < ∞ ; see [15, Proposition 5.2(b)].

The next result can be proved dually using Proposition A.3, Lemma A.2, and [18, Theorem 2.11(b)].

Proposition A.7 *For an* R*-module* N, *the following conditions are equivalent*:

(i) \mathscr{I}_C -id_{*R}N* < ∞ ;</sub> $\begin{bmatrix} 18, \text{Th}^1 \end{bmatrix}$
positio
(i) \mathscr{I}_C -
(ii) Ext *n* $\begin{aligned} \text{for some } 2.11(\text{b})], \ \mathbf{n} \ \mathbf{A.7} \quad \text{For an } R\text{-}m: \ \text{id}_R N < \infty; \ \text{if } \mathcal{I}_C(N,-) = 0 = \widetilde{\text{Ext}}. \end{aligned}$ $\mathcal{I}_C^{n}(-, N)$ *for each* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$; **pposition**
(i) \mathscr{I}_C -i
(ii) $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\mathscr{L}}^{\tau}$
(iii) $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}$ $^0_{\mathscr{I}_C}(N,N)=0.$

Proposition A.8 *Let* M *and* N *be* R*-modules with proper FC-resolutions* $F \to M$ and $F' \to N$, respectively. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is an isomorphism $\begin{aligned} \n &\mathbf{R}, N \\ \n &\mathbf{S} \\ \n &\rightarrow N \\ \n &\widetilde{\text{Ext}} \n\end{aligned}$

$$
\widetilde{\text{Ext}}^n_{\mathscr{F}_C}(M,N) \cong \underset{i \in \mathbb{N}}{\text{colim}} \, \text{H}_{-n}(\text{Hom}_R(F, F'_{\geq i})).
$$

Proof By Lemma 4.1 (b), $\text{Hom}_R(C, F) \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} \text{Hom}_R(C, M)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(C, F') \stackrel{\simeq}{\to}$ $Hom_R(C, N)$ are proper flat resolutions of $Hom_R(C, M)$ and $Hom_R(C, N)$,

respectively. Thus, we have

trively. Thus, we have

\n
$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}^n_{\mathscr{F}_C}(M, N) \cong \widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}^n_{\mathscr{F}}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M), \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, N))
$$
\n
$$
\cong \operatorname*{colim}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{H}_{-n}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, F), \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, F')_{\geq i}))
$$
\n
$$
= \operatorname*{colim}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{H}_{-n}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, F), \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, F'_{\geq i})))
$$
\n
$$
\cong \operatorname*{colim}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{H}_{-n}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C \otimes_R \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, F), F'_{\geq i}))
$$
\n
$$
\cong \operatorname*{colim}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{H}_{-n}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(F, F'_{\geq i})),
$$

where the first isomorphism follows from Lemma A.1, the second one follows from Proposition A.4, and the last one holds since F is a complex of C -flat R -modules.

Dually, we have the following result.

Proposition A.9 *Let* M *and* N *be* R*-modules with proper IC-coresolutions* $M \to I$ and $N \to I'$, respectively. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is an isomorphism ve t
9
Ext

$$
\widetilde{\text{Ext}}^n_{\mathcal{I}_C}(M,N) \cong \underset{i \in \mathbb{N}}{\text{colim}} \, \text{H}_{-n}(\text{Hom}_R(I_{\leqslant -i}, I')).
$$

References

- 1. Avramov L L, Veliche O. Stable cohomology over local rings. Adv Math, 2007, 213: 93–139
- 2. Celikbas O, Christensen L W, Liang L, Piepmeyer G. Stable homology over associate rings. Trans Amer Math Soc, 2017, 369: 8061–8086
- 3. Celikbas O, Christensen L W, Liang L, Piepmeyer G. Complete homology over associate rings. Israel J Math (to appear)
- 4. Christensen L W, Frankild A, Holm H. On Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensions—A functorial description with applications. J Algebra, 2006, 302: 231–279
- 5. Emmanouil I. Mittag-Leffler condition and the vanishing of lim¹. Topology, 1996, 35: 267–271
- 6. Emmanouil I, Manousaki P. On the stable homology of modules. J Pure Appl Algebra, 2017, 221: 2198–2219
- 7. Enochs E E, Jenda O M G. Relative Homological Algebra. de Gruyter Exp Math, Vol 30. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2000
- 8. Foxby H B. Gorenstein modules and related modules. Math Scand, 1972, 31: 267–284
- 9. Goichot F. Homologie de Tate-Vogel équivariante. J Pure Appl Algebra, 1992, 82: 39–64
- 10. Golod E S. G-dimension and generalized perfect ideals. Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta Imeni VA Steklova, 1984, 165: 62–66
- 11. Grothendieck A, Dieudonné J. Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique, III. Publ Math IHES, No 11. Paris: IHES, 1961
- 12. Holm H, White D. Foxby equivalence over associative rings. J Math Kyoto Univ, 2007, 47: 781–808
- 13. Nucinkis B E A. Complete cohomology for arbitrary rings using injectives. J Pure Appl Algebra, 1998, 131: 297–318
- 14. Roos J E. Sur les foncteurs dérivés de lim. Applications, C R Acad Sci Paris, 1961, 252: 3702–3704
- 15. Salimi M, Sather-Wagstaff S, Tavasoli E, Yassemi S. Relative Tor functors with respect to a semidualizing module. Algebr Represent Theory, 2014, 17: 103–120
- 16. Sather-Wagstaff S, Sharif T, White D. AB-contexts and stability for Gorenstein flat modules with respect to semidualizing modules. Algebr Represent Theory, 2011, 14: 403–428
- 17. Sather-Wagstaff S, Yassemi S. Modules of finite homological dimension with respect to a semidualizing module. Arch Math, 2009, 93: 111–121
- 18. Takahashi R, White D. Homological aspects of semidualizing modules. Math Scand, 2010, 106: 5–22
- 19. Vasconcelos W V. Divisor Theory in Module Categories. North-Holland Math Stud, Vol 14. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co, 1974
- 20. Yeh Z Z. Higher Inverse Limits and Homology Theories. Thesis, Princeton Univ, 1959