#### **RESEARCH PAPER**



## Soil bio-cementation using a new one-phase low-pH injection method

Liang Cheng<sup>1,2</sup> · Mohamed A. Shahin<sup>3</sup> · Jian Chu<sup>2</sup>

Received: 27 March 2018 / Accepted: 9 October 2018 / Published online: 17 October 2018 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

#### Abstract

Soil bio-cementation via microbially induced carbonate precipitation (MICP) has been extensively studied as a promising alternative technique to traditional chemical cementing agents for ground improvement. The multiple-phase injection methods are currently well adopted for MICP treatment, but it is rather complex and requires excessive number of injections. This paper presents a novel one-phase injection method using low-pH all-in-one biocement solution (i.e. a mixture of bacterial culture, urea, and CaCl<sub>2</sub>). The key feature of this method is that the lag period of the bio-cementation process can be controlled by adjusting the biomass concentration, urease activity, and pH. This process prevents the clogging of bio-flocs formation and thus allows the biocement solution to be well distributed inside the soil matrix before bio-cementation takes effect, allowing a relatively uniform MICP treatment to be achieved. Furthermore, the ammonia gas release would be reduced by more than 90%, which represents a significant improvement in the environmental friendliness of the technology. The new one-phase method is also effective in terms of the mechanical property of MICP-treated soil; an unconfined compressive strength of 2.5 MPa was achieved for sand after six treatments.

**Keywords** Bio-cementation  $\cdot$  Ground improvement  $\cdot$  Microbially induced carbonate precipitation  $\cdot$  Microscopy  $\cdot$  One phase

#### 1 Introduction

In recent years, intensive studies have been made to develop a new approach for the use of microbially induced carbonate precipitation (MICP) in soil improvement [6, 11, 18, 33, 35]. Currently, the most effective MICP is achieved through microbiologically or enzymatically catalysed urea hydrolysis, whereby soluble calcium source is converted into insoluble calcium carbonate crystals that bind individual sand grains together, leading to increased soil shear strength and stiffness. The fundamental mechanism of MICP process can be simply described through

⊠ Jian Chu CJCHU@ntu.edu.sg

- <sup>1</sup> School of Environment and Safety Engineering, Jiangsu University, 301 Xuefu Road, Zhenjiang 212013, People's Republic of China
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798, Singapore
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Curtin University, Kent Street, Bentley, Perth, WA 6102, Australia

urea hydrolysis pathway by the following equation [1] (Eq. 1):

$$CO(NH_2)_2 + Ca^{2+} + 2H_2O \xrightarrow{\text{Ureolytic bacteria}} CaCO_3 \downarrow + 2NH_4^+$$
(1)

The urea hydrolysis reaction produces ammonia  $(NH_3)$  and carbamic acid  $(H_2NCOOH)$  (Eq. 2), which rapidly decomposes to yield another molecule of ammonia and carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  (Eq. 3) [4, 29]. In solution, the released one molecule of  $CO_2$  and two molecules of ammonia consequently equilibrate with their deprotonated and protonated forms, resulting in an increase in the pH (Eqs. 4 and 5) [21].

$$\text{CO(NH}_2)_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{\text{Ureolytic bacteria}} \text{NH}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{NCOOH}$$
(2)

$$H_2NCOOH \to NH_3 + CO_2 \tag{3}$$

$$\mathrm{CO}_2 + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{CO}_3 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^+ + \mathrm{H}\mathrm{CO}_3^- \rightarrow 2\mathrm{H}^+ + \mathrm{CO}_3^{2-} \tag{4}$$

$$\mathrm{NH}_3 + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \to \mathrm{NH}_4^+ + \mathrm{OH}^- \tag{5}$$

From the above listed equations, it can be concluded that both the concentration of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and the pH of the environment influence the concentration of the carbonate ions and thus the calcium carbonate precipitation [28].

There are several treatment strategies available in the literature for soil improvement using MICP. Whiffin et al. [35] developed the two-phase injection method (i.e. injection of bacterial culture followed by injection of the cementation solution) that has been used in most subsequent bio-cementation studies. This injection strategy has the advantage of avoiding the rapid flocculation and clogging of the pore voids near the injection end. As a modification of the two-phase injection method, the so-called staged injection method was also developed [6]. In this method, a retention period was applied after the injection of bacteria to allow for better bacterial fixation. This method prevented the accumulation of the CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitates around the injection points and thus improved the uniformity of the distribution of the CaCO<sub>3</sub> crystals precipitation [23]. Harkes et al. [17] demonstrated that using a three-phase injection procedure, which includes injection of bacteria, followed by a fixation solution and finally a cementation solution, more homogeneous distribution of bacteria and CaCO<sub>3</sub> can be obtained. Alternative to exogenous bacteria injection, the bio-stimulation approach using the in situ enriched indigenous ureolytic bacteria was also tested [5, 10, 15]. This method includes a first phase of injection of growth media for the in situ bio-stimulation followed by a second phase of multiple injections of cementation solution for bio-cementation. It should be noted that the aforementioned multiple-phase injection methods are usually complex and difficult to predict the interactions between the different phases during injection. Therefore, it is desirable to simplify the injection process to a proposed one-phase injection, with all necessary ingredients included in one solution.

In fact, Stocks-Fischer et al. [27] experimented bio-cementation of sand columns via a single-stage method through injecting a mixture of bacterial culture and cementation solution (urea and CaCl<sub>2</sub>) into the sand matrix. However, the mixing caused an instant and intensive ex situ bio-flocculation and rapid precipitation of CaCO<sub>3</sub> in the aqueous phase prior to the injection, leading to a severe clogging during the bio-cementation treatment. To avoid the instant interaction between the bacterial cells and chemical reagents, the bacterial culture and cementation solution were simultaneously injected via separate injection tubes, but again, rapid clogging of pore voids around the injection points was observed. Shahrokhi-Shahraki et al. [23] further explained that the single-stage MICP treatment leads to massive precipitation of the reagents near the injection point due to the immediate reaction between the dissolved  $Ca^{2+}$  and microbially induced  $CO_3^{2-}$  ions, resulting in severe surface clogging.

To overcome the aforementioned one-phase clogging problem, a new bio-cementation methodology using a onephase injection of low-pH all-in-one solution is proposed in the current study. The new method implies injecting lowpH biocement solution that is comprised of suspended ureolytic bacteria, chemical reagents of urea, and soluble calcium such as CaCl<sub>2</sub>. The key feature of the new method is that the bio-flocculation can be mitigated at low pH, and the lag period of the MICP process can be controlled by adjusting the biomass concentration, urease activity, and pH. This new process allows the biocement solution to be distributed uniformly within the soil matrix (assuming no preferential flow paths) before the MICP process starts as the urea hydrolysis reaction must first buffer the pH upwards before the carbonate can precipitate. This process also potentially avoids the surface bio-clogging as occurred in the previous one-phase injection method. In the current study, several parameters in relation to the use of the new one-phase injection method are examined and discussed. These include the urease activity and retention, microstructure of the produced CaCO<sub>3</sub> content, mechanical behaviour of MICP-treated soil, uniformity of treatment, and amount of ammonia gas release. The predictability of the new method as measured by the repeatability of the test results is also evaluated.

## 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Bacterial culture and cementation solution

The urease active microorganism used in current study was *Bacillus sp.* isolated by Al-Thawadi and Cord-Ruwisch [2]. The microorganism was cultivated sterile aerobic batch growth medium (200 mL growth medium placed in 1-L flask shaken at 170 rpm) consisting of 20 g/L yeast extract, 15 g/L ammonium chloride, and 0.1 mM NiCl<sub>2</sub>, at pH = 9.25. The biomass concentration was recorded as dry weight per volume. Because of the good correlation between biomass concentration and optical density (Eq. 6), the biomass monitoring was carried out by optical density measurements using a spectrophotometer (600 nm). All samples were diluted to a range of 0.2–1 of absorbance prior to measuring. A correlation of biomass concentration (dry weight) and optical density was established and expressed as the following equation (Eq. 6):

$$C(\text{biomass concentration, g/L}) = 0.438 \times \text{OD}(600 \text{ nm}) (R^2 = 0.998)$$
(6)

The originally harvested bacterial culture had a biomass concentration of about  $1.84 \pm 0.08$  g/L  $(OD_{600})$ = 4.2  $\pm$  0.2), and the urease activity was about 20  $\pm$  1 U/ mL, which means that the amount of the urease enzyme contained in 1 mL of culture can hydrolyse  $20 \pm 1 \mu mol$ of urea per minute. The urease activity in the current study was determined through the following three steps: (1) mix 5 mL of culture with 9 mL of urea solution to a final urea concentration of 1.5 M; (2) incubate the above mixture for 5-10 min at 25 °C to allow the urea hydrolysis reaction to happen: (3) determine the ammonia concentration before and after the urea hydrolysis reaction for calculation of urease activity. The cementation solution (CS) used in this study consisted of equal moles of urea and CaCl<sub>2</sub>.

The effect of pH on the bio-flocs formation induced by  $Ca^{2+}$  was tested by a series of mixture of the raw ureolytic bacterial culture with the CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution at different proportions. The final biomass concentration (g/L) of the prepared mixtures was 0.981 (OD<sub>600</sub> = 2.24), 0.539 (OD<sub>600</sub> = 1.23), 0.267 (OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.61) and 0.145 (OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.33), and the concentration of CaCl<sub>2</sub> was maintained constant at 1 M. The pH of the mixture was adjusted ranging from 3.5 to 6 using 1 M HCl solution. The mixture was kept undisturbed for 30 min until all coagulated bacterial flocs settled completely. The amount of suspended biomass was obtained by measuring the OD<sub>600</sub> value of the supernatant so that the percentage of the flocculated bacterial cells can be calculated.

## 2.2 Preparation of all-in-one solution

The all-in-one solution (referred herein as biocement solution) proposed in this paper is defined as a mixture of the ureolytic bacterial culture and cementation solution. Before the all-in-one solution was applied to soil treatment, the solution characteristics were examined, including the biomass concentration, pH, and urease activity, for its stability assessment (i.e. occurrence of bio-flocs or precipitates). A series of the all-in-one solution was prepared by mixing the bacterial culture, deionized water, and cementation solution (2 M urea and CaCl<sub>2</sub>) with different proportions to gain desired initial concentrations of urease activity (i.e. 10, 5, 2.5, and 1.25 U/mL, respectively). The concentration of the urea and CaCl<sub>2</sub> of all the prepared allin-one solutions was 1 M. The initial pH of the all-in-one solution was then adjusted to be acidic using 1 M HCl solution. The pH evolution of the all-in-one solution was tested by continuously measuring the pH of the all-in-one solution (initial pH = 4). During the measurement, the solution was kept stirring at a speed of 400 rpm.

The chemical conversion efficiency of the all-in-one solution with different urease activities (biomass

concentrations) and initial pH values was tested. A series of all-in-one solution (100 mL) was prepared with various initial urease activities ranging from 1.25 to 19.5 U/mL and various initial pH values ranging from 2.5 to 6. The solution was kept stirring (400 rpm) for 24 h. Then, the produced crystals in the all-in-one solutions were carefully collected, dried, and weighted. The chemical conversion efficiency was then obtained by calculating the percentage of injected urea and CaCl<sub>2</sub> that precipitate as CaCO<sub>3</sub>.

The ammonium gas release from the biocement solution was tested in a 500 mL of Schott bottle, which was filled with 200 mL of the low-pH biocement solution (initial pH = 4, cementation solution = 1 M, biomass density = 0.254 g/L (OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.58), urease activity = 2.5 U/mL). The atmospheric ammonia was collected by blowing air though the headspace of the rubber bung sealed bottle into an absorption unit, which was filled with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution (1 mol/L, 0.5 L). The air flow rate was kept at 0.5 L/min. The final concentration of ammonium in the H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution was measured after 24 h, which was then converted into the total amount of ammonia gas that was released into the air of the headspace. A control experiment was also conducted using the all-in-one solution without pH adjustment.

#### 2.3 Setup and testing of treated sand columns

In order to evaluate the proposed one-phase injection strategy using the low-pH all-in-one solution, a series of identical sand columns were prepared. The columns used were made of poly vinyl chloride (PVC) tubing (internal diameter = 50 mm, and length = 120 and 360 mm), which were packed with pure dry silica sand (Cook Industrial, Minerals Pty. Ltd. Western Australia). The short and long columns were prepared for reproducibility and uniformity tests, respectively. The sand used has the following grading: > 0.425 mm (0.53%); 0.3–0.425 mm (50.78%); 0.15–0.3 mm (45.96%); and < 0.15 mm (2.73%). An inlet (bottom) was connected to a peristaltic pump to allow for injecting the solution. The sand was packed into each column in six consecutive layers, ensuring that each layer was compacted evenly so as to achieve at least 95% of the maximum dry density (16.35 kN/m<sup>3</sup>) to maintain consistency of experiments. All experiments were conducted at the room temperature (25  $\pm$  1 °C).

During the process of bio-cementation treatment, the sand columns were just simply loaded with the prepared all-in-one solutions (90 mL for 120 mm columns and 270 mL for 360 mm columns) from the bottom at a constant flow rate of about 1 L/h until the soil is fully saturated. Then, the columns were kept at the room temperature ( $25 \pm 1$  °C) for 24 h. Repeated injection of the prepared all-in-one solution every 24 h was applied to reach various

levels of cementation. The effluent of each treatment was collected for urease activity, ammonium, and biomass concentration measurement.

To investigate the uniformity of bio-cementation, the 360-mm sand columns were treated using the all-in-one solution at pH = 4 with various urease activities ranging from 1.25 to 10 U/mL. After four treatments, the cemented sand samples were removed from the PVC columns and cut into three sections: top (0–10 cm), middle (10–20 cm), and bottom (20–30 cm). The unconfined compressive strength (UCS) values for each cut section of sample were measured. Prior to the UCS tests, the bio-cemented sand specimens were flushed with at least five void volumes of tap water to wash away any excess soluble salts. The UCS tests were conducted in accordance with the ASTM Standards D2166 [3], on samples of diameter-to-height ratios ranging between 1:1.5 and 1:2 with an applied axial load at a constant rate of 1.0 mm/min.

The calcium carbonate content of bio-treated sand samples was determined by adding hydrochloric acid (HCl) solution into crushed samples according to the previous published method [8]. For each bio-treated sand sample, measurements of the CaCO<sub>3</sub> were carried out at least three times so as to obtain an average level of CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation.

Microscopy analysis using the scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Tescan Mira3 XMU) was conducted on dried crushed cemented soil samples after the UCS measurement. Light microscopy (Olympus IX51) was also used to examine the behaviour of bio-flocculation under various conditions (e.g. presence and absence of  $Ca^{2+}$  and low-pH environment). The all-in-one solution was gently mixed at a stirring speed of 30 rpm throughout the light microscopy measurements.

#### **3 Results**

# 3.1 Characterisation of low-pH all-in-one solution

In the current study, the behaviour of biomass flocculation induced by the CaCl<sub>2</sub> was tested with various pH values ranging from 3.5 to 8. It was found that the addition of CaCl<sub>2</sub> (concentration of 1 M) to the raw bacterial culture led to an instant bio-flocculation within seconds, resulting in 99% of biomass precipitated as bio-flocs. By varying the pH value, it was found that the flocculation could be mitigated at low-pH levels, and the percentage of coagulated biomass was decreased with the decrease in pH, while it was increased with the increase of the biomass concentration (Fig. 1). For low concentration of biomass (i.e.  $OD_{600} = 0.33$ ), a pH lower than 5.5 resulted in almost complete dissociation of the bio-flocs, which would be beneficial for the injection of biomass into the deep location of soil and prevention of the surface bio-clogging. For high concentration of biomass (i.e.  $OD_{600} = 2.24$ ), a pH lower than 4 was essential to gain a homogeneous suspension. It should be noted that, although the homogenous bacterial suspensions in the presence of Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions were obtained, the stability of the different homogenous suspensions and the uniformity of their treatment outcomes need to be further investigated.

Although the obstacle of the instant bio-flocculation induced by the  $Ca^{2+}$ , which usually caused surface bioclogging in the conventional one-phase injection, was solved by lowering the pH of the solution, it is expected that the pH would increase when urea is added to the system due to the urea hydrolysis, which gives rise to the pH increase [30]. Such an increase in the pH and bicarbonate concentration (also due to urea hydrolysis) might lead to an unwished ex situ flocculation and crystal precipitation. Therefore, it is important to ensure a sufficient lag period that the injection of solution can be completed before the bio-flocculation occurs and the MICP process is fully activated.

It can be seen from Fig. 2 that the rate of pH increase varied with different concentrations of the bacterial culture and urease activity. The higher the initial urease activity resulted in slower pH increase, while the lower the initial urease activity led to faster pH rise. This is somehow contradicting the general principal that high urease activity can lead to fast urease hydrolysis, thus quick pH increase [13]. Although the pH increases faster in the diluted bacterial culture with lower initial urease activity and biomass concentration, the system was more stable (longer lag period) due to the higher pH threshold of flocs occurrence compared to the higher initial concentration of biomass, as shown in Fig. 1. For example, the development of large bio-flocs was suppressed for about 35 min in case of the lowest initial concentration of urease activity and biomass (i.e. OD = 0.3, urease activity = 1.25 U/mL) (see t4 in Fig. 2). This is in line with the previous results (see Fig. 1), which indicated that for low concentration of biomass, high pH was needed to induce the bacterial flocs large and heavy enough to precipitate and settle.

The evolution of bio-flocs was further investigated by the light microscopy. The all-in-one solution ( $OD_{600}$  = 1.25, urease activity = 5 U/mL, CS = 1 M) was sampled at different time after the pH was lowered to 4. No or minor bacterial flocculation can be observed for the first 15 min after lowering the pH (see Fig. 3a–d), demonstrating that a stable and relatively homogeneous all-in-one solution was achieved. The bacterial flocculation continuously developed with time leading to a strong flocculation with flocs size eventually larger than 200 µm (see Fig. 3e,



Fig. 1 Biomass flocculation of ureolytic bacteria as a function of pH in presence of 1 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>



**Fig. 2** Evolution of pH of all-in-one solution with initial pH of 4 in presence of different urease activity (t1-t4 indicate the time when the bio-flocs started to appear; *UA* urease activity

f). This development of bacterial flocculation is likely due to the increase in the solution pH as discussed earlier.

#### 3.2 MICP driven by low-pH all-in-one solution

The process of MICP bio-cementation driven by the lowpH all-in-one solution was assessed according to the following five aspects: (a) ammonia gas release; (b) chemical conversion efficiency; (c) treatment reproducibility; (d) bio-cementation uniformity; and (e) microstructure analysis. The above aspects are discussed in some detail below.

#### 3.2.1 Ammonia gas release

The production of atmospheric ammonia using the new low-pH treatment method was examined. The results show that in comparison with the traditional method without pH adjustment more acidic environment was achieved using the low-pH treatment approach, as indicated by the evolution of the pH in Fig. 4. It was also found that the amount of atmospheric ammonia was significantly reduced by about 90% compared to the conventional method, which is due to the more acidic environment in which the produced ammonia was associated with proton to form soluble ammonium ions. It is also important to note that the overall chemical conversion efficiency over the testing period of 24 h was not significantly affected by the initial pH adjustment to a low level of 4, suggesting similar process efficiency of the new method to the conventional approach.

#### 3.2.2 Chemical conversion efficiency

In this study, the chemical conversion efficiency (24 h of reaction period) of the biocement solution was tested at different levels of initial pH levels and urease activities. It can be seen from Fig. 5 that the chemical conversion efficiency was reduced with the decrease in the initial pH. The conversion of urea and  $CaCO_3$  precipitates was not detectable when the initial pH was lower than 3. This is probably due to the acid stress that inhibits the urease activity of alkaliphilic ureolytic bacteria. An initial pH higher than 4 had minor effect on the chemical conversion efficiency. This pH adjustment eliminates the formation of bio-flocs, generates a stable all-in-one solution, and at the same time achieves high chemical conversion efficiency.



**Fig. 3** Evolution of bacterial flocs in an acidified all-in-one solution ( $OD_{600} = 1.21$ , urase activity = 5 U/mL, cementation solution = 1 M urea and 1 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>). The samples were taken at time: **a** 0 min; **b** 5 min; **c** 10 min; **d** 15 min; **e** 20 min; and **f** 25 min, for the light microscopy measurements (the scale bar = 30 µm). Magnified suspended bacterial cells were indicated in the rectangle area in image (**a**)

Therefore, it is recommended that the minimum initial pH of the biocement solution should not be adjusted to lower than 4 prior to the application of the one-phase injection strategy for soil stabilisation.

The results also show that the chemical conversion efficiency decreases with the decrease in the urease activity (see Fig. 6). For the low urease activity of 1.25 U/mL, only about 60% of cementation solution (i.e. 1 M) was converted into CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitates. It is well known that the urease activity decreases with the increase in the amount of CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation as a result of compounded effect of biological degradation and chemical reaction [8, 32]. This affects the chemical conversion efficiency of the all-in-one

solution and in turn the cost-effectiveness. Therefore, the result suggests that in order to improve the efficiency and reduce the amount of waste (unconverted chemicals), lower concentration of cementation solution (e.g. 0.5 M) should be applied given the low urease activity used. In this case, more flushes are needed to reach a target level of cementation.

#### 3.2.3 Treatment reproducibility

As an engineering solution, the outcome of improvement needs to be predictable to allow reliable engineering design. One way to assess the predictability of a method in



**Fig. 4** Comparison of the total amount of atmospheric ammonia produced (24 h) between the conventional and newly invented low-pH method



**Fig. 5** Effect of initial pH on chemical conversion efficiency of the all-in-one solution (urease activity was about 5 U/mL,  $OD_{600} = 1.28$ ) (*N.A.* not available)



Fig. 6 Effect of urease activity on chemical conversion efficiency of bio-cementation using the one-phase injection of low-pH all-in-one solution (CS = 1 M and pH = 4)



Fig. 7 Reproducibility of bio-cemented sand columns (120 mm long) treated with low-pH all-in-one solution using the one-phase injection strategy (OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.58, urease activity = 2.5 U/mL, CS = 1 M, pH = 4)

a laboratory is to check the repeatability of the test results. Figure 7 shows the UCS values of two groups of identical sand columns treated equally using the one-phase injection of the low-pH all-in-one solution. The results of the two samples were quite comparable with low and medium cementation levels (variation less than 50 kPa), indicating a good reproducibility. However, when the sand columns were treated further to reach a higher level of cementation (i.e. 6 treatments) the variation became greater. Overall, the difference in UCS value between the two sand columns was less than 10%. It should be noted that almost no urease (urease activity < 0.1 U/mL) and activity biomass  $(OD_{600} < 0.02)$  were detected in the effluent during the repeated treatments, suggesting biomass retention of almost 100%. In comparison, the traditional two-phase injection method can only achieve biomass retention of about 30-80% [6].

#### 3.2.4 Bio-cementation uniformity

In order to achieve a uniform treatment, the all-in-one solution needs to have a sufficient lag period to allow the biocement solution to be well distributed. However, according to the results presented earlier, the lag period of the all-in-one solution varied according to the system pH increase rate, which is a function of the urease activity.

It can be seen from Fig. 8 that the sand columns treated with low urease activity show a relatively homogeneous strength distribution. For example, for the urease activities equal to 1.25 and 2.5 U/mL, the strongest section of the treated sand columns was found at the bottom part, which gained strength of about 700 and 760 kPa, respectively (Fig. 6), which are about 160 kPa higher than the weakest section of treated sand columns (top part). For the 5 U/mL, the difference between the strongest section (bottom part)



Fig. 8 Effect of urease activity of all-in-one solution on strength distribution of the sand columns

and weakest section (top part) increased to about 330 kPa (Fig. 8). For the highest urease activity of 10 U/mL, the difference between the strongest and weakest parts became even larger to about 1190 kPa (Fig. 8). The UCS obtained from each test is also plotted versus the average  $CaCO_3$  content in the sample (see Fig. 9). It can be seen that the UCS obtained is highly correlated to the  $CaCO_3$  content as previously established [33]. The large variation in the UCS is related to the large variation in the  $CaCO_3$  content.

#### 3.2.5 Microstructure analysis

Figure 10 portrays the SEM results of the sand particles cemented with CaCO<sub>3</sub> crystals produced using the low-pH all-in-one solution with various urease activities. It can be seen from Fig. 10a, b that the CaCO<sub>3</sub> produced at low urease activity (1.25 U/mL) is majorly accumulated at the gaps between the sand grains and the sand grains surface possesses minimum crystals precipitation (indicated by the red circle area in Fig. 10a) compared to those produced at high urease activities (see Fig. 10d–f). This is possible due



Fig. 9 Correlation between the UCS and  $CaCO_3$  of cemented sand columns treated with different urease activities

to the deposition or entrapment of bio-flocs at the connecting points of sand grains. For higher urease activity, more biomass was present leading to larger amount of bioflocs, which were not only precipitated at the gaps but also possibly on the sand grains surface (see Fig. 10d–f). Therefore, the individual CaCO<sub>3</sub> crystals were well distributed spatially and covered the surface of the sand grains as a coating-like layer.

The average size of individual crystals was similar for all urease activities, ranging from 10 to 25 µm. However, the shape of crystals was found to be remarkably different in relation to the urease activity, especially for the highest urease activity and biomass concentration. This is likely due to the presence biopolymer or amino acids, especially the contained carboxylic acid or sulphate functional groups, in the all-in-one solution. It was found that specific binding of natural polypeptides to particular calcite crystal faces was responsible for the modification in the calcite crystals morphology [36]. The morphology of CaCO<sub>3</sub> was also influenced by the concentration of biopolymers, such as lysozyme and collagen [19, 25]. It has been shown that high urease activity increases the saturation at which crystals nucleate and grow, which results in more likely occurrence of metastable precursor minerals such as vaterite. The urease activity and organic molecules will also change the onset and rate of crystal nucleation, thus the morphology of mature crystals. Therefore, the different crystal texture could be attributed to the types and concentration of organic polymers in the all-in-one solution. The effect of such different shapes of crystals on the chemical bonding and final mechanical strength performance is still unclear and will be worthwhile investigated in a future study.

## **4** Discussion

#### 4.1 Low pH enables stable all-in-one solution

In addition to the rapid calcium carbonate precipitation, instant coagulation of bacterial cells induced by a trace amount of calcium ions was another major reason for the surface bio-clogging in the use of all-in-one solution without pH adjustment [9]. These bio-flocs were unable to be injected into the sand columns due to the large particle size [27, 35]. Due to the negative charge of the extracellular biopolymer substance (EPS) attached to the bacterial cells, divalent cations, such as  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$ , can bridge the negative sites on the biopolymer network, resulting in bacterial flocculation and settlement [26]. Several studies have suggested that the bivalent cations such as  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  play a role in the flocculation process at high pH [22, 24]. The change in pH to a low level probably alters the EPS structure, bacterial surface properties, surface





◄ Fig. 10 SEM images of bio-treated sand samples using one-phase injection strategy of low-pH all-in-one solution (i.e. pH = 4 and cementation solution = 1 M): a 1.25 U/m; b 2.5 U/mL; c 5 U/mL; and d 10 U/mL

charges, and accordingly the microbial flocculation behaviour [34], resulting in the elimination of bio-flocculation.

The low pH also enables a lag phase of MICP process. The CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation is controlled mainly by the  $Ca^{2+}$ and DIC concentration and the pH [16]. Keeping the pH of the all-in-one solution at a low level is essential to achieve an adequate lag period of MICP process as the urea hydrolysis reaction must first buffer the pH upwards before CaCO<sub>3</sub> can precipitate. In the all-in-one system, the pH evolution is determined by the buffer capacity of the solution, which, in the current study, is attributed to the concentration of the chemicals in the bacterial culture, such as amino acids, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, EPS, etc. The low urease activity obtained by diluting the raw bacterial culture with deionised water resulted in a dilution of the buffer capacity accordingly. Therefore, the heavily diluted bacterial culture (i.e. lowest urease activity) could not buffer the produced hydroxide ions as much as the undiluted or moderately diluted culture, resulting in the fastest increase in pH (see Fig. 2). In this case, adding chemical acidic buffers to the all-in-one solution to slow down the pH increase and improve its lag period will be beneficial for a large-scale treatment.

## 4.2 Advantages of one-phase low-pH injection strategy

During the MICP process, the urea-driven process produces toxic end product of ammonia. Ammonia is highly water soluble and can largely remain in the water in the dissociated form as ammonium (NH4<sup>+</sup>). Only that part which is present in the unionised form (NH<sub>3</sub>) can become volatile and be released as a gas. The impact of ammonium on the environment can be mitigated by extracting the solution out of the ground and treated separately. However, the atmospheric ammonia usually causes unpleasant smell and is toxic for a long-term exposure at concentrations as low as 25 ppm [31]. The higher pH, the more ammonia is present in the water in volatile form, thus more atmospheric ammonia is released [20]. By lowing the pH of the all-inone solution, the produced ammonia during the MICP process remained largely as ionised form of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, thus significantly diminished the atmospheric ammonia production.

The proposed low-pH approach injects all chemical ingredients, including bacteria, urea, and CaCl<sub>2</sub>, into the soil in one phase, leading to a homogenous reaction over

the entire treatment zone. Although there is a trend of greater variation in UCS for a high level of cementation, which is likely due to the self-enhanced and enlarged inhomogeneity during the repeated treatments [7], the reproducibility with overall variation less than 10% was achieved.

The uniformity of the cementation using the one-phase low-pH injection strategy is strongly related to the homogeneity of the mixture and the lag period of MICP. Because low urease activity provided substantial period of lag phase enabling complete injection and uniform distribution of all the chemical ingredients within the sand column, a relatively homogeneous strength distribution was achieved (see Fig. 6). In contrast, the higher non-uniformity in the CaCO<sub>3</sub> content was related to the short lag period associated with the high urease activities. For example, for the sand column treated with the highest urease activity (i.e. 10 U/mL), the strongest section was achieved at the middle part of the sand column. The short lag period of the all-inone solution resulted in a rapid bio-flocs formation before the suspended biomass reaching the end (top part) of the sand columns. This in situ formed bio-flocs probably accumulated inside of the sand columns and acted as filter to prevent further penetration of the following injected biomass, resulting in limited amount of biomass that reached the top part of the sand column. The accumulated biomass in the middle part of the sand columns would not only consume the urea and CaCl<sub>2</sub> from the local area but also the urea and CaCl<sub>2</sub> diffused from the top part of the sand column at which limited amount of biomass was found, enabling substantial precipitation, hence gaining the highest strength (Fig. 6). Theoretically, the period of lag phase of the prepared all-in-one solution can be also be enhanced by increasing its acidic buffer capacity through addition of chemical buffer, such as weak acid of acetic acid.

It is also interesting to note that for the low urease activities (i.e. 1.25, 2.5, and 5 U/mL), the slightly stronger section obtained at the bottom part was likely due to the higher amount of biomass. This is because when the suspended bacteria cells travelled through the soil pore space, they were likely to be filtered through the soil grains with long linear reduction of microbe concentration along the injection path [14].

#### 4.3 Limitation of current research

Although the study has successfully demonstrated the feasibility of this new method for bio-cementation in short sand columns, the process has yet to be tested for soil at a metre scale. Thus, the effect of applying the proposed method for large-scale soil improvement is still unknown. Furthermore, only one type of ureolytic bacteria was tested

in the current study. For practical applications, it is necessary to test the bio-flocculation behaviour of other ureolytic bacteria species, such as Sporosarcina pasteurii, B. cereus, B. sphaericus, etc. We have, in fact, also tested the commonly used ureolytic bacterial strain Sporosarcina pasteurii (DSM 33). The preliminary results show similar bio-flocculation behaviour to the strain used in this study. Nevertheless, the proposed method with fast injection speed associated with prolonged lag phase will enable a much larger regime to be loaded with the all-in-one solution prior to the formation of bio-flocs and precipitation and thus improve the uniformity of the treatment. In future work, advanced measurements such as 3D X-ray microtomography would be conducted to investigate the fundamental mechanism of the crystal bonding produced by the all-in-one biocement solution and the results would be compared with traditional biocement published elsewhere [12].

## 5 Conclusions

This paper presents a new soil bio-cementation method based on MICP process using one-phase injection of lowpH all-in-one biocement solution. The biocement solution provides a lag period, which is a function of several parameters (i.e. pH, biomass concentration, and urease activity), to allow the solution to be distributed evenly within the soil before large amount of bio-flocculation and MICP occurs. The new one-phase approach was proved to be able to provide a relatively uniform soil strength distribution. By lowering the pH of the biocement solution, the lag period was able to be controlled to up to 35 min, enabling an easy injection of the biocement solution without facing clogging issues. This period of lag phase can be theoretically enhanced by increasing the acidic buffer capacity of the all-in-one solution. The UCS values of the bio-cemented samples were significantly improved to about 2.5 MPa after 6 treatments. More importantly, the proposed one-phase method reduced the production of ammonia gas by 90% compared to the unchanged MICP methods, overcoming one of the major limitations of the application of MICP in practice. Therefore, the proposed method represents a considerable advance in the use of biocementation for soil improvement.

Future research on this topic may include a thorough investigation on the correlation between the soil mechanical response and various compositions of the all-in-one solution used. Theoretically, the bio-cementation using the proposed one-phase injection approach is majorly related to the distribution of the one-phase biocement solution, which can be beneficial for future study of the establishment of reliable analytical and/or numerical models that can predict the outcomes of soil improvement more precisely.

Acknowledgements We would like to acknowledge that part of this study is supported by Grant No. SUL2013-1 by the Ministry of National Development and Grant No. MOE2015-T2-2-142 provided by the Ministry of Education, Singapore. The authors would also like to thank Donovan Mujah (Ph.D. candidate) for his assistance in conducting some SEM and UCS tests.

### References

- Al Qabany A, Soga K (2013) Effect of chemical treatment used in MICP on engineering properties of cemented soils. Géotechnique 6(4):331–339
- Al-Thawadi SM, Cord-Ruwisch R (2012) Calcium carbonate crystals formation by ureolytic bacteria isolated from Australian soil and sludge. J Adv Sci Eng Sci Res 2(1):12–26
- ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials) (2013) D2166: Standard test method for unconfined compressive strength of cohesive soil. ASTM International, West Conshohocken
- 4. Benini S, Rypniewski WR, Wilson KS, Miletti S, Ciurli S, Mangani S (1999) A new proposal for urease mechanism based on the crystal structures of the native and inhibited enzyme from *Bacillus pasteurii*: why urea hydrolysis costs two nickels. Structure 7(2):205–216
- Burbank M, Weaver T, Lewis R, Crawford R, Williams B (2013) Geotechnical tests of sands following bioinduced calcite precipitation catalyzed by indigenous bacteria. J Geotech Geoenviron Eng 139:928–936
- Cheng L, Cord-Ruwisch R (2012) In situ soil cementation with ureolytic bacteria by surface percolation. Ecol Eng 42:64–72
- Cheng L, Cord-Ruwisch R (2014) Upscaling effects of soil improvement by microbially induced calcite precipitation by surface percolation. Geomicrobiol J 31(5):396–406
- Cheng L, Shahin MA (2016) Urease active bio-slurry: a novel soil improvement approach based on microbially induced carbonate precipitation. Can Geotech J 53(9):1376–1385
- Cheng L, Shahin MA (2017) Stabilisation of oil-contaminated soils using microbially induced calcite crystals by bacterial flocs. Géotech Lett 7:146–151
- Cheng L, Shahin MA, Cord-Ruwisch R (2017) Surface percolation for soil improvement by biocementation utilising in situ enriched indigenous aerobic and anaerobic ureolytic soil microorganisms. Geomicrobiol J 34:546–556
- Chu J, Stabnikov V, Ivanov V (2012) Microbially induced calcium carbonate precipitation on surface or in the bulk of soil. Geomicrobiol J 29(6):544–549
- Dadda A, Geindreau C, Emeriault F, du Roscoat SR, Garandet A, Sapin L, Filet AE (2017) Characterization of microstructural and physical properties changes in biocemented sand using 3D X-ray microtomography. Acta Geotech 12(5):955–970
- Fidaleo M, Lavecchia R (2003) Kinetic study of enzymatic urea hydrolysis in the pH range 4–9. Chem Biochem Eng Q 17(4):311–318
- Ginn TR, Wood BD, Nelson KE, Scheibe TD, Murphy EM, Clement TP (2002) Processes in microbial transport in the natural subsurface. Adv Water Resour 25:1017–1042
- Gomez MG, Graddy CMR, DeJong JT, Nelson DC, Tsesarsky M (2018) Stimulation of native microorganisms for bio-cementation in samples recovered from field-scale treatment depths. J Geotech

Geoenviron Eng. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606. 0001804

- Hammes F, Verstraete W (2002) Key roles of pH and calcium metabolism in microbial carbonate precipitation. Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol 1:3–7
- Harkes MP, van Paassen LA, Booster JL, Whiffin VS, van Loosdrecht MCM (2010) Fixation and distribution of bacterial activity in sand to induce carbonate precipitation for ground reinforcement. Ecol Eng 36:112–117
- Ivanov V, Chu J (2008) Applications of microorganisms to geotechnical engineering for bioclogging and biocementation of soil in situ. Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol 7(2):139–153
- Jimenez-Lopez C, Rodriguez-Navarro A, Dominguez-Vera JM, Garcia-Ruiz JM (2003) Influence of lysozyme on the precipitation of calcium carbonate: a kinetic and morphologic study. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 67(9):1667–1676
- Miner JR (1974) Odors from confined livestock production. Environmental protection technology. Ser. EPA-600/1-74.023 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington
- Mobley HLT, Hausinger RP (1989) Microbial ureases: significance, regulation and molecular characterisation. Microbiol Rev 53:85–108
- Nurdogan Y, Oswald WJ (1995) Enhanced nutrient removal in high-rate ponds. Water Sci Technol 31:33–43
- Shahrokhi-Shahraki R, Zomorodian SMA, Niazi A, O'Kelly BC (2015) Improving sand with microbial-induced carbonate precipitation. Proc Inst Civ Eng Ground Improv 168:217–230
- Shelef G, Sukenik A, Green M (1984) Microalgae harvesting and processing: a literature review, SERI/STR-231-2396. Solar Energy Research Institute, Golden
- Shen FH, Feng QL, Wang CM (2001) The modulation of collagen on crystal morphology of calcium carbonate. J Cryst Growth 242:239–244
- Sobeck DC, Higgins MJ (2002) Examination of three theories for mechanisms of cation-induced bioflocculation. Water Res 36(3):527–538
- 27. Stocks-Fischer S, Galinat JK, Bang SS (1999) Microbiological precipitation of CaCO<sub>3</sub>. Soil Biol Biochem 31:1563–1571

- Stumm W, Morgan JJ (1981) Aquatic chemistry, 2nd edn. Wiley, New York
- 29. Tisdale SL, Nelson WL, Beaton JD (1985) Soil fertility and fertilizers. Macmillan, New York
- 30. Tobler DJ, Cuthbert MO, Greswell RB, Riley MS, Renshaw JC, Handley-Sidhu S, Phoenix VR (2011) Comparison of rates of ureolysis between *Sporosarcina pasteurii* and an indigenous groundwater community under conditions required to precipitate large volumes of calcite. Geochim Cosmochim Acte 75(11):3290–3301
- 31. Urbain B, Gustin P, Charlier G, Coignoul F, Lambotte JL, Grignon G, Foliguet B, Vidic B, Beerens D, Prouvost JF, Ansay M (1996) A morphometric and functional study of the toxicity of atmospheric ammonia in the extrathoracic airways in pigs. Vet Res Commun 20(4):381–399
- 32. van Paassen LA (2009) Biogrout, ground improvement by microbial induced carbonate precipitation. Dissertation, Delft University of Technology
- 33. van Paassen LA, Ghose R, van der Linden TJM, van der Star WRL, van Loosdrecht MCM (2010) Quantifying biomediated ground improvement by ureolysis: large-scale biogrout experiment. J Geotech Geoenviron Eng 136(12):1721–1728
- 34. Wang L, Ma F, Qu Y, Sun D, Li A, Guo J, Yu B (2011) Characterization of a compound bioflocculant produced by mixed culture of *Rhizobium radiobacter* F2 and *Bacillus sphaeicus* F6. World J Microb Biotechnol 27(11):2559–2565
- Whiffin VS, van Paassen LA, Harkes MP (2007) Microbial carbonate precipitation as a soil improvement technique. Geomicrobiol J 24(5):417–423
- Wierzbicki A, Sikes CS, Madura JD, Drake B (1994) Atomic force microscopy and molecular modeling of protein and peptide binding to calcite. Calcif Tissue Int 54(2):133–141

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.