

www.scichina.com life.scichina.com www.springerlink.com

Dissection of genetic overlap of salt tolerance QTLs at the seedling and tillering stages using backcross introgression lines in rice

ZANG JinPing¹, SUN Yong¹, WANG Yun¹, YANG Jing¹, LI Fang¹, ZHOU YongLi¹, ZHU LingHua¹, Reys JESSICA², Fotokian MOHAMMADHOSEIN², XU JianLong^{1†} & LI ZhiKang^{1,2}

¹ Institute of Crop Sciences/National Key Facility for Crop Gene Resources & Genetic Improvement, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100081, China;

² International Rice Research Institute, DAPO Box 7777, Metro Manila, Philippines

QTLs for salt-tolerance (ST) related traits at the seedling and tillering stages were identified using 99 BC₂F₈ introgression lines (IL) derived from a cross between IR64 (indica) as a recurrent parent and Binam (japonica) from Iran as the donor parent. Thirteen QTLs affecting survival days of seedlings (SDS), score of salt toxicity of leaves (SST), shoot K⁺ concentration (SKC) and shoot Na⁺ concentration (SNC) at the seedling stage and 22 QTLs underlying fresh weight of shoots (FW), tiller number per plant (TN) and plant height (PH) at the tillering stage were identified. Most QTLs detected at the tillering stage showed obvious differential expression to salt stress and were classified into three types based on their differential behaviors. Type I included 11 QTLs which were expressed only under the non-stress condition. Type II included five QTLs expressed in the control and the salt stress conditions, and three of them (QPh5, QPh8 and QTn9) had similar quantity and the same direction of gene effect, suggesting their expression was less influenced by salt stress. Type III included six QTLs which were detectable only under salt stress, suggesting that these QTLs were apparently induced by the stress. Thirteen QTLs affecting trait difference or trait stability of ILs between the stress and non-stress conditions were identified and the Binam alleles at all loci except QPh4, QTn2 and QFw2a decreased trait difference. The three QTLs less influenced by the stress and 13 QTLs affecting trait stability were considered as ST QTLs which contributed to ST. Comparing the distribution of QTLs detected at the seedling and tillering stages, most (69%) of them were genetically independent. Only four were the same or adjacent regions on chromosomes 1, 2, 8 and 11 harboring ST QTLs detected at the two stages, suggesting that partial genetic overlap of ST across the two stages occurs. It is likely, therefore, to develop ST rice variety for both stages by pyramiding of ST QTLs of different stages or selection against the overlapping QTLs between the two stages via marker-assisted selection (MAS).

rice, salt tolerance (ST), quantitative trait loci (QTL), genetic overlap

Salinity is one of the major abiotic stresses in rice production worldwide. Recently, a large quantity of industrial pollution, abuse of chemical fertilizers and unreasonable irrigation increasingly aggravated soil salinization. Accumulation of salt in the soil often results in reduction of yield or total yield loss in some cases. With the rapid increase of the world's population, it is critically important to make full use of the limited land resources for sustainable development of agriculture. Salt tolerance (ST) of non-halophytes is complex both

Received January 30, 2008; accepted April 29, 2008

[†]Corresponding author (email: xujl@caas.net.cn)

Sci China Ser C-Life Sci | Jul. 2008 | vol. 51 | no. 7 | 583-591

doi: 10.1007/s11427-008-0081-1

^{*}Contributed equally to this work

Supported by State "973" Programs from the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (Grant No. 2006CB100100), National High Technology Research and Development Program of China ("863" Program) (Grant No. 2007AA10Z191), and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 30570996)

genetically and physiologically^[1]. ST in rice is obviously specific to the developmental stage and may have no significant correlation between different developmental stages^[2-7]. Rice plants are most susceptible to salt stress during the early seedling stage (2-3 leaves), and progressively gain tolerance with the development of plant, then becomes relatively susceptible again at the reproductive stage^[8-11].

Recently, many reports have been involved in QTL mapping of ST-related traits at the seedling stage in rice using recombinant inbred lines, DH population, F_{2:3} and backcross introgression lines^[12-19]. But very few studies involved QTL mapping of ST at the tillering and adult stages. As only one case, Takehisa et al.^[20] detected QTLs affecting tiller number, seedling height and fresh weight at the vegetative stage in paddy field flooded with salt water. Previous studies on QTL for ST were mostly concentrated on a special stage of rice development, thus it was difficult to systematically and fully understand the expression and genetic relationship of QTLs detected in different developmental stages. To reveal the correlation and genetic relationship of ST and identify main-effect ST QTLs of different growth stages will be able to better understand genetic behaviors of ST and facilitate rice breeding progress by pyramiding of different main-effect ST QTLs using molecular technologies.

In this study, QTLs affecting ST-related traits at the seedling and tillering stages were dissected using IR64/Binam BC_2F_8 introgression lines (ILs) which were derived from the Global Rice Molecular Breeding Program. The objective was to compare the correlation and genetic relationship of ST and identify main-effect ST QTL from different developmental stages, to provide useful information of genes and markers for improvement of cultivar's ST.

1 Materials and methods

1.1 Meterials

A set of 99 BC_2F_8 ILs derived from a cross of an indica variety IR64 from IRRI as a recurrent parent and a japonica variety Binam from Iran as a donor parent was used in the study.

1.2 Evaluation of ST related traits at the seedling stage

The seeds were placed at 50°C for 3 d to break any pos-

sible dormancy, then germinated at 35°C for 48 h after surface-sterilizing seeds with 1% sodium hypochlorite solution for 10 min and rinsing well with distilled water. The most uniform 20 germinated seeds were sown in holes of thin Styrofoam board with a nylon net bottom in a plastic tray, which floated on water up to two leaf stages, then transferred to floating on Yoshida's cultural solution^[21] containing 140 mmol/L NaCl. The experiment was conducted in two replications. The seedlings were grown in a phytotron with 29°C/22°C day/ night temperature and minimum relative humidity of 70%. The solution was changed every 5 d and the pH was maintained at 5.5 every other day. Scores of salt toxicity of leaves (SST) were investigated 10 d after salinization and survival days of seedlings (SDS) were recorded for each individual plant in days from seeding to death according to the standard evaluation system (SES)^[22].

To observe physiological traits, such as Na^+ and K^+ concentration in the shoots, the second experiment was performed. The procedure and management of the experiment was the same as the above-mentioned experiment. To minimize environmental errors, the germinated seeds were sown in holes of PCR plate with broken bottom in each well in two replications. Eight days after treating with NaCl, the shoots were harvested and rinsed with distilled water several times. Shoots were dried under 80°C, weighed and extracted in acetic acid (100 mmol/L) at 90°C for 2 h. The extraction was divided into two groups, and sodium and potassium in each group were determined by the atomic absorption spectrophotometer (S2, Solaar House, United Kingdom). The concentration of the two ions in the shoots was calculated using the data collected.

1.3 Investigation of ST related traits at the tillering stage

The parents and ILs were evaluated in two replicated experiments in the net house of Tianjin Rice Institute located near the coast at the fall of 2007. Seeds were sown in seedbed which was irrigated with freshwater. The seedlings were transplanted into two plots. One plot was irrigated with freshwater (control group) while the other plot was irrigated with salt water (treated group). For salt stress, two wells inside the net house were dug: one was 30 m deep which contained 1.6% concentration of NaCl, while the other was 10 m deep which contained 0.3% NaCl. The salt concentration in the treated group remained at about 0.8% (equivalent to 140 mmol/L at the seedling stage) during the whole growth stage by proportionally mixing underground water from the two wells. At the tillering stage (60 d after sowing), plant height (PH), tiller number per plant (TN) and fresh weight of shoots (FW) were investigated.

1.4 Genotyping and linkage map construction

A total of 129 polymorphic SSR markers were used to assay the ILs. Marker position and genetic distance among linkage markers followed the Cornell map^[23], which covered all 12 rice chromosomes with a total genome size of 1588.7 cM and an average distance of 13.6 cM between adjacent markers.

1.5 Data analyses

Correlation between different traits was determined by the SAS PROC CORR^[24]. Phenotypic data of ILs obtained from both seedling and tillering stages and the difference between the stress and non-stress conditions at the tillering stage, including plant height difference of the stress to control (DPH), tiller number difference of the stress to control (DTN) and fresh weight difference of the stress to control (DFW) were used as input data to identify QTL by one way ANOVA using SAS PROC GLM^[24]. The probability level of P<0.005 was used as the threshold for claiming the presence of QTL. When a QTL was detected by two or more linked markers, the one with the highest F value was presented^[25]. To avoid type-II errors in statistics, QTL for the same trait identified in one condition was reexamined using the data from the other condition under the minimum threshold of P<0.05, and the test statistics and QTL parameters associated with the QTL were also reported as long as the QTL reached the minimum threshold^[25,26].

2 Results

2.1 Performance of ST-related traits for parents and ILs

Table 1 shows the summary of phenotypic performance of the parents and ILs for SST, SDS, SNC and SKC at the seedling stage and PH, TN and FW in control and salt stress conditions as well as traits difference of stress to control at the tillering stage. The two parents were similar in all traits except PH under control and salt stress conditions. ILs showed transgressive segregation for all traits and showed continuous variation which was suitable for QTL mapping.

2.2 Correlations between ST related traits

SDS showed significantly negative correlation with SST but positive correlation with SKC (Table 2), indicating plants with less injury of leaves or high K^+ content in the shoots can survive longer under salt stress. CPH had negative correlation with CTN, and CFW had positive correlations with CPH and CTN, suggesting taller plants

Traatmont	Trait ^a)	Parents			ILs		
Treatment	IIdit	IR64 (P ₁)	Binam (P ₂)	$P_1 - P_2^{(b)}$	$mean \pm SD$	range	
Salt stress at	SDS	12	12.5	-0.5	12.46±2.76	8.0-19.5	
the seedling stage	SST	5	5	0	5.51±1.69	2.0-9.0	
	SNC	2.77	2.95	-0.18	2.8308±0.43	2.0818-5.0871	
	SKC	0.39	0.4	-0.01	0.3749±0.06	0.2425-0.6453	
Control at	СРН	72.4	85	-12.6*	76.06±8.60	63.5-97.17	
the tillering stage	CTN	15	12	3	15.75±2.61	9.67-22.67	
	CFW	121.32	114.7	6.62	116.71±22.17	62.65-172.76	
Salt stress at	SPH	66.23	77.36	-11.13*	69.58±9.36	49.5-108.0	
the tillering stage	STN	8	7	1	10.20±2.97	3.7-18.0	
	SFW	61.17	49.78	11.39	64.28±20.91	19.7-118.1	
Difference of	DPH	-6.17	-7.64	1.47	-6.50±6.95	-26.67-9.33	
the stress to control	DTN	-7	-5	-2	-5.54±3.85	-15.83-3.17	
	DFW	-60.15	-64.92	4.77	-52.02±28.99	-123.80-8.27	

Table 1 Performance of salt tolerance-related traits of IR64/Binam BC₂F₈ introgression lines (ILs) at the seedling and tillering stages

a) SDS, survival days of seedlings; SST, score of salt toxicity of leaves; SKC, shoot K^+ concentration; SNC, shoot Na^+ concentration; CPH, CTN and CFW, plant height, tiller number and fresh weight under the control; SPH, STN and SFW, plant height, tiller number and fresh weight under salt stress; DPH, DTN and DFW, differences of plant height, tiller number and fresh weight between the stress and non-stress conditions. b) * represents the significant level at P<0.05.

ZANG JinPing et al. Sci China Ser C-Life Sci | Juy. 2008 | vol. 51 | no. 7 | 583-591

 $\label{eq:constraint} Table \ 2 \quad Correlation \ among \ salt \ tolerance-related \ traits \ of \ IR 64/Binam \ BC_2F_8 \ introgression \ lines \ at \ the \ seedling \ and \ tillering \ stages$

	SDS	SST	SNC	SKC	СРН	CTN	CFW	SPH	STN	SFW	DPH	DTN
SST	-0.798											
SNC	-0.091	0.127										
SKC	0.203	-0.169	-0.012									
СРН	0.055	0.066	-0.043	0.017								
CTN	-0.134	0	0.092	0.101	-0.250							
CFW	-0.019	0.002	0.044	0.136	0.480	0.524						
SPH	0.184	-0.083	-0.213	0.005	0.704	-0.379	0.176					
STN	0.136	-0.163	-0.137	0.036	-0.013	0.055	-0.039	0.150				
SFW	0.117	-0.080	0.080	-0.058	-0.019	0.223	0.115	-0.065	0.087			
DPH	0.180	-0.194	-0.232	-0.015	-0.289	-0.201	-0.357	0.477	0.219	-0.060		
DTN	0.196	-0.126	-0.169	-0.041	0.160	-0.635	-0.386	0.373	0.737	-0.095	0.305	
DFW	0.059	-0.037	0.048	-0.145	-0.443	-0.231	-0.698	-0.281	0.001	0.632	0.224	0.174

Trait abbreviation is the same as in Table 1. Bold and italic, underlined and bold numbers stand for significant levels of trait correlation at P < 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively.

had less tiller number and taller plants or plants with more tillers had heavier fresh weight of the shoots under control condition. DPH was positively correlated with DTN and DFW, respectively, indicating the same trends existed between DPH and DTN, and between DPH and DFW. SPH showed negative correlation with SNC, suggesting plants containing high concentration of Na⁺ in the shoot at the seedling stage would become shorter in PH at the tillering stage under salt stress. Positive correlations were detected between SPH and CPH, and between SFW and CTN while negative correlation was found between SPH and CTN, indicating higher plants or plants with less TN in the control condition had relatively high PH under salt stress, and plants with more TN in the control had relatively heavy FW under salt stress. DPH, DTN and DFW all showed negative correlations with CPH, CTN and CFW except that between

DTN and CPH, and most trait difference showed positive correlations with traits under salt stress with an exception of negative correlation between DFW and SPH.

2.3 QTL mapping of ST-related traits at the seedling stage

A total of 13 QTLs affecting ST were detected, including three for SST, four for SDS, four for SKC and two for SNC, and distributed on chromosomes 1-3, 6, 8 and 11 (Table 3 and Figure 1).

Three QTLs (*QSst2*, *QSst3* and *QSst8*) affecting SST were identified on chromosomes 2, 3 and 8, and the Binam alleles at all loci decreased SST or increased ST. Four QTLs affecting SDS including *QSds2a*, *QSds2b*, *QSds3* and *QSds8* were identified and mapped on chromosomes 2, 3 and 8 and the Binam alleles at all loci except *QSds2a* prolonged SDS. Four QTLs for SKC

	QTL	Chromosome	Marker interval ^{a)}	F value	$A^{\mathrm{b})}$	
SST	QSst2	2	<u>RM250</u> -RM208	8.64	-0.84	
	QSst3	3	<u>RM231</u> -RM175	11.96	-1.78	
	QSst8	8	<u>RM38</u> -RM25	7.67	-0.64	
SDS	QSds2a	2	OSR17-RM211	8.95	-0.83	
	QSds2b	2	RM530- <u>RM250</u>	9.46	1.15	
	QSds3	3	<u>RM231</u> -RM175	8.05	3.57	
	QSds8	8	<u>RM38</u> -RM25	7.52	1.09	
SKC	QSkc1	1	<u>RM562</u> -RM9	10.33	0.03	
	QSkc3	3	<u>RM81B</u> -RM22	10.99	0.04	
	QSkc6	6	RM50- <u>RM539</u>	9.56	0.02	
	QSkc11	11	<u>RM120</u> -RM181	7.68	0.03	
SNC	QSnc3	3	RM231- <u>RM175</u>	14.21	-0.55	
	QSnc6	6	RM527-RM3	19.99	0.54	

Table 3 QTLs affecting salt tolerance-related traits detected in IR64/Binam BC_2F_8 introgression lines at the seedling stage

Trait abbreviation is the same as in Table 1; a) the underlined markers are those closer to the true QTL positions; b) A represents the additive effect, estimated as the substitution effect of IR64 allele by Binam allele.



Figure 1 QTLs affecting survival days of seedlings (SDS), score of salt toxicity of leaves (SST), shoot K^+ concentration (SKC) and shoot Na^+ concentration (SNC) at the seedling stage, and plant height (PH), tiller number (TN) and fresh weight of shoots (FW) at the tillering stage detected in IR64/Binam BC_2F_8 introgression lines. QTLs in rectangles mean genetic overlapping QTLs underlying ST of seedling and tillering stages.

including *QSkc1*, *QSkc3*, *QSkc6* and *QSkc11* were detected on chromosomes 1, 3, 6 and 11, and the Binam alleles at all loci were associated with increase of K^+ concentration. Two QTLs affecting SNC were identified on chromosomes 3 and 6, and the Binam allele at *QSnc3* reduced SNC whereas the IR64 allele at *QSnc6* de-

creased SNC.

2.4 QTL mapping of ST-related traits at the tillering stage

Six QTLs for PH were detected on chromosomes 1, 4, 5, and 7–9, including 4 QTLs detected under the

ZANG JinPing et al. Sci China Ser C-Life Sci | Juy. 2008 | vol. 51 | no. 7 | 583-591

non-stress condition, 4 under salt stress and 3 by trait difference between the stress and control conditions (Table 4 and Figure 1). These QTLs could be classified into three types based on their differential behaviors. Type I included *QPh7* and *QPh9* which were expressed only in the control but not under stress, and the Binam alleles at the two loci increased PH. Type II QTLs included *QPh5* and *QPh8* which were detectable both in the stress and non-stress conditions, and the Binam allele at *QPh5* decreased PH but its allele at *QPh8* increased PH under the two conditions. Type III QTLs

included *QPh1* and *QPh4*, which were detectable only under salt stress, suggesting they were apparently induced by the stress. At the former locus, the Binam allele increased PH while at the latter locus IR64 allele increased PH. Three QTLs (*QPh1*, *QPh4* and *QPh9*) which contributed to PH differences of the ILs between salt stress and non-stress conditions were identified, and the Binam alleles at all loci except *QPh4* reduced the trait difference, or improved trait stability under salt stress.

Seven QTLs affecting TN were identified and

Trait	QTL	Chromosome	Marker interval ^{a)}	Parameter	Control ^{b)}	Stress	Difference
PH	QPh1	1	RM243-RM600	F value		10.4	4.27
				Α		5	-1.85
	QPh4	4	<u>RM142</u> -RM273	F value		4.45	9.28
				A		-2.12	4.58
	QPh5	5	<u>RM122</u> -RM13	F value	7.06	<u>5.6</u>	
				Α	-2.43	-2.37	
	QPh7	7	RM172- <u>RM248</u>	F value	8.78		
				Α	2.87		
	QPh8	8	RM223- <u>RM210</u>	F value	13.75	7.29	
				A	5.56	4.53	
	QPh9	9	RM105- <u>RM409</u>	F value	4.65		7.26
				A	<u>2.44</u>		-2.25
TN	QTn1	1	<u>RM562</u> -RM9	F value	7.01		<u>5.8</u>
				A	0.98		<u>-1.04</u>
	QTn2	2	<u>RM71</u> -RM324	F value	7.49		7.31
				Α	-0.95		4.26
	QTn5	5	<u>RM122</u> -RM13	F value	9.39		
				Α	0.84		
	QTn6	6	RM528- <u>RM30</u>	F value		7.6	
				A		3.94	
	QTn8	8	RM339— <u>RM42</u>	F value	7.49		
	07.0	0	D) (100 D) (5()	A	1.35		
	Q1n9	9	RM409— <u>RM566</u>	F value	8.45	7.67	
	07.10	10	D) (222 D) (220		-1.1	-1.13	0.20
	QInIO	10	RM222— <u>RM239</u>	F value		4.35	9.38
				Α		0.64	-3.38
FW	QFw1	1	<u>RM575</u> -RM259	F value	13.53	9.8	6.91
				A	11.26	17.58	-11.39
	QFw2a	2	<u>RM71</u> -RM324	F value	7.07		7.4
				A	-10.04		13.34
	QFw2b	2	<u>RM318</u> -RM530	F value		<u>5.1</u>	7.43
				A		<u>3.56</u>	-10.74
	QFw4	4	<u>RM335</u> -RM261	F value	<u>4.04</u>	9.24	
	0.5.5	-		A	<u>-12.99</u>	-7.76	1.0
	QFw/	1	<u>RM10</u> -RM234	F value	9.33		4.63
	05.0	0	D) (20 D) (25	A	14.91		<u>-15.36</u>
	QFw8	8	RM38— <u>RM25</u>	F value	10.07		8.75
	05 10	10	DM020 DM4/7		9.44		-11.91
	QFw10	10	KM239— <u>KM467</u>	F value	10.36		/.5
	OEul1c	11	DM101 DM2/0	A E valua	10.5	0 20	-12.29
	QFWIIA	11	$\underline{KM181}$ – $KM260$	F value		8.38 6.57	<u>4.3</u> 0.29
	OFw11b	11	PM220_PM21	A E value	10.21	0.57	-9.38
	$\mathcal{Q}^{I'WII0}$	11	<u>KIVI227 <u>KIVI21</u></u>	A	9.46		

 Table 4
 QTLs affecting salt tolerance-related traits detected in IR64/Binam BC₂F₈ introgression lines at the tillering stage

a) The underlined markers are those closer to the true QTL positions; b) the underlined numbers indicate that these marker-trait associations were detected at the subthresholds of 0.005<*P*<0.05.

588

mapped to chromosomes 1, 2, 5, 6 and 8-10, including five QTLs detected under the control, three under the stress and three by trait difference of stress and non-stress conditions (Table 4 and Figure 1). These included QTn1, QTn2, QTn5 and QTn8 of type I detected under the control condition. The Binam alleles at all loci except QTn2 were associated with increased TN. QTn9 was associated with Type II which could be detected both in the stress and non-stress conditions, and the Binam allele at this locus decreased TN in both conditions. Type III included 2 QTLs (QTn6 and QTn10) which were induced only by the stress, and the Binam alleles at these two loci increased TN. In addition, 3 QTLs (*QTn1*, QTn2 and QTn10) which contributed to TN differences between the stress and non-stress conditions were also detected, and the Binam alleles at all loci except QTn2 reduced the trait difference.

A total of nine QTLs for FW were identified and mapped on chromosomes 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10 and 11, including 7 QTLs detected under the non-stress condition, 4 under the stress, and 7 by FW differences between the stress and non-stress conditions (Table 4 and Figure 1). Type I QTL included QFw2a, QFw7, QFw8, QFw10 and QFw11b which were expressed only under the control, and the Binam alleles at all loci except QFw2a increased FW. QFw1 and QFw4 belonged to Type II which was detected both under the stress and non-stress conditions, and the Binam allele at the former locus increased FW while its allele at the latter locus decreased FW under the two conditions. Type III QTL included *QFw2b* and *QFw11a* which were induced by the stress, and the Binam alleles at these two loci increased FW. Seven QTLs contributing to TN difference between the stress and non-stress conditions were identified, and Binam alleles at all loci except QFw2a reduced the trait difference between stress and non-stress conditions.

3 Discussion

3.1 ST QTLs at the tillering stage and their genetic overlap with those at the seedling stage

ST of rice is a complicated quantitative trait. PH, TN and FW are much sensitive to salt stress and belong to ST-related traits, which are easy to measure, but their phenotypic values are easily influenced by environmental factors. In the present study, ST-related traits at the tillering stage were investigated in the adjacent cement pools, in which the soil and fertilizer conditions were completely the same except salt water in the treatment group and fresh water in the control group. Moreover, environmental effects on phenotyping were to the maximum extent eliminated by setting replications and planting border lines.

Most QTLs affecting PH, TN and FW detected at the tillering stage showed obvious differential expression to salt stress. Of the 22 QTLs detected in this study, 11 were expressed only under the control, six were induced and expressed under the stress, and five (QPh5, QPh8, OTn9, OFw1 and OFw4) were expressed under both the two conditions with the same direction of gene effect (Table 4 and Figure 1). Among the 13 QTLs detected by trait difference of the stress to the control, the alleles at all loci except OPh4, OTn2 and OFw2a which decreased trait difference were associated with Binam, indicating Binam alleles at the most loci can improve trait stability and then increase ST under salt stress. Although QTLs induced only by salt stress may be associated with the mechanism(s) of rice stress response, they may not necessarily contribute to ST. We believe that those QTLs that can reduce trait difference between stress and non-stress conditions should have contributed to ST because of their obvious contribution to trait stability. Therefore, QTLs which contributed to ST were from two types: one included 13 QTLs affecting trait difference as mentioned above, and the other included three QTLs including OPh5 and OPh8 for PH and OTn9 for TN which had similar quantity and the same direction of gene effect under the stress and control conditions, and the IR64 alleles at all loci except OPh8 increased ST.

Gene expression is specific to the developmental stage^[27], so dynamic QTL analysis across different stages will help us to understand gene expression^[28]. From the results of correlation analysis, there was no significant correlation of ST-related traits between seedling and tillering stages under the stress condition (Table 2), but QTL analysis indicated that QTLs affecting ST both at the seedling and tillering stages were detected in the four same or neighboring genome regions, including the region of RM562-RM9 on chromosome 1, which affected SKC of the seedling stage and TN of the tillering stage, the region of RM318-RM530 on chromosome 2 affecting FW of the tillering stage and the adjacent region of RM530-RM250 affecting SDS of the seedling stage, the region of RM38-RM25 on chromosome 8 affecting SST and SDS of the seedling stage and FW of the tillering stage, and the region of RM120-RM181 on chromosome 11 affecting SKC of the seedling stage and FW of the tillering stage. These QTLs affecting ST at the seedling and tillering stages located in the same or adjacent regions and might be identical or closely linked, indicating partial genetic overlap of ST exists between the seedling and tillering stages. Most QTLs (69%) affecting ST at the two stages were genetically independent. But with some degree of genetic overlap between ST at the two stages and with offset of phenotypic effect which results from inconsistent directions of gene effects at some loci, no significant correlations of ST-related traits were detected between the seedling and tillering stages.

3.2 Comparison of ST QTLs across different populations

Comparison of QTLs affecting ST at the seedling and tillering stages detected in this study with those reported in previous studies was performed by the same SSR markers and comparative linkage maps^[23,29,30]. The results showed some QTLs detected located in the same or adjacent regions with QTLs previously reported. For instance, OPh1 with the flanking markers of RM243 and RM600 on chromosome 1 which affected PH of tillering stage was mapped in the same region with qSKC-1 for K^+ concentration in the shoots^[15], major ST gene Std^[12], salt responsive cDNA clone $TSI^{[31]}$ and QTLs for K⁺ and $Na^+/K^{+[16]}$. QFw2b located in RM318 – RM530 on chromosome 2 which affected FW of the tillering stage and QSds2b located in the adjacent region of RM530-RM250 which affected SDS of the seedling stage were mapped together with the QTLs affecting tiller number under salt stress^[20], K⁺ and Na⁺ concentration, and SDS^[19]. *OSkc3* located in the region of RM81B-RM22 on chromosome 3 which affected SKC of the seedling stage and OSst3, OSds3 and OSnc3 located in the adjacent region of RM231-RM175 which influenced SST, SDS and SNC, respectively, were mapped together with salt responsive cDNA clone TS2^[31], qSV-3 for seedling vigor under salt stress^[14], QTLs for K⁺, SST and SDS^[19], and root weight QTL^[32]. *QFw7* located in the region of RM10-RM234 on chromosome 7 which affected FW of the tillering stage was mapped together with salt responsive cDNA clone $TS3^{[31]}$, and qSGM-7 affecting seed germination under salt stress^[14], one major gene linked to RFLP marker RG4^[17]. The QTL regions for the related traits mentioned above that were detected in different mapping populations and various environments were significant genomic regions for ST of rice. This will play an important role in MAS for development of ST variety.

3.3 Implication in rice breeding for ST

Binam, a landrace introduced from Iran, is suitable to grow under drought and saline conditions in the Middle East Area^[33]. Although its ST is not ideal under the experimental condition, it possesses more favorable alleles to increase ST as compared with IR64. Actually, this is a common phenomenon in most germplasms. Many germplasms take some favorable genes affecting the target traits such as high yield^[34,35] and ST^[36], etc., although the overall agronomic traits of the germplasms look poor. As to this kind of favorable genes 'hidden' in germplasms, it is an effective way to mine them by developing ILs combined with molecular marker technologies^[37–39].

Rice is most susceptible to salt stress at the 2-3 leaf stage. So ST of the seedling stage is very important for rice to normally grow under the salt stress condition. In this study, two important regions, RM231-RM175 on chromosome 3 and RM38-RM25 on chromosome 8, affected SST and SDS, respectively, and their favorable alleles for increased ST were from the donor parent, Binam. It is, therefore likely to improve the ST level of the seedling stage by pyramiding these two non-allelic ST QTLs via MAS. Some important QTL regions affecting ST of tillering stage were identified, including RM575-RM600 on chromosome 1 which influenced PH and FW, and RM71-RM324 on chromosome 2 which affected TN and FW, and so on. Because most ST QTLs of the seedling and tillering stages were genetically independent, it is possible to develop ST rice variety for both stages by accumulating important QTLs of the different stages as mentioned above or selection against the overlapping QTLs of the two stages through MAS.

The author thanks Dr. Christoph Beck of the University of Freiburg for a critical review of this manuscript.

- 2 Greenway H, Munns R. Mechanism of salt tolerance in nonhalophytes. Annu Rev Plant Physiol, 1980, 31: 149–190
- 3 Shannon M C. Principles and strategies in breeding for higher salt

¹ Yeo A R, Flowers T J. Salinity resistance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) and a pyramiding approach to breeding varieties for saline soils. Aust J Plant Physiol, 1986, 13: 161–173

tolerance. Plant Soil, 1985, 89: 227-241

- 4 Lauchli A, Epstein E. Plant responses to saline and sodic conditions. In: Tanji K K, ed. Agricultural Salinity Assessment and Management. New York: Am Soc Civil Engrs, 1990. 113-137
- 5 Johnson D W, Smith S E, Dobrenz A K. Genetic and phenotypic relationships in response to NaCl at different developmental stages in alfalfa. Theor Appl Genet, 1992, 83: 833-838
- 6 Foolad M R, Lin G Y. Absence of a relationship between salt tolerance during germination and vegetative growth in tomato. Plant Breed,1997, 116: 363-367
- Foolad M R, Chen F Q. RFLP mapping of QTLs conferring salt tolerance during the vegetative stage in tomato. Theor Appl Genet, 1999, 99: 235-243
- 8 Akbar M, Yabuno T. Breeding for saline-resistant varieties of rice: II. Comparative performance of some rice varieties to salinity during early development stage. Jap J Breed, 1974, 25: 176-181
- 9 Pearson G A. The salt tolerance of rice. Int Rice Commun Newsletter, 1961, 10: 1-4
- 10 Pearson G A, Ayers A D, Eberhard D L. Relative salt tolerance of rice during germination and early seedling development. Soil Sci, 1966, 102: 151-156
- Flowers T J, Yeo A R. Variability in the resistance of sodium chloride salinity within rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties. New Phytol, 1981, 88: 363-373
- 12 Gong J M, He P, Qian Q, et al. Identification of salt-tolerance QTL in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Chin Sci Bull, 1999, 44(1): 68-71
- 13 Gu X Y, Mei M T, Yan X L, et al. Preliminary detection of quantitative trait loci for salt tolerance in rice. Chin J Rice Sci (in Chinese), 2000, 14(2): 65-70
- 14 Prasad S R, Bagali P G, Hittalmani S, et al. Molecular mapping of quantitative trait loci associated with seedling tolerance to salt stress in rice (*Oryza sativa* L). Curr Sci, 2000, 78: 162–164
- 15 Lin H X, Zhu M Z, Yano M, et al. QTLs for Na⁺ and K⁺ uptake of the shoots and roots controlling rice salt tolerance. Theor Appl Genet, 2004, 108: 253-260
- 16 Koyama M L, Levesley A, Koebner R M et al. Quantitative trait loci for component physiological traits determining salt tolerance in rice. Plant Physiol, 2001, 125: 406-422
- 17 Zhang G Y, Guo Y, Chen S L, et al. RFLP tagging of a salt tolerance gene. Plant Sci, 1995, 110(2):227-234
- Lee S Y, Ahn J H, Cha Y S, et al. Mapping of quantitative trait loci for salt tolerance at the seedling stage in rice. Mol Cells, 2006, 21(2): 192-196
- 19 Sun Y, Zang J P, Wang Y, et al. Mining favorable salt-tolerant QTL from rice germplasm using a backcrossing introgression line population. Acta Agron Sin (in Chinese), 2007, 33(10): 1611-1617
- 20 Takehisa H, Shimodate T, Fukuta Y, et al. Identification of quantitative trait loci for plant growth of rice in paddy field flooded with salt water. Field Crops Res, 2004, 89: 85–95
- 21 Yoshida S, Forno D A, Cock J H, et al. Laboratory Manual for Physiological Studies of Rice. 3rd ed. Manila: IRRI, 1976. 1-83
- 22 International Rice Research Institute. Standard Evaluation System for

Rice. 4th ed. Manila: IRRI, 1996. 52

- 23 Temnykh S, Declerck G, Lukashova A, et al. Computationaland experimental analysis of microsatellites in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): Frequency, length variation, transposon associations, and genetic marker potential. Genome Res, 2001, 11: 1441–1452
- 24 SAS Institute. SAS/STAT User's Guide. NC: SAS Institute Cary, 1996
- 25 Li Z K, Yu S B, Lafitte H R, et al. QTL×environment interactions in rice. I. Heading date and plant height. Theor Appl Genet, 2003, 108: 141-153
- 26 Xu J L, Lafitte H R, Gao Y M, et al. QTLs for drought avoidance and tolerance identified in a set of random introgression lines of rice. Theor Appl Genet, 2005, 111: 1642-1650
- 27 Huang Y, Li L H, Chen Y, et al. Comparative analysis of gene expression at early seedling stage between a rice hybrid and its parents using a cDNA microarray of 9198 uni-sequences. Sci China Ser C-Life Sci, 2006, 49(6): 519-529
- 28 Gao H J, Yang R Q. Composite interval mapping of QTL for dynamic traits. Chin Sci Bull, 2006, 51(15): 1857-1862
- 29 Kurata N, Nagamura Y, Yamamoto K, et al. A 300 kilobase interval genetic map of rice including 883 expressed sequences. Nat Genet, 1994, 8: 365-372
- 30 Ware D, Jaiswal P, Ni J, et al. Gramene: A resource for comparative grass genomics. Nucleic Acid Res, 2002, 30: 103-105
- 31 Qian Q, Yanagihara S, Teng S, et al. Isolation, expression characteristics and chromosomal locations of three cDNA fragments under salt stress in rice. Acta Bot Sin, 2003, 45(9): 1090-1095
- 32 Lang N T, Masood S, Yanagihara S, et al. Mapping QTLs for salt tolerance in rice. In: Khush G S, Brar D S, Hardy B. Advances in Rice Genetics. Supplement to Rice Genetics IV. Proceedings of the Fourth International Rice Genetics Symposium, 22~27 October 2000. Los Banos: IRRI, 2003. 294−298
- 33 Badawi A T. Rice-based production systems for food security and poverty alleviation in the Near East and North Africa. Int Rice Comm Newsl, 2004, 53: 123-128
- 34 Xiao J, Yuan L P, Tanksley S D. Identification of QTLs affecting traits of agronomic important in a recombinant inbred population derived from a subspecific rice cross. Theor Appl Genet, 1996, 92: 230–244
- 35 He G M, Luo X J, Tian F, et al. Haplotype variation in structure and expression of a gene cluster associated with a quantitative trait locus for improved yield in rice. Genome Res, 2006, 16: 618-626
- 36 Lee S Y, Ahn J H, Cha Y S, et al. Mapping of quantitative trait loci for salt tolerance at the seedling stage in rice. Mol Cells, 2006, 21(2): 192-196
- 37 Li Z K, Fu B Y, Gao Y M, et al. Genome-wide introgression lines and a forward genetics strategy for functional genomic research of complex phenotypes in rice. Plant Mol Biol, 2005, 59: 33-52
- 38 Xu J L, Gao Y M, Fu B Y, Li Z K. Indentification and screening of favorable genes from rice germplasm in backcross introgression populations. Mol Plant Breed (in Chinese), 2005, 3(5): 619-628
- 39 Ali A J, Xu J L, Ismail A M, et al. Hidden diversity for abiotic and biotic stress tolerances in the primary gene pool of rice revealed by a large backcross breeding program. Field Crops Res, 2006, 97: 66-76

ZANG JinPing et al. Sci China Ser C-Life Sci | Juy. 2008 | vol. 51 | no. 7 | 583-591