SPECIAL TOPIC: China's urbanization **• RESEARCH PAPER •**



June <sup>2017</sup> Vol.60 No.6:1067–1082 doi: [10.1007/s11430-016-9022-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-016-9022-2)

# **Chinese urbanization 2050: SD modeling and process simulation**

 $GU ChaoLin<sup>1*</sup>, GUAN WeiHua<sup>3</sup> & LIU HeLin<sup>2</sup>$ 

1 *School of Architecture, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China;*

<sup>2</sup> School of Architecture and Urban Planning, Huazhong University of Science & Technology, Wuhan 430074, China, 3 *School of Geographical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, China*

Received December 14, 2016; accepted February 22, 2017; published online May 8, <sup>2017</sup>

**Abstract** Is Chinese urbanization going to take <sup>a</sup> long time, or can its development goa<sup>l</sup> be achieved by the governmen<sup>t</sup> in <sup>a</sup> short time? What is the highest stable urbanization level that China can reach? When can China complete its urbanization? To answer these questions, this paper presents <sup>a</sup> system dynamic (SD) model of Chinese urbanization, and its validity and simulation are justified by <sup>a</sup> stock-flow test and <sup>a</sup> sensitivity analysis using real data from <sup>1998</sup> to 2013. Setting the initial conditions of the simulation by referring to the real data of 2013, the multi-scenario analysis from <sup>2013</sup> to <sup>2050</sup> reveals that Chinese urbanization will reach <sup>a</sup> level higher than 70% in <sup>2035</sup> and then proceed to <sup>a</sup> slow urbanization stage regardless of the population policy and GDP growth rate settings; in 2050, Chinese urbanization levels will reach approximately 75%, which is <sup>a</sup> stable and equilibrium level for China. Thus, it can be argued that Chinese urbanization is <sup>a</sup> long social development process that will require approximately <sup>20</sup> years to complete and that the ultimate urbanization level will be 75–80%, which means that in the distant future, 20–25% of China's population will still settle in rural regions of China.

Keywords Chinese urbanization, System dynamic (SD) model, Scenario simulation

**Citation:** Gu <sup>C</sup> L, Guan <sup>W</sup> H, Liu <sup>H</sup> L. 2017. Chinese urbanization 2050: SD modeling and process simulation. Science China Earth Sciences, 60: 1067–1082, doi: [10.1007/s11430-016-9022-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-016-9022-2)

# **1. Introduction**

Urbanization is <sup>a</sup> symbol of modernization and is <sup>a</sup> social transition process that can turn an agricultural country into an industrial and city-oriented country. Parallel to this process is the profound transformation of culture, social structure, em<sup>p</sup>loyment structure, individual lifestyles, distribution of productivity, and changes in human settlement patterns. Chinese urbanization as <sup>a</sup> critical national process of social development, economic growth and environmental change, has undergone <sup>a</sup> rapid evolving pace, turning China's urbanization level from 18% in <sup>1978</sup> to 56.1% in 2015; however, urbanization has also created <sup>a</sup> series of problems, such as loss of agricultural land, reduction in biodiversity, unaffordable urban housing, problematic transportation, uneven regional economic development, political influences, etc. According to the reports presented at the 18th National Congress of the CPC and the thirteenth Five-Year Plan, urbanization has been set as the central task for China to be completely recognized as <sup>a</sup> well-developed society by 2020. However, is Chinese urbanization going to take <sup>a</sup> long time, or can its development goa<sup>l</sup> be achieved by the governmen<sup>t</sup> in <sup>a</sup> short time? What is the highest stable urbanization level that China can reach? When can China complete its urbanization? All of these questions cannot be answered without comprehensive and scientific exploration.

### **2. Review of relevant literature**

Early research (Gu, [1992](#page-14-0): 348–350) on Chinese urbanization by the Logistic model predicted that China's urbanization level would reach 61% in 2010, 65% in 2030, 69% in

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author (email: gucl@tsinghua.edu.cn)

<sup>©</sup> Science China Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg <sup>2017</sup> [earth.scichina.com](http://earth.scichina.com) [link.springer.com](http://springerlink.bibliotecabuap.elogim.com)

<sup>2040</sup> and 73% in 2050. According to Chan and Hu [\(2003\)](#page-14-0), in <sup>2050</sup> it is likely that the level will reach 60–66%. It is understandable that, using different approaches, the predicted urbanization levels in certain years can be different. For instance, by referring to the relationship between per capita GDP and the urbanization level in foreign countries, [Chen](#page-14-0) et al. [\(2013\)](#page-14-0) concluded that the urbanization level in China would be 59–60% in <sup>2020</sup> and approximately 68–70% in 2030. The Research Group of New Urbanization with Chinese Characteristics (Xu, [2013](#page-15-0)) applied <sup>a</sup> quantitative analysis of the transfer of the agricultural labor force to non-agricultural industries, the newborn population number, and the new rural labor force to the projection. They claimed that the urbanization level would reach 60% in <sup>2020</sup> and 65% in 2033. An integrated method, which combines curve fitting, economic modeling and growth rate of the ratio of the urban-rural population, led to the conclusion that in <sup>2030</sup> China's level of urbanization would be 68.38% and in <sup>2050</sup> it would be 81.63% (Gao and Wei, [2013](#page-14-0)).

In the "*National New Urbanization Plan (2014*–*2020)*", the goa<sup>l</sup> for Chinese urbanization level in <sup>2020</sup> was set to 60%. However, the China Research Team at the [Economist](#page-14-0) Intelligence Unit [\(2014\)](#page-14-0) proposed that the urbanization level should be 61% in <sup>2020</sup> and 67% in 2030. By reviewing the literature at home and abroad, Hu [\(2013\)](#page-14-0) concluded that China would have an urbanization rate of 58–63% in 2020 and 60–79% in 2050. In "*Urban China: Toward efficient, inclusive, and sustainable urbanization*" prepared by the [World](#page-14-0) Bank and the [Development](#page-14-0) Research Center of the State Council (China) [\(2014\)](#page-14-0), in <sup>2030</sup> China's urbanization rate will be 66% without changing the current urbanization policies, and 70% in <sup>a</sup> reformation scenario. The differences between the predicted urbanization levels by different research agencies and scholars, as has been noted, is significant and, as <sup>a</sup> result, incurs the question of which conclusion to follow while formulating China's national policies of economic development agenda.

By referring to relevant theories and observed evidence, specialists in urbanization from all over the world have built <sup>a</sup> series of prediction models and simulation models for urbanization. Prior to the 1950s, the main prediction/modeling approac<sup>h</sup> was time series analysis, which relied on trend extrapolation by methods such as arithmetic average, weighted average sequence, moving average, weighted moving average, trend forecasting and exponential smoothing based on historical time series data. In the 1960s and 1970s, static demographical analysis entered the analysis domain with demographical statistics and linear analysis at its core. [Northam](#page-14-0) (1975), an American urban geographer, proposed "Northam's Curve" after exploring the development of urbanization levels in developed countries using <sup>a</sup> logistic regression. From the 1980s onwards, research on urbanization was fundamentally influenced and improved by systems science, operations research and metrology. Systems

approaches such as synergy theory and self-organization theory were introduced to urbanization studies on topics such as population distribution, industry evolution, facility distribution, spatial patterns of traffic behavior and urban simulation models [\(Zeleny,](#page-15-0) 1980; [Batten,](#page-14-0) 1982; [Allen](#page-14-0) et al., [1984](#page-14-0); [Pumain](#page-14-0) et al., 1986).

In the 1990s, the means to acquire urbanization data was significantly improved, which further facilitated the advance of urbanization research. What was available not only included <sup>a</sup> multi-scale earth observation system and network capable of monitoring natural data but also databases of multiple types and agencies specializing in the acquisition and processing of socio-economic data. These developments, as <sup>a</sup> result, fundamentally improved the precision and scientific process of examining Chinese urbanization. In <sup>a</sup> book published in 2000, [Portugali](#page-14-0) (2000) systematically explained the concep<sup>t</sup> of the self-organizing city based on the principle of self-organization and synergy and proposed the framework of Free Agents in <sup>a</sup> Cellular Space (FACS). In <sup>a</sup> GIS modeling environment, Batty [\(2005\)](#page-14-0) successfully applied fractal theory, cellular automata and agent-based modeling to the simulation, as well as visualization of the spatiotemporal dynamics of cities. SWARM, <sup>a</sup> modeling package developed by the Santa Fe Institute, is one of the most widely used modeling <sup>p</sup>latforms for scholars to apply agent-based modeling to urban studies (Li, [2011](#page-14-0)). For instance, in China, Xue and [Yang](#page-15-0) [\(2002\)](#page-15-0), Xia et al. [\(2002\)](#page-14-0), and Shen and Wu (2006) have presented models of urban spatial economics and urban transportation built up in SWARM. The most recently reported Multi-Agent System (MAS) integrated with GIS was developed by Li and Gu [\(2015\)](#page-14-0): <sup>a</sup> dynamic geo-simulation model dealing with emergency response for urban public security. As <sup>a</sup> further step in this research stream, this paper probes the complex system of Chinese urbanization by system dynamic (SD) modeling expecting to generate more scientific, more precise and more reliable conclusions to serve as <sup>a</sup> reference for macro national policy making.

## **3. SD model for Chinese urbanization**

System dynamics modeling provides the solution to practical problems by integrating qualitative and quantitative analysis [\(Wang,](#page-14-0) 1994), which reduces subjectivity in analysis and shifts modeling from static simulation to dynamic simulation (Jia and [Ding,](#page-14-0) 2002). In addition, the structure of the system dynamics model is flexible rather than fixed, which enables combinations of dynamics of sub-systems as well as comparative analyses of different solutions (He et al., [2006](#page-14-0)). Forrester was the first to study the interactions between natural resources, technology and economic sectors ([Meadows](#page-14-0) et al., [1972](#page-14-0); [Georgiadis](#page-14-0) and Besiou, 2008). In the 1970s, Forrester, together with the Club of Rome, published *World Dynamics* ([Forrester,](#page-14-0) 1971) and *The limits to growth* ([Meadows](#page-14-0) et al.,

[1972\)](#page-14-0). Since then, SD modeling has been booming and is widely applied to the fields of earth science, economics, resource studies and urban and regional research. For example, it has been adopted to study urban systems and urban land-use expansion ([Wolstenholme,](#page-14-0) 1983; [Mohapatra](#page-14-0) et al., 1994; [Guo](#page-14-0) et al., [2001](#page-14-0); He et al., [2005](#page-14-0); He et al., [2006](#page-14-0); Liu et al., [2007](#page-14-0); Shen et al., [2007](#page-14-0); [Chang](#page-14-0) et al., 2008), to explore the cou<sup>p</sup>ling of urbanization and the eco-system [\(Bockermann](#page-14-0) et al., [2005](#page-14-0); Jin et al, [2009](#page-14-0); Zhou and Mi, [2009](#page-15-0); [Egilmez](#page-14-0) and Tatari, [2012\)](#page-14-0), to examine single-element systems (Cai, [2008](#page-14-0); [Armah](#page-14-0) et al., [2010](#page-14-0); [Venkatesan](#page-14-0) et al., 2011; Guan et al., [2011](#page-14-0); [Qiu](#page-14-0) et al, [2015](#page-14-0)) and large complex systems ([Haghshenas](#page-14-0) et al., [2015](#page-14-0); Xu and [Coors,](#page-15-0) 2012; [Tong](#page-14-0) and Dou, 2014; [Ying](#page-15-0) et al., [2015\)](#page-15-0) by SD modeling.

#### **3.1 Model boundary and structure**

The SD model needs to be built within <sup>a</sup> closed-system boundary, within which system interactions occur, <sup>g</sup>iving the system its characteristic behavior [\(Forrester,](#page-14-0) 1969). Therefore, to build the SD model of Chinese urbanization, it is fundamental to define the model boundary.

The urbanization mechanism in China varies across different periods. From the start of the reform and opening policy at the end of 1970s to the middle of the 1990s, China's urbanization was predominantly driven by the growth of <sup>a</sup> surplus rural labor force, the rapid development of the urban tertiary industry, and the disparity between urban and rural education. Henceforth, the mechanism of Chinese urbanization diversified, with increasing driving forces emerging from the circulation system, foreign investment and trade, and construction of urban infrastructure. The circulation system referred to the movements of both goods and people; foreign investment deeply impacted China's production system, and foreign trade contributed to the total GDP China achieved. Construction of urban infrastructure mainly related to the building of urban water supply and transportation services.

The mechanism of China's urbanization, in fact, is far more complex than what has been recounted above. In rural China, there are thousands of township enterprises. These enterprises have contributed to the employment of the surplus rural labor force. Thus, these employed rural populations engaged not only in agricultural production but also in the production of goods and services subject to the secondary and tertiary industries. In this regard, we need to treat the production systems of the secondary and tertiary industries in rural China as one important par<sup>t</sup> of the dynamic system of Chinese urbanization. Moreover, environmental problems caused by resource exploitation have deeply impacted people's lives, production activities, and migration behaviors. Therefore, the environmental system (water, air and solid waste) should be integrated into the SD model of Chinese urbanization as an

indispensable par<sup>t</sup> so that the urbanization mechanism can be well modeled and interpreted.

In addition, the sub-system of energy should also be considered, as without its support, the rural living system and the rural production system cannot operate properly. Moreover, the fossil fuel consumed by the energy production system can generate  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . This is a key factor contributing to <sup>g</sup>lobal warming, climate change and the rising of sea levels, which in turn influence urbanization in coastal regions. Despite the importance of these aspects, the influence that comes from urban and rural sanitary conditions, food production, investment resources, land policies, fiscal policies, agricultural production policies and family <sup>p</sup>lan policy should not be overlooked. These elements exert their power mainly upon two crucial sub-systems of the dynamics of Chinese urbanization: the population system and the production system. All of these elements constitute the basic sub-systems of the SD model of Chinese urbanization. The range of these sub-systems is what the boundary of the SD model refers to.

By synthesizing these sub-systems, including population, industry, capital, infrastructure, social facilities and environment, we have proposed the model framework as depicted by [Figure](#page-5-0) 1. In addition, [Table](#page-3-0) <sup>1</sup> lists all of the parameters of the SD model. As it is difficult to describe the industrial restructuring process and its influence upon urbanization directly, in the model we instead examine the change of the factors of production in the primary, secondary and tertiary industries. By considering the redistribution of capital and labor force, the two key production factors in the three industries, it is then possible to capture the overall structural change and value change of the three industries. These changes, in turn, exert an influence upon the urbanization process by transferring more people to the urban population, most of whom are engaged in the secondary and tertiary industries.

#### **3.2 Variables and parameters of the SD model**

By referring to the critical elements in all the sub-systems, the key performance indicators are depicted in [Table](#page-3-0) 1. In SD modeling terms, these parameters can be classified into three types: stocks, flows, and auxiliaries. [Table](#page-3-0) <sup>1</sup> <sup>g</sup>ives details of the notation, meaning, type and unit of each of these parameters.

### **3.3 Causal-Loop diagram**

The structure of <sup>a</sup> system in SD methodology is exhibited using <sup>a</sup> causal-loop diagram (CLD) ([Georgiadis](#page-14-0) et al., 2005), which reflects the major feedback mechanisms in the system (Appendix <sup>1</sup> (available at <http://earth.scichina.com>)). [Figure](#page-5-0) [1](#page-5-0) shows the causal-loop diagram of the Chinese urbanization SD models, within which the cause and effect relationships among parameters are clarified.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>



(*To be continued on the next page*)



#### **3.4 Stock and flow diagrams**

(*Continued*)

The causal-loop diagram of the sub-systems can be assembled into <sup>a</sup> stock and flow diagram, including main factors and subsystems such as industries, population, cities and towns, education, etc. ([Figure](#page-6-0) 2). Stock variables reflect the results of accumulation, which illustrate the states of the system, while flow variables are adopted to capture the redistribution process of stocks, depicting the flows in the system. Supported by packages such as DYNAMO, iThink, Vensim® and Powersim®, it is possible that the theorized Chinese urbanization process illustrated by [Figure](#page-5-0) <sup>1</sup> can be modeled using SD methodology.

#### **3.5 Equations in the Chinese urbanization SD model**

Economic growth is the foundation of economic development, and the advancement of labor productivity is the core of this process. Changes to both factors can fundamentally influence labor demand. To describe this relationship, the mathematical model proposed by Zhou [\(1994\)](#page-15-0), which explores the interactions between economic growth rate, labor productivity, and labor force demand of different urban industrial sectors, is applied to this SD model. Moreover, with the developmen<sup>t</sup> of agricultural labor productivity, the surplus agricultural labor will be turned into working force in urban sectors, such as industries, transportation, business, education, etc. The net labor force transferring rate in this process is closely related to the scale of agricultural production and the increase rate of agricultural labor productivity [\(Yuan](#page-15-0) et al., [1987\)](#page-15-0). Considering these relationships, the equations in this Chinese urbanization SD model are formulated based on the Cobb-Douglas production function, and [Table](#page-7-0) <sup>2</sup> <sup>g</sup>ives details of the equations for each of three sub-systems: the economic sub-system, the population sub-system and the social service sub-system. Within the SD model, the dynamics of Chinese urbanization are described by the combination of both linear and nonlinear equations. Thus, the nonlinearity of Chinese urbanization is captured by and embedded in the SD model, which fundamentally improves the objectivity of the urbanization rate predicted by this model. In addition, the model's accuracy in simulating Chinese urbanization can be further enhanced by iterative parameter calibration and model validation against real collected data.

# **4. Validation of the Chinese SD model**

Validation of <sup>a</sup> system dynamics model generally consists of two aspects: structural validation and <sup>a</sup> sensitivity test. Structural validation of the model seeks to determine whether it highly conforms to the real world. <sup>A</sup> sensitivity test, on the

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Figure 1** Causal loop diagram of the SD model of Chinese urbanization.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

**Figure 2** Stock and flow diagram of the SD model of Chinese urbanization.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table <sup>2</sup>** The groups of equations of the Chinese urbanization SD model

Sub-system	Main equation			
1. The main equations of the economic sub-system	DYCYZCZ=DYCYCZZCL*(1-DYCYCZ/DYCYZDCZ)*EXP(10.5)*(NCGD^(0.4589))*(NYLDLTR^(-0.743)*DY-			
	$CYZBCL^0.24$			
	DYCYZBCL=ZZBCL*DYCYZBCLBL			
	CSDECYZBCL=DECYZBCLBL*ZZBCL			
	NDECYZCZ=DECYCZZCL*(1-DECYCZ/DECYZDCL)*EXP(1.003)*(DECYLDL^(-0.1958))*(CSDECYZBCL^0.967)			
	ZZBCLNZJL=GNSCZZ*JLL			
	CSDSCYZBCL=DSVCYZBCLBL*ZZBCL			
	DECYLDLZJL=CSRK*DECYCYRYZCL*DECYCYXS			
	DSCYLDLZJZ=CSRK*DSCYCYXS*DSCYCYRYZCL			
	NDSCYZC=DSCYCZZCL*(1-DSCYCZ/DSCYZDCZ)*EXP(-8.76)*(DSCYLLDL^(1.095))*(CSDSCYZBCL^0.6766)			
	DECYLDLXQ=DECYLDL*(1+SJDECYCZZCL-DECYLDSCLZCL)			
	SJDECYCZZCL=NDECYZCZ/(DECYCZ-NDECYZCZ)			
	DSCYLDLXQ=DSCYLLDL*(1+SJDSCYCZZCL-DSCYLDSCLZCL)			
	SJDSCYCZZCL=NDSCYZC/(DSCYCZ-NDSCYZC)			
	CSCYLDLXQZCXS=CSCYLDLXQ/CQCSCYLDLXQ			
	GNSCZZ=DYCYCZ+CSSCZZ			
	CSSCZZ=DECYCZ+DSCYCZ			
	NNYLDLZC=NYLDLXS*NCRK*NYLDLZCSD			
	NNCRKZC=NCRKCSL*NCRK*NCJHSYYXYZ			
	NNCRKDJ=NCRKSWL*NCJKYXYZ*NCRK+NYLDLTR*NYLDLQYSD*CSJYYZ*CSCYLDLXQYZ*CSYLSP			
	NCRK=NNCRKZC-NNCRKDJ			
2. The main	NNYLDLZC=NYLDLXS*NCRK*NYLDLZCSD			
equations of the population sub-system	NCSRKZC=(1-CSRK/ZDRKCZL)*(CSRKCSL*CSRK*CSJHSYYXYZ+CSCYLDLXQYZ*NYL-			
	DLQYSD*NYLDLTR*CSJYYZ*CSYLSP)			
	NCSRKDJ=CSRKSWL*CSJKYXYZ*CSRK			
	CSRK=NCSRKZC-NCSRKDJ			
	CSHL=CSRK*100/(CSRK+NCRK)			
	CSCYLDLXQZCXS=CSCYLDLXQ/CQCSCYLDLXQ			
	CSZXXJSS=JYYZ*(0.00705*CSRK+105.995)			
	CSZXXWMXSYYJSS=(CSZXXJSS*10000)/CSZXXXSS			
3. The main equations of the social service sub-system	CSZXXSZZCXS=CSZXXWMXSYYJSS/CQCSJYSZSP			
	CSZXXXSS=0.027943*CSRK+1976.11			
	CSYSS=YLYZ*(0.010885*CSRK-257.88)			
	CSWRYYYSS=CSYSS*10000/CSRK			
	CSWEYYYSSZCXS=CSWRYYYSS/CQCSWRYYYSS			

other hand, aims to verify model stability and assesses its degree of confidence.

### **4.1 Structural validation**

Prior to structural validation, the preliminary values of the model's variables were examined and defined by referring to real data. In addition, the dimensional consistency of all involved variables was tested to ensure the model's accuracy ([Sterman,](#page-14-0) 2000). Then, through structural verification and extreme condition analysis, structural validity was examined by checking the simulated data against real historical data, to ascertain the reliability and accuracy of the model ([Xu](#page-15-0) and Sun, [2008\)](#page-15-0).

The complexity of China's urbanization requires the use of <sup>a</sup> series of variables to describe China's urbanization process. To illustrate the structural validity of the model, this paper focuses on the validation results of nine key variables by checking the simulated values against data from <sup>1998</sup> to 2013. These nine variables consist of the urbanization rate; total population; GDP; the output value of the primary, secondary, and tertiary industries (price in 1990); and the labor force of each of these three industries. [Table](#page-8-0) <sup>3</sup> <sup>g</sup>ive details of the validation outcomes.

The average error rate of each of the nine variables, as de<sup>p</sup>icted by Tables 3, does not exceed 10%. In addition, the average difference rate between the simulated urbanization level and the real urbanization level from <sup>1998</sup> to <sup>2013</sup> is only 0.774%. This indicates that what the model describes and simulates are congruen<sup>t</sup> with China's urbanization process, which justifies the model's conformity, credibility and robustness. By visualizing the real data and the simulated data as shown in [Figure](#page-9-0) 3, one observes that the simulated urbanization levels have <sup>a</sup> very close fitness to real levels, which means that this SD model is applicable to the simulation and forecasting of China's urbanization process.

#### **4.2 Sensitivity analysis**

The purpose of the sensitivity analysis is to verify the level of influence caused by the change of the model structure and/or values of model parameters (Jia and [Ding,](#page-14-0) 2002). Generally,



# <span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table <sup>3</sup>** Model validation against historical data

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

**Figure** 3 Simulated urbanization rate against real urbanization rate from <sup>1998</sup> to 2013.

the outputs of <sup>a</sup> robust model should have low sensitivity to most parameters. However, <sup>a</sup> model's robustness cannot ensure good performance regarding sensitivity, which is the basis for model improvement and optimization ([Zhang](#page-15-0) et al., [2008\)](#page-15-0). Formulas (1) and (2) are the genera<sup>l</sup> expressions of the measurement of the sensitivity level of <sup>a</sup> certain parameter.

$$
S_Q = \left| \frac{AQ_{\scriptscriptstyle(t)}}{Q_{\scriptscriptstyle(t)}} \cdot \frac{X_{\scriptscriptstyle(t)}}{AX_{\scriptscriptstyle(t)}} \right|,\tag{1}
$$

$$
S = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{Q_i},
$$
 (2)

where *t* means time *t*,  $Q_{(t)}$  is the value of  $Q$  at time *t*,  $X_{(t)}$  is the value of *X* at time  $t$ ,  $S_Q$  is the sensitivity level of status

**Table 4** The results of sensitivity analysis

variable *Q* to parameter *X*,  $\Delta Q_{(t)}$  and  $\Delta X_{(t)}$  are the increments of variable *<sup>Q</sup>* and parameter *<sup>X</sup>* at time *<sup>t</sup>*, *<sup>n</sup>* is parameter for status variables,  $S_{Q_i}$  is the sensitivity level of variable  $Q_i$ , and *<sup>S</sup>* is the average sensitivity level of parameter *<sup>X</sup>*.

For sensitivity analysis, <sup>22</sup> variables are selected to confirm their influence levels towards the simulated urbanization rate ([Table](#page-8-0) 3). The method is to check the resultant change in the urbanization level when the value of each of the <sup>22</sup> variables decreases or increases by 10% annually from <sup>1998</sup> to <sup>2050</sup> (Pei et al., [2010](#page-14-0); Xue et al., [2011](#page-15-0)). According to eq. (1), each variable's sensitivity towards the urbanization rate for each annual variation can be calculated to express conditions of both an "increase by 10%" and <sup>a</sup> "decrease by 10%", thus producing <sup>44</sup> sensitivity values. Then, with eq. (2), it is possible to calculate the average sensitivity level of <sup>a</sup> certain variable, which can be regarded as its influence level upon the simulated urbanization rate (Table 4).

The results presented in Table <sup>4</sup> demonstrate that only four of the <sup>22</sup> variables have <sup>a</sup> sensitivity higher than 10%, which include birth rate of rural population, influence factor of rural family <sup>p</sup>lanning, education factor, and growth rate of agricultural labor productivity. This indicates that the simulated urbanization rate is insensitive to the majority of variables, and that the driving forces for China's urbanization in the next <sup>35</sup> years will mainly come from the transformation of agricul-



tural productivity, quality of education, and the development of the rural population, with the transformation of agricultural productivity as the most influential one.

The results of both structural validation and sensitivity analysis show that the SD model presented above is not only robust, accurate and credible but also stable and effective. Thus, it can be concluded that this SD model can be applied to the exploration of practical issues such as the prediction of China's urbanization rate and the assessment of China's urbanization policies.

# **5. Simulation and results**

#### **5.1 Data resources**

Since the reform and opening its policy, China's economy has developed at an unprecedented rate. In 1978, China's GDP was 364.52 billion Yuan, while in <sup>2012</sup> it increased dramatically to 51.8942 trillion Yuan. During this same period, China's per capita GDP also increased tremendously from <sup>381</sup> Yuan per capita in <sup>1978</sup> to 38.4 thousand Yuan per capita in 2012. Parallel to this economic boom is China's rapid urbanization, with the urbanization rate increasing from 17.92% in <sup>1978</sup> to 52.57% in 2012. In recent years, the increase of the urbanization rate has been even higher at 1.4% per year, which means that China has already entered the stage of rapid urbanization as described by Northam's Curve.

The data required to build and validate the SD model was collected from "*China Compendium of Statistics 1949–2008*", and "*China Compilation of Historical Statistics of Provinces*, *Autonomous Regions and Municipalities 1949–1989*", as well as the "*China Statistical Yearbook*", the "*China City Statistical Yearbook*", "*China County Statistical Yearbook*", and "*Statistical Yearbook of the Chinese Investment in Fixed Assets*" for all examined years. Since the requisite data pertaining to Hong Kong, Macau, and Taiwan are unavailable, the geographical territory covered by the SD model only pertains to mainland China.

#### **5.2 Data property and data type**

In <sup>a</sup> period of socio-economic transformation, China's urbanization mechanism is influenced by many factors, including not only population and labor force but also China's economic scale, stage of development, available capital, education services, medical services, etc. Therefore, China's urbanization cannot be properly described and modeled without using <sup>a</sup> series of variables and data. In our research, we categorize all the collected data into three groups: demographical data, economic data, and social data. Since the socio-economic system is highly complex and non-linear, and China's urbanization is deeply engaged in economic development and social reform, the relationships among all the collected requisite data are characterized by high nonlinearity.

#### **5.3 Parameter setting of the model**

By referring to the readily processed data from <sup>1998</sup> to 2013, the values of the model's parameters are decided by the methods briefly outlined below.

(1) Values calculated using the arithmetic average of historical data include the following: birth rate of urban population (0.0111); birth rate of rural population (0.014); death rate of urban population (0.0052); death rate of rural population (0.006); index of agricultural labor force (0.436); em<sup>p</sup>loyment index of secondary industry (0.333); employment index of tertiary industry (0.4137); capital accumulation rate (0.49); growth rate of the output value of the primary industry (0.0552); growth rate of the output value of the secondary industry (0.094); growth rate of the output value of the tertiary industry (0.117); growth rate of the agricultural labor force  $(-0.0196)$ ; growth rate of the number of employees in the tertiary industry (0.0233); and growth rate of the number of employees in the tertiary industry (0.0276).

(2) Values of five parameters are set by extrapolation of development trends: influence factor of rural family <sup>p</sup>lanning  $(1.15)$ ; influence factor of urban family planning  $(1.05)$ ; influence factor of rural health (0.95); influence factor of urban health (0.92); and medical factor (0.98).

(3) Variables whose values are determined by table function include the following: factor of the demand of labor force in urban industries; urban education factor; and urban medical factor.

(4) Variables whose values are calculated through regression analysis are the following: number of urban doctors; number of urban primary and secondary school students; and number of urban primary and secondary school teachers.

(5) Capital stock and labor elasticity index of primary, secondary, and tertiary industries are calculated by Cobb-Dou<sup>g</sup>las production function (CD function).

(6) The value of arable land is calculated by the GM (1, 1) model. As the difference between the predicted value by this GM (1, 1) model and the real value can be exaggerated gradually towards the future, we designate 1.8 billion acres of arable land (one acre equals approximately  $667 \text{ m}^2$ ) as the threshold value for the model. That is, when the predicted arable land reaches 1.8 billion acres, it will not change afterwards.

#### *5.3.1 GDP growth rate*

According to an article titled "Asian economic center: prediction on China" published in the "*Frankfurter Rundschau*" by Robert W. Fogel, by 2030, China's per capita GDP is set to reach <sup>85</sup> thousand dollars and by <sup>2040</sup> its GDP is scheduled to reach <sup>123</sup> trillion dollars. Many Chinese specialists view this prediction as unattainable, since its actualization would depend on an annual GDP growth rate of approximately 10%, as well as an appreciation in the RMB rate of exchange. Since China's economy is now at <sup>a</sup> "New Normal" stage and <sup>a</sup> lag<sup>g</sup>ing in GDP growth is predicted, it is unlikely that China's annual GDP growth rate will exceed 8% in the future. Moreover, since <sup>2013</sup> China's industrial structure has transformed from <sup>a</sup> pattern of "secondary, tertiary and primary" to one of "tertiary, secondary and primary". This means that the tertiary industry will overtake the secondary industry and become the leading industry in China (Liu and Cai, [2015](#page-14-0)). In addition, now China's economy is stepping into <sup>a</sup> stage of structural economic slowdown (Han et al., [2016](#page-14-0)). By taking into account these new developments and the fact that the average growth rate of China's primary industry was only 4% from <sup>1996</sup> to 2013, we predict the urbanization level towards <sup>2050</sup> in three scenarios. In the high-growth scenario, the growth rate of the primary industry, the secondary industry and the tertiary industry is set to 4%, 7% and 8%, respectively. In the medium-growth scenario, for each of the industries the corresponding growth rate is assumed to be 3.5%, 6.5% and 7.5%; and in the low-growth scenario the respective rate is assumed to be 3%, 6% and 7%.

# *5.3.2 Family <sup>p</sup>lanning policy*

In 2007, the China Population Development Strategy Research Group launched the "*Research repor<sup>t</sup> of China's population development strategy*" and stated that China's population will reach 1.45 billion in 2020, reaching its pea<sup>k</sup> value of 1.5 billion in 2033. However, by reviewing the current social issues caused by the one-child policy, such as <sup>a</sup> shortage in the labor force and an aging population, the central government is preparing to adjust its current family <sup>p</sup>lanning policy. By referring to the population data (1998–2013) from the "*China Population Statistics Yearbook*", "*China Population and Employment Statistics Yearbook*" and the Fifth Census Data (2000), we can obtain the child-bearing status of urban and rural women in China (See Appendix <sup>2</sup> for details).

The one-child policy in China experienced no adjustment between <sup>1998</sup> and 2013. Therefore, if the central governmen<sup>t</sup> modifies the one-child policy to <sup>a</sup> 1.5-child policy or even <sup>a</sup> two-child policy, the consequential impact on the variable of "influence factor of urban/rural family <sup>p</sup>lanning" could be significant. The effects on the "influence factor of family <sup>p</sup>lanning" resulting from <sup>a</sup> two-child policy and <sup>a</sup> 1.5-child policy are calculated using eqs. (3) and (4).

Effect on "influence factor of family planning"(two-child  $\text{policy}$ ) = 1 + (number of child one number of child two)/number of child one, (3)

Effect on "influence factor of family planning"(1.5-child  $policy)=1+(number of child one/2)$ number of child two)/number of child one. (4)

Table <sup>5</sup> provides <sup>a</sup> detailed account of the "influence factor of family <sup>p</sup>lanning" resulting from <sup>a</sup> 1.5- and two-child policy from <sup>1998</sup> to 2013. It shows that in urban areas the average effect is 1.81 for <sup>a</sup> two-child policy and 1.31 for <sup>a</sup> 1.5-child policy. By contrast, in the rural area the effect on the "influence factor of rural family <sup>p</sup>lanning" is 1.44 for <sup>a</sup> two-child policy and 0.94 for <sup>a</sup> 1.5-child policy. Therefore, it can be inferred that turning the "one couple, one child" to <sup>a</sup> "1.5-child" family <sup>p</sup>lanning policy will have no significant influence upon Chinese urbanization but with <sup>a</sup> "two-child" policy the influence will be evident.

**Table <sup>5</sup>** Influence factor of family <sup>p</sup>lanning on Chinese urbanization (1998–2013)

Year	Urban area		Rural area	
	Two-child policy	1.5-child policy	Two-child policy	1.5-child policy
1998	1.84	1.34	1.54	1.04
1999	1.86	1.36	1.48	0.98
2000	1.84	1.34	1.50	1.00
2001	1.88	1.38	1.47	0.97
2002	1.86	1.36	1.45	0.95
2003	1.87	1.37	1.47	0.97
2004	1.85	1.35	1.46	0.96
2005	1.75	1.25	1.33	0.83
2006	1.80	1.30	1.42	0.92
2007	1.83	1.33	1.41	0.91
2008	1.83	1.33	1.44	0.94
2009	1.82	1.32	1.44	0.94
2010	1.72	1.22	1.36	0.86
2011	1.77	1.27	1.45	0.95
2012	1.75	1.25	1.42	0.92
2013	1.69	1.19	1.42	0.92
Average	1.81	1.31	1.44	0.94

### <span id="page-12-0"></span>**5.4 Scenario analysis**

The SD model of Chinese urbanization was developed using Vensim1 PLE, <sup>a</sup> fully functional system dynamics software package from Ventana Systems, Inc. (Harvard, MA, USA). The unit time frame is one year and the model was run over <sup>a</sup> period of <sup>35</sup> years, representing <sup>a</sup> medium-term security <sup>p</sup>lanning horizon. Attempting to conduct <sup>a</sup> meaningful scenario analysis for <sup>a</sup> longer period would be fruitless, since uncertainty embedded in the model can reduce the prediction accuracy and create further difficulties when interpreting the results when the simulation spans too long of <sup>a</sup> period of time. For the purposes of scenario analysis, <sup>2013</sup> is set as the base year. Therefore, all of the parameter's initial values are copies of the corresponding values in 2013. Using scenario analysis, this section seeks to explore the possible urbanization rates between <sup>2013</sup> and <sup>2050</sup> under different population policies and GDP growth rates. It is expected these conclusions can serve as the scientific underpinning of the macro decision-making of the urbanization agenda in China.

# *5.4.1 Scenario 1. High GDP growth rate and the one-child policy*

If we suppose the one-child policy does not change and the GDP growth rate is set high, then the current influence factor of both urban and rural family <sup>p</sup>lanning will be maintained, and the growth rates of the primary, secondary, and tertiary industries will be 4%, 7% and 8%, respectively. Under these conditions, we can see that after 2035, China's urbanization rate will increase to 70% or higher and to 77.08% in <sup>2050</sup> (Figure 4; Table 6).



**Figure 4** China's urbanization rate in five different scenarios (2013–2050).





# *5.4.2 Scenario 2. Low GDP growth rate and <sup>a</sup> two-child policy*

If the population policy changes from <sup>a</sup> one-child to <sup>a</sup> twochild policy, and the growth rate of the three industries is set low, then the resulting "influence factor of family planning" for the city will be 1.44 times the current urban value and 1.81 times the current rural value for country-side areas, with growth rates of 3%, 6% and 7% for the primary, secondary, and tertiary industries, respectively. As depicted by [Figure](#page-12-0) 4, under these conditions, after <sup>2035</sup> the urbanization rate will be higher than 70%. In 2050, this value can be expected to reach 76.8673%.

# *5.4.3 Scenario 3. Medium GDP growth rate and <sup>a</sup> 1.5-child policy*

If the central governmen<sup>t</sup> implements <sup>a</sup> 1.5-child policy, and the GDP growth rate is maintained at <sup>a</sup> medium level, the "influence factor of rural family planning" will not change, but its urban counterpart will be multiplied by 1.31; correspondingly, the growth rates of the primary, secondary, and tertiary industries will be 3.5%, 6.5% and 7.5%, respectively. Under these conditions, the simulated urbanization rate after <sup>2035</sup> will exceed 70% and reach 79.864% in 2050, as seen from [Figure](#page-12-0) 4.

### *5.4.4 Scenario 4. Low GDP growth rate and <sup>a</sup> 1.5-child policy*

Given the assumption of 1.5-child policy and low GDP growth rate, the "influence factor of rural family <sup>p</sup>lanning" will not change, but its urban counterpart will be 1.31 times its original value, and the growth rates of the primary, secondary, and tertiary industries will be 3%, 6% and 7%, respectively. With these configurations, China's urbanization rate, as demonstrated by [Figure](#page-12-0) 4, will exceed 72% after <sup>2035</sup> and reach 79.8614% in 2050.

# *5.4.5 Scenario 5. Low GDP growth rate and the one-child policy*

Scenario <sup>5</sup> assumes that the GDP growth rate is low and the family planning policy is one-child. Then, the "influence factor of rural/urban family <sup>p</sup>lanning" is equa<sup>l</sup> to its original value, and the primary, secondary, and tertiary industries have growth rates of 3%, 6% and 7%, respectively. Under these initial conditions, China's urbanization rate will be higher than 70% after <sup>2035</sup> and by <sup>2050</sup> it will increase to <sup>a</sup> value of 77.0745% ([Figure](#page-12-0) 4).

### **5.5 The simulation results**

In addition to these five scenarios, another four scenarios with very low likelihood of occurrence are also simulated. The results of all nine scenarios illustrate that China's urbanization rate will be higher than 70% in <sup>2035</sup> and reach 75% or an even higher level in 2050, regardless of what combination of GDP growth rate (low, medium and high) and family <sup>p</sup>lanning policy (one-child, 1.5-child and two-child) is enacted. This outcome coincides with the urbanization process experienced by foreign developed countries. As has been justified theoretically and testified in practice in foreign countries, the developmen<sup>t</sup> trajectory of the urbanization rate can be described by an "S" curve. When the rate reaches 70%, urbanization will enter <sup>a</sup> stable stage, which is the genera<sup>l</sup> trend and law of urbanization. In fact, most foreign developed countries have already reached this stage.

Compared with the GDP growth rate, we found that change in family <sup>p</sup>lanning policy has <sup>a</sup> more evident impact on China's urbanization. Moreover, among the three different family <sup>p</sup>lanning policies, the 1.5-child policy makes <sup>a</sup> more significant contribution to increasing China's urbanization rate than the currently implemented one-child policy. Interestingly, the impact resulting from the two-child policy, compared with the other two policies, is less significant.

# **6. Conclusions**

This paper presents <sup>a</sup> Chinese urbanization SD model to ex<sup>p</sup>lore China's future urbanization rate towards <sup>2050</sup> in different scenarios. It generates four key conclusions, discussed below.

(1) Structural validation and sensitivity analysis using real data from <sup>1998</sup> to <sup>2013</sup> justifies that this Chinese urbanization SD model is robust, with high credibility, validity and simulation practicality. According to the simulated results, it is found that more than <sup>20</sup> years are still needed for the completion of Chinese urbanization. Therefore, we can conclude that urbanization as <sup>a</sup> goa<sup>l</sup> in China cannot be realized in <sup>a</sup> short time, but must undergo <sup>a</sup> long social transformation process.

(2) Scenario analysis (2013–2050) through the SD model reveals that China's urbanization rate will be approximately 70.0–72.0% in 2035, regardless of the settings of the GDP growth rate (6.5%, 7.0% or 7.5%) and the family <sup>p</sup>lanning policy (one-child policy, 1.5-child policy or two-child policy).

(3) Sensitivity analysis indicates that, compared with the GDP growth rate, <sup>a</sup> change in family <sup>p</sup>lanning policy has <sup>a</sup> more significant impact on China's urbanization. In addition, regarding family <sup>p</sup>lanning policy itself, <sup>a</sup> 1.5-child policy will impact China's urbanization more fundamentally than <sup>a</sup> twochild policy will.

(4) In 2050, the urbanization rate will be approximately 75%, an equilibrium level for China in the long run.

Thus, it can be summarized that Chinese urbanization entails <sup>a</sup> long social development process requiring approximately <sup>20</sup> years to complete. The ultimate equilibrium urbanization level will be 75–80%, which means that in <sup>a</sup> foresee<span id="page-14-0"></span>able long future, 20–25% of China's population will continue to live in the countryside of China.

**Acknowledgements** *It was first presented at the Symposium on Rethinking China's Urbanization in the University of Ottawa on 24–25 October,* 2015. This work was supported by the Key Project of the National Natural Science Foundation of China "the SD model and threshold value prediction *of the interactive coupled effects between urbanization and eco-environment in mega-urban agglomerations" (Grant No. 41590844) and the Independent Research Program of Tsinghua University (Grant No. 2015THZ01).*

### **References**

- Allen <sup>P</sup> M, Boon F, Engelen G, Sanglier M. 1984. Modeling evolving spatial choice patterns. Appl Math [Comput](https://doi.org/10.1016/0096-3003(84)90048-1), 14: 97–129
- Armah <sup>F</sup> A, Yawson <sup>D</sup> O, Pappoe <sup>A</sup> <sup>A</sup> <sup>N</sup> M. 2010. <sup>A</sup> systems dynamics approac<sup>h</sup> to explore traffic congestion and air pollution link in the city of Accra, Ghana. [Sustainability](https://doi.org/10.3390/su2010252), 2: 252–265
- Batten D. 1982. On the dynamics of industrial evolution. [Region](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-0462(82)90029-1) Sci Urban [Econ](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-0462(82)90029-1), 12: 449–462
- Batty M. 2005. Agents, cells, and cities: New representational models for simulating multiscale urban dynamics. Environ [Planning](https://doi.org/10.1068/a3784) A, 37: 1373–1394
- Bockermann A, Meyer B, Omann I, Spangenberg <sup>J</sup> H. 2005. Modelling sustainability. <sup>J</sup> [Policy](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpolmod.2004.11.002) Model, 27: 189–210
- Cai L. 2008. The Application of System Dynamics in the Research of Sustainable Development (in Chinese). Beijing: China Environmental Science Press
- Chan <sup>K</sup> W, Hu Y. 2003. Urbanization in China in the 1990s: New definition, different series, and revised trends. China Review 3: 49–71
- Chang <sup>Y</sup> C, Hong <sup>F</sup> W, Lee <sup>M</sup> T. 2008. <sup>A</sup> system dynamic based DSS for sustainable coral reef managemen<sup>t</sup> in Kenting coastal zone, Taiwan. [Ecol](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2007.09.001) [Model](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2007.09.001), 211: 153–168
- Chen M, Liu W, Tao X. 2013. Evolution and assessment on China's urbanization 1960–2010: Under-urbanization or over-urbanization? [Habitat](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2012.09.007) Int, 38: 25–33
- Egilmez G, Tatari O. 2012. <sup>A</sup> dynamic modeling approac<sup>h</sup> to highway sustainability: Strategies to reduce overall impact. [Transport](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2012.04.011) Res A-Pol, 46: 1086–1096
- Forrester <sup>J</sup> W. 1969. Urban Dynamics. Cambridge: MIT Press
- Forrester <sup>J</sup> W. 1971. World Dynamics. Lawrence: Wright-Allen Press
- Gao <sup>C</sup> L, Wei <sup>H</sup> K. 2013. Study on the prediction of Chinese urbanization trend (in Chinese). Mod Econ Sci, 35: 85–90
- Georgiadis P, Besiou M. 2008. Sustainability in electrical and electronic equipment closed-loop supply chains: <sup>A</sup> system dynamics approach. [J](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.04.019) [Cleaner](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.04.019) Prod, 16: 1665–1678
- Georgiadis P, Vlachos D, Iakovou E. 2005. <sup>A</sup> system dynamics modeling framework for the strategic supply chain managemen<sup>t</sup> of food chains. [J](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2004.06.030) [Food](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2004.06.030) Eng, 70: 351–364
- Gu <sup>C</sup> L. 1992. Chinese Urban Hierarchy: History, Status Quo and Prospect. Beijing: Commercial Press. 334–350, 340, 348–350
- Guan D, Gao W, Su W, Li H, Hokao K. 2011. Modeling and dynamic assessment of urban economy-resource-environment system with <sup>a</sup> cou<sup>p</sup>led system dynamics—Geographic information system model. [Ecol](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.02.007) In[dicators](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.02.007), 11: 1333–1344
- Guo <sup>H</sup> C, Liu L, Huang <sup>G</sup> H, Fuller <sup>G</sup> A, Zou R, Yin <sup>Y</sup> Y. 2001. <sup>A</sup> system dynamics approac<sup>h</sup> for regional environmental <sup>p</sup>lanning and management: <sup>A</sup> study for the Lake Erhai Basin. <sup>J</sup> Environ [Manage,](https://doi.org/10.1006/jema.2000.0400) 61: 93–111
- Haghshenas H, Vaziri M, Gholamialam A. 2015. Evaluation of sustainable policy in urban transportation using system dynamics and world cities data: <sup>A</sup> case study in Isfahan. [Cities](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2014.11.003), 45: 104–115
- Han <sup>Y</sup> H, Huang <sup>L</sup> X, Zou <sup>J</sup> H. 2016. The advent of the era of China's

economic structural slowdown (in Chinese). Stat Res, 33: 23–33

- He <sup>C</sup> Y, Shi <sup>P</sup> J, Chen J, Pan <sup>Y</sup> Z, Li <sup>X</sup> B, Li J, Li <sup>Y</sup> C, Li <sup>J</sup> G. 2005. Developing land use scenario dynamics model by the integration of system dynamics model and cellular automata model. Sci China Ser D-Earth Sci, 48: 1979–1989
- He H B, Pan Y G, Chen L H. 2006. Tentative study on prediction model of regional energy demand (in Chinese). Prediction Analysis, (23): 3–5
- Hu <sup>X</sup> L. 2013. Urbanization and low-carbon transformation. In: Wang <sup>W</sup> G, Zheng <sup>G</sup> G, eds. Report on Climate Change (2013): Low-Carbon Urbanization (in Chinese). Beijing: Social Sciences Academic Press
- Jia <sup>R</sup> A, Ding <sup>R</sup> H. 2002. System dynamics: Analysis of feeback dynamic complexity (in Chinese). Beijing: Higher Education Press
- Jin W, Xu L, Yang Z. 2009. Modeling <sup>a</sup> policy making framework for urban sustainability: Incorporating system dynamics into the Ecological Footprint. Ecol [Econ](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2009.06.010), 68: 2938–2949
- Li Q. 2011. Research on dynamic simulation model of urban public safety emergency response based on multi-agent. Dissertation for Doctoral Degree. Beijing: Tsinghua University
- Li Q, Gu <sup>C</sup> L. 2015. <sup>A</sup> dynamic simulation approac<sup>h</sup> to suppor<sup>t</sup> emergency decision making on urban public safety (in Chinese). Sci China Ser D-Earth Sci, 45: 290–304
- Liu W, Cai <sup>Z</sup> Z. 2015. The upgradation of industrial structure in the process of China's industrialization and its economic growth under the new normal. <sup>J</sup> Peking Univ-Philos Soc Sci, 52: 5–19
- Liu Y, Lv X, Qin X, Guo H, Yu Y, Wang J, Mao G. 2007. An integrated GISbased analysis system for land-use managemen<sup>t</sup> of lake areas in urban fringe. [Landscape](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2007.02.012) Urban Plan, 82: 233–246
- Meadows <sup>D</sup> H, Meadows <sup>D</sup> L, Randers J, Behrens W. 1972. The Limits to Growth: <sup>A</sup> Report to the Club of Rome. New York: Universe Books
- Mohapatra P. Mandal P, Bora M. 1994. Introduction to System Dynamics Modeling. Hyderabad: Orient Longman Ltd
- Northam <sup>R</sup> M. 1975. Urban Geography. New York: John Wiley & Sons
- Pei <sup>T</sup> Y, Zhang <sup>H</sup> W, Zhang <sup>X</sup> H, Lei M. 2010. Logistic analysis in ecocompus based on system dynamic and sensitivity model (in Chinese). Sikuan Enviroment, 29: 64–67
- Portugali J. 2000. Self-Organization and the City. Berlin: Springer-Verlag
- Pumain D, Saint-Julien T, Sanders L. 1986. Urban dynamics of some French cities. Eur <sup>J</sup> [Oper](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(86)90108-6) Res, 25: 3–10
- Qiu Y, Shi <sup>X</sup> L, Shi <sup>C</sup> H. 2015. <sup>A</sup> system dynamics model for simulating the logistics demand dynamics of metropolitans: A case study of Beijing, China. <sup>J</sup> Ind Eng Manage, 8: 783–803
- Shen <sup>T</sup> Y, Wu B. 2006. China urban future simulation: An integrated framework of CGE and GIS (in Chinese). Adv Earth Sci, 21: 1153–1163
- Shen <sup>T</sup> Y, Wang <sup>W</sup> D, Hou M, Guo <sup>Z</sup> C, Xue L, Yang <sup>K</sup> Z. 2007. Study on spatio-temporal system dynamic models of urban growth. Syst [Eng-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1874-8651(08)60002-2)Theor [Practice](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1874-8651(08)60002-2), 27: 10–17
- Sterman J. 2000. Business Dynamics: Systems Thinking and Modeling for <sup>a</sup> Complex World. Boston: McGraw-Hill. <sup>982</sup>
- The World Bank and the Development Research Center of the State Council (China). 2014. Urban China: Toward Efficient, Inclusive, and Sustainable Urbanization. Beijing: China Development Press. <sup>121</sup>
- The Economist Intelligence Unit. 2014. China's Urbanization in 2030. China Economic Report, (7): 93–98
- Tong L, Dou Y. 2014. Simulation study of coal mine safety investment based on system dynamics. Int <sup>J</sup> [Mining](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmst.2014.01.010) Sci Tech, 24: 201–205
- Venkatesan <sup>A</sup> K, Ahmad S, Johnson W, Batista <sup>J</sup> R. 2011. Systems dynamic model to forecast salinity load to the Colorado River due to urbanization within the Las Vegas Valley. Sci Total [Environ](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.03.018), 409: 2616–2625
- Wang <sup>Q</sup> F. 1994. System Dynamics (in Chinese). Beijing: Tsinghua University Press
- Wolstenholme <sup>E</sup> F. 1983. Modeling national development program—An exercise in system description and qualitative analysis using system dynamics. <sup>J</sup> Oper Res Soc, 34: 1133–1148
- Xia B, Hu <sup>J</sup> M, Zhang Z, Yao <sup>Y</sup> D. 2002. Visualized simulation of urban

<span id="page-15-0"></span>traffic guidance system based on PARAMICS (in Chinese). Systems En<sup>g</sup>ineering, 20: 72–78

- Xu <sup>K</sup> D. 2013. Study on the Development Strategy of New Urbanization with Chinese Characteristics (Comprehensive volume) (in Chinese). Beijing: China Building Industry Press
- Xu Y, Sun <sup>C</sup> Z. 2008. Simulation of water resources carrying capacity based on <sup>a</sup> system dynamic model in Dalian (in Chinese). <sup>J</sup> Saf Environ, 8: 71–74
- Xu Z, Coors V. 2012. Combining system dynamics model, GIS and 3D visualization in sustainability assessment of urban residential development. Build [Environ](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2011.07.012), 47: 272–287
- Xue B, Song <sup>X</sup> S, Yan <sup>D</sup> H. 2011. Simulation and prediction of water resources carrying capacity based on <sup>a</sup> system dynamic model in Tianjin (in Chinese). South-to-North Water Diversion Water Sci Technol, 9: 43–47
- Xue L, Yang <sup>K</sup> Z. 2002. Sciences of complexity and studies of evolution simulation of regional spatial structure. Geogr Res, 21: 79–88
- Ying Q, Shi <sup>X</sup> L, Shi <sup>C</sup> H. 2015. <sup>A</sup> system dynamics model for simulating the logistics demand dynamics of metropolitans: <sup>A</sup> case study of Beijing, China. <sup>J</sup> Ind Eng Manage, 8: 783–803
- Yuan <sup>J</sup> X, Wang <sup>R</sup> Q, Yin <sup>C</sup> X, Qiu <sup>Z</sup> F. 1987. Application of Systems Theory in Regional Planning (in Chinese). Beijing: Social Sciences Academic Press
- Zeleny M. 1980. Autopoiesis, Dissipative Structures and Spontaneous Social Orders. Boulder: Westview Press
- Zhang <sup>X</sup> H, Zhang <sup>H</sup> W, Zhang <sup>B</sup> A. 2008. Application of system dynamics approac<sup>h</sup> to urban water demand forecasting and water resources <sup>p</sup>lanning (in Chinese). China Water Waste Water, 24: 42–46
- Zhou <sup>T</sup> Y. 1994. Labor and Economic Growth (in Chinese). Shanghai: Sanlian Press
- Zhou W, Mi H. 2009. Systems dynamics of future urbanization and energy-related CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in China. Wseas Transactions Systems, 8: 1145–1154